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## Miami-Dade Transit

## Introductory Statement

Miami-Dade Transit (MDT) is pleased to provide you with this overview as a brief introduction to Miami-Dade County's public transit services. South Florida's substantial population growth has significantly affected our streets, roads, and highways with heavy traffic, which burdens residents, businesses, and visitors to our community. We struggle with gridlock, even during non-peak traffic hours, because the County is among the four most congested areas in the nation. Expanding our transit system and promoting its increased utilization are the major challenges that define the future of public transportation in the County and South Florida region.

## Department Overview

In Fiscal Year (FY) 2001, MDT's average weekday ridership increased to 274,400, which includes 46,300 Metrorail Boardings, 211,900 Metrobus Boardings, and 16,200 Metromover Boardings. Special Transportation Services (STS) trips and trips provided for Florida's Agency for Healthcare Administration were not included in the average weekday Boardings; these two services, combined, averaged 3,768 per weekday.

With more than 2,850 employees, MDT is the second largest department in Miami-Dade County government, the 16th largest public transit system in the nation, and provides nearly 50 percent of all the transit trips in Florida. MDT operates a fleet of 694 buses on 82 routes. Metrorail operates 136 heavy rail vehicles along 21 miles of track, from Dadeland to Hialeah. Our newest Metrorail station, the Palmetto Station, located west of the Palmetto Expressway near NW 74th Street, is scheduled to open for revenue service in spring 2003. Twentynine Metromover (peoplemover) vehicles provide service along three loops within Miami's Central Business District from Brickell to the Omni area. STS offers multi-loaded door-to-door service to anyone who qualifies under the paratransit guidelines of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). Private providers, under contract with the County, provide this service.

Capital and operating financial support are required "To meet the needs of the public for the highest quality transit service: safe, reliable, efficient and courteous"(MDT's mission statement). Since the federal government discontinued operating subsidies several years ago all public transit providers in the United States depend on operating subsidies from state and local sources to meet their financial needs.

FY 2002 direct operating revenues (fares, passes, etc.) are estimated at \$66.9 million. Non-operating revenue, which includes advertising, joint development leases, etc., is estimated at $\$ 6.1$ million. MDT expects to receive $\$ 15.56$ million from the State for operations and $\$ 6.6$ million from the Medicaid Trust Fund. Local government will contribute $\$ 116.9$ million (including the Capital Improvement Local Option Gas Tax) and budgeted one-time leverage lease revenues of $\$ 15$ million. The total annual operating budget is $\$ 227$ million.
Capital funds fall into two general categories: funds spent for rehabilitation or replacement of existing capital assets and funds spent for acquisition of new capital assets or expansion. A combination of Federal, State, and local sources provide funding for these categories; the Federal government provides the largest share. The capital budget for FY 2002 is $\$ 104.3$ million and includes funds for the purchase of replacement buses, facility improvements and rehabilitation, information technology, and the purchase of tools and equipment.
MDT provides approximately 84 million trips per year, which is almost half of all trips in the state of Florida, whereas the other 23 transit properties in the state provide approximately 100 million trips. During the last decade, the County's population rose 16 percent to 2.3 million. By 2025, the population is expected to increase to almost three million residents and employment is expected to rise 30 percent to over 1.5 million workers. Therefore, committed support from local government is needed to ensure MDT has the ability, resources, and infrastructure to meet the demands of our diverse and growing community. This type of support will aid the County in relieving congestion, creating jobs, and generating additional County revenue, today and in the future.

## Metrobus

Metrobus operates countywide service 365 days per year, provides limited 24 hour service, and park and ride shuttles to special events. Eighty-five Metrobus routes, 62 using full-size buses and 23 using minibuses, provide service from Miami Beach to west Miami-Dade, from Marathon in the Florida Keys to the southern portion of Broward County, and travel over 26 million miles per year. Metrobus has 1,001 full-time and 160 part-time bus operators, 90 full-time and 139 part-time paratransit driver attendants, and over 232 bus mechanics/technicians.

## Metrorail

Metrorail is a 21-station, elevated, double track, single-line, electrically powered, rapid transit line that serves the County. Via a direct connection to Tri-Rail, a regional commuter rail line, Metrorail also serves Broward and Palm Beach counties. Passengers using Metrorail can travel the 21-mile line from Dadeland to Hialeah in 42 minutes. A $22^{\text {nd }}$ station, the new Palmetto Station, which is part of the Palmetto Extension project, is projected to open for revenue service in spring 2003. Metrorail operates daily between 5 a.m. and midnight.

Fifty-one train operators (50 full-time and one part-time) and 67 rail vehicle mechanics/technicians operate and maintain the Metrorail vehicles. The control and power systems are maintained by 25 train control and 24 traction power technicians. In addition, 87 MDT employees ensure the track, structure, and required heavy equipment are in compliance with Federal Railroad Standards and the State of Florida Bridge Inspection requirements.

## Metromover

Metromover is a three-loop, 4.4-mile elevated, fully automated, 21-station, peoplemover system, which connects the Downtown Miami Central Business District with the Brickell and Omni areas. Passengers can transfer to Metrorail at Government Center and Brickell Metrorail stations. Metromover operates every few minutes from 5:30 a.m. to midnight. Fifty Metromover technicians maintain the vehicle fleet.

## Special Transportation Services

STS, a demand-response service that complements Metrobus routes, provides 2,458 trips per day. This service is available to anyone who qualifies under the paratransit guidelines of the ADA. Service providers, contracting with the County, offer multi-loaded door-to-door service utilizing passenger vans, wheelchair lift equipped vans, and sedans. Although providing this service costs MDT approximately $\$ 18$ per trip, the passenger is only charged $\$ 2.50$. The County subsidizes the additional $\$ 15.54$, which totals approximately $\$ 13.9$ million annually.
The County provides an additional 1,310 trips per day for Florida's Agency for Health Care Administration (AHCA). Under this program, paratransit eligible patrons, according to Medicaid guidelines, are provided medical transportation services to and from medical appointments. The cost of this service, plus an administrative fee, is reimbursed to the County.

## Funding Concern

MDT must secure a stable, continuous, and dedicated source of local funding large enough to provide the County with sufficient revenues to meet the required local match to receive much-needed State and Federal funding. Sources of funding most affected are Federal grants where a multi-year local match is required and funding that requires the financial ability to operate and maintain completed projects. The lack of a dedicated source of funding greatly hinders the County's ability to improve public transit services and meet future expansion needs.

# HISTORY OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY'S TRANSIT SYSTEM 

In 1960, the then-Dade County Commission passed an ordinance to create the Metropolitan Transit Authority (MTA). Over the years and under various administrations, MTA evolved into the Metro-Dade Transportation Administration, the Metro-Dade Transit Agency, the Miami-Dade* Transit Agency, and is now known simply as Miami-Dade Transit (MDT). Today's MDT has a viable four-mode system-Metrobus, Metrorail, Metromover, and Paratransit-used by nearly 300,000 passengers daily.
*A 1997 election changed the name of the county from Dade to Miami-Dade.
1873 Julia B. Tuttle purchased 40 acres of land north of the river to stimulate the growth of Miami.
1891 Julia B. Tuttle offered land for a town site to James E. Ingraham, president of the Florida Railroad, if he'd extend his railroad to Miami. Ingraham refused.
1895 After the big freeze in north Florida, Henry Flagler visited Miami to study the possibility of growing oranges here. Julia Tuttle offered Flagler land if he'd agree to extend his railroad to Miami. He accepted and a contract was signed.
1896 April 15: Flagler's Florida East Coast Railroad (FEC) passenger service was joyously welcomed to Miami.

1900 The first wagon road was pushed in the area now known as Coconut Grove.
1905 May: Three members of the Tatum family secured a street railway franchise.
1906 July 4: The Tatum brothers purchased the Miami Electric Railway Company.
July 25: A single car began running from the old FEC depot near Avenue B (now NE 2 Avenue) and 6th Street (now Flagler Street), down to Avenue B and 12th Street, then along 12th Street to the FEC crossing at the courthouse.
1907 September 3: Miami Electric Railway Co. closed for overhaul, never to reopen.
1914 The Miami Traction Company began laying new track.
1915 December 4: The Miami Traction Co. began service with "battery cars." Other bus service operators were spread around the county: Hialeah and northwest Miami were served by Winslow Bus Lines; Miami north and east of Flagler Street was served by Miami Transit Co., run by Freeman \& Sons; south of Flagler Street into Coconut Grove was served by Dunn Bus Company.
1919 Carl Fisher and other investors formed the Miami Beach Electric Company and the Miami Beach Railway Co.

1920 Miami Beach Railway Co. began operating a single line linking downtown Miami and south Miami Beach via the County Causeway.
1921 Miami Traction Co. closed down after fire wiped out its fleet. The city of Miami agreed to buy the franchise of the defunct Miami Traction Co., ordering eight single-truck Birney streetcars. The city leased the operation to the Miami Beach Railway Co.
1925 Most of the jitneymen operating within Miami sold out to George B. Dunn who organized two companies: Dunn Bus Service Inc. (in the southern part of town) and Miami Transit Co. (on the northern side), with streetcars still serving the central area.
1926 The first solid-tire buses saw service on both sides of Biscayne Bay after Florida Power and Light acquired Carl Fisher's causeway trolley line and contracted with Miami for trolley and bus service on the mainland.
May: The first Coral Gables rapid transit cars operated from downtown Miami to Ponce de Leon Boulevard in Coral Gables via Coral Way.

1933 George B. Dunn made a proposal to the city of Miami Beach to operate the local streetcar lines.
1935 The Coral Gables high-speed service had lasted nine years. Damage to electric overhead power lines during the storm of 1935 was so extensive that the line was permanently shut down and the city of Coral Gables went to an all-bus system.
1937 Voters rejected a ballot that attempted to unify all transit services in Miami except the jitneys.
1939 A second attempt to have the public approve a new unified transit franchise was successful.
October 17: Miami Beach Railway abandoned its three streetcars in favor of 15 new "twins." This company continued as a subsidiary of Florida Power and Light until it was sold to William D. Pawley in 1941. Miami Beach became a military training base during World War II.
1939- Miami discontinued using its streetcars. The city granted an exclusive franchise to 1940 Miami Transit Company.

1940 November 14: George B. Dunn, using the name Miami Transit Co., took over the cityowned lines and merged Dunn Bus Service into the combined operation, resulting in a fleet of 208 buses (half of them new Macks) covering 193 route miles.
November 16: Miami's trolley cars rolled for the last time.
1941 Miami Beach Railway was purchased by William D. Pawley, thus increasing the local bus fleet from 18 to 43 buses.
1948 Pawley bought Miami Transit Company upon the death of George Dunn, taking the significant step toward solving some of Greater Miami's transportation problems.

1956 Pawley bought South Miami Coach Line and the Keys Transit Company.
1957 July 21: The Metropolitan Dade County government was officially established.
1959 The County Commission tried to purchase the bus system for the first time. The plan was dropped because of the high cost.

1960 The County Commission passed an ordinance creating the Metropolitan Transit Authority (MTA) to unify the different transit operations into one countywide service. This ordinance provided for the purchase, development, and operation of an adequate mass transit system by the County. These companies included the Miami Transit Company, Miami Beach Railway Company, South Miami Coach Lines, and Keys Transit Company on Key Biscayne and would be managed by National City Management Co.
1962 January 28: Bus operators opposed to a Dade County takeover of bus operations began a work stoppage protest.
February 9: Bus operators began returning to work. Dade County purchased Pawley properties. Buses began operating under Metro Transit Authority.
May: citizens of Dade County approved, by more than a two to one margin, the validity of the $\$ 9$ million Revenue Bond Issue to finance a unified County transit system.
1964 The Miami Urban Area Transportation Study (MUATS) began with a feasibility study on transit for Dade County.
1969 Construction of the Central Division complex was completed at 3300 NW 32 Avenue, consolidating four separate garage facilities and the administrative offices of the Metro Transit Authority into one unit.
As population reached 800,000, the first stage of MUATS concluded that rapid transit would indeed be feasible and desirable for Dade County.

1970 May: MTA removed all fare boxes from bus vehicles and instituted an "exact change" policy.
1971 The completed MUATS studies recommended an $\$ 800$ million rapid transit system, and public hearings on the entire transportation plan began.
1972 For the first time in its history, MTA received a subsidy derived from the seven-cent gas
tax revenues as a result of action by the state legislature during the previous year. Prior to this, MTA operated solely on fare-box revenues.
The voters of Dade County approved, by a two to one margin, a $\$ 132.5$ million "Decade of Progress" bond issue to provide the local share for rapid transit construction plus expanded bus service to support the system.
1973 Seventy-four Flexible buses were purchased.
John A. Dyer was appointed Transportation Coordinator for Metropolitan Dade County's Office of Transportation Administration (OTA).
1974 October 1: The Metro Transit Authority was dissolved and National City Management Co. was dismissed, resulting in Dade County taking control of the bus system. The new county department was to be known as the Metro Transit Agency.
Residents of Dade County strongly opposed the planned study for construction of six new expressways. These plans were later dropped from the transportation plan.
1975 August 31: The Coral Gables Transit System merged with Dade County as part of the Metro Transit Agency.
1976 MTA purchased 140 AM General buses.
Preliminary engineering of a rapid transit system was completed.
The United States Department of Transportation (USDOT) made a commitment in principle to pay $80 \%$ of the costs for construction of the Stage I Rapid Transit System.
April: The Urban Mass Transportation Administration (UMTA) announced a nationwide competition for funding downtown people movers (DPM) in urban areas. More than 65 cities expressed interest; eleven, including Miami-Dade County, were selected as finalists.
December: Miami-Dade County received conditional approval to pursue its DPM plan based on reprogramming $\$ 24$ million from approved Metrorail funds to the people mover project.
1977 Dade County's population reached over 1.4 million. The Urban Mass Transportation Administration (UMTA) of USDOT officially committed $\$ 575$ million to cover its $80 \%$ share of construction costs for Stage I, 16.5 miles of track running from Dadeland to NW 67 Street.
The state of Florida and Dade County agree to split the remaining 20\% of construction costs. The Florida legislature appropriated $\$ 2.1$ million as part of a total state commitment of $\$ 72$ million.
UMTA authorized the final engineering for an additional 4-mile segment to Hialeah.
Dade County officials requested an additional $\$ 57$ million from UMTA for the four-mile extension to Hialeah, which agreed to add a $\$ 2$ million contribution from the city. Late in the year, a group of citizens petitioned the County Commission for a referendum to stop all further spending on the rapid transit system. The issue was put on the ballot for March 1978.
December: UMTA administrator, Richard S. Page, reaffirmed UMTA's commitment to the Miami-Dade County DPM project.
1978 January: MTA's North Division, 5901 NW 27 Avenue, opened with service capability for 100 buses.
MTA initiated two-way radio communications aboard all buses.
Thirty Flxible buses were purchased.
The first of 30 RICO 20 -seat minibuses were purchased.
March: After a hard-fought campaign, the citizens of Dade County reaffirmed their desire for a balanced transportation system by voting down an attempt to repeal the bonds approved in 1972.
May: UMTA approved funds for preliminary engineering, and a request for proposals was distributed.
July: County commissioners approved a Transportation Master Plan for the year 2000, which included eventual extensions of the rapid transit lines northeast to 193rd Street near the Broward County line, south to Cutler Ridge, and west to the Midway Mall area with a link to Miami International Airport.

October: The Board of County Commissioners approved the award of contracts for preliminary engineering to Gannet Fleming/SKBB, a joint venture of Gannet Fleming Corddry \& Carpenter Inc. of Harrisburg, Pennsylvania and SKBB of Coral Gables. October: MTA's South Division, 10830 SW 211 Street, began operation with service capability for 100 buses.
1979 January: The Board of County Commissioners created the Downtown People Mover Policy Committee (DPMPC) to aid in the decision-making necessary during preliminary engineering. Included were representatives of interested public bodies (Dade County, the city of Miami, Downtown Development Authority), private organizations (Greater Miami Chamber of Commerce, Downtown Merchants Association), civic organizations, and the general public.
March: The full system DPM alignment was adopted after a public hearing held jointly by the Metro-Dade Board of County Commissioners and the city of Miami Commission. April: An agreement between Dade County and the Florida East Coast Railroad for transference of 9.5 miles of the right-of-way for Metrorail's south line was ratified by the Interstate Commerce Commission, and FEC began removal of the tracks.
May: UMTA committed $\$ 19.2$ million in federal funds to the DPM project.
June: Stage I of the DPM system, with an estimated cost of $\$ 76$ million, and a change in the Miami River crossing were approved at another joint public hearing.
June: Groundbreaking for the Stage I system was held at the site of the University Station. The system would be known as Metrorail, and would feature 17 stations initially, with two more to be added with the extension to Hialeah.

1980 The first of 260 General Motors RTS II buses began operating on the streets of Dade County.
In January, work on the University Station substructure was completed, making way for work to begin on the station itself.
January: Construction began on the Northwest 27th Avenue Modification Project, which was aimed at removing the underground storm sewer system to accommodate Metrorail support footings.
April: In a letter to Metro-Dade County, UMTA advised that it would commit $\$ 50$ million to the DPM project subject to future congressional authorizations.
May: Metro-Dade County received a written Full Funding Agreement from UMTA to provide $80 \%$ of the remaining construction cost for Metrorail, a first for a rapid rail project.
August: Preliminary engineering of the Stage I downtown loop was completed.
August: The first Metrorail girder was poured at the R.T. Joint Venture site in northwest Dade County.
September: Requests for proposals to complete the final design and construct the Stage I DPM system were distributed. Funds were received to proceed with preliminary engineering on Stage II of the system.
October: The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) presented a letter of approval to use $\$ 32.9$ million in federal interstate funds for the extension of the existing HOV (High Occupancy Vehicle) or carpool lanes from I-95 to State Road 112, tying into the Allapattah and Earlington Heights Metrorail stations. The project also covered costs for additional parking at the two stations and street modifications to handle increased traffic.
November: Proposals were received from DPM system suppliers, including Westinghouse, UTDC, Matra-Otis, and Titan PRT Systems Inc.
November: The final Environmental Impact Statement was approved by UMTA. December: The first double-tee girder is placed atop two piers at University Station. The $\$ 55,887,830$ contract for girder construction and installation was awarded to R.T. Joint Venture.
1983 February: The first Metrorail vehicle arrived at the Palmetto Yard and Shops from Pueblo, Colorado.

May 2: South Miami Station was dedicated, and the general public is given its first opportunity to ride Metrorail.
June: The Metrorail bridge over the Miami River was completed. The rapid transit guideway was now a continuous ribbon of concrete from the Dadeland South Station to just north of the Overtown Station.
June: The Metro-Dade Transportation Administration (MDTA, formerly DCTA) approved the award of a $\$ 23,747,000$ contract to Perini Construction Inc. of Framingham, Massachusetts to build the guideway for the 1.9 miles of elevated double-guideway for Metromover.
July 15: Douglas Road Metrorail station was dedicated.
August 26: Dadeland North Metrorail station was dedicated.
September 16: University and Dadeland South Metrorail stations were dedicated.
October 21: The Palmetto Yard and Shops was dedicated. The facility was renamed the William Lehman Operations and Maintenance Center in honor of the Florida Congressman. Frank J. Rooney Inc. of Ft. Lauderdale, Florida, built the $\$ 21.7$ million maintenance garage. Paul N. Howard Inc. performed site work of the $\$ 14$ million trackwork and related material.
December 2: Coconut Grove and Vizcaya Metrorail stations were dedicated.
1984 February 10: Brickell Metrorail station was dedicated.
April 10: Metrorail's girder \#2,704 was hoisted into place at the Northside Station, marking the end of girder installation in the 21-mile Phase I Metrorail system.
March: The first six maintenance technicians were hired.
May 18: Overtown Metrorail station was dedicated.
May 20: Government Center Station was officially dedicated, and Metrorail begins south-line service to ten stations from Dadeland South to Overtown Station. Rides were free to the public for the day. Over 125,000 participated in the opening-day event and rode the new system. Metrorail began operations in the Automatic Train Protection (ATP) mode.
May 21: Metrorail starts revenue service. Regular fare--\$1; reduced fare--\$. 50 (during off-peak hours for senior citizens, people with disabilities, and youth in grades 1-12). May 29: The 33,500-square foot Metromover maintenance building was dedicated. June: The first completed vehicle was delivered to the Metromover maintenance building for use in hands-on training of maintenance technicians.
August 25: Culmer and Hialeah Metrorail stations were dedicated.
November 7: William A. Smith Construction Co. placed the 368,000th and last rail fastener in the 21-mile, Stage I Metrorail system.
The event was marked with a "Golden Spike" ceremony at the Allapattah Metrorail station. The total contract for rail placement was $\$ 45$ million.
November 19: Allapattah Metrorail station was dedicated.
December 7: Civic Center and Santa Clara Metrorail stations were dedicated.
December 15: Earlington Heights Metrorail station was dedicated.
December 17: Metrorail service was extended north to Earlington Heights station.
January 15: The Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Plaza station was dedicated.
January 28: Okeechobee Station was dedicated.
March: Metromover initiated system verification and demonstration testing.
March 23: Brownsville Station was dedicated.
March 30: Northside Station was dedicated.
May 1: Metrorail began a "Bikes on Train" program, a six-month demonstration allowing riders to bring their bicycles on the trains during limited hours on weekends only. A permit was required.
May 19: Service is extended to the final five Metrorail stations, thus completing service between Dadeland South and Okeechobee.
June: The consulting firm of Schimpler-Corradino and Associates was retained by MDTA to propose recommendations and to coordinate the planning and research for Network 86, a comprehensive public transportation improvement program. Network 86
would integrate, simplify, and consolidate local transit service to make it more cost effective for all Dade County citizens.
June: MDTA began leasing bicycle lockers for the convenience of commuters.
July 1: MDTA created the Metropass Corporate Group Discount Program. Corporations and organizations were given the opportunity to purchase quantities of the All-Transit Metropass in advance at discount prices.
August: Joseph M. Fletcher was named MDTA executive director.
August: Public meetings were held in all areas of Dade to determine the impact that changes recommended for Network ' 86 would have on the community. A formal public hearing was held Tuesday, November 19, at the new Metro-Dade Commission Chambers.
September 5: Groundbreaking for the Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. \$6.8 million, 1,000space parking garage took place. Construction was awarded to 3W Corporation.
September 15: A special ribbon-cutting ceremony was held to inaugurate the opening of the Vizcaya Metrorail station pedestrian overpass. Ebsary Foundation Inc. built the overpass, an \$859,000 structure.
October: The Bikes-on-Train program was extended for an additional 12 months to include weekdays from 10 a.m. to 4 p.m. and after 6:30 p.m., and all day Saturday, Sunday, and major holidays.
November: MDTA began to electrify the north line in preparation for pre-revenue testing.
December: Twenty-five National minibuses were delivered. Fifteen of the $\$ 42,000,19-$ seat passenger buses were used to replace the RICO buses, providing service on the Venetian Causeway. Ten $\$ 47,000$ buses equipped with wheelchair lifts were assigned to the Special Transportation Services office to transport riders with disabilities.
December 1: The first phase of Network'86 was implemented.
December 9: Metrorail started Automatic Train Operations (ATO). In this mode, computers run train operation. The operator's function includes monitoring the train control board, starting train movement, and assisting riders.
December 18: The Northeast Division, 360 NE 185 Street, was dedicated, marking the start of operations from this facility with capacity for 159 buses that serve the north, east, and west parts of Dade. Additionally, the Northeast Division is equipped to handle articulated buses scheduled for purchase in the future.
December 29: MDTA activates Central Control, located on the fifth floor of the new Metro-Dade Government Center. From Central Control, rail traffic attendants can command train movement, rail electrification, communications (two-way radios, telephone, and emergency lines with police and fire departments), track switching, and monitor security at stations using a sophisticated television system.
1986 January: The Board of County Commissioners approved creation of a "Blue Ribbon Transportation Task Force" to study the existing transit system in depth and develop recommendations for improvements in administrative procedures and operations.
March 18: MDTA received shipment of Metrorail vehicles 235 and 236, the last two to arrive at the William Lehman Maintenance Facility. The last vehicle, \#236, was offloaded at 10:25 a.m.
April 6: MDTA takes over Metromover operations from Westinghouse and starts providing preview tours.
April 17: Metromover and the new Metro-Dade Government Center building are officially dedicated. Metromover rides are free until April 20.
April 21: Metromover started revenue operations providing service to nine stations in downtown Miami, covering a 1.9 -mile double loop.
June 15: The second phase of Network'86 was implemented with the new fare policy. October 28: The Metro-Dade Transportation Administration is renamed the Metro-Dade Transit Agency.
1988 MDTA implements a \$1 parking fee at all Metrorail stations, and increased the cost of a monthly pass to $\$ 50$. This results in increased MDTA revenues by $\$ 5$ million.

November: Newly-elected County Commissioner Charles Dusseau proposes that Metrorail extend its service hours until midnight, seven days a week, to take effect November 27. The Board of County Commissioners approves the recommendation. (Previously, Metrorail operated from 6 a.m. to 9 p.m., Monday through Friday, and 6 a.m. to 6 p.m. weekends and holidays.)

1989 February: Metrorail ridership reaches 40,000 per day for the first time.
March: Metrorail unofficially adds its 21st station to the system when the Tri-Rail Station opens for passenger service. The official opening of this station, which connects Metrorail with the Tri-County Commuter Rail service, is set for June.
May: Metrorail celebrated its 40 millionth rider. Also, MDTA opened its first Transit Service Center at Government Center Station on May 17. Mayor Stephen P. Clark cut the ribbon to open the Center, and Commissioner Charles Dusseau joined Mayor Clark in the ceremony.
June 5: Dade County officially dedicated the Tri-Rail Metrorail station. Dade County Mayor Stephen P. Clark, joined by Congressman William Lehman and Dade County Commissioner Charles Dusseau, unveiled the plaque marking the station's official opening.
July: County Manager Joaquín Aviño appointed Chester "Ed" Colby director of MetroDade Transit. He joined MDTA after serving in a similar capacity in Denver, Colorado. December: Wackenhut Security Corporation replaces North Dade Security as the agency providing security services for Metrorail and Metromover. All of the Wackenhut officers are police/military trained and are armed. They are positioned at all Metrorail stations and patrol the Metromover stations.

1990 MDTA creates a 30-year plan to expand transit service in Dade County. Highlights of the plan include: adding $20 \%$ more bus service; upgrading the fleet and improving access for the disabled with wheelchair accessible buses; and expanding Metrorail service an additional 39 miles. Implementation of the plan depended on securing a dedicated source of funding for transit.
March: MDTA began operating its first Anti-Truancy Unit in conjunction with MetroDade Police. The unit was created to reduce the number of school kids who were skipping school and roaming the Metrorai/Metromover system.
May: Metrobus on-time performance improved by $10 \%$ in late bus departures, from 49\% to $59 \%$.
May: The last of 73 new buses were delivered; training on wheelchair lifts begins for mechanics and operators.
May: The consultant for the design of the Brickell extension of Metromover was directed to proceed with a final design which includes Riverwalk Station and placement of two piers in the river outside the navigable channel. The Coast Guard permitting process continues.
June: Due to increased passenger use of the Tri-Rail Metrorail station, three additional fare gates were installed at the station. From July 1989 to June 1990, boarding at the station have increased by $5 \%$ per month.
June: A significant, comprehensive transportation funding package, including specific funding earmarked for public transit operations and transportation disadvantaged programs, was approved during the legislative session; Dade County was scheduled to receive additional funding from this package.
July 4: Metrorail ridership was 36,200 , up $62 \%$ from the previous year. Service ran on a regular Sunday schedule.
July: County losses to privately owned minibuses exceeded \$100,000 per month. New state legislation and a County ordinance enabled the County to regulate these services.
July: The privately owned Mayflower Contract Services successfully initiated bus service on several routes under a new County contract. The services included Kendall Area Transit (KAT), route 6 weekdays, and routes 71, E, and the weekend Zoobus.

August: MDTA officially broke ground for construction of the new $\$ 17$ million Dadeland North Metrorail parking garage. This facility would be built where the former bus bays were located, would stand 10 stories high, and would provide approximately 2,000 new spaces. The project was expected to open in August 1992.
November 6: By a margin of 4\%, citizens of Dade County vote "No" to a penny sales tax to fund transit, police, courts, jails, and public works.
November: Weekday Metrorail boardings averaged 50,300, up from 48,400 in October. This represented a new high in ridership.
November: KAT ridership approached 2000 rides per day, up from a peak of 1700. This was attributed to Mayflower's new minibus fleet and greater service reliability.
December: Metrorail carried over 101,000 passengers for the annual King Orange Jamboree Parade in downtown Miami. This marked the highest single-day total in the system's history.
1991 January 1: There were 101,000 Metrorail boardings and 43,600 Metromover boardings on New Year's Eve, the largest number of riders ever for a single event during revenue service.
All bids were rejected for construction of the Metromover Brickell extension stations and guideway. New bids were submitted.
The Greater Miami Chamber of Commerce and Dade County began the effort for successful reauthorization of the federal Surface Transportation Act.
January 6: Budgetary constraints and failure of the penny sales tax referendum result in cuts of 500,000 annual bus miles; a staff reduction from 30 to 9 officers in the Transit Police section; a decrease in Metrorail landscape maintenance; and an increase of the base fare from $\$ 1$ to $\$ 1.25$.
January: The apparent low bidder for the Metromover Brickell extension guideway construction contract was O.C.T. with a bid of $\$ 24,496,165$; the engineer's estimate was $\$ 28,340,000$. The apparent low bidder for the Metromover Brickell extension stations construction contract was Codina Cogefarimpresit USA Ltd. with a bid of $\$ 12,796,331$; the engineer's estimate was $\$ 16,200,000$.
January: For FY 91, Dade County was awarded \$14,832,737 in Section 9 federal funds for operating assistance, design of a rail storage facility, a new bus radio system, and associated capital maintenance items.
February: Communication continues with federal officials regarding Congressional reauthorization of mass transit funding; specific issues include the need for operating assistance and rail modernization funds.
February: A new Bus Shelter Initiative Program began with a ribbon-cutting ceremony at a newly installed shelter in front of the Economic Opportunity Family Health Center on NW 54 Street/22 Avenue.
February: The City of South Miami agreed to the County's construction plans for a fivelevel South Miami Metrorail station parking garage extension.
March: The South Miami Metrorail station parking garage extension contract was awarded to C.G. Chase Construction Co.
March: The Omni Metromover extension guideway and stations contracts were awarded to Recchi America Inc. and ICA/Florida Roads Inc., respectively.
March 4: A Notice to Proceed was issued to the Brickell Metromover extension guideway and stations contractors with construction scheduled to take 560 days.
March 10: Metrobus transported 26,214 passengers to and from the annual Calle Ocho festival from three Park \& Ride lots, up from the 15,482 transported the previous year.
April: The Adopt-A-Station program is launched to encourage established groups to spruce up Metrorail stations by removing litter and beautifying landscaped areas.
May: Metrobus route 77 was repackaged as Super 77 to challenge the jitneys operating illegally along the route 77 alignment. The fare was lowered to $\$ .75$, and buses arrived every 10 minutes. As a result, jitney business dropped $30 \%$, and route 77 ridership increased by 2000.
November: Special Transportation Services ridership averaged 1,700, increasing by
$6.25 \%$ from the previous month and by $30.77 \%$ from the previous year.
Dade County is in line to receive $\$ 24.6$ million in federal funds for transit projects. State Representative John Cosgrove proposed a South Dade busway. The busway, exclusive lanes for buses, would connect riders from as far south as Florida City with Metrorail at Dadeland South Station.
County Commissioner Charles Dusseau proposed using airport landing fees as local matching funds for an east/west corridor project to provide a transit connection between Miami International Airport (MIA) and the Port of Miami (seaport).
The Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) released a report proposing an Airport Multimodal Facility combining bus, Metrorail, Tri-Rail (a tri-county commuter service), Amtrak, high-speed rail, car rental services, auto pick-up/drop-off areas, and parking. Token/change dispensers were installed at all rail stations.
Limited-stop MAX (Metro Area Express) bus service began on NW 27 Avenue, Flagler Street, and Biscayne Boulevard.
Metromover Brickell extension construction crossed the Miami River. All 15 vehicles for the Metromover Omni and Brickell extensions arrive.
August: Hurricane Andrew, called the worst natural disaster ever to strike this nation, devastated south Dade County. Regular bus service was suspended countywide Sunday evening, August 23, and the vehicles were used to evacuate thousands of residents to designated Red Cross shelters. The hurricane hit on August 24.
1993 March: Flagler MAX (Metro Area Express), a limited-stop bus route, began peak-hour service between Miami Beach and west Dade County.
March: The second regularly scheduled APTA Rail Safety Audit was completed; all areas were in compliance with the Agency's System Safety Program plan.
May: Metromover's outer loop reopened after a 90-day shutdown for testing tie-ends to the extensions. Mechanical acceptance and electrical testing of the new Metromover vehicles continued.
June: Fifteen new Flxible buses went into revenue service.
June: The low bid for 104 new articulated buses for $\$ 35,720,074$ came from American Ikarus, Ltd. Each articulated bus seats 63 passengers.
June: The first APTA International Rail Roadeo was held at Lehman Center with contestants from six transit properties.
June: AEG Westinghouse connected permanent power to all Brickell Metromover extension stations.
July: MDTA developed several options to contract out certain bus routes to jitney operators. These options were to provide continued transit service for south Dade communities when FEMA monies expired, at no cost to the County.
July: Plans were completed to begin Special Transportation Service (STS) expansion to mirror fixed-route schedules; new hours were 4:30 a.m. to 2:30 a.m. the following day.
August: The first powered vehicle for the completed Brickell Metromover extension system crossed over the Miami River under manual control. Vehicles were scheduled to make the same trip under automatic control by October.
September: Danny Alvarez was appointed deputy director of MDTA.
September: FEMA funding ended, and full fares were resumed on south Dade bus routes; County-approved private minibuses began operating on seven south Dade routes.
September: A Notice to Proceed was issued to COMSIS Corp., the selected STS broker.
October: The Agency closed FY 93 with a $\$ 50,000$ operating fund surplus.
1994 February: MDTA initiates the Black History Month tours featuring historical sites in the black community.
According to a survey released by the American Public Transit Association (APTA), MDTA tied for first place with Phoenix, Arizona as the agency with the highest ridership
increase from 1991 to 1992. Both agencies recorded a $3.1 \%$ overall ridership increase during that time.
May 26: The Brickell and Omni Metromover extensions open for service to capacity crowds, on time and under budget.
1995 May: The new 800 MHz radio/tracking system for the 800 MHz AVLAVM project was successfully tested on Metrobuses.
June: MDTA received the highly coveted 1995 National Association of Counties
Achievement award and the prestigious 1995 Multicultural Diversity award for its Black History Tours project. The Tours were also featured in "Modern Maturity Magazine."
August: The Special Transportation Services base fare increased from $\$ 2$ to $\$ 2.50$ plus applicable transfer fees.
September: Florida DOT turned over the Metrorail extension to the Palmetto Expressway project to the County.
September: Metrorail's daily parking fee increased from $\$ 1$ to $\$ 2$ and the monthly parking permit fee from $\$ 2$ to $\$ 5$.
October: A demonstration program was proposed to dedicate space for advertising on transit publications.
November: Fiscal Year 1996 federal transit appropriations included: \$2,536,010 for fixed guideway modifications; \$1,975,961 for North Corridor new-start funds; $\$ 9,925,000$ for bus acquisition; and $\$ 25,707,774$ for capital and operating grants. November: The MPO adopted NW 27 Avenue as the locally preferred alternative for the North Transportation Corridor expansion of Metrorail.
November: The Board of County Commissioners rejected all bids for construction of the Herald Plaza Metromover station; staff began evaluating construction documents to find possible reductions to estimated costs.
December: The first parking receipt machines were installed at Okeechobee Metrorail station. The machines provide parking receipts for rail riders.
December: Construction began on the joint development project adjacent to Dadeland North Metrorail station.
December: The Board of County Commissioners authorized a demonstration project for the sale of advertising on transit publications, fare media, and bus stop display spaces.
1996 April: "Bus Service Update" brochures were released in English and Spanish for the first time since the County commission repealed the English-only ordinance.
June: STS Free-Fare Pilot Program ridership was analyzed through May 1996. Under this program, certified STS riders could opt to ride free on Metrobus, Metrorail, and Metromover by showing their ID card to the bus operator or rail security officer. There was no loss of STS certification. STS ridership continued to decrease by $4.74 \%$ when compared to FY 94-95 data.
July: Bike racks were installed on the front of 30 Metrobuses serving the south Dade area.
August 17: After a 78-day shutdown during repairs to the adjacent Congress Building, Metromover's inner loop reopened for service. Protective netting was installed on the Congress Building to shield the Metromover system from any potential falling debris.
August: The preliminary design for the Metrorail extension to the Palmetto Expressway was presented to the Transportation Aesthetics Review Committee which granted tentative approval of the design, pending a follow-up presentation to include proposed landscaping, lighting, and surface treatments.
September: The "Bike and Ride Program" began in mid-September.
September: MDTA tested a low-floor coach to determine if this type of bus was suitable for operation in Dade County. Low-floor coaches have only one step and ramps, instead of wheelchair lifts, and are easier for people with disabilities to use.
September: The Federal Transit Administration gave written approval for the Draft Environmental Impact Statement and Preliminary Engineering for the North Corridor.
February 3: The South Dade Busway, an exclusive roadway for buses, opened for service. Dedication ceremonies were held on February 2.

June: Ridership on the South Dade Busway continues to increase. The 11,199 May ridership figure was $163 \%$ above the previously projected 4000 -plus riders.
September: MDTA director Chester "Ed" Colby resigns. The county manager appointed Danny Alvarez, deputy director for administration, acting director.
1998 March: Fifty buses purchased from North American Bus Industries arrive. They will be painted in the new design and teal, royal blue, and fuchsia colors and placed in revenue service. As older buses are pulled for rehabilitation, they will be repainted with the new design and colors.
September: MDTA's first low-floor bus makes its debut in downtown Miami in front of the Stephen P. Clark Government Center building. The bus is state-of-the-art with Global Positioning Satellite technology which provides announcements and an LED visual of major bus stops and transfer points. The "kneeling" NABI 40-footer comes equipped with a bicycle rack and is wheelchair accessible with a simplified ramp that can be operated manually if necessary. The bus is painted in the new design and colors. In attendance were County Commissioner Bruno A. Barreiro, County Manager Merrett R. Stierheim, MDTA Director Danny Alvarez, and MDTA Assistant Director Roosevelt Bradley. Diana Richardson, director of the Office of ADA Compliance, was also present. Television channels 6, 7, and 10 taped the event.
1999 Spring: Miami-Dade Transit launches plans to extend the South Miami-Dade Busway. Since opening in 1997, the busway has sparked an unprecedented growth in ridership in south Miami-Dade. Ridership in that corridor rose 47\% weekdays and 84\% weekends. The 22 -station Busway II will extend service from Cutler Ridge to Homestead/Florida City. Construction of the estimated $\$ 38$ million project begins the first half of 2001.
July: Metrobus ridership rose $3.3 \%$ weekdays and $7.0 \%$ weekends for a three-month period ending in May. This is in keeping with a national trend, according to APTA. In the last three years, ridership in the US rose more than 12\%.
July 29: Miami-Dade Transit's hopes to expand the transit system with more frequent bus service and additional Metrorail lines are postponed when voters defeat the penny sales tax initiative by a two-thirds majority.
October 5: Miami-Dade County Mayor Alex Penelas and the Board of County Commissioners approve the Golden Passport program, offering free rides on transit to seniors citizens 65 years and over. Qualified applicants' annual household income must not exceed $\$ 20,000$.
November 1: Registration begins for the Golden Passport program at sites throughout the county.
November: Several new routes were added to the Bike \& Ride program. The program now includes Metrobus routes 21, 27, 33, 35, 70, 71 (weekdays only), 87, 88, G, J, and Busway MAX.
December 15: Free rides on transit begin for more than 16,000 senior citizens enrolled in the Golden Passport program. Registration continues.
December 31: MDTA pauses all transit systems from 11:55 p.m. to 12:05 a.m. January 1, 2000 to check for Y2K bug strikes. Buses wait on stand-by at Metromover and Metrorail stations. Three years of preparations pay off. All systems passed with flying colors.
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## FEDERAL ROLE IN TRANSPORTATION

The Federal Transit Administration (FTA) is the primary source of Federal financial assistance for public transportation systems in the United States. It is part of the U.S. Department of Transportation, headquartered in Washington, D.C. There are ten regional offices throughout the country, including Atlanta, Georgia, which serves Florida and seven other southeastern states, as well as Puerto Rico. Through FTA, the Federal government provides funding for all forms of public transportation projects for systems including buses, subways, light rail, commuter rail, heavy rail (rapid transit), and people movers. Planning and project development for new programs as well as improvement, maintenance, and operation of existing systems are the major areas that benefit from the Federal participation.

Because fare box revenues traditionally provide an average of less than half of the operating costs, transit systems must rely on support from Federal, State, and local sources, and even the private sector. The Federal government, through FTA , has played a major role in the development of public transportation in Miami-Dade County. Nearly $70 \%$ of financial support for key projects, including Metrorail and Metromover, has been provided by FTA, totaling over one billion dollars. FTA also annually funds continuing programs such as the Urbanized Area Formula, Fixed Guideway Modernization and Bus and Bus-Related Facilities. These programs support capital investments in bus and busrelated activities and new and existing fixed guideway systems. Projects financed under these programs include replacement and maintenance of buses and rail vehicles, construction of maintenance and passenger facilities, purchase of communications equipment, computer hardware and software, signage, and passenger amenities.

Federal funding support for major projects like planning, design and construction of the Metrorail and Metromover systems and the South Miami-Dade Busway is provided through what FTA refers to as "New Starts". In general it means a project involving the building of a new fixed guideway system or extending an existing fixed guideway system. This can be a light rail line, heavy rail (rapid), commuter rail, a "people mover", or a busway/high occupancy vehicle (HOV) facility. New start projects can also involve the development of transit corridors and markets to support the eventual construction of fixed guideway systems, accomplished through projects that include construction of park and ride lots and acquisition of land to protect rights-of-way. Prior to construction, these major projects must follow a locally-driven, multimodal planning process through steps that include alternatives analysis, preliminary engineering, and final design. Competing new start projects throughout the country are evaluated on how well they meet project justification and financial criteria (including local funding commitment), factors that ultimately determine whether or not a project will be awarded Federal money.

In contrast to the discretionary nature of the New Starts program where funding is allocated through a competitive screening process, funds for the previously mentioned capital programs (Urbanized Area Formula Program, Fixed Guideway Modernization, Bus and Bus-Related Facilities) are apportioned annually to grantees by FTA, following Congressional appropriation. MDT uses both the formula and the bus purchase program to finance its acquisition of buses. Construction and rehabilitation of maintenance facilities and the construction of other bus-related facilities such as intermodal terminals and bus shelters are primarily financed through the formula program. Although these are the primary areas for ongoing capital funding, FTA also provides assistance in numerous specialized programs, where funding is awarded on a discretionary basis as available. One of these is the Job Access and Reverse Commute Program, created to assist the Federal Welfare to Work program. MDT received $\$ 1.1$ million for operation of up to nine routes to provide service to people coming off of welfare and joining the job ranks.

In addition to providing financial support for public transit operations, FTA also exercises a major oversight responsibility. It monitors every grantee to assess their financial, legal, and technical ability to operate. FTA also reviews the grantee's compliance with Federal guidelines in areas like drug and alcohol testing, civil rights, safety and security practices, and proper procurement and contracting procedures. Where necessary, corrective actions may be recommended to resolve possible deficiencies. This type of oversight, conducted through annual and triennial reviews, helps assure grantees carry out their obligations in a responsible manner that benefits all users of the system.

| Federal Authorization |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Authorization: Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (ISTEA) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Projects authorized under ISTEA required a $20 \%$ state/local match. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mid$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mid$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| FY 92 | FY 93 | FY 94 | FY 95 | FY 96 | FY 97 |
| Appropriations |  |  |  |  |  |
| EY 92 | FY 93 | FY 94 | FY 95 | FY 96 | FY 97 |
| -\$23,215,521 | $\$ 19,711,981$ | -\$28,469,483 | $\overline{-\$ 33,785,151}$ | .\$25,707,774 | -\$26,124,578 (Formula) |
| (Formula) | (Formula) | (Formula) | (Formula) | (Formula) | -\$4,962,500 (Bus) |
| - $\$ 1,377,969$ (Fixed | -\$3,338,660 (Fixed | -\$3,667,493 (Fixed | $\$ 3,292,333$ | - $\$ 2,536,010$ (Fixed | - $\$ 3,427,932$ (Fixed |
| Guideway | Guideway | Guideway | (Fixed Guideway | Guideway) | Guideway Modernization) |
| Modernization) | Modernization) | Modernization) | Modernization) | -\$1,975,961 (New | -\$993,023 (New Start-North |
| -\$5,601,850 (New | -\$14,644.433 (Bus) | -\$3,000,000 (Bus) | -\$2,000,000 | Start-North $27^{\text {th }}$ | $27^{\text {th }}$ Ave.) |
| Start -Mover) | -\$5,393,245 (New |  | (Bus) | Ave.) | -\$1,489,534 (New Start- |
|  | Start -Mover) |  | -\$992,500 (New | -\$9,925,000 (Bus) | EastWest) |
|  | -\$2,154,718 (New |  | Start -North |  |  |
|  | Start Urban Initiative |  |  |  |  |
|  | - 9th St. Pedestrian |  | Coridor) |  |  |
|  | Mall) |  |  |  |  |
| Total | Total | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \$ 40,069,984 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \$ 40,144,745 \end{aligned}$ | \$36,997,567 |
| \$30,195,340 | \$45,243,037 | \$35,136,976 |  |  |  |

 FY 1999
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## TOTAL \$48,234,547

 FY 1999$-\$ 33,266,984$ (Formula)
$\bullet$ Modernization)
Moned Guideway
$-\$ 2,977,660$ (New Start-East
West)

- $\$ 2,977,660$ (New Start-27 ${ }^{\text {th }}$
Ave. Corridor)
-\$2,223,125 (Bus) FY 1999
$-\$ 33,266,984$ (Formula)
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$-\$ 2,977,660$ (New Start-East
West)
- $\$ 2,977,660$ (New Start-27 ${ }^{\text {th }}$
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TOTAL \$49,206,820 FY 1998 -\$30,021,632 (Formula) - $\$ 4,983,828$ (New Start-27th
Ave. Corridor). - $\$ 4,983,828$ (New Start-27th
Ave. Corridor).

- $\$ 4,983,828$ (New Start-East
West)
West)
 Modernization)

,

- 

124,278

## STATE ROLE IN TRANSPORTATION

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) is a decentralized agency with its main office in Tallahassee, Florida, part of the executive branch of government in the state. The Central Office is responsible for policy, procedure and quality assurance. FDOT has eight district offices that coordinate with local governments and planning organizations throughout the state. It is a trust funded state agency, which means its operations are provided primarily from user fees such as highway fuel taxes, motor vehicle license fees, and aviation fuel taxes. While the major portion of funds goes towards construction of roads and bridges, another slice of the budget is devoted to public transportation.

The FDOT Public Transit Office within each district administers Federal and State transit grants, monitors compliance with transit regulations, and provides planning and technical assistance. This district office in Miami coordinates with Miami-Dade Transit (MDT) and other properties within Dade and Monroe County that provide public transportation. The office administers and manages innovative finance grants from the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) and monitors and ensures compliance with established state and federal policies, procedures and guidelines.

Major programs funded by FDOT include Service Development, Public Transit Block Grant, Urban Corridor, and Park and Ride. In Miami-Dade County, the Urban Corridor program funds a route along the East-West Corridor ( Flagler MAX) and several routes along the South Miami-Dade Busway. Service Development funds for new and innovative techniques or measures to expand or improve public transit have supported bus circulator routes for the elderly, transit service to suburban employment centers, and the Welfare to Work Program. MDT has benefited greatly from FDOT's Park and Ride program. Property acquisition and construction of park and ride facilities at numerous locations along both the existing busway corridor and the future extension have been funded through this program, as well as major sites at the Dolphin Mall, on Bird Road, and S.W. $8^{\text {th }}$ Street. The annual Public Transit Block Grant Program provides funds to help offset operating costs for MDT.

One other major contribution from FDOT is the provision of Toll Revenue Credits (TRCs) accrued to the State that can be used to leverage Federal funds. This "soft match" enables MDT to apply for the Federal appropriation from Congress without committing additional local cash.

## MPO ROLE IN TRANSPORTATION

One of the major roles of a Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) is to insure conformance with federal and state laws and regulations. Federal rules require that highways, mass transit and other transportation facilities and services be properly deployed and developed in relation to the overall plan of urban development. Furthermore, they must comply with approved plans for regional and state transportation network accessibility.

Key functions of an MPO include developing the Long Range Transportation Plan for the urban area that specifies needed transportation projects for the next twenty years, compiling an annually updated Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), coordinating the activities of the Transportation Planning Council (TPC) which advises the MPO Board on technical matters, assessing visual impacts and aesthetic quality of transportation projects, facilitating public involvement through a Citizens Transportation Advisory Committee (CTAC), maintaining a bicycle/pedestrian program, ensuring that access to transportation is provided to disadvantaged persons, and preparing technical and policy studies.

In Miami-Dade County, the transportation planning process is guided by the MPO for the Miami Urbanized Area, established by Interlocal Agreement between the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) of Miami-Dade County and the Florida Department of Transportation. Although the membership of the MPO Governing Board is largely composed of individuals who serve on the BCC, the two boards are separate and distinct. The BCC is responsible primarily to the electorate of Miami-Dade County; the MPO Board is primarily responsible to the Governor and to federal agencies that provide funding for transportation projects and mandate planning requirements as a precondition for funding. This structure, however, serves to enhance coordination between the two boards, and tends to facilitate the process of advancing from plans to implementation of transportation projects.

The local MPO region includes the incorporated and unincorporated area of Miami-Dade County, an area of 2,400 square miles and over 2.2 million residents. The incorporated area is composed of 31 municipalities with their own government. The Miami-Dade MPO is assisted by professional staff from local and state transportation agencies. Other entities, including the South Florida Regional Planning Council (SFRPC), the Tri-County Commuter Rail Authority (Tri-Rail), the Miami-Dade Expressway Authority (MDX), and Broward MPO and Palm Beach MPO, work closely with the Miami Urbanized Area MPO to facilitate regional transportation planning.

| PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION PLAN | 2025 LONG RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN Approved by the MPO Board on 12/6/01 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Bus Service <br> Ensures funding for the purchase of an additional 635 buses, cyclical resplacement of the bus fleet, and operations and maintenance. <br> The purchase of 100 full-size and 535 mini-buses will provide an additional 17 million miles and 1.4 million hours of service. | Bus Service <br> Assumes funding will be available for replacement buses and expansion of the Metrobus fleet with 218 new buses as listed in the TDP. Potential Sources Listed: 74\% Non-FIHS; 13\% MDT; 12\% DPW |
| Rapid Transit Projects <br> Funds the planning, design, construction and operations and maintenance of: <br> North Corridor (heavy rail line) <br> Earlington Heights Airport Connector (heavy rail extension) <br> East-West Corridor (heavy rail) <br> Northeast Corridor (light rail) <br> Kendall Corridor (mixed heavy/light rail) <br> Baylink (heavy rail) <br> Dadeland Sth to Florida City (heavy rail) <br> Assumes $50 \%$ federal and $25 \%$ state participation. | Rapid Transit Projects <br> Assumes funding will be available for planning, design, construction and operations and maintenance for the following: <br> Earlington Heights Airport Connector (heavy rail); Priority 1 <br> North Corridor (BRT); Priority 2 <br> Northeast Corridor (BRT); Priority 2 <br> Kendall Corridor/fr: SR 826 to SW 157 Ave only (BRT); Priority 2 <br> Baylink (LR); Priority 2 <br> Dadeland Sth to SW 104 Street (HR) only; Priority 4 <br> UNFUNDED Rapid Transit Projects <br> East-West Corridor (fr. HEFT to Seaport) <br> Kendall Corridor/North-Sth leg to NW 74 Street <br> Douglas Rd to MIC (Premium) <br> SW 104 Street to Cutler Ridge (HR) |


| 1999 TRANSIT NOT TOLLS CAMPAIGN | 2002 PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION PLAN |
| :---: | :---: |
| Top-to-Bottom Approach | Bottoms-Up Approach |
| County creates TNT Plan. | County turns to community for input on solving the transportation problems. |
| No input is solicited from the community in the creation of the TNT Plan. | 80 public meetings, 2 transportation summits, radio call-in shows, municipal and community council meetings. |
| Plan includes transit improvements (bus and rail expansion), as well as a multitude of non-transportation programs, such as scholarships, funding for arts programs, etc. | PTP only contains transit and transportation-related projects. |
| Transit improvements listed in general terms. | PTP provides a detail list of transit projects. |
| TNT = One Percent Sales Tax Increase | PTP = Half Percent Sales Tax Increase |
| Did not include a maintenance of effort/general fund subsidy to MDT. | Includes maintenance of effort/\$112 million annual subsidy from the general fund. |
| Oversight is not independent. | Citizen's Watchdog group is independent with powers over PTP. |
| Municipal Share limited to reimbursement equivalent to local option gas tax. | Municipal Share equals $20 \%$ of revenues generated. |
| Campaign managed by outsiders. | Campaign managed in-house by county staff/grassroot effort. |
| Over \$ 1 million raised from the private sector. | No fundraising. |

## REVENUE SOURCES

## Sales Tax (current rate of $6.5 \%$ )

- Half-cent sales tax estimated to generate $\$ 150$ million per year.
- One-cent sales tax estimated to generate $\$ 300$ million per year.

Tolls (current rate of 75 cents) (These are MDX roads only; subject to MDX Board approval; does not take into account any additional increases in tolls to finance MDX capital plan)

- An additional 25 cents would generate $\$ 15$ million.
- An additional 50 cents would generate $\$ 30$ million.
- To generate the equivalent of a half-cent sales tax would require an additional $\$ 2.50$; or $\$ 5$ to generate the equivalent of a one-cent sales tax.


## Transit Fare (current rate of \$1.25)

- An additional rate of 25 cents would generate $\$ 13$ million.
- An additional rate of 75 cents would generate $\$ 39$ million.
- To generate the equivalent of a half-cent sales tax would require an additional $\$ 3.75$; or $\$ 7.50$ to generate the equivalent of a one-cent sales tax.


## Driver License Surcharge (requires state legislative approval)

- A surcharge of $\$ 1.00$ per driver would generate $\$ 450,000$.
- A surcharge of $\$ 2.00$ per driver would generate $\$ 900,000$.
- To generate the equivalent of a half-cent sales tax would require an additional $\$ 333$ per driver; or $\$ 666$ to generate the equivalent of a one-cent sales tax.


## Vehicle Registration Surcharge (requires state legislative approval)

- A surcharge of $\$ 1.00$ would generate $\$ 2.4$ million.
- A surcharge of $\$ 2.00$ would generate $\$ 4.8$ million.
- To generate the equivalent of a half-cent sales tax would require an additional $\$ 62$ per vehicle; or $\$ 124$ to generate the equivalent of a one-cent sales tax.

Local Option Gas Tax (Florida Statute allows the Board to only impose 2 more cents. Any higher amount requires state legislative approval. Pursuant to an Inter-local Agreement, 74\% of the proceeds are issued to the County and $26 \%$ to municipalities.)

- An increase of 1 cent could generate $\$ 8.7$ million, with $\$ 6.4$ million going to the County.
- An increase of 2 cents could generate $\$ 17.4$ million, with $\$ 12.8$ million going to the County.
- To generate the equivalent of a half-cent sales tax would require an additional 23 cents (takes into account distribution of proceeds to municipalities).


## Rental Car Surcharge (requires state legislative approval)

- $\$ 1$ per day generates $\$ 15$ million.
- $\$ 2$ per day generates $\$ 30$ million.
- To generate the equivalent of a half-cent sales tax would require an additional $\$ 10$ per day; or $\$ 20$ per day to generate the equivalent of a one-cent sales tax.


## Tire Tax (requires state legislative approval)

- $\$ 1$ per tire generates $\$ 2.6$ million.
- $\quad \$ 2$ per tire generates $\$ 5.2$ million.
- To generate the equivalent of a half-cent sales tax would require an additional $\$ 58$ per tire; or $\$ 116$ per tire to generate the equivalent of a one-cent sales tax.

Property Taxes (only capacity of 1.153 mills in the countywide 10 mill cap; anything above requires state constitution amendment; raising property tax to its 10 mill capacity will eliminate any other possibility of utilizing this revenue stream for any other improvement)

- $1 / 2$ mill will generate an additional $\$ 50$ million.
- 1 mill will generate an additional $\$ 100$ million.
- To generate the equivalent of a half-cent sales tax would require an additional 1.5 mill.

The following fees were suggested during the 1999 Tax Referendum Campaign:
Port of Miami Passenger Fee: $\$ 50$ per person (requires state legislative action and possible federal legislative approval)
Miami International Airport Passenger Fee: $\$ 5$ per person (Federal guidelines require that funds generated by passenger fees are to be utilized for airport-related improvements)

## USER FEE COMBINATION

| FEE | CURRENT | AFTER | \% INCREASE | TOTAL | LOCAL ACTION |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| LOGT | $\$ .04$ | $\$ .06$ | $25 \%$ | $\$ 12.8$ million | BCC |
| $1 / 2$ Mill | 8.58 mil | 9.35 mill | $5 \%$ | $\$ 50.0$ million | BCC |
| Fares | $\$ 1.25$ | $\$ 1.75$ | $40 \%$ | $\$ 26.0$ million | BCC |
| Tolls | $\$ .75$ | $\$ 1.75$ | $133 \%$ | $\$ 61.2$ million | MDX |

$\$ 150$ million
Only meets the first year of revenues generated by a sales tax; does not provide for annual $5 \%$ increase that a sales tax would generate on an annual basis.

# People's Transportation Plan 



## People's Transportation Plan

## COMMUNITY OUTREACH EFFORTS

"One Hundred Opportunities to be Heard" campaign announced in March.

To date, 80 public meetings have been conducted, including a televised townhall session, numerous radio call-in shows, and a series of civic and business presentations throughout the County to solicit input from the community on transportation issues.

Twenty-two municipalities provided input on how to address the transportation problems at a Municipal Mayors' Transportation Roundtable.

An overwhelming majority of Community Council representatives attended another Transportation Roundtable and provided their input on the transportation issues.
An estimated 2000 people attended the two Transportation Summits. Participants provided input on what the County's Transportation Plan should entail as well as its oversight and funding solutions.

To date, nearly 100,000 people have logged on to www.trafficrelief.com to present their comments and/or seek information on the results of the various public meetings and proposed transportation projects.

To date, thousands of meaningful suggestions - ranging from infrastructure improvements and funding options -- have been recorded to provide input regarding the County's transportation plan.

## People's Transportation Plan

## ASSUMPTIONS

Creation of a Citizens' Independent Transportation Trust. The Trust will be a carefully selected group of citizens who will function as a truly independent decision making body, separate from the government, with significant powers over the use and expenditure of the surtax proceeds. The plan will include the following components:

- Maintenance of effort on current general fund subsidy to Miami-Dade Transit.
- No more than $5 \%$ of surtax proceeds to be expended on administrative costs.
- Expenditure of surtax proceeds limited to the transportation and transit purposes specified.

Peoples Transportation Plan to be funded by one half percent sales tax increase.

Sales tax qualifies as a dedicated local match. (Federal participation of $50 \%$ for capital expenditures related to rail expansion.)

Projects a 5\% Annual Sales Tax Growth Rate (average of 5.65\% over the last 10 years).

20\% of surtax revenues dedicated to Municipal transportation enhancement projects.

## People's Transportation Plan

## BUS SERVICE IMPROVEMENTS 2003-2008

Increases bus fleet from 700 to 1335.
Increases current service miles from 27 million miles to 44 million miles.
Increases operating hours from 1.9 million hours to 3.3 million hours.
Utilizes minibuses on all new bus routes and in neighborhood/municipal
circulator shuttle service.
Adds mid-day, Saturday and Sunday services within 30-days of approval of a dedicated funding source using existing buses.

Provides 15-minutes or better bus service during rush hour; 30-minutes or better during other periods; 24-hour service in certain major corridors.

Replaces buses on a systematic basis to reduce operating cost and increase reliability.

Constructs bus pull-out bays on major streets to expedite traffic flow.
Implements grid system for bus service (north-south and east-west) on major streets and avenues with circulator service feeding main-line bus service and rapid transit lines.

Expands the bus shelter program throughout the County.
Enhances and expands transit bus-stop signage countywide.
Expands Transit's public information program through enhanced marketing and advertising.
Expands on successful municipal circulator program.

## EXISTIMG ALEIROBUS ROUTES, PROPOSED EXIEMSIONS \& NEW ROUIES



# People's Transportation Plan RAPID TRANSIT IMPROVEMENTS 2003-2025 

## Construction of up to 88.9-miles of Countywide Rapid Transit Lines.

Technology and Corridor Improvements: Two corridors totaling 26.7 miles of rapid transit have completed the planning phase and are ready to enter into final design and construction -- the North Corridor and EastWest Corridor.

- The North Corridor is a 9.5-mile heavy rail alternative running from the Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Metrorail Station along NW $27^{\text {th }}$ Avenue to NW $215^{\text {th }}$ Street (Miami-Dade/Broward County line); with proposed stations at Northside Shopping Center, MDCC-North Campus, City of Opa-locka, Palmetto Expressway, Carol City Shopping Center, Pro-Player Stadium, and the Florida Turnpike.
- The East-West Corridor consists of two segments, one from the Florida Turnpike east to the Palmetto Expressway (SR 826) and from the Palmetto, through Miami International Airport, downtown Miami, and to the Port of Miami, 6-miles and 11.2-miles respectively. These sites have been identified as potential station locations: Florida Turnpike, NW 107 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, NW 97 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, NW $87^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, Milam Dairy Road, Blue Lagoon area, Miami Intermodal Center, NW $27^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, Orange Bowl, Government Center (downtown Miami), and the Port of Miami.


## People's Transportation Plan

## RAPID TRANSIT IMPROVEMENTS 2003-2025

The remaining 62.2 miles of rapid transit lines need to complete federal, state, and local planning processes to determine feasibility, technology, and corridor alignment. These corridors include, but are not limited to, the following:

Earlington Heights/Airport Connector: A 3.1-mile extension from the Earlington Heights Metrorail station to the Miami Intermodal Center, located on the east side of Miami International Airport.

Baylink: A 5.1-mile corridor between downtown Miami and south Miami Beach.
Kendall Corridor: A 15-mile corridor with both east-west and north-south segments.
Northeast Corridor: A 13.6-mile corridor from downtown Miami, through Little Haiti, to NE 215
Street, generally along the Biscayne Blvd./U.S. 1 Corridor and Florida East Coast railroad right-ofway.

Rail Extension to Florida City: A 21 -mile rail extension along U.S. 1 consisting of two segments: one from Dadeland South Metrorail station to Cutler Ridge; a second segment from Cutler Ridge to Florida City.

Douglas Road Extension: A 4.5-mile corridor from the Douglas Road Metrorail station to the Miami Intermodal Center.

## RAPID TRANSIT IMPROVEMENTS 2003-2031



## People's Transportation Plan

## MAJOR HICHIWAY \& ROAD IMPROVEMENTS 2003-2013

Upgrades the County's traffic signalization system.
Constructs major ingress/egress improvements in downtown Miami, from SW 8 St. to SW First Ave.

Accelerates program to provide ADA accessibility to bus stops throughout the County.

Completes construction of NW 87 Avenue between 154 St. and Miami Gardens Dr. (183 St).

Creates viable reverse flow lanes on major thoroughfares.
Funds grade separation of intersections where appropriate countywide.
Supplements funding to widen NW 62 Avenue, from NW 105 Street to NW 138 Street.

Funds the Preliminary Engineering and Design Study of I-395.
Accelerates approved safety enhancements and lane widening for Krome Avenue.

## People's Transportation Plan

## MAJOR HIGHWAY \& ROAD IMPROVEMENTS 2003-2013

## Neighborhood Mobility Improvements

Neighborhood improvements include modification of intersections; resurfacing of local and arterial roads; installation/repairs of guardrails; installation of school flashing signals and enhancement of greenways and bikeways. Such improvements also include replacement/repair of sidewalks, repair/installation of drainage and landscape beautification related to the development, operation or maintenance of bus and fixed guideway systems.

- Accelerates program to provide ADA accessibility to bus stops throughout the County.


## Municipal Improvements

Cities to receive a pro rata share (determined by population) of $20 \%$ total surtax revenues on an annual basis (currently estimated at $\$ 31.3$ million [one half percent]).

Cities will preserve the level of transportation funding currently in their FY 20012002 budgets (I.e. their maintenance of effort dollars). Maintenance of effort excludes special bond issues for infrastructure improvements.

The cities will dedicate $20 \%$ of their surtax funds to transit purposes. This would include circulators, bus shelters, bus pull out bays or other transit-related infrastructure. If such utilization is inappropriate, the County will be afforded the opportunity to undertake such projects with those funds or the funds will revert to the municipal pool for re-distribution.

## People's Transportation Plan



## Estimated Municipal Transit Enhancement Funds Distribution

| Jurisdiction | Percent | 1 YR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | $\$ 25,000,000$ |
| Aventura | $1.90 \%$ | $\$ 475,679$ |
| Bal Harbour Village | $0.24 \%$ | $\$ 59,135$ |
| Bay Harbor Islands | $0.45 \%$ | $\$ 112,405$ |
| Biscayne Park | $0.40 \%$ | $\$ 99,232$ |
| Coral Gables | $5.34 \%$ | $\$ 1,334,919$ |
| El Portal | $0.29 \%$ | $\$ 73,462$ |
| Florida City | $0.96 \%$ | $\$ 241,060$ |
| Golden Beach | $0.08 \%$ | $\$ 19,519$ |
| Hialeah | $20.71 \%$ | $\$ 5,177,944$ |
| Hialeah Gardens | $1.70 \%$ | $\$ 424,524$ |
| Homestead | $3.50 \%$ | $\$ 873,952$ |
| Indian Creek Village | $0.02 \%$ | $\$ 5,962$ |
| Key Biscayne | $0.94 \%$ | $\$ 234,714$ |
| Medley | $0.30 \%$ | $\$ 74,039$ |
| Miami | $31.81 \%$ | $\$ 7,953,265$ |
| Miami Beach | $7.76 \%$ | $\$ 1,940,022$ |
| Miami Lakes | $2.09 \%$ | $\$ 521,737$ |
| Miami Shores | $1.12 \%$ | $\$ 280,580$ |
| Miami Springs | $1.84 \%$ | $\$ 459,813$ |
| North Bay Village | $0.53 \%$ | $\$ 133,271$ |
| North Miami | $5.53 \%$ | $\$ 1,382,420$ |
| North Miami Beach | $3.93 \%$ | $\$ 983,665$ |
| Opa-Locka | $1.40 \%$ | $\$ 351,062$ |
| Pinecrest | $2.35 \%$ | $\$ 587,988$ |
| South Miami | $1.22 \%$ | $\$ 305,388$ |
| Sunny Isles Beach | $1.15 \%$ | $\$ 287,888$ |
| Surfside | $0.46 \%$ | $\$ 115,674$ |
| Sweetwater | $1.20 \%$ | $\$ 300,196$ |
| Virginia Gardens | $0.23 \%$ | $\$ 56,924$ |
| West Miami | $0.53 \%$ | $\$ 133,559$ |

$$
4
$$

## ANTI-TRANSIT COMMENTS \& MYTHS

## 1. Miami-Dade County does not have the density to support mass transit.

Miami-Dade County is among the most densely populated urban areas in the country with approximately 6,300 persons per square mile. We are more densely populated than Atlanta (1800/sq.mi.), Dallas (3200/sq.mi.), Portland ( $3600 / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{mi}$.), Denver ( $4000 /$ sq.mi.) and even Washington D.C. ( $3800 / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{mi}$.); all of which have extensive mass transit systems and continue to invest in mass transit expansion projects. Clearly the urbanized area of Miami-Dade County is one of the more intensely developed areas of the country. According the U.S. Census Bureau, Miami and its suburbs have $53 \%$ more people per square mile than Washington D.C., and its suburbs, where the area's Metrorail system provides 825,900 rides each weekday (as opposed to 48,500 for our current Metrorail system). The difference is that Washington has five lines with 78 rail stations in its urban core and suburbs, compared to our one line with 21 Metrorail Stations. Miami-Dade residents who use Metrorail report faster commute times and less stress than other residents; our current underfunded system just does not reach enough people.

## 2. Metrorail has been a failure. No one uses it.

Metrorail is a 21 -mile line and not a complete system. On the southern leg of Metrorail, demand is so high that parking is at capacity. Anyone arriving after 8:30 a.m. will find it difficult to find parking at three of the southernmost stations. Approximately 50,000 boardings occur daily on Metrorail. If Metrorail did not exist, it is estimated that approximately 25,000 people would drive - which means at least another 15,000 vehicles on our roads.

## 3. Transit is a declining industry. No one will leave their cars to use it.

Nationally in 2000, transit ridership saw more than 9.4 billion trips -- the highest level since 1959 and for the $6^{\text {th }}$ year in a row the growth of transit ridership outpaced the growth in vehicle miles traveled. Miami-Dade Transit ridership grew $2.2 \%$ over 1999 and March 2000 registered the highest average weekday ridership ever topping at 288,200 , for total year ridership of 84 million trips, which represents almost half of the entire state of Florida even though there are 23 other public transit providers statewide. According to the Center for Urban Transportation Research, in Miami Dade County if every commuter drove to work instead of using transit, we would have 109,220 more vehicles on the roads. Even with the best transit system, traffic would not disappear, but many more Miami Dade residents would be able to choose to not be a part of the mess. And those who do continue to drive would be competing against fewer other drivers than if the system weren't expanded. Traffic in Washington is congested, but hundreds of thousands of people simply "opt out" of the traffic jams by using the D.C. system.
4. Transit is not important because its market share is so small. Only $3 \%$ of trips are taken on transit.

In 1999, the percentage of work commuters using transit in Miami-Dade County was estimated at $6.9 \%$. (Source: Easing the Burden ) Another study performed by CUTR concluded that of the 2.3 million peak period trips taken daily, 156,000 or $6.5 \%$ are taken on transit and not $3 \%$. The $3 \%$ quote is based on a 24 hour average. A better measure of transit's effectiveness is transit competitive trips. That is to say, what percentage of trips does transit carry for which it can compete. The best testimonial is Metrorail along U.S.1. With over 110,000 vehicle trips on U.S.1, between Vizcaya and Kendall Drive, an additional 40,000 trips along the same corridor are made on Metrorail - or approximately $30 \%$ of trips are on transit. However, in areas, such as along the SR 836 corridor where mass transit is not available, it carries 0\% of the trips. The importance of transit to Miami-Dade County and any other major metropolitan area can also be illustrated by considering what would happen if $50 \%$ to $60 \%$ of the approximately 270,000 -weekday transit boardings were to shift to automobiles. Our expressways and arterial roads would be in total gridlock. Where mass transit becomes easily accessible, it becomes a viable alternative to the automobile. In fact of areas of comparable size, Miami has the third largest percentage (14.3\%) of households without any vehicles. Let's examine this further, $3 \%$ of the county's population is 63,000 people. This means that, on average, each one of these people would have to take four transit trips per weekday, hardly the case. In fact Miami-Dade Transit has 52,000 seniors using the Golden Passport, sells 30,000 passes every month, and issues 153,000 transit school ID's every year. In fact, it is not the total trips that count - it is the number of trips at rush hour in a given corridor that matters.
5. Transit is subsidized too heavily, we should focus funding on roadways. Transit subsidies exceed auto subsidies.

Total federal, state and local government subsidies to Miami-Dade Transit declined by $10 \%$ in 2000, while farebox revenue grew. In 2001, transit received $\$ 6.3$ billion in federal dollars compared to $\$ 31.4$ billion for highways and $\$ 12$ billion for aviation. Federal transit operating subsidies have actually declined, from a peak of \$1.1 billion in 1981 to $\$ 246$ million in 1999. Since the federal government discontinued operating subsidies several years ago, all public transit providers in the United States depend on operating subsidies from state and local sources to meet their financial needs. The federal government now funds only about half of the cost of new transit projects, but more than $80 \%$ of new highway projects.
6. Transit should pay for itself. Free market competition and privately operated transit is better.

Transit is a public service - just like police, fire rescue, parks, libraries, etc. The automobile is heavily subsidized through the fuel tax utilized for roads, bridges, and highways, insurance costs, maintenance, etc.

## 7. Why invest in a system that no one uses.

If we do not invest in the improvements outlined in the PTP, there will be no alternative but to have more cars on the road. Transit will as it does now on major corridors, reduce the number of cars on the corridors planned. MDT is the 16th largest public transit system in the nation, and provides nearly 50 percent of all the transit trips in Florida. In FY 2001, MDT's average weekday ridership increased to 274,400, which includes 46,300 Metrorail boardings, 211,900 Metrobus boardings, and 16,200 Metromover boardings. Special Transportation Services (STS) trips and trips provided for Florida's Agency for Healthcare Administration were not included in the average weekday boardings; these two services, combined, averaged 3,768 per weekday. MDT provides approximately 84 million trips per year, which is almost half of all trips in the state of Florida, whereas the other 23 transit properties in the state provide approximately 100 million trips. Other communities that have made a true transit commitment report riders, are, indeed, utilizing their first-rate systems. Portland, Oregon invested heavily in mass transit, and now the area of 1.3 million people (MDC 2.3 million) generates 300,000 rides per day. A conservative think tank, The Free Congress Foundation, reports that when transit competes in terms of speed and convenience, it succeeds. For example, if Metrorail did not exist, 16,956 additional vehicles would share the US1 corridor during each peak hour.

## 8. Investing in transit/transportation does not spur economic development.

Studies show that mass transit spurs density and economic development. Signs of growth are evident all along the Metrorail system. The most striking impact of Metrorail can be seen at the Dadeland South and Dadeland North Stations. Between both sites there are over 550,000-sq. ft. of office space, a 305-room hotel, 48 residential units, and over $320,000-\mathrm{sq}$. ft. of retail. The Shops at Sunset is another classic example of how transit and economic development is inextricably related. Since its opening, weekend ridership at the South Miami Metrorail Station has increased by $59 \%$. Within the next few years, more development is expected to occur along this corridor, generating millions of dollars in revenue for the County, increasing rail ridership, creating jobs, and spurring economic growth. The quantified benefits are; every $\$ 10$ in transit capital projects yields $\$ 30$ in revenues; every $\$ 10$ invested in operations generates $\$ 32$ in sales; every $\$ 10$ million investment in public transportation capital projects generates 300 jobs; and every $\$ 10$ million investment in transit operations generates 600 jobs. (Source: The Benefits of Transportation: An Overview, a report available through APTA)
9. The sales tax should be rescinded once everything is build (Why can we sunset the sales tax?)

A stable, reoccurring source of funding ensures financing and bonding capabilities for capital projects, as well as provides the necessary funds for the operation and maintenance of transit services and rapid transit lines once they are completed.
10. The sales tax increase will only hurt the poor in our community and not resolve their transportation problems.

On the contrary, the economically disadvantaged in our community tend to benefit disproportionately to their sales tax contribution. Their discretionary income spent on taxable items is less. More of them are transit dependent and will benefit from the increased service, thus bettering their quality of life by providing better access to jobs, medical centers, educational facilities and other opportunities.
(Cost per day per person: 13 cents; (revenues generated $\$ 150$ million ( $30 \%$ paid by tourist; $\$ 105$ million/2.3 million residents/364 days)
11. UMSA will not benefit and is not included in the PTP?

Over $50 \%$ of the projects listed in the PTP are in UMSA.
12. We should copy other successful transit properties, such as NYC, Chicago, LA, Atlanta, Seattle, San Francisco, before we impose a sales tax increase.

Only two major transit properties in the U.S. do not have a dedicated source of funding - MIAMI and Washington D.C. All others rely on dedicated funds to partially subsidize their systems. Washington D.C. receives special funds from Congress because it is the nation's capital.

## FACTS \& FIGURES

13. Miami area ranks $10^{\text {th }}$ out of 68 areas in terms on ( 42 hours) annual delay per person due to congestion.
14. Miami ranks 16 in the amount of fuel wasted ( 128 million gallons) and annual cost ( $\$ 1.5$ billion) of congestion.
15. Miami ranks 15 in annual excess fuel (61 gallons) consumer per person
16. Gannet Fleming, Inc, has estimated that the average network speed in the count was 24.33 miles per hour in 1999; It is projected that in 2025 the average speed will decline to 19.51 miles per hour, a decrease of nearly 20 percent.

## Q \& A

## 1. How will the additional half percent sales tax resolve our congestion problems?

No, it will not resolve our congestion problems, but it will provide an alternative for commuting other than the automobile, especially since major roadways are beyond capacity with little to no room for possible expansion. Should voters approve this action, Miami-Dade County will be able to compete for federal and state transportation dollars for expansion of its rapid transit system (a.k.a. heavy rail, light rail). The surtax will serve as a funding source for many transportation capital improvements, as well as for the operations and maintenance of an expanded bus and rail system. It is estimated that Miami Dade County will be able to compete for billions in federal and state moneys for the County's 20-year transportation plan. However, without a dedicated source of revenue, our transportation tax dollars will go to other parts of the country.

## 2. Were other funding options considered?

Yes (list in resource guide). All these options were presented at all public meetings for comments and input. At the second Citizen's Transportation Summit, over 1000 people overwhelmingly voted their support for the sales tax as the most feasible option. The sales tax presented the only way of paying for an expansion of the transit system and roads and highway improvements. The tax burden is also shared with visitors to the county who pay an estimated $30 \%$ of the tax revenues generated.

## 3. Will my property taxes go up because of the additional half percent sales tax?

NO, property taxes will not go up because of the surtax nor is the sales tax applicable to the sale of a home or any item over $\$ 5,000$.
4. How much money will I be paying in sales tax, if the additional half percent sales tax is approved?

The current sales tax of $6.5 \%$ will go to $7 \%$ on taxable purchases below $\$ 5,000$. As always, rent, food and medicine will continue to be excluded. Taxable items are defined under Florida Statute and can only be changed by the state's legislative process.

Examples: A $\$ 100$ shopping trip for clothing or other taxable products/services will cost an additional $\$ .50$ (from $\$ 106.50$ to $\$ 107.00$ ). If you spend $\$ 1000$ a month on taxable products and/or services, you will be paying $\$ 5$ more per month in taxes towards transportation (\$1065.00 to \$1070.00).
5. Why do we need the additional half percent sale tax --- wouldn't it be cheaper to increase the expressway tolls to pay for transportation programs?

Studies show that a half percent sales tax is our best alternative to generate the necessary local funds to compete for federal dollars for rapid transit projects, as well as fund their operations and maintenance once completed. These federal dollars are necessary to build the transportation infrastructure defined in the People's Transportation Plan. It is estimated that to raise the amount of local money needed for the Plan tolls on Miami-Dade County's Expressway Authority (S.R. 836, S.R. 112, S.R. 874, and the Gratigny Parkway) would have to be increased to approximately $\$ 3.75$ each time a vehicle crosses a toll plaza. The $\$ 3.75$ does not take into account any future increases as projected in MDX's 20 year capital plan.
6. If we don't approve the half percent sales tax, what will happen to our roads and transportation system?

Without the ability to match, and thus the ability to compete for federal transit funds, we will not be able to expand our transit system to the extent needed. Without a viable public transit system that takes people where and when they want to go to major activity centers, our roads and highways will become even more crowded. Existing funds will only cover the maintenance and operation of we now have ( 21 miles of rail and 700 buses). Currently, the 2025 County's Long Range Transportation Plan shows over $\$ 4$ billion of unfunded projects. This figure is even higher when you take into account the additional enhancements that are listed in the PTP, such as the rail corridors, bus fleet expansion, traffic signalization upgrades and other road improvements. Even if the federal government does not provide the $50 \%$ federal match for the rapid transit projects, with one half percent the County will be able to construct and operate 20.6 miles of heavy rail or 34.3 miles of light rail by 2023. To build all 88.9 miles without federal assistance will require an equal amount of fund from other sources or many more years to complete. The bus service improvement plan remains the same whether we receive federal funds or not.
7. Why must the local county government pay for transportation improvements, why doesn't the federal government pay for these transportation programs?

Under current programs, the federal government will only help those cities that are willing to help themselves; cities must provide a dedicated transportation funding source to be eligible for federal matching grants. Federal officials have become increasingly explicit about this requirement. Unfortunately, given the continued demand for limited transportation dollars, the criteria to qualify has become more stringent. Competition for these funds is expected to grow with each passing year given the number of projects already in the pipeline for approval.

## 8. Why aren't toll fees part of the plan like in 1999 ?

Tolls are levied by multiple jurisdictions, such as the state (Florida Turnpike), municipal and county governments. The County's ability to eliminate (MDX-only) tolls during the previous campaign expired in 1999. The Miami-Dade Expressway Authority (MDX) was created December 1994, by the Miami-Dade Board of County Commissioners. In 1996, state legislation allowed MDX to assume operational and financial control of State Roads 836, 924, 112, 874 and 878 from the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT). During the 1999 campaign, the State Legislature had approved the elimination of tolls on MDX roadways with the condition that the County would absorb not only MDX's operation, but their capital and financing expenditures.
9. Which rapid transit corridor will be built first?

The MPO Board is charged with establishing the county's transportation priorities, however, the North and East-West Corridors will be more than likely the first two projects to materialize given their status along the planning process. However, by BCC action, the North Corridor project will be the first priority for funding from the sales tax receipt.
10. Will my tax dollars go to funding the new Regional Transportation Authority (RTA) projects?

No. At this point, the RTA does not exist. All that has occurred is that the tri-county area has endorsed the creation of a single, unified regional transportation authority to maintain a public transportation system serving Miami-Dade, Broward, and Palm Beach Counties, and the renaming of the Tri-County Commuter Rail Authority (TriRail) as the Regional Transportation Authority. The Board's support for the creation of a Regional Transportation Authority is conditioned upon the legislature amending Chapter 343, Florida Statutes, to require that the total membership of the Regional Transportation Authority (i.e., nine voting members) include three residents from each county. Additionally, the RTA will be requesting approval from the state legislature to levy a $\$ 2$ surcharge on vehicle registration for financing RTA activities - should the state approve its creation. The PTP does not assume any proceeds of the sales tax will go to the RTA.
11. Why do we subsidize Tri-rail? (especially when most passengers are from Broward and Palm Beach -- does not benefit MDC residents) We should use these funds (\$900k annually) to make improvements on the local level.

Although most passengers reside in Broward and Palm Beach County, the benefit to Miami Dade County is that for every Trirail passenger we have one less car traveling on 195 or along our North/South roadways, therefore, alleviating traffic along this corridor.

## 12. What is the MIC? Will it be financed by the surtax?

The Miami Intermodal Center (MIC) will be similar to Grand Central Station and other multi-modal facilities that can be found in several world-class cities. It will provide safe and efficient transfer for users of Metrorail, Metrobus, Trirail, Amtrak, taxis, and charter service. Additionally it will house certain aviation operations and rental car facilities. The MIC includes a peoplemover system that will connect passengers from the MIC to the airport. The MIC facility itself will not be financed by surtax, however, the rail extension from the Earlington Heights station, which is part of the North Corridor, is financed by the surtax. Funding for the MIC comes from a variety of federal, state and other local sources. The project is under the jurisdiction of FDOT. The program will be developed in phases. The entire program is scheduled for completion within the next fifteen to twenty years. The first phase is scheduled for completion within the next five years at an estimated cost of $\$ 1.35$ billion and will consist of right-of-way acquisitions, access improvements (roadways), rental car facility, MIC/MIA Peoplemover Connector, MIC Core and joint development.

## 13. How is transit funded today?

FY 2002 direct operating revenues (fares, passes, etc.) are estimated at \$66.9 million. Non-operating revenue, which includes advertising, joint development leases, etc., is estimated at $\$ 6.1$ million. MDT expects to receive $\$ 15.56$ million from the State for operations and $\$ 6.6$ million from the Medicaid Trust Fund. Local government will contribute $\$ 116.9$ million (including the Capital Improvement Local Option Gas Tax) and budgeted one-time leverage lease revenues of $\$ 15$ million. The total annual operating budget is $\$ 227$ million.

Capital funds fall into two general categories: funds spent for rehabilitation or replacement of existing capital assets and funds spent for acquisition of new capital assets or expansion. A combination of Federal, State, and local sources provide funding for these categories; the Federal government provides the largest share. The capital budget for FY 2002 is $\$ 104.3$ million and includes funds for the purchase of replacement buses, facility improvements and rehabilitation, information technology, and the purchase of tools and equipment.

## 14. Will municipalities receive a portion of the surtax funds?

Municipalities will receive $20 \%$ of the surtax revenues generated to be spent on transportation enhancements only. In order to prevent a bait and switch of funds, municipalities will be required to maintain the same level of funds budgeted for transportation. Distribution will be based on population. Of the funds received, each municipality will be required to spend at least $20 \%$ of their share on transit programs and/or services. Should the municipality not utilize this portion for transit services, it will revert to Miami Dade Transit for implementation of transit services within and/or adjacent areas. Municipalities will be required to submit a list of their transportation projects for CITT review to ensure that they are eligible under Florida Statute to be funded by the surtax funds.
15. What will happen if a municipality utilizes the monies for a non-transportation project?

The municipality will be found in non-compliance and will forfeit their share of the transportation surtax funds. The monies will then revert to the municipal pot for redistribution.
16. Who will oversee the expenditures and that what is promised to the public will be accomplished? What is the Citizen's Independent Transportation Trust?

The BCC approved the creation of the Citizen's Independent Transportation Trust. The members of the Citizen's Trust will serve as a truly independent "watchdog" group empowered to monitor, oversee, review, audit and investigate implementation of the transportation and transit projects funded in whole or in part with the surtax proceeds. Additionally, federal oversight is exercised over all rapid transit related expenditures, planning and operations.

## 17. Will the CITT have oversight of the municipal transportation projects?

Yes. Municipalities will be required to submit their list of transportation projects for compliance. Additionally, the CITT will have the authority to request reports and audits on transportation projects funded with the surtax revenues.
18. Will the BCC be able to overturn the CITT's decision?

Yes, however, it will require $2 / 3$ ( 9 of 13) vote of the members of the full Board of County Commissioners.
19. Who appoints the members to the Citizen's Independent Transportation Trust?

A nominating committee comprised of 20 members who are representative of the geographical, ethnic, racial and gender make-up of the County. (i.e. Business chambers, United Way, MDCC, NAACP, FIU, and others). The nominating committee will submit a slate of four candidates from each commission district to the commissioner of each district for selection.
20.Can the surtax revenues be diverted for some other non-transportation projects?

NO. Under Florida Statute (212.055(1)(d)1-3) all surtax revenues generated must be spent on transportation only.

## COMMUNITY ISSUES AND RESPONSES

Below is a summary of the many questions/comments received at the various district meetings, Transportation Summit, and the website (Trafficrelief.com).

- Institute pilot program for red light cameras in Dade County. There would be no cost to the county because the cameras are installed by the vendor free of charge. A portion of the fine goes back to the vendor.

For many years, the Florida Legislature has considered bills to legalize this form of issuing tickets. However, none of the bills have ever become law. Therefore, MiamiDade County is currently unable to institute a program.

- Need more E/W corridors.

In general, major North-South and East-West arterials are $1 / 2$ mile apart. However, in the South half of the County, particularly between Tamiami Trail and Kendall, major East-West arterials are one mile apart. Unfortunately, the in-between streets cannot be easily converted to major arterials due to the residential nature of the surrounding land use, and their lack of continuity caused by the Palmetto Expressway and the Florida Turnpike Extension to Homestead. This should be reviewed by the MPO as a Countywide planning function.

- Expand Krome Avenue.

This is under the purview of the Florida Department of Transportation, however, monies have been set aside under the PTP for road safety enhancements.

- Need Turnpike exit at NW 74th Street to provide an additional E/W corridor.

This is under the purview of the Florida Department of Transportation.

- Increase speed of road construction

Roadway construction is performed by various agencies. In the case of PWD, we try within the contract specifications, to assure the timely completion of roadway projects.

- Need to design streets to allow a 53-foot truck to turn in/out without cutting over median

Specific locations would need to be identified to properly review.

- Need better connections between expressways.

This is under the purview of the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), Miami Dade Expressway Authority (MDX) and the Florida Turnpike Authority.

- Limit number of curb cuts on major through-ways

This is a broad policy decision involving various agencies that have ownership of major arterials, namely FDOT and Miami Dade County's Public Works Dept. (PWD).

- Prioritize roadway markings - after construction, make sure roads are appropriately re-marked.

PWD roadway construction projects include markings upon completion of the project.

- NW 25th Street overpass into airport should be moved to NW 107th so traffic can start moving from there.

This is under the purview of the FDOT and the Miami-Dade Aviation Department.

- SR 112 exiting onto NW 36 St. west

This is under the purview of the Miami-Dade Expressway Authority (MDX).

- Open up NW 117th Avenue from NW 41st Street south

Said closure was initiated by the Florida Turnpike Authority in connection with improvements to off-ramps in that area.

- SR 826 north bound needs an SR 836 west bound exit

This is under the purview of the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) and the Miami-Dade Expressway Authority (MDX).

- SR 826 west bound to I-95 north bound connection needed

This is under the purview of the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT).

- I-95 to SR 826 west

This is under the purview of the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT).

- Do not allow blocking of roads during peak hours

Miami-Dade code prohibits blocking of intersections and can be enforced by the Miami-Dade Police Department.

- Coordinate with School Board - new school zones significantly affect community traffic flow

School speed zones are provided for the safety of students during arrival and dismissal time. PWD policy regulates the hours posted on school zone signs. Currently, the signs limit the 15 MPH zone to 30 minutes before and 15 minutes after, during the arrival and 15 minutes before and 30 minutes after, during dismissal.

- Need more short-term solutions - widening and labeling right turn lanes at intersections

PWD currently has intersection improvements programmed (i.e. adding left/right turn lanes) at various locations throughout Miami-Dade County that offer short-term solutions.

- Changing 2-way flow to 1-way at certain times increases accidents and is expensive

PWD staff agrees with the statement above.

- Implement overpass systems at high-traffic intersections

FDOT is constructing overpasses at many locations: US-1 \& NE 203 Street (complete), SR-112 \& Le Jeune Road (complete), SR-826 \& NW 103 Street (under construction), SR-826 \& Okeechobee Road (soon under construction) and Le Jeune Road \& Okeechobee (soon under construction).

- Stop signs are located E/W rather than N/S

Stop signs are generally installed on lower volume streets, rather than N/S or E/W. If the Stop signs are installed only in the E/W direction, it will result in increased speeding in the N/S direction.

- Readdress process of road resurfacing - cut through the politics - roads that have not been resurfaced in 20 years should be at the top of the list

Prioritization pending, however, additional funding is being proposed under the Transportation Action Plan to initiate countywide road resurfacing effort.

- How can we improve the design problem on SR 836 ?

There are 16 projects funded by the Miami-Dade Expressway Authority in the fiveyear plan to address the design and traffic flow pattern on SR 836.

- There is a bottleneck by 57th Avenue. The tolls on 836 create traffic and need to be eliminated. I hear they are going to dedicate lanes for Hurricane relief but what about the Keys?

There are near-term plans for new toll plaza on SR-836 near NW 57 Avenue. However, the Miami-Dade Expressway Authority has longer-term plans to institute "open-road tolling," a relatively new concept to remove all toll plazas and track toll collection through high-tech means. The Florida Department of Transportation has been working on the design for planned improvements to the 18-mile stretch of US-1 that crosses the county line. Their design will consider hurricane evacuation.)

- No place for bicyclists to ride safely. Bicycle lanes are ignored or are nonexistent.

Bike lanes are required to be considered on all new roadway construction projects. Unfortunately, limited right-of-way and preferences for landscaping or wide sidewalks often prevent bike lanes from being implemented. Enhancement of bike paths and greenways have been included as part of the proposed transportation action plan developed from the input received at various district meeting and Transportation Summit.

- Dolphin expressway west to Krome Avenue

This project proposal would require an amendment to the County's Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP), which the County's future Land-Use Plan. Proposals for transportation improvements are analyzed for their benefits and potential negative impacts on future land-use and the future transportation system.

## - Extend 836 West (Turnpike to Krome)

This project proposal would require an amendment to the County's Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP), which the County's future Land-Use Plan. Proposals for transportation improvements are analyzed for their benefits and potential negative impacts on future land-use and the future transportation system.

- Webcam strategically placed on major highways.

There already exists a network of surveillance cameras that provide visual updates on 1-95, the Palmetto, and SR-836. As part of the Advanced Traveler Information Systems - Sunguide - provides traffic internet web reports (as one of the many ways they use to disseminate traffic information) at their website: www.smartraveler.com

- Radio broadcast by county ( 24 hours) to provide traffic reports \& results of these workshops/issues.

The Traffic Radio concept is currently being explored. A Traffic Radio Feasibility Study was completed last summer by David Fierro and Associates responding to a request by the MPO Board Subcommittee. Implementation of this idea will require some time and sponsorship is currently being sought. This is a public-private joint effort. If the private sector partner does not find the project worthwhile, it may not happen.

- Reverse flow w/moveable barriers during rush hours.

In the early 1990's, a study was conducted to explore the feasibility of implementing reversible flow traffic operations on a county arterial (Flagler Street). The recommendation from the consultant was not to implement reversible-flow on Flagler. (This recommendation was the result of simulations that showed an overall net negative benefit when considering the impacts to both directions of travel, safety, access to adjacent residential neighborhoods, increased north-south cross traffic demands and bus operations.)

The l-95 Master Plan includes the implementation of reversible-flow lanes during rush hours. The Florida Department of Transportation has not finalized the staging plan for this improvement.

- Change the 2-way flow direction of certain streets into 1-way flow to alleviate congestion during specific times of the day.

Reversible Lane Control Systems are an excellent way to improve capacity of corridors that meet certain criteria. Appropriate corridors have very infrequent side street intersections and very few driveway entrances. Unfortunately, Miami-Dade has very few, if any, arterials that are suitable.

- Reversible flow operations have been recently talked about for use on Kendall Drive. What action has been taken?

At the request of the Kendall Federation of Homeowners, the Metropolitan Planning Organization is in the process of assessing this concept on Kendall Drive, from U.S. 1 to the Florida Turnpike. The results are expected within the next 90-days.

- Establish control growth limits until infrastructure catches up.

There appeared to be one statement that was directed to the Department of Planning \& Zoning. It simply stated the frustration that exists as a result of additional traffic congestion caused by additional fringe development. In response, we should acknowledge the existence of the County's Comprehensive Development Master Plan and the legally defined Urban Boundary beyond which no new urbanization can occur. The majority of the development that has occurred in recent years is a result of development approvals that were granted years ago. In fact, the Urban Development Boundary has not been substantially expanded within the last ten (10) years. Recent initiatives are underway in an effort to accommodate a sizable portion of our future growth within the Urban Development Boundary and concentrated around existing and future transit facilities. In addition, our community must be willing to accommodate a sizable portion of new growth in other appropriate locations within the urban area. Not only must we seek ways to shorten the daily auto trip lengths buy also provide alternative modes of travel. It is not a question as to whether we will grow, but how. We must contain sprawl and at the same time insure our existing residents that future infill development will occur in a harmonious and well planned manner.

- The elected officials are usually better informed than the community. The community needs to feel involved in these decisions as well.

The Citizens' Transportation Advisory Committee (CTAC) is an appointed body of citizen volunteers who report to the Board of County Commissioners and the MPO Governing Board on transportation issues. The CTAC meets monthly to review the various transportation issues. If a citizen wants to join CTAC, they should express interest to the MPO's Public Information Manager, Clinton Forbes. To learn about future transportation meetings, please call 305-884-7567.
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| Date | Type of Improvements | Routes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Feb. 2003 | Weekday Headway Improvement | Improve peak headways from 60 to 30 mins . for |
|  |  | Routes E, R, V, 28, 35, 57, 65, 70, 91, 104 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Mar. 2003 | New Routes | Sweetwater Connection |
| (Lineup) |  | Hialeah Gardens Connection |
|  |  |  |
|  | Priority Schedule Improvements | Schedule adjustments, trippers, and headway improvements: |
|  |  | Routes $9,10,11,17,27,28,54,83,88$, L, Flagler MAX, |
|  |  | Biscayne MAX, Busway MAX, Coral Reef MAX, |
|  |  | KAT 88, KAT 72. |
|  |  |  |
|  | Realign to New Palmetto Station | Doral Connection, Okeechobee Connection, |
|  |  | Hialeah Gardens Connection |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Apr. 2003 | Weekday Midday Headways | Improve the midday headways from 60 to 30 mins. for: |
|  |  | Routes 28, 35, 42, 52, 72, 104, |
|  |  | Extend Route B evening span to midnight |
|  |  | Improve weekend headways to 30 mins. for Rts. $35,42,52$ |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| May, 2003 | Weekend Improvements | Improve weekend headways from 60 to 30 mins. for: |
|  |  | Routes B, G, 12, 17, 21, 33, 37, 40, 54, 75 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Jun. 2003 | 24 Hour Service | Add overnight service every 60 mins. for: |
|  |  | Routes L, S. 3, 11, 12, 27, 38, 40, 54, 77, 88 |
|  |  | Metrorail 24 hour service (from midnight to 5:00 AM) |
|  |  | Improve metrorail headways as follows: |
|  |  | Peak Hours: 6 minutes headways |
|  |  | Midday weekdays: 10 minutes headways |
|  |  | Weekday evenings and weekends: 15 minutes headways |
|  |  |  |
| Jul. 2003 | Weekday Headways | Improve Route S weekday headway from 12 to 10 mins. |
| (Lineup) |  | Improve Route 83 weekday peak from 20 to 15 mins. |
|  |  |  |
|  | Weekday Midday Headways | Improve Routes 9, 33, and Coral Reef MAX to 30 mins. |
|  |  |  |
|  | Saturday Headways | improve Route 77 from 20 to 15 mins. |


| Date | Type of Improvements | Routes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Aug. 2003 | Weekday Midday Headways | Improve the midday headways from 60 to 30 mins. for: |
|  |  | Routes E, R, V, 70, 73, West Dade |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Sep. 2003 | 24 Hour Service | Complete the overnight service every 60 mins. for: |
|  |  | Routes C, J, 2, 8, 24, 37, 52, 62, 83 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Oct. 2003 | New Routes | Doral-Airport West Connection |
|  |  | Flagami Circulator |
|  |  |  |
|  | Weekday Headways | Improve peak headways to 30 mins. for: |
|  |  | Routes 6, 29,48 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | Improve offpeak headways to 30 mins. for |
|  |  | Routes 6, 48 |
|  |  |  |
| Nov. 2003 | Reassign Buses | Convert Routes E, M, R, V, W, 132 to minibuses |
| (Lineup) |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | Weekday Headways | Improve Route J peak from 20 to 15 mins. |
|  |  | Improve Route L peak from 10 to $71 / 2 \mathrm{mins}$. |
|  |  | Improve Route 3 weekday from 20 to 15 mins . |
|  |  | Improve Route 16 peak from 20 to 15 mins. |
|  |  | improve Route 77 peak from 10 to $71 / 2 \mathrm{mins}$. |
|  |  | Improve Killian KAT from 6 to 5 mins. |
|  |  | Improve Kendall KAT feom 15 to 12 mins. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | FDOT grant project | Restructure Busway MAX and Busway Local and |
|  |  | improve MAX peak headway from 15 to 10 mins. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Dec. 2003 | New Routes (FDOT grant) | Goulds/Saga Bay Circulator |
|  |  | Florida City Circulator |
|  |  | Liberty City Circulator |
|  |  |  |
|  | Weekend Improvements | Improve weekend headways to 30 mins. for: |
|  |  | Routes 29, 72, 87, 91 |
|  |  |  |

PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION PLAN SERVICE IMPROVEMENTS

| Date | Type of Improvements | Routes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| 2004 | New Routes | Beach MAX, 79 Street MAX, Beach MAX, Gratigny Connection, |
|  |  | Intercounty Connection, Kendall Connection, Route 122 |
|  |  |  |
|  | Peak Headway Improvements | C, G, H, K, M, W, 1, 7, 9, 10, 12, 21, 22, 32, 33, 36, 37, 42, 52, 54, 73, 75, |
|  |  | 88, 95, 27 Av . MAX, Bird Rd. MAX, Busway Local, Coral Reef MAX, |
|  |  | Ludiam MAX, Saga Bay MAX, Sunset KAT, Coral Way MAX, |
|  |  | Florida City Circ., Little Haiti Circ., Little Havana Circ. |
|  |  | Tri-Rail Feeders every 20 mins. |
|  |  |  |
|  | Offpeak Headway Improvments | K, 1, 2, 7, 10, 21, 29, Bird road MAX, Biscayne MAX, Busway Local |
|  |  | Busway MAX, Doral Connection, East-West Connection, |
|  |  | North Dade Connection, Okeechobee Connection, Sunset KaT |
|  |  |  |
|  | Weekend Headway Improvements | A, E, M, 1, 6, 28, 29, 32, 73, 104, Busway Local, Coral Reef MAX, |
|  |  | West Dade Connection |
|  |  |  |
|  | Route Extensions | A, 2, 8, 24, 35, 37, 57, 70, 87, 88, 104, Busway Local, Kendall KAT, |
|  |  | Killian KAT, Sunset KAT, Tri-Rail airport Feeder, West Dade Conn. |
|  |  |  |
| 2005 | New Routes | 7 th Avenue MAX, 80 Street MAX, 96 Street MAX, 97 Ave. Crosstown |
|  |  | 136 Street Crosstown, 163 St. Shuttle, Kendale Lakes Connection |
|  |  | Kendall Circulator, Red Road MAX, West Kendall Crosstown, |
|  |  | Western Express |
|  |  |  |
|  | Peak Headway Improvements | A, E, R, V, 6, 28, 29, 35, 48, 56, 57, 65, 70, 71, 72, 87, 91, 104, Doral |
|  |  | Connection, East-West Connection, North Dade Connection, |
|  |  | Okeechobee Connection, West Dade Connection, Doral-Airport |
|  |  | West Connection, Hialeah Gardens Connection, Saga Bay/Goulds |
|  |  | Feeder |
|  |  |  |
|  | Offpeak Headway Improvments | Hialeah Gardens Connection |
|  |  |  |
|  | Route Extensions | 6, 21, 28, 36, 75, 91 |
|  |  |  |




| PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION PLAN <br> BUS SERVICE IMPROVEMENTS Friday 08/30/2002 4:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ROUTE | DESCRIPTION | IMPROVEMENT | START DATE | DAY | FREQ <br> CURRENT | JENCY PROPOSED | HOURS OF CURRENT | ICE <br> PROPOSED | $\begin{gathered} \text { COMIAISSION } \\ \text { DISTRICTS } \end{gathered}$ |
| L | Miami Beach to Hialeah via Colins Avenue, 79th Street Causeway, and 79 Street | Improve peak headways from 10 to $71 / 2$ minutes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 10 <br> $-\quad 12$ <br> 15 <br> 20 | $7 \frac{1}{2}$ 12 15 20 | M-F: 4:30am-2:30am <br> Sat: 4:30am-2:30am <br> Sun: 5:00am - 2:30am | 24 hours <br> 24 hours <br> 24 hours | $2,3,4,5,6,13$ |
| M | Civic Center to Miami Beach via Omni Terminal and MacArthur Causeway | Improve peak headways from 30 to 15 minutes | 2004 | Weekday Peak Weekday Oft-peak Saturday Sunday | 30 <br> 30 <br> 60 <br> 60 | 15 30 60 60 | M-F: 5:30am-11:30pm <br> Sat: 5:30am-11:30pm <br> Sun: 5:00am - 7:00pm | same | 3,4,5 |
| M | Civic Center to Miami Beach via Omni Terminal and MacArthur Causeway | improve weekend headways from 60 to 30 minutes | 2004 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 30 <br> 30 <br> 60 <br> 60 | 15 30 30 30 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M-F: } 5: 30 \mathrm{am}-11: 30 \mathrm{pm} \\ & \text { Sat: } 5: 30 \mathrm{am}-11: 30 \mathrm{pm} \\ & \text { Sun: } 5: 00 \mathrm{am}-7: 00 \mathrm{pm} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | same | 3,4,5 |
| A | Suriside to south Miami Beach | Improve peak headways from 60 to 30 minutes | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feb } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | M-F: 6:00am - 7:30pm | same | 4,5 |
| R | Surside to south Miami Beach | Improve midday headways from 60 to 30 minutes | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aug } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 60 <br> 60 <br> $\vdots$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | M-F: 6:00am - 7:30pm | same | 4.5 |
| R | Suriside to south Miami Beach | Improve peak headways from 30 to 15 minutes | 2005 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{15}{30}$ | M-F: 6:00am - 7:30pm | same | 4,5 |
| S | Aventura Mall to $C B D$ via Miami Beach | All night service, every 60 minutes, seven days a week. Serves the Government Center station | June $2003$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 12 <br> 12 <br> 12 <br> 15 | 12 <br> 12 <br> 12 <br> 15 | M-F: $4: 30 \mathrm{am}-2: 00 \mathrm{am}$ Sat: $4: 30 \mathrm{am}-2: 00 \mathrm{am}$ Sun: $4: 30 \mathrm{am}-2: 00 \mathrm{am}$ | 24 hours <br> 24 hours <br> 24 hours | 3, 4,5 |
| S | Aventura Mall to CBD via Miami Beach | Improve daily headways from 12 to 10 minutes | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 12 <br> 12 <br> 12 <br> 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 10 \\ & 12 \\ & 15 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | M-F: 4:30am-2:00am <br> Sat: 4:30am-2:00am <br> Sun: 4:30am-2:00am | 24 hours <br> 24 hours <br> 24 hours | 3, 4, 5 |
| T | Bal Harbor to CBD via Miami Beach and Omni Terminal | Extend to Aventura Mall; modify into Beach MAX (limited stop) route | 2004 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 20 <br> 30 <br> 30 <br> 30 | 15 <br> 30 <br> $-\quad 30$ <br> 30 | M-F: 5:00am-10:30pm Sat: 6:00am-10:30 pm Sun: 6:00am-10:00pm | same | 3,4,5 |
| v | Diplomat Mall to Golden Glades via Miami Beach and North Miami Beach | Improve peak headways from 60 to 30 minutes | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feb } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 60 <br> -60 | 30 60 $\therefore$ | M-F: 8:00am-6:00pm | same | 2, 4 |
| V | Diplomat Mall to Golden Glades via Miami Beach and North Miami Beach | Improve midday headways from 60 to 30 minutes | Aug <br> 2003 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\frac{60}{60}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | M-F: 8:00am-6:00pm | same | 2,4 |


| PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION PLAN <br> BUS SERVICE IMPROVEMENTS $\text { Friday 08/30/2002 } \quad 4: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ROUTE | DESCRIPTION | IMPROVEMENT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { START } \\ & \text { DATE } \end{aligned}$ | 1) DAY | CURAE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ENCY } \\ & \text { PROPOSED } \end{aligned}$ | HOURS OF: CURRENT | VICE PROPOSED | COMMISSION DISTRICTS |
| V | Diplomat Mall to Goiden Glades via Miami Beach and North Miami Beach | Improve peak headways from 30 to 15 minutes | 2005 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | M-F: 8:00am -6:00pm | same | 2, 4 |
| W | Miami Beach via Washington Avenue, West Avenue, and Alton Road | Improve peak headways from 24 to 15 minutes | 2004 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 24 \\ & 24 \\ & 24 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 15 <br> 24 <br> 24 <br> 24 | M-F: 8:00am - 8:30pm <br> Sat: 8:00am - 8:30pm <br> Sun: 10:00am - 7:30pm | same | 4.5 |
| 1 | South Miami Heights to Dadeland South Station via Busway | Improve peak headways from 20 to 15 minutes | 2004 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 40 \\ & 40 \\ & 60 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 15 <br> 40 <br> 40 <br> 60 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M-F: } 5: 00 \mathrm{am}-11: 30 \mathrm{pm} \\ & \text { Sat: } 5: 30 \mathrm{am}-9: 30 \mathrm{pm} \\ & \text { Sun: } 5: 30 \mathrm{am}-9: 00 \mathrm{pm} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | same | 7,8,9 |
| 1 | South Miami Heights to Dadeland South Station via Busway | Improve off-peak and weekend headways from 40/60 to 30 minutes | 2004 | Weekday Peak <br> Weekday Off-peak <br> Saturday <br> Sunday | 20 <br> 40 <br> 40 <br> 60 | 15 <br> 30 <br> 30 <br> 30 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M-F: } 5: 00 \mathrm{am}-11: 30 \mathrm{pm} \\ & \text { Sat: } 5: 30 \mathrm{am}-9: 30 \mathrm{pm} \\ & \text { Sun: } 5: 30 \mathrm{am}-9: 00 \mathrm{pm} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | same | 7,8,9 |
| 2 | 163 Street Mall to CBD via Miami Avenue and NW 2 Avenue | All night service, every 60 minutes, seven days a week. Serves the Overtown station | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 15 \\ 20 \\ 30 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \\ & 20 \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | M-F: 5:00am-11:30pm <br> Sat: 5:30am-11:30pm <br> Sun: 5:30am-9:30pm | 24 hours <br> 24 hours <br> 24 hours | 2, 3, 4, 5 |
| 2 | 163 Street Mall to CBD via Miami Avenue and NW 2 Avenue | Improve weekday headways north of NW 84 Street from 60 to 30 min | 2004 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 15 <br> 15 <br> 20 <br> 30 | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \\ & 20 \\ & 30 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | M-F: 5:00am - 11:30pm <br> Sat: 5:30am - 11:30pm <br> Sun: 5:30am-9:30pm | 24 hours <br> 24 hours <br> 24 hours | 2, 3, 4, 5 |
| 2 | 163 Street Mall to CBD via Miami Avenue and NW 2 Avenue | Re-align northern terminus to future Golden Glades Intermodal Terminal | 2004 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 15 <br> 15 <br> 20 <br> 30 | 15 <br> 15 <br> 20 <br> 30 | M-F: 5:00am-11:30pm Sat: 5:30am-11:30pm Sun: 5:30am-9:30pm | 24 hours <br> 24 hours <br> 24 hours | 2, 3, 4, 5 |
| 3 | Diplomat Mall to CBD via 163 Street Mall and Biscayne Boulevard | All night service, every 60 minutes, seven days a week. Serves the Government Center station | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 20 <br> 20 <br> 15 <br> 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \\ & 15 \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | M-F: 4:30am-2:00am <br> Sat: 5:00am-2:00am <br> Sun: 5:00am-1:00am | 24 hours <br> 24 hours <br> 24 hours | 2, 3, 4, 5 |
| 3 | Diplomat Mall to CBD via 163 Street Mall and Biscayne Boulevard | Improve weekday headways from 20 to 15 minutes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOV } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 20 20 15 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \\ & 15 \\ & \hline 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | M-F: 4:30am-2:00am <br> Sat: 5:00am-2:00am <br> Sun: 5:00am-1:00am | 24 hours <br> 24 hours <br> 24 hours | 2, 3, 4, 5 |
| 6 | Allapattah to Coconut Grove via Little Havana and Downtown Miami | Introduce weekend service at 60 minute headways | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 2002 \end{aligned}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{array}{r} 60 \\ 60 \\ - \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 60 <br> 60 <br> 60 <br> 60 | M-F: 8:00am - 6:00pm Sat: Sun: | same <br> 8:00am - 6:00pm <br> 8:00am - 6:00pm | 3,5,6,7 |
| 6 | Allapattah to Coconut Grove via Little Havana and Downtown Miami | Improve peak headways from 60 to 30 minutes | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 60 <br> 60 | 30 <br> 60 <br> 60 <br> 60 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M-F: 8:00am-6:00pm } \\ & \text { Sat: } \\ & \text { Sun: } \end{aligned}$ | same 8:00am-6:00pm 8:00am-6:00pm | 3, 5, 6, 7 |


|  Friday 08/30/2002 $4: 00$ PM <br> PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION PLAN   <br> BUS SERVICE IMPROVEMENTS   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Route | description | Improvement | START DATE | day | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FREQU } \\ & \text { CURRENT } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { UENCY } \\ & \text { PROPOSED } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | HOURS OF S CURRENT | VICE PROPOSED | COMMISSION DISTRICTS |
| 6 | Allapattah to Coconut Grove via Little Havana and Downtown Miami | Improve off-peak headways from 60 to 30 minutes | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Oct } \\ 2003 \end{array}$ | Weekday Peak <br> Weekday OHt-peak <br> Saturday <br> Sunday | $\frac{60}{60}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 30 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MF: 8:00am - 6:00pm } \\ & \text { Sat: } \quad \therefore \\ & \text { Sun: } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { same } \\ \hline \text { 8:00am - 6:00.pm } \\ \text { 8:00am-6:000m } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 3, 5, 6, 7 |
| 6 | Allapattah to Coconut Grove via Little Havana and Downtown Miami | to 30 minutes <br> Improve weekend headways from 60 to 30 minutes | 2004 | Weekday Peak <br> Weekday Offpeak <br> Saturday <br> Sunday | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | 30 <br> 30 <br> 30 <br> 30 | M-F: 8:00am-6:00pm Sat: <br> Sun: | same <br> 8:00am-6:00pm 8:00am-6:00pm | 3, 5, 6, 7 |
| 6 | Allapattah to Coconut Grove via Little Havana and Downtown Miami | Extend route to serve the Miami Intermodal Center | 2005 | Weekday Peak <br> Weekday Oftipeak <br> Saturday <br> Sunday | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \\ & 30 \\ & 30 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M-F: 8:00am - 6:00pm } \\ & \text { Sat: } \\ & \text { Sun: } \end{aligned}$ | same <br> 8:00am-6:00pm 8:00am - 6:00pm | 3, 5,6,7 |
| 6 | Allapattah to Coconut Grove via Little Havana and Downtown Miami | Improve peak headways from 30 to 15 minutes | 2005 | Weekday Peak <br> Weekday Otflepak <br> Saturday <br> Sunday | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 30 \\ & 30 \\ & 30 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M-F: 8:00am - 6:000m } \\ & \text { Sat: } \\ & \text { Sun: } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | same <br> 8:00am - $6: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ 8:00am-6:00pm | 3, 5, 6, 7 |
| 7 | Dolohin Mall to CBD via Miam International Mall, Fontainebleau, NW 7 Street, Miami Springs and Little Havana | Improve daily headways from 40 to 30 minutes on each branch or 15 minutes on the main line | 2004 | Weerday Peeak <br> Weekday Otfepak <br> Sautroay <br> Sunday | 20 <br> 20 <br> 40 <br> 60 | $\begin{array}{\|r} 15 \\ 15 \\ 40 \\ \hline 60 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M-F: 5:00am - 11:00pm } \\ & \text { Sat: 6:00am - 10:30pm } \\ & \text { Sun: 6:00am-9:30pm } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | same | 3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 12 |
| 7 | Dolphin Mal to CBD via Miami International Mall, Fontainebleau, NW 7 Street, Miami Springs and Little Havana | Improve weekend headways from 40/60 to 30 minutes | 2004 | Weekday Peak <br> Weekday Off-peak <br> Saturday <br> Sunday | 20 <br> 20 <br> 40 <br> 60 | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \\ & 15 \\ & 30 \\ & 30 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | M-F: 5:00am - 11:00pm <br> Sat: 6:00am-10:30pm Sun: 6:00am-9:30pm | same | 3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 12 |
| 8 | FIU South Campus to CBD via Westchester, SW 8 Street, and Little Havana | All night service, every 60 minutes, seven days a week. Serves the Government Center station | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 15 \\ 20 \\ 20 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 15 \\ 20 \\ 20 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | M-F: 4:30am - 12:30am <br> Sat: 5:00am-12:00am Sun: 5:00am - 12:00am | 24 hours <br> 24 hours 24 hours | 5, 6, 7. 10, 11, 12 |
| 8 | FIU South Campus to CBD via Westchester, SW 8 Street, and Little Havana | Extend service westward to SW 137 | 2004 | Weekday Peak <br> Weekday Otf-peak <br> Saturday <br> Sunday | 10 <br> 15 <br> $\frac{15}{20}$ <br> 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 15 \\ & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | M-F: 4:30am-12:30am Sat: 5:00am-12:00am Sun: 5:00am-12:00am | 24 hours <br> $-\frac{24 \text { hours }}{24 \text { hours }}$ | 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12 |
| 8 | FIU South Campus to CBD via Westchester, SW 8 Street, and Little Havana | Extend Westchester short trips to FIU Terminal | 2005 | Weekday Peak <br> Weekday Ott-peak <br> Saturday <br> Sunday | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ \frac{15}{25} \\ 20 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 15 \\ & 20 \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | M-F: 4:30am - 12:30am Sat: 5:00am-12:00am Sun: 5:00am - 12:00am | 24 hours 24 hours 24 hours | 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12 |
| 9 | Aventura Mall to CBD via 163 Street Mall and NE 2 Avenue | Add an extra trip in the early afternoon peak | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | Weekday Peak <br> Weekday Offtpeak <br> Saturday <br> Sunday | 15 40 40 60 | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 40 \\ & 40 \\ & 40 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | M-F: 4:30am to 12:00am <br> Sat: 5:00am to 1:00am Sun: 5:00am - 11:00pm | same | 2, 3, 4, 5 |
| 9 | Aventura Mall to CBD via 163 Street Mall and NE 2 Avenue | Improve midday and weekend headways from 40/60 to 30 minutes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | Weekday Peak <br> Weekday Offpeak <br> Saturday <br> Sunday | 15 <br> 40 <br> 40 <br> 60 | 15 <br> 30 <br> 30 <br> -30 | M-F: 4:30am to 12:00am <br> Sat: 5:00am to 1:00am <br> Sun: 5:00am - 11:00pm | same | 2, 3, 4, 5 |






| PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION PLAN Friday 08/30/2002 <br> BUS SERVICE IMPROVEMENTS  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ROUTE | DESCRIPTION | IMPROVEMENT | STAFT DATE | DAY | FREC CURRENT | IENCY PROPOSED | HOURS OF CURAENT | ICE PROPOSED | COMMISSION DISTRICTS |
| 32 | Carol City to Omni via Opa-Locka, Northside, Liberty City and Civic Center | Improve weekend headways from $40 / 60$ to 30 minutes | 2004 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 20 <br> 30 <br> 40 <br> 60 | 15 <br> 30 <br> 30 <br> 30 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M-F: 5:00am-12:30pm } \\ & \text { Sat: 5:30am-11:00pm } \\ & \text { Sun: 5:30am-11:00pm } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | same | 1, 2, 3, 5 |
| 33 | Hialeah Gardens to Miami Shores via 103 rd Street ( 49 St ) and 95th Street | Improve weekend headways from 60 to 30 minutes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 45 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 45 \\ & 30 \\ & 30 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | M-F: $5: 30 \mathrm{am}-11: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ Sat: $6: 30 \mathrm{am}-11: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ Sun: $6: 30 \mathrm{am}-7: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ | same | 2, 3, 12, 13 |
| 33 | Hialeah Gardens to Miami Shores via 103rd Street ( 49 St ) and 95th Street | improve midday headways from 45 to 30 minutes | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 45 \\ & 60 \\ & 60 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | M-F: 5:30am-11:30pm Sat: 6:30am-11:000pm Sun: 6:30am-7:30pm | same | 2, 3, 12, 13 |
| 33 | Hialeah Gardens to Miami Shores via 103rd Street (49 St) and 95th Street | tmprove peak headways from 30 to 15 minutes | 2004 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 45 \\ & 60 \\ & 60 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 15 <br> 30 <br> -30 <br> 30 | M-F: 5:30am - 11:30pm <br> Sat: 6:30am-11:00pm <br> Sun: 6:30am-7:30pm | same | 2, 3, 12, 13 |
| 35 | MDCC South to Florida City via Busway, US-1 | Extend weekend service to MiamiDade Community College (South Campus) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 2002 \end{aligned}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday. Sunday | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 60 \\ & 60 \\ & 60 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M-F: } 5: 00 \mathrm{am}-12: 00 \mathrm{am} \\ & \text { Sat: 6:00am-11:00pm } \\ & \text { Sun: 6:00am-11:30pm } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | same | 8,9 |
| 35 | MDCC South to Florida City via Busway, US-1 | improve peak headways from 60 to 30 minutes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday. Sunday | 60 <br> 60 <br> 60 <br> 60 | 30 <br> $-\quad 60$ <br> 60 <br> 60 | M-F: 5:00am-12:00am <br> Sat: 6:00am - 11:00pm <br> Sun: 6:00am-11:30pm | same | 8,9 |
| 35 | MDCC South to Florida City via Busway, US-1 | Improve off-peak headways from 60 to 30 minutes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 60 \\ & 60 \\ & 60 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 30 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | M-F: 5:00am-12:00am Sat: 6:00am-11:00pm Sun: 6:00am-11:30pm | same | 8,9 |
| 35 | MDCC South to Florida City via Busway, US-1 | Improve weekend headways from 60 to 30 minutes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 60 \\ & 60 \\ & 60 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \\ & 30 \\ & 30 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | M-F: 5:00am-12:00am <br> Sat: 6:00am-11:00pm <br> Sun: 6:00am-11:30pm | same | 8,9 |
| 35 | MDCC South to Florida City via Busway, US-1 | Re-align along South Miami-Dade Busway Extension | 2004 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{array}{r} 60 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 30 <br> 30 <br> 30 <br> 30 | M-F: 5:00am - 12:00am <br> Sat: 6:00am-11:00pm <br> Sun: 6:00am-11:30pm | same | 8,9 |
| 35 | MDCC South to Florida City via Busway, US-1 | improve peak headways from 30 to 15 minutes | 2005 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 60 \\ & 60 \\ & 60 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{15}{30} \\ & 30 \\ & 30 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | M-F: 5:00am-12:00am <br> Sat: 6:00am-11:00pm <br> Sun: 6:00am - 11:30pm | same | 8, 9 |
| 36 | Koger Office Park and Miami Springs to Omni Terminal via 36 Street and Biscayne Boulevard | Improve peak headways from 20 to 15 minutes | 2004 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 30 \\ & 30 \\ & 30 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 30 \\ & 30 \\ & 30 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | M-F: 5:00am - 10:00pm <br> Sat: 6:00am-8:30pm <br> Sun: 6:00am-8:30pm | same | 2, 3, 6, 12 |


| PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION PLAN <br> BUS SERVICE IMPROVEMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ROUTE | description | IMPROVEMENT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { START } \\ & \text { DATE } \end{aligned}$ | DAY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FREQ } \\ & \text { CURRENT } \end{aligned}$ | JENCY PROPOSED | HOURS OF CURRENT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IICE } \\ & \text { PROPOSED } \end{aligned}$ | COMMISSION DISTRICTS |
| 36 | Koger Office Park and Miami Springs to Omni Terminal via 36 Street and Biscayne Boulevard | Extended route south to serve Miami international Mall | 2005 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 30 \\ & 30 \\ & 30 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 15 <br> 30 <br> 30 <br> 30 | M-F: 5:00am - 10:00pm <br> Sat: 6:00am-8:30pm <br> Sun: 6:00am-8:30pm | same | 2, 3, 6, 12 |
| 37 | Hialeah to South Miami via Palm Avenue and Douglas Road | Improve weekend headways from 60 to 30 minutes | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 30 30 60 60 | 30 <br> 30 <br> 30 <br> 30 | M-F: 4:30am -1:00am <br> Sat: 6:00am-12:00am Sun: 6:00am-11:30am | same | 1, 5, 6, 7, 13 |
| 37 | Hialeah to South Miami via Palm Avenue and Douglas Road | All night service, every 60 minutes, seven days a week. Serves the South Miami and Douglas Road stations | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 30 <br> 30 <br> 60 <br> 60 | 30 30 30 30 | M-F: 4:30am-1:00am <br> Sat: 6:00am-12:00am <br> Sun: 6:00am-11:30am | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \text { hours } \\ & 24 \text { hours } \\ & 24 \text { hours } \end{aligned}$ | 1, 5, 6, 7, 13 |
| 37 | Hialeah to South Miami via Palm Avenue and Douglas Road | Improve peak headways from 30 to 15 minutes | 2004 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 30 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 15 <br> 30 <br> 30 <br> 30 | M-F: 4:30am-1:00am <br> Sat: 6:00am-12:00am <br> Sun: 6:00am -11:30am | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \text { hours } \\ & 24 \text { hours } \\ & 24 \text { hours } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1.5, 6, 7, 13 |
| 37 | Hialeah to South Miami via Palm Avenue and Douglas Road | Extend weekday service to the Miami Lakes Technical Education Cetner | 2004 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 30 <br> 30 <br> 60 <br> 60 | 15 <br> 30 <br> 30 <br> 30 | M-F: 4:30am-1:00am <br> Sat: 6:00am-12:00am <br> Sun: 6:00am-11:30am | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \text { hours } \\ & 24 \text { hours } \\ & 24 \text { hours } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1, 5, 6, 7, 13 |
| 40 | West Miami-Dade to Coral Gables via Bird Road | Extend midday service to SW 147 Avenue with a 20 -minute headway | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 2002 \end{aligned}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 30 \\ & 30 \\ & 60 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\qquad$ | M-F: 5:30am-11:00pm <br> Sat: 6:00pm-9:00pm <br> Sun: 5:30am - 9:000pm | same | 6, 7, 10, 11 |
| 40 | West Miami-Dade to Coral Gables via Bird Road | Improve Sunday headways from 60 to 30 minutes | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Oft-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 20 \\ 30 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | M-F: 5:30am - 11:00pm <br> Sat: 6:00pm-9:00pm <br> Sun: 5:30am-9:00pm | same | $6,7,10,11$ |
| 40 | West Miami-Dade to Coral Gables via Bird Road | All night service, every 60 minutes, seven days a week. Serves the Douglas Road station | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ \hline 60 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 15 <br> 20 <br> 30 <br> 30 | M-F: 5:30am-11:00pm Sat: $6: 00 \mathrm{pm}-9: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ Sun: 5:30am-9:00pm | 24 hours <br> 24 hours <br> 24 hours | 6, 7, 10, 11 |
| 42 | Golden Glades to Coconut Grove via LeJeune Road | Improve peak headways from 60 to 30 minutes | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | Weekday Peak Woekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 60 -60 60 60 | 30 <br> 60 <br> 60 <br> 60 | M-F: 4:30am-9:00pm <br> Sat: 6:00am-7:00pm <br> Sun: 6:00am-8:000m | same | 1, 6, 7, 13 |
| 42 | Golden Glades to Coconut Grove via LeJeune Road | Improve midday headways from 60 to 30 minutes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apriil } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 30 <br> -30 <br> 60 <br> 60 | M-F: 4:30am - $9: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ <br> Sat: 6:00am-7:00pm <br> Sun: 6:00am - 8:00pm | same | 1,6, 7, 13 |
| 42 | Golden Glades to Coconut Grove via LeJeune Road | Improve weekend headways from 60 to 30 minutes | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 60 <br> 60 <br> 60 <br> 60 | $\begin{array}{r}30 \\ 30 \\ -\quad 30 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | M-F: 4:30am -9:00pm <br> Sat: 6:00am-7:00pm <br> Sun: 6:00am-8:00pm | same | 1, 6, 7, 13 |


| PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION PLAN Friday 08/30/2002 $4: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ <br> BUS SERVICE IMPROVEMENTS   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ROUTE | DESCRIPTION | IMPROVEMENT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { START } \\ & \text { DATE } \end{aligned}$ | DAY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FREQI } \\ & \text { CURRENT } \end{aligned}$ | JENCY PROPOSED | HOURS OF CURRENT | VICE PROPOSED | COMMISSION DISTRICTS |
| 42 | Golden Glades to Coconut Grove via LeJeune Road | Improve peak headways from 30 to 15 minutes | 2004 | Weekday Peak <br> Weekday Off-peak <br> Saturday <br> Sunday | 60 <br> 60 <br> 60 <br> 60 | 15 <br> 30 <br> $-\quad 30$ <br>  | M-F: 4:30am -9:00pm <br> Sat: 6:00am - 7:00pm <br> Sun: 6:00am-8:00pm | same | 1,6, 7, 13 |
| 48 | Civic Center to South Miami via Overtown. CBD and Coral Gables | Improve peak headways from 60 to 30 minutes | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 60 \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | M-F: 5:00am-8:30pm | same | 3, 5, 7 |
| 48 | Civic Center to South Miami via Overtown, CBD and Coral Gables | Improve midday headways from 60 to 30 minutes | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | M-F: 5:00am-8:30pm | same | 3, 5, 7 |
| 48 | Civic Center to South Miami via Overtown, CBD and Coral Gables | improve peak headways from 30 to 15 minutes | 2005 | Weekday Peak <br> Weekday Off-peak <br> Saturday <br> Sunday | $\begin{array}{r} 60 \\ 60 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{r}15 \\ 30 \\ \hline\end{array}\right.$ | M-F: 5:00am - 8:30pm | same | 3, 5, 7 |
| 52 | Coral Gables to Cutler Ridge via South Miami, Busway, Perrine and Richmond Heights | Improve midday headways from 60 to 30 minutes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aprid } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 30 <br> 30 <br> 60 <br> 60 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M-F: } 5: 00 \mathrm{am}-11: 30 \mathrm{pm} \\ & \text { Sat: 6:00am- } 11: 00 \mathrm{pm} \\ & \text { Sun: 6:00am-11:30pm } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | same | 6,7.8,9 |
| 52 | Coral Gables to Cutter Ridge via South Miami, Busway, Perrine and Richmond Heights | Improve weekend headways from 60 to 30 minutes | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | Weekday Peak <br> Weekday Off-peak <br> Saturday <br> Sunday | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ \hline 30 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { M-F: 5:00am-11:30pm } \\ \text { Sat: 6:00am-11:00pm } \\ \text { Sun: 6:00am -11:30pm } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | same | 6, 7, 8, 9 |
| 52 | Coral Gables to Cutler Ridge via South Miami, Busway, Perrine and Richmond Heights | All night service, every 60 minutes. seven days a week. Serves the Dadeland South, South Miami and University stations | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | Weekday Peak <br> Weekday Off-peak <br> Saturday <br> Sunday | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ \hline 60 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\qquad$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { M-F: 5:00am-11:30pm } \\ \text { Sat: 6:00am-11:00pm } \\ \text { Sun: 6:00am-11:30pm } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 24 hours <br> 24 hours <br> 24 hours | 6,7,8,9 |
| 52 | Coral Gables to Cutler Ridge via South Miami, Busway, Perrine and Richmond Heights | Improve peak headways from 30 to 15 minutes | 2004 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 15 <br> 30 <br> 30 <br> 30 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M-F: 5:00am - 11:30pm } \\ & \text { Sat: 6:000m-11:000pm } \\ & \text { Sun: } 6: 00 \mathrm{am}-11: 30 \mathrm{pm} \end{aligned}$ | 24 hours <br> 24 hours <br> 24 hours | 6, 7, 8,9 |
| 54 | Hialeah to Liberty City via 54th Street | Improve pm peak headways from 24 to 20 minutes | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{array}{r} 24 \\ 30 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}20 \\ -\quad 30 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { M-F: 5:00am - 10:000pm } \\ \text { Sat: 5:00am-9:30m } \\ \text { Sun: 5:00am-9:30pm } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | same | 2, 3, 6, 12, 13 |
| 54 | Hialeah to Liberty City via 54th Street | Improve weekend headways from 60 to 30 minutes | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 30 \\ & 60 \\ & 60 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r} 20 \\ \hline \quad 30 \\ \hline 30 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M-F: 5:00am - 10:00pm } \\ & \text { Sat } 5: 00 \mathrm{am}-9: 30 \mathrm{~m} \\ & \text { Sun: 5:00am -9:30pm } \end{aligned}$ | same | 2, 3, 6, 12, 13 |
| 54 | Hialeah to Liberty City via 54th Street | All night service, every 60 minutes. seven days a week. Serves the Okeechobee station | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | $\qquad$ | 20 <br> 30 <br> -60 <br> 60 | 20 <br> 30 <br> 30 <br> 30 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M-F: 5:00am - 10:000m } \\ & \text { Sat: 5:00am-9:30m } \\ & \text { Sun: 5:00am-9:30pm } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 24 hours <br> 24 hours <br> 24 hours | 2, 3, 6, 12, 13 |


|  PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION PLAN Friday $08 / 30 / 2002$ <br> BUS SERVICE IMPROVEMENTS   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ROUTE | DESCRIPTION | IMPROVEMENT | START DATE | DAY | FREQ CURRENT | JENCY <br> PROPOSED | HOURS OF S CURRENT | VICE PROPOSED | COMMISSION. DISTRICTS |
| 54 | Hialeah to Liberty City via 54th Street | Improve peak headways from 20 to 15 minutes | 2004 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 30 \\ & 60 \\ & 60 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | M-F: 5:00am-10:00pm Sat: $5: 00 \mathrm{am}-9: 30 \mathrm{~m}$ Sun: 5:00am-9:30pm | 24 hours <br> 24 hours <br> 24 hours | 2, 3, 6, 12, 13 |
| 56 | Coral Gables to Lakes of the Meadow/MDCC South Campus via Miller Road and SW 107/117 Avenues | Improve midday headways to areas east of SW 107 Avenue to 30 minutes and introduce midday service to SW 147 Avenue | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 2002 \end{aligned}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 60 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}30 \\ 30 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | M-F: 5:00am-11:30pm | same | 6,7,8,10,11 |
| 56 | Coral Gables to Lakes of the Meadow/MDCC South Campus via Miller Foad and SW 107/117 Avenues | Improve peak headways from 30 to 15 minutes | 2005 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 60 \end{array}$ | 15 30 $\cdots$ | M-F: 5:00am - 11:30pm | same | 6, 7, 8, 10, 11 |
| 57 | South Miami to Pinecrest | Improve peak headways from 60 to 30 minutes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | Weekday Peak <br> Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 60 | 30 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M-F: } \text { 6:30am-9:30am } \\ & 2: 30 \mathrm{pm}-7: 00 \mathrm{pm} \end{aligned}$ | same | 6,7,8 |
| 57 | South Miami to Pinecrest | Extend route north of South Miami Metrorail station to Miami International Airport primarily along 57 Avenue | 2004 | Weekday Peak <br> Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 60 | 30 | $\begin{gathered} \text { M-F: } 6: 30 \mathrm{am}-9: 30 \mathrm{am} \\ 2: 30 \mathrm{pm}-7: 00 \mathrm{pm} \\ \end{gathered}$ | same | 6, 7, 8 |
| 57 | South Miami to Pinecrest | Improve peak headways from 30 to 15 minutes | 2005 | Weekday Peak <br> Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $60$ | $15$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { M-F: } 6: 30 \mathrm{am}-9: 30 \mathrm{am} \\ \quad 2: 30 \mathrm{pm}-7: 00 \mathrm{pm} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | same | 6, 7, 8 |
| 62 | Hialean to Omni via 62nd Street and Biscayne Boulevard | Improve weekend headways from 30 to 20 minutes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 2002 \end{aligned}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 10 15 30 30 | 10 <br> 15 <br> 20 <br> 20 | M-F: 5:00am-1:30pm <br> Sat: 5:30am-2:00am <br> Sun: 5:30am-2:00am | same | 2, 3, 6, |
| 62 | Hialeah to Omni via 62nd Street and Biscayne Boulevard | All night service, every 60 minutes, seven days a week. Serves the Okeechobee station | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 10 15 30 30 | 10 <br> 15 <br> 20 <br> 20 | M-F: 5:00am-1:30pm <br> Sat: 5:30am-2:00am <br> Sun: 5:30am-2:00am | 24 hours <br> 24 hours <br> 24 hours | 2, 3, 6, |
| 65 | Coconut Grove to Pinecrest via Busway and Old Cutier Road | Improve peak headways from 60 to 30 minutes | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feb } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $60$ | 30 | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { M-F: } & 7: 00 \mathrm{am}-10: 00 \mathrm{am} \\ & \text { 1:00pm - } 5: 30 \mathrm{pm} \end{array}$ | same | 7,8 |
| 65 | Coconut Grove to Pinecrest via Busway and Old Cutler Road | Improve peak headways from 30 to 15 minutes | 2005 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday |  | $-\frac{15}{-}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{F}: \\ \\ \\ 7: 00 \mathrm{am}-10: 00 \mathrm{pm}-5: 30 \mathrm{pm} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | same | 7.8 |
| 70 | Cutler Ridge to Florida City via Naranja, Goulds, Princeton and Homestead | improve peak headways from 60 to 30 minutes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 60 <br> 60 <br> 60 <br> 60 | 30 <br> 60 <br> 60 <br> 60 | M-F: 5:00am - 12:00am <br> Sat: 6:00am-11:00pm <br> Sun: 6:00am-11:30pm | same | 8,9 |


| PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION PLAN Friday 08/30/2002  <br> BUS SERVICE IMPROVEMENTS PM   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ROUTE | DESCRIPTION | IMPROVEMENT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { START } \\ & \text { DATE } \end{aligned}$ | DAY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FREQU } \\ & \text { CURRENT } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UENCY } \\ & \text { PROPOSED } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOURS OFS } \\ & \text { CURRENT } \end{aligned}$ | ICE PROPOSED | COMMUSSSION DISTRICTS |
| 70 | Cutler Ridge to Florida City via Naranja, Goulds, Princeton and Homestead | Improve off-peak and weekend headways from 60 to 30 minutes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 60 <br> 60 <br> 60 <br> 60 | 30 <br> $-\quad 30$ <br> $-\quad 30$ | M-F: 5:00am - 12:00am <br> Sat: 6:00am-11:00pm <br> Sun: 6:00am-11:30pm | same | 8,9 |
| 70 | Cutler Ridge to Florida City via Naranja, Goulds, Princeton and Homestead | Re-align along South Miami-Dade Busway Extension | 2004 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{array}{r} 60 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ \quad 60 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 15 <br> 30 <br> 30 <br> 30 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M-F: } 5: 00 \mathrm{am}-12: 00 \mathrm{am} \\ & \text { Sat: 6:00am-11:00pm } \\ & \text { Sun: 6:00am-11:300m } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | same | 8.9 |
| 70 | Cutler Ridge to Florida City via Naranja, Goulds, Princeton and Homestead | Improve peak headways from 30 to 15 minutes | 2005 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{array}{r} 60 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 15 <br> 30 <br> 30 <br> 30 | M-F: 5:00am-12:00am <br> Sat: 6:00am-11:00pm <br> Sun: 6:00am-11:30pm | same | 8, 9 |
| 71 | Dolphin Mall to MDCC South Campus via 107th Avenue | Improve peak headways from 30 to 15 minutes | 2005 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 30 <br> 30 <br> 30 <br> 30 | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 30 \\ & 30 \\ & 30 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | M-F: 6:00am - 8:30om <br> Sat: 7:00am-7:00pm <br> Sun: 10:00am-7:00pm | same | 7, 8, 10, 11, 12 |
| 72 | Coral Gabies to Kendall via SW 57 Avenue and Sunset Drive | Improve midday neadways from 60 to 30 minutes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 30 \\ \quad 60 \\ \hline 60 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | M-F: 5:00am - 9:000m <br> Sat: 6:00am - 12:00am <br> Sun: 6:00am - 11:30pm | same | $6.7,10,11$ |
| 72 | Coral Gables to Kendall via SW 57 Avenue and Sunset Drive | Improve weekend headways from 60 to 30 minutes | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 30 <br> 30 <br> 30 <br> 30 | M-F: 5:00am-9:00pm <br> Sat: 6:00am-12:00am <br> Sun: 6:00am-11:30pm | same | 6, 7, 10, 11 |
| 72 | Coral Gables to Kendall via SW 57 Avenue and Sunset Drive | Improve peak headways from 30 to 15 minutes | 2005 | Weekday Peak <br> Weekday Off-peak <br> Saturday <br> Sunday | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ -\quad 30 \\ -\quad 30 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | M-F: 5:00am - 9:00pm <br> Sat: 6:00am-12:00am <br> Sun: 6:00am-11:30pm | same | 6,7,10,11 |
| 73 | Miami Lakes to Dadeland South Station via Milam Dairy Road and Luclam Road | Improve midday headways from 60 to 30 minutes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | Weekday Peak <br> Weekday Off-peak <br> Saturday <br> Sunday | 30 <br> 60 <br> 60 <br> 60 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { M-F: } 5: 00 \mathrm{am}-11: 00 \mathrm{pm} \\ \text { Sat: } 6: 00 \mathrm{am}-8: 30 \mathrm{pm} \\ \text { Sun: } 9: 00 \mathrm{am}-6: 30 \mathrm{pm} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | same | 1,6, 7, 12, 13 |
| 73 | Miami Lakes to Dadeland South Station via Milam Dairy Road and Ludlam Road | Improve weekend headways from 60 to 30 minutes | 2004 | Weekday Peak <br> Weekday Off-peak <br> Saturday <br> Sunday | 30 <br> 60 <br> 60 <br> 60 | 30 <br> 30 <br> 30 <br> 30 | $\begin{gathered} \text { M-F: 5:00am- 11:00pm } \\ \text { Sat: 6:00am - 8:300m } \\ \text { Sun: 9:00am-6:30pm } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | same | 1, 6, 7, 12, 13 |
| 73 | Miami Lakes to Dadeland South Station via Milam Dairy Road and Ludlam Road | Improve peak headways from 30 to 15 minutes | 2004 | Weekday Peak Weekday Ott-peak Saturday Sunday | 30 <br> -60 <br> 60 <br> 60 | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ \quad 30 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M-F: } 5: 00 \mathrm{am}-11: 00 \mathrm{pm} \\ & \text { Sat: } 6: 00 \mathrm{am}-8: 30 \mathrm{pm} \\ & \text { Sun: } 9: 00 \mathrm{am}-6: 30 \mathrm{pm} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | same | 1, 6, 7, 12, 13 |
| 75 | Miami Lakes Tech to MDCC North <br> Campus via 175 Street, Miami Gardens Drive, West Dixie Highway and 119 Street | improve weekend headways from 60 to 30 minutes | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 30 \\ 60 \\ \hline 60 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 30 30 30 30 | M-F: 5:00am-11:30pm <br> Sat: 6:30am-10:00pm <br> Sun: 6:30am-10:00pm | same | 1, 2, 3, 4, 13 |




| ROUTE | DESCRIPTION | IMPROVEMENT | START DATE | DAY | FREQ CURRENT | JENCY <br> PROPOSED | HOURS OF CURRENT | RVICE PROPOSED | COMMISSION DISTRICTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 95X | Golden Glades, Carol City, | Operate several peak hour trips in reverse direction | 2004 | Weekday Peak <br> Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 5 $\square$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 30 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M-F: } 6: 00 \mathrm{am}-9: 30 \mathrm{am} \\ & 3: 00 \mathrm{pm}-6: 30 \mathrm{pm} \end{aligned}$ | 6:00am - 6:30pm <br> same <br> same | $1,2,3,4,5,6,12,13$ |
| 104 | Dadeland North Station to Kendall via SW 104 Street | Introduce weekend service at 60 minute headways | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov } \\ 2002 \end{gathered}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 60 \\ & 60 \\ & 60 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | M-F: 5:00am - 10:00pm Sat: Sun: | same <br> 5:00am-10:00pm <br> 5:00am - 10:00pm | 7,8, 10, 11 |
| 104 | Dadeland North Station to Kendall via SW 104 Street | Improve peak headways from 60 to 30 minutes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | 30 <br> 60 <br> 60 <br> 60 | M-F: 5:00am - 10:00pm Sat: <br> Sun: | same $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5:00am-10:00pm } \\ & \text { 5:00am - 10:00pm } \end{aligned}$ | 7, 8, 10, 11 |
| 104 | Dadeland North Station to Kendall via SW 104 Street | Improve off-peak headways from 60 to 30 minutes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{array}{r} 60 \\ 60 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \\ & 60 \\ & 60 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | M-F: 5:00am - 10:00pm <br> Sat: <br> Sun: | same <br> 5:00am-10:00pm <br> 5:00am - 10:00pm | 7,8,10,11 |
| 104 | Dadeland North Station to Kendall via SW 104 Street | Improve weekend headways from 60 to 30 minutes | 2004 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | 30 $\quad 30$ 30 30 | M-F: 5:00am-10:00pm Sat: Sun: | same 5:00am - 10:00pm 5:00am-10:00pm | 7, 8, 10, 11 |
| 104 | Dadeland North Station to Kendall via SW 104 Street | Extend route westward to future West Kendall Bus Terminal | 2004 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ \hline 30 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | M-F: 5:00am - 10:00pm <br> Sat: <br> Sun: | $\begin{gathered} \text { same } \\ \text { 5:00am - 10:00pm } \\ \text { 5:00am - 10:00 pm } \end{gathered}$ | 7,8,10,11 |
| 104 | Dadeland North Station to Kendall via SW 104 Street | Improve peak headways from 30 to 15 minutes | 2005 | Weękday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | M-F: 5:00am-10:00pm Sat: Sun: | same <br> 5:00am-10:00pm <br> 5:00am-10:00pm | 7, 8, 10, 11 |
| 27 Avenue MAX ( Route 97) | Carol City to Allapattah via NW 27 Avenue | Improve peak headways from 15 to 10 minutes | 2004 | Weekday Peak <br> Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $15$ | $10$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { M-F: }: 5: 30 \mathrm{am}-9: 00 \mathrm{am} \\ 4: 00 \mathrm{pm}-8: 00 \mathrm{pm} \end{array}$ | same | 1,2,3 |
| Airport Owl (Route 236) | Miami Beach, Allapattah, Miami Int'l Airport, Little Havana and CBD via Collins Ave., 36th St., LeJeune Rd. and West Flagler St. | No scheduled improvernents | - | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{array}{r} 60 \\ -60 \\ 60 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $*$ 60 60 60 | M-F: $11: 30 \mathrm{pm}-7: 00 \mathrm{am}$ Sat: 11:30pm-7:00am Sun: 11:30pm-7:00am | same | 2,3,4, 5, 6, 7 |
| Bird Road MAX (Route 240 ) | Dadeland North Station to Westwood Lakes via Bird Road | Improve peak headways from 20 to 15 minutes | 2004 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 20 <br> 40 <br> - | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ 40 \\ \end{gathered}$ | M-F: 5:00am - 7:30pm | same | 7,10,11, 12 |
| Bird Road MAX (Route 240 ) | Dadeland North Station to Westwood Lakes via Bird Road | Improve midday headways from 40 to 30 minutes | 2004 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ -30 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | M-F: 5:00am - 7:30pm | same | 7, 10, 11, 12 |


| PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION PLAN <br> BUS SERVICE IMPROVEMENTS $\text { Friday 08/30/2002 } \quad 4: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ROUTE | DESCRIPTION | IMPRROVEMENT | START DATE | DAY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FREQ } \\ & \text { CURRENT } \end{aligned}$ | UENCY PROPOSED | HOURS OF S CURRENT | RVICE PROPOSED | COMMISSION DISTRICTS |
| Biscayne MAX (Route 93) | Aventura Mall to CBD via Biscayne Boulevard | Expand afternoon peak to $2: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | Weekday Peak <br> Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $15$ | $15$ | M-F: 6:00am - 10:30am <br> 3:00pm - 7:30pm <br>  | same <br> same <br> same | 2, 3, 4, 5. |
| Biscayne MAX (Route 93 ) | Aventura Mall to CBD via Biscayne Boulevard | Introduce midday service at 30minute headway | 2004 | Weekday Peak <br> Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $15$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { M-F: 6:00am - 10:30am } \\ \text { 3:00pm - 7:30pm } \end{gathered}$ | 6:00am - 7:30pm <br> same <br> same | 2, 3, 4, 5, |
| Brickell Key Shuttle ( Route 248 ) | Brickell Key to Brickell Rail/Mover Station | No scheduled improvements | - | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 15 <br> 15 <br> -15 <br> - | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ - \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M-F: 6:30am - 6:30pm } \\ & \text { Sat: 6:30am-7:00pm } \end{aligned}$ | same | 5 |
| Busway Local <br> (Routes 31 and 231 ) | Dadeland South Station to South Dade Government Center via Busway | Re-align route to serve Goulds area | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 15 <br> 30 <br> 30 <br> 30 | 15 <br> 30 <br> 30 <br> 30 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M-F: } 5: 30 \mathrm{am}-8: 30 \mathrm{pm} \\ & \text { Sat: } 6: 00 \mathrm{am}-70: 00 \mathrm{pm} \\ & \text { Sun: 6:00am-10:00pm } \end{aligned}$ | same | 7,8,9 |
| Busway Local ( Routes 31 and 231) | Dadeland South Station to South Dade Government Center via Busway | Extend service to Florida City/Homestead along South MiamiDade Busway extension | 2004 | Weekday Peak <br> Weekday Off-peak <br> Saturday <br> Sunday | 15 <br> 30 <br> -.30 <br> 30 | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 30 \\ & 30 \\ & 30 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M-F: } 5: 30 \mathrm{am}-8: 30 \mathrm{pm} \\ & \text { Sat: 6:00am-10:00pm } \\ & \text { Sun: 6:00am - 10:00pm } \end{aligned}$ | same | 7,8,9 |
| Busway Local (Routes 31) | Dadeland South Station to South Dade Government Center via Busway | improve peak headways from 15 to 10 minutes | 2004 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 30 \\ \quad 30 \\ \hline 30 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 30 \\ \hline 30 \\ \hline 30 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | M-F: $5: 30 \mathrm{am}-8: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ Sat: $6: 00 \mathrm{am}-10: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ Sun: 6:00am-10:00pm | same | 7,8,9 |
| Busway Local (Routes 31 and 231) | Dadeland South Station to South Dade Government Center via Busway | Improve midday headways from 30 to 15 minutes | 2004 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 15 30 30 30 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 15 \\ & 30 \\ & \hline 30 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | M-F: 5:30am-8:30pm Sat: 6:00am-10:00pm Sun: 6:00am-10:00pm | same | 7,8,9 |
| Busway Local <br> (Routes 231) | Dadeland South Station to South Dade Government Center via Busway | Improve weekend headways from 30 to 20 minutes | 2004 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{10}{15} \\ & 20 \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | M-F: 5:30am - 8:30pm <br> Sat: 6:00am-10:00pm <br> Sun: 6:00am - 10:00pm | same | 7,8,9 |
| Busway MAX (Route 38) | Dadeland South Station to Florida City via Busway and US-1 | Improve weekend headways from 30 to 20 minutes | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 15 30 -30 30 | 15 30 20 20 | M-F: 5:00am - 2:00am <br> Sat: 5:00am-2:00am <br> Sun: 5:00am-2:00am | same | 7,8,9 |
| Busway MAX (Route 38) | Dadeland South Station to Florida City via Busway and US-1 | All night service, every 60 minutes, seven days a week. Serves the Dadeland South station | June <br> 2003 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 15 $\quad 30$ 30 30 | 15 30 20 20 | M-F: 5:00am-2:00am <br> Sat: 5:00am-2:00am <br> Sun: 5:00am-2:00am | 24 hours <br> 24 nours <br> 24 hours | 7,8,9 |
| Busway MAX <br> (Route 38 ) | Dadeland South Station to Florida City via Busway and US-1 | Improve peak headways from 15 to 10 minutes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 15 <br> 30 <br> 30 <br> 30 | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 30 \\ 20 \\ 20 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | M-F: 5:00am-2:00am Sat: 5:00am-2:00am Sun: 5:00am-2:00am | 24 hours <br> 24 hours <br> 24 hours | 7,8,9 |


| PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION PLAN <br> BUS SERVICE IMPROVEMENTS <br> Friday 08/30/2002 4:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FOUTE | DESCRIPTION | IMPROVEMENT | START DATE | DAY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FREQ } \\ & \text { CURRENT } \end{aligned}$ | JENCY PROPOSED | HOURS OF CURRENT | VICE <br> PROPOSED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COMMISSION } \\ & \text { DISTRICTS } \end{aligned}$ |
| Busway MAX ( Route 38 ) | Dadeland South Station to Florida City via Busway and US-1 | Re-align service along existing Busway and Busway extension to Florida City | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 10 <br> 30 <br> 20 <br> 20 | M-F: 5:00am-2:00am <br> Sat: 5:00am-2:00am <br> Sun: 5:00am-2:00am | 24 hours 24 hours 24 hours | 7,8,9 |
| Busway MAX <br> (Route 38) | Dadeland South Station to Florida City via Busway and US-1 | Improve midday and evening headways from 30 to 15 minutes | 2004 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 15 <br> 30 <br> 30 <br> 30 | $\frac{10}{15}$ <br> 20 <br> 20 | M-F: 5:00am - 2:00am <br> Sat: 5:00am-2:00am <br> Sun: 5:00am-2:00am | 24 hours <br> 24 hours <br> 24 hours | 7,8,9 |
| Coral Reef MAX ( Route 252 ) | Dadeland South Station to Country Walk via Coral Reef Drive | Improve peak headways from 20 to 18 minutes | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 45 \\ & 40 \\ & 40 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 18 45 40 40 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M-F: } 5: 30 \mathrm{am}-9: 00 \mathrm{pm} \\ & \text { Sat: } 5: 30 \mathrm{am}-7: 30 \mathrm{pm} \\ & \text { Sun: } 5: 30 \mathrm{am}-7: 30 \mathrm{pm} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | same | 7, 8, 9, 11 |
| Coral Reef MAX ( Route 252) | Dadeland South Station to Country Walk via Coral Reef Drive | Improve off-peak headways from 45 to 30 minutes | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 20 <br> 45 <br> 40 <br> 40 | 18 <br> 30 <br> 40 <br> 40 | M-F: 5:30am-9:00pm <br> Sat: 5:30am-7:30pm <br> Sun: 5:30am-7:30pm | same | 7, 8, 9, 11 |
| Coral Reef MAX <br> ( Route 252) | Dadeland South Station to Country Walk via Coral Reef Drive | Improve peak headways from 18 to 15 minutes | 2004 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 20 <br> 45 <br> 40 <br> 40 | 15 <br> 30 <br> 40 <br> 40 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M-F: } 5: 30 \mathrm{am}-9: 00 \mathrm{pm} \\ & \text { Sat: } 5: 30 \mathrm{am}-7: 30 \mathrm{pm} \\ & \text { Sun: } 5: 30 \mathrm{am}-7: 30 \mathrm{pm} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | same | 7, 8, 9, 11 |
| Coral Reef MAX ( Route 252) | Dadeland South Station to Country Walk via Coral Reef Drive | Improve weekend headways from 40 to 30 minutes | 2004 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 20 45 40 40 | 15 <br> 30 <br> 30 <br> 30 | M-F: 5:30am -9:00pm <br> Sat: 5:30am-7:30pm <br> Sun: 5:30am-7:30pm | same | 7,8,9,11 |
| Doral Connection ( Route 242) | Okeechobee Station to Doiphin Mall via Koger, Doral and Airport West | Improve midday headways from 60 to 30 minutes | 2004 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 60 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | M-F: 5:30am-8:00pm | same | 12, 13 |
| Doral Connection ( Route 242 ) | Okeechobee Station to Dolphin Mall via Koger, Doral and Airport West | Improve peak headways from 30 to 15 minutes | 2005 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 30 <br> 60 <br>  | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 30 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | M-F: 5:30am - 8:00pm | same | 12, 13 |
| East-West Connection ( Route 238) | Earlington Heights Station to Dolphin Mall via Miami International Airport. Blue Lagoon, Airport West and Miami International Mall | improve midday and weekend headways from 60 to 30 minutes | 2004 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 30 <br> 60 <br> 60 <br> 60 | 30 <br> $-\quad 30$ <br> 30 <br> 30 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M-F: }: 5: 30 \mathrm{am}-9: 00 \mathrm{pm} \\ & \text { Sat: } \\ & \text { 6un: } 6: 00 \mathrm{am}-8: 30 \mathrm{am}-8: 30 \mathrm{pm} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | same | 3, 5, 6, 12 |
| East-West Connection ( Route 238) | Earlington Heights Station to Dolphin Mall via Miami International Airport, Blue Lagoon, Airport West and Miami International Mall | Improve peak headways from 30 to 15 minutes | 2005 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 60 \\ & 60 \\ & 60 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 15 <br> 30 <br> 30 <br> 30 | M-F: $5: 30 \mathrm{am}-9: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ Sat: $6: 00 \mathrm{am}-8: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ Sun: $6: 00 \mathrm{am}-8: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ | same | 3, 5, 6, 12 |
| Flagler MAX ( Route 51) | Miami Beach to West Miami-Dade via MacArthur Causeway and West Flagler Street | Introduce midday service with 30 minute headways | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 2002 \end{aligned}$ | Weekday Peak <br> Weekday Off-peak <br> Saturday <br> Sunday | $15$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 30 \\ - \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { M-F: } 5: 30 \mathrm{am}-10: 00 \mathrm{am} \\ \text { 4:00pm - 8:00pm } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 5:30am }-8: 00 \mathrm{pm} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { same } \\ \text { same } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | , 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12 |

PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION PLAN
BUS SERVICE IMPROVEMENTS

| ROUTE | DESCAIPTION | IMPROVEMENT | START DATE | DAY | FREQ CURRENT | JENCY <br> PROPOSED | HOURS OF CURRENT | CE PROPOSED | COMMISSION DISTRICTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kendall KAT <br> ( Route 288) | Dadeland North Station to West Kendall via Kendall Drive | Improve peak headways from 15 to 12 minutes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | Weekday Peak <br> Weekday Otf-peak Saturday Sunday | $15$ | $12$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { M-F: } 6: 00 \mathrm{am}-9: 30 \mathrm{am} \\ \text { 3:30pm-7:30pm } \end{gathered}$ | same | 7,8,10,11 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kendall KAT <br> (Route 288) | Dadeland North Station to West Kendall via Kendall Drive | Extend route westward to the future West Kendall Bus Terminal | 2004 | Weekday Peak <br> Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $15$ | 12 | $\begin{gathered} \text { M-F: } 6: 00 \mathrm{am}-9: 30 \mathrm{am} \\ 3: 30 \mathrm{pm}-7: 30 \mathrm{pm} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{gathered}$ | same | 7,8,10,11 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Killian KAT } \\ & \text { ( Route 204 ) } \end{aligned}$ | Dadeland North Station to Hammocks via Killian Drive | Improve peak headways from $61 / 2$ to 5 minutes | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | Weekday Peak <br> Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{aligned} & 61 / 2 \\ & - \\ & 60 \\ & 60 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ - \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | same | 7,8, 10, 11 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Killian KAT <br> ( Route 204) | Dadeland North Station to Hammocks via Killian Drive | Extend route westward to the future West Kendall Bus Terminal and improve weekend headways from 60 to 30 minutes | 2004 | Weekday Peak <br> Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $61 / 2$ $\vdots$ 60 60 | 5 <br> 30 <br> 30 | M-F: 5:30am-10:00am 3:00pm-8:00pm Sat: 5:30am-7:00pm Sun: $5: 30 \mathrm{am}-7: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ | same | 7,8, 10, 11 |
| [边 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ludlam MAX <br> (Route 267) | Golf Club of Miami to Okeechobee Station via Ludlam Road/West 12 Avenue | Improve peak headways from 20 to 15 minutes | 2004 | Weekday Peak <br> Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 20 | 15 | $\begin{aligned} \text { M-F: } & 5: 00 \mathrm{am}-9: 30 \mathrm{am} \\ & 3: 30 \mathrm{pm} \cdot 7: 30 \mathrm{pm} \end{aligned}$ | same | 12, 13 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Night Owl } \\ & \text { ( Route 246) } \end{aligned}$ | CBD, Miami Beach, North Miarmi Beach, Opa-Locka, Liberty City, Civic Center and Overtown | No scheduled improvements | - | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 60 <br> 60 <br> 60 | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 60 \\ & 60 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | M-F: 10:30pm-6:30am <br> Sat: 10:30pm - 6:30am <br> Sun: 10:30 pm - 6:30am | same | 1,2,3,4, 5, |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Dade Connection (Route 241) | California Club to Miami Lakes via Uleta and Opa-Locka | Improve off-peak headways from 60 to 30 minutes | 2004 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $30$ $60$ | 30 30 | M-F: 5:30am - 10:00pm | same | 1, 13 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Dade Connection ( Route 241) | California Club to Miami Lakes via Uleta and Opa-Locka | Improve peak headways from 30 to 15 minutes | 2005 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 30 <br> 60 <br> $\quad$ | $-\frac{15}{30}$ | M-F: 5:30am-10:00pm | same | 1.13 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Okeechobee Connection ( Route 245) | Okeechobee Station to Hialeah Gardens via Okeechobee/Frontage Road and Medley Industrial Parks | Improve midday headways from 40 to 30 minutes | 2004 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 40 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | M-F: 5:30am - 9:00pm | same | 12, 13 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Okeechobee Connection ( Route 245) | Okeechobee Station to Hialeah Gardens via Okeechobee/Frontage Road and Medley Industrial Parks | Improve peak headways from 30 to 15 minutes | 2005 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 40 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ \hline \quad 30 \end{array}$ | M-F: 5:30am -9:00pm | same | 12, 13 |


PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION PLAN
BUS SERVICE IMPROVEMENTS

| ROUTE | DESCRIPTION | IMPROVEMENT | START DATE | DAY | FREQ CURRENT | ENCY <br> PROPOSED | HOURS OF SE CURRENT | $\text { PE } \mathrm{PROPOSED}$ | COMMISSION DISTRICTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West Dade Connection ( Route 137 ) | Miami International Mall to Cutler Ridge via SW 137 Avenue | Improve weekend headways from 60 to 30 minutes | 2004 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 30 <br> 30 <br> 30 <br> 30 | M-F: 5:30am - 10:30pm <br> Sat: 5:30am - 10:00pm <br> Sun: 5:30am-10:00pm | same | 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 |
| West Dade Connection ( Route 137) | Miami Intemational Mall to Cutler Ridge via SW 137 Avenue | Improve peak headways from 30 to 15 minutes | 2005 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 30 <br> 60 <br> 60 <br> 60 | 15 <br> 30 <br> 30 <br> 30 | M-F: 5:30am-10:30pm <br> Sat: 5:30am-10:00pm <br> Sun: 5:30am - 10:00pm | same | 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 |
| Card Sound Express | Florida City to North Key Largo via Card Sound Road | No scheduled improvements | - | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | $\begin{array}{r} 90 \\ 9 \\ 90 \\ 90 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 90 90 90 | M-Sun: 5:30am - 10:00am <br> M-Sun: 2:30pm - 7:00pm | same | 9 |
| Dade-Monroe Express | Florida City to Key Largo via US-1/ Overseas Highway | No scheduled improvements | - | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 60 <br> 60 <br> 60 <br> 60 | 60 <br> -60 <br> 60 <br> 60 | M-Sun: 5:30am - 12:00am | same | 9 |
| Northeast Lifeline | Northeast Miami-Dade | No scheduled improvements | - | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | 60 60 | 60 <br> 60 <br> - | M \& F: 9:00am-3:30pm | same | 1,4 |



PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION PLAN
BUS SERVICE IMPROVEMENTS

| ROUTE | DESCRIPTION | IMPROVEMENT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { START } \\ & \text { DATE } \end{aligned}$ | DAY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FREQ } \\ & \text { CURRENT } \end{aligned}$ | JENCY PROPOSED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOUR } \\ & \text { CURRENT } \end{aligned}$ | IVICE <br> PROPOSED | COMIMISSION DISTRICTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hialeah Gardens Connection | Miami Lakes to Hialeah Gardens to Palmetto station via NW 82 and 87 Avenues | New route operating seven days a week. Peak period service would be at 30-minute headways with off-peak and weekends at 60 -minute | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday |  | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 60 \\ & 60 \\ & 60 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M-F: } 6 \mathrm{am}-10 \mathrm{pm} \\ & \text { Sat: } 6 \mathrm{am}-10 \mathrm{pm} \\ & \text { Sun: } 6 \mathrm{am}-10 \mathrm{pm} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 12, 13 |
| Hialeah Gardens Connection | Miami Lakes to Hialeah Gardens to Palmetto station via NW 82 and 87 Avenues | Improve peak periods from 30 to 15 minutes and off-peak and weekends from 60 to 30 minutes | 2005 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | - | 15 <br> 30 <br> 30 <br> 30 |  | M-F: 6am-10pm <br> Sat: 6am-10pm <br> Sun: 6 am - 10 pm | 12, 13 |
| Intercounty Connection | Southwest Broward County to Palmetto station | New premium, limited-stop route operating weekdays only. Service would be every 15 minutes in the peak | 2004 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday |  | $15$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { M-F: } 6 \mathrm{am}-9: 00 \mathrm{am} \\ 3 \mathrm{pm}-6: 00 \mathrm{pm} \end{array}$ | 12, 13 |
| Kendale Lakes Connection | West Dade Bus Terminal to Lakes of the Meadow via Kendale Lakes and Sunset Harbor | New route operating seven days a week. Peak period service would be at 15 -minute headways with off-peak and weekends at 30 -minute | 2005 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday |  | 15 30 30 30 |  | M-F: 6am - 10pm <br> Sat: 6am-10pm <br> Sun: 6am-10pm | 10, 11 |
| Kendall Circulator | SW 133 Avenue to SW 157 Avenue via SW 80th and 96th Streets | New weekday only route. Peak period service would be at 15 -minute headways with off-peak at 30 -minute headways | 2005 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday |  | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | M-F: 6am-10pm <br> Sat: 6am-10pm <br> Sun: 6am-10pm | 10,11 |
| Kendall Connection | Miami International Mall to Kendall-Tamiami Executive Airport via SW 147 Avenue | New weekday only route. Peak period service would be at 15 -minute headways with off-peak at 30-minute headways | 2004 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday |  | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ -\quad 30 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | M-F: 6am - 10pm | 9, 11, 12 |
| Liberty City Circulator | NW 7 Avenue to NW 27 Avenue via NW 54 and 62 Streets connecting to Metrorail. | New route operating seven days a week. Peak period service would be at 15-minute headways with off-peak and weekends at 30 -minute | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Otf-peak Saturday Sunday |  | 15 <br> 30 <br> 30 <br> 30 |  | M-F: $6 \mathrm{am}-9 \mathrm{pm}$ Sat: $6 \mathrm{am}-9 \mathrm{pm}$ Sun: $6 \mathrm{am}-9 \mathrm{pm}$ | 2.3 |
| Little Haiti Circulator | INS Office to NW 36 Street via 79 Street and NE 2 Avenue | New route operating seven days a week. Peak period service would be at 30 -minute headways with off-peak and weekends at 60 -minute | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 2002 \end{aligned}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday | - | 30 <br> 60 <br> 60 <br> 60 |  | M-F: $6 \mathrm{am}-10 \mathrm{pm}$ <br> Sat: $6 \mathrm{am}-10 \mathrm{pm}$ <br> Sun: $6 \mathrm{am}-10 \mathrm{pm}$ | 2,3 |
| Little Haiti Circulator | INS Office to NW 36 Street via 79 Street and NE 2 Avenue | Improve peak periods from 30 to 15 minutes and off-peak and weekends from 60 to 30 minutes | 2004 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday |  | 15 <br> 30 <br> 30 <br> 30 |  | M-F: $6 \mathrm{am}-10 \mathrm{pm}$ <br> Sat: $6 \mathrm{arm}-10 \mathrm{pm}$ <br> Sun: $6 \mathrm{am}-10 \mathrm{pm}$ | 2,3 |
| Little Havana Circulator | CBD to 27th Avenue via West Flagler Street and SW 7/8 Street | New route operating seven days a week every 20 minutes | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday |  | $\begin{array}{r} 20 \\ 20 \\ 20 \\ 20 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | M-F: $6 \mathrm{am}-10 \mathrm{pm}$ <br> Sat: $6 \mathrm{am}-10 \mathrm{pm}$ <br> Sun: $6 \mathrm{am}-10 \mathrm{pm}$ | 5 |
| Little Havana Circulator | CBD to 27th Avenue via West Flagler Street and SW 7/8 Street | Improve peak headways from 20 to 15 minutes | 2004 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday |  | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ -\quad 20 \\ 20 \\ \hline 20 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | M-F: $6 \mathrm{am}-10 \mathrm{pm}$ Sat: $6 \mathrm{am}-10 \mathrm{pm}$ Sun: $6 \mathrm{am}-10 \mathrm{pm}$ | 5 |


| PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION PLAN Friday $08 / 30 / 2002$  <br> BUS SERVICE IMPROVEMENTS   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ROUTE | DESCRIPTION | IMPROVEMENT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { START } \\ & \text { DATE } \end{aligned}$ | DAY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FREQU } \\ & \text { CURRENT } \end{aligned}$ | JENCY PROPOSED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOUR } \\ & \text { CURRENT } \end{aligned}$ | ERvice PROPOSED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COMMISSION } \\ & \text { DISTRICTS } \end{aligned}$ |
| Red Road MAX | Pembroke Lakes Mall to Hialeah Metrorail Station via Flamingo Road/ Red Road (NW 57 Ave/W 4 Ave) | Limited-stop weekday service during the morning and evening peak periods at 15 minute headways | 2005 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { M-F: } 6 \mathrm{am}-9: 30 \mathrm{am} \\ 3 \mathrm{pm}-6: 30 \mathrm{pm} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1, 6, 12, 13 |
| Route 122 | Dolphin/Miami international Malls to MDCC Kendall Campus via SW 122/127 Avenue | New route operating seven days a week. Peak period service would be at 15 -minute headways with off-peak and weekends at 30 -minute | 2004 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday |  | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 30 \\ & 30 \\ & 30 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M-F: } 6 \mathrm{am}-10 \mathrm{pm} \\ & \text { Sat: } 6 \mathrm{am}-10 \mathrm{pm} \\ & \text { Sun: } 6 \mathrm{am}-10 \mathrm{pm} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 8, 10, 11, 12 |
| Saga Bay/Gouids Busway Feeder | Saga Bay to Goulds via Hainlin Mill Drive | New weekday only feeder route operating every 20 minutes | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday |  | $\begin{array}{r} 20 \\ -\quad 20 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\cdots$ | $\text { M-F: } 6 \mathrm{am}-10 \mathrm{pm}$ | 8,9 |
| Saga Bay/Goulds Busway Feeder | Saga Bay to Goulds via Hainlin Mill Drive | Improve peak headways from 20 to 15 minutes | 2005 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday |  | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & \hline 30 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\text { M-F: } 6 \text { am - 10pm }$ | 8,9 |
| Sweetwater Circulator | Sweetwater to FIU Terminal | New route operating seven days a week with service every 15 minutes | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar } \\ 2003 \end{gathered}$ | Weekday Peak Weekday Oft-peak Saturday Sunday | $\div$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | M-F: 6am - 10pm <br> Sat: 6am-100m <br> Sun: $6 \mathrm{am}-10 \mathrm{pm}$ | 10, 11, 12 |
| West Kendall Crosstown | West Dade Bus Terminal to Coral Reef Drive via SW 152, 157, 162 Avenues | New route operating seven days a week. Peak period service would be at 15-minute headways with off-peak and weekends at 30 -minute | 2005 | Weekday Peak <br> Weekday Off-peak <br> Saturday <br> Sunday | $\vdots$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & \frac{30}{30} \\ & \frac{30}{30} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M-F: } 6 \mathrm{am}-10 \mathrm{pm} \\ & \text { Sat: } 6 \mathrm{am}-10 \mathrm{pm} \\ & \text { Sun: } 6 \mathrm{am}-10 \mathrm{~mm} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 8, 9, 11, 12 |
| Western Express | Sawgrass Mills Outlet Mall to Palmetto Station | Limited-stop route operating every 15 minute during the peak periods and every 30 minutes during middays and weekends | 2005 | Weekday Peak Weekday Off-peak Saturday Sunday |  | $\begin{array}{\|} 15 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ 30 \end{array}$ |  | M-F: 6am - 10pm <br> Sat: 6am - 10 pm <br> Sun: 6am-10pm | 12 |


| * - , + - . . - - . - - |  | Miami-Dade Transit |  |  |  | Friday 08/16/2002 |  | 4:00pm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Miami-Dade County Metrobus Service Miles by Commission District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ( as of March 2002 ) |  |  | ( with Sales Tax Service Improvements ) |  |  | Change with Sales Tax |  |  |
| District | Total Annual Miles | \% of System | District | Total Annual Miles | \% of System | District | Miles | Percentage |
| 1 | 2,105,446 | 8.0\% | 1 | 3,328,201 | 7.2\% | 1 | 1,222,755 | 58.1\% |
| 2 | 2,653,350 | 10.0\% | 2 | 4,248,651 | 9.2\% | 2 | 1,595,301 | 60.1\% |
| 3 | 3,416,127 | 12.9\% | 3 | 4,756,629 | 10.3\% | 3 | 1,340,502 | 39.2\% |
| 4 | 2,902,904 | 11.0\% | 4 | 4,487,620 | 9.7\% | 4 | 1,584,716 | 54.6\% |
| 5 | 4,389,626 | 16.6\% | 5 | 5,838,664 | 12.6\% | 5 | 1,449,038 | 33.0\% |
| 6 | 2,416,645 | 9.1\% | 6 | 3,255,160 | 7.0\% | 6 | 838,515 | 34.7\% |
| 7 | 1,877,153 | 7.1\% | 7 | 3,512,348 | 7.6\% | 7 | 1,635,195 | 87.1\% |
| 8 | 1,829,799 | 6.9\% | 8 | 4,369,911 | 9.5\% | 8 | 2,540,112 | 138.8\% |
| 9 | 1,160,387 | 4.4\% | 9 | 2,427,771 | 5.3\% | 9 | 1,267,384 | 109.2\% |
| 10 | 1,136,673 | 4.3\% | 10 | 2,237,829 | 4.8\% | 10 | 1,101,156 | 96.9\% |
| 11 | 810,211 | 3.1\% | 11 | 2,706,830 | 5.9\% | 11 | 1,896,619 | 234.1\% |
| 12 | 828,971 | 3.1\% | 12 | 2,927,629 | 6.3\% | 12 | 2,098,658 | 253.2\% |
| 13 | 894,531 | 3.4\% | 13 | 2,084,018 | 4.5\% | 13 | 1,189,487 | 133.0\% |
| TOTAL | 26,421,823 | 100.0\% | TOTAL | 46,181,261 | 100.0\% | TOTAL | 19,759,438 | 74.8\% |




On June 26, 2002, the QST conducted a preliminary survey of bus bay space on the routes with the most ridership and traffic congestion. The following summary shows routes with available space for bus bays and/or painting of bus bay signs at existing bus stops.

| ROUTE | ALIGNMENT | BUSBAYS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 83 | NW 183rd St. | 1 |
| 77 | NW 7th Ave. | 2 |
| 75 | NW 175 St. | 4 |
| 62 | NW 62nd St. | 3 |
| 54 | NW 54th St. | 8 |
| 37 | NW/SW 37th Ave. | 4 |
| 32 | NW 32nd Ave. | 5 |
| 27 | NW/SW 27th Ave. | 3 |
| 24 | Coral Way | 24 |
| 22 | NW/SW 22nd Ave. | 4 |
| 11 | Flagler St. | 40 |
| 9/10 | NW 2nd Ave. | 5 |
| 8 | NW 8th St. | 7 |
| 7 | NW 7th St. | 8 |
| 3/16 | Biscayne Blvd. | 16 |
| 2 | NW 2nd Ave. | 8 |
| S | Collins Ave. | 22 |
| L | NW 79th St./ Collins Ave. | 4 |
| K | Collins Ave./ Pine Tree | 6 |
| $J$ | LeJeune Rd/ NW 36th St. | 4 |
| H | Collins Ave./ 163rd St. | 7 |
| G | NW 125th St./ Collins Ave. | 3 |
| C | Washington Ave/Collins Ave. | 3 |
|  | *TOTAL= | 186 |

* For the above improvements to be implemented, funding is needed.
by Commission Districts and Municipalities


| BOARD REQUESTED MAJOR ROADWAY AND NEIGHBORHOOD IMPRO PROJECTS FOR INCLUSION IN THE PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIST | PROJECT NAME/LOCATION | LIMITS | DESCRIPTION |
| 1 | ROADS WITH POOR TO FAIR PAVEMENT CONDITIONS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SECTIONS 5-52-41, 8-52-41, 9-52-41, } \\ & 14-52-41,16-52-41 \text { AND 18-52-41 } \end{aligned}$ | RESURFACING AND REMARKING |
|  | NW 22 AVENUE | NW 135 STREET TO STATE ROAD 9 | RESURFACING AND REMARKING |
| 2 | NW 37 AVENUE | NW 79 STREET TO NORTH RIVER DRIVE | WIDENING FROM 2 TO 5 LANES |
|  | NW 22 AVENUE | NW 135 TO 62 STREETS | RESURFACING AND REMARKING |
|  | NW 62 STREET | NW 37 AVENUE TO I-95 | RESURFACING AND TRAFFIC OPERATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS |
|  |  |  |  |
| 3 | NE 2 AVENUE | NE 91 TO 20 STREETS | STREET AND TRAFFIC OPERATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS |
|  |  |  |  |
| 4 | MIAMI GARDENS DRIVE CONNECTOR | US 1 TO LEHMAN CAUSEWAY | NEW 4-LANE ROAD |
|  |  |  |  |
| 6 | SW 62 AVENUE | SW 24 TO NW 7 STREETS | STREET IMPROVEMENTS |
|  | NW 7 STREET | NW 72 TO 37 AVENUES | RESURFACING AND TRAFFIC OPERATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS |
|  | SW 72 AVENUE | SW 40 TO 20 STREETS | STREET AND TRAFFIC OPERATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS |
|  | NW 82 AVENUE/ NW 8 STREET | NW 7 TO10 STREET/ NW 87 TO 79 AVENUE | ROADWAY RECONSTRUCTION |
|  |  |  |  |
| 7 | SW 97 AVENUE | SW 72 TO 56 STREETS | WIDEN TO 3 LANES NARROW FROM 5 TO 2 LANES |
|  | SW ${ }^{\text {S }}$ SUTH AVENUE BAYSHORE DRIVE | MCFARLANE TO AVIATION | RESURFACING AND MEDIAN IMPROVEMENTS |
|  | SW 27 AVENUE | US 1 TO BAYSHORE DRIVE | WIDEN FROM 2 TO 3 LANES |
|  | GRAND AVENUE | SW 37 TO 32 STREETS | NARROW FROM 4 TO 2 LANES |
|  | ROADS WITH FAIR TO POOR PAVEMENT CONDITIONS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SECTIONS } 27-54-40,28-54-40 \text { AND } \\ & 29-54-40 \end{aligned}$ | RESURFACING |
|  | SOUTH MIAMI AVENUE | SW 25 TO 15 ROADS | TRAFFIC CALMING MEASURES, CURBS AND SIDEWALKS |


| DIST | PROJECT NAME/LOCATION | LIMITS | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | SW 87 AVENUE | SW 216 TO 168 STREETS | WIDEN FROM 2 TO 4 LANES |
|  | SW 312 STREET | SW 187 TO 177 AVENUES | WIDEN TO 5 LANES |
|  | SW 137 AVENUE | US 1 TO 184 STREET | WIDEN TO 4 LANES/NEW 4 LANES |
|  | SW 137 AVENUE | HEFT TO US 1 | WIDEN FROM 2 TO 4 LANES |
|  | SW 120 STREET | SW 137 TO 117 AVENUES | WIDEN FROM 4 TO 6 LANES |
|  |  |  |  |
| 9 | SW 136 STREET | SW 157 AVENUE TO FLORIDA TURNPIKE (SR 874) | WIDENING FROM 2 TO 4 LANES |
|  | SW 157 AVENUE | SW 184 TO 152 STREETS | NEW 4-LANE ROAD |
|  | SW 160 STREET | SW 147 TO 137 AVENUES | NEW 4-LANE ROAD |
|  | SW 180 STREET | SW 147 TO 137 AVENUES |  |
|  | SW 216 STREET | FLA'S TURNPIKE TO SW 127 AVE. | CURBS AND GUTTERS, TRAFFIC OPERATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS |
|  | SW 176 STREET | US 1 TO SW 107 AVENUE | CURBS AND GUTTERS, TRAFFIC OPERATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS |
|  | SW 264 STREET | US 1 TO SW 137 AVENUE | CURBS AND GUTTERS, TRAFFIC OPERATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS |
|  |  |  |  |
| 10 | SW 127 AVENUE | SW 120 TO 88 STREETS | WIDEN TO 4 LANES WITH MEDIAN, SWALES AND FRONTAGE ROAD |
|  | SW 97 AVENUE | SW 56 TO 40 STREETS | WIDEN TO 3 LANES |
|  |  |  |  |
| 11 | NEW ACCESS TO COUNTRY WALK | LAND ACQUISITION AND EXTENSION OF SW 143 TERRACE FROM RAILROAD TRACKS TO SW 136 ST. T | NEW 2-LANE ROAD |
|  | SW 157 AVENUE | SW 152 TO 112 STREET | NEW 4-LANE ROAD |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 12 | NW 138 STREET BRDGE | BRIDGE OVER MIAMI RIVER CANAL AT NW 138 STREET | BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION |
|  | NW 74 STREET | HEFT TO NW 82 AVENUE | NEW 4-LANE ROAD |
|  | NW 97 AVENUE | NW 41 TO 25 STREETS | WIDEN FROM 2 TO 4 LANES |
|  |  |  |  |
| 13 | NW 62 Avenue (W 8 Avenue) | NW 138 to 105 STREETS | WIDEN FROM 2 TO 3 LANES |
|  | NW 170 STREET | NW 87 TO 77 AVENUES | WIDEN FROM 2 TO 4 LANES |

©

# Sunshine <br> View Statutes <br> Select Year: 2001 ت <br>  <br> Iaformation Statutes \& Loblyist Center Constitution Iformation <br> Search Statutes Constitution <br> Laws of Florida <br> Order 

## T'he 2001 Florida Statutes

Title XIV<br>Taxation And Finance

Chapter 212<br>Tax On Sales, Use, And Other Transactions

View Entire Chapter
212.055 Discretionary sales surtaxes; legislative intent; authorization and use of proceeds.--It is the legislative intent that any authorization for imposition of a discretionary sales surtax shall be published in the Florida Statutes as a subsection of this section, irrespective of the duration of the levy. Each enactment shall specify the types of counties authorized to levy; the rate or rates which may be imposed; the maximum length of time the surtax may be imposed, if any; the procedure which must be followed to secure voter approval, if required; the purpose for which the proceeds may be expended; and such other requirements as the Legislature may provide. Taxable transactions and administrative procedures shall be as provided in 5.212 .054 .

## (1) CHARTER COUNTY TRANSIT SYSTEM SURTAX.--

(a) Each charter county which adopted a charter prior to June 1, 1976, and each county the government of which is consolidated with that of one or more municipalities, may levy a discretionary sales surtax, subject to approval by a majority vote of the electorate of the county or by a charter amendment approved by a majority vote of the electorate of the county.
(b) The rate shall be up to 1 percent.
(c) The proposal to adopt a discretionary sales surtax as provided in this subsection and to create a trust fund within the county accounts shall be placed on the ballot in accordance with law at a time to be set at the discretion of the governing body.
(d) Proceeds from the surtax shail be applied to as many or as few of the uses enumerated below in whatever combination the county commission deems appropriate:

1. Deposited by the county in the trust fund and shall be used for the purposes of development, construction, equipment, maintenance, operation, supportive services, including a countywide bus system, and related costs of a fixed guideway rapid transit system;
2. Remitted by the governing body of the county to an expressway or transportation authority created by law to be used, at the discretion of such authority, for the development, construction, operation, or maintenance of roads or bridges in the county, for the operation and maintenance of a bus systern, for the payment of principal and interest on existing bonds issued for the construction of such roads or bridges, and, upon approval by the county commission, such proceeds may be pledged for bonds issued to refinance existing bonds or new bonds issued for the construction of such roads or bridges; and
3. For each county, as defined in s. 125.011(1), used for the development, construction, operation, and maintenance of roads and bridges in the county; for the expansion, operation, and maintenance of bus and fixed guideway systems; and for the payment of principal and interest on bonds issued for the construction of fixed guideway rapid transit systems, bus systems, roads, or bridges; and such proceeds may be pledged by the governing body of the county for bonds issued to refinance existing bonds or new bonds issued for the construction of such fixed guideway rapid transit systems, bus systems, roads, or bridges and no more than 25 percent used for nontransit uses.

## COUNTY TRANSPORTATION EXPANSION QUESTION

SHALL THE COUNTY IMPLEMENT THE PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION PLAN INCLUDING: PLANS TO BUILD RAPID TRANSIT LINES TO WEST DADE, KENDALL, FLORIDA CITY, MIAMI BEACH AND NORTH DADE; EXPANDING BUS SERVICE; ADDING 635 BUSES; IMPROVING TRAFFIC SIGNALIZATION TO REDUCE TRAFFIC BACKUPS; IMPROVING MAJOR AND NEIGHBORHOOD ROADS AND HIGHWAYS, INCLUDING DRAINAGE; AND FUNDING TO MUNICIPALITIES FOR ROAD AND TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS BY LEVYING A $1 / 2$ PERCENT SALES SURTAX WHOSE PROCEEDS WILL BE OVERSEEN BY THE CITIZEN'S INDEPENDENT TRANSPORTATION TRUST?

YES $\square$

NO $\square$

## MEMORANDUM

Amended
Agenda Item No. 9 (A) (3)

Hon. Chairperson and Members
DATE: July 9, 2002 Board of County Commissioners

FROM: Robert A. Ginsburg
County Attomey

SUBJECT: Resolution calling special election regarding levy of a transit system sales surtax

The accompanying resolution was prepared and placed on the agenda at the request of Commissioner Bruno A. Barreiro.


RAG/bw

## MEMORA.VDLM

TO: $\quad$ Honorable Chairperson and Members
Board of County Commissioners

FROM: Robert A. Ginsburg
DATE: July o. 20ァ2


Amended
SL:BJECT: Agenda liem No. $9(\mathrm{~A})(3)$

Please note any items checked.
$\qquad$ "4-Day Rule" (Applicable if raised)
6 weeks required between first reading and public hearing
4 weeks notification to municipal officials required prior to public hearing
Decreases revenues or increases expenditures without balancing budget

## Budget required

Statement of fiscal impact required
Statement of private business sector impact required
Bid waiver requiring Countr Manager's written recommendation
Ordinance creating a new board requires detailed County Manager's report for public hearing
"Sunset" provision required
Legislative findings necessary

Amended
Agenda Item No. 9(A)(3)
7-9-02
GFICAN FILE COPY CLERK EF THE BOARL OF COUNTY COMKISSIONEF: dade county. florida

RESOLUTION NO. _ 736-02

RESOLUTION CALLING A COUNTYWIDE SPECIAL
ELECTION IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, TO
BE HELD ON TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 5, 2002 FOR
THE PURPOSE OF SUBMITTING TO THE QUALIFIED
ELECTORS OF THE COUNTY THE QUESTION OF LEVY OF A ONE-HALF OF ONE PERCENT CHARTER COUNTY TRANSIT SYSTEM SALES SURTAX PURSUANT TO SECTION 212.055(1), FLORIDA
STATUTES (2001) AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE

WHEREAS, Section 212.055(1), Florida Statutes (2001), authorizes Miami-Dade County, Florida (the "County") to levy a Charter County Transit System Sales Surtax, subject to approval by a majority vote of the electorate of the County; and

WHEREAS, the County has determined to call a special election to be held on Tuesday, November 5,2002 for the purpose of submitting to the qualified electors of the County the question of a levy of such Sales Surtax,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, that:

Section 1. In accordance with the general election laws of the State of Florida, a Countywide special election is hereby called and shall be held in Miami-Dade County, Florida, on Tuesday, November 5, 2002 for the purpose of submitting to the qualified electors of Miami-Dade County the question of whether the County shall levy a one-half of one percent discretionary sales surtax authorized by Section 212.055(1), Florida Statutes (2001).

Section 2. Notice of such special election shall be published in accordance with Section 100.342, Florida Statutes (2001).

Section 3. The results of such special election shall be determined by a majority of the qualified electors of the County voting at said special election. All polling places within the County shall be open from 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. on the day of said special election. All qualified electors of the County shall be entitled to vote at said special election.

Section 4. The County registration books shall remain open at the Office of Miami-Dade County Supervisor of Elections until October 7, 2002, at which date the registration books shall close in accordance with the provisions of the general election laws.

Section 5. Touch Screen voting machines shall be used at such special election, and the ballot question shall appear in substantially the following form: COUNTY TRANSPORTATION EXPANSION UUESTION TRANSPORTATION PLAN INCLUDING: PLANS TO BUILD RAPID TRANSIT LINES TO WEST DADE, KENDALL, FLORIDA CITY, MIAMI BEACH AND NORTH DADE; EXPANDING BUS SERVICE; ADDING 635 BUSES; IMPROVING TRAFFIC SIGNALIZATION TO REDUCE TRAFFIC BACKUPS; IMPROVING MAJOR AND NEIGHBORHOOD ROADS AND HIGHWAYS, INCLUDING DRAINAGE; AND FUNDING TO MUNICIPALITIES FOR ROAD AND TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS BY LEVYING A $1 / 2$ PERCENT SALES SURTAX WHOSE PROCEEDS WILL BE OVERSEEN BY THE CITIZEN'S INDEPENDENT OVERSEEN BY THE TRANSPORTATION TRUST?


Section 6. Such question shall appear on the ballot as a separate question or proposal. Those qualified electors desiring to adopt or approve such proposal shall be instructed to vote "YES." Those qualified electors desiring to reject or disapprove the proposal shall be instructed to vote "NO."

Section 7. Optical scan or touch screen systems may be used for absentee voting by qualified electors of the County for voting on this proposal at said special election. The form of such absentee ballot shall be in accordance with the requirements prescribed by the general election laws, and shall have printed thereon the question or proposal hereinabove set forth, with proper place for voting either "YES" or "NO."

Section 8. A sample ballot showing the manner in which the question or proposal aforesaid shall appear on the touch screen system at this special election shall be published and provided in accordance with the applicable provisions of the general election laws.

Section 9. This special election on the proposal aforesaid shall be held and conducted in accordance with the applicable provisions of the general laws relating to special elections and the provisions of the Miami-Dade County Home Rule Charter. The County Manager, the Finance Director, the Supervisor of Elections and the Clerk of the County Commission are hereby authorized and directed to take all appropriate actions necessary to carry into effect and accomplish the provisions of this resolution. This special election shall be a nonpartisan election. Inspectors and clerks to serve as election officials in connection with this special election shall be appointed in accordance with the provisions of the general election laws.
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Section 10. This special election shall be canvassed by the County Canvassing Board as provided under the election laws of this State, in accordance with the provisions of Section 2.07 of the Home Rule Charter.

The foregoing resolution was sponsored by Commissioner Bruno A. Barreiro and offered by Commissioner Brumo A. Barreiro , who moved its adoption. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Jose "Fipe" Cancio, and upon being put to a vote, the vote was as follows:

|  |  | Jose "Pepe" Cancio, Sr. aye |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Bruno A. Barreiro | aye | Betty T. Ferguson | aye |
| Dr. Barbara Carey-Shuler | aje | Joe A. Martinez | ase |
| Gwen Margolis | aye | Dennis C. Moss | aje |
| Jimmy L. Morales | aje | Natacha Seijas | rey |
| Dorrin D. Rolle | aje | Rebeca Sosa | ase |
| Katy Sorenson | aje |  |  |

Sen. Javier D. Souto nay

The Chairperson thereupon declared the resolution duly passed and adopted this 9th day of July, 2002. This resolution shall become effective ten (10) days after the date of its adoption unless vetoed by the Mayor, and if vetoed, shall become effective only upon an override by this Board.

# MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA BY ITS BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS 

HARVEY RUVIN, CLERK

Approved by County Attomey hs
to form and legal sufficiency.
R.A. Cuevas, Jr.

| STATE OF FLORIDA | ) |
| :--- | :--- |
| MLAMI-DADE COUNTY | ) SS: |

I, HARVEY RUVIN, Clerk of the Circuit and County Courts in and for Miami-Dade County, Florida, and Ex-Officio Clerk of the Board of County Commissioners of said County, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the above foregoing is a true and correct copy of Resolution R-736-02, at its meeting held on July 9, 2002, as appears of record.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and official seal on this 16 th day of July, A.D. 2002.

## HARVEY RUVIN, Clerk

Board of County Commissioners
Miami-Dade County, Florida


SEAL
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## MEMORANDUM

Amended
Substitute No. 2
Alternate
Agenda Item No. 4(A)
TO: Hon. Chairperson and Members Board of County Commissioners
DATE: July 9, 2002

FROM: Robert A. Ginsburg County Attorney<br>SUBJECT: Ordinance levying one-half of one percent surtax for transit

0\#02-116

The accompanying ordinance was prepared and placed on the agenda at the request of Commissioner Bruno A. Barreiro.

The prior versions of this item contain an Exhibit 1 listing projects to be funded by the surtax, by categories and cost. The Exhibits attached to these prior versions left open the type, cost and funding mechanisms for projects under the category of "Municipal Improvements."

The attached alternate substitute number 2 has a new Exhibit 1 which details the types of projects, the proposed method for providing funding therefor to the municipalities and the cost thereof under the category "Municipal Improvements." Substitute \#2 to 4A Alternate makes no other changes to Exhibit 1.


TO: Honorable Chairperson and Members
Board of County Commissioners

FROM: Steve Shiver
County Manager

DATE: July 9, 2002

SUBJECT: Ordinance levying one half of one percent surtax for transit

The proposed ordinance relating to the imposition of a half-penny sales tax for transportation needs is anticipated to result in $\$ 5.596$ billion in additional revenues over a 21 year period, given an average growth rate projected at $5 \%$. During the first year of collections, it is estimated that the County will receive $\$ 150$ million. Over the 30 year period, these revenues will permit the County to leverage approximately $\$ 2.5$ billion in Federal funds and $\$ 1.25$ billion in State funds to construct 88.9 miles of rapid transit and to provide funds for acquisition of additional buses, municipal transit enhancements, road and highway improvements and to partially cover the operating and maintenance costs for the Miami-Dade transit system. Fares are assumed and have been projected to remain at the same rate over the same period. Additionally, because the maintenance of requirements remain constant, it somewhat reduces the budgetary pressure on the general fund.

## MEMORANDL:M

TO: $\quad$ Honorable Chairperson and Members Board of County Commissioners
FROM: Robert A. Ginsburg

DATE: July 9, 2こ0き
Amended
Substitute \#2
Alternate
SLBJECT: Agenda liem ㅅo. 4(A)

Please note any items checked.

|  | "4-Day Rule" (Applicable if raised) |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 6 weeks required between first reading and public hearing |
|  | 4 weeks notification to municipal officials required prior to public hearing |
|  | Decreases revenues or increases expenditures without balancing budget |
|  | Budget required |
|  | Statement of fiscal impact required |
|  | Statement of private business sector impact required |
|  | Bid waiver requiring County Manager's written recommendation |
|  | Ordinance creating a new board requires detailed County Manager's report for public hearing |
|  | "Sunset" provision required |
|  | Legislative findings necessary |

Approved
Veto
Override

-

Amended
Substitute No. 2
Alternate
Agenda Item No. 4(A)
7-9-02

ORDINANCE NO. 02-116

ORDINANCE LEVYING AND IMPOSING A ONE HALF OF ONE PERCENT CHARTER COUNTY TRANSIT SYSTEM SURTAX AUTHORIZED BY SECTION 212.055(1), FLORIDA STATUTES (2001) ON ALL TRANSACTIONS OCCURRING IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY OTHERWISE SUBJECT TO THE STATE TAX IMPOSED ON SALES, USE, RENTALS, ADMISSIONS AND OTHER TRANSACTIONS BY CHAPTER 212, FLORIDA STATUTES (2001); PROVIDING EXCEPTIONS; PROVIDING LIMITATIONS AND PROCEDURES FOR ADMINISTRATION AND COLLECTION; PROVIDING FOR USE OF SURTAX PROCEEDS; GRANTING CITIZENS' INDEPENDENT TRANSPORTATION TRUST CERTAIN POWERS OVER THE USE AND EXPENDITURE OF SURTAX PROCEEDS; EXPRESSING INTENT TO MAINTAIN CURRENT LEVEL OF GENERAL FUND SUPPORT FOR MDTA IN SUBSEQUENT FISCAL YEARS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE; AND REQUIRING THE CLERK OF THIS BOARD TO MAIL CERTIFIED COPIES HEREOF TO BOTH THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF STATE AND THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE WITHIN TEN DAYS AFTER ENACTMENT

WHEREAS, this Board wishes to levy and impose a one half of one percent
Charter County Transit System Surtax if approved by the electorate of Miami-Dade County, Florida (the "County") at a duly called election pursuant to authority granted by Section 212.055(1), Florida Statutes (2001), and to apply the proceeds of the surtax as provided herein,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMIMISSIONERS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA:

Amended
Substitute No. 2
Alternate
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Section 1. Code Amendment. Chapter 29 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida, is hereby amended by adding the following new Article XVI:

ARTICLE XVI. ONE HALF OF ONE PERCENT CHARTER COUNTY TRANSIT SYSTEM SALES SURTAX AUTHORIZED BY SECTION 212.055(1) FLORIDA STATUTES (2001).

## Sec. 29.121. Sales surtax levied.

There is hereby levied and imposed a one half of one percent discretionary sales surtax authorized by Section 212.055(1), Florida Statutes (2001) on all transactions occurring in Miami-Dade County which transactions are subject to the state tax imposed on sales, use, rentals, admissions and other transactions by Chapter 212, Florida Statutes (2001).

## Sec. 29.122. Surtax rate, limitations.

The surtax rate shall be one half of one percent on the amount of taxable sales and taxable purchases representing such transactions. The limitations, conditions and provisions contained in Section 212.054, Florida Statutes (2001) as the same may be amended and supplemented from time to time are hereby incorporated herein.

## Sec. 29-122.1. Exemption from Sales Surtax

All exemptions applicable to the discretionary sales surtax contained in Chapter 212, Florida Statutes are hereby incorporated herein as the same may be amended and supplemented from time to time including, but not limited to, the following:

1. The sales amount above $\$ 5,000$ on any item of tangible personal property shall not be subject to the surtax. However, charges for prepaid calling arrangements, as defined in Sec.212.05(1)(e)l.a. Fla. Stats., shall be subject to the surtax. For

Amended
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purposes of administering the $\$ 5,000$ limitation of an item of tangible personal property, if two or more taxable items of tangible personal property are sold to the same purchaser at the same time and, under generally accepted business practice or industry standards or usage, are normally sold in bulk or are items that, when assembled, comprise a working unit or part of a working unit, such items must be considered a single item for purposes of the $\$ 5,000$ limitation when supported by a charge ticket, sale slip, invoice, or other tangible evidence of a single sale or rental.
2. The sale at retail, the rental, the use, the consumption, the distribution, and the storage to be used or consumed in this state of the following are hereby specifically exempt from the sales surtax imposed by this ordinance.

## (1) EXEMPTIONS; GENERAL GROCERIES.-

(a) Food products for human consumption are exempt from the sales surtax imposed by this ordinance.
(b) For the purpose of this ordinance, as used in this subsection, the term "food products" means edible commodities, whether processed, cooked, raw, canned, or in any other form, which are generally regarded as food. This includes, but is not limited to, all of the following:

1. Cereals and cereal products, baked goods, oleomargarine, meat and meat products, fish and seafood products, frozen foods and dinners, poultry,

## Amended

Substitute No. 2
Alternate
Agenda Item No. 4(A)
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eggs and egg products, vegetables and vegetable products, fruit and fruit products, spices, salt, sugar and sugar products, milk and dairy products, and products intended to be mixed with milk.
2. Natural fruit or vegetable juices or their concentrates or reconstituted natural concentrated fruit or vegetable juices, whether frozen or unfrozen, dehydrated, powdered, granulated, sweetened or unsweetened, seasoned with salt or spice, or unseasoned; coffee, coffee substitutes, or cocoa; and tea, unless it is sold in a liquid form.
3. Bakery products sold by bakeries, pastry shops, or like establishments that do not have eating facilities.
(c) The exemption provided by this subsection does not apply:

1. When the food products are sold as meals for consumption on or off the premises of the dealer.
2. When the food products are furnished, prepared, or served for consumption at tables, chairs, or counters or from trays, glasses, dishes, or other tableware, whether provided

Amended
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by the dealer or by a person with whom the dealer contracts to furnish, prepare, or serve food products to others.
3. When the food products are ordinarily sold for immediate consumption on the seller's premises or near a location at which parking facilities are provided primarily for the use of patrons in consuming the products purchased at the location, even though such products are sold on a "take out" or "to go" order and are actually packaged or wrapped and taken from the premises of the dealer.
4. To sandwiches sold ready for immediate consumption on or off the seller's premises.
5. When the food products are sold ready for immediate consumption within a place, the entrance to which is subject to an admission charge.
6. When the food products are sold as hot prepared food products.
7. To soft drinks, which include, but are not limited to, any nonalcoholic beverage, any preparation or beverage commonly referred
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to as a "soft drink," or any noncarbonated drink made from milk derivatives or tea, when sold in cans or similar containers.
8. To ice cream, frozen yogurt, and similar frozen dairy or nondairy products in cones, small cups, or pints, popsicles, frozen fruit bars, or other novelty items, whether or not sold separately.
9. To food prepared, whether on or off the premises, and sold for immediate consumption. This does not apply to food prepared off the premises and sold in the original sealed container, or the slicing of products into smaller portions.
10. When the food products are sold through a vending machine, pushcart, motor vehicle, or any other form of vehicle.
11. To candy and any similar product regarded as candy or confection, based on its normal use, as indicated on the label or advertising thereof.
12. To bakery products sold by bakeries, pastry shops, or like establishments that have eating facilities, except when sold for
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consumption off the seller's premises.
13. When food products are served, prepared, or sold in or by restaurants, lunch counters, cafeterias, hotels, taverns, or other like places of business.
(d) As used in this subsection (1), the term:

1. "For consumption off the seller's premises" means that the food or drink is intended by the customer to be consumed at a place away from the dealer's premises.
2. "For consumption on the seller's premises" means that the food or drink sold may be immediately consumed on the premises where the dealer conducts his or her business. In determining whether an item of food is sold for immediate consumption, there shall be considered the customary consumption practices prevailing at the selling facility.
3. "Premises" shall be construed broadly, and means, but is not limited to, the lobby, aisle, or auditorium of a theater; the seating, aisle, or parking area of an arena, rink, or stadium; or the parking area of a drive-
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in or outdoor theater. The premises of a caterer with respect to catered meals or beverages shall be the place where such meals or beverages are served.
4. "Hot prepared food products" means those products, items, or components which have been prepared for sale in a heated condition and which are sold at any temperature that is higher than the air temperature of the room or place where they are sold. "Hot prepared food products," for the purposes of this subsection, includes a combination of hot and cold food items or components where a single price has been established for the combination and the food products are sold in such combination, such as a hot meal, a hot specialty dish or serving, or a hot sandwich or hot pizza, including cold components or side items.
(e) 1. Food or drinks not exempt under paragraphs (a), (b), (c), and (d) shall be exempt, notwithstanding those paragraphs, when purchased with food coupons or Special Supplemental Food Program for Women, Infants, and Children vouchers issued under authority of federal law.
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2. This paragraph (e) is effective only while federal law probibits a state's participation in the federal food coupon program or Special Supplemental Food Program for Women, Infants, and Children if there is an official determination that state or local sales taxes are collected within that state on purchases of food or drinks with such coupons.
3. This paragraph (e) shall not apply to any food or drinks on which federal law shall permit sales taxes without penalty, such as termination of the state's participation.

## (2) EXEMPTIONS MEDICAL

(a) There shall be exempt from the sales surtax imposed by this ordinance any medical products and supplies or medicine dispensed according to an individual prescription or prescriptions written by a prescriber authorized by law to prescribe medicinal drugs; hypodermic needles; hypodermic syringes; chemical compounds and test kits used for the diagnosis or treatment of human disease, illness, or injury; and common household remedies recommended and generally sold for internal and external use in the cure, mitigation, treatment, or prevention of illness or disease in human beings, but not
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including cosmetics or toilet articles, notwithstanding the presence of medicinal ingredients therein, according to a list prescribed and approved by the Department of Health, which list shall be certified to the Department of Revenue from time to time and included in the rules promulgated by the Department of Revenue. There shall also be exempt from the sales surtax imposed by this ordinance artificial eyes and limbs; orthopedic shoes; prescription eyeglasses and items incidental thereto or which become a part thereof; dentures; hearing aids; crutches; prosthetic and orthopedic appliances; and funerals. In addition, any items intended for one-time use which transfer essential optical characteristics to contact lenses shall be exempt from the sales surtax imposed by this ordinance, however, this exemption shall apply only after $\$ 100,000$ of the sales surtax imposed by this ordinance on such items has been paid in any calendar year by a taxpayer who claims the exemption in such year. Funeral directors shall pay tax on all tangible personal property used by them in their business.
(b) For the purposes of this subsection (2):

1. "Prosthetic and orthopedic appliances" means any apparatus, instrument, device, or equipment used to replace or substitute for any missing part of the body, to alleviate the malfunction of
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any part of the body, or to assist any disabled person in leading a normal life by facilitating such person's mobility. Such apparatus, instrument, device, or equipment shall be exempted according to an individual prescription or prescriptions written by a physician licensed under chapter 458, chapter 459 , chapter 460 , chapter 461 , or chapter 466 , Florida Statutes, or according to a list prescribed and approved by the Department of Health, which list shall be certified to the Department of Revenue from time to time and included in the rules promulgated by the Department of Revenue.
2. "Cosmetics" means articles intended to be rubbed, poured, sprinkled, or sprayed on, introduced into, or otherwise applied to the human body for cleaning, beautifying, promoting attractiveness, or altering the appearance and also means articles intended for use as a compound of any such articles, including, but not limited to, cold creams, suntan lotions, makeup, and body lotions.
3. "Toilet articles" means any article advertised or held out for sale for grooming purposes and those
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articles that are customarily used for grooming purposes, regardless of the name by which they may be known, including, but not limited to, soap, toothpaste, hair spray, shaving products, colognes, perfumes, shampoo, deodorant, and mouthwash.
4. "Prescription" includes any order for drugs or medicinal supplies written or transmitted by any means of communication by a duly licensed practitioner authorized by the laws of the state to prescribe such drugs or medicinal supplies and intended to be dispensed by a pharmacist. The term also includes an orally transmitted order by the lawfully designated agent of such practitioner. The term also includes an order written or transmitted by a practitioner licensed to practice in a jurisdiction other than this state, but only if the pharmacist called upon to dispense such order determines, in the exercise of his or her professional judgment, that the order is valid and necessary for the treatment of a chronic or recurrent illness. The term also includes a pharmacist's order for a product selected from the formulary created pursuant to Sec. 465.186
Fla.Stats. A prescription may
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be retained in written form, or the pLarmacist may cause it to be recorded in a data processing system, provided that such order can be produced in printed form upon lawful request.
(c) Chlorine shall not be exempt from the tax imposed by this ordinance when used for the treatment of water in swimming pools.
(d) Lithotripters are exempt.
(e) Human organs are exempt.
(f) Sales of drugs to or by physicians, dentists, veterinarians, and hospitals in connection with medical treatment are exempt.
(g) Medical products and supplies used in the cure, mitigation, alleviation, prevention, or treatment of injury, disease, or incapacity which are temporarily or permanently incorporated into a patient or client by a practitioner of the healing arts licensed in the state are exempt.
(h) The purchase by a veterinarian of commonly recognized substances possessing curative or remedial properties which are ordered and dispensed as treatment for a diagnosed health disorder by or on the prescription of a duly licensed veterinarian, and which are applied to or consumed by animals for alleviation of pain or the
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cure or prevention of sickness, disease, or suffering are exempt. Also exempt are the purchase by a veterinarian of antiseptics, absorbent cotton, gauze for bandages, lotions, vitamins, and worm remedies.
(i) X-ray opaques, also known as opaque drugs and radiopaque, such as the various opaque dyes and barium sulphate, when used in connection with medical X rays for treatment of bodies of humans and animals, are exempt.
(j) Parts, special attachments, special lettering, and other like items that are added to or attached to tangible personal property so that a handicapped person can use them are exempt when such items are purchased by a person pursuant to an individual prescription.
(k) This subsection (2) shall be strictly construed and enforced.

Sec. 29-123. Administration, collection and enforcement.

The Florida Department of Revenue shall administer, collect and enforce the surtax levied hereunder pursuant to the procedures specified in Sec. 212.054(4) Fla.Stats. (2001) as the same may be amended or renumbered from time to time.

Sec. 29-124. Special fund created; uses of surtax proceeds; and role of Citizens' Independent Transportation Trust.

The surtax proceeds collected by the State and distributed hereunder shall be deposited in a special fund
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set aside from other County funds in the custody of the Finance Director of the County. Moncys in the special fund shall be expended for the transportation and transit projects (including operation and maintenance thereof) set forth in Exhibit 1 to this ordinance (including those projects referenced in the ballot question presented to the electors to approve this levy), subject to any amendments thereto made in accordance with the MPO process or made in accordance with the procedures specified in subsection (d) of this Section.

Expenditure of surtax proceeds shall be subject to the following limitations:
(a) Surtax proceeds shall be applied to expand the Golden Passport Program to all persons (regardless of income level who are over the age of 65 or are drawing Social Security benefits, and to provide fare-free public transportation service on Metromover, including extensions.
(b) Surtax proceeds may only be expended for the transportation and transit purposes specified in §212.055(1)(d)1-3 Fla.Stats.(2001).
(c) The County shall not expend more than $5 \%$ of the County's share of surtax proceeds on administrative costs, exclusive of project management and oversight for projects funded by the surtax.
(d) The County Commission shall not delete or materially change any County project contained in the list attached as Exhibit 1 to this ordinance nor add any project to the list except in accordance with the procedures set forth in this subsection (d). A proposed deletion, material change or addition of a County project shall be initially reviewed by the Citizens' Independent Transportation Trust ("Trust"), which shall forward a recommendation thereon to the County Commission. The County Commission may either accept or reject the Trust's recommendation. If the County Commission rejects
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the recommendation, the matter shall be referred back to the Trust for its reconsideration and issuance of a reconsidered recommendation to the County Commission. The County Commission may approve, change or reject the Trust's reconsidered recommendation. A two-thirds vote of the Commission membership shall be required to take action other than as contained in the reconsidered recommendation of the Trust. The foregoing notwithstanding, the list of County projects contained in Exhibit 1 may be changed as a result of the MPO process as mandated by federal and state law.
(e) No surtax proceeds may be used to pay the costs of a contract awarded by action of the County Commission until such action has become final (either by expiration of ten days after such action without veto by the Mayor, or by Commission override of a veto) and either: i) the Trust has approved same; or, ii) in response to the Trust's disapproval, the County Commission re-affirms its award by two-thirds ( $2 / 3$ ) vote of the Commission's membership. The bid documents for all County contracts funded in whole or in part with surtax proceeds shall provide that no award shall be effective and no contractual relationship shall arise with the County unless and until approved by the Trust or re-affirmed by the County Commission as provided in this subsection.
(f) Twenty percent of surtax proceeds shall be distributed annually to those cities existing as of November 5,2002 that meet the following conditions:
(i) That continue to provide the same level of general fund support for transportation that is in their FY 2001-2002 budget in subsequent Fiscal Years. Any surtax proceeds received shall be applied to supplement, not replace a city's general fund support for transportation;
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(ii) That apply $20 \%$ of any surtax proceeds received to transit uses in the nature of circulator buses, bus shelters, bus pullout bays or other transit-related infrastructure. Any city that cannot apply the $20 \%$ portion of surtax proceeds it receives as provided in the preceding sentence, may contract with the County for the County to apply such proceeds on a County project that enhances traffic mobility within that city and immediately adjacent areas. If the city cannot expend such proceeds in accordance with either of the preceding sentences, then such proceeds shall carry over and be added to the overall portion of surtax proceeds to be distributed to the cities in the ensuing year and shall be utilized solely for the transit uses enumerated in this subsection (ii); and
(iii) Surtax proceeds distributed amongst the existing cities shall be distributed on a pro rata basis based on the ratio such city's population bears to the total population in all such cities (as adjusted annually in accordance with the Estimates of Population prepared by the Bureau of Economic and Business Research of the University of Florida) annually to those cities that continue to meet the foregoing conditions. For purposes of the foregoing, whenever an annexation occurs in an existing city, the number of persons residing in such annexed area at the time it is annexed shall be excluded from all calculations. Increases in population in areas annexed over and above the population in such area at the time of annexation which occur after annexation shall be included in subsequent years' calculations.
(g) Newly incorporated municipalities shall have the right to negotiate with the County for a pro rata share of the sales surtax, taking into consideration the neighborhood and municipal projects identified in Exhibit 1, as amended, within the boundaries of the new municipalities. The preceding sentence shall not affect the twenty ( $20 \%$ ) percent share provided herein for municipalities existing on November 5, 2002.

Section 2. Severability. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or provision of this ordinance is held invalid, the remainder of this ordinance shall not be affected by such invalidity.

Section 3. Ordinance Part of Code. It is the intention of the Board of County Commissioners, and it is hereby ordained that the provisions of this ordinance shall become and be made a part of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida. The sections of this ordinance may be renumbered or relettered to accomplish such intention, and the word "ordinance" may be changed to "section," "article," or other appropriate word.

Section 4. Effective Date. The provisions of this ordinance shall become effective ten (10) days after the date of enactment unless vetoed by the Mayor, and if vetoed, shall become effective only upon an override by this Board, and the sales surtax authorized herein shall take effect on January 1, 2003, provided that the question of whether the County shall levy a onehalf of one percent sales surtax pursuant to Section 212.055(1), Florida Statutes (2001) is approved by a majority vote of the electorate who vote on this proposal in the election to be held on November 5,2002. The sales surtax authorized herein shall remain in effect until this ordinance is repealed.
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Section 5. Maintenance of Effort. It is the intention of the Board of County Commissioners that the amount of general fund support for MDTA in fiscal year ending September 30, 2003 and each subsequent fiscal year shall be no less than $\$ 111,800,000$ which is the budgeted amount of general fund support for MDTA in fiscal year ending September 30, 2002.

Section 6. State Filings. This Clerk of the Board shall forthwith mail certified copies of this ordinance to both the Florida Department of State and the Florida Department of Revenue within ten (10) days after enactment hereof.

Section 7. Amendments. This Ordinance may only be amended or repealed by a twothirds vote of the Board. Any amendment or repeal of this Ordinance shall further require a minimum of six (6) weeks between first and second reading.

Section 8. This ordinance does not contain a sunset provision.

PASSED AND ADOPTED: JUL 092002
Approved by County Attorney as

R. A. Cuevas, Jr.

Sponsored by Commissioner Bruno A. Barreiro

# EXHIBIT 1 PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION PLAN 

## YEAR 2003-2008: BUS SERVICE IMPROVEMENTS (Capital Cost:

## \$90 million)

- Increases bus fleet from 700 to 1335.
- Increases current service miles from 27 million miles to 44 million miles.
- Increases operating hours from 1.9 million hours to 3.3 million hours.
- Utilizes minibuses on all new bus routes and in neighborhood/municipal circulator shuttle service.
- Adds mid-day, Saturday and Sunday services within 30-days of approval of a dedicated funding source using existing buses.
- Provides 15 -minutes or better bus service during rush hour; 30-minutes or better during other periods; 24 -hour service in certain major corridors.
- Replaces buses on a systematic basis to reduce operating cost and increase reliability.
- Constructs bus pull-out bays on major streets to expedite traffic flow.
- Implements grid system for bus service (north-south and east-west) on major streets and avenues with circulator service feeding main line bus service and rapid transit lines.
- Expands the bus shelter program throughout the County.
- Enhances and expands transit bus stop signage countywide; incorporate information technology at bus stop and rail stations.
- Expands Transit's public information program through enhanced marketing and advertising.
- Expands on successful municipal circulator program.


## YEAR 2003-2031: RAPID TRANSIT IMPROVEMENTS

Construction of up to 88.9 -miles of countywide rapid transit lines. (Capital Cost: \$7 billion)

- Technology and Corridor Improvements: Two corridors, totaling 26.7 miles of rapid transit, have completed the planning phase and are ready to enter into final design and construction -- the North Corridor and East-West Corridor.
- The North Corridor is a 9.5-mile heavy rail alternative, running from the Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Metrorail Station, along NW $27^{\text {th }}$ Avenue to NW $215^{\text {th }}$ Street (MiamiDade/Broward County line); with proposed stations at Northside Shopping Center, MDCC-North Campus, City of Opa-locka, Palmetto Expressway, Carol City Shopping Center, Pro-Player Stadium and the Florida Turnpike. The North Corridor (part of the original Rapid Transit Plan) will receive top priority to go into the final design and construction phase. ( $\$ 555$ million)
- The East-West Corridor consists of two segments, one from the Florida Turnpike east to the Palmetto Expressway (SR 826) and from the Palmetto, through Miami International Airport, downtown Miami, and to the Port of Miami, 6-miles and 11.2 miles respectively. These sites have been identified as potential station locations: Florida Turnpike, NW $107^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, NW $97^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, NW $87^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, Milam Dairy Road, Blue Lagoon area, Miami Intermodal Center, NW 27 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, Orange Bowl, Government Center (downtown Miami), and the Port of Miami. ( $\$ 2,789$ million)


## EXHIBIT 1 PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION PLAN

- The remaining 62.2-miles of rapid transit lines need to complete federal, state and local planning processes to determine feasibility, technology, and corridor alignment. These corridors include, but are not limited to, the following:
- Earlington Heights/Airport Connector: A 3.1 mile extension from the Earlington Heights Metrorail Station to the Miami Intermodal Center, located on the east side of Miami International Airport. (\$207 million)
- Baylink: A 5.1-mile corridor between downtown Miami and South Miami Beach. (\$510 million)
- Kendall Corridor: A 15 -mile corridor with both east-west and north-south segments. ( $\$ 877$ million)
- Northeast Corridor: A 13.6-mile corridor from downtown Miami, through Little Haiti, to NE $215^{\text {th }}$ Street, generally along the Biscayne Blvd./US 1 Corridor and Florida East Coast railroad right-of-way. ( $\$ 795$ million)
- Rail Extension to Florida City: A 21 -mile rail extension along US1 consisting of two segments, one from Dadeland South Metrorail Station to Cutler Ridge; a second segment from Cutler Ridge to Florida City. ( $\$ 946$ million)
- Douglas Road Extension: A 4.5-mile corridor from the Douglas Road Metrorail Station to the Miami Intermodal Center. (\$280 million)


## YEAR 2003-2013: MAJOR HIGHWAY AND ROAD IMPROVEMENTS (Total Cost: $\$ 309$ million)

includes the following countywide improvements:

- Supplements funding to upgrade the County's traffic signalization system.
- Constructs major ingress/egress improvements in Downtown Miami, from SW 8 Street to SW 1 Avenue.
- Funds the Preliminary Engineering and Design study of I-395.
- Accelerates approved safety enhancements and lane improvements for Krome Avenue.
- Completes construction of NW 87 Avenue between NW 154 Street and Miami Gardens Drive (NW 183 Street).
- Creates viable reverse flow lanes on major thoroughfares.
- Funds grade separation of intersections where appropriate countywide.
- Supplements funding to widen NW 62 Avenue, from NW 105 Street to NW 138 Street.


## EXHIBIT 1 PEOPLE'S TRANSPORTATION PLAN

## YEAR 2003-2013: NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENTS (Total Cost: \$167 million) <br> Neighborhood improvements include modification of intersections; resurfacing of local and arterial roads; installation/repairs of guardrails; installation of school flashing signals and enhancement of greenways and bikeways. Such improvements also include replacement/repair of sidewalks, repair/installation of drainage and landscape beautification (including community image enhancements) related to the development, construction, operation or maintenance of roads and bridges in the county or to the expansion, operation or maintenance of bus and fixed guideway systems.

- Accelerates program to provide ADA accessibility to bus stops throughout the County.

MUNICIPAL IMPROVEMENTS (Cities to receive a pro rata share (determined by population) of $20 \%$ total surtax revenues on an annual basis (currently estimated at $\$ 62.6$ million [one-percent] or $\$ 31.3$ million [one-half percent])

Cities will preserve the level of transportation funding currently in their FY 2001-2002 budgets (i.e. their maintenance of effort dollars). Maintenance of effort excludes special bond issues for infrastructure improvements.

The cities will dedicate $20 \%$ of their surtax funds to transit purposes. This would include circulators, bus shelters, bus pull out bays or other transit-related infrastructure. If such utilization is inappropriate, the County will be afforded the opportunity to undertake such projects with those funds or the funds will revert to the municipal pool for re-distribution.

NOTE: This Exhibit 1 includes those projects listed on the attached 3 pages entitled "Board Requested Major Roadway and Neighborhood Improvement Projects for Inclusion in the People's Transportation Plan."
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STATE OF FLORIDA )
COUNTY OF DADE, $S S$ :
I. HARVEY RUNIN, Clerk of the Circuit Court in and for Dade county, Florida, and Ex-Officio Clerk of the Board of County Commissioners of said County, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the above and foregoing is a true and correct ORDINANCE 02-116, ADOPTED JULY 9, 2002
as appears of record.

IN WITNESS UHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and official seal on this 16 th day of JULY $\quad$ A.D. 202


HARVEY RUNIN, Clerk
Board of County Cormissioners Dade County, Florida


SEAL

## MEMORANDUM

Amended
Alternate
Agenda Item No. 4(B)

Hon. Chairperson and Members
DATE: July 9, 2002
Board of County Commissioners

OM: Robert A. Ginsburg
County Attorney

SUBJECT: Ordinance creating Citizens' Independent Transportation<br>Trust

0\#02-117

The accompanying ordinance was prepared and placed on the agenda at the request of Commissioner Bruno A. Barreiro.


RAG/bw

TO: Honorable Chairperson and Members
Board of County Commissioners

FROM: Steve Shiver
County Manager

DATE: July 9. 2002
SUBJECT: Ordinance creating a Citizens' Independent Tran'sportation Trust

The proposed ordinance creating a Citizens' Independent Transportation Trust will have no fiscal impact on Miami-Dade County. Staff support will be provided by Miami-Dade Transit's current staff at no additional cost to the County.

## MEMORANDL'M

| TO: | Honorable Chairperson and Members <br> Board of County Commissioners |
| :--- | :--- |
| FROM: July 9.2002 |  |

Please note any items checked.
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7-9-02

ORDINANCE NO. $\quad \mathbf{0 2 - 1 1 7}$

ORDINANCE CREATING A CITIZENS' INDEPENDENT TRANSPORTATION TRUST WITH CERTAIN POWERS OVER THE USE AND EXPENDITURE OF PROCEEDS OF PROPOSED CHARTER COUNTY TRANSIT SYSTEM SURTAX; PROVIDING TRUST MEMBERSHIP; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION $\mathbb{N}$ THE CODE, AND AN effective date

WHEREAS, this Board wishes to create a Citizens' Independent Transportation Trust with certain powers over the use and expenditure of the proceeds of a proposed Charter County Transit System Surtax (the "Surtax") if approved by the electorate of Miami-Dade County, Florida (the "County") at a duly called election pursuant to authority granted by Section 212.055(1), Florida Statutes (2001),

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY

## COMMISSIONERS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA:

Section 1. Code Amendment. The Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida, is hereby amended by adding the following new section:

Sec. $\qquad$ - Citizens' Independent Transportation Trust created; powers over expenditure and use of proceeds of proposed Charter County Transit System Surtax.
(a) Creation. A Citizens' Independent Transportation Trust ("Trust") is hereby created. The Trust will bave fifteen (15) members: one residing in each of Miami-Dade County's thirteen commission districts, one appointed by the Mayor without regard to such appointee's district of residence, and one appointed by the Miami-Dade League of Cities without regard to such appointee's district of
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residence. Members of the Trust shall be residents of Miami-Dade County who possess outstanding reputations for civic involvement, integrity, responsibility, and business and/or professional ability and experience or interest in the fields of transportation mobility improvements or operations, or land use planning. No person shall be eligible to serve as a member of the Trust who has any interest, direct or indirect, in a contract with the County or in any corporation, partnership or other entity that has a contract with the County, or who is a member of a community council. The Trust and the Nominating Committee, as defined below, will be comprised of members who are representative of the geographic, ethnic, racial and gender make-up of the County.
(b) Initial members. The initial members of the Trust shall be nominated and appointed in the manner set forth in this subsection. The Board of County Commissioners shall appoint a nominating committee (the "Nominating Committee") comprised of twenty (20) members as set for the below who are representative of the geographical, ethnic, racial and gender make-up of the County:
(1) The Executive Director of the Miami-Dade League of Cities or one of the League's officers shall serve as a member of the Nominating Committee;
(2) The Chairperson of the United Way or his or her designee shall serve as a member of the Nominating Committee;
(3) The Cbairperson of the Greater Miami Visitors and Convention Bureau or his or her designee shall serve as a member of the Nominating Committee;
(4) The Chairperson of the Citizen's Transportation Advisory Committee or his or her designee shall serve as a member of the Nominating Committee; and
(5) The Chairpersons of the Community Councils shall meet and shall, by majority vote, appoint one member of the Nominating Committee;
(6) The State Attorney;
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## (7) The Chief Judge of the Eleventh Judicial

 Circuit;(8) The Cbair of the Ethics Commission or his or her designee;
(9) The President or CEO of the Black Business Association shall appoint one member of the Nominating Committee;
(10) The President or CEO of the Urban Environment League sball appoint one member of the Nominating Committee;
(11) The President or CEO of the local branch of the Urban League shall appoint one member of the Nominating Committee;
(12) The President or CEO of the Alliance for Aging shall appoint one member of the Nominating Committee;
(13) The President or CEO of the Miami-Dade Branch NAACP shall appoint one member of the Nominating Committee;
(14) The President or CEO of the Coalition of Chambers shall appoint one member of the Nominating Committee;
(15) The President or CEO of Florida International University shall appoint one member of the Nominating Committee;
(16) The President or CEO of Miami-Dade Community College shall appoint one member of the Nominating Committee;
(17) The President or CEO of People Acting for the Community Together (P.A.C.T.) shall appoint one member of the Nominating Committee;
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(18) The President or CEO of Underrepresented People's Positive Action Council (UP-PAC) shall appoint one member of the Nominating Committee; and
(19) The Executive Director of the local chapter of the League of Women Voters shall appoint one member of the Nominating Committee.
(20) The Executive Director of the Haitian American Grass Roots Coalition shall appoint one member.

The Nominating Committee shall submit a slate of four (4) candidates from each Commission District to the Commissioner of each District for selection. The District Commissioner must select from the slate submitted by the Nominating Committee; however, the District Commissioner may request one additional slate of entirely new nominations. The Board of County Commissioners shall ratify each District Commissioner's selection. The Nominating Committee shall submit a slate of four (4) candidates without regard to district to the Miami-Dade League of Cities for selection. The Miami-Dade League of Cities must select from the slate submitted by the Nominating Committee; however, the League may request one additional slate of entirely new nominations. The Nominating Committee shall also submit a slate of four (4) candidates without regard to district to the Mayor for selection. The Mayor must select from the slate submitted by the Nominating Committee; however, the Mayor may request one additional slate of entirely new nominations.
(c) Term of initial members. The initial members from Districts 1 to 5 , inclusive, shall serve two-year terms; the initial members from Districts 6 to 9 , inclusive, shall serve three-year terms; and, the initial members from Districts 10 to 13 , inclusive, shall serve four-year terms. The selection of the Mayor shall serve an initial term of four years. The selection of the Miami-Dade League of Cities shall serve an initial term of two years. The foregoing notwithstanding, such initial terms shall be subject to
(d) Subsequent membership and term. Any vacancy on the Trust that occurs after appointment of the initial membership, as well as appointment of successors to those members whose terms have expired shall be filled directly by appointment of the Commissioner for the district for in which a vacancy occurs, or, in the case of a vacancy in a Miami-Dade League of Cities appointment shall be filled by appointment of the League, or, in the case of a vacancy in a mayoral appointment shall be filled by appointment of the Mayor. Such appointments shall be made from a slate submitted by the Nominating Committee in accordance with subsection (b) pertaining to initial members, and shall have the qualifications for Trust membership set forth in subsection (a) above. The term of any Trust member appointed or re-appointed pursuant to this subsection after the initial terms set forth in (c) above shall be for a term of four years, and in the case of Commissioner appointees shall be subject to automatic expiration as provided in subsection (c) of Sec. 2-11.38.2 of this Code. Members may be re-appointed, however no member shall serve more than the maximum number of years provided in subsection (b) of Sec. 2-11.38.2 of this Code. If an appointment is not made by the District Commissioner (or the League or Mayor where applicable) within 30 days from the date on which the Nominating Committee submits the required slate of candidates, the County Commission may appoint the successor.
(e) Attendance and quorum requirements. Any Trust member shall be automatically removed if, in a given fiscal year: (i) he or she is absent from two (2) consecutive meetings without an acceptable excuse; or, (ii) if he or she is absent from three (3) of the Trust's meetings without an acceptable excuse. A member of the Trust shall be deemed absent from a meeting when he or she is not present at the meeting at least seventy-five (75) percent of the time. An "acceptable excuse" is defined as an absence for medical reasons, business reasons, personal reasons, or any other reason which the Trust, by two-thirds (2/3) vote of its membership, deems appropriate. The requirements of this
section may be waived by two-thirds $(2 / 3)$ vote of the members of the full Board of County Commissioners. A quorum of the Trust shall consist of a majority of those persons duly appointed to the Trust, provided that at least one-balf ( $1 / 2$ ) of the full Trust membership has been appointed.
(f) Powers and duties. The Trust shall have the following duties, functions, powers, responsibilities and jurisdiction with regard to use and expenditure of proceeds of any Charter County Transit System Surtax that is levied by the County under authority of $\S 212.055$ (1) Fla.Stats.:
(1) To monitor, oversee, review, audit, and investigate implementation of the transportation and transit projects listed in any levy of the surtax, and all other projects funded in whole or in part with surtax proceeds;
(2) To assure compliance with any limitations imposed in the levy on the expenditure of surtax proceeds, including but not limited to:
(a) any limitation that surtax proceeds only be expended for the transportation and transit purposes specified in §212.055(1)(d)1-3 Fla.Stats.(2001);
(b) any limitation that no more than $5 \%$ of surtax proceeds be expended on administrative costs, exclusive of project management and oversight for projects funded by the surtax; and
(c) the limitation that the County Commission may not delete or materially change any County project listed on Exhibit 1 attached to the ordinance levying the surtax nor add any project thereto except as provided in this subsection (c). A proposed deletion, material change or addition of such a County project shall be initially reviewed by the Citizens' Independent Transportation
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Trust ("Trust"), which shall forward a recommendation thereon to the County Commission. The County Commission may either accept or reject the Trust's recommendation. If the County Commission rejects the recommendation, the matter shall be referred back to the Trust for its reconsideration and issuance of a reconsidered recommendation to the County Commission. The County Commission may approve, change or reject the Trust's reconsidered recommendation. A two-thirds vote of the Commission membership shall be required to take action other than as contained in the reconsidered recommendation of the Trust. The foregoing notwithstanding, the list of County projects contained in said Exhibit 1 may be changed as a result of the MPO process as mandated by federal and state law.
(d) any requirement with regard to maintenance of effort of general fund support for MDTA.
(3) To assure compliance with federal and state requirements applicable thereto;
(4) To require monthly reports from the Manager, County agencies and instrumentalities regarding the implementation of the projects funded by surtax proceeds (which reports shall be posted on-line, i.e., made publicly accessible on the Internet);

## (5) To file a report, including any

 recommendations, with the Mayor and the County Commission on a quarterly basis regarding the implementation of the projects funded by surtax proceeds; andAmended
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(6) To monitor, oversee and periodically report to the County Commission on the level of participation by CSBEs and CBEs in contracts funded in whole or in part with surtax proceeds, and to recommend ways to increase such participation.
(g) Staff support. The County Manager and the County Attorney shall provide to the Trust adequate staff and support services to enable the Trust to carry out its duties and responsibilities.
(h) Trust subject to Florida Open Government law, the Conflict of Interest and Code of Ethics Ordinance and the investigatory powers of the Inspector General. The Trust shall at all times operate under the Florida Open Government Laws, including the "Sunshine" and Public Records laws, and shall be govemed by the Conflict of Interest and Code of Ethics Ordinance, Sec. 2-11.1 of this Code providing, among other things, a proscription on transacting business with the County and on oral communications with bidders or their representatives during the bid process, and a requirement for financial disclosure. The Trust and its actions shall be subject to the investigatory powers of the Inspector General provided in Sec. 2-1076 of this Code. Additionally, Trust members shall not lobby, directly or indirectly, the Mayor, any member of the County Commission or any member of County staff regarding a project funded in whole or in part by surtax proceeds, or regarding any person or business bidding for or under contract for a project funded in whole or in part with surtax proceeds. Trust members shall not have from any interest, direct or indirect, in any contract with the County or in any corporation, partnership or other entity that has a contract with the County.
(i) Removal of Trust members. A finding by the Ethics Commission that a person serving as a member of the Trust has in the course of his or her service willfully violated any provision of Sec. 2-11.1 of this Code (the Conflict of Interest and Code of Ethics Ordinance) shall constitute malfeasance in office and shall effect an automatic forfeiture of such person's position as a member of the Trust.
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Section 2. Severability. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or provision of this ordinance is held invalid, the remainder of this ordinance shall not be affected by such invalidity.

Section 3. Ordinance Part of Code. It is the intention of the Board of County Commissioners, and it is hereby ordained that the provisions of this ordinance shall become and be made a part of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida. The sections of this ordinance may be renumbered or relettered to accomplish such intention, and the word "ordinance" may be changed to "section," "article," or other appropriate word.

Section 4. Effective Date. The provisions of this ordinance shall become effective ten (10) days after the date of enactment unless vetoed by the Mayor, and if vetoed, shall become effective only upon an overide by this Board.

Section 5. Amendments. This Ordinance may only be amended or repealed by a two-thirds vote of the Board. Any amendment or repeal of this Ordinance shall further require a minimum of six (6) weeks between first and second reading.

Section 6. Sunset. This ordinance does not contain a sunset provision.
PASSED AND ADOPTED: JUL O 92002
Approved by County Attorney as to form and legal sufficiency:

Prepared by:

R. A. Cuevas, Jr.

Sponsored by Commissioner Bruno A. Barreiro

```
STATE OF FLORIDA )
    ) SS:
COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE )
```

I, HARVEY RUVIN, Clerk of the Circuit and Courts, in and for Miami-Dade County, Florida and Ex-Office Clerk of the Board of County Commissioners of said County , DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the above and foregoing is a true and correct copy of Ordinance 02-117 adopted by the Board County Commissioners Meeting on July 9, 2002. as appears of record.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and official seal on this 15th day of July, A.D. 2002.


Board of County Commissioners
Miami-Dade County Florida
Administrative Cost Comparison

Pier Transit Providers



TO: Honorable Chairperson and Members Board of County Commissioners

DATE: July 9, 2002

## SUBJECT: Manager's Report: <br> Comparison of General <br> Administrative Costs of <br> Transit Properties <br> FROM: Steve Shiver County Manage <br> 
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Parking Patronage Summary
• FEBRUARY, 2002

| STATION | AVERAGE WEEKDAY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PERCENT } \\ & \text { OCCUPANCY } \end{aligned}$ | \% CHANGE PREVIOUS YEAR | \% CHANGE PREVIOUS MONTH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DADELAND SOUTH | 1,282 | 92\% | -0.08\% | 10.90\% |
| DADELAND NORTH | 1,964 | 91\% | 0.20\% | 9.72\% |
| SOUTH MIAMI | 1,037 | 60\% | 16.65\% | 14.21\% |
| UNIVERSITY | 187 | 93\% | 10.65\% | 21.43\% |
| DOUGLAS ROAD | 142 | 85\% | 1.43\% | 14.52\% |
| COCONUT GROVE | 87 | 44\% | -7.45\% | -2.25\% |
| VIZCAYA | 43 | 47\% | 34.38\% | 26.47\% |
| OVERTOWN/ARENA | 34 | 89\% | -2.86\% | 6.25\% |
| SANTA CLARA | 43 | 25\% | 10.26\% | 22.86\% |
| ALLAPATTAH | 1 | 2\% | -50.00\% | 0.00\% |
| EARLINGTON HEIGHTS | 48 | 52\% | 4.35\% | 26.32\% |
| BROWNSVILLE | 36 | 8\% | 260.00\% | 300.00\% |
| NORTHSIDE | 158 | 54\% | 22.48\% | 25.40\% |
| HIALEAH | 108 | 34\% | 13.68\% | 13.68\% |
| OKEECHOBEE | 566 | 57\% | -9.29\% | 6.59\% |
| TOTAL | 5,736 | 69\% | 3.41\% | 11.99\% |

[^0]METROBUS
Parking Patronage Summary
FEBRUARY 2002

| PARK-RIDE LOT | AVG.WEEKDAY COUNT | PERCENT OCCUPANCY | \% CHANGE PREVIOUS MONTH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BUSWAY/SW 152nd ST. | 175 | 192\% | 2.34\% |
| CORAL REEF DRIVE/TURNPIKE | 44 | 38\% | -4.35\% |
| CUTLER RIDGE SHOPPING CENTER | 56 | 112\% | 5.66\% |
| GOLDEN GLADES | 655 | 49\% | 3. $5 \%$ |
| HAMMOCKS TOWN CENTER (SW 152nd AVE./SW 104th ST.) | 59 | 118\% | 15.69\% |
| MIAMI-DADE COMMUNITY COLLEGE - SOUTH CAMPUS | 19 | 76\% | 46.15\% |
| SUNSET STRIP SHOPPING CENTER (SW 108TH AVE./SW 72nd ST.) | 1 | 3\% | -50.00\% |
| TOTAL | 1,009 | 59\% | 3.91\% |

Note: Sqme park-ride lots are joint-use and the number of park-ride vehicles may exceed the number of spaces allocated.

TO: Honorable Chairperson and Members Board of County Commissioners

FROM: Steve Shiver


DATE: July 9, 2002

Manager's Report: Comparison of One Percent and One Half Percent Projects

This responds to a request made at the June 25, 2002, Transportation, Infrastructure and Environment Committee for a written comparison of what the community will receive if either the one or the one half percent sales tax is approved by the voters in November.

## RAPID TRANSIT PROJECTS:

With a 50 percent federal and a 25 percent state participation in the cost of project construction, the County will be able to construct and operate 88.9 miles of rail service. With one percent, all rail lines will be able to be operational by 2020 . With a one half percent, all rail lines will be able to be operational by 2031, eleven years later. If the County does not receive any federal funding for the rail projects, with one percent the County will be able to construct and operate 36.2 miles of heavy rail or 61.5 miles of light rail, or a combination thereof by 2018. With one half percent the County will be able to construct and operate 20.6 miles of heavy rail or 34.3 miles of light rail by 2023 . To build all 88.9 miles without federal assistance will require an equal amount of funds from other sources or many more years to complete.

## BUS SERVICE IMPROVEMENTS:

The bus service improvement plan remains the same for both the one percent and one half percent scenarios. Under this service plan, there will be a total of 635 new buses. The County will be able to acquire and operate 435 ( 100 full-size and 335 small) additional buses and the municipalities will be able to acquire and operate an additional 200 small buses. The County also continues the recommended replacement of its existing and future buses.

```
*
```


## FA解ES:

Under the one half percent scenario, fares will remain unchanged and at their present level. In the one percent scenerio, all fares for transit service will be eliminated (including STS), witf: a loss of expected fare-box revenues over the next 21 years of $\$ 2.6$ billion. In addition, for those 21 years, $\$ 150$ million of capital and operating expenses will not be incurred as fare collection equipment and staff will not be required.

## Honorable Chairperson and Members

## Board of County Commissioners

Page 2

## NEIGHBORHOOD ENHANCEMENTS, ROADS

## AND HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENTS:

Under both scenarios the funding level remains at $\$ 470$ million over the 21-year period.

## MUNICIPAL ENHANCEMENTS:

The funding to be provided to the municipalities will be proportional to the amount collected. In the first year of collection one half percent will provide $\$ 31,334,000$ and one percent will provide $\$ 62,668,000$. Funds will increase as collections increase.
$8$
How Does Miami-Dade Compare?
Comparisons of Transportation Conditions Among Larger Growing Urban Areas that Reflect on Transit Market Potential
Center for Urban Transportation Research, Drs. Steven Polzin and Xuehao Chu
The comparisons that follow are developed using year 2000 Census data and 2000 data from the National Transit Database. These comparisons provide a portrayal of how Miami-Dade County, Florida compares to peer urban areas across the country.
In the year 2000 Census, Miami's urbanized area was defined such that it included the urbanized parts of Miami-Dade, Broward and Palm Beach Counties. This Census Bureau defined area designation compares to other urbanized areas for other urban
centers from around the country. However, for purposes of understanding transit market potential and conditions in the urbanized part of Miami-Dade County it is more helpful to look at Miami-Dade County exclusively. To do this, we have estimated Miami-Dade County urbanized area population by applying the
urban/nonurban population share (approximately $98 \%$ urban with the urban area comprising 353 square miles) from 1990 Census data (when Miami was independently classified as an urbanized area) to the 2000 County population. We have also retained the data for the Miami Urbanized Area, which officially includes parts of the three southeastern Florida counties.

## Density Comparisons

Figure 1 shows comparative densities among rapidly
growing urbanized areas similar in size to Miami. This
includes urban areas greater in size than approximately
1.3 million persons and excludes the few very large
areas of Chicago, New York and Los Angles, and those
areas that are not growing rapidly such as Philadelphia,
Milwaukee and Boston.

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |

As the graphic indicates, the Miami Metro area, (whether defined as Miami-Dade County's urbanized area or the urbanized area of southeastern Florida) is among the most densely populated urban areas in the county. Even when
contrasted to all urbanized areas, the three-county Miami urbanized area is the tenth most densely populated urban area in the country. When comparing the urbanized area of just Miami-Dade County to other
urbanized areas, only San Francisco and Los Angeles are denser. Clearly the urbanized area of Miami-Dade County is one of the more intensely developed areas of the country.

Local Funding Commitment to Transit

Figure 2 provides a comparison of local funds spent on transit per person in urbanized areas. This is a measure of the local tax, fee, and fare revenues that are invested in
transit operations and capital investments (state and federal funds are not included). The data are derived from data collected as part of the National Transit Data program, htt, //www.ntd, rogram.com/NTD/ntdhome.nsf/Docs/NTDData?O, enDocument for 2000. Specifically, local government funds and directly generated funds are pulled from Forms 103 and 203. Local funding is the sum of these four numbers for each urbanized area.] Also shown in the figure is a line indicating the annual trips per capita on transit. The data indicate that the urbanized area of Miami-Dade County has among the lowest levels of local funding support for transit of the peer group urban areas. Several urban areas have per capita investments that are several times the levels in Miami. For perspective, spending on personal vehicle travel by Miami-Dade County households is $\$ 7,030$ annually

[^1]per the Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics for 1999-2000. Given 2.7 persons per household this equates to $\$ 2,603$ per capita spent on auto mobility annually, approximately 30 times greater than the per capita spending on transit.


[^2]Congestion Levels
The most commonly cited source on
comparative data on urban
congestion is the Mobility Report
that the Texas Transportation
Institute produces biannually. The
2001 Mobility Report provides
comparative congestion information
for 75 urban areas including the peer
cities used in this analysis. This data,
which is based on 2000 conditions,
indicates that the congestion levels in
Miami Dade County are in the top
half of congested urban peer areas
with annual congestion delays of 33
hours per year per person, well more
than the 18 hours in Las Vegas and
below the 41 hours in San Francisco.


[^3]| Figure 6 Share of Zero Car Households |  |
| :---: | :---: |

[^4]
## Summary

As the collective evidence of these comparisons with nationally recognized data indicates, the MiamiDade area has conditions that indicate a potential for a significant transit market share. Miami-Dade currently has $5.2 \%$ transit mode share for work trips, more than twice the closest Florida County and carries
approximately half the transit ridership in the State of Florida. Yet, the Metro bus, rail and mover system carries approximately half the
ridership of the Atlanta MARTA public transit services. There is a
potential and need for more and better services if transit is to play a more significant role in meeting the transportation needs in south Florida. While Miami-Dade does not have the downtown focused employment concentration that exist in some more downtown focused urban areas like Chicago, New York and Boston, there is an opportunity for wisely invested transit resources to provide meaningful mobility benefits in Miami-Dade County.
LOCAL SPENDING FOR PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION:

Source: National Transit Data 2000. Excludes revenues collected in fares. Compiled by CUTR.
Table 1: Population and Population Projections, South Florida Region and State of Florida

| County/ State | U.S. Census |  | Estimates ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  | Percent Change |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1990 | 2000 | range ${ }^{2}$ | 2005 | 2010 | 2020 | 2025 | $\begin{gathered} 1990- \\ 2000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2000- \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2000- \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2000- \\ 2025 \end{gathered}$ |
| Miami-Dade | 1,937,194 | 2,253,362 | med | 2,270,800 | 2,384,800 | 2,623,900 | 2,741,800 | 16.3\% | 5.8\% | 16.4\% | 21.7名 |
|  |  |  | hi | 2,485,200 | 2,765,900 | 3,380,700 | 3,710,400 |  | 22.8\% | 50.0\% | 64.7\% |
| Broward | 1,255,531 | 1,623,018 | med | 1,640,000 | 1,758,500 | 2,007,000 | 2,129,500 | 29.3\% | 8.4\% | 23.7\% | 31.2\% |
|  |  |  | hi | 1,798,100 | 2,046,600 | 2,599,100 | 2,898,500 |  | 26.1\% | 60.1\% | 78.6\% |
| Palm Beach | 863,503 | 1,131,184 | med | 1,159,700 | 1,253,000 | 1,449,500 | 1,546,800 | 31.0\% | 10.8\% | 28.1\% | 36.7\% |
|  |  |  | hi | 1,272,300 | 1,460,100 | 1,880,600 | 2,110,000 |  | 29.1\% | 66.3\% | 86.5\% |
| Florida | 12,938,071 | 15,982,378 | med | 16,882,800 | 18,121,300 | 20,725,000 | 22,014,100 | 23.5\% | 13.4\% | 29.7\% | 37.7\% |
|  |  |  | hi | 17,892,900 | 19,716,500 | 23,411,200 | 25,197,400 |  | 23.4\% | 46.5\% | 57.7\% |

Estimates from the Bureau of Economic and Business Research (BEBR) at the University of Florida.
${ }^{2}$ BEBR provides a range of population projections including low, medium, and high. In this table, "med" indicates the medium, or middle, projections and "hi" indicates the high projections. The low projections are not included in this table.
The table above shows the population and population projection information from the U.S. Census and the Bureau of Economic and Business Research (BEBR) at the University of Florida. According to the U.S. Census, Broward and Palm Beach Counties have grown at a faster rate than the state as a whole between 1990 and 2000, while Miami-Dade County grew at a slightly slower rate of approximately 16 percent. Table 1 also provides population projections prepared by BEBR for the years of 2005, 2010, 2020, and 2025. Percent changes from 2000 are also provided for the years 2010, 2020, and 2025 (the estimated percent change in population from 2000 to 2005 was omitted due to space considerations-the figures shown in the table provide a comprehensive estimate of population changes over the next 25 years).
BEBR generates low, medium, and high population estimates. The table above contains the medium and high projections, denoted by "med" and "hi." The low estimates were not included since, as evidenced by the 2000 Census figures in the table, it appears that

## REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION CONDITIONS FOR MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE SOUTH FLORIDA REGION (Research Item 6)

The information contained on the following pages provides data on the regional transportation conditions for Miaml-Dade County, Broward County, and, where appllcable, Palm Beach County using readily available data from various sources at the local and national level. A review of mobility information provided in several recent studies is also included.

Specifically, this section presents data on the average network speed in Miami-Dade County, regional population projections, highway congestion and its associated costs (including travel delay and fuel consumption), average household expenditures on transportation, and a comparison of these conditions with other metropolitan areas in the United States. Also, the concept of a "Congestion Burden Index" is reviewed and information provided for the South Florida region and other metropolitan areas across the country.

## Average Network Speed

Gannett Fleming, Inc., has generated current and future estimates of the average vehicle speeds on the roadway network in Miami-Dade County. In 1999, the average network speed in the county was estimated as 24.33 miles per hour. This figure represents an all-day average speed. In 2025, it is projected that the average network speed in Miami-Dade County will decline to 19.51 miles per hour, a decrease of nearly 20 percent.

## Population Projections

Recent data show that the South Florida region, as defined by Miami-Dade, Broward, and Palm Beach Counties, continues to grow rapidly. Over the next 25 years, Paim Beach County is expected to grow the fastest, followed by Broward and Miami-Dade Counties, respectively. Table 1 on the next page summarizes these projections.
the South Florida region will grow at a rate more similar to the medium or high estimates. It is important to note that these population projections are not based on the newest 2000 Census data (such estimates are not yet available, although they should be soon).

An examination of the medium population projections indicates that, between 2000 and 2025, Miami-Dade, Broward, and Palm Beach Counties will grow at a slightly slower rate than the state of Florida as a whole, with projected growth in Palm Beach County (36.7\%) nearly matching the projected growth of the state ( $37.7 \%$ ). The high estimates show the threecounty region experiencing significantly higher growth than the state's overall projected growth of 57.7 percent. While the range between the medium and high projections is wide, it is clear that the South Florida region will continue to grow rapidly over the next 25 years, as will the state of Florida as a whole. While Miami-Dade County is expected to experience the slowest growth of the three counties, it is still expected to increase its population by at least one-fifth ( $21.7 \%$ ) by 2025, with a high estimate of nearly 65 percent growth. The fastest-growing county of the three is Palm Beach, which can expect a growth rate of at least 36.7 percent, or more than one-third, by 2025. If actual growth trends follow the high population projections, Palm Beach County's population could grow nearly 87 percent in the next' 25 years.

## Results of the Southeast Florida Regional Travel Characteristics Study

One source of regional transportation information for Miami-Dade, Broward, and Palm Beach Counties is the Southeast Florida Regional Travel Characteristics Study (Carr Smith Corradino, October 2000). This comprehensive study included surveys of households, transit riders, area visitors, truck movements, and workplaces. Some relevant data resulting from the study are shown in Table 2 below.

Table 2: Select Results from the Southeast Florida Regional Travel Characteristics Study*

| Area | Avg. Household <br> Person <br> Trips/Day | Avg. Auto <br> Occupancy | Transit Mode <br> Split (dally) | Home-Based <br> Work Trip <br> Length (min.) | Zero-Vehicle <br> Households |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Miami-Dade | 9.61 | 1.34 | $2.4 \%$ | 30.46 | $6.3 \%$ |
| Broward | 9.86 | 1.31 | $0.9 \%$ | 23.78 | $2.9 \%$ |
| Palm Beach | 9.97 | 1.34 | $0.5 \%$ | 24.14 | $2.5 \%$ |
| 3 -County Region | 9.81 | 1.33 | $1.2 \%$ | 29.59 | $3.9 \%$ |

* Carr Smith Corradino, October 2000.

Table 2 indicates that, regionally, households make nearly 10 person trips per day. The table also shows that the average auto occupancy for all three counties is approximately 1.3 persons per vehicle. The transit mode split, also shown in Table 2, is highest in Miami-Dade County at
2.4 percent. Miami-Dade County has, by far, the largest transit system of the three counties (although the three counties have among the largest transit systems in Florida), and operates a heavy rail mode (Metrorail) and an automated guideway mode (Metromover), while the other two counties have bus systems alone. It is also important to note that Miami-Dade's transit mode split during the peak hours was found to be higher. The three counties all have access to the regional Tri-County Commuter Rail (Tri-Rail) service. Residents of Miami-Dade County also have the longest home-based work trip length, averaging more than 30 minutes, as exhibited in the table. Work trip lengths for the region are close to 30 minutes. Finally, Table 2 shows the percentage of households with no vehicles available. While Broward and Palm Beach Counties have less than three percent of their populations with zero vehicles, Miami-Dade County has more than six percent with no vehicles. Regionally, the proportion of zero-vehicle households is close to four percent. Of the transit riders surveyed as part of this study, it was found that, regionally, 40 percent come from households with no vehicles, while approximately 35 percent have one vehicle available. In addition, it was found that, region-wide, nearly 37 percent of transit riders would not make their trip if transit was not available ( $36 \%$ in Miami-Dade, $38 \%$ in Broward, and 35\% in Palm Beach). These data show that at least one-third of transit riders rely on the service and have no other option to make their trip.

Another interesting piece of information from the Southeast Florida Regional Travel Characteristics Study is that state and local spending on surface transportation facilities (including roads, buses, and trains) between 2000 and 2020 is expected to be $\$ 1.6$ billion in Palm Beach County and approximately $\$ 2$ billion each in both Broward and Miami-Dade Counties.

## Easing the Burden-a Surface Transportation Policy Project (STPP)

In May 2001, a Surface Transportation Policy Project (STPP) report was released entitled Easing the Burden. The project emphasized that the burden placed on an area's residents by congestion varies among locations, even if actual congestion levels are similar. The point is that, in places that have fewer transportation options such as efficient public transportation, more people are essentially "trapped" by congestion and have fewer options to escape it. With more transportation choices, individuals can choose for themselves whether to fight congestion in their vehicles or take another mode. Those who do choose an alternate mode of transportation subsequently help ease overall traffic congestion by taking cars off of the roads. In places with more and efficient transit services, a smaller proportion of the population drives to work every day, and a larger proportion avoids driving in congested conditions by taking another mode, which in turn improves the flow of traffic for those who do drive.

Transportation choice was measured via the Transportation Choice Ratio (TCR), which compares the relative supply of public transportation to major roads in a metropolitan area (i.e., the amount of hourly transit service provided for every mile of major roadway). A low TCR indicates that the area's roadway system dwarfs its transit system, and a high TCR means that there is a relatively high level of transit service in comparison to the size of the roadway network. While the TCR offers a means to compare metropolitan areas, it does not suggest an "ideal mix" of transit service to roads. Table 3 lists the Transportation Choice Ratio for a selection of the 68 metropolitan areas tracked by the Texas Transportation Institute (TTI). The metropolitan areas for the South Florida region are Miaml-Hialeah and Ft. Lauderdale-Hollywood-Pompano Beach. Palm Beach is not included among the 68 metropolitan areas.

Table 3: Transportation Choice Ratio for Selected Metropolitan Areas, 1999*

| Urbanized Area | 1999 <br> Transportation <br> Choice Ratio |
| :--- | :---: |
| New York, NY-Northeastern NJ | 6.30 |
| San Francisco, CA | 3.56 |
| Washington, DC-MD-VA | 2.69 |
| Pittsburgh, PA | 1.78 |
| Denver, CO | 1.70 |
| Minneapolis, MN | 1.59 |
| Cleveland, OH | 1.52 |
| Baltimore, MD | 1.45 |
| Miami-Hialeah, FL | 1.36 |
| Atlanta, GA | 1.35 |
| Tampa, FL | 1.28 |
| Los Angeles, CA | 1.22 |
| Ft. Lauderdale, FL | 1.10 |
| Houston, TX | 0.96 |
| Orlando, FL | 0.92 |
| St. Louis, MO-IL | 0.82 |
| Dallas, TX | 0.72 |
| Phoenix, AZ | 0.68 |
| Detroit, MI | 0.63 |
| Jacksonville, FL | 0.55 |

*Source: TTI

STPP also calculated a Congestion Burden Index, which is an attempt to quantify the combined effects of traffic congestion and the degree to which a given population is exposed to it. It represents a combination of TII's measure of rush-hour traffic, the Travel Rate Index (TRI) and
figures avallable for the percentage of the population subjected to that congestion because they drive to work. A high ranking on the Congestion Burden Index is evidence that congestion places a higher burden on area residents, both because congestion is worse and few are able to escape it by taking other modes of transportation. The Congestion Burden Index expands the view of congestion beyond the roadways to account for more of the travel system, and shows that, where congestion is a greater burden, the difference is the existence of "quality" transit service. For instance, while the Washington, D.C. area is shown to have the fourth-worst rush hour traffic congestion of the 68 areas included in this analysis, it has only the $31^{\text {st }}$-worst overall congestion burden since there is a good supply of public transit alternatives available. Table 4 includes the TRI and Congestion Burden Index for a selection of the 68 metropolitan areas tracked by TTI.

Table 4: Congestion Burden and Travel Rate Indices, Comparisons of Rankings ${ }^{1}$ and Indices for Selected Metropolitan Areas, 1999²

| Urbanized Area | Congestion <br> Burden <br> Index Rank | Congestlon <br> Burden Index | Travel Rate <br> Index Rank | Travel Rate <br> Index |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Los Angeles, CA | 1 | 1.35 | 1 | 1.55 |
| Detroit, MI | 3 | 1.22 | 15 | 1.31 |
| Atlanta, GA | 6 | 1.21 | 9 | 1.35 |
| Houston, TX | 8 | 1.20 | 12 | 1.33 |
| Ft. Lauderdale, FL | 9 | 1.19 | 21 | 1.28 |
| Denver, CO | 12 | 1.18 | 11 | 1.34 |
| Phoenix, AZ | 13 | 1.17 | 20 | 1.30 |
| Miami-Hialeah, FL | 15 | 1.16 | 13 | 1.32 |
| Dallas, TX | 16 | 1.16 | 22 | 1.27 |
| St. Louis, MO-IL | 18 | 1.15 | 24 | 1.26 |
| Minneapolis, MN | 20 | 1.14 | 15 | 1.31 |
| Orlando, FL | 22 | 1.14 | 30 | 1.24 |
| Tampa, FL | 27 | 1.12 | 37 | 1.21 |
| San Francisco, CA | 29 | 1.11 | 2 | 1.45 |
| Washington, DC-MD-VA | 31 | 1.09 | 4 | 1.42 |
| Baltimore, MD | 41 | 1.05 | 26 | 1.25 |
| Jacksonville, FL | 42 | 1.05 | 47 | 1.16 |
| Cleveland, OH | 45 | 1.04 | 43 | 1.18 |
| Pittsburgh, PA | 65 | 0.89 | 56 | 1.09 |
| New York, NY-Northeastern NJ | 67 | 0.80 | 13 | 1.32 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |

[^5]Normally, areas respond to congestion by adding more space to the roadway network. However, STPP's analysis of TII data shows that those places that have added the most roads
have not had great suecess in easing congestion or slowing lits rate of growth. The study shows that metropolitan areas with the fastest-growing road systems are no less congested than areas that added the fewest roads, and have had just slightly better success at managing congestlon. The reason why road-building can be Ineffective at easing congestion is because adding road capacity does more than meet measured demand, it actually generates additional demand, or "induced travel," due to increased car trips and new development. The Induced travel results when a road is widened and more people then choose to drive on It , either by switching from another route, time of day, mode, or by taking new trips. Additionally, as the land uses around the roadway change, congestion increases even more.
In the past 10 years, for the 68 metropolitan areas tracked by $\Pi 1$, road-building, as measured by lane miles, has outpaced the population growth ( $14.8 \%$ versus $11.4 \%$ ). This suggests that the increase in congestion is due more to increased driving than by a shortage of roads. The 68 metropolitan areas were divided Into high, medium, and low road-bullding groups, and it was found that population was not a factor since the average population growth for the low road-builders was slightly greater than that for the high road-bullding group. The results of thls study indicate that one of the best ways to fight congestion is by taking an alternative mode of transportation, such as public transit. Public transit use nationally has increased more than 21 percent over the last five years, far outpacing the growth in driving during this time (11\%).

According to this STPP study, metropolitan areas where fewer people drive are not that way because of different travel habits, but because they offer people more choices, such as to take an efficient bus or train. Although it is true that a bus, for example, could still be affected by congestion (if it runs in mixed-traffic right-of-way as opposed to an exclusive right-of-way or busway), the rider is not responsible for the driving of the vehicle and can use the time, safely, for other activities such as resting, reading, working, or making calls.

An alternative way to address congestion is to glve people a way to avold driving in It. STPP's analysis of data from the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) and the U.S. Census found that, in areas that offer more choices, such as efficient bus and train service, a smaller portion of the population is directly affected by the congestion. Hence, the findings from this study indicate that local officials seeking to ease the burden of congestion should promote the provision of public transportation choices over the provision of more roadway space. Despite the fact that poll data show a majority of citizens in favor of investing in more transportation choice, transit projects still often face major obstacles in acquiring funds (FHWA, "Moving Ahead: The American Public Speaks on Roadways and Transportation in Communities," February 2001; "Traffic Congestion and Rail Development," U.S. Conference of Mayors, January 2001; Southeast Michigan Council of Governments Survey, March 2001; Atlanta Regional Commission Regional Issues Poll, April 2001).

Table 5 summarizes select data provided in STPP's Easing the Burden report for the MiamiHialeah and Ft. Lauderdale-Hollywood-Pompano Beach metropolitan areas, and also provides data on lane miles and population. This table shows the mode split for the work commute alone and also illustrates that, while both areas have had a more than 20 percent increase in lane miles in the past 10 years, both have had population changes of less than 20 percent during this time, indicating that road-building has outpaced population growth.

STPP also found that, in the Miami-Hialeah metropolitan area, if every commuter drove to work, 109,220 more cars would be on the roads in the area. In the Ft. Lauderdale-HollywoodPompano Beach metropolitan area, 47,344 more cars would be on the roadways if every commuter drove to work.

Table 5: Select Information from Easing the Burden ${ }^{2}$

| Area | Work Commute $^{\mathbf{1}}$ |  |  | Road-Building Group ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Transit | Driving | Other |  |
| Miami-Hialeah | $6.9 \%$ | $88.1 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | High |
| Ft. Lauderdale- <br> Hollywood-Pompano Bch | $2.9 \%$ | $92.8 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | High |

${ }^{1}$ Source: Easing the Burden.
Table 6, on the following page, below summarizes additional information from the STPP report, Easing the Burden, for the Miami-Hialeah and Ft. Lauderdale-Hollywood-Pompano Beach metropolitan areas. The rankings in the table show that the Miami-Hialeah area's congestion indicators are consistently in the top 20 percent of all 68 metropolitan areas studied by $\Pi 1$. The Ft. Lauderdale-Hollywood-Pompano Beach area fares somewhat better. The measure of Annual Delay per Capita, shown in Table 6, indicates that every individual in the Miami-Hialeah area loses 42 hours of time each year due to travel in congested conditions. In the Ft . Lauderdale-Hollywood-Pompano Beach area, each resident loses 29 hours each year due to congestion. For both the Miami-Hialeah and Ft. Lauderdale-Hollywood-Pompano Beach areas, the value of time was measured at $\$ 12.40$ per hour. Also, the table shows that approximately one-third of all daily travel in both areas occurs in congested conditions.

Table 6: 1999 TTI Congestion Indicators, from Easing the Burden ${ }^{1}$

| Area | TTI Congestion Indicators (rank of 68 metro areas) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Travel Rate <br> Index | Roadway <br> Congestion Index | Annual Delay <br> per Capita | \% Congested <br> Daily Travel |
| Miami-Hialeah | $1.32(13)$ | $1.23(11)$ | 42 hours (10) | $35 \%(13)$ |
| Ft. Lauderdale- <br> Hollywood-Pompano Bch | $1.28(21)$ | $1.17(20)$ | 29 hours (34) | $31 \%(22)$ |

${ }^{1}$ All statistics are by Urbanized Area and are from 77.

The Transportation Choice Ratio (TCR) and Congestion Burden Index figures for the MiamiHialeah and Ft. Lauderdale-Hollywood-Pompano Beach metropolitan areas are presented in Table 7. The table shows that Miami-Hialeah's congestion burden is actually less than the Ft. Lauderdale-Hollywood-Pompano Beach area's. This is due to the fact that the Miami-Hialeah area offers more transit options, including bus, rail, and peoplemover. Still, the Congestion Burden Index for both areas ranks high in comparison to the other metropolitan areas studied. Table 7 also lists the components and values of the Transportation Choice Ratio for both areas.

Table 7: Congestion Burden and Transportation Choice, from Easing the Burden ${ }^{1}$

| Area | 1999 Congestion <br> Burden Index <br> (rank of 68 areas) | Hourly Miles of Transit <br> Service/1000 Persons | Lane Miles/1000 <br> Persons | TCR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1.16(15)$ | 2.17 | 1.60 | 1.36 |
| Ft. Lauderdale- <br> Hollywood- <br> Pompano Bch | 1.19 (9) | 1.56 | 1.43 | 1.10 |

Table 8, presented on the next page, lists some work commute data regarding the mode of transportation from Easing the Burden.

Table 8: Selected Work Commute Data, Easing the Burden'

| Urbanized Area | 1999 Work Commute Mode |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Drive | Transit |
| Atlanta, GA | $89.5 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| Baltimore, MD | $83.9 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ |
| Cleveland, OH | $87.9 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ |
| Dallas, TX | $91.1 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ |
| Denver, CO | $88.1 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ |
| Detroit, MI | $93.2 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| Ft. Lauderdale, FL | $92.8 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ |
| Houston, TX | $90.2 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ |
| Jacksonville, FL | $90.3 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ |
| Los Angeles, CA | $87.4 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ |
| Miami-Hialeah, FL | $88.1 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ |
| Minneapolis, MN | $86.8 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| New York, NY-Northeastern NJ | $60.7 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ |
| Orlando, FL | $91.6 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ |
| Phoenix, AZ | $90.3 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ |
| Pittsburgh, PA | $81.9 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ |
| San Francisco, CA | $76.2 \%$ | $14.8 \%$ |
| St. Louis, MO-IL | $91.6 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| Tampa, FL | $92.3 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ |
| Washington, DC-MD-VA | $76.9 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ |
| i All |  |  |

${ }^{\text {i }}$ All statistics are by Urbanized Area and are from TII.

## Driven to Spend-a Surface Transportation Policy Project (STPP)

This report, from STPP and the Center for Neighborhood Technology, asserts that a major factor behind increasing transportation costs is sprawling development. It was found that less sprawling places that offer more transportation choices cost families less, and the difference can be thousands of dollars annually. Better transportation and growth policies can help families spend less on transportation and direct more money to investments that can build wealth, such as home ownership.

While the complete costs associated with transportation often do not gain significant attention, transportation is expensive, and for most Americans it is an expense second only to housing. According to this report, the average American spends 18 cents of every dollar on transportation, and 98 percent of that is for the purchase, operation, and maintenance of private automobiles (these figures exclude spending on air and ship travel). Transportation costs impact the poorest families the most. Up to one-third of the income of poor families goes
to transportation costs. On average, households earning between $\$ 12,000$ and $\$ 23,000$ annually spend 27 cents of every dollar earned on transportation. According to 1997 estimates from the U.S. Census (these data are not yet available for 2000), 21 percent of Miami-Dade County residents live below poverty. As such, transportation is a veiy expensive cost for at least one-fifth of Miami-Dade County residents. Transportation is still expensive even for more affluent households. Households in higher income groups spend approximately 14 percent of their incomes on transportation.

Since the early 1990s, the Consumer Expenditure Survey (CES) has shown that the portion of total spending devoted to transportation has grown steadily. If this trend continues, transportation could surpass housing as the largest household expense (in some areas, such as Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, Pittsburgh, Atlanta, St. Louis, Minneapolis-St. Paul, Kansas City, and Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater, but not Miami). CES data show that in 1997 and 1998, households devoted the highest portion of their budgets to transportation in Houston, Atlanta, Dallas-Fort Worth, Miaml, and Detroit, as presented in Table 9 on the following page. Transportation expenditures for a selection of the 28 metropolitan areas are also displayed in Table 9. Nearly all the places at the top of this list are sprawling metropolitan areas that offer relatively few transportation choices for their residents. The areas found to have the highest transportation expenses were also found to offer little transportation choice, as measured by the ratio of transit service to roads (Transportation Choice Ratio). Sprawl increases costs by making automobile travel a necessity. Places were roadway systems dominate have higher transportation expenses.

Table 9: Transportation as a Percent of Total Household Expenditures ${ }^{1}$

| Rank $^{\mathbf{2}}$ | Area | Transportation <br> Expenditures | \% of Total Annual <br> Household Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Houston-Galveston-Brazoria, TX | $\$ 8,840$ | $22.1 \%$ |
| 2 | Atlanta, GA | $\$ 8,513$ | $21.7 \%$ |
| 3 | Dallas Fort-Worth, TX | $\$ 8,717$ | $19.7 \%$ |
| 4 | MiamI-Ft. Lauderdale, FL | $\$ 6,684$ | $19.0 \%$ |
| 5 | Detroit-Ann Arbor-Flint, MI | $\$ 6,710$ | $18.8 \%$ |
| 6 | Minneapolis-St. Paul, MN | $\$ 8,683$ | $18.4 \%$ |
| 7 | Phoenix, AZ | $\$ 6,826$ | $18.2 \%$ |
| 8 | Philadelphia-Wilmington-Atlantic City, | $\$ 6,904$ | $18.1 \%$ |
| 9 | PA-NJ-DE-MD | $\$ 6,489$ | $18.1 \%$ |
| 10 | Kamsas City, MO | $\$ 5,864$ | $17.8 \%$ |
| 12 | Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater, FL | $\$ 6,489$ | $17.6 \%$ |
| 13 | St. Louis, MO-IL | $\$ 6,384$ | $17.5 \%$ |
| 14 | Cleveland-Akron, OH | $\$ 6,331$ | $17.5 \%$ |
| 15 | Pittsburgh, PA | $\$ 7,224$ | $17.4 \%$ |
| 16 | Los Angeles-Riverside-Orange CO., CA | $\$ 7,361$ | $17.2 \%$ |
| 17 | Denver-Boulder-Greeley, CO | $\$ 7,387$ | $17.1 \%$ |
| 22 | Washington, DC-MD-VA | $\$ 7,207$ | $15.4 \%$ |
| 24 | San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose, CA | $\$ 7,150$ | $15.1 \%$ |
| 26 | Baltimore, MD | $\$ 5,236$ | $14.7 \%$ |
| 27 | New York-N. New Jersey-Long Island, | $\$ 5,956$ | $14.5 \%$ |

${ }^{2}$ Data are from the Consumer Expenditure Survey (1997-1998), Driven to Spend, STPP.
${ }^{2}$ Rank is out of the 28 metropolitan areas analyzed in the Driven to Spend report.
This report shows that the average American family in a highly-sprawied area pays approximately $\$ 1,300$ more per year in transportation expenses than the average American family in a lesser-sprawled area. It was determined through a multi-variate analysis of composite land use characteristics for 28 metropolitan areas that places with fewer transportation choices have higher transportation expenses (this was true even after removing New York from the analysis). This particular study grouped Miami and Ft. Lauderdale together and found that the average household transportation expenditures were $\$ 6,684$, or 19 percent of all household expenditures.

Governments build roads, but individuals are responsible for the purchase, operation, and maintenance of the automobiles that drive on them. According to the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), three-quarters of all auto expenses stem from the fixed-cost of simply owning the vehicle, regardless of how much it is actually driven. This exemplifies how
government decislons about transportation Investments can affect individual budgets. Current government Investments that overwhelmingly favor road building may be contributing to increases in transportation expenses.

Spending on vehicles erodes wealth, while spending in the other major household category, housing, can build wealth. The Driven to Spend report found that, over a period of 10 years, a homeowner can get a return of more than $\$ 4,730$ for every $\$ 10,000$ invested in a home. However, an auto owner receives equity of only $\$ 910$ for every $\$ 10,000$ invested in an auto over the same length of time. Additionally, home ownership provides benefits such as taxdeductible mortgage interest and appreciating value, while auto ownership offers no such benefits. A new $\$ 20,000$ vehicle loses 25 percent of its value in the first year, and nearly 80 percent of its value over 10 years. Vehicle ownership is often viewed as a lifestyle decision rather than a financial decision. However, the need to have vehicles has a real impact on the financial health of families. This report presents evidence that the need to own vehicles makes purchasing a home more difficult for families. The inability to accumulate wealth, largely due to debt loads, has been identifled as the leading constraint in attaining home ownership (Megbolugbe, Linneman, Wachter and Cho, "Do Borrowing Constraints Change U.S. Homeownership Rates?" Journal of Housing Economics 6, 318-333, 1997). Auto loans are the largest category of debt outside of home mortgages. Further, the Center for Neighborhood Technology has calculated that a decrease in the amount of debt held by families by a mere 2.5 percent could free up enough money to cover more than one million down payments on the average first home. According to the latest 2000 U.S. Census data, the home ownership rate in Miaml-Dade County is 57.8 percent (the rate is 69.5 percent in Broward County, and 74.7 percent in Palm Beach County). This report substantiates that by investing in a more balanced transportation system that offers more transportation choice, including more and efficient bus and train service, Miami-Dade County could realize the additional benefits of improving the financial well-being of many of its lower-income families and increasing home ownership in the county.

Government policies should help constituents get the best value for their tax dollars. A roadway-heavy transportation system may place a financial burden on those who must buy and maintain vehicles in order to travel. While residents in areas with good transit service may pay more taxes to fund it, the relatively small increase is far outweighed by the savings. Driven to Spend reported that a recent study found that the public realizes a five-dollar cash savings for every tax dollar invested in public transit services (Lewis and Williams, Policy and Planning as Public Choice: Mass Transit in the United States, Brookfield, VT, Ashgate Publishing Company, 1999). This study shows that while gas prices, gas taxes, and insurance rates have been a focus of consumer outcry and political campaigns, they do not appear to account for the differences in transportation expenditures among the metropolitan areas studied. Interestingly,
gas is, on average, eight cents less per gallon in the 14 areas with higher transportation expenses, as found in the CES.

Driven to Spend recommends that governments invest in transportation choice to help lower transportation expenses for everyone. With additional transportation choices, it may be possible for a family's "second car" to be smaller, less expensive, or even non-existent. This savings could help families decrease their debt loads and put those who do not own homes on a path toward home ownership and better financial health. Other recommendations include the need to grow "smarter," offer location-efficient mortgages, collect better information, and give people a chance to save money by driving less.

## Summary Data

Table 10, on the following page, is a summary of data on select metropolitan areas from TTI's 2001 Urban Mobility Study. This study concluded that congestion is worsening in areas of all sizes, and congestion costs, which can be expressed in many different ways, are all increasing. Also, the study found that, while road expansions can slow the growth in congestion somewhat, additional roadways alone are not the answer. What is necessary to ease the burden of congestion, according to this study, is a varied set of choices that will require funding commitments, including more roads and more (and better) transit services.

Table 10 shows that the Miami-Hialeah urbanized area ranks $10^{\text {th }}$ out of 68 areas in terms of annual delay per person due to congestion, $15^{\text {th }}$ of 68 In the amount of excess fuel consumed per person, and $16^{\text {th }}$ out of 68 in terms of the amount of fuel wasted and annual congestion costs.
Table 10: Selected Congestion Indicators,
from 2001 Urban Mobility Study

| Urbanized Area | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Annual Delay } \\ \text { per Person } \\ (1999) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Annual Gallons of Fuel Wasted in 1999 (millions) |  | Annual Excess Fuel Consumed per Person (1999) |  | Annual Cost Due to Congestion (1999) (\$ million) |  | Average Annua VMT Growth ${ }^{1}$ (\%) | \% Peak Period Travel in Congestion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Person Hours | Rank | Total | Rank | Total | Rank | Total | Rank |  |  |
| Atlanta, GA | 53 | 2 | 239 | 7 | 84 | 1 | 2,620 | 8 | 3.9 | 75 |
| Baltimore, MD | 31 | 31 | 103 | 20 | 48 | 31 | 1,150 | 20 | 2.0 | 59 |
| Cleveland, OH | 20 | 46 | 60 | 28 | 32 | 45 | 655 | 28 | 2.1 | 48 |
| Dallas, TX | 46 | 5 | 168 | 11 | 70 | 6 | 1,865 | 11 | 4.0 | 58 |
| Denver, CO | 45 | 7 | 124 | 17 | 67 | 8 | 1,415 | 17 | 4.3 | 74 |
| Detroit, MI | 41 | 16 | 248 | 5 | 62 | 14 | 2,810 | 5 | 1.1 | 70 |
| Ft. Lauderdale, FL | 29 | 34 | 65 | 27 | 44 | 35 | 735 | 26 | 2.6 | 62 |
| Houston, TX | 50 | 4 | 239 | 7 | 76 | 4 | 2,665 | 7 | 4.2 | 66 |
| Jacksonville, FL | 30 | 33 | 39 | 39 | 46 | 33 | 440 | 40 | 2.8 | 45 |
| Los Angeles, CA | 56 | 1 | 1,064 | 1 | 84 | 1 | 12,570 | 1 | 0.8 | 89 |
| Miami-Hialeah, FL | 42 | 10 | 128 | 16 | 61 | 15 | 1,485 | 16 | 1.6 | 71 |
| Minneapolis, MN | 38 | 17 | 141 | 14 | 61 | 15 | 1,565 | 14 | 3.2 | 66 |
| New York, NY-No. NJ | 34 | 23 | 860 | 2 | 52 | 25 | 9,745 | 2 | 2.9 | 69 |
| Orlando, FL | 42 | 10 | 68 | 25 | 61 | 15 | 790 | 24 | 3.6 | 58 |
| Pittsburgh, PA | 14 | 55 | 37 | 42 | 21 | 56 | 420 | 42 | 1.4 | 27 |
| Phoenix, AZ | 31 | 31 | 120 | 18 | 47 | 32 | 1,385 | 18 | 4.7 | 70 |
| San Francisco, CA | 42 | 10 | 263 | 4 | 65 | 10 | 3,055 | 4 | 2.0 | 83 |
| St. Louis, MO-IL | 44 | 9 | 135 | 15 | 67 | -8 | 1,495 | 15 | 1.7 | 59 |
| Tampa, FL | 35 | 22 | 44 | 35 | 50 | 28 | 520 | 35 | 3.7 | 56 |
| Washington, DC-MD-VA | 46 | 5 | 242 | 6 | 69 | 7 | 2,730 | 6 | 1.5 | 80 |


[^0]:    Note: No parking at Brickell, Government Center, Civic Center, Culmer and Tri-Rail stations.

[^1]:    Comparisons of Transportation Conditions Among Larger Growing Urban Areas

[^2]:    Comparisons of Transportation Conditions Among Larger Growing Urban Areas

[^3]:    Average Commute Time
    Another indicator of congestion is the journey-to-work average commute time. This data, compiled by the Census Bureau and recently released for 2002 is shown below. It indicates that the mean commute time for Miami urbanized area residents is among the highest of the peer city set. The national mean commute is 25.5 minutes. Historically this number has been in the low 20 -minute range and been very stable over time with people adjusting their locations, travel times, travel paths, and modes to minimize the travel time. The past decade saw a significant increase in this measure in Miami and other locations indicating that travel demands are outpacing the growth in capacity and that strategies for minimizing commute travel time are no longer as able to provide faster travel alternatives.

[^4]:    Vehicle Ownership
    Zero Car households is an indicator of
    transit market potential in that these households are far more likely to be regular transit users. Data on vehicle availability is collected as part of the Census and the share of zero-car households by urban areas is presented in the figure below. This figure indicates that the Miami urbanized area has among the highest shares of households with zero cars.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Rank out of the 68 metropolitan areas tracked by TII
    ${ }^{2}$ Source: TTI

