Searching for Very-High-Energy Gamma-Ray Bursts from Evaporating Primordial Black Holes

V.B. Petkov¹, E.V. Bugaev¹, P.A. Klimai¹, M.V. Andreev², V.I. Volchenko¹, G.V. Volchenko¹, A.N. Gaponenko¹, Zh.Sh. Guliev¹, I.M. Dzaparova¹, D.V. Smirnov¹, A.V. Sergeev², A.B. Chernyaev¹, A.F. Yanin¹

> ¹ Institute for Nuclear Research, Russian Academy of Sciences, ul. 60-letiya Oktyabrya 7a, Moscow, 117312 Russia

² International Center for Astronomical and Medicoecological Research, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Ukraine

Received December 6, 2007

E-mail: pklimai@gmail.com

Abstract—Temporal and energy characteristics of the very-high-energy gamma-ray bursts from evaporating primordial black holes have been calculated by assuming that the photospheric and chromospheric effects are negligible. The technique of searching for such bursts on shower arrays is described. We show that the burst time profile and the array dead time should be taken into account to interpret experimental data. Based on data from the Andyrchy array of the Baksan Neutrino Observatory (Institute for Nuclear Research, Russian Academy of Sciences), we have obtained an upper limit on the number density of evaporating primordial black holes in a local region of space with a scale size of ~ 10^{-3} pc. Comparison with the results of previous experiments is made.

PACS numbers: 95.85.Pw; 95.85.Ry; 97.60.Lf **DOI**: 10.1134/S106377370808001X

Key words: primordial black holes, extensive air showers.

Introduction

Primordial black holes (PBHs) can be formed in the early Universe through the gravitational collapse of primordial cosmological density fluctuations. Therefore, the formation probability of PBHs and their observational manifestations depend significantly on how the primordial density fluctuations emerged and developed. The pattern of black hole formation is determined not only by the cosmology and physics of the early Universe. Theoretical predictions of the PBH formation probability depend strongly on the adopted theory of gravitation and, which is also important, on the adopted model of gravitational collapse. The evaporation of black holes on which their experimental search is based has not been completely studied either. Thus, PBH detection will provide valuable information about the early Universe and can be a unique test of the general theory of relativity, cosmology, and quantum gravity (Carr 2003). Knowledge of the spatial distribution of PBHs is important for their direct

search. As was shown by Chisholm (2006), the local PBH number density in our Galaxy could be many orders of magnitude higher than the mean PBH number density in the Universe (the density ratio could reach $\sim 10^{22}$, which is much larger than the previously predicted value of $\sim 10^7$). Therefore, the constraints on the PBH number density imposed by direct searches can be more stringent than those imposed by diffuse extragalactic gamma-ray background measurements.

The high-energy gamma-ray bursts (GRBs), i.e., significant and time-localized excesses of gamma radiation above the background, are generated at the final PBH evaporation stage. Since the calculated temporal and energy characteristics of such bursts depend on the theoretical evaporation model (Bugaev et al. 2007), the technique of an experimental search and, accordingly, the constraints imposed on the PBH number density in the local Universe are modeldependent. PBHs can be searched for in experiments on arrays designed to detect extensive air showers (EASs) from cosmic rays with effective primary gamma-ray energies of 10



Figure 1: Probability of EAS detection by the Andyrchy array versus primary gamma-ray photon energy for various zenith angles θ .

TeV or higher only within the framework of the evaporation model without a chromosphere (MacGibbon and Webber 1990). The duration of the high-energy GRBs predicted by chromospheric evaporation models is too short, much shorter than the dead time of EAS arrays.

It should be noted that the duration of the highenergy GRBs is fairly short in the evaporation model without a chromosphere as well. Therefore, the effect of the array dead time on the burst detection probability should be taken into account when interpreting the experimental data from EAS arrays with a high threshold energy of the primary gamma-ray photons.

The Experiment

The Andyrchy array to detect EASs from cosmic rays is located on the flank of Mount Andyrchy at an altitude of ~ 2060 m above sea level; its geographical coordinates are 43.28° N and 42.69° E. The array consists of 37 scintillation detectors based on plastic scintillator, each with an area of 1 m². The separation between the detectors in the horizontal plane is ~ 40 m and the total area of the array is 5×10^4 m². The EAS trigger becomes active when ≥ 4 array detectors are triggered simultaneously; the trigger rate is ~ 9 s⁻¹. The effective angular resolution of the array for such events is 3.8°. The array dead time per EAS event does not depend on the EAS power and is 1 ms. The array and its operating parameters were described in detail previously (Petkov et al. 2006).

The detection probabilities $P(E_{\gamma}, \theta)$ of the EASs generated by primary gamma-ray photons with energy E_{γ} incident on the array at zenith angle θ were determined by simulating electromagnetic cascades in the atmosphere and the detector (Smirnov et al. 2005; Smirnov 2005). The CORSIKA code (version 6.03, the QGSJET model) (Heck et al. 1998) was used to simulate electromagnetic cascades in the atmosphere. The characteristics of the secondary particles that reached the array level were used as input parameters in the code for calculating the detector response, in which the energy release and the triggering time of each array detector were calculated. For the event simulated in this way, the arrival direction of the simulated EAS was reconstructed on the basis of a standard technique used in processing the recorded events. In Fig. 1, the EAS detection probability is plotted against the primary gamma-ray photon energy for several zenith angles. Since the detection probability of primary gamma-ray photons is a relatively smooth function of the photon energy, the median energy of the primary gamma-ray photons detected by the array depends on their energy spectrum. Following Alexandreas et al. (1993), we will take the median energy of the primary gammaray photons when the source is located at zenith and the gamma-ray spectrum is a power law with an index of -2.7 as the effective energy of the gamma-ray photons detected by the array. For the EASs detected by the Andyrchy array, this energy is 60 TeV.

For each of the events selected by the EAS trigger, we reconstructed the EAS arrival direction, i.e., the zenith and azimuth angles (θ, ϕ) in the array coordinate system. Based on our processing, we created an archive of preprocessed information for the period 1996-2001 (the net accumulation time is ~ 1100 days and the total number of events is $\sim 6.22 \times 10^8$), which contains the absolute event time (with an accuracy of 1 ms) and the EAS arrival direction. Searching for GRBs over the celestial sphere (without referencing to the already detected bursts) is, in fact, searching for spatiotemporal concentrations of events (clusters). Since we take fairly short time intervals, spatial concentrations of events are searched for in the horizontal coordinate system. For each event i with an absolute time t_i and arrival angles $(\theta, \phi)_i$, we search for a cluster of such events i, i+1, ..., i+n-1 that the EAS arrival directions differ by less than α_r from the weighted mean direction. Thus, each cluster is characterized by multiplicity n, duration Δt , absolute time T, and arrival direction (θ, ϕ) .

Previously, data from the Andyrchy array (Smirnov et al. 2005; Smirnov 2005) were used to search for cosmic GRBs over the celestial sphere. Groups of EASs arrived from one angular cell $\alpha_r = 4.0^{\circ}$ in radius were selected; the minimum and maximum time differences in the cluster were taken to be 10 ms and 10 s, respectively. For each multiplicity $(n \ge 2)$, the dependences of the number of clusters with a given multiplicity on the interval Δt were derived. The background of chance coincidences (the formation of clusters with a given multiplicity n) was calculated using a similar processing of the simulated events. The EAS arrival angles (θ, ϕ) and the time between the EAS arrivals were simulated using experimental distributions. The experimentally measured detection rates of such clusters are in agreement with those expected from the background of chance coincidences (within the limits of one standard deviation).

Searching for GRBs from PBHs for the Evaporation Model Without a Chromosphere

The spectrum of the gamma-ray photons emitted by PBHs, dN_{γ}/dE_{γ} , depends on the time t_l left until the end of black hole evaporation. In the evaporation model without a chromosphere (MacGibbon and Webber 1990), the numerically calculated and time-integrated photon spectrum can be fitted by a piecewise power function (for a black hole temperature $T_H \gg m_{\pi}$ and gamma-ray energies $E_{\gamma} > m_{\pi}/2$):

$$\frac{dN_{\gamma}}{dE_{\gamma}} = N_0 \begin{cases} \left(\frac{E_0}{T_H}\right)^3 \left(\frac{T_H}{E_{\gamma}}\right)^{3/2}, & E_{\gamma} < T_H \\ \left(\frac{E_0}{E_{\gamma}}\right)^3, & E_{\gamma} \ge T_H \end{cases}$$

(Bugaev et al. 2007), where $E_0 = 10^5$ and all energies are measured in GeV. The parameter N_0 is

$$N_0 \approx \nu_0 \times 7 \cdot 10^{19},$$

while ν_0 includes the effective number of degrees of freedom of the quarks and gluons used in calculating the photon spectrum. A simple estimate for N_0 includes the *u* and *d* quarks, their antiquarks, and all gluons; in this case, $\nu_0 = (1/3)(3 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 + 8 \cdot 2) = 40/3$ (1/3 is the ratio of the number of π^0 mesons to the total number of π mesons). The corresponding value of N_0 is 9×10^{20} . The lower limit for the parameter ν_0 can be obtained by taking into account only one type of quarks ($\nu_0 = 4$); in this case, $N_0 \approx 3 \times 10^{20}$.

The time until the end of PBH evaporation t_l (in seconds) is related to the black hole temperature T_H (in GeV) by

$$t_l = 4.7 \times 10^{11} T_H^{-3}$$

Since the array detects the EASs generated by primary gamma-ray photons with energy E_{γ} incident at zenith angle θ with probability $P(E_{\gamma}, \theta)$ and since the spectrum of the gamma-ray photons emitted by PBHs dN_{γ}/dE_{γ} depends on t_l , the spectrum recorded by the array (its response function) $P(E_{\gamma}, \theta)dN_{\gamma}/dE_{\gamma}$ also depends on t_l . Here, θ is the zenith angle at which the PBH is seen from the array. Figure 2 shows the photon spectra and response functions of the Andyrchy array for the vertical direction and for $t_l = 1$ s and 1 ms, with $N_0 = 3 \times 10^{20}$.

The total number of gamma-ray photons emitted by PBHs that can be detected by the array,

$$N_{\gamma}(\theta, t_l) = \int_{0}^{\infty} dE_{\gamma} P(E_{\gamma}, \theta) dN_{\gamma}/dE_{\gamma},$$





Figure 3: Total number of gamma-ray photons emitted by PBH that can be detected by the Andyrchy array versus the time t_l left until the end of black hole evaporation: 1, $\theta = 0^{\circ}$; 2, $\theta = 10^{\circ}$; 3, $\theta = 20^{\circ}$; 4, $\theta = 30^{\circ}$.

The results of our search for two values of t_b are presented in Fig. 5. The maximum multiplicities $n'(\theta)$ of the detected clusters are 4, 4, 3, and 2, respectively.

Results

We obtained a constraint on the number density of evaporating PBHs using the technique described in Linton et al. (2006). Let a PBH be located at distance r from the array and be seen from it at zenith angle θ . The mean number of gamma-ray photons detected by the array over the burst duration t_b is then

$$\bar{n}(\theta) = \frac{\epsilon N(t_b(\theta))S(\theta)}{4\pi r^2}$$

where $S(\theta)$ is the array area and $\epsilon = 0.9$ is the fraction of the events from a point source that fell into an angular cell with a size of 7.0°. The number of bursts detected over the total observation time T can be represented as

 $N = \rho_{pbh} T V_{eff} ,$

where

$$V_{eff} = \int d\Omega \int_{0}^{\infty} dr r^{2} F(n(\theta), \bar{n}(\theta), t_{b}(\theta))$$

is the effective volume of the space surveyed by the array, ρ_{pbh} is the number density of evaporating PBHs, and $F(n, \bar{n}, t_b)$ is the detection probability of a cluster of n EASs with the mean value of \bar{n} over the burst duration t_b . In turn, the total detection probability can be expressed as a product of the Poisson probability of n EASs falling on the array with the mean value of \bar{n}



Figure 4: Burst duration versus zenith angle for the Andyrchy array.

and the probability to detect all n EASs over the burst duration t_b with the array dead time per event t_d :

$$F(n,\bar{n},t_b) = f(n,t_b,t_d) \frac{e^{-\bar{n}}\bar{n}^n}{n!}$$

In calculating the effective volume, we take $n(\theta) = n'(\theta) + 1$ (this means that the distributions of the detected clusters in multiplicity can be explained by the background of chance coincidences). The probabilities $f(n, t_b, t_d)$ of detecting $n(\theta)$ EASs over the burst duration $t_b(\theta)$ with the array dead time per event t_d were calculated by the Monte Carlo method by taking into account the burst time profile for a given zenith angle. The effective volume of the space surveyed by the array, V_{eff} , is 1.88×10^{-9} pc³, with N_0 being 9×10^{20} . If the evaporating PBHs are distributed uniformly in the local region of the Galaxy, then the upper limit ρ_{lim} on the number density of evaporating PBHs at the 99% confidence level can be calculated from the formula

$$\rho_{lim} = \frac{4.6}{V_{eff} \cdot T} ;$$

in our case, $\rho_{lim} = 8.2 \times 10^8 \text{ pc}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$.

Comparison with the Results of Previous Studies and Conclusions

Previously, the high-energy GRBs from evaporating PBHs were searched for in experiments on the CYGNUS (Alexandreas et al. 1993; the threshold energy of the primary gamma-ray photons is $E_{th} \sim 30$ TeV), HEGRA (Funk et al. 1995; $E_{th} \sim 30$ TeV), and Tibet (Amenomori et al. 1995; $E_{th} \sim 10$ TeV) EAS arrays for fixed one-second time intervals without taking into account the burst duration (and its dependence on the zenith angle for the specific array).



Figure 5: Measured number of clusters versus cluster size for two time intervals, $\Delta t = 40$ ms and $\Delta t = 11$ ms.

In these experiments, constraints on the number density of evaporating PBHs were obtained by assuming the absence of any fluctuations in the number of EASs falling on the array (it was assumed that $n = \bar{n}$) and by disregarding the effects of the burst time profile and the array dead time on the burst detection probability. The latter is particularly important for arrays with a high gamma-ray detection threshold (i.e., with a short burst duration t_b) and a relatively long dead time t_d . Disregarding the effects of the array dead time and the burst time profile on the burst detection probability when interpreting the data will lead to an appreciable overestimation of this probability (i.e., the factor F in the above expression for the effective volume V_{eff} and, as a result, to an underestimation of the upper limit on the PBH number density. For example, in this case, a constraint of $3.1 \times 10^6 \text{ pc}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ instead of the real $8.2 \times 10^8 \text{ pc}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ is obtained for the Andyrchy array. In the limiting case, the array can be insensitive to the high-energy GRBs from PBHs altogether, since for $t_d \geq t_b$ no more than one gamma-ray photon can be detected over the burst duration and a burst of ngamma-ray photons can be detected if $nt_d < t_b$.

Figure 6a presents the results of the studies listed above as they are given by their authors. This figure also shows the constraint obtained at $E_{\gamma} \sim 1$ TeV in an experiment on the Whipple Cherenkov telescope (Linton et al. 2006) for a time interval of 5 s. In addition, for comparison, it also shows our constraint that we obtained in the approximation of a zero array dead time and by disregarding the burst time profile. The effective energy of the detected gamma-ray photons is along the horizontal axis. Two constraints obtained for different event selection conditions are given for the HEGRA array.

The upper limits on the number density of evaporating PBHs with allowance made for the burst time



Figure 6: Upper limits on the number density of evaporating PBHs for the evaporation model without a chromosphere versus effective energy of the detected gamma-ray photons. Panel (a): 1, the Andyrchy array (this paper, without the effects of the burst time profile and the array dead time on the burst detection probability); 2, original data from the Tibet array (Amenomori et al. 1995); 3, the Whipple Cherenkov telescope (Linton et al. 2006); 4, original data from the CYGNUS array (Alexandreas et al. 1993); 5, original data from the HEGRA array (Funk et al. 1995). Panel (b): 1, the Andyrchy array (this paper, with the effects of the burst time profile and the array dead time on the burst detection probability); 2, recalculation of the Tibet data (see the text); 3, the Whipple Cherenkov telescope (Linton et al. 2006).

profile and the array dead time are shown in Fig. 6b. We obtained the constraint for the Tibet array $(7.6 \times 10^6 \text{ pc}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1})$ by recalculating the data from Amenomori et al. (1995) using the technique described above (the dead time of this array is 5 ms per event). For the CYGNUS array, the expected burst duration is no more than 40 ms for a source at zenith, while the dead time estimated from the data in Alexandreas et al. (1993) is ~ 30 ms per event. Since these two times are of the same order of magnitude, the real constraint on the PBH number density cannot be calculated. For the HEGRA array, we also disregarded the effect of its dead time, because no dead time is given in Funk et al. (1995). Finally, estimates show that taking into account the dead time is unimportant at relatively low gamma-ray photon energies ($\sim 1 \text{ TeV}$). Therefore, the result of the Whipple array (Linton et al. 2006) remains essentially unchanged.

Comparison of Figs. 6a and 6b leads us to conclude that taking into account the burst time profile and the array dead time is very important at high energies of the detected gamma-ray photons.

How far are our constraints on the PBH number density from its actual estimates? If we make two assumptions: (1) the spectrum of the primordial density fluctuations is scale-invariant (in this case, the data obtained in measurements of the relic gamma radiation can be used to calculate the PBH mass spectrum and number density) and (2) the PBH accumulation factor in the Galaxy is equal to unity (i.e., there is no local increase in PBH number density in the Galaxy), then the predicted number density of evaporating PBHs is many orders of magnitude lower than the upper limits shown in Fig. 6b. However, there are many cosmological models in which the spectrum of the primordial density fluctuations is nonmonotonic on small scales (see, e.g., Bugaev and Klimai (2006) and references therein). The possible value of the accumulation factor has already been discussed above. In a word, we cannot rule out the possibility that the actual PBH number density is close to the already available experimental upper limits. In general, the predicted PBH number density in the Universe is determined by our views of the end of inflation. PBHs (even if they will not be discovered) are sources of unique information about this period in the history of the early Universe. Therefore, their searches will undoubtedly be continued.

As we see from Fig. 6b, the best (to date) constraint on the number density of evaporating PBHs $(1.08 \times 10^6 \text{ pc}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1})$ was obtained in the experiment on the Whipple Cherenkov telescope (Linton et al. 2006). However, it should be noted that the effective gamma-ray photon energy in our experiment is two orders of magnitude higher than that in the Whipple one. Thus, our upper limit pertains not to black holes in general, but to black holes with certain properties (emitting 100-TeV gamma-ray photons at the end of their evaporation during bursts lasting ~ 10 ms).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (project nos. 06-02-16135 and 07-02-90901), the "Neutrino Physics" Basic Research Program of the Presidium of the Russian Academy of Sciences, and the State Program for Support of Leading Scientific Schools (project NSh-4580.2006.02).

References

- D. E. Alexandreas, G. E. Allen, D. Berley, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 2524 (1993).
- [2] M. Amenomori, Z. Cao, B. Z. Dai, et al., in Proceedings of the 24th International Cosmic Ray Conference, Rome, Italy, 1995, Vol. 2, p. 112.
- [3] E. Bugaev, P. Klimai and V. Petkov, in Proceedings of the 30th International Cosmic Ray Conference, Merida, Mexico, 2007, arXiv:0706.3778 [astro-ph].
- [4] E. Bugaev and P. Klimai, arXiv:astro-ph/0612659.
- [5] B. J. Carr, Lect. Notes Phys. 631, 301 (2003) [arXiv:astro-ph/0310838].
- [6] J. R. Chisholm, Phys. Rev. D 73, 083504 (2006)
 [arXiv:astro-ph/0509141].
- [7] B. Funk, J. Gonzalez, H. Krawczynski, et al., in Proceedings of the 24th International Cosmic Ray Conference, Rome, Italy, 1995, Vol. 2, p. 104.
- [8] D. Heck, G. Schatz, T. Thouw, et al., Report FZKA 6019 (Forschungszentrum, Karlsruhe, 1998).
- [9] E. T. Linton, R. W. Atkins, H. M. Badran, et al., JCAP 0601, 013 (2006).
- [10] J. H. MacGibbon and B. R. Webber, Phys. Rev. D 41, 3052 (1990).
- [11] V. B. Petkov, V. I. Volchenko, G. V. Volchenko, et al., Instrum. Exp. Tech. 49, 785 (2006) [Prib. Tekh. Eksp. 49, 50 (2006)].
- [12] D. V. Smirnov *et al.*, Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk, Ser. Fiz. **69**, 413 (2005).
- [13] D. V. Smirnov, Doctoral Dissertation in Mathematics and Physics (Institute for Nuclear Research, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, 2005).

Translated by V. Astakhov