

## Does nomenclatural availability of nomina of new species or subspecies require the deposition of vouchers in collections?

ALAIN DUBOIS<sup>1</sup> & ANDRÉ NEMÉSIO<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Vertébrés: Reptiles & Amphibiens, USM 0602 Taxonomie & Collections, Département de Systématique & Evolution, Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, CP 30, 25 rue Cuvier, 75005 Paris, France.

<sup>2</sup>Departamento de Zoologia, Instituto de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Caixa Postal 486, Belo Horizonte, MG 30.123-970, Brazil.

### Table of contents

Abstract .....	1
Introduction .....	2
Nomenclatural availability of nomina .....	3
Changes in the <i>Code</i> regarding the nomenclatural function of onomatophores .....	6
Illustrations as onomatophores .....	9
Arguments for and against basing nomina on virtual or missing specimens .....	10
Favourable arguments .....	10
(1) The conservation issue .....	10
(2) The difficulty or impossibility of collecting or conserving specimens .....	10
(3) Descriptions based on ancient illustrations .....	10
Unfavourable arguments .....	11
(1) Hypothetical concepts .....	11
(2) Phantom nomina .....	11
(3) Photographs as scientific evidence .....	12
(4) Alive specimens as onomatophores .....	13
(5) The conservation issue .....	14
Proposals regarding the Rules of nomenclatural availability of nomina .....	17
Conclusion .....	19
Acknowledgments .....	20
References .....	20

### Abstract

Several species of birds and primates recently described opened a debate in the zoological community on the possibility of naming new species or subspecies without material onomatophores (“name-bearing types”) deposited in collections. The current writing of the *Code* is ambiguous in this respect. We support here the view that such practice is not doing a service to the discipline of taxonomy as illustrations, DNA sequences or “definitions” cannot replace voucher specimens. The latter are and will be badly needed for the proper knowledge of the vanishing biodiversity of our planet. We review arguments pro and con the need to have a Rule in the *Code* requiring the deposition of onomatophores in collections for the proper and valid creation of new nomina in zoological nomenclature. In conclusion, we propose a more drastic Rule in this respect but also the possibility, in some exceptional cases, to apply to the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature to make nomina available even in the absence of material onomatophores.

**Key words:** Biodiversity crisis — Nomenclatural availability — Vouchers — Onomatophores — Collections — Illustrations — DNA sequences — Definitions — *Code* — Commission