# Magnetospheric Cavity Modes Driven by Solar Wind <sup>2</sup> Dynamic Pressure Fluctuations

S. G. Claudepierre,<sup>1</sup> M. Wiltberger,<sup>2</sup> S. R. Elkington,<sup>3</sup> W. Lotko,<sup>4</sup> and M. K.

Hudson<sup>1</sup>

S. G. Claudepierre and M. K. Hudson, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Dartmouth College,

Hanover, NH 03755, USA. (Seth.G.Claudepierre@dartmouth.edu)

M. Wiltberger, NCAR, High Altitude Observatory, Boulder, CO 80301, USA.

S. R. Elkington, LASP, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80303, USA.

W. Lotko, Thayer School of Engineering, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH 03755, USA.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy,

X - 2 CLAUDEPIERRE ET AL.: MAGNETOSPHERIC CAVITY MODES We present results from Lyon-Fedder-Mobarry (LFM) global, three-dimensional 3 magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) simulations of the solar wind-magnetosphere interaction. We use these simulations to investigate the role that solar wind dy-5 namic pressure fluctuations play in the generation of magnetospheric ultra-low 6 frequency (ULF) pulsations. The simulations presented in this study are driven 7 with idealized solar wind input conditions. In four of the simulations, we intro-8 duce monochromatic ULF fluctuations in the upstream solar wind dynamic pres-9 sure. In the fifth simulation, we introduce a continuum of ULF frequencies in 10 the upstream solar wind dynamic pressure fluctuations. In this numerical exper-11 iment, the idealized nature of the solar wind driving conditions allows us to study 12 the magnetospheric response to only a fluctuating upstream dynamic pressure, 13

Dartmouth College, Hanover, New Hampshire,

USA

<sup>2</sup>National Center for Atmospheric Research, High Altitude Observatory, Boulder, Colorado, USA

<sup>3</sup>Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado, USA

<sup>4</sup>Thayer School of Engineering, Dartmouth College, Hanover, New Hampshire, USA

while holding all other solar wind driving parameters constant. The simulation
results suggest that ULF fluctuations in the solar wind dynamic pressure can drive
magnetospheric ULF pulsations in the electric and magnetic fields on the dayside. Moreover, the simulation results suggest that when the driving frequency
of the solar wind dynamic pressure fluctuations matches one of the natural frequencies of the magnetosphere, magnetospheric cavity modes can be energized.

## 1. Introduction

Several observational studies suggest that some dayside magnetospheric ultra-low frequency 20 (ULF) pulsations may be directly driven by ULF fluctuations in the solar wind dynamic pres-21 sure. For example, Kepko and Spence [2003] examine six events where discrete ULF fluctua-22 tions are observed in the solar wind dynamic pressure. The authors show a one-to-one corre-23 spondence between these solar wind dynamic pressure fluctuations and discrete spectral peaks 24 in dayside GOES magnetic field data. The authors argue that the dayside magnetospheric ULF 25 pulsations are directly driven by the corresponding solar wind dynamic pressure fluctuations. 26 Other observational studies [Sibeck et al., 1989; Korotova and Sibeck, 1995; Matsuoka et al., 27 1995; Han et al., 2007] also suggest that solar wind dynamic pressure fluctuations can directly 28 drive dayside magnetic field ULF pulsations. Very recent work [Viall et al., 2009] concludes 29 that approximately half of the variations observed in magnetospheric ULF waves are likely di-30 rectly driven by solar wind dynamic pressure fluctuations. In this study we investigate, through 31 the use of global magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) simulations, the magnetospheric response to 32 ULF solar wind dynamic pressure (henceforth,  $p_{dyn}$ ) fluctuations. Here, 'ULF' refers to fre-33 quencies in the 0.5 to 50 mHz range (Pc3-Pc5 bands; Jacobs et al. [1964]), though we make no 34 distinction between continuous and irregular magnetospheric pulsations. 35

# 2. Methodology

The details of the Lyon-Fedder-Mobarry (LFM) simulation code, the computational grid, and the numerical techniques used to solve the single-fluid ideal MHD equations can be found in *Lyon et al.* [2004]. The solar wind input conditions form the outer boundary condition in the LFM simulation. For the inner boundary condition, the magnetospheric portion of the code

couples to an empirical ionospheric model, which forms a two-way coupling between the sim-40 ulation ionosphere and magnetosphere [Wiltberger et al., 2009]. The LFM simulation does not 41 contain a plasmaspheric model and, thus, number densities in the simulation inner magneto-42 sphere are lower than what is typically observed in the real magnetosphere. Also, as discussed 43 in Lyon et al. [2004], the LFM utilizes the Boris correction when solving the ideal MHD equa-44 tions, where the speed of light is replaced by a smaller value to increase the allowable time step. 45 The simulation code remains stable, however, when wave propagation speeds exceed the as-46 sumed speed of light, roughly 1,100 km/s in the LFM inner magnetosphere. We present results 47 from five LFM simulations: four driven by monochromatic upstream  $p_{dyn}$  fluctuations and one 48 driven by a continuum of frequencies in the upstream  $p_{dyn}$  fluctuations. 49

Solar wind dynamic pressure is not an explicit input in the LFM simulation and we choose 50 to introduce the dynamic pressure fluctuations via the upstream number density component, as 51 opposed to the velocity component. Solar wind observations typically show that  $p_{dyn}$  variations 52 are carried by the solar wind number density, and not the velocity [e.g. Kepko and Spence, 53 2003; Han et al., 2007]. For the four monochromatic simulations, we impose a number density 54 time series, n(t), at the LFM upstream boundary at  $x = 30 R_E$  of the form:  $n(t) = n_0 + 1$ 55  $\delta n \sin(\omega t)$ . The four monochromatic driving frequencies chosen for analysis in this study are 56 5, 10, 18, and 25 mHz and the background number density,  $n_0$ , is 5 particles/cm<sup>3</sup>. In the 57 5 and 10 mHz simulations,  $\delta n = 1$  (20% oscillation amplitude); in the 18 mHz simulation, 58  $\delta n = 1.5$  (30% oscillation amplitude); and in the 25 mHz simulation,  $\delta n = 2$  (40% oscillation 59 amplitude). The larger oscillation amplitudes for the input time series in the 18 mHz and 25 60 mHz runs are used to combat the effects of a numerical attenuation/filtering of higher frequency 61

DRAFT

components in the LFM simulation. For the fifth simulation, we impose a continuum of ULF 62 frequencies in the input number density time series:  $n(t) = n_0 + 0.05 \sum_j \sin(\omega_j t + \phi_j)$ . Here, 63 we create an input spectrum with fluctuations in the 0 to 50 mHz band with a 0.1 mHz spacing 64 between frequency components (j ranges from 0 to 500) and we add a random phase,  $\phi_i$ , to each 65 frequency component. The value of 0.05 in the above equation is chosen so that the root-mean 66 square (RMS) amplitude of the continuum input number density time series is roughly equal to 67 that of the monochromatic input number density time series (with 20% oscillation amplitudes). 68 In addition, in all five simulations, we introduce an appropriate out of phase oscillation in the 69 input sound speed time series, so as to hold the thermal pressure constant in the upstream solar 70 wind  $(p_{th} \propto nC_s^2)$ . The background sound speed upon which the out of phase oscillation is 71 imposed is 40 km/s. The remaining idealized solar wind input parameters are the same in all 72 five simulations and held constant for the entire duration (4 hours) of the simulations:  $\mathbf{B} =$ 73 (0,0,-5) nT and **v** = (-600,0,0) km/s. 74

The power spectral density (PSD) of the continuum simulation input  $p_{dyn}$  time series is 75 shown as the red trace in the inset panel in Figure 1a. Note the relatively uniform distribution of 76 wave power over the 0 to 50 mHz frequency band. The blue trace in the inset panel shows the 77 PSD of the  $p_{dyn}$  time series taken at (20,0,0)  $R_E$  in the solar wind (GSM coordinates are used 78 throughout) in the continuum simulation. Comparing the red and blue traces, we see that the 79 spectral profile imposed at the upstream boundary (red trace) has been significantly altered by 80 the time the fluctuations reach (20,0,0)  $R_E$  (blue trace). This filtering/attenuation of the higher 81 frequency spectral components, to be discussed in a follow-up paper, is an expected artifact of 82 the numerics in the LFM [John Lyon, personal communication, 2008]. Nonetheless, there is 83

DRAFT

X - 6

significant ULF wave power in the 0 to 20 mHz frequency band in the upstream  $p_{dyn}$  driving, which is the spectral profile that drives the magnetosphere.

The filtering/attenuation of the input time series in the continuum simulation results in up-86 stream driving at (20,0,0)  $R_E$  on the order of 13%, reduced from the roughly 20% value imposed 87 at the upstream boundary (in the RMS sense described above). As the inset panel in Figure 1a 88 suggests, the filtering/attenuation reduces the amplitude of the upstream  $p_{dyn}$  driving at (20,0,0) 89  $R_E$  to 24% in the 18 mHz simulation (input = 30%) and 15% in the 25 mHz simulation (input 90 = 40%). Finally, we note that upstream  $p_{dyn}$  driving in the 13-24% range is reasonable when 91 compared with the observational work discussed above and is at the lower end of what has been 92 reported. 93

### 3. Simulation Results

In all five simulations, the upstream  $p_{dyn}$  fluctuations launch earthward propagating compressional MHD waves near the subsolar bow shock. These waves propagate through the magnetosheath and then enter the magnetosphere near the subsolar magnetopause and propagate earthward through the dayside (not shown here). We examine the magnetospheric response in the equatorial plane in terms of the compressional magnetic and electric field components,  $B_z$ and  $E_{\varphi}$ . Along the noon meridian, the magnetospheric response in terms of  $B_z$  and  $E_{\varphi}$  fluctuation amplitude is roughly an order of magnitude greater than in the other field components.

The green trace in Figure 1a shows the magnetospheric response to the upstream  $p_{dyn}$  fluctuations in the continuum simulation. Here, we plot power spectral density of the  $E_{\varphi}$  time series taken at (5.4, 0, 0)  $R_E$  on the noon meridian. Note the clear preferential frequency in the magnetospheric response centered near 10 mHz. Comparing the fine structure in the spectral profile

of the  $p_{dyn}$  fluctuations and the magnetospheric response near 10 mHz shows a one-to-one cor-105 respondence between the two traces. This suggests that the fluctuations in the magnetospheric 106  $E_{\varphi}$  are driven by the  $p_{dyn}$  fluctuations. Moreover, the fact that the magnetospheric response 107 is strongly peaked near 10 mHz suggests that the magnetosphere is responding resonantly to 108 the upstream  $p_{dyn}$  fluctuations, which contain a continuum of ULF frequencies. Although the 109 magnetospheric response falls off sharply away from 10 mHz, one could perhaps argue that the 110 response near 10 mHz is due to local peaks in the upstream driving spectrum near 10 mHz. The 111 local peaks and valleys in the upstream driving spectrum are the result of the random phasing in 112 the input time series and the discretization of the signal. We have conducted analogous simula-113 tions to the continuum simulation presented here, with only the random phasing changed, which 114 moves the location of the local peaks and valleys in the upstream  $p_{dyn}$  driving spectrum. These 115 simulations also show a magnetospheric response that is strongly peaked near 10 mHz. Thus, 116 the magnetospheric response does not depend on the location of the local peaks and valleys in 117 the upstream driving spectrum. 118

To obtain a more global picture of the magnetospheric response, in Figure 1b we plot the 119  $E_{\varphi}$  PSD along the entire noon meridian in the continuum simulation. Here, distance along the 120 noon meridian is plotted on the horizontal axis from 2.2  $R_E$  (the inner boundary of the LFM 121 simulation) to 9  $R_E$ . The subsolar magnetopause is located near 8.6  $R_E$  on the noon meridian, 122 though the magnetopause moves roughly  $\pm 0.25 R_E$  about this location, due to the upstream 123  $p_{dyn}$  oscillations. This radial motion of the magnetopause is indicated by the shaded region in 124 the figure. Note that the green trace in Figure 1a can be extracted from Figure 1b by taking a 125 vertical cut at 5.4  $R_E$ . The spectral profile along the entire noon meridian again shows a clear 126

DRAFT

November 29, 2021, 1:36am

preferential frequency near 10 mHz for the magnetospheric response. Note that the frequency 127 of the magnetospheric response does not change significantly with radial distance. However, 128 the amplitude of the response near 10 mHz does depend on radial distance, with the maximum 129 in wave power occurring between 5 and 6  $R_E$ . Finally, we note that there is an enhancement in 130 the  $E_{\varphi}$  PSD near 6 mHz, that peaks just earthward of the magnetopause, and decays rapidly in 131 the earthward direction. This is due to a local peak in the solar wind  $p_{dyn}$  fluctuations near 6 132 mHz (Figure 1a, blue trace) and the fact that this local peak in the driving spectrum lies near a 133 resonant frequency of the magnetosphere. 134

The results from the continuum simulation also suggest a secondary preferential frequency 135 to the magnetospheric response, centered near 18 mHz. However, the upstream driving in the 136 continuum simulation near 18 mHz is weaker than the driving near 10 mHz, due to the filter-137 ing/attenuation described above. Thus, the amplitude of the secondary magnetospheric response 138 is weaker than the primary response near 10 mHz, and is not entirely resolved in Figure 1b due 139 to the color scale used. As we will see below, the amplitude of the secondary response near 18 140 mHz has two local maxima along the noon meridian, near 4 and 7  $R_E$ , in contrast with one local 141 maximum for the amplitude of the primary (10 mHz) response between 5 and 6  $R_E$ . 142

In Figure 2, we plot radial profiles of  $E_{\varphi}$  (top row) and  $B_z$  (bottom row) root-integrated power along the noon meridian for the five simulations in this study (columns). Rootintegrated power (*RIP*), plotted on the vertical axis in each of the 10 panels, is defined as:  $RIP = (\int_{f_a}^{f_b} P(f) df)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , where P(f) is the power spectral density of the time series under consideration and the integration is carried out over a given frequency band of interest,  $[f_a, f_b]$ . In the four monochromatic simulations (Figure 2, first four columns), the *RIP* is integrated over

DRAFT

#### X - 10 CLAUDEPIERRE ET AL.: MAGNETOSPHERIC CAVITY MODES

the *driving band*, which we define as the 1 mHz frequency band centered on the driving fre-149 quency. In the the continuum simulation (last column), two *RIP* traces are shown, as there is 150 no driving band in the continuum simulation. The solid trace is integrated over the frequency 151 band [7,12] mHz, to pick up the primary spectral peak near 10 mHz, while the dashed trace is 152 integrated over the frequency band [15,20] mHz to pick up the secondary spectral peak near 18 153 mHz. In each of the 10 panels, distance along the noon meridian is plotted on the horizontal 154 axis and the location of the subsolar magnetopause is indicated by the shaded regions near 8.5 155  $R_E$ . 156

The five  $B_z$  panels in the bottom row of Figure 2 show a strong amplitude maximum in  $B_z$ 157 oscillation amplitude near the magnetopause that extends beyond the vertical scales used in the 158 plots (the traces extend to a value on the order of 25 nT). These strong oscillation amplitudes 159 near the magnetopause are due to the radial motion of the magnetopause and the subsequent 160 changing dayside magnetopause current. As a side note, effects due to the LFM grid are clearly 161 visible in the five  $B_z$  panels in the bottom row of Figure 2. For example, in the 5 mHz simulation 162 (bottom row, first panel) there is a 'sawtooth' like structure in the radial profile between 5 and 7 163  $R_E$ . We do not attribute any physical significance to these features. 164

# 4. Discussion

The simulation results presented above suggest a resonant response of the magnetosphere to solar wind dynamic pressure fluctuations, with a standing wave structure along the noon meridian. The dependence of the magnetospheric response on the driving frequency can be explained by interpreting the simulation results as signatures of magnetospheric cavity mode oscillations [e.g. *Kivelson and Southwood*, 1985].

In the simplest interpretation, magnetospheric MHD cavity modes can be thought of as stand-170 ing waves in the electric and magnetic fields between a cavity inner and outer boundary. We con-171 sider the magnetopause to be the cavity outer boundary and the LFM simulation inner bound-172 ary at 2.2  $R_E$  to be the cavity inner boundary. For the moment, we consider perfect conduc-173 tor boundary conditions at the simulation inner boundary and magnetopause  $(E_y, \partial_x B_z \to 0)$ . 174 These boundary conditions impose half-wavelength standing waves in the radial direction be-175 tween the simulation inner boundary and the magnetopause. Returning to the noon meridian 176 radial profiles in Figure 2, we see that the simulation results support this standing wave inter-177 pretation. We argue that the  $E_{\varphi}$  and  $B_z$  radial profiles in the 10 mHz run (Figure 2, second 178 column) are the signatures of the n = 1 cavity mode. Near the simulation inner boundary and 179 magnetopause,  $E_{\varphi}$  has oscillation amplitude nodes and  $B_z$  has oscillation amplitude antinodes. 180 Moreover, between the boundaries,  $E_{\varphi}$  has one oscillation amplitude antinode and  $B_z$  has one 181 oscillation amplitude node, near 6  $R_E$ , all consistent with an n = 1 standing wave along the 182 noon meridian. Note that the continuum simulation results suggest that the fundamental fre-183 quency of the magnetospheric cavity is near 10 mHz. Thus, the upstream driving frequency 184 in the 10 mHz monochromatic simulation is near the fundamental resonant frequency of the 185 magnetospheric cavity and the n = 1 radial eigenmode is excited. 186

In the 5 mHz simulation, we argue that a cavity mode is not excited, which is supported by the continuum simulation results. The radial profile of  $E_{\varphi}$  along the noon meridian in the 5 mHz simulation (Figure 2, top row, first column) suggests an evanescent decay of wave power, with  $E_{\varphi}$  wave power peaking just earthward of the magnetopause and decaying rapidly in the earthward direction. Monochromatic simulations with 1 mHz and 3 mHz driving, analogous to

those presented here, show similar radial profiles in  $E_{\varphi}$  and  $B_z$  oscillation amplitude along the 192 noon meridian. Thus, we argue that this is the characteristic behavior of dayside compressional 193 magnetospheric disturbances under fluctuating solar wind  $p_{dyn}$  driving when cavity modes are 194 not excited, an evanescent decay of wave energy earthward of the magnetopause. Finally, we 195 note that the excitation of n = 1 cavity mode in the 10 mHz simulation is also able to explain 196 the stronger  $E_{\varphi}$  response amplitude under 10 mHz monochromatic driving when compared 197 with 5 mHz monochromatic driving. The peak value of  $E_{\varphi}$  oscillation amplitude along the 198 noon meridian is roughly 3.0 mV/m in the 5 mHz simulation, whereas it is roughly 3.7 mV/m 199 in the 10 mHz simulation. The only difference in the upstream driving in the two simulations is 200 the driving frequency. Thus, the magnetosphere responds resonantly to the  $p_{dyn}$  fluctuations in 201 the 10 mHz run and passively in the 5 mHz run. 202

We now consider the radial profiles of  $E_{\varphi}$  and  $B_z$  wave power in the 18 mHz and 25 mHz 203 monochromatic simulations. We argue that in the 18 mHz simulation the n = 2 cavity mode 204 is excited. Again, at the simulation inner boundary and magnetopause,  $E_{\varphi}$  has oscillation am-205 plitude nodes, whereas  $B_z$  has amplitude antinodes. Moreover, near 4 and 7  $R_E$ ,  $E_{\varphi}$  has two 206 oscillation amplitude antinodes, whereas  $B_z$  has two nodes. As discussed above, the continuum 207 simulation results suggest that the frequency for an n = 2 oscillation lies near 18 mHz, which 208 is the driving frequency in the 18 mHz simulation. Similarly, in the 25 mHz simulation, we 209 argue that the n = 3 cavity mode is excited. In the  $E_{\varphi}$  profile, we see three clear oscillation 210 amplitude antinodes near 4, 6 and 8  $R_E$ . Two of the three corresponding nodes in the  $B_z$  profile 211 are resolved near 4 and 7  $R_E$ . The n = 3 interpretation also requires a third  $B_z$  node (likely 212 between 4 and 7  $R_E$ ) that is not resolved in the simulation. We note that the  $B_z$  profile suggests 213

214

215

216

217

218

219

that the *RIP* value for the unresolved node should be less than 1 nT. This would correspond to a peak-to-peak oscillation amplitude of roughly 2 nT or less, which is small when compared with background values on the order of 100's of nT. The LFM grid resolution (roughly 0.25  $R_E$  here) coupled with the small oscillation amplitude may make it difficult to resolve three distinct  $B_z$  nodes in an  $\approx 3 R_E$  range. The  $E_{\varphi}$  profile is consistent with the n = 3 cavity mode

integrated around 10 mHz (solid trace) and 18 mHz (dashed trace), look qualitatively similar to the profiles in the 10 mHz and 18 mHz monochromatic simulations, respectively. This suggests that the n = 1 and n = 2 radial eigenmodes are simultaneously excited in the continuum simulation.

interpretation. Finally, we note that the radial profiles from the continuum simulation, when

The results from the continuum simulation suggest that the fundamental frequency of the magnetospheric cavity configuration is near 10 mHz. To derive an alternate estimate, we consider the cavity frequency in a simple box geometry configuration [e.g. *Wright*, 1994]:

$$f_n = \frac{V_A}{2a}n$$
 for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$  (1)

where  $V_A$  is the Alfvén speed in the box, a is the box length in the X direction, and n is the 224 quantization number. Here, we envision the box coordinates, (X,Y,Z) as the radial, azimuthal 225 and field aligned directions in the LFM. The above equation for  $f_n$  assumes perfect conductor 226 boundary conditions in the X-direction  $(E_Y, \partial_X B_Z \rightarrow 0)$ . To evaluate the fundamental fre-227 quency in the box configuration, we consider n = 1 and only compare with LFM results from 228 the 10 mHz and continuum simulations, as these are the only two simulations where the fun-229 damental radial eigenmode is excited. We evaluate the fundamental frequency,  $f_1$ , in the box 230 with  $a = 6.4 R_E$ , the distance from the simulation inner boundary to the magnetopause, along 231

#### X - 14 CLAUDEPIERRE ET AL.: MAGNETOSPHERIC CAVITY MODES

the noon meridian. A value for the constant Alfvén speed in the box,  $V_A$ , must also be chosen. 232 By tracking the compressional wave fronts in the 10 mHz simulation, as they move earthward 233 from the subsolar magnetopause along the noon meridian, we compute a phase speed,  $V_{ph,x}$ , of 234 roughly 1,750 km/s. With this estimate for  $V_A$ , we obtain  $f_1 \approx 22$  mHz. For quarter-wavelength 235 modes in the X direction, the 2a in Equation (1) is replaced by 4a and the fundamental cavity 236 frequency is  $f_1 \approx 11$  mHz, close to the result suggested by the continuum simulation. The fact 237 that the quarter-wavelength fundamental cavity frequency is closer to 10 mHz than the half-238 wavelength estimate and the fact that the electric field oscillation amplitude does not go entirely 239 to zero at the magnetopause both suggest that quarter-wavelength modes may be a more appro-240 priate boundary condition at the magnetopause. 241

As discussed in Section 2, the speed of light in the LFM is set to an artificially low value, which limits the Alfvén wave propagation speed. Above, we computed a phase speed of roughly 1,750 km/s for the  $p_{dyn}$ -driven waves in the 10 mHz simulation, which exceeds the speed of light in the simulation. Thus, the wave propagation characteristics of the  $p_{dyn}$ -driven waves are effected by the Boris correction. The Boris correction compensates for neglect of the displacement current in the ideal MHD equations, which reduces the phase speed when  $V_A \sim c$ .

Finally, we emphasize that the results presented in this study do not necessarily imply that the fundamental cavity frequency of the real magnetosphere is near 10 mHz. A key factor controlling the fundamental frequency of the magnetospheric cavity is the Alfvén speed profile. The LFM simulations presented in this study do not have a plasmaspheric model and, thus, have number densities in the dayside equatorial plane that are much lower than in the real magnetosphere. For example, a typical value for the LFM number density near (5,0,0)  $R_E$  is 0.1

DRAFT

<sup>254</sup> particles/cm<sup>3</sup>. A more realistic LFM number density profile in the equatorial plane, under devel-<sup>255</sup> opment, would significantly lower the fundamental cavity frequency of the LFM magnetosphere <sup>266</sup> (e.g. Equation (1)). For this reason, we do not compare the LFM simulation results with the <sup>267</sup> observations of magnetospheric ULF waves driven by  $p_{dyn}$  fluctuations discussed in Section 1. <sup>268</sup> The observational work [e.g. *Kepko and Spence*, 2003] typically looks at frequencies less than <sup>259</sup> 5 mHz, while we have shown that the lowest cavity mode frequency that the LFM supports, for <sup>260</sup> these upstream parameters, is approximately 10 mHz.

Acknowledgments. The authors are grateful for thoughtful discussions with R. E. Denton and J. G. Lyon.

## References

- Han, D. S., et al. (2007), Coupling of perturbations in the solar wind density to global Pi3
   pulsations: A case study, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *112*(A05217).
- Jacobs, J. A., Y. Kato, S. Matsushita, and V. A. Troitskaya (1964), Classification of geomagnetic micropulsations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *69*, 180.
- <sup>267</sup> Kepko, L., and H. E. Spence (2003), Observations of discrete, global magnetospheric oscil-
- lations directly driven by solar wind density variations, J. Geophys. Res., 108(A6), 1257,
- doi:10.1029/2002JA009676.
- Kivelson, M. G., and D. J. Southwood (1985), Resonant ULF waves A new interpretation,
   *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *12*, 49–52, doi:10.1029/GL012i001p00049.
- Korotova, G. I., and D. G. Sibeck (1995), A case study of transient event motion in the magne-
- tosphere and in the ionosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 100(A1), 35–46.

- X 16 CLAUDEPIERRE ET AL.: MAGNETOSPHERIC CAVITY MODES
- Lyon, J. G., J. A. Fedder, and C. M. Mobarry (2004), The Lyon–Fedder–Mobarry (LFM)
- global MHD magnetospheric simulation code, *J. Atmos. Solar-Terr. Phys.*, 66(15), 1333, doi:
  10.1016/j.jastp.2004.03.020.
- 277 Matsuoka, H., K. Takahashi, K. Yumoto, B. J. Anderson, and D. G. Sibeck (1995), Observation
- and modeling of compressional Pi 3 magnetic pulsations, J. Geophys. Res., 100(A7), 12,103–

12,115.

<sup>280</sup> Sibeck, D. G., W. Baumjohann, R. C. Elphic, D. H. Fairfield, and J. F. Fennell (1989), The

magnetospheric response to 8-minute period strong-amplitude upstream pressure variations,

<sup>282</sup> J. Geophys. Res., 94, 2505–2519, doi:10.1029/JA094iA03p02505.

- <sup>283</sup> Viall, N. M., L. Kepko, and H. E. Spence (2009), Relative occurrence rates and connection
- of discrete frequency oscillations in the solar wind density and dayside magnetosphere, J.

<sup>285</sup> *Geophys. Res.*, 114(A13), 1201–+, doi:10.1029/2008JA013334.

- <sup>286</sup> Wiltberger, M., R. S. Weigel, W. Lotko, and J. A. Fedder (2009), Modeling seasonal variations
- $_{287}$  of auroral particle precipitation in a global-scale magnetosphere-ionosphere simulation, J.
- 288 Geophys. Res., 114(A13), 1204-+, doi:10.1029/2008JA013108.
- <sup>289</sup> Wright, A. N. (1994), Dispersion and wave coupling in inhomogeneous MHD waveguides, J.
- <sup>290</sup> *Geophys. Res.*, 99, 159–167, doi:10.1029/93JA02206.



Figure 1. a) Dynamic pressure PSD in the upstream solar wind (blue trace) and  $E_{\varphi}$  PSD at 5.4  $R_E$  on the noon meridian (green trace), from the continuum simulation. Inset panel:  $p_{dyn}$  PSD input at the LFM upstream boundary (red trace) and  $p_{dyn}$  PSD in the upstream solar wind (blue trace). b)  $E_{\varphi}$  PSD plotted along the entire noon meridian in the continuum simulation. The location of the magnetopause is indicated by the shaded region.

November 29, 2021, 1:36am



**Figure 2.**  $E_{\varphi}$  (top row) and  $B_z$  (bottom row) radial mode structure along the noon meridian for the five simulations (columns). *RIP* is integrated over the driving band in the monochromatic simulations (first four columns) and over [7,12] and [15,20] mHz in the continuum simulation (last column). The location of the magnetopause is indicated by the shaded region.