

# Anomaly in Nonlinear Magnetoelectric Response of YbMnO<sub>3</sub>

U. Adem,<sup>1</sup> M. Mostovoy,<sup>1</sup> N. Bellido,<sup>2</sup> A. A. Nugroho,<sup>1,3</sup> C. Simon,<sup>2</sup> and T. T. M. Palstra<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Zernike Institute for Advanced Materials, University of Groningen, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands*

<sup>2</sup>*Laboratoire CRISMAT, UMR CNRS ENSICAEN, 1450 Caen, France*

<sup>3</sup>*Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Institut Teknologi Bandung, Jl. Ganesha 10, Bandung 40132, Indonesia*

(Dated: November 6, 2018)

We observe a seemingly complex magnetic field dependence of dielectric constant of hexagonal YbMnO<sub>3</sub> near the spin ordering temperature. After rescaling, the data taken at different temperatures and magnetic fields collapse on a single curve describing the sharp anomaly in nonlinear magnetoelectric response at the magnetic transition. We show that this anomaly is a result of the competition between two magnetic phases. The scaling and the shape of the anomaly are explained using the phenomenological Landau description of the competing phases in hexagonal manganites.

PACS numbers: 77.80.-e, 61.10.Nz, 77.84.-s

The recent interest in multiferroic materials was triggered by the discovery of the giant magnetocapacitance (MC) and magnetically-induced rotations of electric polarization in orthorhombic rare earth manganites [1, 2, 3]. This multiferroic behavior is rooted in magnetic frustration, which gives rise to non-centrosymmetric spin orderings that induce electric polarization [4]. Furthermore, the presence of competing spin states in these frustrated magnets results in a strong sensitivity of the magnetically-induced electric polarization to applied magnetic fields. In this respect multiferroics are similar to colossal magnetoresistance manganites and high-temperature superconductors [5].

In this Letter we study effects of critical magnetic fluctuations and the competition between different magnetic states on the non-linear magnetoelectric response of the hexagonal YbMnO<sub>3</sub> by measuring the magnetic field and temperature dependence of its dielectric constant. Ferroelectricity in hexagonal manganites  $R\text{MnO}_3$  ( $R = \text{Ho-Lu, Y}$ ) appears well above the magnetic transition and is of nonmagnetic origin: An electric dipole moment along the  $c$  axis is spontaneously induced by tilts of manganese-oxygen bipyramids and buckling of rare earth-oxygen planes at  $T_C > 600\text{K}$  [6, 7, 8, 9], while the ordering of Mn spins occurs at a much lower temperature  $T_N < 120\text{K}$ . However, the spin ordering in hexagonal manganites results in a surprisingly strong lattice relaxation, which affects the spontaneous electric polarization [10].

The Mn ions in hexagonal manganites form well-separated triangular layers parallel to the  $ab$  plane with antiferromagnetic exchange interactions between nearest-neighbor spins [11], which makes the Mn spin subsystem low-dimensional and frustrated and results in enhanced spin fluctuations observed well above  $T_N$  [12]. Frustration and rare earth magnetism are responsible for a rich variety of magnetic phases observed at low temperatures and in applied magnetic fields [13]. Due to magnetoelectric coupling each magnetic transition gives rise to a singularity of the dielectric constant [6, 14, 15, 16], which is more pronounced than the corresponding singularity in

magnetic susceptibility.

We find that close to the Néel temperature  $T_N=81\text{K}$  the MC of YbMnO<sub>3</sub> measured as a function of magnetic field and temperature obeys a scaling behavior and has a very sharp anomaly. The detailed comparison with results of model calculations led us to a conclusion that the effect of magnetic fluctuations is completely overshadowed by the magnetic field dependence originating from the competition between two antiferromagnetic states, one of which is weakly ferromagnetic. Using a mean field Landau expansion of free energy in powers of two competing order parameters, we reproduce the shape of the anomaly as well as the changes in the behavior of MC observed in the wide range of magnetic fields and temperatures.

Polycrystalline samples of YbMnO<sub>3</sub> were prepared by solid state synthesis. A single crystal was grown from this powder by the floating zone technique. Magnetization  $M(T)$  of the samples was measured by a Squid magnetometer (MPMS7 Quantum Design) using a field of 0.5T. Field dependence of the magnetization was measured up to 5T. Capacitance of the samples was measured in a commercial system (PPMS Quantum Design) using a home-made insert and a Andeen-Hagerling 2500A capacitance bridge operating at a fixed measurement frequency of 1 kHz as well as using an Agilent 4284A LCR meter up to 1MHz. Electrical contacts were made using Ag epoxy.

The temperature dependence of the capacitance  $C(T)$  proportional to the in-plane dielectric constant  $\varepsilon_a$  is shown as an inset of Fig. 1a. Below the Néel temperature  $T_N = 82\text{K}$ , the capacitance is somewhat suppressed by the emergence of magnetic order [16]. The MC,  $\frac{C(H)-C(0)}{C(0)}$ , where  $H$  is magnetic field along the  $c$  axis, for a set of temperatures between 76.5K and 95K is shown in Fig. 1a. In this small temperature interval around  $T_N$  the behavior changes dramatically: at 76.5K only a positive curvature is observed. With increasing temperature a high-field downturn appears, and at 80K only a negative curvature can be observed, which changes

positive above 90K.

usual behavior is a constant

temperature and mag

pass through a magnetic

or becomes apparent wh

ature [see Fig. 1(b)]. Th

fixed magnetic fields ve

the rescaled changes of  $C$

field versus temperature,  $C$

field dependence of  $C$ . The

of  $C$  masks the magnet

) is measured at fixed m

n at various  $T$  and  $H$  rem

ive with a very sharp anom

ure derivative of MC becom

its magnitude shows an all

n a positive to a negative va

behavior of MC can be under

alous nonlinear magnetoelc

by the term  $\kappa(T) (E_a^2 + E_b^2) H$

$\kappa(T)$  has a singularity at the ma

ature. The scaling implies that t

susceptibility for  $H\parallel c$  on elect

anomaly at  $T_N$ .

shape of the MC anomaly in Y

The nonlinear magnetoelcric m

magnets usually originates from

order coupling of the electric pol

magnetic order parameter  $L$ ,  $f_{me} =$

a correction to the bare dielectric

$= -g\chi_0^2 \langle L \rangle^2 \propto (-\tau)^{2\beta}$ , for  $\tau = \frac{T-T_N}{T_N}$

s for the observed dielectric constan

[see the inset of Fig. 1(a)]. The mag

ce of Néel temperature,  $T_N(H) \approx T_N$

se to a discontinuity of MC at  $T_N$  an

havior below  $T_N$ , is roughly consist

However, the most prominent featur

anomaly – the long negative tail for  $T < T_N$

o)) – cannot be explained in this way.

tail may result from magnetic fluctu

critical close to Néel temperature.

order self-energy diagrams describing

of magnetic fluctuations to dielectric s

shown in Fig. 2. The lowest-order ter

$\chi^{(1)} = -g\chi_0^2 (\langle L^2 \rangle - \langle L \rangle^2) \propto \tau^{1-\alpha}$ , w

ponent describing the critical behavior

specific heat [6, 17]. The corresponding

MC  $\propto g\lambda \text{sign}(\tau) |\tau|^{-\alpha}$  ( $g, \lambda > 0$  for Y

itive for  $T > T_N$ , in disagreement with c

second-order fluctuational correction [see

$= \frac{2(g\chi_0 P_0)^2}{k_B T} \int d^3x [\langle L^2(\mathbf{x}) L^2(0) \rangle - \langle L^2 \rangle^2]$

MC anomaly  $\propto -\lambda \text{sign}(\tau) |\tau|^{-1-\alpha}$ , which

similar to that observed experimentally. H

term is only nonzero in a ferroelectric st

that

the

criti-

$\frac{C(0)}{T^2}$

valu-

i.e.

in

the



are

ce

the

a

e






FIG. 3: (Color online) The magnetic phase diagram of the model Eq.(1) for  $T_B^{(0)} > T_A^{(0)}$ . The lilac region is the  $B_2$  phase with some admixture of the  $A_2$  phase. The critical point (CP) separates the first-order transition (solid) line from the second-order transition (dashed) line.

lar  $ab$  layers [18]. These phases differ by orientation of the spins with respect to the crystallographic axes and spins in neighboring layers as well as by the ordering of rare earth spins [19, 20, 21, 22]. The magnetic phase diagram of  $\text{YbMnO}_3$  studied by a variety of different experimental techniques includes the low-field  $B_2$  phase (magnetic space group  $P6_3cm$ ) and the high-field  $A_2$ -phase (magnetic space group  $P6_3cm$ ) [18, 23]. The symmetry of the latter state allows for a net magnetization in the  $c$  direction (largely due to the rare earth spins and therefore small near the Mn spin-ordering temperature), which is why the  $A_2$  phase is stabilized by  $H\parallel c$ .

The competition between the  $A_2$  and  $B_2$  phases was discussed in Ref. [24] using the phenomenological free energy expansion in two order parameters:

$$f = \sum_{\gamma=A,B} \left[ \frac{\alpha_\gamma}{2} (T - T_\gamma^{(0)} + \lambda_\gamma H^2) L_\gamma^2 + \frac{b_\gamma}{4} L_\gamma^4 \right] + \frac{d}{2} L_A^2 L_B^2 - H \left( \phi L_A + \frac{\phi'}{3} L_A^3 + \frac{\phi''}{2} L_A L_B^2 \right) \quad (1)$$

where  $L_A(L_B)$  is the order parameter describing the  $A_2(B_2)$  phase and  $H$  is magnetic field along the  $c$  axis. The linear coupling of  $L_A$  to  $H$  corresponds to the spontaneous magnetization present in the  $A_2$  phase.

The typical phase diagram for  $T_B^{(0)} > T_A^{(0)}$  (when the  $B_2$  phase is energetically more favorable than the  $A_2$  phase at zero field) is shown in Fig. 3. Due to the linear coupling between  $H$  and  $L_A$ , the latter order parameter is nonzero for an arbitrarily weak magnetic field, so that for  $H \neq 0$  the transition occurs between the  $A_2$  phase and the  $B_2$  phase with some admixture of the  $A_2$  phase.

The MC for this model, shown in Fig. 4(c), is calculated by adding to the free energy Eq.(1) the terms describing the coupling of the magnetic order parameters




FIG. 4: (Color online) The temperature dependence of order parameters  $L_A$  (dashed blue line) and  $L_B$  (solid red line) near the second-order (a) and first-order (b) transitions. Panel (c) shows the anomaly in rescaled MC at the second-order transition temperature; the two curves calculated for different values of magnetic field are almost indistinguishable. The MC anomaly at the first-order transition is shown in panel (d).

to the in-plane electric polarization and the dielectric response of the nonmagnetic state,

$$\Delta f = \frac{P^2}{2} \left( \sum_{\gamma=A,B} g_\gamma L_\gamma^2 + g'_A L_A H \right) + \frac{P^2}{2\chi_0} - PE, \quad (2)$$

has the same shape as the one observed in  $\text{YbMnO}_3$  and, for weak fields, obeys the observed scaling. This behavior can be understood by noting that the main contribution to the magnetic field dependence of the dielectric susceptibility comes from  $L_A$ , which is linearly coupled to  $H$ . This field-induced order parameter grows as  $T$  approaches the  $T_N$  from above [see Fig. 4(a)], which gives rise to the ‘high-temperature’ negative MC tail, as  $\Delta\chi_e \propto -L_A^2$ . In the weak-field regime  $L_A \propto H$ , so that  $\frac{\chi_e(T,H) - \chi_e(T,0)}{H^2}$  is approximately field-independent, which explains the observed scaling [25].

As the magnetic field increases, the character of the transition in the two-parameter model changes: in low fields the transition is of second order (red dashed line in Fig. 3), while in high fields and low temperatures it becomes a first-order transition (blue line) [see also Figs. 4(a) and (b)]. The first- and second-order transition lines are separated by the critical point. This change in the nature of the transition is also clearly seen in the experiments by comparing the field-dependence of MC at low temperatures [see Fig. 5(a)] to that at high temperatures [see Fig. 1(a)]. At 2K the MC shows a distinct cusp at the first-order transition, which is well reproduced within our model [see Fig 4(d)]. In  $\text{YbMnO}_3$  the changes in the order of the transition are made more dramatic by the fact that at low temperatures and high mag-




FIG. 5: (Color online) (a) MC of  $YbMnO_3$  at  $T < T_N$  for  $E || ab$ -plane and  $H || c$ ; (b) Field dependence of magnetization at 2 and 5 K.

netic fields magnetic response is dominated by Yb spins, which below 3.8 K order ferrimagnetically [23]. This leads to a strong decrease of the critical magnetic field at low temperatures ( $H_c \sim 3$  T at 2 K) and gives rise to the sharp discontinuity in magnetization [see Fig. 5(b)] [26].

Finally, since the shape of the MC anomaly may be affected by the motion of antiferromagnetic domain walls separating different antiferromagnetic phases, we measured the frequency dependence of the dielectric constant. We found no frequency dependence in the interval from 1 kHz to 1 MHz, suggesting that the contribution of the domain walls in  $YbMnO_3$  is negligible.

To summarize, we observed a sharp anomaly in nonlinear magnetoelectric susceptibility of the hexagonal rare earth manganite  $YbMnO_3$  at the Néel temperature. We discussed theoretically possible sources of the anomaly and showed that it results from the competition between two antiferromagnetically ordered states of  $YbMnO_3$ , one of which has a small spontaneous magnetic moment. Even though this weakly ferromagnetic phase becomes the ground state only in rather high magnetic fields or at very low temperatures, its admixture to the non-ferromagnetic phase determines the shape of the MC anomaly along the whole critical line of magnetic phase transitions. The competition between different magnetic phases, some of which may have weak ferromagnetic moment is very common for frustrated magnets: similar phase diagrams were found for hexagonal  $HoMnO_3$ , which shows four competing states [18] and for  $Ni_3V_2O_8$  [27]. Thus many other systems should show an anomaly in nonlinear magnetoelectric response, although its shape,

which depends on parameters of the Landau free energy, may vary from material to material.

We thank G.R. Blake, G.Nenért and N. Mufti for useful discussions and J. Baas for technical help. The work of A.A.N. is supported by the NWO Breedtestrategie Program of the Material Science Center, RuG and by KNAW, Dutch Royal Academy of Sciences, through the SPIN program. This work is in part supported by the Stichting FOM (Fundamental Research on Matter) and in part by the EU STREP program MaCoMuFi under contract FP6-2004-NMP-TI-4 STRP 033221.

- 
- [1] T. Kimura, T. Goto, H. Shintani, K. Ishizaka, T. Arima, and Y. Tokura, *Nature (London)* **426**, 55 (2003).
  - [2] T. Goto, T. Kimura, G. Lawes, A.P. Ramirez, and Y. Tokura, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 257201 (2004).
  - [3] N. Hur *et al.*, *Nature* **429**, 392 (2004).
  - [4] For a review, see S.-W. Cheong and M. Mostovoy, *Nature Materials* **6**, 13 (2007).
  - [5] See, e.g., J. Burgoyne, M. Mayr, V. Martin-Mayor, A. Moreo, and E. Dagotto, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 277202 (2001) and references therein.
  - [6] T. Katsufuji *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **64**, 104419 (2001).
  - [7] B. B. van Aken, T. T. M. Palstra, A. Filippetti, and N. A. Spaldin, *Nature Mater.* **3**, 164 (2004).
  - [8] C. J. Fennie and K. M. Rabe, *Phys. Rev. B* **72**, 100103(R) (2005).
  - [9] U. Adem, A. A. Nugroho, A. Meetsma, and T.T.M. Palstra, *Phys. Rev. B* **75**, 014108, (2007).
  - [10] S. Lee *et al.*, *Nature* **451**, 805 (2008).
  - [11] E. F. Bertaut *et al.*, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **256**, 1958, (1963).
  - [12] J. Park *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **68**, 104426 (2003).
  - [13] B. Lorenz, A. P. Litvinchuk, M. M. Gospodinov, and C. W. Chu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 087204 (2004).
  - [14] Z. J. Huang, Y. Cao, Y. Y. Sun, Y. Y. Xue, and C. W. Chu, *Phys. Rev. B* **56**, 2623 (1997).
  - [15] H. Sugie, N. Iwata, and K. Kohn, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **71**, 1558 (2002).
  - [16] G. A. Smolenskii and I. E. Chupis, *Sov. Phys. Usp.* **25**, 475 (1982).
  - [17] G. Lawes, A.P. Ramirez, C.M. Varma, and M. A. Subramanian, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 257208 (2003).
  - [18] M. Fiebig *et al.*, *J. Appl. Phys.* **93**, 8194 (2003).
  - [19] T. Lonkai *et al.*, *Appl. Phys. A* **74**, S843 (2002).
  - [20] A. Muñoz *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **62**, 9498 (2000); *Chem. Mater.* **13**, 1497 (2001); *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **14**, 3285 (2002).
  - [21] M. Fiebig *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 5620 (2000).
  - [22] M. Fiebig *et al.*, *J. Appl. Phys.* **91**, 8867 (2002).
  - [23] F. Yen, C. dela Cruz, B. Lorenz, E. Galstyan, Y. Y. Sun, M. Gospodinov, and C.W., Chu, *J. Mater. Res.*, **22**, 2163, (2007).
  - [24] I. Munawar and S. H. Curnoe, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **18**, 9575 (2006).
  - [25] Another contribution to MC comes from an additional increase of  $L_B$  below  $T_N$  due to the suppression of  $L_A$ , which leads to the discontinuity and the sign change of MC at  $T_N$ . This contribution is also proportional to  $H^2$ .

- [26] D. Tomuta, PhD Thesis, Leiden University, (2003).
- [27] G. Lawes *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 247201 (2004).