

Giant Magnetoelectric Effect in HoAl(BO)

K.-C. Liang¹, R. P. Chaudhury¹, B. Lorenz¹, Y. Y. Sun¹, L. N. Bezmaternykh², V. L. Temerov², and C. W. Chu^{1,3}

¹ TCSUH and Department of Physics, University of Houston, Houston, Texas 77204-5002, USA

² Institute of Physics, Siberian Division, Russian Academy of Sciences, Krasnoyarsk, 660036 Russia and

³ Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Road, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

A giant magnetoelectric polarization is found in HoAl₃(BO₃)₄. The polarization in transverse field geometry at 70 kOe reaches 3600 $\mu\text{C}/\text{m}^2$ which is significantly higher than reported values of linear magnetoelectric or even multiferroic compounds. The magnetostrictive effect is also measured and compared with the magnetoelectricity. The results show that spin-lattice coupling in HoAl₃(BO₃)₄ is extremely strong and that the magnetic field causes a large polar distortion of the ionic positions in the unit cell.

AC n u \ rs . q . t . s

The magnetoelectric effect, reflecting the coupling between magnetic (electric) fields and electric (magnetic) polarizations in matter, has inspired researchers for more than a century. The experimental discovery of the linear magnetoelectric coupling in antiferromagnetic Cr₂O₃ by Rado and Folen¹ in 1961 has started a new field of research and many magnetoelectric materials have been identified and investigated in the ensuing years.² Materials with a large magnetoelectric effect are of interest for the development of new technologies and applications as magnetoelectric sensors, memory elements, etc.

The magnetoelectric coupling can be derived from the expansion of the free energy with respect to magnetic (H) and electric (E) fields. The linear coupling term involving electric and magnetic fields, $\alpha_{ij}E_iH_j$, defines the magnetoelectric susceptibility tensor α_{ij} . This term gives rise to a linear magnetic-field induced contribution to the electric polarization, $P_i = \alpha_{ij}H_j$.² Unfortunately, the linear magnetoelectric effect is frequently forbidden by symmetry or relatively small.^{3,4} In fact, the magnetoelectric coefficients reported for a variety of materials do not exceed 100 ps/m (see table 3 in Ref.²). For comparison, the magnitude of α_{33} of Cr₂O₃, the first magnetoelectric material reported, is less than 5 ps/m.¹ Only TbPO₄ was found with a significantly larger coefficient of 730 ps/m at a temperature of 1.5 K,⁵ corresponding to a field-induced polarization of 58 $\mu\text{C}/\text{m}^2$ at 1 kOe. Besides the linear magnetoelectric effect the bilinear coupling also exists and may result in a sizable magnetoelectric polarization, $P \sim H^2$, especially at higher fields.


In the search for materials with larger magnetoelectric couplings the recent attention has shifted toward the study of multiferroic compounds^{4,6-9} since the coexistence of magnetic and ferroelectric orders in this class of materials is commonly associated with large values of electric and magnetic susceptibilities in some temperature range, allowing for larger linear magnetoelectric coefficients. Relatively large values of the ferroelectric polarization have been reported in multiferroics like TbMnO₃ (800 $\mu\text{C}/\text{m}^2$),¹⁰ GdMn₂O₅ (1200 $\mu\text{C}/\text{m}^2$),¹¹ and DyMnO₃ (2500 $\mu\text{C}/\text{m}^2$).¹² The tunability of the ferroelectric polarization in magnetic fields has been shown for some multiferroics mainly through the coupling of the

field to the magnetic order and field-induced magnetic phase transitions.¹³⁻¹⁵

Another class of noncentrosymmetric compounds, rare earth iron borates - RFe₃(BO₃)₄ (R=rare earth, Y), have been recently shown to exhibit a significant magnetoelectric effect.¹⁶⁻²¹ The RFe₃(BO₃)₄ system belongs to the trigonal system with space group R32²² and they show a wealth of magnetic phase transitions with the ordering of Fe-spins as well as rare earth moments. The magnetoelectric properties and magnetic phase diagram of these compounds are very complex because of the magnetic exchange of the Fe-spins giving rise to antiferromagnetic (AFM) order and their coupling to the rare-earth f-moments with a strong magnetic anisotropy.²³ We have shown recently that the transition metal (Fe) is not necessary to establish large magnetoelectricity in this class of materials and that the isostructural compound TmAl₃(BO₃)₄ displays a sizable bilinear magnetoelectric effect²⁴. These results led us to further studies of the RAl₃(BO₃)₄ system in the search for materials with larger magnetoelectric polarizations.

In this communication we report the discovery of a giant magnetoelectric effect in HoAl₃(BO₃)₄ that exceeds the typical field-induced polarization changes of magnetoelectric and multiferroic materials at high magnetic fields significantly.

Single crystals of HoAl₃(BO₃)₄ have been grown from the flux as described earlier.²⁵ The crystals show smooth facets and their color varies between yellow and pink (Inset B in Fig. 1). The crystals were cut and polished according to the demands of various experiments. The orientation of the samples was determined by Laue X-ray diffraction. The magnetic susceptibility was measured in a 50 kOe magnetometer (Quantum Design) along different field orientations. For the remaining discussions we will use an orthogonal system (x, y, z) with x and z along the hexagonal a - and c -axes and y perpendicular to x and z . Magnetoelectric measurements were conducted by measuring the current between two contacts (made from silver paint) attached to a parallel plate sample while sweeping the magnetic field. Temperature and field control were provided by the Physical Property Measurement System (Quantum Design). The field-induced






FIG. 3. (Color online) Open squares: Transverse magneto-electric sensitivity, $S_{xy} = d(\Delta P_x)/dH_y$, of $\text{HoAl}_3(\text{BO}_3)_4$. Open circles: Average sensitivity $\Delta P_x/H_y$. The dashed line shows the sensitivity of TbPO_4 (Note that above 8 kOe the magnetolectricity in TbPO_4 breaks down).

of 70 kOe.

The magnetolectric sensitivity, $S_{ij} = d(\Delta P_i)/dH_j$, as a measure of the polarization change induced by a change of magnetic field, is a relevant quantity for technological utilizations of the magnetolectric effect. For linear magnetolectrics S_{ij} is a constant identical to α_{ij} . S_{xy} is plotted for the transverse configuration in Fig. 3 (open squares). Since ΔP_{xy} is a nonlinear function of H_y , the sensitivity changes with the field rising to a maximum of $|S_{xy}| = 1130$ ps/m at about 20 kOe. The ratio $\langle S_{xy} \rangle = \Delta P_x/H_y$ (open circles in Fig. 3) describes the average rate of change of the polarization upon increasing the field from 0 to H . It still rises in magnitude to 850 ps/m, above the value for the linear transverse coefficient of TbPO_4 (horizontal dashed line in Fig. 3).

It is worth noting that the relative sign of ΔP_{ij} in Fig. 2 depends on the magnetic field orientation, where ΔP_{xy} has the opposite sign to that of ΔP_{xx} and ΔP_{xz} . The sign and magnitude of ΔP_{ij} are associated with the microscopic structural changes caused by the external field. While the zero-field structure is non-polar (space group $R32$), the magnetic field apparently changes the symmetry to allow for a macroscopic polarization. The microscopic distortions also result in a change of the lattice parameters and a macroscopic length change (magnetostriction). The results of longitudinal and transverse magnetostriction measurements are shown in Fig. 4 for the same directions of measurements and applied fields as in the polarization measurements of Fig. 2.

The x -axis in longitudinal field (X-X configuration) expands with H (Fig. 4a). Although the magnitude of $\Delta L(H)/L(0)$ is comparable with the magnetostriction in $\text{TmAl}_3(\text{BO}_3)_4$,²⁴ the opposite sign (expansion instead of compression) indicates significant differences between these two isostructural compounds. Fields directed along the y -axis (X-Y geometry) result in a large compression of the x -axis (Fig. 4b) in $\text{HoAl}_3(\text{BO}_3)_4$. In contrast, The




FIG. 4. (Color online) a -axis magnetostriction of $\text{HoAl}_3(\text{BO}_3)_4$ in (a) longitudinal and (b), (c) transverse field orientations. The labels next to the curves indicate the temperature of the measurements.

z -axis field causes a relatively minor change of the x -axis (X-Z geometry in Fig. 4c). Interestingly, the length along x first increases in small z -axis fields and then decreases at higher H_z . The overall change in this case is 20 times smaller than in the X-Y configuration (Fig. 4b).

Comparing the field-induced polarization (Fig. 2) and the magnetostriction (Fig. 4), it becomes obvious that a simple correlation between the polarization and length change along the x -axis does not exist for all three field orientations. While the field dependencies of ΔP_{xx} and $\Delta x(H_x)/x(0)$ are similar (Figs. 2a and 4a) and both quantities scale well with one another, an analogous scaling behavior is not obvious for ΔP_{xy} and $\Delta x(H_y)/x(0)$ as well as ΔP_{xz} and $\Delta x(H_z)/x(0)$ (Figs. 2b,c and 4b,c). It is therefore concluded that the large values of ΔP_{ij} arise from complex atomic displacements within the unit

cell and that these displacements strongly depend on the orientation of the external field. High-resolution scattering (X-ray, neutron) experiments could reveal the nature of the distortions and the associated change of symmetry but it is not clear whether the resolution of those techniques would be sufficient. Further studies should target the field-induced structural changes resulting in the large magnetoelectric effect on a microscopic level.

The magnetoelectric response of $\text{HoAl}_3(\text{BO}_3)_4$ is strong in the high-field range (>10 kOe) where the magnetoelectricity of linear magnetoelectrics usually breaks down (above 8 kOe in TbPO_4)^{26,27} or decreases significantly (above 5 kOe in hexaferrites).²⁸ It also allows for a continuous change of the polarization with magnetic fields in contrast to the magnetoelectric response of many multiferroic compounds, where the largest polarization changes happen in a narrow field or temperature range due to a phase transition in the magnetic system.

By reviewing the magnetoelectricity in the $\text{RAl}_3(\text{BO}_3)_4$ system, we found that the effect is closely related to the magnetic anisotropy of the compound and decreases as the latter increases. For example, the field-induced polarization increases from zero in $\text{TbAl}_3(\text{BO}_3)_4$ (with a strong uniaxial z -axis anisotropy²⁹) through $\text{ErAl}_3(\text{BO}_3)_4$ (strongest easy plane anisotropy²⁹) and $\text{TmAl}_3(\text{BO}_3)_4$ ²⁴ to $\text{HoAl}_3(\text{BO}_3)_4$ (nearly isotropic) as their magnetic anisotropy decreases. This suggests that a large magnetoelectricity is realized most favorably in the magnetically isotropic members of the $\text{RAl}_3(\text{BO}_3)_4$

compounds.

In summary, we have discovered a giant magnetoelectric effect in $\text{HoAl}_3(\text{BO}_3)_4$ of $3600 \mu\text{C}/\text{m}^2$ along the x -axis when 70 kOe is applied along the y -axis. The magnitude of this effect at higher fields exceeds the field-induced polarization values of linear magnetoelectric materials including the current record holder TbPO_4 , as well as the values found in the isostructural system $\text{RFe}_3(\text{BO}_3)_4$. We also found that the magnetoelectric effect of the $\text{RAl}_3(\text{BO}_3)_4$ system increases with decreasing magnetic anisotropy. The microscopic picture is yet to be revealed. From the complimentary magnetostriction measurements it is concluded that the magnetic field couples strongly to the ions on a microscopic scale and that the origin of the large magnetoelectric effect lies in the relative ionic displacements in the unit cell of $\text{HoAl}_3(\text{BO}_3)_4$ accompanied by a field-induced symmetry change to a polar structure. The current results suggest to search for other compounds of similar structure but with alterations of the rare earth or the d/p electron elements.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by the DoE, the US AFOSR, the T.L.L. Temple Foundation, the J. J. and R. Moores Endowment, and the State of Texas through the TCSUH.

-
- ¹ G. T. Rado and V. J. Folen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **7**, 310 (1961).
² H. Schmid, in *Introduction to complex mediums for optics and electromagnetics*, edited by W. S. Weigelhofer and A. Lakhtakia (SPIE Press monograph; PM123; p. 167, 2003).
³ J. W. F. Brown, R. M. Hornreich, and S. Shtrikman, Phys. Rev. **168**, 574 (1968).
⁴ M. Fiebig, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. **38**, R123 (2005).
⁵ J.-P. Rivera, Eur. Phys. J. B **71**, 299 (2009).
⁶ W. Eerenstein, N. D. Mathur, and J. F. Scott, Nature **442**, 759 (2006).
⁷ D. I. Khomskii, J. Mag. Mag. Mater. **306**, 1 (2006).
⁸ S.-W. Cheong and M. Mostovoy, Nature Materials **6**, 13 (2007).
⁹ Y. Tokura, J. Mag. Mag. Mat. **310**, 1145 (2007).
¹⁰ T. Kimura, T. Goto, H. Shintani, K. Ishizaka, T. Arima, and Y. Tokura, Nature (London) **426**, 55 (2003).
¹¹ A. Inomata and K. Kohn, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **8**, 2673 (1996).
¹² T. Kimura, G. Lawes, T. Goto, Y. Tokura, and A. P. Ramirez, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 224425 (2005).
¹³ D. Higashiyama, S. Miyasaka, N. Kida, T. Arima, and Y. Tokura, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 174405 (2004).
¹⁴ N. Hur, S. Park, P. A. Sharma, S. Guha, and S.-W. Cheong, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 107207 (2004).
¹⁵ K. Taniguchi, N. Abe, T. Takenobu, Y. Iwasa, and T. Arima, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 097203 (2006).
¹⁶ A. K. Zvezdin, S. S. Krotov, A. M. Kadomtseva, G. P. Vorbev, Y. F. Popov, A. P. Pyatakov, L. N. Bezmaternykh, and E. A. Popova, JETP Lett. **81**, 272 (2005).
¹⁷ S. S. Krotov, A. M. Kadomtseva, Y. F. Popov, G. P. Vorob'ev, A. V. Kuvardin, K. I. Kamilov, L. N. Bezmaternykh, and E. A. Popova, J. Mag. Mag. Mater. **300**, e426 (2006).
¹⁸ A. K. Zvezdin, A. M. Kadomtseva, S. S. Krotov, A. P. Pyatakov, Y. F. Popov, and G. P. Vorob'ev, J. Mag. Mag. Mater. **300**, 224 (2006).
¹⁹ F. Yen, B. Lorenz, Y. Y. Sun, C. W. Chu, L. N. Bezmaternykh, and A. N. Vasiliev, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 054435 (2006).
²⁰ A. K. Zvezdin, G. P. Vorob'ev, A. M. Kadomtseva, Y. F. Popov, A. P. Pyatakov, L. N. Bezmaternykh, A. V. Kuvardin, and E. A. Popova, JETP Letters **83**, 509 (2006).
²¹ R. P. Chaudhury, F. Yen, B. Lorenz, Y. Y. Sun, L. N. Bezmaternykh, V. L. Temerov, and C. W. Chu, Phys. Rev. B **80**, 104424 (2009).
²² J.-C. Joubert, W. B. White, and R. Roy, J. Appl. Crystallogr. **1**, 318 (1968).
²³ A. M. Kadomtseva, Y. F. Popov, G. P. Vorob'ev, A. P. Pyatakov, S. S. Krotov, K. I. Kamilov, V. Y. Ivanov, A. A. Mukhin, A. K. Zvezdin, A. M. Kuz'menko, L. N. Bezmaternykh, I. A. Gudim, and V. L. Temerov, Low Temp. Phys. **36**, 511 (2010).
²⁴ R. P. Chaudhury, B. Lorenz, Y. Y. Sun, L. N. Bezmaternykh, V. L. Temerov, and C. W. Chu, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 220402(R) (2010).
²⁵ V. L. Temerov, A. E. Sokolov, A. L. Sukhachev, A. F.

- Bovina, I. S. Edelman, and A. V. Malakhovskii, *Crystall. Rep.* **53**, 1157 (2008).
- ²⁶ H. G. Kahle, S. Bluck, and A. Kasten, *J. Mag. Mag. Mater.* **54-57**, 1327 (1986).
- ²⁷ H. Mensinger, J. Jakelski, H. G. Kahle, A. Kasten, and W. Paul, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **5**, 935 (1993).
- ²⁸ Y. Kitagawa, Y. Hiraoka, T. Honda, T. Ishikura, H. Nakamura, and T. Kimura, *Nature Materials* **9**, 797 (2010).
- ²⁹ R. P. Chaudhury, unpublished.