# Auto-correlation Functions and Quantum Fluctuations of the Transverse Ising Chain by the Quantum Transfer Matrix Method

Makoto INOUE

*Division of Science, Tokyo Denki University Hatoyama, Sitama, 350-0394, Japan*

#### **Abstract**

The Quantum Transfer Matrix method based on the Suzuki-Trotter formulation is extended to dynamical problems. The auto-correlation functions of the Transverse Ising chain are derived by this method. It is shown that the Trotter-directional correlation function is interpreted as a Matsubara's temperature Green function and that the autocorrelation function is given by analytic continuation of the Green function.

We propose the Trotter-directional correlation function is a new measure of the quantum fluctuation and show how it works well as a demonstration.

*Keywords:* Auto-correlation Function,Quantum Fluctuation, Temperature Green Function, Suzuki-Trotter Transformation, Quantum Transfer Matrix, Transverse Ising Chain

## **1. Introduction**

The Quantum Transfer Matrix(QTM) method is a so powerful tool to study thermodynamic properties of low-dimensional quantum systems. It was proposed by Suzuki [\[1](#page-15-0)] and was demonstrated by Suzuki and the present author [\[2](#page-15-1)] for the XY quantum spin chains. It was widely used to one-dimensional integrable quantum systems [\[3–](#page-15-2) [10\]](#page-16-0) and to non-integrable systems [\[11](#page-16-1)]. We extend this method to study a dynamical problem by using a Transverse Ising chain as an example in this paper.

The Suzuki-Trotter (ST) transformation maps a *d*-dimensional quantum system to a *d*+1-dimensional classical system adding an extra Trotter dimension [\[1,](#page-15-0) [11](#page-16-1)[–13](#page-16-2)]. The QTM  $\mathcal T$  transfers along to the real dimension as shown in fig[.1.](#page-2-0) The free energy of the relevant quantum system in the thermodynamic limit is given by the one maximum eigenvalue  $\lambda_{max}$  of  $\mathcal T$  as follows [\[2,](#page-15-1) [3\]](#page-15-2).

$$
-\beta f = \lim_{m \to \infty} \log \lambda_{max}, \qquad \text{where} \qquad \mathcal{T}|\psi_{max}\rangle_m = \lambda_{max}|\psi_{max}\rangle_m. \tag{1}
$$

Where we add a suffix *m* to indicate the finite Trotter number *m*. The thermal average of a physical quantity *Q* is expressed as with the normalized eigenvector  $\psi_{max}$  [\[14\]](#page-16-3)

$$
\langle Q \rangle = \lim_{m \to \infty} \langle \psi_{max} | Q | \psi_{max} \rangle_m. \tag{2}
$$

*Preprint submitted to Elsevier October 29, 2018*

Suzuki et. al. [\[14\]](#page-16-3) obtained a magnetization  $\langle \sigma^x \rangle$  and static real-directional correlations  $\langle \sigma_i^x \sigma_j^x \rangle$  of the present model.

The main idea to study auto-correlation functions is follows. Consider the Trotterdirectional correlation functions of the ST-transformed classical Ising spins in the extra dimension

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
\langle S_0 S_r \rangle_m = \langle \psi_{max} | S_0 S_r | \psi_{max} \rangle_m \tag{3}
$$

<span id="page-1-0"></span>where *r* is a Trotter-directional distance of two spins. This correlation function is also



<span id="page-2-0"></span>Figure 1: The original quantum spin (σ) chain and the ST-transformed two-dimensional Ising (*S* ) system. The QTM  $T$  transfers along to the real direction.

where

$$
\exp(-2\gamma'_m) = \tanh\frac{\gamma}{m}, \qquad A_m = \left(\frac{1}{2}\sinh\frac{2\gamma}{m}\right)^{1/2}.\tag{8}
$$

The system length *N* is assumed to be infinite. The periodic boundary condition is required for the Trotter direction. We can see that the vertical (horizontal) interaction  $\gamma'_m(\frac{K}{m})$  increases (decreases) as the temperature increases.

We apply the exact solution of the two-dimensional Ising model obtained by Schultz et. al.[\[25](#page-17-0)] using the transfer matrix method [\[26\]](#page-17-1) to the present model. The QTM  $\mathcal T$  is defined in a symmetric (and then hermitian) way as follows [\[2](#page-15-1), [14](#page-16-3)].

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\mathcal{T} = V_2^{1/2} V_1 V_2^{1/2} \quad \text{with}
$$
\n
$$
V_1 = \left(2 \sinh \frac{2K}{m}\right)^{m/2} \exp\left(K'_m \sum_j^m S_j^z\right), \quad V_2 = \exp(\gamma'_m \sum_j^m S_j^x S_{j+1}^x),
$$
\n
$$
\exp(-2K'_m) = \tanh \frac{K}{m}.
$$
\n(9)

Here the spin operators  $S^x$ ,  $S^z$  are used. The original quantum spin  $\sigma_i^z$  is mapped to the Ising spin  $S_{i,j}$  in eq.[\(7\)](#page-1-0) and is remapped to  $S^x$  in their formulation [\[25](#page-17-0)]. Then the correlation we want to evaluate is given by  $\langle S_0 S_r \rangle = \langle S_0^x S_r^x \rangle$  and it is a *z*-component correlation function of the original quantum chain as given in eq.[\(4\)](#page-1-1).

The maximum eigenvalue  $\lambda_{max}$  is given by [\[25\]](#page-17-0)

$$
\lambda_{max} = \left(2 \sinh \frac{2K}{m}\right)^{m/2} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{q} \varepsilon_{q}\right),\n\cosh \varepsilon_{q} = \coth \frac{2\gamma}{m} \coth \frac{2K}{m} - \csc \frac{2\gamma}{m} \csc \frac{2K}{m} \cos q,
$$

$$
q = \pm \frac{1}{m}, \pm \frac{3}{m}, \cdots, \pm \frac{m-1}{m},
$$
\n(10)

with the help of Jordan-Wigner, Fourier transformation and Bogoliubov diagonalization. The associated maximum eigenvector is a vacuum of fermions.

We note that the critical line of the ST-transformed two-dimensional Ising model in eq.[\(7\)](#page-1-0) is given by

$$
1 = \sinh \frac{2K}{m} \sinh 2\gamma'_m = \sinh \frac{2K}{m} / \sinh \frac{2\gamma}{m}
$$
 (11)

as the ordinary two-dimensional Ising model. This means that our system is critical when  $\gamma = K$  without the dependence of the Trotter number *m* [\[27](#page-17-2)]. When  $\gamma > K$  the system is disordered and when  $\gamma < K$  it is ordered [\[21,](#page-16-4) [24\]](#page-17-3).

## **3. Trotter-directional correlation functions**

The correlation function  $\langle S_0 S_r \rangle_m$  at a finite temperature is expressed as an  $r \times r$ Toeplitz determinant [\[23](#page-16-5)[–25\]](#page-17-0).

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\langle S_0 S_r \rangle_m = \langle \psi_{max} | S_0^x S_r^x | \psi_{max} \rangle_m
$$
  
= 
$$
\begin{vmatrix} g_0 & g_{-1} & g_{-2} & g_{1-r} \\ g_1 & g_0 & g_{-1} & \cdots & g_{2-r} \\ g_2 & g_1 & g_0 & g_{3-r} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_{r-1} & g_{r-2} & g_{r-3} & \cdots & g_0 \end{vmatrix}
$$
 (12)

It becomes zz-correlation function of the original quantum Transverse Ising chain :  $\langle \sigma^z(\tau) \sigma^z \rangle = \lim_{m \to \infty} \langle S_0 S_r \rangle_m$ . The matrix element *g<sub>k</sub>* is an expectation value of two fermions apart  $k + 1$  position and it is denoted as  $a_{ij}$  in the reference [\[25\]](#page-17-0).

$$
g_{\pm k} = \frac{(-1)^k}{m} \sum_{q} e^{-ikq} \left[ \frac{1 - e^{iq} e^{-2(\gamma + K)/m}}{1 - e^{-iq} e^{-2(\gamma + K)/m}} \frac{1 - e^{-iq} e^{2(\gamma - K)/m}}{1 - e^{iq} e^{2(\gamma - K)/m}} \right]^{1/2}
$$

$$
= \frac{(-1)^k}{m} \sum_{q>0} \frac{2 \cosh(2K/m) \cos kq - e^{2\gamma/m} \cos(k+1)q - e^{-2\gamma/m} \cos(k-1)q}{\left[ (\cosh(2\gamma/m) \cosh(2K/m) - \cos q)^2 - (\sinh(2\gamma/m) \sinh(2K/m))^2 \right]^{1/2}}
$$
(13)

for  $K > \gamma$ . With the help of an integral formula

$$
\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{1}{a + b \cos \theta} d\theta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2 - b^2}} \quad (a > b),
$$
 (14)

 $g_{\pm k}$  becomes

$$
g_{\pm k} = \frac{(-1)^k}{m\pi} \sum_{q>0} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{2\cosh(2K/m)\cos kq - e^{2\gamma/m}\cos(k+1)q - e^{-2\gamma/m}\cos(k-1)q}{\cosh(2\gamma/m)\cosh(2K/m) + \sinh(2\gamma/m)\sinh(2K/m)\cos\theta - \cos q} d\theta.
$$
\n(15)

The integrand can be simplified by using formulae of trigonometric functions and  $\sum_{q>0}$  cos *nq* = 0(*n*  $\neq$  0). With the following *s* and *s'* defined as

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
\cosh s = \cosh \frac{2\gamma}{m} \cosh \frac{2K}{m} + \sinh \frac{2\gamma}{m} \sinh \frac{2K}{m} \cos \theta,
$$
  
\n
$$
s' \sinh s = \sinh \frac{2\gamma}{m} \cosh \frac{2K}{m} + \cosh \frac{2\gamma}{m} \sinh \frac{2K}{m} \cos \theta,
$$
 (16)

the integrand becomes

$$
m\sinh\frac{2\gamma}{m}\left(s'\sinh ks + \cosh ks\right) + \sum_{q>0} \frac{2\sinh(2\gamma/m)}{\cos q - \cosh s}\sinh s\left(s'\cosh ks + \sinh ks\right)
$$

$$
= m\frac{\sinh(2\gamma/m)}{\cosh(ms/2)}\left(-s'\sinh(\frac{m}{2} - k)s + \cosh(\frac{m}{2} - k)s\right).
$$
(17)

Here we have used the following formula

$$
\sum_{q>0} \frac{1}{\cos q - \cosh s} = -\frac{m \tanh(m s/2)}{\sinh s}.
$$
 (18)

Thus we have the matrix elements

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
g_{\pm k} = (-1)^{k-1} \frac{\sinh(2\gamma/m)}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{1}{\cosh(m s/2)} \left[ s' \sinh(\frac{m}{2} - k) s + \cosh(\frac{m}{2} - k) s \right] d\theta, (k \ge 1)
$$
  

$$
g_0 = \cosh \frac{2\gamma}{m} - \frac{\sinh(2\gamma/m)}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} s' \tanh \frac{ms}{2} d\theta.
$$
 (19)

These are valid for the both cases of  $\gamma \geq K$  and  $\gamma \leq K$ . We note here that  $g_k$  satisfies

$$
g_k(\gamma) = g_{-k}(-\gamma), \qquad g_{m-k}(\gamma) = (-1)^{m-1} g_{-k}(\gamma) \quad (k \neq 0).
$$
 (20)

We can easily check that  $\langle S_0 S_m \rangle_m = \langle S_0 S_0 \rangle_m = 1$  is fulfilled.

The magnetization  $\langle \sigma^x \rangle$  of the original quantum spin chain is related to the nearest neighbor correlation function  $\langle S_0 S_1 \rangle_m = g_0$  as follows [\[14](#page-16-3)].

$$
\langle \sigma^x \rangle = \lim_{m \to \infty} \coth \frac{2\gamma}{m} - g_0 / \sinh \frac{2\gamma}{m} = \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} s' \tanh \frac{ms}{2} \, d\theta. \tag{21}
$$

For large *m*, *s* and *s* ′ in eq.[\(16\)](#page-4-0) becomes

$$
s \simeq \frac{2}{m} \sqrt{\gamma^2 + K^2 + 2\gamma K \cos \theta}, \qquad s' \simeq \frac{\gamma + K \cos \theta}{\sqrt{\gamma^2 + K^2 + 2\gamma K \cos \theta}}, \tag{22}
$$

and thus we reproduce the exact solution.

The diagonal correlation function is expressed as a four-body Ising spins correlation as follows. Using a Ising spin state  $|S\rangle$  and its completeness  $\sum_{\{S\}} |S\rangle\langle S| = 1$ , we obtain

$$
\langle \sigma^x(\tau) \sigma^x \rangle = \lim_{m \to \infty} \sum_{\{S, S', S'', S'''\}} \langle S | e^{-\beta H} e^{\tau H} | S' \rangle \langle S' | e^{2\gamma_m' S' S''} | S'' \rangle \langle S'' | e^{\tau H} | S''' \rangle \langle S''' | e^{2\gamma_m' S' S''} | S \rangle / Z_m
$$

$$
= \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{\sinh^2(2\gamma/m)} \Big\{ \Big( \cosh \frac{2\gamma}{m} - S_{r+1} S_r \Big) \Big( \cosh \frac{2\gamma}{m} - S_1 S_0 \Big) \Big\}_m. \tag{23}
$$

Here we have used an identity,

$$
\langle S|\sigma^x e^{\gamma\sigma^x/m}|S'\rangle = e^{-2\gamma'_m SS'} \langle S|e^{\gamma\sigma^x/m}|S'\rangle.
$$
 (24)

The 4-body correlation of Ising spins on the same Trotter axis is expressed by *g<sup>k</sup>* as

$$
\langle S_0 S_1 S_r S_{r+1} \rangle_m = g_0^2 - g_r g_{-r}.
$$
 (25)

Thus we have

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
\langle \sigma^x(\tau) \sigma^x \rangle = \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{\sinh^2(2\gamma/m)} \Big( \cosh^2 \frac{2\gamma}{m} - 2g_0^2 \cosh \frac{2\gamma}{m} + (g_0^2 - g_r g_{-r}) \Big)
$$
  
=  $\langle \sigma^x \rangle^2 - \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{\sinh^2(2\gamma/m)} g_r g_{-r}.$  (26)

Similarly, the *yy*-correlation function is given by

$$
\langle \sigma^y(\tau)\sigma^y \rangle = \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{\sinh^2(2\gamma/m)} \Big\langle (-iS_r) \Big( \cosh\frac{2\gamma}{m} - S_{r+1}S_r \Big) (-iS_0) \Big( \cosh\frac{2\gamma}{m} - S_1S_0 \Big) \Big\rangle_m
$$
  
= 
$$
\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{-1}{\sinh^2(2\gamma/m)} \Big[ (\cosh^2\frac{2\gamma}{m} + 1) \langle S_0S_r \rangle_m - \cosh\frac{2\gamma}{m} \Big( \langle S_0S_{r+1} \rangle_m + \langle S_1S_r \rangle_m \Big) \Big]
$$
(27)

and which satisfies the following identity [\[28\]](#page-17-4) for the present model at the limit  $m \to \infty$ .

$$
\frac{d^2}{d\tau^2} \langle \sigma^z(\tau) \sigma^z \rangle = \Gamma^2 \langle \sigma^y(\tau) \sigma^y \rangle.
$$
 (28)

## **4. Evaluation of the**  $\langle S_0 S_r \rangle_m$  correlation functions

We study the *r* and the temperature dependencies of the correlation functions  $\langle S_0 S_r \rangle_m$ in this section. We cannot apply Szegö's theorem [\[29\]](#page-17-5) to our Toeplitz determinant in eq.[\(12\)](#page-3-0). If we take  $m \to \infty$  for a fixed *r*, all  $g_k$  becomes 0 except  $g_0 \to 1$  and thus we always have a wrong result  $\langle S_0 S_r \rangle_m = 1$ . We need to evaluate the determinant with a finite *m* and then take  $m \to \infty$  limit at final to get a correct result of the original quantum chain [\[2\]](#page-15-1).

Define  $\delta = r/m$  to indicate the normalized distance of two spins. We assume m and *r* are very large but finite. We take a perturbative approach for the small  $\delta$  in the first subsection. We do numerical computation for the general  $\delta$  in the successive subsections.

#### *4.1. Analytic approach for the small* δ

We calculate the determinant for the small  $\delta$  up to the second order analytically. Expand the matrix elements  $g_k$  up to  $k^2$  order,

$$
g_{\pm k} \simeq (-1)^k \sinh \frac{2\gamma}{m} \Big[ \pm 1 - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} s' \tanh \frac{ms}{2} \, d\theta
$$
  
 
$$
+ k \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} s(s' \mp \tanh \frac{ms}{2}) \, d\theta + \frac{k^2}{2} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} s^2 (\pm 1 - s' \tanh \frac{ms}{2} \, d\theta \Big]. \tag{29}
$$

In general the determinant of a Toeplitz matrix whose elements has up to  $k^2$  dependence can be obtained with the help of the standard matrix procedure and is given in Appendix A.

After tedious but straightforward calculation we have

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
\langle S_0 S_r \rangle_m = 1 + c_1(m)\delta + c_2(m)\delta^2 + O(\delta^3)
$$
  
with 
$$
c_1(m) = -2\gamma I_0 - \frac{4\gamma}{3m^2} \Big( 2\gamma^2 I_0 - 2\gamma I_1 + I_3 \Big) + O(m^{-4}),
$$

$$
c_2(m) = 2\gamma^2 - \frac{4\gamma^2}{3m^2} \Big( I_1^2 - I_0 I_2 + K^2 \Big) + O(m^{-4}).
$$
 (30)

Where integrals are defined as

$$
I_0 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\gamma + K \cos \theta}{\sqrt{\gamma^2 + K^2 + 2\gamma K \cos \theta}} \tanh \sqrt{\gamma^2 + K^2 + 2\gamma K \cos \theta} \, d\theta = \langle \sigma^x \rangle,
$$
  
\n
$$
I_1 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \sqrt{\gamma^2 + K^2 + 2\gamma K \cos \theta} \tanh \sqrt{\gamma^2 + K^2 + 2\gamma K \cos \theta} \, d\theta,
$$
  
\n
$$
I_2 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} (\gamma + K \cos \theta) \sqrt{\gamma^2 + K^2 + 2\gamma K \cos \theta} \tanh \sqrt{\gamma^2 + K^2 + 2\gamma K \cos \theta} \, d\theta,
$$
  
\n
$$
I_3 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \left( \frac{\gamma K^2 (\gamma^2 + 2K^2 + 3\gamma K \cos \theta) \sin^2 \theta}{\sqrt{\gamma^2 + K^2 + 2\gamma K \cos \theta^3}} \tanh \sqrt{\gamma^2 + K^2 + 2\gamma K \cos \theta} \right) \cdot \frac{\gamma^2 K^2 (\gamma + K \cos \theta) \sin^2 \theta}{\gamma^2 K^2 (\gamma + K \cos \theta) \sin^2 \theta} \, d\theta.
$$
 (31)

The first integral  $I_0$  is nothing but the magnetization  $\langle \sigma^x \rangle = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma}(\beta f)$  of the original quantum chain. It takes a finite value between 0 and 1 depending on the ratio *K*/γ in the low temperature region and it becomes  $\gamma$  at the high temperature limit.

We can check easily that the result eq.[\(30\)](#page-6-0) agrees with a perturbative calculation of the temperature Green function for a small  $\tau = \beta \delta$ ,

$$
\langle \sigma^z(\tau) \sigma^z \rangle = \left\langle \left( \sigma^z + [\mathcal{H}, \sigma^z] \tau + \frac{1}{2} [\mathcal{H}, [\mathcal{H}, \sigma^z]] \tau^2 + \cdots \right) \sigma^z \right\rangle = 1 - 2\Gamma \langle \sigma^x \rangle \tau + 2\Gamma^2 \tau^2 + \cdots
$$
\n(32)

*4.2. Numeric evaluation for*  $K/\gamma = 0.7$  *in the disordered region.* 

To study the behavior of our correlation functions for the whole range of the parameters  $\delta$  and the temperature we perform a direct numerical computation of the integrals of eq.[\(19\)](#page-4-1) and the determinant of eq.[\(12\)](#page-3-0). The data are taken for  $K/\gamma$  = 0.7, 1.0, 1.3, 2.0,  $m = 8 \sim 1024$  and  $\gamma = 0.1 \sim 64$  while  $\gamma/m < 1$ . The distance *r* varies  $r = 1, 2, 3, \cdots, m/2, m/2 + 1$ . Due to the periodicity for the Trotter direction, our correlation function has the same value at *r* and at  $m - r$ . It is a good test for our numeric computation whether the function has the same value at  $r = m/2 - 1$  and  $r = m/2 + 1$ or not. Our data has 9 digits accuracy even in the worst case.

Figure [2](#page-7-0) is the  $\delta$  dependence of the correlation function for the high temperature *K* = 0.7 and  $\gamma$  = 1. We omit the data for  $\delta$  > 1/2. It takes the lowest value at  $\delta$  = 1/2.



<span id="page-7-0"></span>Figure 2:  $\langle S_0 S_r \rangle_m$  versus  $\delta = r/m$  at  $K = 0.7$  and  $\gamma = 1.0$  for various  $m = 8 \sim 1024$ . The fitting curve for  $m = 1024$  is also drawn.

Its *m*-dependence is very week as was shown in the analytic evaluation in eq.[\(30\)](#page-6-0)  $(m^{-2})$ dependence) so that we can not distinguish each curves of the different *m* in this figure.

We adopt the following two parameters ( $b$  and  $c$ ) fitting curve to analyze the  $\delta$ dependence,

$$
f_H(\delta) = 1 - \left(\cosh(c/2) - \cosh(c(1/2 - \delta))\right) / \cosh b \tag{33}
$$

which satisfies  $f_H(0) = 1$ . This curve is exact for  $K = 0$  as shown in Appendix B.

<span id="page-7-2"></span>
$$
\langle S_0 S_r \rangle_m = \cosh(\gamma(1 - 2\delta)) / \cosh \gamma. \qquad (c = 2\gamma, \ b = \gamma) \tag{34}
$$

The fitting gives excellently good agreement to the numerical data as shown in fig[.2.](#page-7-0)

At a low temperature the correlation function shows exponential decay as shown in fig[.3.](#page-8-0) We use a new fitting curve which does not satisfy  $f_L(0) = 1$  any more to estimate the main term.

$$
f_L(\delta) = \cosh(c(1/2 - \delta)) / \cosh b. \tag{35}
$$

The results are

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
\cosh(21.2(1/2 - \delta)) / \cosh 11.8 \quad \text{for} \quad \gamma = 32,
$$
  
\n
$$
\cosh(40.6(1/2 - \delta)) / \cosh 21.8 \quad \text{for} \quad \gamma = 64,
$$
 (36)

and are drawn in fig. [3.](#page-8-0) The values of *b* and *c* for  $\gamma = 64$  are about twice larger than those for  $\gamma = 32$ , respectively.

To study the temperature dependence we plot the data for  $\delta = 1/2$  in fig[.4.](#page-8-1) The exponential decay for the  $\gamma$  is clearly shown. By fitting the exponential function to the data of  $m = 32, 128, 512$ , we estimate the gradient approximately

$$
\exp(-0.32\gamma). \tag{37}
$$



<span id="page-8-0"></span>Figure 3: Semi-log plot of correlation functions versus  $\delta$  for  $\gamma = 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64$  from top to bottom.  $K/\gamma = 0.7$  and  $m = 512$ . Two thin lines are fitting curves of eq.[\(36\)](#page-7-1).



<span id="page-8-1"></span>Figure 4: Semi-log plot of the correlation function at  $δ = 1/2$  versus γ.  $K/γ = 0.7$ .  $m = 32, 128, 512$ . Lines are fitting curves shown in the legend.

<span id="page-9-1"></span><span id="page-9-0"></span>



Figure 6: Log-log plot versus  $\gamma$  for various position *r*. The line is a fitting curve for  $r = 512$  given in eq.[\(39\)](#page-9-0).



<span id="page-10-0"></span>Figure 7: Normal plot of *m* = 512 for the several temperatures.



Figure 8: Saturation values versus  $m^{-1}$  for  $K/\gamma = 1.3$ .  $\gamma = 64 \sim 32$  from top to bottom.  $m =$ 224, 256, 320, 384, 448, 512, 640, 768, 896, 1024. Fitting curves are quadratic equations of 1/*m*.

and 0.79912 for  $\gamma = 64$ . Thus we conclude the saturation value for  $K/\gamma = 1.3$  at the zero temperature is about 0.799. Additionally, when  $K/\gamma = 2.0$  the saturation value is estimated about 0.930.

These values are equal to the square of the order parameter  $\langle \sigma^z \rangle^2 = (1 - \gamma/K)^{1/4}$  at *T* = 0 [\[21](#page-16-4), [22](#page-16-6), [24](#page-17-3)].

When  $\gamma = 0$  ( $K/\gamma = \infty$ ), the value is  $\langle S_0 S_r \rangle_m = 1$  for any *r* since  $g_{\pm k} = 0$  and  $g_0 = 1$ .

#### **5. Auto correlation functions**

We assume that the analytic continuation from the temperature Green function to the time-dependent auto-correlation function is achieved by just replacing  $\tau$  with the imaginary time *it* in the present case. This assumption is confirmed by deriving the same result as the known results [\[16,](#page-16-7) [18](#page-16-8)[–22\]](#page-16-6).

## *5.1.*  $\langle \sigma^z(t) \sigma^z \rangle$

When  $t \approx 0$ , replacing  $\delta \rightarrow \tau/\beta \rightarrow it/\beta$  in eq.[\(30\)](#page-6-0) for  $m = \infty$ ,

$$
\langle \sigma^z(t)\sigma^z \rangle = \lim_{m \to \infty} \langle S_0 S_r \rangle_m = 1 - 2i\Gamma \langle \sigma^x \rangle t - 2\Gamma^2 t^2 + O(t^3)
$$
(40)

This result agrees to Brandt and Jacoby[\[18\]](#page-16-8) and Perk et.al.[\[19\]](#page-16-9) with rescaling *t* by *t*/2 due to the definition of the Hamiltonian.

We adopt the following functions for the general *t* with many fitting parameters to fit whole the range  $0 \le \delta \le 1/2$  of the numerical data shown in figs. 3,[5](#page-9-1) and [7.](#page-10-0) Each fitting function is chosen to have the main exponential or power decay terms found in



<span id="page-12-0"></span>Figure 9: Time dependence of the auto-correlation functions  $\langle \sigma^z(t) \sigma^z \rangle$ . Solid lines are real part and dotted lines are imaginary part of the functions. The graph of  $\gamma = 10$ ,  $K = 7$  should be compared to figs. 3 and 4 of Perk and Au-Yang [\[22](#page-16-6)], and the graph of  $\gamma = 10$ ,  $K = 13$  to figs. 6 and 7 of it.

§4.  $\delta$  is replaced to  $\tau = \beta \delta$  ( $\beta = \gamma$ ). The used data are for  $m = 512$  and  $\gamma = 10$ . The results are

$$
0.028 + 0.48e^{-2.7\tau} + 0.64e^{-0.74\tau} + \frac{3.1e^{-0.44\tau}}{\tau - 20} \qquad \text{for } \gamma = 10, K = 7,\tag{41}
$$

$$
-2.9 + 8.3(1 - 1.0e^{0.041\tau})\tau^{-1/4} + 0.30e^{-2.7\tau} + 3.7e^{0.048\tau} \qquad \text{for } \gamma = K = 10, \quad (42)
$$

$$
0.85 + \frac{0.088e^{-2.2\tau}}{0.44 + \tau} - \frac{270e^{0.032\tau}}{(70 + \tau)^2} + \frac{4700e^{-2.5\tau}}{(400 + \tau)^3}
$$
 for  $\gamma = 10, K = 13$  (43)

and are shown in figs[.9](#page-12-0) after replacing  $\tau$  to *it*. These shows almost the same characteristic behavior obtained by many authors [\[18](#page-16-8), [20](#page-16-10)[–22\]](#page-16-6) such that a fast+slow oscillating decay around zero for  $\gamma > K$ , a simple oscillating decay for  $\gamma = K$  and a saturation to a non-zero value with a oscillation for  $\gamma < K$ . It is, as is well known, not easy to get accurate results by an analytic continuation from numerical data. We need to consider *m* dependence to get more accurate result as has done in §4 or need a more sophisticated method. It is instructive that the Trotter number  $m = 512$  is large enough to estimate the leading singularity as shown in §4, however, it is small to estimate the whole range of the time dependence of the auto-correlations quantitatively. The present result is an example obtained by a simple extrapolation.

*5.2.*  $\langle \sigma^x(t) \sigma^x \rangle$ 

Substitute  $g_r$  and  $\tau = it = \beta r/m$  into eq.[\(26\)](#page-5-0) and take the limit  $m \to \infty$  we have

$$
\langle \sigma^x(t)\sigma^x \rangle = \langle \sigma^x \rangle^2 - \left[ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\Gamma + J \cos \theta}{w} \Big( \tanh \beta w \cosh(2iwt) - \sinh(2iwt) \Big) d\theta \right]^2
$$

$$
+ \left[ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \Big( \cosh(2iwt) - \tanh \beta w \sinh(2iwt) \Big) d\theta \right]^2 \tag{44}
$$

for a finite temperature where we have abbreviated  $w = \sqrt{\Gamma^2 + J^2 + 2\Gamma J \cos \theta}$ . Thus we have obtained the Niemeijer's exact result [\[16](#page-16-7), [17](#page-16-11), [20](#page-16-10)] by extending the QTM method.

#### **6. Summary and Discussions**

We have studied the Trotter-directional correlation function which is interpreted as a Matsubara's temperature Green function by the Quantum Transfer Matrix method.

By applying analytic continuation to the correlation function we examined the auto-correlation functions  $\langle \sigma^z(t) \sigma^z \rangle$  and  $\langle \sigma^x(t) \sigma^x \rangle$ . The numerical result for the *zz*correlation caught the characteristic behavior of the time dependence of them. We have re-derived the *xx*-correlation exactly.

Our formulation are based on the ST-transformation and thus β/*m* should be small enough to get a meaningful result of the relevant quantum systems. In practice we use a discrete  $\tau = \beta \delta = \beta r/m$  to estimate the continuous  $\tau$  or *t* dependence of autocorrelations. Thus we need a large *m* to get more accurate results in numerical calculation.

We have demonstrated that the Trotter-directional correlation function is a useful tool to measure the quantum fluctuations. It has the same value of the square of the order parameter  $\langle \sigma^z \rangle^2$  at  $T = 0$ . The shrinkage of a spin length which is given as the order parameter is often used as a measure of the quantum fluctuation. While the order parameter  $\langle \sigma^z \rangle$  is zero for  $T > 0$  for the present one-dimensional system and then it can not be used as a measure, our correlation has a finite value which varies from  $\langle \sigma^z \rangle^2$  (*T* = 0) to 1 (*T* = ∞). When  $\gamma$  = 0 which means no quantumness (1 dimensional classical Ising model) , our correlation is equal to one and thus indicates no quantum fluctuation correctly. The square of the magnetization  $\langle \sigma^z \rangle^2 \simeq \langle \sigma_0 \sigma_R \rangle$  ( $R \to \infty$ ) is considered as a projection to an another spin in distance *R*. Our correlation  $\langle \sigma_i^z(\tau) \sigma_i^z \rangle$ is a projection of itself and also it shows how the fluctuation increases as a function of "time" $(\tau)$ . Thus our correlation function and the order parameter are complemental measures for the quantum fluctuation.

We emphasize that the Trotter-directional correlation function can be defined for any ST-transformed classical systems mapped from quantum systems. What we should observe is the classical Ising spins {*S* } and thus we can easily done by the Quantum Monte Carlo simulation or others. By using many Ising spins which are on different stacked layers or different real positions, we can study general many temperature/time Green functions.

Finally we mention Bethe-Ansatz systems. The maximum eigenvalue and the associated eigenvector of the Heisenberg chain are well known [\[3,](#page-15-2) [6](#page-16-12), [10\]](#page-16-0) so that the application our method to them is a future problem.

## *Appendix A: Determinant of a Toeplitz Matrix*

The determinant of the  $r \times r$  Toeplitz matrix with the elements

$$
M_{i,j} = \begin{cases} a + (i - j)b + (i - j)^2 c & : 1 \le j < i \le r \\ X_0 & : 1 \le i = j \le r \\ d + (j - i)e + (j - i)^2 f & : 1 \le i < j \le r \end{cases}
$$
(45)

is expressed as follows.

$$
det M = \left(\frac{c}{c-f}\right)^3 (A_0)^r - \left(\frac{f}{c-f}\right)^3 (\overline{A_0})^r
$$
  
\n
$$
-\frac{(A_0)^{r-1}}{(c-f)^3} \Biggl[ \Biggl\{ -6c^2 f A_0 p(r+1) - \overline{z_3} p(r) + \overline{z_2} p(r-1) \Biggr\} / 2
$$
  
\n
$$
-rc \Biggl\{ (\overline{z_1} + 4cf(c - f)) p(r) - \overline{z_1} p(r - 1) \Biggr\}
$$
  
\n
$$
+r^2 c^2 f(c - f) \Biggl\{ p(r) - p(r - 1) \Biggr\} \Biggr]
$$
  
\n
$$
-\frac{(A_0)^{r-2}}{(c - f)^3} \Biggl[ \Biggl\{ 6cf^2 A_0^2 q(r+1) + A_0 z_3 q(r) - \overline{A_0} z_2 q(r-1) \Biggr\} / 2
$$
  
\n
$$
+ rf \Biggl\{ A_0 (z_1 - 4cf(c - f)) q(r) - \overline{A_0} z_1 q(r - 1) \Biggr\}
$$
  
\n
$$
+r^2 cf^2 (c - f) \Biggl\{ A_0 q(r) - \overline{A_0} q(r - 1) \Biggr\} \Biggr].
$$
 (46)

Here

$$
z_1 = c^2 e - f^2 b + 2bcf + 2cf(c - f),
$$
  
\n
$$
z_2 = c^2 e^2 + 2c(-cd + (b + c)e)f + (b^2 + 4bc + 2c(2a - 3X_0 + c + 3d))f^2 - 2(a + b + c)f^3,
$$
  
\n
$$
z_3 = z_2 - 4f z_1 + 2cf^2(3a - 3X_0 + 3b + 3e + c - f),
$$
  
\n
$$
A_0 = X_0 - d, \qquad A_1 = -3X_0 + a + b + c + 2d + e - f.
$$
\n(47)

The symbol overline  $\overline{z}$  means an exchange  $(a, b, c) \leftrightarrow (d, e, f)$  of *z*. (transpose of the matrix) And

$$
p(k) = \frac{1}{(\alpha - \beta)(\beta - \gamma)(\gamma - \alpha)} \Big[ \alpha^{k+1}(\beta - \gamma) + \beta^{k+1}(\gamma - \alpha) + \gamma^{k+1}(\alpha - \beta) \Big],
$$
  
\n
$$
q(k) = \frac{1}{(\alpha - \beta)(\beta - \gamma)(\gamma - \alpha)} \Big[ (\alpha \beta)^k (\alpha - \beta) + (\beta \gamma)^k (\beta - \gamma) + (\alpha \gamma)^k (\gamma - \alpha) \Big],
$$
  
\n
$$
\overline{p(k)} = \Big( A_0 / \overline{A_0} \Big)^{k-1} q(k).
$$
\n(48)

 $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  are roots of  $A_0 x^3 + A_1 x^2 - \overline{A_1} x - \overline{A_0} = 0$ . Multiply  $(-1)^i \times (-1)^{j-1} = (-1)^{i-j-1}$  to  $M_{i,j}$  to suite our matrix  $M'$  with elements:

$$
M'_{i,j} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{i-j-1} \Big\{ a + (i-j)b + (i-j)^2 c \Big\} & : 1 \le j < i \le r \\ -X_0 & : 1 \le i = j \le r \\ (-1)^{j-i-1} \Big\{ d + (j-i)e + (j-i)^2 f \Big\} & : 1 \le i < j \le r \end{cases} \tag{49}
$$

The relation *M* and *M*′ is

$$
\det M' = (-1)^r \det M. \tag{50}
$$

*Appendix B:*  $\langle S_0 S_r \rangle_m$  *for K* = 0 *(derivation of Eq.*[\(34\)](#page-7-2))

When  $K = 0$ , the system reduces to a one-dimensional Ising chain for the Trotter direction with a spin interaction  $\gamma'_m$ . The correlation function is simply given by

<span id="page-15-3"></span>
$$
\langle S_0 S_r \rangle_m = \frac{\tanh^r \gamma'_m + \tanh^{m-r} \gamma'_m}{1 + \tanh^m \gamma'_m} = \frac{\cosh(\gamma(1 - 2\delta))}{\cosh \gamma} \tag{51}
$$

under the periodic boundary condition with using eq.[\(9\)](#page-2-1).

Alternatively, we can derive this result from eq.[\(12\)](#page-3-0). The *s* and *s* ′ of eq.[\(16\)](#page-4-0) are now

$$
s = \frac{2\gamma}{m}, \quad s' = 1. \tag{52}
$$

The elements  $g_k$  are simplified as

$$
g_{\pm k} = (-1)^{k-1} \frac{\sinh(2\gamma/m)}{\cosh \gamma} \Big[ \mp \exp\Big(\mp (1 - \frac{2k}{m})\Big) \gamma \Big],
$$
  
 
$$
g_0 = \cosh \frac{2\gamma}{m} - \sinh \frac{2\gamma}{m} \tanh \gamma.
$$
 (53)

Thus the matrix of eq.[\(12\)](#page-3-0) can be diagonalized and the determinant is obtained directly.

As the third method, we can derive the result eq.[\(51\)](#page-15-3) without the ST-transformation by using a Heisenberq equation:

$$
\frac{d}{d\tau}\sigma^{z}(\tau) = [\mathcal{H}, \sigma^{z}(\tau)], \qquad \sigma^{z}(\tau) = e^{\mathcal{H}\tau}\sigma^{z}e^{-\mathcal{H}\tau}
$$
\n(54)

where  $\tau$  is imaginary time and  $\mathcal{H} = -\sum_j \Gamma \sigma_j^x$ . Using twice this equation,  $\sigma^z(\tau)$  follows a differential equation and it becomes as

$$
\frac{d^2}{d\tau^2}\sigma^z(\tau) = \Gamma^2 \sigma^z(\tau), \qquad \sigma^z(\tau) = c_1 e^{2\Gamma \tau} + c_2 e^{-2\Gamma \tau}.
$$
 (55)

*c*<sub>1</sub> and *c*<sub>2</sub> are constant 2 × 2 matrices and are defined by an initial  $\langle \sigma^z(0) \sigma^z \rangle = 1$  and a periodicity  $\langle \sigma^z(\tau) \sigma^z \rangle = \langle \sigma^z(\beta - \tau) \sigma^z \rangle$  conditions[\[15\]](#page-16-13). We have again

$$
\langle \sigma^z(\tau) \sigma^z \rangle = \lim_{m \to \infty} \langle S_0 S_r \rangle_m = \frac{\cosh(\gamma - 2\Gamma \tau)}{\cosh \gamma}.
$$
 (T $\tau = \gamma \delta$ ). (56)

### **References**

- <span id="page-15-0"></span>[1] M. Suzuki: Phys. Rev. B31 (1985) 2957.
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>[2] M.Suzuki, and M. Inoue: Prog. Theor. Phys. 78 (1987) 787; M.Inoue, and M. Suzuki: Prog. Theor. Phys. 79 (1988) 645.
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>[3] T. Koma: Prog.Theor. Phys. 78 (1987) 1213.
- [4] M. Takahashi: Phys. Rev. B43 (1991) 5788.
- [5] C. Destri, and H.J. de Vega: Phys. Rev. Lett. 69 (1992) 2313; C. Destri, and H.J. de Vega: Nucl. Phys. B504 (1997) 621.
- <span id="page-16-12"></span>[6] A. Klümper: Ann. Physik 1 (1992) 540; A. Klümper: Z. Phys. B91 (1993) 507; A. Klümper: Eur. Phys. J. B5 (1998) 677.
- [7] J. Suzuki, Y. Akutsu, and M. Wadachi: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 59 (1990) 2667; J. Suzuki, T. Nagao, and M. Wadachi: Int. J. Mod. Phys. B6 (1992) 1119; H. Mizuta, T. Nagao, and M. Wadachi: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 63 (1994) 3951.
- [8] J. Jüttner, A. Klümper, and J. Suzuki: Nucl. Phys. B487 (1997) 335.
- [9] K. Sakai, M. Shiroishi, J. Suzuki, and Y. Umeno: Phys. Rev. B60 (1999) 5186.
- <span id="page-16-0"></span>[10] F. Göhmann, A. Klümper, and A. Seel: J.Phys. A37 (2004) 7625.
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>[11] *Quantum Monte Carlo Method in Condensed Matter Physics*, ed. M. Suzuki, (World Scientific,1993); *Quantum Monte Carlo Methods in Equilibrium and Non-equilibrium Systems*, ed. M. Suzuki, (Springer, SSS74, 1987).
- [12] M. Suzuki: Prog. Theor. Phys. 56 (1976) 183.
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>[13] H. De Raedt, and B. De Raedt: Phys. Rep. 127 (1983) 233.
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>[14] M. Suzuki: J. Stat. Phys. 110 (2003) 945; A. Sugiyama, H. Suzuki, and M. Suzuki: Physica A368 (2006) 449.
- <span id="page-16-13"></span>[15] A.A. Abrikosov, L.P.Gorkov and I.E. Dzyaloshinski: *Methods of Quantum Field Theory in Statistical Physics* , (Dover Publications, 1975)
- <span id="page-16-7"></span>[16] Th. Niemeijer: Physica 36 (1967) 377; 39 (1968) 313.
- <span id="page-16-11"></span>[17] P. Mazur and Th. J. Siskens: Physica 69 (1973) 259; Th.J.Siskens and P. Mazur: Physica 71 (1974) 560.
- <span id="page-16-8"></span>[18] U. Brandt and K. Jacoby: Z. Phys. B25 (1976) 181; B26 (1977) 245.
- <span id="page-16-9"></span>[19] J.H.H. Perk, H.W. Capel, G.R.W. Quispel and F.W.Bijhoff: Physica 123A (1984) 1.
- <span id="page-16-10"></span>[20] G. Müller and R.E.Shrock: Phys. Rev. B29 (1984) 288.
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>[21] S. Sachdev and A.P. Young: Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 (1997) 2220; S. Sachdev: *Quantum Phase Transitions*, (Cambridge, 1999).
- <span id="page-16-6"></span>[22] J.H.H. Perk and H. Au-Yang: J. Stat.Phys. 135 (2009) 599;
- <span id="page-16-5"></span>[23] E.H. Lieb, T. D.Schultz, and D.C. Mattis: Ann. Phys. 16 (1961) 407; S. Katsura: Phys. Rev. 127 (1962) 1508; E. Barouch, and B. M. McCoy: Phys. Rev. A3 (1971) 786.
- <span id="page-17-3"></span>[24] P. Pfeuty: Ann. Phys. 57 (1970) 79.
- <span id="page-17-0"></span>[25] T.D. Schultz, D.C. Mattis, and E.H. Lieb: Rev. Mod. Phys.36 (1964) 856.
- <span id="page-17-1"></span>[26] R. Kubo: Busseiron Kenkyu 1 (1943) 1 [in Japanese]; H.A.Kramers, and G.H. Wannier: Phys. Rev. 60 (1941) 252.
- <span id="page-17-2"></span>[27] M. Inoue, and M. Suzuki: Prog. Theor. Phys. 79 (1988) 30.
- <span id="page-17-4"></span>[28] J. Lajzerowicz and P. Pfeuty: Phys. Rev. B 11 (1975) 4560.
- <span id="page-17-5"></span>[29] B.M.McCoy and T.T.Wu: *The Two-dimensional Ising Model*, (1973) Harvard univ. press.