Frequency Resolved Optical Gating for Time-Resolving Intra-Atomic Knock-Out in Double Ionization with Attosecond Pulses

H Prick¹ A Staudt² P B Corkum² and A Emmanouilidou^{3,1}

¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London,

Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom

² Joint Laboratory for Attosecond Science, University of Ottawa and National Research Council,

100 Sussex Drive, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1A 0R6

³Chemistry Department, University of Massachusetts at Amherst, Amherst, Massachusetts, 01003, U.S.A.

We develop the two-electron attosecond streak camera under realistic conditions using a quasiclassical model. We assume extreme ultra-violet (XUV) attosecond pulses with a full width at half maximum (FWHM) of 24 attoseconds, centered at 120 eV and a streaking infrared laser field of 1600 nm, and intensity of 1.8×10^{12} W/cm². The proposed method is shown to be capable to time resolve intra-atomic collisions in double ionization.

PACS numbers: 32.80.Fb, 41.50.+h

Time resolving correlated electron processes is one of the driving forces behind the large scaled ort to push the frontiers of attosecond science. Attosecond science ders time resolution through XUV pulses. However, pump probe experiments using attosecond pulses are technically very challenging. Hence, the streaking of photo electrons with an infrared (IR) laser eld has become a successful technique for bringing time resolution to photo ionization. The paradigmatic attosecond streak camera . . and its development into FROG CRAB (Frequency Resolved Optical Gating for Complete Re construction of Attosecond Bursts) originally aiming to characterize attosecond extreme ultra violet (XUV pulses, have been the underlying concept for studies re solving delayed time emission from atoms 4–8 and solids 9

To study the electron correlation in single photon dou ble ionization we recently formulated the two electron streak camera Speci cally, it was shown that the intra atomic knock out process can be associated with a delay, i e a time between photo absorption and ejection of two electrons in the continuum The delay is encoded in the inter electronic angle of escape as a function of the phase of the IR laser eld In addition the two electron streak camera can time resolve delays cor responding to derent energy sharings between the two electrons, and to derent ionization mechanisms However, previous work considered only discrete photon energies and instantaneous photo absorption

Here, we remove this severe limitation and extend the two electron streak camera to realistic attosecond pulses By resolving the bandwidth of an XUV pulse in the sum energy of two emitted electrons we construct the two electron equivalent of FROG to obtain a complete picture of the single photon double ionization process Speci cally, in FROG , one extracts from a two dimensional data set (FROG trace the complete characteristics of an optical pulse In a similar manner in FROG CRAB one retrieves the spectral phases and amplitudes of an attosecond pulse Heix, we assume a fully characterized transform limited XUV attosecond pulse to obtain in formation about the delay of two electron emission after absorption of a photon from the attosecond pulse We use as FROG like trace for double ionization the inter electronic angle of escape as a function of the phase of the IR laser eld A simple algorithm is devised for ex tracting the intra atomic two electron delays for deerent excess energies

We build on our classical trajectory Monte Carlo $_4$ simulation of the classical He^{*}(, s s' model system, for details see , , $_5$, $_7$ We choose He^{*}(, s s' as a prototype system to clearly formulate the concept of streak ing two electron dynamics while avoiding the unneces sary complexity of many electron systems. However, the scheme is not system specific. The same scheme could time resolve for instance, the collision between , s and s electrons in the ground state of Li $_5$, $_7$ Atomic units (a u are used throughout this work except where otherwise indicated

Fig $_{P}$ a ¶ illustrates the concept of the two electron streak camera First, the s electron (photo electron absorbs the XUV attosecond pulse with energies above the double ionization threshold The as the electron leaves the atom it can collide with the s electron and transfer some of its energy resulting in the simultaneous ejection of both electrons The intra atomic collision is typically characterized by the asymptotic inter electronic angle of escales θ that can be observed by experiment To time resolve the two electron collision dynamics we streak θ_{12}^{∞} by adding a weak IR laser eld polarized along the z axis $\tilde{F}^{IR}(t) = F_0^{IR} f(t) \cos(\omega_{IR} t) - \phi$ where ϕ is the phase between the IR $\,$ eld and the XUV pulse and f(t is the pulse envelope $_{\pi}$ We choose $\omega_{IR} = 1.85$ au ($_{6}$ nm and $F_{0}^{IR} = 1.7$ a u ($<_{\pi}.8 \times_{\pi}^{-12}$ W/cm² so that the streaking eld does not alter the attosecond collision signi cantiv, but still has an observable ect on θ_{12} Height $F_0^{IR} = .7$ au is chosen to \underline{e}_{e_1} ciently streak excess energies from _ eV to 6 eV The R laser

eld splits $\theta_{12}(\phi^{\checkmark})$ in two branches with the lower upper branch corresponding to launching of the photo electron along the $\pm \hat{z}$ direction see Fig $_{\Rightarrow}$ a \checkmark

Next, we describe how we model the XUV attosecond pulse and how its spectral intensity needs to be rejected in the weight of trajectories corresponding to deerent excess energies. The electric eld of the XUV pulse is of the form:

$$\vec{F}^{XUV}(t^{\checkmark} = F_0^{XUV} e^{-t^2/4\sigma^2} \cos(\omega_0^{XUV} t^{\checkmark} \hat{z}) \qquad (\mathbf{z}^{\vee})^{\ast}$$

with σ the standard deviation of the temporal intensity envelope I(t⁻, For the current calculations, the spectral intensity $\tilde{I}(\omega)$ of the XUV pulse has a FWHM of 75 eV, centered at $\omega_0^{XUV} = -$, eV, see Fig., b⁻ The temporal intensity envelope I(t⁻ of the transform limited pulse has a FWHM of a b, see inset of Fig., b⁻ In what follows we focus on the - ect the large energy bandwidth of the XUV pulse has on the streaking process and we neglect the - ect of the - nite FWHM of I(t⁻) The uncertainty of the time of photo absorption will be taken into account after the application of the streak camera algorithm as an uncertainty in the retrieved delay times

Using rst order perturbation theory we compute the photo absorption probability to transition from the ini tial ground state of He^{*}($_{\sigma}$ s s^{*} to the nal state of double electron escape $_{\sigma}$ 8 :

$$W_{i\to f} \propto \frac{\mathbf{z}}{\omega} \sigma^{++} (\omega \Psi^{i} (\omega \Psi^{i}) (\omega \Psi^{i}))$$

with the cross section for double ionization $\sigma^{++}(\omega^{\checkmark})$ given by $\sigma_{\rm abs}(\omega^{+}P^{++}(\omega^{+}),\sigma_{\rm abs})$ is the cross section for photo absorption which we calculate in the single elec tron approximation assuming that the selectron ab sorbs the photon 9 $P^{++}(\omega^{+})$ is the probability for dou ble ionization obtained through our classical simulation Δ . 5. 6 Finally, we weight each classical trajectory for a given photon energy ω by the factor $\sigma_{\rm abs}(\omega^{-}I(\omega^{+})\omega)$

Our goal is to retrieve the delay between photo absorption and ionization of both electrons Since the delay depends on the sharing of the nal energy among the two electrons \rightarrow we will consider in the following only symmetric energy sharing of $\epsilon < ._{\sigma}4$ The delay times for the most symmetric energy sharing correspond roughly to the time of minimum approach of the two elec trok i e to the collision time Hey, we have de ned the energy sharing $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2)/(\epsilon_1)$ as the dimension less asymmetry parameter between the observable (nal kinetic energies ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 of the two electrons The analy sis of d erent energy sharings as described in $_{a}$ can be applied to the following analysis without any restrictions In Fig $_{\sigma}$ c we plut a FROG like trace for two electron ejection, the observable total electron energy as a func tion of ϕ In what follows, we describe how we extract from Fig , c the delay times of the intra atomic two electron collisions for d erent triggering excess energies



FIG. 1. (a) Sketch of the intra-atomic knock-out mechanism to be studied with the two-electron streak camera. The streaking field causes a decrease in θ_{12}^{∞} when the photo-electron is launched along the $+\hat{z}$ direction, since adding Δp_{IR} to each of the electron momenta results in $\theta_{12} < \theta_{12}^{\infty}$. (b) Spectral intensity of the XUV-pulse scaled by $(F_0^{XUV})^2$. Dotted curve: $W_{i\to f}$ in the presence of the XUV and IR field averaged over all $\phi's$. (c) Observable E^{str} total electron energy as a function of ϕ considering excess energies from 4 eV to 60 eV in steps of 2 eV and double ionization events corresponding to launching of the photo-electron (1s) in the $\pm \hat{z}$ direction for ϕ ranging from 0° to 180°. To illustrate the difference between launching of the photo-electron in the $+ \hat{z}$ versus the $-\hat{z}$ direction we plot the E^{str} corresponding to $+ \hat{z}$ for ϕ ranging from 0° to 180° and the E^{str} corresponding to $- \hat{z}$ for ϕ ranging from 180° to 360°.

We rst study the ect of the large energy bandwidth of the XUV pulse on streaking the two electron collision dynamics In Fig a we plot the correlation map of the excess energy of the XUV photon and the observ able sum energy E^{str} of both electrons in the presence of the streaking IR eld We see that a large range of excess energies maps to the same streaked total electron energy Hence the nal electronic state does not cor respond unambiguously to the triggering photon energy For instance the eV streaked energy maps to excess energies ranging from eV to 6 eV The reason for the weak correlation between the streaked and the excess energy becomes clear in Fig c for eV excess energy: the streaked energy changes signi cantly with ϕ

To retrieve the excess energy from the nal electronic state with improved accurate, we introduce a "modi ed" total electron energy, where the ect of the streaking IR eld is reduced Therefore, we de ne a "modi ed" electron momentum p_i^{mod} by subtracting the momentum



FIG. 2. Correlation map of the excess energy E^{xs} (a) with the observable electron energy E^{str} and (b) with the "modified" electron energy E^{mod} . The color scale in a) and b) is such that the sum of E^{xs} contributing to a certain E^{str} is normalized to 100. (c) Streaked electron energy and (d) "modified" electron energy as a function of ϕ for $E^{xs} = 10$ eV excess energy.

change Δp_{IR} due to the streaking IR end i e

$$\mathbf{p}_i^{mod} = \mathbf{p}_i^{str} - \Delta p_{IR} \hat{z}, \qquad (\ \blacksquare$$

where the index i = 2, labels the two electrons The change in momentum due to the streaking eld (neglect ing the Coulomb potential is given by

$$\Delta p_{IR} \approx \frac{F_0^{IR}}{\omega_{IR}} \sin(\Delta \phi) \quad \phi^{\P'}. \tag{4}$$

Here, the shift $\Delta \phi = \omega_{\rm IR} t_{\rm delay}$ with respect to the max imum of the vector potential of the IR ed. $A_{\rm IR}(\phi, \Phi)$ (Fig , a is due to the delayed electron emission Since we want to retrieve $t_{\rm delay}$ we set $\Delta \phi =$ when com puting the "modi ed" electron momentum $p_i^{\rm mod}$ Hence, $\Delta p_{\rm IR} \approx \frac{F_0^{\rm IR}}{\omega_{\rm IR}} \sin \phi$ Thus the "modi ed" energy $E^{\rm mod}$ is given by

$$\sum_{i=1,2} \frac{((p_{x,i}^{str} \checkmark _{2}^{t} (p_{y,i}^{str} \checkmark _{2}^{t} \checkmark _{2}^{t}))}{\sum_{i=1,2} (p_{z,i}^{mod} \checkmark _{2}^{t})} = E^{mod} \quad (5^{\P})$$

Fig d shows that the "modi ed" electron energy varies signi cantly less with ϕ compared to the unmod i d observable energy E^{str} (Fig c Consequently, E^{mod} is strongly correlated with the excess energy see Fig b The improved correlation at higher excess en ergies is likely due to the faster collision, i e the approx imation $\Delta \phi \approx$ is better at higher excess energies

We next explain why at $\phi = {}^{\circ}/{}_{\mathbf{z}}8 {}^{\circ}$ the "modi ed" electron energy is smaller larger than the correspond ing excess energy for photo electrons ejected in the \hat{z} direction (it is the other way around for photo electrons ejected in the \hat{z} direction. At $\phi = {}^{\circ}$ each electron expe riences a force from the IR eld in the direction opposite to the electron's direction of escape As a result each



FIG. 3. (a) E^{mod} for one electron as a function of ϕ for 5 eV excess energy. (b) E^{mod} for two electrons as a function of ϕ for 10 eV excess energy; white solid line shows the average of the distribution E^{mod} in a) times two. c) E^{mod} for two electrons as a function of ϕ for excess energies between 4 and 14 eV. The white dashed lines enclose the doubly ionizing events with $\mathcal{E}^{mod} = 10 \text{ eV}$.



FIG. 4. θ_{12} as a function of ϕ for "modified" energies around 10eV (a) and 20 eV (c) in the presence of the XUV and IR field. $\Delta \phi$ is the shift of the maximum of the vector potential of the IR field, corresponding to a maximum of the split of θ_{12} as a function of ϕ . (b) Excess energies as a function of ϕ that contribute to the "modified" energy around 10 eV enclosed by the white dashed lines in Fig. 3 c) and similarly (not shown) for the "modified" energy centered around 20 eV.

electron slows down and escapes with an energy smaller than the electron's nal energy in the absence of the IR eld In contract, at $\phi = .8$ ° each electron experiences a force from the IR eld in the same direction as the electron's direction of escape As a result, each electron escapes with an energy larger than the electron's nal energy in the absence of the IR eld To verify that the overall change of the "modi ed" total electron energy with ϕ is a one electron etc. we run our simulation in the presence of the XUV plus IR eld only for the photo electron (the s electron is absent) Since for the two electron case we only consider equal energy sharing we compare the two electron case for a certain excess energy with the one electron case for half that excess en ergy Indeed multiplying by two the distribution of the "modi ed" one electron energy as a function of ϕ for an excess energy of for example, 5 eV (Fig a and tak ing the average we ind that there is excellent agreement with the two electron "modi ed" electron energy at eV excess energy as a function of ϕ see Fig. b. Note that in Fig and in what follows (Fig 4 b) and d) and Fig 5 we focus on double ionization events where the photo electron is ejected in the \hat{z} direction

The increase of the "modi ed" electron energy with ϕ for each excess energy \mathbf{E}^{xs} when the photo electron is ejected in the \hat{z} direction, forms the basis for the simple algorithm we develop to restrict the residual variation be tween excess energy and "modi ed" electron energy i e the range of "modi ed" electron energies that pertain to a certain excess energy for each value of ϕ If our algo rithm is dictated mainly by experimentally accessible ob servables, we compute the collision time corresponding to E^{xs} by selecting the doubly ionizing events whose "modi ed" electron energy changes from $E^{xs} - \Delta E/$, E^{xs} eV for $\phi = \circ$ to E^{xs}, $\Delta E/$, E^{xs} for $\phi = -8 \circ$ Choosing ΔE to be roughly 8 eV for all excess energies (method **X** the thus selected doubly ionizing events have excess energies close to the desired E^{xs} for all ϕ_{x} and we label them by \mathcal{E}^{mod} Index, the double ionization events with $\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{mod}} = \mathbb{Z}$ eV, illustrated with the white dashed lines in **N** are the events corresponding to excess ener Fig gies close to $E^{xs} = P$ eV for all ϕ 's see Fig 4 b and similarly for $\mathcal{E}^{mod} = P$ see Fig 4 d We then deter mine the collision time for $\mathcal{E}^{\text{mod}} = \mathbf{z} \text{ eV}/\text{eV}$ in Fig 4 a^{\checkmark} and c^{\checkmark} by extracting $\Delta \phi$ from the lower branch of the inter electronic angle of escape $\theta_{12}(\phi^{\vee})$ We nd that $\Delta \phi$ is $4 \, {}_{\mathbf{z}}^{\circ} \, {}_{\mathbf{z}}^{\circ} \, 9^{\circ}$ corresponding to a collision time of 5 a u ${}_{\mathbf{z}}^{\circ}$ a u for $\mathcal{E}^{\text{mod}} = {}_{\mathbf{z}}^{\circ} \, \text{eV}$, respectively

We note that the variation in collision time with excess energy suggests that the accuracy of $\Delta \phi$ depends criti cally on the resolution in θ_{12} In order to increase the robustness of the retrieval algorithm we determine $\Delta \phi$ for a range of bin sizes $d\theta_{12} = 4^{\circ} - 9^{\circ}$ We de ne the av erage of $\Delta \phi (d\theta_{12})$ as the collision phase or collision time at a given excess energy or \mathcal{E}^{mod}

In Fig 5 a we show the collision time for \mathcal{E}^{mod} rang ing from $_{\mathbf{z}}$ eV to 56 eV excess energies We nd that the collision time decreases with increasing excess energy, as expected Moreovic, we nd that the agreement be tween the collision times for a certain \mathcal{E}^{mod} and the cor responding \mathbf{E}^{xs} is best for smaller excess energies The reason is that we compute the delay times correspond ing to a certain \mathcal{E}^{mod} using $\Delta \mathbf{E} \approx 8$ eV independent of the excess energy This choice of $\Delta \mathbf{E}$ describes best the rate of increase of the "modi ed" electron energy with ϕ for smaller excess energies Howevic, $\Delta \mathbf{E}$ decreases with increasing excess energy As a result, the agreement is worse for higher excess energies

Accounting for the fact that ΔE changes with excess energy (method \checkmark we obtain a much better agreement



FIG. 5. \Box the collision times for "modified" electron energies \mathcal{E}^{mod} from 10 eV to 56 eV; • the collision times for excess energies E^{xs} ranging from 10 eV to 56 eV. Delay time was retrieved by a) method 1 and b) method 2. The error bars show the uncertainty in the delay times of 0.6 a.u. since we change ϕ every one degree.



FIG. 6. Correlation map of the excess energy with the "modified" energy sharing for the doubly ionizing events with equal energy sharing in the absence of the IR field. The color scale is such that the sum of E^{mod} energy sharings contributing to the equal energy sharing double ionization events for a certain E^{xs} is normalized to 100.

between the two sets of collision time, see Fig 5 b. One way to do so is by labelling as \mathcal{E}^{mod} the doubly ionizing trajectories with E^{mod} within \pm , eV of twice the aver age E^{mod} for the one electron problem, see Fig a and b. However, in this latter algorithm we use as input the computed E^{mod} for one electron for each excess energy while in the previous paragraph we only use experimen tally accessible data Finally let us note that both in Fig 5 a and b the collision times are computed for equal "modi ed" energy sharing Similarly to the total "modi ed" electron energy which is strongly correlated to the excess energy (Fig b) the "modi ed" equal en ergy sharing is strongly correlated to the equal energy sharing in the absence of the IR eld (Fig 6'; it is the delay times corresponding to the latter energy sharings that we aim to streak

Concluding we have demonstrated that the two

electron streak camera can be experimentally realized We obtain a complete picture of the single photon dou ble ionization process by computing the intra atomic two electron collision times for deerent excess energies While our study has been performed in the context of two electron escape in atoms it opens the way for time resolving collision dynamics during multi electron escape in atomic and molecular systems

- M. Drescher, M. Hentschel, R. Kienberger, G. Tempea, Ch. Spielmann, G.A. Reider, P. B. Corkum and F. Krausz, Science **291**, 1923 (2001).
- [2] J. Itatani, F. Quéré, G.L. Yudin, M.Yu. Ivanov, F. Krausz and P.B. Corkum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 173903 (2002).
- [3] Y. Mairesse and F. Quere, Phys. Rev. A 71, 011401(R) (2005).
- [4] K. Klunder, J. M. Dahistrom, M. Gisselbrecht, T. Fordell, M. Swoboda, D. Guenot, P. Johnsson, J. Caillat, J. Mauritsson, A. Maquet, R. Taieb, A. L'Huillier, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 143002 (2011).
- [5] L.R. Moore, M. A. Lysaght, J. S. Parker, H. W. van der Hart, and K. T. Taylor, Phys. Rev. A 84, 061404 (2011).
 A. S. Kheifets, I. A Ivanov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 233002 (2010).
- [6] M. Uiberacker, Th. Uphues, M. Schultze, A.J. Verhoef, V. Yakovlev, M.F. Kling, J. Rauschenberger, N.M. Kabachnik, H. Schröder, M. Lezius, K.L. Kompa, H.-G. Muller, M.J.J. Vrakking, S. Hendel, U. Kleineberg, U. Heinzmann, M. Drescher and F. Krausz, Nature 446, 627 (2007).
- [7] P. Eckle, A.N. Pfeiffer, C. Cirelli, A. Staudte, R. Dörner, H.G. Muller, M. Büttiker and U. Keller, Science 322,

1525 (2008).

- [8] M. Schultze, M. Fieß, N. Karpowicz, J. Gagnon, M. Korbman, M. Hofstetter, S. Neppl, A.L. Cavalieri, Y. Komninos, Th. Mercouris, C.A. Nicolaides, R. Pazourek, S. Nagele, J. Feist, J. Burgdörfer, A.M. Azzeer, R. Ernstorfer, R. Kienberger, U. Kleineberg, E. Goulielmakis, F. Krausz and V.S. Yakovlev, Science **328**, 1658 (2010).
- [9] A. L. Cavalieri, N. Müller, Th. Uphues, V.S. Yakovlev, A. Baltuska, B. Horvath, B. Schmidt, L. Blümel, R. Holzwarth, S. Hendel, M. Drescher, U. Kleineberg, P.M. Echenique, R. Kienberger, F. Krausz and U. Heinzmann, Nature 449, 1029 (2007).
- [10] A. Emmanouilidou, A. Staudte and P.B. Corkum, New J. Phys. **12**, 103024 (2010).
- [11] A. Knapp, A. Kheifets, I. Bray, Th. Weber, A. L. Landers, S. Schössler, T. Jahnke, J. Nickles, S. Kammer, O. Jagutzki, L. Ph. H. Schmidt, T. Osipov, J. Rösch, M. H. Prior, H. Schmidt-Böcking, C. L. Cocke and R. Dörner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 033004 (2002).
- [12] H. Price, A. Staudte and A. Emmanouilidou, New J. Phys. 13, 093006 (2011).
- [13] Frequency-Resolved Optical Gating, edited by R. Trebino (Kluwer Academic, Boston, 2000).
- [14] R. Abrines and I.C. Percival, Proc. Phys. Soc. 88, 861 (1966).
- [15] A. Emmanouilidou and J. M. Rost, Phys. Rev. A 75, 022712 (2007).
- [16] A. Emmanouilidou, P. Wang and J.M. Rost, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 063002 (2008).
- [17] A. Emmanouilidou and J.M. Rost, J. Phys. B **39**, 4037 (2006).
- [18] Physics of Atoms and Molecules, B. H. Bransden & C. J. Joachain, Pearson Edition Limited, 2003.
- [19] Quantum Mechanics of One- and Two-Electron Atoms, Hans A. Bethe and Edwin E. Salpeter, Dover Pulblications, 1957.