

Multiband Superconductivity in Spin Density Wave Metals

J.-P. Ismer^{1,2}, Ilya Eremin^{1,2}, Enrico Rossi^{3,*}, Dirk K. Morr³, G. Blumberg⁴

¹Max-Planck-Institut für Physik komplexer Systeme, 01187 Dresden, Germany

²Institut für Mathematische Physik, TU Braunschweig, 38106 Braunschweig, Germany

³Department of Physics, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL 60607, USA

⁴Department of Physics and Astronomy, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, NJ 08854, USA

(Dated: November 4, 2018)

We study the emergence of multiband superconductivity with s - and d -wave symmetry on the background of spin density wave (SDW). We show that the SDW coherence factors renormalize the momentum dependence of the superconducting (SC) gap, yielding a SC state with an *unconventional* s -wave symmetry. Interband Cooper pair scattering stabilizes superconductivity in both symmetries. With increasing SDW order, the s -wave state is more strongly suppressed than the d -wave state. Our results are universally applicable to two-dimensional systems with a commensurate SDW.

PACS numbers: 74.72.-h, 75.40.Gb, 74.20.Rp, 74.20.Fg

Understanding the microscopic origin of thermodynamic phases with multiple order parameters (OP) is one of the central issues in condensed matter physics. This topic is particularly important for describing the complex phase diagram of many correlated metals such as the high- T_c cuprates, ferropnictides, and heavy fermion compounds, in which it was suggested that superconductivity coexists with a rich variety of other states, such as charge, spin, or orbital density wave states. Interestingly enough, in such coexistence phases, the presence of a density wave immediately leads to multiband superconductivity due to the folding of the electronic bands. The relative phase of the superconducting (SC) order parameters in multiband superconductivity was originally studied in Ref. [1], and has attracted significant interest recently in the context of MgB_2 [2] and the ferropnictides [3], in which in-phase and out-of-phase locking, respectively, of the OPs has been found.

The growing experimental evidence for the coexistence of superconductivity and a spin density wave (SDW) in the n -type (i.e., electron-doped) cuprates [4, 5, 6], some heavy fermion [7] and organic superconductors [8] raises the question of how phase-locking occurs in such systems. This question is of particular interest in the n -type cuprates due to a possible transition of the SC symmetry from d -wave to s -wave with increasing doping. However, there remains experimental disagreement regarding this transition. While some measurements are consistent with a transition from a SC $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave symmetry in the underdoped materials to either an s - or a $(d+is)$ -wave symmetry in the optimally and overdoped ones, other results suggest the existence of a $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave OP with higher harmonics for the entire doping range [4].

In this Letter, we study the emergence of two-band superconductivity with $d_{x^2-y^2}$ - or s -wave symmetry on the background of a commensurate SDW state with imperfect nesting, using the n -type cuprates as a particular example. We show that the SDW coherence factors renormalize the momentum dependence of the SC gap.

This yields an s -wave OP which is *unconventional*, in that it acquires a π -phase shift between the two bands, line nodes along the boundary of the reduced Brillouin zone (RBZ), and changes sign between momenta connected by the SDW ordering momentum \mathbf{Q} . In contrast, in the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave state, the OP is locked in-phase, with no *additional* line nodes. In both cases, superconductivity is stabilized by interband Cooper pair scattering. With increasing SDW OP, the *unconventional* s -wave state is suppressed more quickly than the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave state. In the latter case, the vanishing of T_c coincides approximately with the disappearance of the first of the two Fermi surfaces (FS). To demonstrate the generality of our results for other materials, we consider both n - and p -type doping, leading to different evolutions of the FS in the SDW state.

Our starting point for investigating the coexistence of superconductivity and SDW order is the Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} = & \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} - \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \sigma} U c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}\sigma} c_{\mathbf{k}'+\mathbf{Q}\sigma}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}'\sigma} \\ & + \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \sigma} V_{\mathbf{q}} c_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}\sigma}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}\sigma}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^\dagger$ ($c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}$) creates (annihilates) an electron with spin σ and momentum \mathbf{k} . We consider a two-dimensional system with the normal state tight-binding energy dispersion $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = -2t(\cos k_x + \cos k_y) + 4t' \cos k_x \cos k_y - 2t''(\cos 2k_x + \cos 2k_y) - \mu$ and hopping matrix elements $t = 250$ meV, $t'/t = 0.4$, and $t''/t = 0.1$. The chemical potentials $\mu/t = -0.32$ and $\mu/t = -1.09$ describe the slightly underdoped n - and p -type cuprates, respectively, and the corresponding FS in the paramagnetic state are shown in Figs. 1 (a) and (b). The second and third terms in Eq. (1) give rise to a commensurate SDW and superconductivity, respectively. While it is generally assumed that both phases emerge from the same underlying interaction, its renormalization due to vertex corrections gives rise to two different effective interactions, U and




FIG. 1: (color online) FS (green lines) for the n -type (a) and p -type (b) cuprates ($x = 0.12$) in the paramagnetic state. In the SDW state ($W_0 = 0.1$ eV), the α -band possesses electron-pockets (red) and the β -band hole pockets (blue). The RBZ is shown as a dashed line. The phase of the (a) *unconventional s* and (b) $d_{x^2-y^2}$ SC OPs are denoted by $+/-$. (c), (d) Angular dependence of the SC OP in the *unconventional s*-wave and $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave channels for n -type doping close to T_c .

V_q , assumed to be independent of each other.

In what follows, we study the coexistence phase in the limit $T_c \ll T_{SDW}$, and diagonalize the Hamiltonian in two steps. We first derive the electronic spectrum of the SDW state by decoupling the second term of Eq.(1) *via* a mean-field (MF) approximation and then diagonalize it together with the first term using an SDW Bogoliubov transformation, introducing new quasi-particle operators $\alpha_{\mathbf{k}}, \beta_{\mathbf{k}}$ for the two resulting bands with dispersions $E_{\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha,\beta} = \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pm} \pm \sqrt{(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^-)^2 + W_0^2}$. Here, $W_0 = U/2 \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \sigma} \langle c_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}, \sigma}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}, \sigma} \rangle \text{sgn} \sigma$ is the SDW order parameter with ordering momentum $\mathbf{Q} = (\pi, \pi)$, and $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pm} = (\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \pm \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}})/2$. Due to imperfect nesting the α band exhibits electron pockets around $(\pm\pi, 0)$ and $(0, \pm\pi)$ while the β band possesses hole-pockets around $(\pm\pi/2, \pm\pi/2)$, as shown in Figs. 1(a) and (b) for the n - and p -type cuprates, respectively. The size of these pockets decreases with increasing W_0 . At $W_0 = W_{cr1} \approx 0.19$ eV ($W_{cr1} \approx 0.23$ eV), the hole (electron) pockets disappear first for the n -type (p -type) cuprates, followed by the vanishing of the electron (hole) pockets at $W_{cr2} > W_{cr1}$, as shown by the evolution of the density of states (DOS) in Figs. 2(e) and (f). For $W > W_{cr2}$, the system is an antiferromagnetic insulator (AFI).

We next apply the SDW Bogoliubov transformation to the SC pairing interaction [third term in Eq.(1)], and subsequently performing a MF decoupling in the particle-particle channel, keeping only anomalous expectation


values of the form $\langle \alpha_{\mathbf{k}, \uparrow}^\dagger \alpha_{-\mathbf{k}, \downarrow}^\dagger \rangle$ and $\langle \beta_{\mathbf{k}, \uparrow}^\dagger \beta_{-\mathbf{k}, \downarrow}^\dagger \rangle$, and their complex conjugates. The resulting MF Hamiltonian can be diagonalized by two independent Bogoliubov transformations, yielding $\Omega_{\mathbf{k}}^\gamma = \sqrt{(E_{\mathbf{k}}^\gamma)^2 + (\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^\gamma)^2}$ ($\gamma = \alpha, \beta$) as the energy dispersion of the two bands. The SC gaps, $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha, \beta}$ are determined self-consistently from two coupled gap equations given by (at $T = 0$ K)

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^\alpha &= - \sum_{\mathbf{p} \in \text{RBZ}} \left[L_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}}^{\alpha\alpha} \frac{\Delta_{\mathbf{p}}^\alpha}{2\Omega_{\mathbf{p}}^\alpha} + L_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}}^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\Delta_{\mathbf{p}}^\beta}{2\Omega_{\mathbf{p}}^\beta} \right] \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^\beta &= - \sum_{\mathbf{p} \in \text{RBZ}} \left[L_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}}^{\beta\alpha} \frac{\Delta_{\mathbf{p}}^\alpha}{2\Omega_{\mathbf{p}}^\alpha} + L_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}}^{\beta\beta} \frac{\Delta_{\mathbf{p}}^\beta}{2\Omega_{\mathbf{p}}^\beta} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $L_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}}^{\alpha\alpha} = L_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}}^{\beta\beta} = (V_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}} F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}}^{u, v} - V_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{Q}} F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}}^{v, u})$, $L_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}}^{\alpha\beta} = L_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}}^{\beta\alpha} = (V_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}} N_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}}^{v, u} - V_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{Q}} N_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}}^{u, v})$ with $N_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}}^{x, y}, F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}}^{x, y} = u_{\mathbf{k}}^2 x_{\mathbf{p}}^2 \pm 2u_{\mathbf{k}} v_{\mathbf{k}} u_{\mathbf{p}} v_{\mathbf{p}} + v_{\mathbf{k}}^2 y_{\mathbf{p}}^2$, $x, y = u, v$, $u_{\mathbf{k}}^2, v_{\mathbf{k}}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 \pm \frac{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^-}{\sqrt{(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^-)^2 + W_0^2}} \right]$, and $u_{\mathbf{k}} v_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{W_0}{2\sqrt{(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^-)^2 + W_0^2}}$. The coupling of the OPs in the α and β bands results from momentum dependent interband Cooper pair scattering described by terms of the form $\langle \alpha_{\mathbf{k}, \uparrow}^\dagger \alpha_{-\mathbf{k}, \downarrow}^\dagger \rangle \beta_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}, \uparrow} \beta_{-\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}, \downarrow}$ in the MF Hamiltonian. Below, we use $V(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') = V_s$ and $V(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') = V_d \varphi_{\mathbf{k}} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}'}/4$ with $\varphi_{\mathbf{k}} = \cos k_x - \cos k_y$ as the pairing interactions in the s - and $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave channel, respectively. We checked that in the limit considered here, i.e., $\Delta_{sc} \ll W_0$, feedback effects of superconductivity on the SDW order are negligible, and moreover, pairing terms of the form $\langle \alpha_{\mathbf{k}, \uparrow}^\dagger \beta_{-\mathbf{k}, \downarrow}^\dagger \rangle$ are irrelevant due to the FS mismatch between the α and β bands.

We begin by discussing the form of the SC OP. In the s -wave case, the SDW coherence factors entering the gap equation, Eq.(2), can be factorized and one finds $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^\alpha = D_{\mathbf{k}}^\alpha \Delta_0^\alpha (u_{\mathbf{k}}^2 - v_{\mathbf{k}}^2)$ with $\Delta_0^\alpha = F^\alpha - F^\beta$, and $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^\beta$ follows *via* $\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta$. Here, $F^\gamma = V_s \sum_{\mathbf{p}} D_{\mathbf{p}}^\gamma (u_{\mathbf{p}}^2 - v_{\mathbf{p}}^2) \frac{\Delta_{\mathbf{p}}^\gamma}{\Omega_{\mathbf{p}}^\gamma} \tanh\left(\frac{\Omega_{\mathbf{p}}^\gamma}{2T}\right)$ with $\gamma = \alpha, \beta$. Moreover, $D_{\mathbf{k}}^\gamma$ is unity if $|E_{\mathbf{k}}^\gamma| \leq \hbar\omega_D$, and zero otherwise, with ω_D being the Debye frequency. The above form of the SC gap yields three important results. First, the s -wave gap is dressed by the SDW coherence factors, and hence acquires lines nodes along the RBZ boundary where $u_{\mathbf{k}}^2 - v_{\mathbf{k}}^2 = 0$. Second, there is a π -phase shift between the SC OP in the α - and β -bands, as well as within the same band between momenta connected by \mathbf{Q} , i.e., $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^\gamma = -\Delta_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}}^\gamma$. As a result, the s -wave symmetry of the SC OP is *unconventional*, as summarized in Fig.1(a). Third, while Δ_0^γ needs to be calculated self-consistently, its temperature dependence does not affect the momentum dependence of the SC OP.

In the d -wave case, the SDW coherence factors can again be factorized, and one finds from Eq.(2) $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^\gamma =$






FIG. 4: (color online) Schematic phase diagram of the n -type cuprates. The system is an antiferromagnetic metal with electron pockets for $W_{cr1} < W_0 < W_{cr2}$ (e-FS), and with electron and hole pockets for $W_0 < W_{cr1}$ (e+h-FS).

larger in the s -wave case, where it is determined by the Fermi velocity, than in the d -wave case, where it is set by the gap velocity [see Fig. 1 (c) and (d)]. As a result, the DOS in both cases scales linearly at small energies, however, with a much smaller slope in the s -wave than in the d -wave case. In thermodynamic measurements, it is therefore difficult to distinguish between the *unconventional* s -wave OP discussed above, and a conventional, constant s -wave OP. We stress that the inclusion of the SDW coherence factors in the gap equation is crucial in determining the W_0 -dependence of T_c , and momentum dependence of the SC OP. Our results therefore lead to new properties of the coexistence phase, not discussed in earlier studies [11, 12].

The synopsis of our results, applied to the n -type cuprates, is shown in form of a schematic phase diagram in Fig. 4. The system is an antiferromagnetic insulator for $W_0 > W_{cr2}$, and becomes metallic with the emergence of electron pockets in the α -band at $W_0 = W_{cr2}$. While single-band superconductivity may occur for $W_{cr1} < W_0 < W_{cr2}$, the interaction necessary to achieve any appreciable T_c is necessarily large rendering this possibility highly unlikely for both SC symmetries in real systems. However, the appearance of hole pockets in the β -band at W_{cr1} , allows for the emergence of two-band superconductivity with d -wave symmetry (blue curve). Upon further decreasing W_0 , the current experimental results suggest one of two scenarios. First, superconductivity with an s -wave symmetry remains the subdominant OP, implying $T_{c0}^s < T_{c0}^d$ even for $W_0 \rightarrow 0$ (red dashed curve). Second, if, as suggest by experiments, there is a transition of the SC symmetry from d - to s -wave (red solid curve), then this would naturally occur with decreasing W_0 if for $W_0 \rightarrow 0$ one has $T_{c0}^s > T_{c0}^d$. The experimentally observed transition in the n -type cuprates between a state with well defined nodes to a state with large gapped phase space is consistent with this second scenario. Moreover, the observation of pair-breaking peaks in electronic Raman scattering which occur at similar energies in both B_{1g} and B_{2g} channels [10], would also suggest similar gap magnitudes, consis-

tent with the form of the SC gap in the s -wave case (see Fig. 1 (c)). Clearly, further, in particular, phase sensitive experiments are required to clarify the SC symmetry over the entire doping range.

In conclusion, we have studied the emergence of multi-band superconductivity with s - and d -wave symmetries in the presence of an SDW state. In both cases, the momentum dependence of the SC OP is strongly renormalized by the SDW coherence factors. In the s -wave case, this leads to an *unconventional* OP which acquires line nodes along the boundary of the RBZ, and a π phase shift between the α and β bands. For both symmetries, inter-band Cooper pair scattering stabilizes the superconducting order. Moreover, with increasing W_0 , the SC state with s -wave symmetry is more strongly suppressed than that with d -wave symmetry. Our results are universally applicable to any (quasi-) two-dimensional system with commensurate SDW order in which the folding of the original BZ leads to separate FS pockets in both bands. Finally, we note that our results can be straightforwardly generalized to the coexistence of superconductivity with a commensurate charge or orbital density wave state [13].

We thank A.V. Chubukov, M. Vavilov, and P. Grigoriev for the fruitful discussions. This work is supported by the Volkswagen Foundation (I/82203), NSF-DMR (0645461), the RMES Program (N 2.1.1/2985) (I.E.), and by the U.S. Department of Energy under Award No. DE-FG02-05ER46225 (D.M.). D.M. and G.B. would like to acknowledge the hospitality of the MPI-PKS where the final stages of this manuscript were completed.

-
- [*] Current address: Condensed Matter Theory Center, Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA.
- [1] H. Suhl, B. T. Matthias, and L. R. Walker, Phys. Rev. Lett. **3**, 552 (1959); A. J. Leggett, Prog. Theor. Phys. **36**, 901 (1966).
- [2] G. Blumberg *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 227002 (2007).
- [3] I. I. Mazin, D. J. Singh, M. D. Johannes, and M. H. Du, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 057003 (2008).
- [4] N. P. Armitage, P. Fournier, and R. L. Greene, arXiv:0906.2931 and refs. therein.
- [5] P. Li, F.F. Balakirev, and R.L. Greene, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 047003 (2007); W. Yu, J.S. Higgins, P. Bach, and R.L. Greene, Phys. Rev. B **76**, 020503 (2007); Y. Dagan and R.L. Greene, Phys. Rev. B **76**, 024506 (2007).
- [6] H. Matsui *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 047005 (2005).
- [7] C. Pfleiderer, arXiv:0905.2625 (unpublished).
- [8] *The Physics of Organic Superconductors and Conductors*, A.G. Lebed (Ed.), Springer Series in Materials Science, Vol. 110, (2008).
- [9] J.R. Schrieffer, X.G. Wen, and S. C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B **39**, 11663 (1989).
- [10] M. M. Qazilbash *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **72**, 214510 (2005).
- [11] T. Das, R.S. Markiewicz, and A. Bansil, Phys. Rev. B **74**, 020506(R) (2006).

- [12] X.-Z. Yan, Q. Yuan, and C.S. Ting, Phys. Rev. B **74**, 214521 (2006). [13] J.-P. Ismer *et al.*, unpublished.