

Report T–522 Mortality Associated with Declawing Stone Crabs, Menippe mercenaria



Everglades National Park, South Florida Research Center, P.O. Box 279, Homestead, Florida 33030

MORTALITY ASSOCIATED WITH DECLAWING

STONE CRABS, MENIPPE MERCENARIA

Report T-522

Gary E. Davis Douglas S. Baughman James D. Chapman Donald MacArthur Alan C. Pierce

U.S. National Park Service South Florida Research Center Everglades National Park P. O. Box 279 Homestead, Florida 33030

Davis, Gary E., Douglas S. Baughman, James D. Chapman, Donald MacArthur and Alan C. Pierce. 1978. Mortality Associated with Declawing Stone Crabs, <u>Menippe mercenaria</u>. South Florida Research Center Report T-522. 23 pp.

Mortality Associated with Declawing Stone Crabs, Menippe mercenaria

ABSTRACT

Claws greater than 7.0 cm propodus length were removed from 20l stone crabs, <u>Menippe mercenaria</u>, using commercially accepted techniques. The crabs were held in aquaria before and after declawing. Forty-seven of 10l crabs that had both claws removed died, and 28 of 100 single claw amputees died. Seventy six percent of the casualties died within 24 hours of declawing. The claws constituted 51% of the total weight of the crabs before declawing. Declawing wound width was significantly correlated with survival. Instantaneous crab mortality estimated from measured declawing wounds of four commercial fishermen ranged from 23 to 51 percent.

INTRODUCTION

The stone crab, <u>Menippe mercenaria</u>, is heavily harvested in south Florida, yet little work has been done on the effect of current fishery management programs on crab stocks. Most studies have dealt with various aspects of growth, life history, claw regeneration and reproduction (Manning 1961, Bender 1971, Cheung 1973, and Savage 1971).

The stone crab fishery in Everglades National Park shows signs of stock depletion. While the number of traps fished in the Park remained stable, catch per unit of fishing effort (grams of claws/trapnight) fell from 113 g to 29 g in less than four seasons (Davis in press). In the 1976-77 trapping season, about 50,000 kg of claws were harvested in the Park (Davis and Thue 1977). This was probably less than 5% of the total Florida harvest, which has averaged over one million kilograms since 1974 (Florida Department of Natural Resources 1977).

Current state fishing regulations (FL Stat. 370.12) require declawing of stone crabs at the point of capture, and returning the clawless bodies to the water. The mortality associated with declawing by fishermen is unknown, but may be quite high. The occurrence of regenerated claws in the fishery harvest is low, less than 10% (Savage and Sullivan 1975), suggesting that declawing is of limited value as a fishery management technique. Cheung (1973) concluded that harvesting regenerated claws was unpractical since legal-sized crabs are so close to terminal molt. This experiment was designed to measure the mortality of declawing stone crabs, using standard commercial techniques, under laboratory conditions, as a precursor to a field investigation.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

From April 1977 to March 1978, specimens for the experiment were collected in Florida Bay, Everglades National Park, using wooden-slat or plastic stone crab traps, and returned to the laboratory in insulated ice chests. Each crab had two legal-sized claws (propodus length > 7.0 cm) or one legal-sized major claw (Cheung 1976) in the single amputation experiment, and no substantial injuries. The crabs were maintained in 190 liter glass aquaria equipped with undergravel filters, protein skimmers, and 450 l/hr outside charcoal filters. A layer of shell fragments approximately 13 cm deep was placed in each aquarium as substrate material. Each aquarium was divided into five equal compartments (38 cm x 7 cm x 38 cm) by 0.2 cm thick opaque lucite sheets drilled with 1.1 cm diameter holes to allow water flow between compartments. Initially, 0.2 cm thick plywood coated with fiberglass resin was used for partitions, but the crabs guickly destroyed them.

Temperature, salinity, pH, dissolved oxygen and nitrites were tested weekly in each aquarium. A Beckman Electrodeless Induction Salinometer was used to measure temperature and salinity. Dissolved oxygen was determined with a Yellow Springs Instrument Co. Model 54 oxygen meter, and a Hach Kit Model DR-EL was used for pH and nitrites.

To begin each replicate of the experiment, five crabs were weighed on a triple beam balance to 1.0 gram, placed in an aquarium, and allowed to acclimate for ten days. On the tenth day, four of the crabs in each aquarium were declawed. One

crab was left as a control animal. The declawing procedure began by weighing and remeasuring the specimens. Claws were then removed by snapping the claw downward away from the crab. To insure a clean break along the fracture line, one finger was placed on the basal cheliped joint. With the cheliped fully extended, a quick, firm downward motion would normally remove the claw cleanly. The break usually occurred at the basi-ischum between the coxa and the merus. The declawed crabs were placed in an aerated bucket of sea water for ten minutes. The declawed crab and claws were then weighed again. The maximum width of the largest wound was recorded and the crab returned to its original compartment in the aquarium. Surviving animals were returned to the field after ten days. Every crab was exposed to the same procedure, control crabs were spared only the trauma of declawing.

Four parameters were tested as potential precursors to mortality: activity level, food consumption, fluid loss and wound width. Activity level was recorded as a response to a physical stimulus. Activity was monitored daily at 0800 hours by dropping a meterstick raised 5 cm above the crab so that it struck the crab on the central anterior carapace. On days when crabs were declawed, activity was recorded before declawing. The categories used to describe activity are listed in Table 1. Food consumption was estimated three times a week when each crab was fed approximately 10 grams of queen conch, <u>Strombus gigas</u>. Food was placed in each compartment at 0830 hours and the remains were retrieved seven hours later and reweighed immediately. The amount of haemolymph lost was determined by weighing the whole crab before declawing and then weighing the declawed body and claws after declawing. The difference between the weights before and after declawing was termed fluid loss. The maximum width of the declawing wound was

measured with vernier calipers to the nearest 0.1 mm just prior to returning the crab to its compartment, at the completion of the declawing procedure. To relate the laboratory results to fishery practices, wounds were measured on crabs declawed by commercial fishermen during their normal work schedule.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Water quality conditions in the five aquaria remained relatively stable throughout the experiment. The mean salinities ranged from 32.5 ppt to 34.3 ppt; temperature from 23.2°C to 23.5°C; dissolved oxygen from 6.2 ppm to 6.5 ppm; pH from 7.3 to 7.4; and nitrites from 2.2 ppm to 13.0 ppm (Table 2). Nitrite level was the only parameter that showed significant variation. The variation was attributed primarily to the decay of dead crabs. Frequently crabs died in the early evening, and were not removed from the tank until the next morning. Within this short time period, the crab began to decompose and the nitrite levels rose rapidly. Addition of two air stones reduced the nitrite levels to 1.0 ppm or less. These sudden changes in nitrite levels appeared to have no detrimental effects on the other crabs in the aquarium.

Both claws were removed from 101 stone crabs, and 47 of them died. One claw was removed from 100 crabs, and 28 of them died. There was considerable variation in mortality rates between replicates (Table 3), but the experimental techniques did not appear to introduce a bias. There was no significant difference in mortality rates between aquaria (F = 1.16, P > 0.25 double declawing; F = 1.28, P > 0.10 single declawing), or between the three technicians who conducted the double declawing (F = 1.66, P = 0.25), or the two who conducted the single declawing (t = 1.54, P > 0.10).

Activity level before and after declawing was compared for all crabs. Food consumption was similarly compared for 70 crabs during the double declawing experiment. There was no discernible difference in the amount of food consumed between survivors and casualties of the declawing (Table 4). Before the declawing procedure, all three groups (survivors, casualties, and controls) exhibited essentially the same mean activity levels. Slightly higher mean temperatures during the double declawing were probably responsible for the higher pre-treatment levels during that experiment. After the declawing procedure, the declawed crabs had significantly lower activity levels, while the control crabs were essentially unchanged (Table 5).

Fluid loss was measured on all 201 experimental crabs. The mean fluid loss for fatalities was nearly twice that of survivors (Table 6).

Wound width was measured on 134 of the experimental crabs (Table 6). There was a significant difference between the wound widths of survivors and casualties. The mean maximum wound width for crabs that died was 18.6 mm, whereas the mean width for survivors was 11.0 mm. Only 15% of the survivors had wound widths > 14.6 mm, whereas 85% of fatalities' wounds were as large (Table 7). Wound widths are easily measured in the field and could reliably indicate minimum declawing caused crab mortality in the fishery.

The mean carapace width of the experimental crabs was 108.7 mm (Table 8). Survivors were slightly smaller than casualties (t = 2.62, P = 0.01).

CONCLUSIONS

Over 50% of the total weight of a harvestable stone crab is in the claws (Table 8). Removal or loss of the claws constitutes a significant stress to the crab. Under the protected laboratory conditions used in this study, 47% of the declawed crabs died from the trauma of double amputation and 28% from single amputation. The survivors showed reduced alertness. In the wild, where declawed crabs must compete for food, mates, and shelter, and avoid predators, the mortality rate must be even higher. The results of this experiment cast further doubt on the efficacy of declawing as a stone crab fishery management tool.

Measurements of wound width was a good indicator of the subsequent mortality of individual crabs from the trama of declawing. Wound widths greater than 14.6 mm were fatal 70.8% of the time. Table 9 shows the mean wound widths and estimated instantaneous mortality associated with declawing of 400 stone crabs by four commercial fishermen in the field. Declawing technique appeared to be the major factor determining the differences in mortality rates between fishermen.

LITERATURE CITED

Bender, E.S. 1971.

Studies of the life history of the stone crab, <u>Menippe mercenaria</u> (Say), in the Cedar Key area. M.S. Thesis, Univ. Fla., Dept. Zool., Gainsville. 110 pp.

Cheung, T.S. 1973.

Experiment on the simultaneous regeneration of claws in the aged male stone crab, <u>Menippe mercenaria</u> (Say), with special reference to the terminal molt. Bull. Inst. Zool., Acad. Sinica <u>12(1):1-11</u>.

Cheung, T.S. 1976.

A biostatistical study of the functional consistency in the reversed claws of the adult male stone ccabs, <u>Menippe mercenaria</u> (Say). Crustaceana 31(2):137-144.

Davis, G.E. In press.

Marine and Estuarine Fishery Management in Everglades National Park. In Proceedings of the First Conference on Scientific Research in National Parks, New Orleans, La. November 1976. AIBS.

Davis, G.E. and E.B. Thue. 1977.

Annual fishery management report for Everglades National Park, Florida, No. 1. Everglades National Park, U. S. National Park Service, Homestead, Florida.

Florida Department of Natural Resources. 1977.

Florida Landings, annual summary, 1975. Current Fisheries Statistics No. 6919, NO. AA/National Marine Fisheries Service, Washington, D.C. 12 p.

Manning, R.B. 1961.

Some growth changes in the stone crab, <u>Menippe</u> mercenaria (Say) Quart. Journ. Fla. Acad. Sci. 23(4):273-277.

Table 1. Criteria used to evaluate stone cráb activity.

Code	Description
0	Dead
2	No movement except for sensory appendages and mouth parts.
3	Slight movement detected, crab crouched in corner.
4	Assumes aggressive posture, rears back, extends claws if present.
5	Attacks meterstick, moves around compartment

Table 2.	Mean water quality conditions in the aquaria used to measure
	declawing mortality of stone crabs.

Aquarium	Salinity (ppt)	Temp (C ^O)	Dissolved Oxygen (ppm)	рН	Nitrites (ppm)
А	34.3	23.5	6.4	7.3	13.0
в	33.8	23.3	6.4	7.4	7.0
С	33.0	23.2	6.2	7.4	2.2
D	33.2	23.2	6.2	7.3	4.2
E	32.5	23.3	6.5	7.4	12.8
Mean	33.1	23.3	6.3	7.4	7.8

Replicate	Number of Claws Removed	Number of Crabs Declawed	Number of Crabs Crabs Survived	Percent Mortality
1	2 2	3 3	1	67
2 3 4 5 6 7	2	3	2	33
3	2 2 2	3	2	33
4	2	3	1	67
5	2	4	3	25
6	2 2	4	0	0
7	2	4	0	0
8	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	4	4	100
9	2	4	3	25
10	2	4	3	25
11	2	4	0	100
12	2	4	2	50
13	2	4	3	25
14	2	4	1	75
15	2	4	2	50
16	2	4	2	50
17	2	4	1	75
18	2	4	0	100
19	2	3		33
20	2	4	2 3 2 3 2	25
21	2	4	2	50
22	2	4	3	25
23	2	3	2	33
24	2	4	4	0
25	2	3		0
26	2	4	2	50
27	2	4	3	25
28	1	4	3	25
29	1	4	3 2 3 3 3	25
30	1	4	0	100
31	1	4	4	0
32	1	4	3	25
33	1	4	4	0
34	1	4	4	
35	1	4	3	25
36	- 1	4	2	50
37	1	4	3 2 1 2 3 2	75
38	1	4	2	50
39	1	4	3	25
40	1	4	2	50
33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	ĩ	4	4	0 25 50 75 50 25 50 0

Table 3. Summary of Stone Crab declawing survival.

eplicate	Number of Claws Removed	Number of Crabs Declawed	Number of Crabs Crabs Survived	Percent Mortality
42	1	4	4	0
43	1	4	4	0
44	1	4	3	25
45	1	4	3	25
46	1	4	4	0
47	1	4	4	0
48	1	4	3	25
49	1	4	3	25
50	1	4	2	50
51	1	4	2	50
52	1	4	2	50

Table 4.	Mean food consumption of stone crabs before and after
	the declawing procedure (both claws removed).

	Food Consumed (g)			
Treatment	Before (N)	After (N)		
Controls	7.5 <u>+</u> 5.3(18)	9.0 <u>+</u> 5.1(18)		
Experimental	7.5 (52)	7.2 (34)		
Survivors	8.4+4.6(25)	7.1 <u>+</u> 4.4(25)		
Fatalities	6.6+7.3(27)	7.6 <u>+</u> 4.5(9)		

Table 5.Comparison of mean activity levels of experimental and control
stone crabs before and after declawing.

		Mean	Activity Leve	el
Number Treatment of Crabs		Before Declawing Procedure "t"		After Declawing Procedure
Double Amputa	tion			
Controls	18	4.1	1.18	3.8
Survivors	24	4.2	11.23*	3.3
Fatalities	27	4.2	7.02*	3.3
Single Amputat	tion			
Controls	25	3.9	1.93	4.0
Survivors	72	3.7	8.31*	3.4
Fatalities	28	3.7	1.14	3.4

* Significant, P < 0.001.

	Survivors (N)	<u>"t"</u>	Fatalities (N)
Double Amputation			
Fluid loss (g)	4.7 (54)	2.86*	7.7 (47)
Wound width (mm)	11.4 (22)	6.94*	18.2 (12)
Single Amputation			
Fluid loss (g)	4.32 (72)	1.88	7.8 (28)
Wound width (mm)	10.9 (72)	7.03*	18.8 (28)
-			
*Significant, P < 0.01			

Comparisons of mean body fluid losses and wound widths of declawed stone crabs.

Table 7. Comparison of the distribution of declawing wound widths of stone crabs survivors and fatalities.

Wound	Number of Stone Crabs				
Width (mm)	Survivors	Fatalities	Total		
≤ 14.6	80	6	86		
> 14.6	14	34	48		
Total	94	40	134		

Table 6.

ł

-

ward have

Table 8.

in and the second

Summary of the mean size and weight of control and experimental stone crabs.

Number of <u>Crabs</u>		Mean Carapace Width (mm)	Me Prop <u>Length</u> Right	odus		ean nt (g) <u>Claws</u>
Double Amputa	tion					
Control	31	106.2	102.9	87.3	522.5	
Experimental	101	111.2	105.1	91.4	538.3	274.7
Survivors	54	109.9	104.8	90.3	524.2	267.0
Fatalities	47	112.6	106.4	92.4	553.7	283.4
Single Amputat	ion					
Control	25	106.6	96.0	83.3	451.7	
Experimental	100	106.2	97.5	86.1	467.3	149.4
Survivors	72	105.2	96.0	85.1	451.4	143.2
Fatalities	28	108.8	101.3	88.7	508.2	165.1

Date Sampled	Firm/ Declawer	No. of Crabs Sampled	Mean Carapace Width	Number of Wounds Measured	Mean Wound Width	Mortality ^a
1.						
2-17-78	Charlie Brown/ Bruce	100	109.8	196	12.7	.38
· · · ·						
3-24-78	Jimmy Kelly/ J.K.	100	112.8	192	12.6	. 29
3-29-78	Kit Johnson/ R.E.	100	95.3	134	13.5	.51
3-29-78	Kit Johnson/ R.W.	100	94.7	151	10.8	.23

Table 9. Comparison of mean wound widths incurred from declawing by commercial fishermen.

 $^{\rm a}$ Mortality was estimated as 70.8% of the crabs that had a wound width greater than 14.6 mm.

ε

Claws L		110	181	152	69	78	130	114	129	51	84	61	131	61	20	74	158	147	157	135	65	130	102	110	150	102	130	59	60
Weight C		141	281	64	106	160	256	183	208	62	142	105	209	66	115	153	254	229	273	253	100	215	167	235	16	162	202	100	149
Declawed <u>Body</u>		218 269	407	229	190	277	715	272	299	148	254	160	351	174	205	294	355	294	346	348	171	306	236	340	250	256	292	183	217
Result ^a		S D. 312 hrs.	48		D, 24		D, 24	S	S		D, 192			S								D, 2			S	S	s	S	S
Wound Width (mm)		WN	WN	WN	WN	WN	WN	WN	WN	NM	NM	NM	WN	MN	MN	MN	MN	MN	WN	NM	MN	MN	MN	WN	MN	MN	MN	MN	WN
nm) Left		104.4	109.1	103.5	75.9	88.5	96.4	94.4	100.5	71.1	84.8	72.5	100.8	72.4	79.6	81.6	107.4	103.6	103.0	104.2	75.9	99.2	90.8	101.9	99.1	87.2	114.2	72.7	70.5
asurements odus Length (n		(E)	(5)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(1)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(†)
Ae Op		90.0	131.2	86.3	88.3	105.1	129.0	112.9	115.7	81.5	104.3	86.5	124.8	85.1	92.7	106.2	129.3	120.0	126.0	130.1	87.0	119.5	108.9	125.9	81.2	116.9	124.9	86.5	9.46
Pr. Right		(2) (1)	E)	(2)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(2)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)
Carapace Width (mm)	ees	107.5	131.2	104.7	6.99	113.4	120.5	114.5	119.9	92.1	111.9	97.9	123.7	97.2	100.8	113.8	127.1	117.7	127.9	122.6	NN	117.4	108.7	119.5	105.6	119.4	116.0	95.7	115.6
Sex	Amputees	NN		N	V	N	X	N	Z	W	N	V	N	N	Σ	X	Σ	Σ	Z	Z	Σ	M	N	Σ	Z	Σ	X	X	Z
Tag Number	Double /	2133	2139	2136	2151	2152	2137	2159	2142	2158	2123	2119	2161	2167	2165	2164	2241	2255	2240	2249	2246	2242	2253	2251	2266	2272	2269	2278	2274

Addendum - Sex, size, handedness, wound width, and fate of 201 declawed, and 56 control stone crabs

Claws L	83 58 117	138 63 173	99 147	180 88 55 76	69 56 70	83 96 188 158	130	82 82 80 91 91 91
Weight C	134 94 204	235 101 103	165 91 91	135 102 144	87 87 123	134 110 250	245 245 233	84 135 147 147 142
Declawed <u>Body</u>	210 167 265	309 186 236 265	248 246 214	229 195 241	204 156 181	229 229 355 378	333 213 268	214 209 225 240 281 281
Result ^a	D, 48 S	0°0	300,04	s s 0, 27	D, 48 SD, 48	000 000 000		
Wound Width (mm)	W W N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	WN WN NNN	WN WN	WN NN N	MN NN	WN WN V	WN N	WN W
nm) Left	78.7 71.1 93.5	101.3 75.7 103.1 95.2	89.2 85.8 103.2	86.0 71.0 82.0	78.0	85.9 85.9 104.4 107.3	102.9 78.1 100.0	78.1 78.1 89.3 89.3 82.8 87.0
nents ength (m 1	0000	2220	2222	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	2222	28385	0000	225222
Measurements Propodus Length (mm) Right Lef	92.1 82.7 113.2	87.8 87.4 110.3	104.6 101.5 123.4	100.8 88.6 99.1	92.3 79.5 95.2	94.8 94.8 124.4	125.3 90.1 120.5	95.1 96.7 91.5 91.5 101.4
Righ	3333	EEEE	3333	8888	3333	23333	3EEE	EE28EEE
Carapace Width (mm)	95 113 113	98.2 98.5 108.5	109.9 108.6 124.7	108.1 100.4	95.1 95.1 98.4	107.2 115.8 124.8	121.9 102.0 117.8	103.8 103.2 105.8 110.2 101.5
Sex	ZZZZ	SSS	ZZZZ	ΣцΣ	ZZZZ		2222	EXXXXXL
Tag Number	2276 2282 2284 2350	2343 2344 2343	2337 2348 2356 2339	2412 2403 2098	2411 2471 2554 2093	2472 2472 2473 2473	2474 2588 2691 2582	2577 2469 2598 2595 2595 2580 2606

L ws	128 128 128 128 128 128 128 128 128 128	78
Weight Claws <u>R</u>	23222222222222222222222222222222222222	121
Declawed <u>Body</u>	279 279 279 279 279 270 270 270 272 272 272 272 272 272 272	210
<u>Result</u> ^a	0 × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	S D, 24
Wound Width (mm)	NM NM NM NM NM NM NM NM NM NM NM NM NM N	15.7
<u>nm)</u> Left	99.6 99.6 85.5 85.5 88.1 88.1 70.3 88.1 99.6 99.6 99.6 99.6 99.6 99.6 99.6 99	77.3
ents <u>ngth (mr</u> <u>L</u>	05505050505050505050505555555555555555	(2)
Measurements <u>Propodus Length (mm)</u> <u>ht</u>	117.8 92.9 92.9 92.9 93.5 93.5 109.1 100.4 117.0 117.0 117.0 117.0 117.0 117.0 117.0 117.0 117.0 117.0 117.0 117.0 117.0 103.5 111.5 1126.2 1127.2 127.2	95.4
Right	333333333333333333333333333333333333333	EE
Carapace Width (mm)	121.0 99.5 107.7 1	97.4
Sex	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	ΣZ
Tag Number	2607 2605 2605 2605 2605 2605 2696 2696 2775 2775 2775 2775 2775 2775 2775 277	15939

· · · ·

	Claws <u>L</u>	163 158 155	192					1/4	114		179	net		318	174	108		96			95	6		
•	Weight Cla	94 262 243	329		232	244	225	100	100	156		199	312	127	701		164	166	249	138	88	134	173	192
*	Declawed <u>Body</u>	275 375 360 307	400		467	777	676	375	368	493	601 109	599	849	878	549	335	485	347	786	473	317	438	548	160
	Result ^a	s o s o	s, 4 S		sv	ŝ	D, 24	n v	D, 24		D, 80			ŝ	s s	S	s	n n	D, 24	s	~ ~~	s s	s	0
	Wound Width (mm)	11.1 20.2 10.3	20.8		12.2	13.9	18.2	6.8	15.3	13.0	20.4	20.7	21.0	10.8	18.0	7.8	10.9	8.4	27.2	10.0	6.7	14.4	17.9	16.6
	nm) Left	108.0 102.9 102.7	109.0		100.0	109.9	100.1	72.0	80.1	86.3	112.7	93.0	101.7	144.4	107.4	91.9	80.8	89.8	108.1	87.1	82.7	79.5	87.6	8.16
	ients ength (mr L	239E	53		(2)	2	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(E)	Ē	(E)	(4)	(1)	(2)	23		(2)	(+)	(7)
	Measurements Propodus Length (mm) ht	89.7 133.1 123.9	133.1		126.3	129.8	118.2	87.8	79.8	102.5	94.8	114.7	136.5	114.6	91.1	73.6	107.2	74.7	129.8	104.7	73.1	93.6	109.6	11/./
	Right	€EEE	EE		33	Ξ	2E	(1)	EE	(E)	(4)	(1)	(1)	(#)	(2)	(2)	(1)	(†)	(1)	() ()	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)
	Carapace Width (mm)	113.7 125.4 121.5	M 128.8 M 113.0		123.7	125.5	116.7	94.4	98.7	109.6	120.0	114.4	130.8	129.6	113.0	94.8	111.9	99.2	123.3	112.7	93.9	104.4	115.9	1.111
1.4	Sex	2222	NN N		ZZ	Σ	ZZ	2	Σ	N	22	Z	Z	22	Z	Z	Σ	Σ	N	22	E Z	N	2:	S
	Tag Number	15938 15935 15931 15933	15932 15926 Single	2.4	14878	14876	14857	14886	14888	14895	14987	14913	14925	14901	14906	14908	14874	14861	14867	14661	14694	14699	14691	14660

-11					255																			60		105	17				
Weight Claws <u>R</u>	99	298	66	238		129	182	191	129	239	184	93	139	59	152	223	126	85	181	203	129	92	180		128			184	157	122	64
Declawed Body	349	727	323	751	756	395	536	562	424	728	590	316	456	213	456	665	614	332	584	608	411	308	587	324	433	344	284	565	512	389	247
Resulta		-				5 .		, 45	• 5		5.	5.	0			, 24		, 24			, 24			, 71							
	ŝ	5	2 v	S	S	D,	S		Ω	S	Ω	0	0	S	S	Ω	S	D	S	S	D	S	S	Δ	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
Wound Width (mm)	9.4	19.0	7.5	10.5	10.2	15.0	8.4	6.4	26.6	14.7	19.1	15.0	16.6	10.4	8.1	20.3	8.8	15.0	10.0	11.5	16.3	7.2	13.4	15.0	9.6	14.9	7.6	11.5	9.6	8.4	11.9
un) Left	90.2 80.0	103 6	72.7	110.5	129.2	59.1	95.8	92.4	78.5	94.8	0.06	72.9	85.1	62.6	86.6	103.4	81.4	71.0	92.7	96.0	80.6	71.6	88.9	83.2	75.0	89.7	82.1	92.4	87.8	79.0	58.8
nents ength (n	(5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5)	(4)	(2)	(2)	(1)	(#)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(1)	(4)	(1)	(1)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)
Measurements Propodus Length Right	61.7	130.0	89.5	132.7	108.4	6.96	113.5	111.7	9.46	118.6	108.0	86.7	104.1	72.9	103.5	128.2	95.7	85.4	114.0	114.6	95.1	84.9	114.6	73.6	92.6	78.3	62.5	109.3	106.5	94.3	78.2
Righ	(†)	23	(1)	(1)	(2)	(E)	(E)	E:	E	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(2)	(1)	(2)	(2)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)
Carapace Width (mm)	104.4	126.8	95.5	124.4	122.6	106.7	113.1	112.8	105.2	121.5	115.4	97.0			101.5	123.7	101.9	101.4	119.2	112.2	101.3	92.7	115.1	96.8	105.0	98.6	96.9	110.4	109.8	98.5	89.0
Sex	Z Z I	X X	Z	X	Z	Z	X	2:	Z	Z	X	Z	N	Z	W	X	N	ц.	X	Z	N	N	N	W	N	W	Z	Z	N	X	M
Tag Number	14659 14684	14709	14711	14704	14721	14689	14719	14720	14677	14725	14662	14686	2919	2920	3055	2910	3018	3016	3017	3015	2951	2953	2977	2978	2985	2983	3003	2968	3033	2967	2932