

THE DIRAC-HARDY AND DIRAC-SOBOLEV INEQUALITIES IN L^1

ALEXANDER BALINSKY, W. DESMOND EVANS
AND TOMIO UMEDA[†]

Abstract. Dirac-Sobolev and Dirac-Hardy inequalities in L^1 are established in which the L^p spaces which feature in the classical Sobolev and Hardy inequalities are replaced by weak L^p spaces. Counter examples to the analogues of the classical inequalities are shown to be provided by zero modes for appropriate Pauli operators constructed by Loss and Yau.

Key words: Dirac-Sobolev inequalities, Dirac-Hardy inequalities, zero modes, Sobolev inequalities, Hardy inequalities

The 2000 Mathematical Subject Classification: Primary 35R45; Secondary 35Q40

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$ be the triple of 2×2 Pauli matrices

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.1)$$

and set

$$\mathbf{p} := -i\nabla, \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p} = -i \sum_{j=1}^3 \sigma_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}.$$

By the Dirac-Sobolev inequality we mean the following: that when $1 \leq p < 3$, $p^* = 3p/(3-p)$, and for all $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$, the space of \mathbb{C}^2 -valued functions whose components lie in $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$,

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(\mathbf{x})|_{p^*}^{p^*} d\mathbf{x} \right)^{1/p^*} \leq C(p) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p})f(\mathbf{x})|_p^p d\mathbf{x} \right)^{1/p} \quad (1.2)$$

where for $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, $|\mathbf{a}|_p^p = |a_1|^p + |a_2|^p$. It is shown by Ichinose and Saitō in [3] (see “Note added in proof” at end of paper) that for $1 < p < \infty$,

there are positive constants $c_1(p), c_2(p)$ which are such that

$$c_1(p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathbf{p}f(\mathbf{x})|_p^p d\mathbf{x} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p})f(\mathbf{x})|_p^p d\mathbf{x} \leq c_2(p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathbf{p}f(\mathbf{x})|_p^p d\mathbf{x}, \quad (1.3)$$

and hence for $1 < p < 3$, (1.2) is a consequence of the Sobolev inequality

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(\mathbf{x})|_{p^*}^{p^*} d\mathbf{x} \right)^{1/p^*} \leq \tilde{C}(p) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathbf{p}f(\mathbf{x})|_p^p d\mathbf{x} \right)^{1/p}. \quad (1.4)$$

On defining the Dirac-Sobolev space $H_{D,0}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$ to be the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|f\|_{D,1,p} := \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [|f(\mathbf{x})|_p^p + |(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p})f(\mathbf{x})|_p^p] d\mathbf{x} \right\}^{1/p},$$

(1.3) proves that $H_{D,0}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$ is isomorphic to $H_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$ if $1 < p < \infty$, where $H_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$ denotes the Sobolev space defined to be the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|f\|_{S,1,p} := \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [|f(\mathbf{x})|_p^p + |\mathbf{p}f(\mathbf{x})|_p^p] d\mathbf{x} \right\}^{1/p}.$$

However, as $p \rightarrow 1$, $c_1(p) \rightarrow 0$ and so (1.3) only implies that $H_0^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$ is continuously embedded in $H_{D,0}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$. In fact Ichinose and Saitō go on to prove that the embedding $H_0^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2) \hookrightarrow H_{D,0}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$ is indeed strict. Hence, in the case $p = 1$, (1.2) is not a consequence of the analogous Sobolev inequality. We prove that the $p = 1$ case of (1.2) is untrue. We demonstrate this with a function used by Loss and Yau in [5] to prove the existence of zero modes of a Pauli operator $\{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{A})\}^2$ (or equivalently, of the Weyl-Dirac operator $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{A})$) with some appropriate magnetic potential \mathbf{A} . A result of Saitō and Umeda in [6] on the growth properties of zero modes of Pauli operators indicates that zero modes have quite generally the properties we need of the counter-example. We prove in Theorem 2.1

$$\|f\|_{3/2,\infty} \leq C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p})f(\mathbf{x})| d\mathbf{x}, \quad (1.5)$$

where $|\cdot| = |\cdot|_1$ and for any $q > 0$,

$$\|f\|_{q,\infty}^q := \sup_{t>0} t^q \mu(\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |f(\mathbf{x})| > t\}), \quad (1.6)$$

μ denoting Lebesgue measure. We recall that $\|f\|_{q,\infty} < \infty$ if and only if f belongs to the weak- L^q space $L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$. Moreover, $\|\cdot\|_{q,\infty}$ is not a norm on $L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$ but for $q > 1$ it is equivalent to a norm; see [2], Section 3.4.

Analogous questions arise for the Dirac-Hardy inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|f(\mathbf{x})|_p^p}{|\mathbf{x}|^p} d\mathbf{x} \leq C(p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p})f(\mathbf{x})|_p^p d\mathbf{x} \quad (1.7)$$

and similar answers are obtained. The inequality is true for $1 < p < \infty$ by (1.3), but not for $p = 1$ in which case we prove that

$$\| |f|/|\cdot| \|_{1,\infty} \leq C_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p})f(\mathbf{x})| d\mathbf{x}. \quad (1.8)$$

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we shall prove the results concerning the Dirac-Sobolev and Dirac-Hardy inequalities discussed above. We shall give estimates of the optimal constant $C(p)$ in the Dirac-Sobolev inequality (1.2) for $1 < p < 3$ in Section 3 and show that $C(p) \rightarrow \infty$ as $p \downarrow 1$. In order to check if the results in Section 2 are dimension related, we investigate higher dimensional analogues in Section 4. A weak Hölder-type inequality is given in an Appendix.

2. THE WEAK DIRAC-SOBOLEV AND DIRAC-HARDY INEQUALITIES

To show that the inequality (1.2) does not hold, we shall prove that a counter-example is provided by a zero mode for an appropriate Pauli (or Weyl-Dirac) operator constructed by Loss-Yau in [5]. This is the \mathbb{C}^2 -valued function

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(1+r^2)^{3/2}} (I + i\mathbf{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad r = |\mathbf{x}|, \quad (2.1)$$

where I is the 2×2 identity matrix. In view of the anti-commutation relation $\sigma_j \sigma_k + \sigma_k \sigma_j = 2\delta_{jk}I$, it follows that

$$|\psi(\mathbf{x})| = \frac{1}{1+r^2}. \quad (2.2)$$

Also, ψ satisfies the Loss-Yau equation

$$(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p})\psi(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{3}{1+r^2}\psi(\mathbf{x}). \quad (2.3)$$

Let $\chi_n \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be such that

$$\chi_n(r) = \begin{cases} 1, & r \leq n \\ 0, & r \geq n+2, \end{cases} \quad |\chi_n'(r)| \leq 1. \quad (2.4)$$

Then $\psi_n := \chi_n \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$ and we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p})\psi_n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)} &= \|\chi_n(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p})\psi - i\chi_n'(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{x}}{r})\psi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)} \\ &\leq 4\pi \left(\int_0^{n+2} \frac{3}{1+r^2} dr + \int_n^{n+2} dr \right) \\ &\leq C_0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

for some constant positive C_0 , independent of n .

Now suppose that the case $p = 1$ of the inequality (1.2) is true. Then it would follow from (2.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} C_0 &\geq \|\psi_n\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)} \\ &\geq \left(\int_{|\mathbf{x}| \leq n} |\psi(\mathbf{x})|^{3/2} d\mathbf{x} \right)^{2/3} \\ &\geq \text{const.} (\log n)^{2/3}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

and hence a contradiction.

The properties of the zero mode ψ , defined by (2.1), which lead to the inequality (1.2) being contradicted when $p = 1$ are that $(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p})\psi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$ and $\psi(\mathbf{x}) \asymp r^{-2}$ at infinity (*i.e.*, $r^2\psi(\mathbf{x})$ goes to a constant vector in \mathbb{C}^2 as $r \rightarrow \infty$). It was shown in Saitō-Umeda [6] that these two properties are satisfied by the zero modes of any Weyl-Dirac operator

$$\mathbb{D}_A = \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x})) \quad (2.7)$$

whose magnetic potential $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, A_2, A_3)$ is such that

$$A_j \text{ is measurable, } |A_j(\mathbf{x})| \leq C(1+r)^{-\rho}, \quad \rho > 1 \quad (2.8)$$

for $j = 1, 2, 3$.

As was mentioned in the Introduction, what is true is the following

Theorem 2.1. *There exists a positive constant C_1 such that*

$$\|f\|_{L^{3/2, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)} \leq C_1 \|(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p})f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)} \quad (2.9)$$

for all $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$.

Proof. Let $g = (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p})f$. Since $(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p})^2 = -\Delta$ and the fundamental solution of $-\Delta$ in \mathbb{R}^3 is convolution with $(1/4\pi|\cdot|)$, it follows that $(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p})$ has fundamental

solution with kernel $(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p})(1/4\pi|\cdot|)$ and hence that

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{-i}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla)|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|^{-1}]g(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} \\ &= \frac{i}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|^3} g(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Note that this also follows from the more general result in Saitō-Umeda [7, Theorem 4.2]. Consequently

$$|f(\mathbf{x})| \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|^2} |g(\mathbf{y})| d\mathbf{y} =: \frac{1}{4\pi} I_1(|g|)(\mathbf{x}), \quad (2.11)$$

where $I_1(|g|)$ is the 3-dimensional Riesz potential of $|g|$; see Edmunds and Evans [2, Section 3.5] for the terminology and properties we need. In view of [2, Remark 3.5.7(i)], we see that the Riesz potential I_1 is of weak type $(1, 3/2; 3, \infty)$. In particular, I_1 is of weak type $(1, 3/2)$ (cf. [2, Theorem 3.5.13], [8, Theorem 1, pp.119 - 120]), which means that there exists a positive constant C such that for all $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$

$$\|I_1(u)\|_{L^{3/2, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \|u\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \quad (2.12)$$

The inequality (2.9) follows. \square

It is evident that the two properties of the zero mode ψ defined by (2.1) also leads to a contradiction of the inequality (1.7). What is now true is the following:

Theorem 2.2. *For all $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$*

$$\|f/|\cdot|\|_{L^{1, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)} \leq C_2 \|(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p})f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)}, \quad (2.13)$$

where $C_2 \leq (9\pi)^{1/3} C_1$ and C_1 is the optimal constant in (2.9).

Proof. On applying the weak Hölder inequality in the Appendix with $p = 3/2$ and $q = 3$, and noting that $\|1/|\cdot|\|_{3, \infty} = (4\pi/3)^{1/3}$, we get

$$\|f/|\cdot|\|_{1, \infty} \leq 3^{2/3} \pi^{1/3} \|f\|_{3/2, \infty}. \quad (2.14)$$

Hence the theorem follows from (2.9). \square

3. ESTIMATE OF THE OPTIMAL CONSTANTS

In this section, we estimate the optimal constant $C(p)$ in the inequality (1.2) for $1 < p < 3$, and show that $C(p) \rightarrow \infty$ as $p \downarrow 1$.

Let ψ be the Loss-Yau zero mode defined by (2.1). It does not lie in $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$ but is in $H_{D,0}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$. Hence the optimal constant $C(p)$ must satisfy the inequality

$$C(p) \geq \|\psi\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)} / \|(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p})\psi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $p^* = 3p/(3-p)$. On passing to polar coordinates, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi\|_{p^*}^{p^*} &= 4\pi \int_0^\infty (1+r^2)^{-p^*} r^2 dr \\ &\geq 4\pi \left\{ \int_0^1 2^{-p^*} r^2 dr + \int_1^\infty (2r^2)^{-p^*} r^2 dr \right\} \\ &= 4\pi 2^{-p^*} 3^{-2} \frac{2p}{p-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

On the other hand, by (2.3), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p})\psi\|_p^p &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{3^p}{(1+r^2)^{2p}} dx \\ &= 4\pi 3^p \int_0^\infty (1+r^2)^{-2p} r^2 dr \\ &\leq 4\pi 3^p \left\{ \int_0^1 r^2 dr + \int_1^\infty r^{-4p+2} dr \right\} \\ &= \pi 2^4 3^{p-1} \frac{p}{4p-3}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Combining (3.1) with (3.2) and (3.3), we obtain

$$C(p) \geq \pi^{-1/3} 2^{-2-1/p} 3^{-1/3-1/p} \frac{p^{-1/3} (4p-3)^{1/p}}{(p-1)^{1/p-1/3}}. \quad (3.4)$$

It is evident that the right hand side of (3.4) goes to ∞ as $p \downarrow 1$.

We recall that for $p > 1$, the optimal constant $\tilde{C}(p)$ in the Sobolev inequality (1.4) is

$$\tilde{C}(p) = \pi^{-1/2} 3^{-1/p} \left(\frac{p-1}{3-p} \right)^{(p-1)/p} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(5/2)\Gamma(3)}{\Gamma(3/p)\Gamma(4-3/p)} \right\}^{1/3}$$

which tends to $\tilde{C}(1)$, the optimal constant in the case $p = 1$, as $p \rightarrow 1$.

4. THE WEAK DIRAC-SOBOLEV AND WEAK DIRAC-HARDY INEQUALITIES IN m DIMENSIONS

Let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$ be Hermitian $\ell \times \ell$ matrices satisfying the anti-commutation relations

$$\gamma_j \gamma_k + \gamma_k \gamma_j = 2\delta_{jk} I, \quad (4.1)$$

where I denotes the $\ell \times \ell$ identity matrix. For example, we can take $\ell = 2^{m-2}$ and construct the matrices by the following iterative procedure. To indicate the dependence on m , write the matrices as $\gamma_1^{(m)}, \dots, \gamma_m^{(m)}$. For $m = 3$, $\ell = 2$ and they are given by the Pauli matrices in (1.1). Given matrices $\gamma_1^{(m)}, \dots, \gamma_m^{(m)}$ we define

$$\gamma_j^{(m+1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma_j^{(m)} \\ \gamma_j^{(m)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m, \quad \gamma_{m+1}^{(m+1)} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.2)$$

The m -dimensional analogue of the inequality (1.2) for $p = 1$ is

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |f(\mathbf{x})|^{m/(m-1)} d\mathbf{x} \right)^{(m-1)/m} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |(\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{p})f(\mathbf{x})| d\mathbf{x} \quad (4.3)$$

for $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{C}^\ell)$, where

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{p} = -i \sum_{j=1}^m \gamma_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \quad \mathbf{p} = -i \nabla.$$

To show that (4.3) does not hold we introduce an m -dimensional analogue of the Loss-Yau zero mode, namely

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(1+r^2)^{m/2}} (I + i\mathbf{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}) \phi_0, \quad r = |\mathbf{x}|, \quad (4.4)$$

where $\phi_0 = {}^t(1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^\ell$. It follows from the anti-commutation relations (4.1) that

$$|\psi(\mathbf{x})| = \frac{1}{(1+r^2)^{(m-1)/2}}, \quad (4.5)$$

and that ψ satisfies the m -dimensional analogue of the Loss-Yau equation (2.3), namely,

$$(\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{p})\psi(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{m}{1+r^2} \psi(\mathbf{x}). \quad (4.6)$$

Let $\chi_n \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be the same function as in (2.4), and put $\psi_n := \chi_n \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{C}^\ell)$. As in to (2.5), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{p})\psi_n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{C}^\ell)} &= \|\chi_n(\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{p})\psi - i\chi_n'(\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{x}}{r})\psi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{C}^\ell)} \\ &\leq S_m \left(\int_0^{n+2} \frac{m}{1+r^2} dr + \int_n^{n+2} dr \right) \\ &\leq C_0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

for some positive constant C_0 , independent of n . Here S_m is the surface area of the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^m . If the inequality (4.3) is true then it would follow

from (4.3) and (4.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} C_0 &\geq \|\psi_n\|_{L^{m/(m-1)}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{C}^\ell)} \\ &\geq \left(\int_{|\mathbf{x}| \leq n} |\psi(\mathbf{x})|^{m/(m-1)} d\mathbf{x} \right)^{(m-1)/m} \\ &\geq \text{const.} (\log n)^{(m-1)/m}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore the inequality (4.3) does not hold. Instead, what is true is the following inequality.

Theorem 4.1. *There exists a positive constant $C_{1,m}$ such that*

$$\|f\|_{L^{m/(m-1),\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{C}^\ell)} \leq C_{1,m} \|(\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{p})f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{C}^\ell)} \quad (4.9)$$

for all $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{C}^\ell)$.

Proof. Let $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{C}^\ell)$, and define $g = (\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{p})f$. Since $(\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{p})^2 = -\Delta I$, we have that $(-\Delta)f = (\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{p})g$. By Stein [8, p.118, (7)],

$$J_2(-\Delta)u = u, \quad u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{C}), \quad (4.10)$$

where

$$J_2(u) = \frac{\Gamma((m-2)/2)}{4\pi^{m/2}} I_2(u), \quad I_2(u)(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|^{m-2}} u(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}. \quad (4.11)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}) &= J_2(-\Delta)f(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma((m-2)/2)}{4\pi^{m/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|^{m-2}} (\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{p})g(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

On integration by parts, this yields

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\Gamma((m-2)/2)}{4\pi^{m/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \frac{i \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|^m} g(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}. \quad (4.13)$$

Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\mathbf{x})| &\leq \frac{\Gamma((m-2)/2)}{4\pi^{m/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|^{m-1}} |g(\mathbf{y})| d\mathbf{y} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma((m-2)/2)}{4\pi^{m/2}} \frac{2\pi^{(m+2)/2}}{\Gamma((m-1)/2)} I_1(|g|)(\mathbf{x}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Here $I_1(|g|)$ is the m -dimensional Riesz potential of $|g|$; see [2, Section 3.5]. In view of [2, Remark 3.5.7(i)], we see that the Riesz potential I_1 is of weak type $(1, m/(m-1); m, \infty)$, in particular, of weak type $(1, m/(m-1))$ (cf. [2,

Theorem 3.5.13], [8, Theorem 1, pp.119 - 120]), which means that there exists a positive constant C such that for all $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^m)$

$$\|I_1(u)\|_{L^{m/(m-1),\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m)} \leq C\|u\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^m)}. \quad (4.15)$$

The inequality (4.9) follows. \square

The m -dimensional Hardy inequality for L^1 is

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \frac{|u(\mathbf{x})|}{|\mathbf{x}|} d\mathbf{x} \leq (m-1)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\mathbf{p} u(\mathbf{x})| d\mathbf{x}, \quad u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m). \quad (4.16)$$

It can be proved as in the 3-dimensional case that its natural analogue

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \frac{|f(\mathbf{x})|}{|\mathbf{x}|} d\mathbf{x} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |(\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{p})f(\mathbf{x})| d\mathbf{x}, \quad f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{C}^\ell) \quad (4.17)$$

is not true.

Theorem 4.2. For all $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{C}^\ell)$

$$\|f/|\cdot|\|_{L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{C}^\ell)} \leq C_{2,m} \|(\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{p})f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{C}^\ell)}, \quad (4.18)$$

where

$$C_{2,m} \leq C_{1,m} \frac{\pi^{1/2} m}{\Gamma((m+2)/2)^{1/m} (m-1)^{1-1/m}},$$

and $C_{1,m}$ is the optimal constant in Theorem 4.1.

Proof. It is easy to see that $\|1/|\cdot|\|_{m,\infty} = (\omega_m)^{1/m}$, where ω_m denotes the volume of the m -dimensional unit ball, and is given by

$$\omega_m = \frac{\pi^{m/2}}{\Gamma((m+2)/2)}.$$

On applying the weak Hölder inequality in the Appendix with $p = m/(m-1)$ and $q = m$, we get

$$\|f/|\cdot|\|_{1,\infty} \leq ((m-1)^{1/m} + (m-1)^{-(m-1)/m}) \omega_m^{1/m} \|f\|_{m/(m-1),\infty}. \quad (4.19)$$

The theorem follows on combining this inequality with (4.9). \square

5. APPENDIX

The proofs of Theorems 2.2 and 4.2 are consequences of the following Hölder - type inequality in weak L^p spaces, which we have been unable to find in the literature.

Theorem 5.1 (Weak Hölder inequality). *Let $p > 1$, $q > 1$ and $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$. If $f \in L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $g \in L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $fg \in L^{1,\infty}$ and*

$$\|fg\|_{1,\infty} \leq \left((q/p)^{1/q} + (p/q)^{1/p} \right) \|f\|_{p,\infty} \|g\|_{q,\infty}. \quad (5.1)$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary, and set

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \varepsilon |f(\mathbf{x})| > t^{1/p} \} \\ B &= \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |g(\mathbf{x})| > t^{1/q} \} \\ E &= \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : |f(\mathbf{x})g(\mathbf{x})| > t \}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$|f(\mathbf{x})g(\mathbf{x})| \leq p^{-1} (\varepsilon |f(\mathbf{x})|)^p + q^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} |g(\mathbf{x})| \right)^q, \quad (5.2)$$

we have

$$E \subset A \cup B, \quad (5.3)$$

which implies that

$$t\mu(E) \leq t\mu(\{ \mathbf{x} : \varepsilon |f(\mathbf{x})| > t^{1/p} \}) + t\mu(\{ \mathbf{x} : \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |g(\mathbf{x})| > t^{1/q} \}). \quad (5.4)$$

With

$$s := \frac{t^{1/p}}{\varepsilon}, \quad r := \varepsilon t^{1/q}, \quad (5.5)$$

it follows from (5.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} &t\mu(\{ \mathbf{x} : |f(\mathbf{x})g(\mathbf{x})| > t \}) \\ &\leq \varepsilon^p s^p \mu(\{ \mathbf{x} : |f(\mathbf{x})| > s \}) + \varepsilon^{-q} r^q \mu(\{ \mathbf{x} : |g(\mathbf{x})| > r \}) \\ &\leq \varepsilon^p \|f\|_{p,\infty}^p + \varepsilon^{-q} \|g\|_{q,\infty}^q. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

The minimum value of this is the expression on the right-hand side of (5.1), this being attained when $\varepsilon = (q\|g\|_{q,\infty}^q/p\|f\|_{p,\infty}^p)^{1/pq}$. \square

Acknowledgements. TU is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) No. 21540193, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. AB and WDE are grateful for the support and hospitality of the University of Hyogo in March when this work was completed.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Balinsky, W.D. Evans and Y. Saitō, *Dirac-Sobolev inequalities and estimates for the zero modes of massless Dirac operators*, J. Math. Phys. **49** (2008), 043514.
- [2] D.E. Edmunds and W.D. Evans, *Hardy operators, functions spaces and embeddings*, Springer 2004.
- [3] T. Ichinose and Y. Saitō, *Dirac-Sobolev spaces and Sobolev spaces*, Funkcialaj Ekvacioj **53** (2010), 291–310.
- [4] E. Lieb and M. Loss *Analysis*, 2nd edition, American Mathematical Society 2001.
- [5] M. Loss and H.T. Yau, *Stability of Coulomb systems with magnetic fields. III. Zero energy bound states of the Pauli operators*, Commun. Math. Phys. **104** (1986), 283–290.
- [6] Y. Saitō and T. Umeda, *The zero modes and zero resonances of massless Dirac operators*, Hokkaido Math. J. **37** (2008), 363–388.
- [7] Y. Saitō and T. Umeda, *The asymptotic limits of zero modes of massless Dirac operators*, Lett. Math. Phys. **83** (2008), 97–106.
- [8] E. M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differential properties of functions*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1970.

Note added in proof. We are grateful to the referee for the comment that the first inequality in (1.3) is not a direct consequence of Ichinose and Saitō [3, Theorem 1.3(ii)], but can be established as follows. Since $(\sigma \cdot \mathbf{p})^2 = -\Delta$, one has

$$-i\partial_j(\sigma \cdot \mathbf{p})^{-1} = \{-i\partial_j/\sqrt{-\Delta}\}\{(\sigma \cdot \mathbf{p})/\sqrt{-\Delta}\} = \sum_{k=1}^3 \sigma_k R_j R_k$$

where $R_j = -i\partial_j/\sqrt{-\Delta}$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, are the Riesz transforms. Since R_j is a pseudo-differential operator with symbol $\xi_j/|\xi|$, it is bounded on L^p by the Calderón-Zygmund theorem (see [8]). The first inequality in (1.3) therefore follows.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, CARDIFF UNIVERSITY, 23 SENGHENNYDD ROAD, CARDIFF CF24 4AG, UNITED KINGDOM

E-mail address: balinsky@carfiff.ac.uk

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, CARDIFF UNIVERSITY, 23 SENGHENNYDD ROAD, CARDIFF CF24 4AG, UNITED KINGDOM

E-mail address: evanswd@carfiff.ac.uk

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF HYOGO, HIMEJI 671-2201, JAPAN

E-mail address: umeda@sci.u-hyogo.ac.jp