On 3-decomposable geometric drawings of K_n

B. Ábrego^{*}

S. Fernández–Merchant^{*} J. Lea \tilde{n} os[†] G. Salazar[†]

November 13, 2018

Abstract

The point sets of all known optimal rectilinear drawings of K_n share an unmistakeable clustering property, the so-called 3-decomposability. It is widely believed that the underlying point sets of all optimal rectilinear drawings of K_n are 3-decomposable. We give a lower bound for the minimum number of $(\leq k)$ -sets in a 3-decomposable npoint set. As an immediate corollary, we obtain a lower bound for the crossing number $\overline{\operatorname{cr}}(\mathcal{D})$ of any rectilinear drawing \mathcal{D} of K_n with underlying 3-decomposable point set, namely $\overline{\operatorname{cr}}(\mathcal{D}) > \frac{2}{27} (15 - \pi^2) {n \choose 4} + \Theta(n^3) \approx 0.380029 {n \choose 4} + \Theta(n^3)$. This closes this gap between the best known lower and upper bounds for the rectilinear crossing number $\overline{\operatorname{cr}}(K_n)$ of K_n by over 40%, under the assumption of 3-decomposability.

1 Introduction

Figure 1 shows the point set of an optimal (crossing minimal) rectilinear drawing of K_9 , with an evident partition of the 9 vertices into 3 highly structured clusters of 3 vertices each:



Figure 1: The points in this optimal drawing of K_9 are clustered into 3 sets.

A similar, natural, highly structured partition into 3 clusters of equal size is observed in *every* known optimal drawing of K_n , for every *n* multiple of 3 (see [4]). Even for those values of *n* (namely, n > 27) for which the exact rectilinear crossing number $\overline{\operatorname{cr}}(K_n)$ of K_n is not known, the best available examples also share this property [4].

In all these examples, a set S of n points in general position is partitioned into sets A, B, and C, with |A| = |B| = |C| = n/3 with the following properties:

(i) There is a directed line l₁ such that, as we traverse l₁, we find the l₁-orthogonal projections of the points in A, then the l₁-orthogonal projections of the points in B, and then the l₁-orthogonal projections of the points in C;

^{*}Department of Mathematics, California State University Northridge.

 $^{^\}dagger$ Instituto de Física, Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, Mexico. Supported by FAI–UASLP and by CONACYT Grant 45903.

- (ii) there is a directed line ℓ_2 such that, as we traverse ℓ_2 , we find the ℓ_2 -orthogonal projections of the points in B, then the ℓ_2 -orthogonal projections of the points in A, and then the ℓ_2 -orthogonal projections of the points in C; and
- (iii) there is a directed line ℓ_3 such that, as we traverse ℓ_3 , we find the ℓ_3 -orthogonal projections of the points in B, then the ℓ_3 -orthogonal projections of the points in C, and then the ℓ_3 -orthogonal projections of the points in A.

Definition A point set that satisfies conditions (i)–(iii) above is 3–decomposable. We also say that the underlying rectilinear drawing of K_n is 3–decomposable.

A possible choice of ℓ_1, ℓ_2 , and ℓ_3 for the example in Figure 1 is illustrated in Figure 2.



Figure 2: The 9-point set S gets naturally partitioned into three clusters A, B, and C. The ℓ_1 -, ℓ_2 -, and ℓ_3 -orthogonal projections of A, B, and C satisfy conditions (i)-(iii), and so $S = A \cup B \cup C$ is 3-decomposable.

1.1 The main result

It is widely believed that all optimal rectilinear drawings of K_n are 3-decomposable. One of our main results in this paper is the following lower bound for the number of crossings in all such drawings.

Theorem 1 Let \mathcal{D} be a 3-decomposable rectilinear drawing of K_n . Then the number $\overline{\operatorname{cr}}(\mathcal{D})$ of crossings in \mathcal{D} satisfies

$$\overline{\operatorname{cr}}(\mathcal{D}) \geq \frac{2}{27} \left(15 - \pi^2\right) \binom{n}{4} + \Theta(n^3) \approx 0.380029 \binom{n}{4} + \Theta(n^3).$$

The best known general lower and upper bounds for the rectilinear crossing number $\overline{\operatorname{cr}}(K_n)$ are $0.37968\binom{n}{4} + \Theta(n^3) \leq \overline{\operatorname{cr}}(K_n) \leq 0.38054\binom{n}{4} + \Theta(n^3)$ (see [3] and [2]). Thus the

bound given by Theorem 1 closes this gap by over 40%, under the (quite feasible) assumption of 3–decomposability.

To prove Theorem 1 (in Section 2), we exploit the close relationship between rectilinear crossing numbers and $(\leq k)$ -sets, unveiled independently by Ábrego and Fernández-Merchant [1] and by Lovász et al. [8].

Recall that a $(\leq k)$ -set of a point set S is a subset T of S with $|T| \leq k$ such that some straight line separates T and $S \setminus T$. The number $\chi_{\leq k}(S)$ of $(\leq k)$ -sets of S is a parameter of independent interest in discrete geometry (see [6]), and, as we recall in Section 2, is closely related to the rectilinear crossing number of the geometric graph induced by S.

The main ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1 is the following bound (Theorem 2) for the number of $(\leq k)$ -sets in 3-decomposable point sets. The bound is in terms of the following quantity (by convention, $\binom{r}{s} = 0$ if r < s),

$$Y(k,n) := 3\binom{k+1}{2} + 3\binom{k-n/3+1}{2} + 3\sum_{j=2}^{b} j(j+1)\binom{k+1-(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{3j(j+1)})3n}{2} - \frac{1}{3},$$
(1)

where $\mathbf{b} := \mathbf{b}(k, n)$ is the unique integer such that $\binom{\mathbf{b}(k, n)+1}{2} < n/(n-2k-1) \le \binom{\mathbf{b}(k, n)+2}{2}$.

Theorem 2 Let S be a 3-decomposable set of n points in general position, where n is a multiple of 3, and let k < n/2. Then

$$\chi_{\leq k}(S) \geq Y(k, n).$$

The best general lower bound for $\chi_{\leq k}(S)$ is the sum of the first two terms in (1) (see [3] and [2]). Thus the third summand in (1) is the improvement we report, under the assumption of 3–decomposability.

The proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 are in Sections 2 and 3, respectively. In Section 4 we present some concluding remarks and open questions.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

Let \mathcal{D} be a 3-decomposable rectilinear drawing of K_n , and let S denote the underlying n-point set, that is, the vertex set of \mathcal{D} . Besides Theorem 2, our main tool is the following relationship between $(\leq k)$ -sets and the rectilinear crossing number (see [1] or [8]):

$$\overline{\operatorname{cr}}(\mathcal{D}) = \sum_{1 \le k \le (n-2)/2} (n-2k-1)\chi_{\le k}(S) + \Theta(n^3).$$
(2)

Combining Theorem 2 and Eq. (2), and noting that both the -1 in the factor n - 2k - 1and the summand -1/3 in (1) only contribute to smaller order terms, we obtain:

$$\begin{split} \overline{\operatorname{Cr}}(\mathcal{D}_{n}) &\geq \sum_{k=1}^{(n-2)/2} (n-2k) \left(3\binom{k+1}{2} + 3\binom{k-n/3+1}{2} + 3\sum_{j=2}^{\mathbf{b}} j(j+1)\binom{k+1-(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2^{j}(j+1)})^{3n}}{2} \right) \right) + \Theta(n^{3}) \\ &\geq 24\binom{n}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{(n-2)/2} \frac{(1-2k/n)}{n} \left(3\binom{k+1}{2} + 3\binom{k-n/3+1}{2} + 3\sum_{j=2}^{\mathbf{b}} j(j+1)\binom{k+1-(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2^{j}(j+1)})^{3n}}{2} \right) \right) / n^{2} + \Theta(n^{3}) \\ &= 24\binom{n}{4} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{(n-2)/2} \left(\frac{3}{2} \right) \frac{(1-2k/n)}{n} \left(\frac{k}{n} \right)^{2} + \sum_{k=n/3}^{(n-2)/2} \left(\frac{3}{2} \right) \frac{(1-2k/n)}{n} \left(\frac{k}{n} - \frac{1}{3} \right)^{2} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j(j+1) \sum_{k=((1/2)-1/(3j(j+1)))n}^{(n-2)/2} \left(\frac{3}{2} \right) \frac{(1-2k/n)}{n} \left(\frac{k}{n} - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3j(j+1)} \right) \right)^{2} \right) + \Theta(n^{3}) \\ &= 24\binom{n}{4} \left(\int_{0}^{1/2} (3/2)(1-2x)x^{2}dx + \int_{1/3}^{1/2} (3/2)(1-2x)(x-1/3)^{2}dx \right) \\ &+ \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} (3/2)j(j+1) \int_{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{3j(j+1)}}^{1/2} (1-2x)(x-(1/2-1/(3j(j+1))))^{2}dx \right) + \Theta(n^{3}) \end{split}$$

Elementary calculations show that $\frac{3}{2} \int_{0}^{1/2} (1 - 2x) x^2 dx = 3/8, \frac{3}{2} \int_{1/3}^{1/2} (1 - 2x) (x - 1/3)^2 dx = 1/216$, and $\int_{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3j(j+1)}}^{1/2} (1 - 2x) (x - (1/2 - 1/(3j(j+1)))^2 dx = (1/486)j^4(1+j)^4$. Thus,

$$\overline{\operatorname{cr}}(\mathcal{D}_n) \ge 24 \binom{n}{4} \left(\frac{3}{8} + \frac{1}{216} + \frac{3}{2} \right) \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{486j^3(j+1)^3} + \Theta(n^3).$$

Since $\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^3(j+1)^3} = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{j^3} - \frac{3}{j^2} + \frac{6}{j} - \frac{1}{(j+1)^3} - \frac{3}{(j+1)^2} - \frac{6}{j+1} \right) = \frac{79}{8} - \pi^2$, then
 $\overline{\operatorname{cr}}(\mathcal{D}_n) \ge \frac{2}{27} \left(15 - \pi^2 \right) \binom{n}{4} + \Theta(n^3).$

3 Proof of Theorem 2

The first step to prove Theorem 2 is to obtain an equivalent (actually, more general) formulation in terms of circular sequences (namely Proposition 3 below).

3.1 Circular sequences: reducing Theorem 2 to Proposition 3

All the geometrical information of a point set S gets encoded in (any halfperiod of) the *circular sequence* associated to S. We recall that a circular sequence on n elements is a doubly infinite sequence $\ldots \pi_{-1}, \pi_0, \pi_1, \ldots$ of permutations of the points in S, where consecutive permutations differ in a transposition of neighboring elements, and, for every i, π_i is the reverse permutation of $\pi_{i+\binom{n}{2}}$. Thus a circular sequence on n elements has period $2\binom{n}{2}$, and all the information is encoded in an n-halfperiod, that is, a sequence of $\binom{n}{2} + 1$ consecutive permutations.

Each *n*-point set *S* has an associated circular sequence Π_S , which contains all the geometrical information of *S* [7]. As we observed above, any *n*-halfperiod Π of Π_S contains all the information of Π_S , and so *n*-halfperiods are usually the object of choice to work

with. In an *n*-halfperiod $\Pi = \pi_0, \pi_1, \ldots, \pi_{\binom{n}{2}}$, the *initial* permutation is π_0 and the *final* permutation is $\pi_{\binom{n}{2}}$.

Not every *n*-halfperiod Π arises from a point set *S*. We refer the reader to the seminal work by Goodman and Pollack [7] for further details.

Observe that if S is 3-decomposable, then there is an n-halfperiod Π of the circular sequence associated to S, whose points can be labeled $a_1, \ldots, a_{n/3}, b_1, \ldots, b_{n/3}, c_1, \ldots, c_{n/3}$, so that:

- (i) The initial permutation π_0 reads $a_{n/3}, a_{n/3-1}, \ldots, a_1, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{n/3}, c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{n/3}$;
- (ii) there is an s such that in the (s + 1)-st permutation first the b's appear consecutively, then the a's appear consecutively, and then the c's appear consecutively; and
- (iii) there is a t, with t > s, such that in the (t + 1)-st permutation first the b's appear consecutively, then the c's appear consecutively, and then the a's appear consecutively.

Definition An *n*-halfperiod Π that satisfies properties (i)–(iii) above is 3–decomposable.

A transposition that occurs between elements in sites i and i + 1 is an (i, i + 1)transposition. An *i*-critical transposition is either an (i, i + 1)-transposition or an (n - i, n - i + 1)-transposition, and a $(\leq k)$ -critical transposition is a transposition that is *i*-critical for some $i \leq k$. If Π is an *n*-halfperiod, then $\eta_{\leq k}(\Pi)$ denotes the number of $(\leq k)$ -critical transpositions in Π .

The key result is the following.

Proposition 3 Let Π be a 3-decomposable n-halfperiod, and let k < n/2. Then

$$\eta_{< k}(\Pi) \ge Y(k, n).$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Let S be 3-decomposable, and let Π be an n-halfperiod of the circular sequence associated to S, that satisfies properties (i)-(iii) above. Then Π is 3-decomposable. Now, for any point set T and any halfperiod Π_T associated to T, the ($\leq k$)-critical transpositions of Π_T are in one-to-one correspondence with ($\leq k$)-sets of T. Applying this to Π and S, it follows that $\chi_{\leq k}(S) = \eta_{\leq k}(\Pi)$. Applying Proposition 3, Theorem 2 follows.

We devote the rest of this section to the proof of Proposition 3.

3.2 **Proof of Proposition 3**

Throughout this section, $\Pi = (\pi_0, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_{\binom{n}{2}})$ is a 3-decomposable *n*-halfperiod, with initial permutation $\pi_0 = (a_{n/3}, a_{n/3-1}, \dots, a_1, b_1, \dots, b_{n/3}, c_1, \dots, c_{n/3}).$

In order to (lower) bound the number of $(\leq k)$ -critical transpositions in 3-decomposable circular sequences, we distinguish between two types of transpositions. A transposition is *homogeneous* if it occurs between two *a*'s, between two *b*'s, or between two *c*'s; otherwise it is *heterogeneous*. We let $\eta_{\leq k}^{\text{hom}}(\Pi)$ (respectively $\eta_{\leq k}^{\text{het}}(\Pi)$) denote the number of homogeneous (respectively heterogeneous) ($\leq k$)-critical transpositions in Π , so that

$$\eta_{\leq k}(\Pi) = \eta_{\leq k}^{\text{hom}}(\Pi) + \eta_{\leq k}^{\text{het}}(\Pi).$$
(3)

Bounding (actually, calculating) $\eta_{\leq k}^{ m het}(\Pi)$ 3.2.1

Let us call a transposition an *ab-transposition* if it involves one *a* and one *b*. We similarly define ac- and bc-transpositions. Thus, each heterogeneous transposition is either an abor an ac- or a bc-transposition.

Since in Π each ab-transposition moves the involved a to the right and the involved b to the left, then (a) for each $i \le n/3$, there are *exactly* i *i*-critical *ab* transpositions; and (b) for each i, n/3 < i < 2n/3, there are exactly 2n/3 - i i-critical ab-transpositions. Since the same holds for ac- and bc-transpositions, it follows that for each $i \leq n/3$, there are *exactly* 3i *i*-critical heterogeneous transpositions, and for each *i*, n/3 < i < 2n/3, exactly 2n - 3i*i*-critical heterogeneous transpositions.

Therefore, for each $k \leq n/3$, there are exactly $\sum_{i \leq k} 3i = 3\binom{k+1}{2}$ ($\leq k$)-critical transpositions, and if n/3 < k < n/2, then there are exactly $\sum_{i \leq n/3} 3i + \sum_{n/3 < i \leq k} 2n - 3i + \sum_{n-k-1 < i \leq 2n/3-1} 2n - 3i = 3\binom{n/3+1}{2} + (k - n/3)n \ (\leq k)$ -critical transpositions. We now summarize these results.

Proposition 4 Let Π be a 3-decomposable n-halfperiod, and let k < n/2. Then

$$\eta_{\leq k}^{\text{het}}(\Pi) = \begin{cases} 3\binom{k+1}{2} & \text{if } k \leq n/3, \\ \\ 3\binom{n/3+1}{2} + (k-n/3)n & \text{if } n/3 < k < n/2. \end{cases}$$

Bounding $\eta_{\leq k}^{\text{hom}}(\Pi)$ 3.2.2

Our goal here is to give a lower bound (see Proposition 10) for the number $\eta_{< k}^{\text{hom}}(\Pi)$ of homogeneous ($\leq k$)-critical transpositions in a 3-decomposable *n*-halfperiod Π .

Our approach is to find an *upper* bound for $\eta_{>k}^{aa}(\Pi)$, which will denote the number of *aa*-transpositions that are not $(\leq k)$ -critical $(\eta_{>k}^{bb}(\Pi)$ and $\eta_{>k}^{cc}(\Pi)$ are defined analogously). Since the total number of *aa*-transpositions is $\binom{n/3}{2}$, this will yield a lower bound for the contribution of aa-transpositions (and, by symmetry, for the contribution of bb-transpositions and of *cc*-transpositions) to $\eta_{< k}^{\text{hom}}(\Pi)$.

Remark 5 For every $k \le n/3$, it is a trivial task to construct n-halfperiods Π for which $hom_{\leq k} (\Pi) = 0. In view of this, we concentrate our efforts on the case <math>k > n/3.$

A transposition between elements in positions i and i+1, with $k+1 \le i \le n-k-1$, is *valid.* Thus our goal is to (upper) bound the number of valid *aa*-transpositions.

Let D_{Π}^{aa} be the digraph with vertex set $a_1, \ldots, a_{n/3}$, and such that there is a directed edge from a_{ℓ} to a_j if and only if $\ell < j$ and the transposition that swaps a_{ℓ} and a_j is valid. For $j = 1, \ldots, n/3$, we let $[a_j]^+_{\Pi}$ (respectively $[a_j]^-_{\Pi}$) denote the outdegree (respectively indegree) of a_j in D_{Π}^{aa} . We define D_{Π}^{bb} , D_{Π}^{cc} , $[b_j]_{\Pi}^{-}$, $[b_j]_{\Pi}^{+}$, $[c_j]_{\Pi}^{-}$ and $[c_j]_{\Pi}^{+}$ analogously. The inclusion of the symbol Π in D_{Π}^{aa} , $[a_i]_{\Pi}^{-}$, etc., is meant to emphasize the dependence

on the specific *n*-halfperiod Π . For brevity we will omit the reference to Π and simply write $D^{aa}, D^{bb}, D^{cc}, [a_i]^-, [a_i]^+$, and so on. No confusion will arise from this practice.

The importance of D^{aa}, D^{bb} , and D^{cc} is clear from the following observation.

Remark 6 For each *n*-halfperiod Π , the number of edges of D^{aa} equals $\eta_{>k}^{aa}(\Pi)$. Indeed, to each valid aa-transposition, that is, each transposition that contributes to $\eta_{>k}^{aa}(\Pi)$, there corresponds a unique edge in D^{aa} . Analogous observations hold for D^{bb} and D^{cc} .

In view of Remark 6, we direct our efforts to bounding the number of edges in D^{aa} . The essential observation to get this bound is the following:

$$[a_j]^- \le \min\{n - 2k - 1 + [a_j]^+, (n/3) - j\}.$$
(4)

To see this, simply note that , $[a_j]^- \le n - 2k - 1 + [a_j]^+$, since $n - 2k - 1 + [a_j]^+$ is clearly the maximum possible number of valid moves in which a_i moves right, and trivially $[a_i]^- \leq (n/3) - j$, since there are only $(n/3) - j a_\ell$'s with $\ell < j$.

Proposition 7 If Π is a 3-decomposable n-halfperiod, and n/3 < k < n/2, then D^{aa} has at most $\binom{n/3}{2} - (1/3) \left(Y(k,n) - 3\binom{n/3+1}{2} - (k-n/3)n \right)$ edges.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{D}_{k,n}$ denote the class of all digraphs with vertex set $a_1, \ldots, a_{n/3}$, with every directed edge $\overline{a_{\ell}a_{j}}$ satisfying $\ell < j$ and $[a_{j}]^{-} \leq \min\{n-2k-1+[a_{j}]^{+}, n/3-j\}$. We argue that any graph in $\mathcal{D}_{k,n}$ has at most $\binom{n/3}{2} - \binom{1/3}{Y(k,n)} - 3\binom{n/3+1}{2} - (k-1)$

(n/3)n) edges. This clearly finishes the proof, since $D^{aa} \in \mathcal{D}_{k,n}$.

To achieve this, we note that it follows from the work in Section 2 in [5] that the maximum number of edges of such a digraph is attained in the digraph $D_{k,n}$ recursively constructed as follows. First define that all the directed edges arriving at $a_{n/3}$ are the edges $\overline{a_j a_{n/3}}$ for $j = (n/3) - 1, \ldots, (n/3) - n - 2k - 2$. Now, for $j + 1 \le n/3$, once all the directed edges arriving at a_{j+1} have been determined, fix that (all) the directed edges arriving at a_j are $\overline{a_{\ell}a_{j}}$, for all those ℓ that satisfy $j - \ell \leq n - 2k - 1 + [a_{j}]^{+}$.

Since no digraph in $\mathcal{D}_{k,n}$ has more edges than $D_{k,n}$, to finish the proof it suffices to bound the number of edges of $D_{k,n}$. This is the content of Claim 8 below.

Claim 8
$$D_{k,n}$$
 has at most $\binom{n/3}{2} - (Y(k,n) - 3\binom{n/3+1}{2} - (k-n/3)n)/3$ edges.

Sketch of proof. Since we know the exact indegree of each vertex in $D_{k,n}$, we know the exact number of edges of $D_{k,n}$, and so the proof of Claim 8 is no more than a straightforward, but quite long and tedious, calculation.

Corollary 9 If Π is a 3-decomposable n-halfperiod, and n/3 < k < n/2, then each of D^{bb} and D^{cc} has at most $\binom{n/3}{2} - (1/3) \left(Y(k,n) - 3\binom{n/3+1}{2} - (k-n/3)n \right) edges.$

Proof. In the proof of Proposition 7, the only relevant property about D^{aa} is that the a's form a set of n/3 points that in some permutation of Π (namely π_0) appear all consecutively and at the beginning of the permutation. Since Π is 3-decomposable, this condition is also satisfied by the set of b's and by the set of c's. \blacksquare

We now summarize the results in the current subsection.

Proposition 10 If Π is a 3-decomposable n-halfperiod, and n/3 < k < n/2, then

$$\eta_{\leq k}^{\text{hom}}(\Pi) \geq Y(k,n) - 3\binom{n/3+1}{2} - (k-n/3)n.$$

Proof. By Remark 6, the number $\eta_{>k}^{aa}(\Pi)$ of aa-transpositions that are not $(\leq k)$ -critical equals the number of edges in D^{aa} , which by Proposition 7 is at most (1/3)(Y(k,n) - $3\binom{n/3+1}{2} - (k-n/3)n).$ Since the total number of aa-transpositions is $\binom{n/3}{2}$, then the number of aa-transpositions that contribute to $\eta_{\leq k}(\Pi)$ is at least $\binom{n/3}{2} - \binom{n/3}{2} - (1/3)(Y(k,n) - 3\binom{n/3+1}{2} - (k-n/3)n) = (1/3)(Y(k,n) - 3\binom{n/3+1}{2} - (k-n/3)n).$ By Corollary 9, bb- and *cc*-transpositions contribute in at least the same amount to $\eta_{< k}^{\text{hom}}(\Pi)$, and so the claimed inequality follows.

3.2.3 Proof of Proposition 3

Proposition 3 follows immediately from Eq. (3) and Propositions 4 and 10.

4 Concluding remarks

All the lower bounds proved above remain true for point sets that satisfy conditions (i) and (ii) (and not necessarily condition (iii)) for 3-decomposability.

References

- B.M. Abrego and S. Fernández-Merchant, A lower bound for the rectilinear crossing number, *Graphs and Comb.*, **21** (2005), 293–300.
- [2] B.M. Abrego, J. Balogh, S. Fernández–Merchant, J. Leaños, and G. Salazar, An extended lower bound on the number of $(\leq k)$ -edges to generalized configurations of points and the pseudolinear crossing number of K_n . Submitted (2007).
- [3] O. Aichholzer, J. García, D. Orden, and P. Ramos, New lower bounds for the number of $(\leq k)$ -edges and the rectilinear crossing number of K_n , Discr. Comput. Geom., to appear.
- [4] O. Aichholzer. On the rectilinear crossing number. Available online at http://www.ist.tugraz.at/ staff/aichholzer/crossings.html.
- [5] J. Balogh and G. Salazar, k-sets, convex quadrilaterals, and the rectilinear crossing number of K_n , Discr. Comput. Geom. **35** (2006), 671–690.
- [6] P. Brass, W.O.J. Moser, and J. Pach, Research Problems in Discrete Geometry. Springer, New York (2005).
- [7] J. E. Goodman and R. Pollack, On the combinatorial classification of nondegenerate configurations in the plane, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 29 (1980), 220–235.
- [8] L. Lovász, K. Vesztergombi, U. Wagner, and E. Welzl, Convex Quadrilaterals and k-Sets. Towards a Theory of Geometric Graphs, (J. Pach, ed.), Contemporary Math., AMS, 139–148 (2004).

Appendix: Proof of Claim 8

Since $D_{k,n}$ is a well-defined digraph, and we know the exact indegree of each of its vertices, Claim 8 is no more than long and tedious, yet elementary, calculation.

The purpose of this Appendix is to give the full details of this calculation.

We prove Claim 8 in two steps. First we obtain an expression for the *exact* value of the number of edges of $D_{k,n}$, and then we show that this exact value is upper bounded by the expression in Claim 8.

1 The exact number of edges in $D_{k,n}$

The exact number of edges in $D_{k,n}$ is a function of the following parameters. Let i, j be positive integers with $i \leq j$. Then:

- b(i,j) is the (unique) nonnegative integer such that $\binom{b(i,j)+1}{2} < j/i \le \binom{b(i,j)+2}{2}$; and
- q(i,j) and r(i,j) are the (unique) integers satisfying $0 \le q(i,j) < i, 1 \le r(i,j) \le b(i,j) + 1$ and such that

$$j = i {\binom{\mathbf{b}(i,j)+1}{2}} + \mathbf{q}(i,j)(\mathbf{b}(i,j)+1) + \mathbf{r}(i,j)$$
(A-1)

For brevity, in the rest of the section we let s := n/3 and m := n - 2k - 1. The key observation is that we know the indegree of each vertex in $D_{k,n}$:

Proposition 1 (Proposition 19 in [5]) For each integer $1 \le i \le s$, and each vertex a_i of $D_{k,n}$, $[a_i]^- = b(i, s)m + q(i, s)$.

The number of edges of $D_{k,n}$ equals the sum of the indegrees over all vertices in $D_{k,n}$. Thus our main task is to find a closed expression for the sum $\sum_{1 \le i \le s} [a_i]^-$. This is the content of our next statement.

Proposition 2 (Exact number of edges of $D_{k,n}$) The number $\sum_{1 \le i \le s} [a_i]^-$ of edges of $D_{k,n}$ is

$$\begin{split} E(k,n) &:= & 2m^2 \binom{\mathrm{b}(m,s)+1}{3} + \binom{\mathrm{b}(m,s)+1}{2} \binom{m}{2} + 2m \cdot \mathrm{q}(m,s) \binom{\mathrm{b}(m,s)+1}{2} + \\ & \left(\frac{\mathrm{q}(m,s)}{2} \right) \binom{\mathrm{b}(m,s)+1}{1} + \mathrm{r}(m,s) \left(m \cdot \mathrm{b}(m,s) + \mathrm{q}(m,s) \right). \end{split}$$

Proof. We break the index set of the summation $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} [a_i]^-$ into three parts, in terms of $\alpha := m \binom{b(m,s)+1}{2}$ and $\beta := q(m,s)(b(m,s)+1)$. We let $A = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \alpha} [a_i]^-$, $B = \sum_{\alpha+1 \leq i \leq \alpha+\beta} [a_i]^-$, and $C = \sum_{\alpha+\beta+1 \leq i \leq s} [a_i]^-$ so that

$$\sum_{1 \le i \le s} [a_i]^- = A + B + C.$$
 (A-2)

We calculate each of A, B, and C separately.

Calculating A

If ℓ, j are integers such that $0 \le \ell \le m - 1$ and $0 \le j \le b(m, s)$, we define $S_j := \{i : b(i, m) = j\}$ and $T_{j,\ell} := \{i : b(i, m) = j, q(i, m) = \ell\}$. Note that $S_1, S_2, ..., S_{b(m,s)}$ is a

partition of $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and that for each $j \leq b(m, s) - 1, T_{j,0}, T_{j,1}, ..., T_{j,m-1}$ is a partition

of S_j . Note that A can be rewritten as $\sum_{0 \le j \le b(m,s)-1} \sum_{i \in S_j} [a_i]^-$. By Proposition 1 this equals $\sum_{0 \le j \le b(m,s)-1} \sum_{i \in S_j} (m \cdot b(i,m) + q(i,m))$. That is,

$$A = \sum_{0 \le j \le \mathbf{b}(m,s)-1} \left(m \sum_{i \in S_j} \mathbf{b}(i,m) + \sum_{i \in S_j} \mathbf{q}(i,m) \right).$$

Since $0 \le q(i,m) \le m-1$ for all *i*, and $T_{j,0}, T_{j,1}, ..., T_{j,m-1}$ is a partition of S_j , then $\sum_{i \in S_j} q(i,m) = \sum_{0 \le l \le m-1} \sum_{i \in T_{j,l}} q(i,m)$. Thus,

$$A = \sum_{0 \le j \le b(1,s)-1} \left(m \sum_{i \in S_j} b(i,m) + \sum_{0 \le l \le m-1} \sum_{i \in T_{j,l}} q(i,m) \right).$$
(A-3)

On other hand, for $0 \le j \le b(m, s) - 1$ and $0 \le \ell \le m - 1$, it is not difficult to verify that $|T_{j,\ell}| = j + 1$. This implies that $|S_j| = m(j+1)$.

By definition of S_j we have

$$\sum_{i \in S_j} \mathbf{b}(i, m) = \sum_{i \in S_j} j = j |S_j| = jm(j+1).$$
(A-4)

By definition of $T_{j,\ell}$ we have

$$\sum_{i \in T_{j,\ell}} \mathbf{q}(i,m) = \sum_{i \in T_{j,\ell}} \ell = \ell |T_{j,\ell}| = \ell(j+1).$$
(A-5)

Substituting (A-4) and (A-5) into (A-3) we obtain

$$A = \sum_{0 \le j \le b(m,s)-1} \left(m(jm(j+1)) + \sum_{0 \le \ell \le m-1} \ell(j+1) \right)$$
$$= \sum_{0 \le j \le b(m,s)-1} \left(2m^2 \binom{j+1}{2} + (j+1) \sum_{0 \le \ell \le m-1} \ell \right)$$
$$= \sum_{0 \le j \le b(m,s)-1} \left(2m^2 \binom{j+1}{2} + (j+1) \binom{m}{2} \right)$$
$$= 2m^2 \binom{b(m,s)+1}{3} + \binom{b(m,s)+1}{2} \binom{m}{2}.$$
(A-6)

Calculating B

Since $\mathbf{b}(i,m) = \mathbf{b}(m,s)$ for each $i \ge \alpha + 1$, and $[a_i]^- = m \cdot \mathbf{b}(i,m) + \mathbf{q}(i,m)$, then $B = \sum_{\alpha+1 \le i \le \alpha+\beta} [a_i]^- = \sum_{\alpha+1 \le i \le \alpha+\beta} (m \cdot \mathbf{b}(i,m) + \mathbf{q}(i,m)).$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} B &= \sum_{\alpha+1 \leq i \leq \alpha+\beta} m \cdot \mathbf{b}(m,s) + \sum_{\alpha+1 \leq i \leq \alpha+\beta} \mathbf{q}(i,m) \\ &= m \cdot \mathbf{b}(m,s) \sum_{\alpha+1 \leq i \leq \alpha+\beta} 1 + \sum_{\alpha+1 \leq i \leq \alpha+\beta} \mathbf{q}(i,m) \\ &= m \cdot \mathbf{b}(m,s)\beta + \sum_{\alpha+1 \leq i \leq \alpha+\beta} \mathbf{q}(i,m) \\ &= m \cdot \mathbf{b}(m,s)\mathbf{q}(m,s)(\mathbf{b}(m,s)+1) + \sum_{\alpha+1 \leq i \leq \alpha+\beta} \mathbf{q}(i,m). \end{split}$$

On other hand it is easy to check that $|T_{\mathbf{b}(m,s),k}| = \mathbf{b}(m,s) + 1$ for every k such that $0 \le k \le \mathbf{q}(m,s) - 1$. Since $0 \le \mathbf{q}(i,m) \le \mathbf{q}(m,s) - 1$ for every i such that $\alpha + 1 \le i \le \alpha + \beta$, then $T_{\mathbf{b}(m,s),0}$, $T_{\mathbf{b}(m,s),1}, ..., T_{\mathbf{b}(m,s),q(m,s)-1}$ is a partition of $\{\alpha + 1, \alpha + 2, ..., \alpha + \beta\}$. Thus,

$$\sum_{\alpha+1 \le i \le \alpha+\beta} \mathbf{q}(m,s) = \sum_{0 \le \ell \le \mathbf{q}(m,s)-1} \sum_{i \in T_{\mathbf{b}(m,s),\ell}} \mathbf{q}(i,m). \tag{A-7}$$

We note that $\sum_{i \in T_{b(m,s),\ell}} q(i,m) = \ell \left| T_{b(m,s),k} \right| = \ell(b(m,s)+1)$. Using this fact in (A-7) we obtain

$$\sum_{\alpha+1\leq i\leq \alpha+\beta}\mathbf{q}(i,m)=\sum_{0\leq \ell\leq \mathbf{q}(m,s)-1}\ell(\mathbf{b}(m,s)+1)={\binom{\mathbf{q}(m,s)}{2}}(\mathbf{b}(m,s)+1).$$

Thus,

$$B = m \cdot b(m, s)q(m, s)(b(m, s) + 1) + {\binom{q(m,s)}{2}}(b(m, s) + 1)$$

= $2m \cdot q(m, s){\binom{b(m,s)+1}{2}} + {\binom{q(m,s)}{2}}(b(m, s) + 1).$ (A-8)

Calculating C

Since b(i, m) = b(m, s); q(i, m) = q(m, s) for each i such that $i \ge \alpha + \beta + 1$; and $[a_i]^- = m \cdot b(i, m) + q(i, m)$, it follows that

$$C = \sum_{\alpha+\beta+1 \le i \le s} [a_i]^- = \sum_{\alpha+\beta+1 \le i \le s} m \cdot \mathbf{b}(i,m) + \mathbf{q}(i,m)$$
$$= \sum_{\alpha+\beta+1 \le i \le s} m \cdot \mathbf{b}(m,s) + \mathbf{q}(m,s)$$
$$= (s - \alpha - \beta) \left(m \cdot \mathbf{b}(m,s) + \mathbf{q}(m,s) \right)$$

From (A-1) it follows that $r(m, s) = (s - \alpha - \beta)$, and so

$$C = \mathbf{r}(m, s)(m \cdot \mathbf{b}(m, s) + \mathbf{q}(m, s)).$$
(A-9)

Now from (A-6), (A-8), and (A-9), it follows that E(k, n) = A + B + C, and so Proposition 2 follows from (A-2).

2 Upper bound for number of edges in $D_{k,n}$: Proof of Claim 8

First we bound the number of $(\leq k)$ -edges in 3-decomposable *n*-halfperiods in terms of the expression E(k, n) in Proposition 2.

Proposition 3 Let Π be a 3-decomposable n-halfperiod, and let k < n/2. Then

$$\eta_{\leq k}(\Pi) \geq \begin{cases} 3\binom{k+1}{2} & \text{if } k \leq n/3, \\ 3\binom{n/3+1}{2} + (k-n/3)n + 3\binom{n/3}{2} - E(k,n) & \text{if } n/3 < k < n/2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Obviously, $\eta_{\leq k}(\Pi) \geq \eta_{\leq k}^{\text{het}}(\Pi)$ and so the case $k \leq n/3$ follows from Proposition 4. Now suppose that n/3 < k < n/2. Recall that $\eta_{\leq k}^{\text{hom}}(\Pi) = \eta_{>k}^{aa}(\Pi) + \eta_{>k}^{bb}(\Pi) + \eta_{>k}^{cc}(\Pi)$. Now the total number of aa- (and bb-, and cc-) transpositions is exactly $\binom{n/3}{2}$, and so $\eta_{\leq k}^{\text{hom}}(\Pi) = 3\binom{n/3}{2} - \eta_{>k}^{aa}(\Pi) - \eta_{>k}^{bb}(\Pi) - \eta_{>k}^{cc}(\Pi)$. Thus it follows from Remark 6 and Proposition 2 that $\eta_{\leq k}^{\text{hom}}(\Pi) \geq 3\binom{n/3}{2} - E(k, n)$. This fact, together with Proposition 4, implies that $\eta_{\leq k}(\Pi) = \eta_{\leq k}^{ek}(\Pi) + \eta_{\leq k}^{hom}(\Pi) \geq 3\binom{n/3+1}{2} + (k - n/3)n + 3\binom{n/3}{2} - E(k, n)$), as claimed. ■

Must explain that for bb and cc same digraph works

Proof of Claim 8. Recall that s := n/3 and m := n - 2k - 1. By Remark 5 we know that k > n/3, and so $s \ge m$. From (A-1), it follows that

$$q(m,s) = \frac{s - m\binom{b(m,s)+1}{2} - r(m,s)}{b(m,s) + 1}.$$
 (A-10)

Now by Proposition 3, $\eta_{\leq k}(\Pi) \geq L(k, n)$, where

$$L(k,n) := 3\binom{s+1}{2} + (k-s)n + 3\binom{s}{2} - E(k,n).$$
(A-11)

Substituting in E(k, n) the value of q(m, s) given in (A-10), a (long and tedious yet) totally elementary simplification yields

$$L(n,k) - Y(k,n) - 1/3 = \frac{1}{8(b(m,s)+1)} \bigg(5b(m,s)^2 + 4b(m,s)^3 + b(m,s)^4 + b(m,s)(-12r(m,s)+2) + 12(r(m,s)-1)r(m,s) \bigg).$$

Define $f(\mathbf{b}(m,s),\mathbf{r}(m,s)) := L(n,k) - Y(k,n) - 1/3$. An elementary calculation shows that $\mathbf{r}_0(m,s) := (\mathbf{b}(m,s)+1)/2$ minimizes $f(\mathbf{b}(m,s),\mathbf{r}(m,s))$. Thus $f(\mathbf{b}(m,s),\mathbf{r}(m,s)) \ge f(\mathbf{b}(m,s),\mathbf{r}_0(m,s)) = (\mathbf{b}(m,s)+3)(\mathbf{b}(m,s)+1)(\mathbf{b}(m,s)-1)/8$. Since $\mathbf{b}(m,s)$ is a nonnegative integer, it follows that $f(\mathbf{b}(m,s),\mathbf{r}(m,s)) \ge -1/3$ and therefore $L(n,k) - Y(k,n) \ge 0$.

By (A-11), $E(k, n) = (1/3)(3\binom{s+1}{2} + (k-s)n + 3\binom{s}{2} - L(k, n))$. Since $-L(n, k) \leq -Y(k, n)$, then $E(k, n) \leq (1/3)(3\binom{s+1}{2} + (k-s)n + 3\binom{s}{2} - Y(k, n)) = \binom{s}{2} - (1/3)(Y(k, n) - 3\binom{s+1}{2} - (k-s)n)$. This proves Claim 8, since E(k, n) is the total number of edges in $\mathcal{D}_{k,n}$.