

THE SUBLOOP STRUCTURE OF THE CAYLEY-DICKSON SEDENION LOOP

RAOUL E. CAWAGAS
SciTech R&D Center, OVPRD
Polytechnic University of the Philippines, Manila
email: raoulec@yahoo.com

SHEREE ANN G. GUTIERREZ
College of Science
Polytechnic University of the Philippines, Manila
email: iree.0720@yahoo.com

Abstract

The sedenions \mathbb{S} is a 16-dimensional real algebra that belongs to the family of real Cayley-Dickson algebras. These algebras include \mathbb{C} (complex numbers), \mathbb{H} (quaternions), and \mathbb{O} (octonions) that are the only real division algebras obtained from the real numbers \mathbb{R} by a doubling procedure called the Cayley-Dickson Process. This study deals with the subloop structure of the *sedention loop* \mathbf{S}_L generated by the 16 basis elements of \mathbb{S} . In particular it shows that \mathbf{S}_L has subloops isomorphic to \mathbf{C}_2 (the cyclic group of order 2), \mathbf{C}_4 (the cyclic group of order 4), \mathbf{Q} (the quaternion group of order 8), \mathbf{O}_L (the octonion loop of order 16), and a newly identified loop $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_L$ (called the *quasi-octonion loop* of order 16). The subloops of \mathbb{S} that are isomorphic to $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_L$ generate subalgebras of \mathbb{S} isomorphic to an algebra $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}$ (called the *quasi-octonion algebra* of dimension 16) that contain zero divisors.

1 Introduction

In the past few years, a lot of attention has been focused by theoretical physicists on the Cayley-Dickson algebras because of their increasing usefulness in formulating many of the emerging theories of elementary particles. In particular, the octonions \mathbb{O} [1],[6] (which is the only non-associative normed division algebra over the reals) has been found to be involved in so many unexpected places (like *string theory, quantum theory, Clifford algebras, topology, etc.*). Recently, the sedenion algebra \mathbb{S} (which is the Cayley-Dickson double of \mathbb{O}) has also been the subject of several studies because of its potential applications in theoretical physics and related fields.

These algebras are obtained by a doubling procedure called the *Cayley-Dickson Process (CDP)*. By doubling the *real numbers* \mathbb{R} ($\dim 2^0 = 1$) we obtain the *complex numbers* \mathbb{C} ($\dim 2^1 = 2$), then \mathbb{C} produces the *quaternions* \mathbb{H} ($\dim 2^2 = 4$), and \mathbb{H} yields the *octonions* \mathbb{O} ($\dim 2^3 = 8$), all of which are normed division algebras. The next doubling process applied to \mathbb{O} then yields an algebra \mathbb{S} ($\dim 2^4 = 16$) called the *sedention algebra*. This doubling process can be extended beyond the sedenions to form what are known as the

2^n -ions [2],[3]. The problem with CDP is that each step of the doubling process results in a progressive loss of structure. Thus, although \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{H} and \mathbb{O} are division algebras, \mathbb{S} is not a division algebra because it has *zero divisors*. No wonder, most mathematicians shy away from the sedenions and some even consider \mathbb{S} as a “pathological” case [4].

The captivating thing about \mathbb{S} is that all of the normed real division algebras \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{H} , and \mathbb{O} fit nicely inside it as subalgebras. Hence, any object involving these algebras can be dealt with in \mathbb{S} . Moreover, we see that \mathbb{S} is the double of \mathbb{O} which has found many applications in theoretical physics and related fields [1], [6]. So some theorists have found it reasonable to ask [5]: *If the octonions are so good, would not the sedenions be even better?*

This paper deals with the subloop structure of the *sedenion loop* \mathbf{S}_L generated by the basis elements of the sedenion algebra \mathbb{S} . Moreover, it also shows that a class of subloops of \mathbf{S}_L generates subalgebras of \mathbb{S} that contain zero divisors.

2 The Sedenions

The Cayley-Dickson sedenion algebra \mathbb{S} is often defined as a power-associative 16 dimensional algebra with a quadratic form and whose elements are constructed from real numbers \mathbb{R} by iterations of the Cayley-Dickson Process [2]. This algebra, however, is non-commutative, non-associative, and non-alternative. Moreover, it is neither a composition algebra nor a division algebra because it has zero divisors.

In what follows, the term *algebra* shall be taken to mean a vector space over a field F with a bilinear multiplication, and with a *unit* element. Being a vector space, we can define a basis in terms of which each element of the algebra can be written as a linear combination of the basis elements.

Let $E_{16} = \{\mathbf{e}_i \in \mathbb{S} \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, 15\}$ be the *canonical basis* of \mathbb{S} , where \mathbf{e}_0 is the *unit* (or *identity*) and $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{15}$ are called *imaginaries*. Then every sedenion $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{S}$ can be expressed as a linear combination of the *basis elements* $\mathbf{e}_i \in E_{16}$, that is,

$$\mathbf{a} = \sum_{i=0}^{15} a_i \mathbf{e}_i = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{15} a_i \mathbf{e}_i$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$. Here a_0 is called the *real part* of \mathbf{a} while $\sum_{i=1}^{15} a_i \mathbf{e}_i$ is called its *imaginary part*.

Addition of sedenions is done component-wise. On the other hand, multiplication is defined by *bilinearity* and the multiplication rule of the basis elements. Thus, if $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{S}$, we have:

$$\mathbf{ab} = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{15} a_i \mathbf{e}_i \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{15} b_j \mathbf{e}_j \right) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{15} a_i b_j (\mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j) = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{15} f_{ij} \gamma_{ij}^k \mathbf{e}_k$$

where $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_k \in E_{16}$, $f_{ij} = a_i b_j \in \mathbb{R}$, and the quantities $\gamma_{ij}^k \in \mathbb{R}$ are called *structure constants*. The multiplication rule of the sedenion basis elements is given by

$$\mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j = \sum_{k=0}^{15} \gamma_{ij}^k \mathbf{e}_k$$

and is summarized in Table 1.

Since \mathbb{S} is the double of \mathbb{O} , it contains \mathbb{O} as a subalgebra. Thus, the indices $i = 0, 1, \dots, 7$ correspond to the octonion basis elements, while those where $i = 8, \dots, 15$ correspond to the pure sedenion basis elements. Moreover, \mathbb{O} is the double of \mathbb{H} , and \mathbb{H} is the double of \mathbb{C} . Hence \mathbb{H} and \mathbb{C} are also subalgebras of \mathbb{S} . This is nicely shown by the broken lines in Table 1.

*	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
0	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
1	1	-0	3	-2	5	-4	-7	6	9	-8	-11	10	-13	12	15	-14
2	2	-3	-0	1	6	7	-4	-5	10	11	-8	-9	-14	-15	12	13
3	3	2	-1	-0	7	-6	5	-4	11	-10	9	-8	-15	14	-13	12
4	4	-5	-6	-7	-0	1	2	3	12	13	14	15	-8	-9	-10	-11
5	5	4	-7	6	-1	-0	-3	2	13	-12	15	-14	9	-8	11	-10
6	6	7	4	-5	-2	3	-0	-1	14	-15	-12	13	10	-11	-8	9
7	7	-6	5	4	-3	-2	1	-0	15	14	-13	-12	11	10	-9	-8
8	8	-9	-10	-11	-12	-13	-14	-15	-0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
9	9	8	-11	10	-13	12	15	-14	-1	-0	-3	2	-5	4	7	-6
10	10	11	8	-9	-14	-15	12	13	-2	3	-0	-1	-6	-7	4	5
11	11	-10	9	8	-15	14	-13	12	-3	-2	1	-0	-7	6	-5	4
12	12	13	14	15	8	-9	-10	-11	-4	5	6	7	-0	-1	-2	-3
13	13	-12	15	-14	9	8	11	-10	-5	-4	7	-6	1	-0	3	-2
14	14	-15	-12	13	10	-11	8	9	-6	-7	-4	5	2	-3	-0	1
15	15	14	-13	-12	11	10	-9	8	-7	6	-5	-4	3	2	-1	-0

Table 1. Multiplication table of the sedenion basis elements. For simplicity, the entries in this table are the indices of the basis elements, that is, we have set $i \equiv \mathbf{e}_i$, where $i = 0, 1, \dots, 15$.

The above multiplication rule can also be expressed more compactly by means of 35 *associative triples* (or *cycles*). These are listed below in two sets: *octonion triplets* and *sedenion triplets*.

OCTONION TRIPLETS

- (1,2,3), (1,4,5), (1,7,6), (2,4,6), (2,5,7), (3,4,7), (3,6,5)

SEDENION TRIPLETS

- (1,8,9), (1,11,10), (1,13,12), (1,14,15)
 (2,8,10), (2,9,11), (2,14,12), (2,15,13)
 (3,8,11), (3,10,9), (3,15,12), (3,13,14)
 (4,8,12), (4,9,13), (4,10,14), (4,11,15)

(5,8,13), (5,12,9), (5,10,15), (5,14,11)
 (6,8,14), (6,15,9), (6,12,10), (6,11,13)
 (7,8,15), (7,9,14), (7,13,10), (7,12,11)

If (a, b, c) is any given triplet, then $ab = c$ and $ba = -c$. This is also true of any cyclic permutation of a, b, c ; e.g., $bc = a$ and $cb = -a$, etc. Moreover, given the triplet (a, b, c) , then $(ab)c = a(bc)$. Similarly, this is also true of any cyclic permutation of a, b, c ; e.g., $(bc)a = b(ca)$, etc. The elements in a triplet therefore associate and anti-commute.

Remark 1. *There are several 16-dimensional algebras or “semi-algebras” that are now called “sedenions” in the literature. One of these is the **Conway-Smith sedenions** [12] which is a semi-algebra with a multiplicative norm and is thus different from the **Cayley-Dickson sedenions** discussed in this paper. Another one is that defined by J. D. H. Smith [13] that is also a semi-algebra with a multiplicative norm: it contains the octonions as a subalgebra.*

3 The Sedenion Loop \mathbf{S}_L Generated by the Basis of \mathbb{S}

Every finite dimensional algebra [7] is basically defined by the *multiplication rule* of its basis E_n . It can be shown that the set $E_{16} = \{\mathbf{e}_i \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, 15\}$ of 16 sedenion basis elements generates a set $\mathbf{S}_L = \{\pm\mathbf{e}_i \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, 15\}$ of order 32, where \mathbf{e}_0 is the identity element, that forms a non-commutative loop under sedenion multiplication. This loop \mathbf{S}_L , which we shall call the Cayley-Dickson *sedenion loop*, is embedded [8] in the sedenion space and its subloops determine the *basic subalgebras* of \mathbb{S} (the subalgebras generated by the basis elements of \mathbb{S}).

In view of the importance of determining the properties and basic subalgebras of \mathbb{S} , we must therefore analyze this embedded loop \mathbf{S}_L by decomposing it into its subloops and identifying each of them. We do this by means of the software FINITAS [9] – a computer program for the analysis and construction of finite algebraic structures. The results of the analysis are as follows:

- I. The non-commutative loop \mathbf{S}_L belongs to the class of *non-associative finite invertible loops* (NAFIL). Analysis shows that it satisfies the following properties:
 - PAP (Power Associative Property), IP (L/R Inverse Property), WIP (Weak Inverse Property), AAIP (Antiautomorphic Inverse Property), SAIP (L/R Semiautomorphic Inverse Property), AP (L/R Alternative Property), FL (Flexible Law), RIF Loop property, CL (C-Loop property), and NSLP (Nuclear Square Loop Property: LN, MN, RN). Moreover, it follows from PAP, IP, AP, and FL that it is also diassociative. (See Table 2.)
 - All elements of \mathbf{S}_L , except e_0 and $-e_0$, are of order 4; its center is $\{e_0, -e_0\}$; and all squares are in this center.
- II. The loop \mathbf{S}_L has exactly 67 subloops (numbered 1 to 67 by FINITAS), 66 of which are non-trivial and normal. The block diagram of the lattice of these subloops is shown

in Figure 1. A loop L' that is isomorphic to a given loop L shall be called a *copy* of that loop.

- There are 15 subloops of order 16. All of these are non-abelian NAFILs of two types: (a) eight NAFILs isomorphic to the *octonion loop* \mathbf{O}_L (the Moufang loop generated by the basis of \mathbb{O}), and (b) seven NAFILs that are isomorphic to a loop which we shall call the *quasi-octonion loop* $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_L$. This loop is not isomorphic to the octonion loop \mathbf{O}_L because it does not satisfy the Moufang identity. These are the maximal subloops of \mathbf{S}_L .
- There are 35 subloops of order 8. All of these are non-abelian groups isomorphic to the quaternion group \mathbf{Q} of order 8. These are subloops of the copies of \mathbf{O}_L and $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_L$.
- There are 15 subloops of order 4. All of these are abelian groups isomorphic to the cyclic group \mathbf{C}_4 of order 4. These are subloops of the copies of \mathbf{Q} .
- There is only one (1) subloop of order 2. This is a group isomorphic to the cyclic group \mathbf{C}_2 of order 2 and is the center of \mathbf{S}_L . This is a subloop of every copy of \mathbf{Q} .
- There is only one (1) subloop of order 1. This is the trivial group $\{1\}$.

It follows from the above subloop analysis that:

Proposition 1. *Every non-trivial subloop of \mathbf{S}_L is isomorphic to one of the following loops: \mathbf{O}_L , $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_L$, \mathbf{Q} , \mathbf{C}_4 , and \mathbf{C}_2 .*

The subloops of \mathbf{S}_L that are isomorphic to \mathbf{O}_L , $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_L$, \mathbf{Q} , \mathbf{C}_4 , and \mathbf{C}_2 are copies of these loops. These subloops therefore form five *isomorphism classes*: $\{\mathbf{O}_L\}$, $\{\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_L\}$, $\{\mathbf{Q}\}$, $\{\mathbf{C}_4\}$, and $\{\mathbf{C}_2\}$. Thus the loop \mathbf{O}_L represents the isomorphism class $\{\mathbf{O}_L\}$ of the 8 subloops of \mathbf{S}_L (numbers 2, 3, 6, 9, 17, 20, 28, 31) listed in Table 2. Similarly, $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_L$ represents the class $\{\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_L\}$ of the 7 subloops of \mathbf{S}_L (numbers 4, 7, 10, 18, 21, 29, 32), etc.

Copies of Octonion Loop \mathbf{O}_L	Copies of Quasi-Octonion Loop $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_L$
2. $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, -0, -1, -2, -3, -4, -5, -6, -7\} \rightarrow \mathbf{O}_L$	
3. $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 8, 9, 10, 11, -0, -1, -2, -3, -8, -9, -10, -11\}$	4. $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 12, 13, 14, 15, -0, -1, -2, -3, -12, -13, -14, -15\}$
6. $\{0, 1, 4, 5, 8, 9, 12, 13, -0, -1, -4, -5, -8, -9, -12, -13\}$	7. $\{0, 1, 4, 5, 10, 11, 14, 15, -0, -1, -4, -5, -10, -11, -14, -15\}$
9. $\{0, 1, 6, 7, 8, 9, 14, 15, -0, -1, -6, -7, -8, -9, -14, -15\}$	10. $\{0, 1, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, -0, -1, -6, -7, -10, -11, -12, -13\}$
17. $\{0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, -0, -2, -4, -6, -8, -10, -12, -14\}$	18. $\{0, 2, 4, 6, 9, 11, 13, 15, -0, -2, -4, -6, -9, -11, -13, -15\}$
20. $\{0, 2, 5, 7, 8, 10, 13, 15, -0, -2, -5, -7, -8, -10, -13, -15\}$	21. $\{0, 2, 5, 7, 9, 11, 12, 14, -0, -2, -5, -7, -9, -11, -12, -14\}$
28. $\{0, 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 12, 15, -0, -3, -4, -7, -8, -11, -12, -15\}$	29. $\{0, 3, 4, 7, 9, 10, 13, 14, -0, -3, -4, -7, -9, -10, -13, -14\}$
31. $\{0, 3, 5, 6, 8, 11, 13, 14, -0, -3, -5, -6, -8, -11, -13, -14\}$	32. $\{0, 3, 5, 6, 9, 10, 12, 15, -0, -3, -5, -6, -9, -10, -12, -15\}$

Table 2. Subloops of the sedenion loop \mathbf{S}_L that are copies of \mathbf{O}_L and $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_L$. Here, the subloop $2.\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, -0, -1, -2, -3, -4, -5, -6, -7\}$ corresponds to \mathbf{O}_L as a consequence of the Cayley-Dickson Process.

Note that in each of the octonion and quasi-octonion copies, the first three of the 7 imaginaries are elements of an octonion triplet while the remaining four elements (except those of subloop number 2) are pure sedenion basis elements. For instance, the elements 1, 2, 3 of subloop number 3 in Table 2 form the octonion triplet (1,2,3) while the other four elements 8, 9, 12, 13 are pure sedenion basis elements. Similarly, the elements 1, 4, 5 of subloops numbers 6 and 7 form the octonion triplet (1,4,5), etc.

By analyzing the loops and their subloops in each isomorphism class, we find that they are related as follows: Each copy of \mathbf{O}_L contains 7 copies of \mathbf{Q} as normal subloops, and each copy of \mathbf{Q} contains 3 copies of \mathbf{C}_4 as normal subloops. In turn, each copy of \mathbf{C}_4 contains one copy of \mathbf{C}_2 as a normal subloop, which finally contains the trivial group $\{1\}$ as its subloop. Similarly, each copy of $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_L$ has the same subloop composition and relationships as \mathbf{O}_L . This shows that the sedenion loop \mathbf{S}_L and its normal subloops form two subnormal series:

$$\begin{aligned} S_L\text{-Octonion Series:} & \quad \mathbf{S}_L \triangleright \mathbf{O}_L \triangleright \mathbf{Q} \triangleright \mathbf{C}_4 \triangleright \mathbf{C}_2 \triangleright \{1\} \\ S_L\text{-Quasi-Octonion Series:} & \quad \mathbf{S}_L \triangleright \tilde{\mathbf{O}}_L \triangleright \mathbf{Q} \triangleright \mathbf{C}_4 \triangleright \mathbf{C}_2 \triangleright \{1\} \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since $\mathbf{S}_L/\mathbf{O}_L \simeq \mathbf{O}_L/\mathbf{Q} \simeq \tilde{\mathbf{O}}_L/\mathbf{Q} \simeq \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{C}_4 \simeq \mathbf{C}_4/\mathbf{C}_2 \simeq \mathbf{C}_2/\{1\} \simeq \mathbf{C}_2$ and since \mathbf{C}_2 is simple, then these subnormal series are *composition series*.

Figure 1. The lattice diagram (in block form) of the subloop structure of the sedenion loop \mathbf{S}_L of order 32.

The block diagram of the lattice of the subloops of S_L is shown in Figure 1. This shows that the copies of \mathbf{O}_L and $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_L$ contain only copies of \mathbf{Q} , \mathbf{C}_4 , and \mathbf{C}_2 as subloops. Therefore both \mathbf{O}_L and $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_L$ contain only subloops isomorphic to \mathbf{Q} , \mathbf{C}_4 , and \mathbf{C}_2 . Thus, they have the same subloop composition. These relationships are also depicted graphically in Figure 1.

To determine the important properties of the loops \mathbf{S}_L , \mathbf{O}_L and $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_L$, we analyzed their Cayley tables by means of the software *FINITAS*. The identified properties are listed in Table 3.

PROPERTY	DEFINING IDENTITY	\mathbf{S}_L	\mathbf{O}_L	$\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_L$
IP	LIP: $x^{-1}(xy) = y$ and RIP: $(yx)x^{-1} = y$	YES	YES	YES
FL	$x(yx) = (xy)x$	YES	YES	YES
AP	LAP: $x(xy) = (xx)y$ and RAP: $x(yy) = (xy)y$	YES	YES	YES
CL	$x(y(yz)) = ((xy)y)z \rightarrow$ LC and RC	YES	YES	YES
LC	$(xx)(yz) = (x(xy))z$	YES	YES	YES
RC	$x((yz)z) = (xy)(zz)$	YES	YES	YES
MP	$(xy)(zx) = (x(yx))z$	×	YES	×
PAP	$x^a x^b = x^{a+b}$	YES	YES	YES
WIP	$x(yx)^{-1} = y^{-1}$	YES	YES	YES
AAIP	$(xy)^{-1} = y^{-1}x^{-1}$	YES	YES	YES
RIF	$(xy)(z(xy)) = ((x(yz))x)y$	YES	YES	YES
NSLP	LN, MN, RN	YES	YES	YES
LN	$(xx)(yz) = ((xx)y)z$	YES	YES	YES
MN	$x((yy)z) = (x(yy))z$	YES	YES	YES
RN	$x(y(zz)) = (xy)(zz)$	YES	YES	YES

Table 3. This table shows some of the known loop identities satisfied by the sedenion loop \mathbf{S}_L , octonion loop \mathbf{O}_L , and the quasi-octonion loop $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_L$.

We therefore see that the sedenion, octonion, and quasi-octonion loops share the same properties. This follows from the fact that a subloop \bar{L} of any loop L satisfies all properties of L . Although the octonion loop \mathbf{O}_L also shares all of the properties of $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_L$ and \mathbf{S}_L , it satisfies in addition the Moufang Property (MP). Hence \mathbf{O}_L and $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_L$ are not isomorphic.

The Cayley tables of the octonion loop $\mathbf{O}_L = \{\pm \mathbf{e}_i \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, 7\}$ and quasi-octonion loop $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_L = \{\pm \mathbf{u}_i \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, 7\}$ are shown in Tables 4(A) and 4(B).

*	e0	e1	e2	e3	e4	e5	e6	e7	-e0	-e1	-e2	-e3	-e4	-e5	-e6	-e7
e0	e0	e1	e2	e3	e4	e5	e6	e7	-e0	-e1	-e2	-e3	-e4	-e5	-e6	-e7
e1	e1	-e0	e3	-e2	e5	-e4	-e7	e6	-e1	e0	-e3	e2	-e5	e4	e7	-e6
e2	e2	-e3	-e0	e1	e6	e7	-e4	-e5	-e2	e3	e0	-e1	-e6	-e7	e4	e5
e3	e3	e2	-e1	-e0	e7	-e6	e5	-e4	-e3	-e2	e1	e0	-e7	e6	-e5	e4
e4	e4	-e5	-e6	-e7	-e0	e1	e2	e3	-e4	e5	e6	e7	e0	-e1	-e2	-e3
e5	e5	e4	-e7	e6	-e1	-e0	-e3	e2	-e5	-e4	e7	-e6	e1	e0	e3	-e2
e6	e6	e7	e4	-e5	-e2	e3	-e0	-e1	-e6	-e7	-e4	e5	e2	-e3	e0	e1
e7	e7	-e6	e5	e4	-e3	-e2	e1	-e0	-e7	e6	-e5	-e4	e3	e2	-e1	e0
-e0	-e0	-e1	-e2	-e3	-e4	-e5	-e6	-e7	e0	e1	e2	e3	e4	e5	e6	e7
-e1	-e1	e0	-e3	e2	-e5	e4	e7	-e6	e1	-e0	e3	-e2	e5	-e4	-e7	e6
-e2	-e2	e3	e0	-e1	-e6	-e7	e4	e5	e2	-e3	-e0	e1	e6	e7	-e4	-e5
-e3	-e3	-e2	e1	e0	-e7	e6	-e5	e4	e3	e2	-e1	-e0	e7	-e6	e5	-e4
-e4	-e4	e5	e6	e7	e0	-e1	-e2	-e3	e4	-e5	-e6	-e7	-e0	e1	e2	e3
-e5	-e5	-e4	e7	-e6	e1	e0	e3	-e2	e5	e4	-e7	e6	-e1	-e0	-e3	e2
-e6	-e6	-e7	-e4	e5	e2	-e3	e0	e1	e6	e7	e4	-e5	-e2	e3	-e0	-e1
-e7	-e7	e6	-e5	-e4	e3	e2	-e1	e0	e7	-e6	e5	e4	-e3	-e2	e1	-e0

Table 4(A). Cayley table of the octonion loop \mathbf{O}_L of order 16.

*	u0	u1	u2	u3	u4	u5	u6	u7	-u0	-u1	-u2	-u3	-u4	-u5	-u6	-u7
u0	u0	u1	u2	u3	u4	u5	u6	u7	-u0	-u1	-u2	-u3	-u4	-u5	-u6	-u7
u1	u1	-u0	u3	-u2	-u5	u4	u7	-u6	-u1	u0	-u3	u2	u5	-u4	-u7	u6
u2	u2	-u3	-u0	u1	-u6	-u7	u4	u5	-u2	u3	u0	-u1	u6	u7	-u4	-u5
u3	u3	u2	-u1	-u0	-u7	u6	-u5	u4	-u3	-u2	u1	u0	u7	-u6	u5	-u4
u4	u4	u5	u6	u7	-u0	-u1	-u2	-u3	-u4	-u5	-u6	-u7	u0	u1	u2	u3
u5	u5	-u4	u7	-u6	u1	-u0	u3	-u2	-u5	u4	-u7	u6	-u1	u0	-u3	u2
u6	u6	-u7	-u4	u5	u2	-u3	-u0	u1	-u6	u7	u4	-u5	-u2	u3	u0	-u1
u7	u7	u6	-u5	-u4	u3	u2	-u1	-u0	-u7	-u6	u5	u4	-u3	-u2	u1	u0
-u0	-u0	-u1	-u2	-u3	-u4	-u5	-u6	-u7	u0	u1	u2	u3	u4	u5	u6	u7
-u1	-u1	u0	-u3	u2	u5	-u4	-u7	u6	u1	-u0	u3	-u2	-u5	u4	u7	-u6
-u2	-u2	u3	u0	-u1	u6	u7	-u4	-u5	u2	-u3	-u0	u1	-u6	-u7	u4	u5
-u3	-u3	-u2	u1	u0	u7	-u6	u5	-u4	u3	u2	-u1	-u0	-u7	u6	-u5	u4
-u4	-u4	-u5	-u6	-u7	u0	u1	u2	u3	u4	u5	u6	u7	-u0	-u1	-u2	-u3
-u5	-u5	u4	-u7	u6	-u1	u0	-u3	u2	u5	-u4	u7	-u6	u1	-u0	u3	-u2
-u6	-u6	u7	u4	-u5	-u2	u3	u0	-u1	u6	-u7	-u4	u5	u2	-u3	-u0	u1
-u7	-u7	-u6	u5	u4	-u3	-u2	u1	u0	u7	u6	-u5	-u4	u3	u2	-u1	-u0

Table 4(B). Cayley table of the quasi-octonion loop $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_L$ of order 16.

Remark 2. A search of the current literature on the sedenions has shown that the quasi-octonion loop $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_L$ has not been previously identified [10]. This loop and its 7 copies in \mathbb{S} implement some of the identities of the *Bol-Moufang type* [11] (also known as the Fenyves identities) like the *CL*, *RC*, *LC*, and the *LN*, *MN*, *RN* identities (Table 3); they are the first known non-trivial natural models of these identities.

In the above tables, the 8 positive elements, $\mathbf{e}_0, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_7$, of the loop \mathbf{O}_L are the basis elements of the 8-dimensional octonion algebra \mathbb{O} (or Cayley numbers). On the other hand, the elements $\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_7$, of the loop $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_L$ are the basis elements of a newly identified 8-dimensional algebra $\tilde{\mathbb{O}}$ which we shall call the *quasi-octonion algebra*. This means that the positive elements of the copies of \mathbf{O}_L and $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_L$ form subalgebras isomorphic to the algebras \mathbb{O} and $\tilde{\mathbb{O}}$, respectively. Note, however, that not all of the properties of the loops \mathbf{S}_L , \mathbf{O}_L , and $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_L$ are inherited by the corresponding algebras \mathbb{S} , \mathbb{O} , and $\tilde{\mathbb{O}}$ that they generate.

Similarly, the positive elements of the loops that are copies of \mathbf{Q} , \mathbf{C}_4 , and \mathbf{C}_2 in \mathbb{S} generate subalgebras of the copies of \mathbb{O} and $\tilde{\mathbb{O}}$ in \mathbb{S} . Thus, the sedenion algebra \mathbb{S} contains

subalgebras isomorphic to \mathbb{O} , $\tilde{\mathbb{O}}$, \mathbb{H} , \mathbb{C} . The lattice of these basic subalgebras of \mathbb{S} , therefore, has the same structure as that of the subloops of \mathbf{S}_L shown in Figure 1. These, however, do not exhaust the subalgebras of \mathbb{S} ; determining all subalgebras (up to isomorphism) is an interesting open problem.

The quasi-octonion algebra $\tilde{\mathbb{O}}$ has all of the known properties of the sedenion algebra \mathbb{S} . And, like \mathbb{S} , it is neither a composition nor a division algebra because it has zero divisors. Moreover, $\tilde{\mathbb{O}}$ also has all of the known properties of the octonions \mathbb{O} , except the fact that it is not a division algebra. Determining the details of the structure of $\tilde{\mathbb{O}}$ is another interesting open problem.

In the next section, we will show that the existence of the seven copies of $\tilde{\mathbb{O}}$ as subalgebras of \mathbb{S} is responsible for the known zero divisors of the sedenions.

4 The Zero Divisors of the Sedenions

Algebras with zero divisors are not very popular among mathematicians because very few know what to do with these unusual objects. This is also due to the fact that most of the useful algebras we are familiar with are division algebras (like \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{H} , and \mathbb{O}) where the equation $\mathbf{ab} = \mathbf{0}$ is true iff $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0}$ or $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}$.

As indicated in the previous sections, the sedenion algebra \mathbb{S} is not a division algebra because it has zero divisors. This means that there exist sedenions $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \neq \mathbf{0}$ such that $\mathbf{ab} = \mathbf{0}$. *But where in the sedenion space do we find these zero divisors?* This is an important question that we will now try to settle.

Several studies have been made on the zero divisors of \mathbb{S} motivated by their potential applications in theoretical physics. Often cited in the literature are the works of R. G. Moreno [3], K. and M. Imaeda [2], R. P. C. de Marrais [4], and T. Smith [5]. Of these, only de Marrais has determined (by what he calls a “bottom-up” approach) a set of actual zero divisors of \mathbb{S} . The others have simply dealt with zero divisors from a theoretical standpoint (called the “top-down” approach).

The actual determination of the zero divisors of the sedenions is quite tedious and time consuming. Moreno has exhibited only one instance of a pair of sedenion zero divisors in his paper¹. K. and M. Imaeda claimed that the zero divisors of the sedenions are confined to some *hypersurfaces* but did not explain what these are. On the other hand, de Marrais² has determined exactly 84 pairs of zero divisors [4] by “isolating underlying structures from which all complicated ZD expressions and spaces in the Sedenions must be composed...”

After studying the 84 known *zero divisor pairs* determined by de Marrais and the subloops of the sedenion loop \mathbf{S}_L in Table 2, we now have

Proposition 2. *The known zero divisors of the sedenion algebra \mathbb{S} are all confined to the seven copies in \mathbb{S} of the quasi-octonion algebra $\tilde{\mathbb{O}}$.*

By Proposition 1, every subloop of \mathbf{S}_L is isomorphic to one of the following loops: \mathbf{O}_L , $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_L$, \mathbf{Q} , \mathbf{C}_4 , and \mathbf{C}_2 . Since \mathbf{O}_L , \mathbf{Q} , \mathbf{C}_4 , and \mathbf{C}_2 generate subalgebras that are copies

¹Moreno [3] gave the following example of a zero divisor pair: $x = e_1 + e_{10}$ and $y = e_{15} - e_4$. Thus we find that $xy = (e_1 + e_{10})(e_{15} - e_4) = 0$.

²R. de Marrais has developed a set of “Production Rules” [4] for determining 84 sedenion zero divisor pairs that is quite simple to carry out compared to the usual methods.

of the division algebras \mathbb{O} , \mathbb{H} , \mathbb{C} , and \mathbb{R} , respectively, then these generated subalgebras do not have any zero divisors. It is easy to show that the quasi-octonion algebra $\tilde{\mathbb{O}}$ has zero divisors and, therefore, all of its 7 copies in \mathbb{S} have zero divisors. This indicates that any of the known zero divisors of \mathbb{S} must belong to one of the 7 copies of $\tilde{\mathbb{O}}$.

GoTo#1	Based on Octonion Triplet (1,2,3) – Automorpheme: (1,2,3,12,13,14,15)			
	(1+13)(2-14)	(1+14)(2+13)	(1-12)(2-15)	(1-15)(2+12)
	(2-14)(3+15)	(2+13)(3-12)	(2-15)(3-14)	(2+12)(3+13)
	(3+15)(1-13)	(3-12)(1-14)	(3-14)(1+12)	(3+13)(1+15)
GoTo#2	Based on Octonion Triplet (1,4,5) – Automorpheme: (1,4,5,10,11,14,15)			
	(1+14)(4-11)	(1+11)(4+14)	(1-15)(4-10)	(1-10)(4+15)
	(4-11)(5+10)	(4+14)(5-15)	(4-10)(5-11)	(4+15)(5+14)
	(5+10)(1-14)	(5-15)(1-11)	(5-11)(1+15)	(5+14)(1+10)

Table 5. Portion of the list of 84 sedenion *zero divisor pairs* determined by de Marrais. As in Table 1, the numerals are the indices of the basis elements, that is, $i \equiv e_i$. [Source: R. de Marrais, <http://arXiv.org/abs/math.GM/0011260>.]

To verify this, we considered the 84 zero divisor pairs determined by de Marrais [4]. Each zero divisor in the pair consists of two basis elements of the form $(\mathbf{o} \pm \mathbf{s})$, where \mathbf{o} is an octonion basis element (belonging to an octonion triplet), while \mathbf{s} is a pure sedenion basis element. These are presented as seven sets called “**GoTo**” lists, each based on one of the 7 octonion triplets (or O-trip) and a set of 7 imaginary basis elements called an *automorpheme*. Each automorpheme consists of the positive imaginary elements of a subloop of \mathbf{S}_L that is a copy of $\tilde{\mathbb{O}}_L$ as listed in Table 2. Hence they correspond to subalgebras of \mathbb{S} that are copies of $\tilde{\mathbb{O}}$.

Consider the zero divisor pair $(2-14)(3+15)$ found in the Goto#1 list of Table 5. Let us evaluate this expression as the bilinear product of two sedenions $(\mathbf{e}_2 - \mathbf{e}_{14})$ and $(\mathbf{e}_3 + \mathbf{e}_{15})$. Using the multiplication rule shown in Table 1, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{e}_2 - \mathbf{e}_{14})(\mathbf{e}_3 + \mathbf{e}_{15}) &= \mathbf{e}_2 \cdot \mathbf{e}_3 + \mathbf{e}_2 \cdot \mathbf{e}_{15} - (\mathbf{e}_{14} \cdot \mathbf{e}_3) - (\mathbf{e}_{14} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{15}) \\ &= \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_{13} - \mathbf{e}_{13} - \mathbf{e}_1 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we find that $(\mathbf{e}_2 - \mathbf{e}_{14})(\mathbf{e}_3 + \mathbf{e}_{15}) = 0$ although neither $(\mathbf{e}_2 - \mathbf{e}_{14})$ nor $(\mathbf{e}_3 + \mathbf{e}_{15})$ is equal to zero. Therefore, the sedenions $(\mathbf{e}_2 - \mathbf{e}_{14})$ and $(\mathbf{e}_3 + \mathbf{e}_{15})$ are zero divisors. All of the 84 zero divisor pairs determined by de Marrais can be evaluated in the same way giving the same results.

The above considerations show that the zero divisors of \mathbb{S} are all confined to its subalgebras like the copies of $\tilde{\mathbb{O}}$ that are not division algebras.

Acknowledgement 1. *We wish to acknowledge with thanks the help of the following thesis students: Emerson I. Catalan, Shane Ganiron, Gerylyn Ganioco, Edna Lyn Victoria, Arriane M. Plegaria, Rafael C. Estores, and Melvin J. Terrenal in carrying out the numerous computations involved in this paper and in determining the lattice of the sedenion loop.*

References

- [1] Baez, J., *The Octonions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 39 (2001), No. 2, 145-205.
- [2] Imaeda, K. and M., *Sedenions: algebra and analysis*, Appl. Math. Comput. 115 (200) 77-88.
- [3] Moreno, R. G., *The zero divisors of the Cayley-Dickson algebras over the real numbers*, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana (3), 4, 1(1998), 13-28.
- [4] de Marrais, R. P. C., *The 42 Assessors and the Box-Kites they fly: Diagonal axis-pair systems of zero-divisors in the Sedenions*, <http://arXiv.org/abs/math.GM/0011260>
- [5] Smith, T., *Why not SEDENIONS?*, <http://www.innerx.net/personal/tsmith/sedenion.html>
- [6] Okubo, S., *Introduction to Octonions and Other Non-Associative Algebras in Physics*, Cambridge University Press,
- [7] Schafer, R. D., *An Introduction to Nonassociative Algebras*, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- [8] Cawagas, R. E., *Loops Embedded in Generalized Cayley Algebras of Dimension 2^r , $r \geq 2$* , Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 28:3 (2001) 181-187.
- [9] Cawagas, R. E., *FINITAS – A Software for the Construction and Analysis of Finite Algebraic Structures*, PUP Jour. Res. Expo., Vol. 1, No. 1, 1st Semester 1997.
- [10] Smith, J. DH, *New developments with octonions and sedenions*, Iowa State University Combinatorics/Algebra Seminar. (January 26, 2004), <http://www.math.iastate.edu/jdhsmith/math/JS26jan4.htm>
- [11] Phillips, J. D. and Vojtechovsky, P., *The Varieties of Loops of the Bol-Moufang Type*, submitted to Algebra Universalis.
- [12] Conway, J. H. and Smith, D. A., *On Quaternions and Octonions: Their Geometry and Symmetry*, A. K. Peters Ltd., Natick, MA, 2003.
- [13] Smith, J. D. H., *A left loop on the 15-sphere*, Journal of Algebra 176 (1995), 128-138.