# **Universality versus nonuniversality in asymmetric fluid criticality**

M.A. Anisimov

Institute for Physical Science and Technology, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA

Received January 14, 2013, in final form March 27, 2013

Critical phenomena in real fluids demonstrate a combination of universal features caused by the divergence of long-range fluctuations of density and nonuniversal (system-dependent) features associated with specific intermolecular interactions. Asymptotically, all fluids belong to the Ising-model class of universality. The asymptotic power laws for the thermodynamic properties are described by two independent universal critical exponents and by two independent nonuniversal critical amplitudes; other critical amplitudes can be obtained by universal relations. The nonuniversal critical parameters (critical temperature, pressure, and density) can be absorbed in the property units. Nonasymptotic critical behavior of fluids can be divided into two parts, symmetric ("Isinglike") and asymmetric ("fluid-like"). The symmetric nonasymptotic behavior contains a new universal exponent (Wegner exponent) and the system-dependent crossover scale (Ginzburg number) associated with the range of intermolecular interactions, while the asymmetric features are generally described by an additional universal exponent and by three nonasymptotic amplitudes associated with mixing of the physical fields into the scaling fields.

**Key words:** fluids, critical point, universality, complete scaling

**PACS:** 64.60.F-

#### **1. Introduction**

Universality of critical phenomena is one of the most fascinating concepts in physics of condensed matter [\[1,](#page-8-1) [2](#page-8-2)] Phase transitions of strikingly different nature, such as para-ferro-magnetism, vaporization, or fluid demixing may be described by the same equation of state near the critical points if a proper ("isomorphic") set of thermodynamic variables is chosen. There are several classes of universality defined through the dimension of the order parameter. The order parameter may be a scalar, *n*-component vector or a tensor. For example, the order parameter in fluids is associated with the density or concentration (a scalar) while the order parameter in anisotropic magnetics (magnetization) is a one-component vector. The Ising model of anisotropic ferromagnets is mathematically equivalent to the lattice-gas model which describes the condensation of fluids. In the both cases, the order parameter is one-dimensional (*n* = 1). The isomorphism between the members of a universality class can be established by mapping the thermodynamic variables of one system onto another. In addition, the order parameter can be conserved (such as density) or non-conserved (such as magnetization). This particular nature of the order parameter affects the phase-transition dynamics.

It is well established, primarily through experiments [3, [4\]](#page-8-3), that all fluids and fluid mixtures belong to the Ising-model class of universality in statics and to the conserved-order-parameter class of universality in dynamics. This universality is associated with the universal nature of critical fluctuations. The fluctuations of the order parameter diverge at the critical point. The correlation length of the order-parameter fluctuations becomes much larger than the range of intermolecular interactions, thus making the details of the intermolecular potential unimportant. Landau and Lifshitz stated in an earlier edition of "Statistical Physics" [5], *"Unlike solids and gases, liquids do not allow a general calculation of their thermodynamics quantities or even their temperature dependence. The reason for this is the presence of strong interactions between the molecules of the liquid without having, at the same time, the smallness of vibrations which*

*makes the thermal motions of solids so simple."* Undoubtedly, this statement is not applicable to liquids in the vicinity of their critical points. Thermodynamic properties in the critical region of very different substances, such as helium isotopes and other inert gases, organic liquids and water can be theoretically predicted; they are all described by the universal power laws, also known as scaling laws, which are characterized by the universal critical exponents.

However, there are still non-universal features in the critical behavior of fluids. The critical parameters (temperature, pressure, and density) are obviously non-universal, being determined by specific intermolecular potentials. The critical temperature ranges from a few kelvins for helium isotopes to thousands of kelvins for liquid metals. This particular non-universal feature can be eliminated by reducing the properties of a particular substance by its critical parameters. Another non-universal feature is the size of the asymptotic critical region in which the universal scaling laws are valid. This size is controlled by the so-called Ginzburg number which depends on the range of intermolecular interactions. Finally, real fluids, unlike the lattice-gas/Ising model, are asymmetric with respect to the critical isochor. The fluid asymmetry causes additional specific non-asymptotic corrections to the universal critical behavior. In this paper, I present a brief overview of universal and nonuniversal contributions to the equation of sate of near-critical fluids.

### **2. Universal asymptotic criticality**

The fluctuation-induced non-analytic critical behavior can be asymptotically described by scaling theory in terms of two independent scaling fields, namely,  $h_1$  ("ordering" field) and  $h_2$  ("thermal" field) and two conjugate scaling densities, namely, the order parameter  $\phi_1$  (strongly fluctuating) and  $\phi_2$  (weakly fluctuating) . The third field, *h*3, is the critical part of an appropriate field-dependent thermodynamic potential, which is defined as a function exhibiting a minimum at equilibrium with respect to a variation of the order parameter. The differential of the third field is

$$
dh_3 = \phi_1 dh_1 + \phi_2 dh_2. \tag{1}
$$

In the scaling theory, the field potential  $h_3$  is a homogeneous function of  $h_1$  and  $h_2$ . Asymptotically,

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
h_3 \approx |h_2|^{2-\alpha} f^{\pm} \left( \frac{h_1}{|h_2|^{2-\alpha-\beta}} \right),
$$
 (2)

where  $f^\pm$  is a scaling function and the superscript  $\pm$  refers to  $h_2$   $>$  0 and  $h_2$   $<$  0, respectively. Here and below, ≈ means asymptotically equal, while ≃ means approximately equal. The critical point is defined by the condition  $h_1 = h_2 = h_3 = 0$ . The form of the scaling function is universal; however, it contains two thermodynamically independent (but system-specific) amplitudes. All other asymptotic amplitudes are related to the selected ones by universal relations. The critical exponents *α* and *β* are universal within a class of critical-point universality. All fluids and fluid mixtures belong to the Ising-model universality class. The Ising values for  $\alpha \approx 0.109$  and  $\beta \approx 0.326$ , are well established theoretically and confirmed experimentally [3, [4,](#page-8-3) [6](#page-8-4)–16]. Two Ising amplitudes,  $\hat{A}_0$  and  $\hat{B}_0$  are determined by the asymptotic powerlaw behavior of the two scaling densities in zero ordering field  $(h_1 = 0)$ :

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
\phi_1 = \left(\frac{\partial h_3}{\partial h_1}\right)_{h_2} \approx \pm \hat{B}_0 |h_2|^\beta \quad (h_2 < 0),\tag{3}
$$

$$
\phi_2 = \left(\frac{\partial h_3}{\partial h_2}\right)_{h_1} \approx \frac{\hat{A}_0^{\pm}}{1-\alpha} h_2 |h_2|^{-\alpha} + B_{\rm cr} |h_2|,\tag{4}
$$

and of the three scaling susceptibilities, "strong" *χ*1, "weak" *χ*2, and "cross" *χ*<sup>12</sup> in zero ordering field:

$$
\chi_1 = \left(\frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial h_1}\right)_{h_2} \approx \hat{\Gamma}_0^{\pm} |h_2|^{-\gamma},\tag{5}
$$

$$
\chi_2 = \left(\frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial h_2}\right)_{h_1} \approx \hat{A}_0^{\pm} |h_2|^{-\alpha},\tag{6}
$$

$$
\chi_{12} = \left(\frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial h_2}\right)_{h_1} \approx \beta \hat{B}_0 \frac{|h_2|^\beta}{h_2} \qquad (h_2 < 0),\tag{7}
$$

23603-2

where the strong susceptibility critical exponent

$$
\gamma = 2 - \alpha - 2\beta \simeq 1.239,\tag{8}
$$

and the strong susceptibility critical amplitude  $\hat\Gamma_0^\pm$  is related to  $\hat B_0$  and  $\hat A_0^\pm$  through universal ratios as [\[12\]](#page-8-5):

$$
\alpha \hat{\Gamma}_0^+ \hat{A}_0^+ / \hat{B}_0^2 \simeq 0.0581, \tag{9}
$$

$$
\hat{\Gamma}_0^+ / \hat{\Gamma}_0^- \simeq 4.8, \tag{10}
$$

$$
\hat{A}_0^+ / \hat{A}_0^- \simeq 0.523. \t\t(11)
$$

While the superscript  $\pm$  refers to the states at  $h_2 > 0$  and  $h_2 < 0$ , the prefactor  $\pm$  in equation [\(3\)](#page-1-0) refers to the two branches of the order parameter corresponding to  $h_1 > 0$  and  $h_1 < 0$  sides (in the limit  $h_1 = 0$ ), respectively. The field-dependent potential  $h_3$  is symmetric with respect to the sign of the ordering field  $h_1$ and, hence, to the sign of the order parameter  $\phi_1$ . In these expressions  $\hat A_0^\pm$ ,  $\hat\Gamma_0^\pm$ , and  $\hat B_0$  are non-universal critical amplitudes. The term in  $\phi_2$  proportional to  $B_{cr}$  is an analytic fluctuation-induced contribution to the second scaling density [\[17\]](#page-8-6). Strictly speaking, this term is not asymptotic since the term  $h_2\, |h_2|^{-\alpha}$ dominates.

Additional universal relations connect the critical exponent *v* ≈ 0.63 of the correlation length (diverging in zero ordering field as  $\xi = \xi_0^{\pm} |h_2|^{-\nu}$  and  $\alpha$ ,

$$
2 - \alpha = dv \tag{12}
$$

(where  $d$  is the number of space dimensions), and the amplitudes  $\hat{A}_{0}^{+}$  and  $\xi_{0}^{+},$ 

$$
A_0^+ \rho_c \left(\zeta_0^+\right)^3 \simeq 0.172. \tag{13}
$$

This relation is known as the two-scale factor of universality [\[1,](#page-8-1) [12](#page-8-5)]. The ratio  $\xi_0^+/\xi_0^- \simeq 1.96$  is also universal [\[12\]](#page-8-5).

In the mean-field approximation, with  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\beta = 1/2$ , equation [\(2\)](#page-1-1) reduces to the asymptotic Landau expansion [\[18\]](#page-8-7),

$$
-h_3 \approx \frac{1}{2}a_0h_2\phi_1^2 + \frac{1}{24}u_0\phi_1^4 - h_1\phi_1,
$$
\n(14)

where  $a_0$  and  $u_0$  are mean-field system-dependent amplitudes. The amplitude  $a_0$  is unimportant. It can be eliminated by rescaling the fields  $h_3$  and  $h_1$  and the coupling constant  $u_0 \rightarrow u = u_0/a_0$ .

In the lattice-gas model, the ordering field  $h_1$  is associated with the chemical potential  $\mu$ , the thermal field  $h_2$  is associated with the temperature  $T$ , and the order parameter is associated with the molecular density  $\rho$ , while  $h_3$  is associated with the pressure *P*. In both, the scaling regime and the mean-field approximation, the thermodynamic properties of the lattice gas are symmetric with respect to the sign of the order parameter. Similar to the lattice-gas model, in real one-component fluids, the thermodynamic fields are the temperature *T*, the chemical potential  $\mu$ , and the pressure *P*, while the conjugate densities are the number density  $\rho$  and the entropy density  $s = \rho S$  (*S* is the entropy per molecule). The physical variables are interrelated by the Gibbs-Duhem relation

$$
dP = \rho d\mu + s dT. \tag{15}
$$

Consequently, the densities, namely, the molecular density and the entropy density are derived from the pressure as

$$
\rho = \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial \mu}\right)_T, \qquad s = \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial T}\right)_\mu.
$$
\n(16)

In addition to the reduced density ∆*ρ*ˆ and reduced temperature ∆*T*ˆ,

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
\Delta \hat{\rho} = \frac{\rho - \rho_{\rm c}}{\rho_{\rm c}}, \qquad \Delta \hat{T} = \frac{T - T_{\rm c}}{T_{\rm c}}, \tag{17}
$$

it is convenient to define

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\Delta \hat{s} = \frac{s - s_c}{\rho_c k_B}, \qquad \Delta \hat{P} = \frac{P - P_c}{\rho_c k_B T_c}, \qquad \Delta \hat{\mu} = \frac{\mu - \mu_c}{k_B T_c}, \tag{18}
$$

where  $k_B$  is Boltzmann's constant. In equations [\(17\)](#page-2-0)–[\(18\)](#page-2-1) and below, the subscript "c" denotes the properties at the critical point.

As shown by Anisimov et al. [\[19\]](#page-8-8), since in classical thermodynamics, the absolute value of entropy is arbitrary, the critical value of entropy can be chosen upon practical convenience. It is clearly seen from the basic thermodynamic relation

$$
dP = \rho d\mu + \rho S dT, \tag{19}
$$

that

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\hat{T}} + \hat{S}_{\rm c} - \left(\frac{\partial \hat{P}}{\partial \hat{T}}\right)_{\rm c} = 0.
$$
\n(20)

Thus, with adopting  $\hat S_{\rm c}=(\partial\hat P/\partial\hat T)_{h_1=0,{\rm c}},$  we obtain  $(\partial\hat\mu/\partial\hat T)_{h_1=0,{\rm c}}=0$  meaning that in the linear approximation, the chemical potential along the vapor-liquid coexistence does not depend on temperature. With this choice of the critical entropy, we find for the critical part of pressure (the density of the grand thermodynamic potential  $\Omega = -PV$ ) after subtracting its regular part

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\Delta \widetilde{P} = \Delta \hat{P} - \Delta \hat{\mu} - \hat{s}_c \Delta \hat{T}.
$$
\n(21)

Then, asymptotically, for one-component fluids, the scaling fields have the following simple relations to the physical fields:

$$
h_1 = \Delta \hat{\mu},\tag{22}
$$

$$
h_2 = \Delta \hat{T},\tag{23}
$$

$$
h_3 = \Delta \widetilde{P}.\tag{24}
$$

One can conclude that in the asymptotic regime, in addition to the system-dependent critical parameters, which can be eliminated by rescaling the units of thermodynamic properties, there are only two independent critical amplitudes. The independent critical amplitudes correspond to the relevant nonuniversal coefficients, *u* and *g*, in the asymptotic Landau-Ginzburg Hamiltonian, given in terms of the spatially dependent order parameter  $\phi_1(\mathbf{x})$  as [\[18\]](#page-8-7)

$$
\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} (\Delta \hat{T}) \phi_1^2 + \frac{u}{4!} \phi_1^4 + \frac{1}{2} g \phi_1 (\nabla \phi_1)^2 - h_1 \phi_1.
$$
 (25)

The universal scaling function  $f^\pm$  in equation [\(2\)](#page-1-1) for practical applications is commonly calculated from a parametric equation of state, such as the linear model [16, [20](#page-8-9)], which has been shown to be accurate to an order of  $\epsilon^2$  in the  $\epsilon$ -expansion, where  $\epsilon$  = 4  $d$  [\[21\]](#page-8-10).

### **3. Size of the critical region and symmetric corrections to asymptotic scaling laws**

The universal scaling laws discussed in the previous Section are valid only asymptotically, very close to the critical point. Upon departure from the critical point, corrections to the asymptotic power laws appear. The first correction, also known as the Wegner correction [\[22\]](#page-8-11) contains a new universal scaling function,  $g_{1}^{\pm}(z)$ , and a new universal critical exponent  $\theta$  (known as the "Wegner exponent"). In the firstorder  $\epsilon$ -expansion  $\theta = \epsilon/2$  [\[22\]](#page-8-11).

The Wegner correction arises from the difference between the renormalization-group fixed-point  $\mathop{\rm coupling\,}$  constant  $u^*$  and the system dependent mean-field value of the coupling constant  $u.$  When the Wegner correction is included, the Ising field-dependent potential [equation [\(2\)](#page-1-1)] reads [\[23\]](#page-8-12)

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
h_3 = |h_2|^{2-\alpha} f^{\pm}(z) \, 1 + |h_2|^\theta f_1^{\pm}(z) + \dots,\tag{26}
$$

where  $z = h_1/|h_2|^{2-\alpha-\beta}$  and  $f_1^{\pm} \propto (u^*-u)$ . The nonasymptotic ("confluent") scaling function  $f_1^{\pm}$  contains additional system-dependent parameters,  $(u^*-u)$  and the Ginzburg number,  $N_{\rm G} = u^2 \big[\rho_{\rm c} \big(\xi_0^+\big)^3\big]^{-2}.$ 

Thus, the scaling power laws are to be complemented by confluent singularities. In terms of physical variables in zero ordering field

$$
\Delta \widetilde{P} = |\Delta \widehat{T}|^{2-\alpha} \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{|\Delta \widehat{T}|}{t_{\times}} \right)^{\theta} + \left( \frac{|\Delta \widehat{T}|}{t_{\times}} \right)^{2\theta} + \dots \right],
$$
\n(27)

where the crossover scale  $t_\times \propto (N_{\rm G}) (u^* - u)^{-2}$ , which can be considered as the effective Ginzburg number, defines the size of the asymptotic critical region,  $|\Delta \hat{T}| \ll t_{\times}$ . The amplitude  $B_{cr}$  in the analytic fluctuation-induced contribution to *φ*<sup>2</sup> given by equation [\(4\)](#page-1-0) also depends on the crossover scale *t*× [\[17](#page-8-6), [24](#page-8-13)].

#### **4. Nonasymptotic asymmetry corrections**

The canonical mapping of the liquid-vapor critical point onto Ising criticality is given by the latticegas model [\[25\]](#page-8-14). This model can easily be extended to binary fluids and fluid mixtures through a reassignment of variables and the principle of isomorphism [\[19](#page-8-8)]. For the remainder of the text, the liquid-vapor one-component system is only discussed. The lattice-gas model preserves the exact symmetry of uniaxial Ising-type ferromagnets and consequently, the liquid-vapor coexistence curve of the lattice gas is symmetric with respect to the density *ρ*. The order parameter of the lattice gas is the reduced density,  $\Delta \hat{\rho} = (\rho - \rho_c)/\rho_c$ . If the liquid and vapor branches of the coexistence curve are denoted by "+" and "−" respectively, the asymmetric portion of the density is given by the excess density

$$
\Delta \hat{\rho}_d = \frac{\Delta \hat{\rho}^+ + \Delta \hat{\rho}^-}{2}.
$$
\n(28)

For the lattice gas,  $\Delta \hat{\rho}_d$  = 0. However, real fluids do not possess the symmetry of the Ising model, and in general  $\Delta \hat{\rho}_d \neq 0$ . Even the coexistence curve of <sup>3</sup>He, the most symmetric fluid known, exhibits some small asymmetry [\[26](#page-8-15)]. In asymmetric systems, the leading behavior is still determined by the Ising-type behavior, and asymmetric corrections appear as sub-leading terms in the quantities like density. In meanfield models of the liquid-vapor critical point, such as the van der Waals model, the asymmetry of the coexistence curve is described by the "law" of rectilinear diameter [\[27,](#page-8-16) [28\]](#page-8-17)

$$
\Delta \hat{\rho}_d = D_1 | \Delta \hat{T} |,\tag{29}
$$

where the reduced temperature is defined by  $\Delta \hat{T} = (T - T_c)/T_c$ , with  $T_c$  being the critical temperature. While some one-component fluids such as xenon [\[29](#page-8-18)] seem to asymptotically follow this "law", others, like  $SF<sub>6</sub>$  [\[30\]](#page-8-19), show strong deviations from rectilinearity in the critical region.

Models such as the  $\circ \circ \circ w$  nson p<sub>i</sub> n trabinson penetrable-sphere models-sphere model is determined atticedo s  $\mu$  predict non-classical, it is an fight non-mean-field, behavior of the excess density. On the basis of t, s<sub>p</sub> o sua non-cassical t<sub>r</sub>ory of fluid critical ty unown as "r<sub>evis</sub>ed scaling" was propos<sub>e</sub>  $\frac{1}{2}$  or uatono a r v s scaling postulat statt is n scaling fields are analytic unctions of the Ising scaling functions are responsive functions and the Ising scaling functions are responsive functions are responsive c<sub>h</sub>eapothta *µ* and pratur<sub>e</sub> *T* uwh rastiate as opensuitat *µ* and *T* are the lattice as  $\alpha$ correct scannisses find  $\sin nx$  as find model of  $\sin nx$  or  $\sin nx$  or  $\sin nx$  or  $\sin nx$  or  $\sin nx$  as  $\sin nx$  or  $\sin$ 

$$
\Delta \hat{\rho}_d \approx D_{1-\alpha} |\Delta \hat{T}|^{1-\alpha} + D_1 |\Delta \hat{T}|. \tag{4}
$$

A tonat or tea support or a r v s scan can rond co an Za  $\lambda$  uand condu wo pror a fit ortc.Fana ysso an asy trc Lan au Gn bur son.LG Hamiltonian and ount at a rysisca`n arsisnaturally rothincusion of asymmetric operators in t Hantonian. In and tonut yound that the seasy for coperators also lead to a non-analytic cor $r_r$  ct on to t<sub>he ex</sub>cess density characterized by a new asymmetric correction-to-scaling exponent  $\theta_5$ .  $exc$  ss nstypred by the ranalysis goes as

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
\Delta \hat{\rho}_d \approx D_{1-\alpha} |\Delta \hat{T}|^{1-\alpha} + D_1 |\Delta \hat{T}| + D_{\beta+\theta_5} |\Delta \hat{T}|^{\beta+\theta_5}.
$$

an v<sub>r</sub> rsa exponent *θ*<sub>5</sub> was ound to b<sub>e</sub>  $\theta_5 = 1/2+\epsilon = 3/2$  in the first-order  $\epsilon$  expansion, the  $\epsilon = 4-d$ and  $d$  is the spatial dimensionality  $\alpha$  – or nto or  $\int r\,e^{3}$ . We an and Zia let  $\int r\,r\,r\,r\,s$  us to to b consistent with the bound  $\theta_5 \gtrsim 1.0$ 

or rent yuFs ran cowor rs u avaru or an xtn or u at ono scanus or na y scuss, by  $\mathcal{P}_i$  rand  $\mathcal{P}_i$  now complete snow nown as "complete scaling". This theory o asy tric fluid criticality is an extension of the field-man extension and incorporation in a revised scaling in a revised scaling in a revised scaling and incorporates t ypot sso Griffits and  $\mathbf{r}$  that preferable thermodynamic variables onot  $\mathbf{x}$  st. This concept pstat pressure *P*, capot nta *µ*, that prature *T* sould be trated on quali footh nany or ulation of scaling for the liquid-vapor critical point. It is not scaling figures should t r or tub trates as analytic unctions of all the By contrast, that revised assigns a special role. to t<sub>e</sub> pr<sub>essure</sub>  $P(\mu, T)$  as t<sub>he</sub> field-dependent thermodynamic potential. A comp<sub>let</sub> scaling predicts that the xc ss in ty sasymptotically vin by

<span id="page-5-2"></span>
$$
\Delta \hat{\rho}_d \approx D_{2\beta} |\Delta \hat{T}|^{2\beta} + D_{1-\alpha} |\Delta \hat{T}|^{1-\alpha} + D_1 |\Delta \hat{T}|, \tag{4}
$$

w<sub>r</sub> 2*β*≃0.65 sr sutcary rs rot Fpredonuquaton fint an fiap proximation, the connection between a completions are assigned as complete scaling and the asymmetric and the a nv<sub>st</sub> at by Ansovan and Wang two onstratt at the two approaches appear to be consignt. A complet scaling as a sob<sub>plet</sub>  $n_i$  at  $n_j$  to  $n_o$  on  $o$ ,  $n_j$  ous fluids by B rtrand and Anisiov  $\Delta$  att pntrabesproof snot x btcopt scan uas been invest at by  $\lambda$  $\overline{a}$  all the model that the summer symmetry of to  $\overline{a}$ 

In a tonto t<sub>he</sub> an 2*β* t r nt<sub>he</sub> xc ss nstytuaco pt scan aso predsa v r nc nt, scongrvaty ot chemical potential along the coexisting curve

$$
\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \mu}{\mathrm{d} T^2}\right)_{\mathrm{cxc}} \sim |\Delta \hat{T}|^{-\alpha},\tag{1}
$$

where the subscript cxc denotes the conditions of phase coexistence. The so-called Yang-Yang anomaly  $\int_{A}$ rv $\int_{A}$ s ts na $\int_{A}$ rot $\int_{A}$  Yan Yan r $\int_{A}$  at on

$$
\frac{\rho C_V}{T} = \left(\frac{d^2 P}{dT^2}\right)_{\text{cxc}} - \rho \left(\frac{d^2 \mu}{dT^2}\right)_{\text{cxc}},
$$

 $W_{\alpha}$  **r**<sub>*I*</sub>  $C_V$  st, soc or c at capacity. A complete scaling implies that the divergence of the isochoric at capacity is sared between the second reaty sof pressurantic capot nta By contrastuar vs scan prets tat (d $^2\mu$ /d $T^2)_{\rm exc}$  r, ans finit, at the critical point. Co 's analysis a so predicts a non-analytic behavior of the chemical potential, specifically, spe

$$
\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\mu}{\mathrm{d}\,T^2}\right)_{\rm exc} \sim |\Delta\,\hat{T}|^{-\alpha-\beta+\theta_5},\tag{5}
$$

ow vrut ratvy ar vau o θ<sub>5</sub> nsurs tatt squantiy rans finit att critca point. Fs ran co-wor rs avount support or a co-pt scaling at capacity a surements in heat capacity in the an coputrs u atonso yasy troflu o sale ansovan anav on strat that a complete scaling is a so support by the data on que vapor coxisting in highly is also supported by the data on que vapor coxisting in highly asym- $\mu$  tric flus  $\mu$ ,  $\mu$  salso at aston of tat x bits type of fixing characteristic of the type of  $\mu$ copt scan A for A copt scan ransuow vruans, sntayphonologit ory.

#### **5. Complete scaling**

For t<sub>he qu</sub> vapor transition, the right principle of complete scaling asserts that the scaling fields can be **expanded in ∆***µ̂u***∆***T î*µand *ΔP* Intervents over approximation, the scaling fields are given by

<span id="page-5-1"></span><span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
h_1 \approx a_1 \Delta \hat{\mu} + a_2 \Delta \hat{T} + a_3 \Delta \hat{P},
$$
  
\n
$$
h_2 \approx b_1 \Delta \hat{T} + b_2 \Delta \hat{\mu} + b_3 \Delta \hat{P},
$$
  
\n
$$
h_3 \approx c_1 \Delta \hat{P} + c_2 \Delta \hat{\mu} + c_3 \Delta \hat{T} + c_2 3 \Delta \hat{\mu} \Delta \hat{T},
$$
 (

w r<sub>i</sub> the constant coefficients are called mixing coefficients. In general, the complete scaling transforations should include terms of all orders in ∆*T*̂, *Ωµ* $\hat{\mu}$ and ∆*P*<sup> $\hat{P}$ </sup> H<sub>e</sub>r, two only consider contributions to t<sub>r</sub> xc ss nsty ∆ $\rho_d$  which are ot the order of  $\Delta \hat{T}$ | or ower. Wo second-order terms satisfy this cri tronu∆ $\hat{\mu}$ ∆ $\hat{T}$  w na to *h*<sub>3</sub> and  $\hat{\Delta}T$ <sup>2</sup> w na to *h*<sub>1</sub> and *h*<sub>3</sub>. How v ruw av ott xp ct  $\Delta \hat{T}^2$  t, r s rot r at ons or  $h_1$  and  $h_3$  sinc tthe se can be absorbed into the regular, the on-critical, port on of the the the theory of the the theory out affecting our results. The exact connection between t trans or at onsuquations.  $2\hskip-3.6pt 1$ –, uand the xc ssins tywill be ryndrived own para raphs. Once this connection is established, one can verify that the remaining second-order terms ∆*µ*ˆ∆*P*ˆ, ∆*P*ˆ∆*T*ˆ,  $\Delta \hat{P}^2$  (uan  $\Delta \hat{\mu}^2$  onotn<sub>e</sub> to b ncu nt sapproxiaton<sub>.</sub>

As scuss by an an Anisimov  $\lambda$  and Bertrand  $\lambda$  for transformations (30)–(3)–(3)–(3)–(3)–(38), equations [\(36\)](#page-5-0)–[\(38\)](#page-5-1), equations (36)–(38), equations (36)–(38), equations (36)–(38), equations (36)–(38), equations (36), equ can b<sub>e</sub> much simplified by set in normalizations or the scaling fields, and fields, and  $\frac{1}{2}$  of  $\frac{1}{2}$  $\hat{s}_c = s_c/\rho_c k_B$  turns is arbitrary in classical thermodynamics turn in the neglection  $\hat{s}_c = s_c/\rho_c k_B$  turns. Specific ca ytuw<sub>r</sub> coos<sub>e</sub>  $\hat{s}_c = (dP/dT)_{h_1=0,c}$  is simplifications can b<sub>e</sub> implemented by a optimation own cococofficients

$$
a_1 = (1 - a),
$$
  $a_2 = -a\hat{s}_c,$   $a_3 = a,$    
\n $b_1 = 1,$   $b_2 = b,$   $b_3 = 0,$    
\n $c_1 = 1,$   $c_2 = -1,$   $c_3 = -\hat{s}_c,$   $c_{23} = c.$ 

nt scofficients are substituted into the complete scaling transformations, when the transor at ons r uc to

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
h_1 = \Delta \hat{\mu} + a \Delta \tilde{P},
$$

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
h_2 = \Delta \hat{T} + b \Delta \hat{\mu},
$$

$$
h_3 = \Delta \widetilde{P} + c \Delta \hat{\mu} \Delta \hat{T},
$$

w r ∆*P* s fin by quation [\(21\)](#page-3-0). In the mean-field approximation,  $\Delta \hat{P} \sim |\Delta \hat{T}|^2$  and  $\Delta \hat{P} \sim |\Delta \hat{T}|^2$  uso tat ac asy tretrint co`pt scan trans or atons ssart ant antriby a  $f{a}$  actor of  $|\Delta \hat{T}|^{1/2}$  r v s scaling transformations are reproduced in the absence of pressure mixing  $\frac{1}{2}$  x n  $(a = 0)$  then the attice as one srow reversed when all mixing coefficients are store root  $a = b = c = 0$ . The physical and scan be found in terms of the scaling densities from equations ( $\sim$  (with the scaling of the scaling density of r su t

$$
\Delta \hat{\rho} = \frac{\phi_1 + b\phi_2 - c\Delta \hat{T}}{1 - a\phi_1}, \qquad \qquad . \qquad \hat{P}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{c^2}{\phi_1^2 - a^2}.
$$

$$
\Delta \hat{s} = \frac{\phi_2}{1 - a\phi_1},\tag{4}
$$

w<sub>,</sub> r<sub>,</sub> φ<sub>1</sub> and *φ*2 ar<sub>,</sub> v<sub>,</sub> nby quations **, and (**ur, specty yot, and or rnt asy try an ruct praturuts xprssons are vn by

$$
\Delta \hat{\rho} \simeq \phi_1 + a(\phi_1)^2 + b\phi_2 - c\Delta \hat{T},
$$
  

$$
\Delta \hat{s} \simeq \phi_2.
$$

nt scannst spreshting quations [\(3\)](#page-1-0) and  $\alpha$  are substituted into quation (40) are substituted into equation [\(47\)](#page-6-2), the compt scan xcss nstyntroucen quation (sriprouce with the coefficients

<span id="page-6-2"></span>
$$
D_{2\beta} = a(B_0)^2,
$$
  
\n
$$
D_{1-\alpha} = -b\frac{A_0^-}{1-\alpha},
$$
\n(

$$
D_1 = B_{\rm cr} + c.
$$

mot tatt the notate leading 2*β* term is proportional to the pressure mixing coefficient *a*. The same is true of t Yan Yan ano a yuw c o ows ro t first copt scan r at onship quation. uas

$$
\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \hat{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\hat{T}^2}\right)_{\rm exc} \simeq -a \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \hat{P}}{\mathrm{d}\hat{T}^2}\right)_{\rm exc} = -a A_0^- |\Delta \hat{T}|^{-\alpha},
$$

w<sub>r</sub> to t<sub>he</sub> an order, the coexistence curve is  $\lim_{n \to \infty}$  by  $h_1 = 0$ 

Copt scan a so predicts the effects of fluid asymmetry on other the end of properties. In part cu artt physical susceptibility, susuch as the isothermal compressibility, the isothermal compression of the isothermal compression of the interval compression of the isothermal compression of the interval compress and the heat capacity are found to be combinations of all three scaling susceptibility.  $\int_0^1 f(x) \, dx$  is  $\int_0^1 f(x) \, dx$  and  $\int_0^1 f(x) \, dx$  is  $\int_0^$  $\chi_2$  (and "cross"  $\chi_{12}$ <sup>5</sup>

### **6. Discussion and conclusion**

As sown n pr vous set on uasy trefluent cally n raymtrouces the additional nonun v<sub>r</sub> rsa and n<sub>i</sub>p<sub>i</sub>n intual put independent, with the mixing physical fields into the Ising i scanifields. However, as the comparison between a complete scaling and the FT approach to asymmetry  $t$  $r$  c fluid ric ality shows  $\mathcal{F}$  the complet scaling and FT equations of state are nearly interal, except tatt F<sub>T</sub> quation of stat<sub>e</sub> as an additional term responsible for the asymmetric correction-to-scaling  $\mu$  xpon<sub>t</sub> nt  $\theta_5$ 

As a r<sub>e</sub>su tut asy t ry n ucess  $\alpha$  as a result as a result of  $\alpha$ 

$$
\Delta \hat{\rho}_d \approx D_{1-\alpha} |\Delta \hat{T}|^{1-\alpha} + D_{2\beta} |\Delta \hat{T}|^{2\beta} + D_1 |\Delta \hat{T}| + D_{\beta+\theta_5} |\Delta \hat{T}|^{\beta+\theta_5},
$$

w r<sub>,</sub>  $D_{\beta+\theta_5}\propto u_5^{\rm eff}$ , ain, winonasymptotic amplitude. For any practical applications, the contribution ro *θ*<sub>5</sub> can b<sub>e</sub> n<sub>eg</sub> ct<sub>ed.</sub> In the singlet scaling p<sub>le</sub>t scaling and FI approaches are equivalent. In practice,  $\pi$  independent amplitudes may be constraint and by a particular equation of state.  $T_{\text{max}}$  s an analogy b<sub>etween</sub> the asymmetric correction-to-scaling exponent *θ*<sub>5</sub> and t<sub>he We</sub>gner corr<sub>ection</sub>-to-scaling exponent  $\phi \simeq \epsilon/2$  [\[22\]](#page-8-11). The Meganer correction arises from the difference between  $r_i$  and  $r_i$  are difference between  $\phi$  and  $\phi \simeq \epsilon/2$ the renormaliation-roup fix<sub>e</sub>d-point coupling constant  $u^*$  and the system-dependent field value. of coup in constant *u* c<sub>e</sub>quation 22 As integrals of the recorrection, which is associated by comparison, which is wt ana tonacrtcaaptu *u*\* − *u*(ut θ<sub>5</sub> xponnt sassocat witt n,wcricaap tu<sub>d</sub>eff we st<sub>ri</sub>ng btw<sub>e</sub>nt fit or rcoefficint nt asy tre Landu xpansion and the ptu ot asy try ot rantirent term in the effective  $\mathbf{A}$ *h*<sub>3</sub> ncu<sub>,</sub> sony t<sub>ri</sub> an asy trctrs. In tsparticular cas tucop<sub>i</sub>t scaling co<sub>rs</sub> xact. At rt copt scan asta near ot an asymmetric corrections by the mixing physical mixing of fields into the scaling field  $f$  field  $f$  as  $f$  field  $f$  as  $f$  field  $f$  field  $f$  field  $f$  field  $f$  fields  $f$  fie

$$
h_3 \approx |h_2|^{2-\alpha} f^{\pm}(z) \left[ 1 + |h_2|^\theta f_1^{\pm}(z) + |h_2|^{\theta 5} f_{\text{asym}}^{\pm}(z) \right],
$$

 $w$  r<sub>f</sub>  $f_{\text{asym}}^{\pm} \propto u_5^{\text{eff}}$  How v<sub>i</sub>rut r<sub>f</sub> sas n ficant difference between these two corrections-to-scaling  $\text{Ler}$ ,  $\theta_5$  the xponent *θ* vans snt, an field approximation  $\epsilon = 0$  s, xp ans w y t n r corr ct on can b<sub>e</sub> consistently of the mean-field approximation. The same is not true of *θ*<sub>5</sub>  $\mu$ b<sub>c</sub> cause n t<sub>c</sub> an field approximation  $\theta_5 = 1/2$ 

### **Acknowledgements**

It an C.E. B<sub>r</sub>trand, J.F. coduan J.<sub>A.</sub> is or constant on and M.E. Fisher for scussions and comments. I also appreciate long-term fruitful interactions with scientists from the Institute for Condensed Matter Physics, the National Academy of  $c_n$  in  $c_n$  of  $\kappa$  of  $\kappa$  and  $\kappa$  in particular with I.R. Yukhnovskiin particular with I.R. Yukhnovskiin  $\kappa$ and M.P. Kozlovskii who made important contributions to the physics of critical phenomena and phase trans t ons  $\frac{5}{2}$ .

## <span id="page-8-0"></span>**References**

<span id="page-8-38"></span><span id="page-8-37"></span><span id="page-8-36"></span><span id="page-8-35"></span><span id="page-8-34"></span><span id="page-8-33"></span><span id="page-8-32"></span><span id="page-8-31"></span><span id="page-8-30"></span><span id="page-8-29"></span><span id="page-8-28"></span><span id="page-8-27"></span><span id="page-8-26"></span><span id="page-8-25"></span><span id="page-8-24"></span><span id="page-8-23"></span><span id="page-8-22"></span><span id="page-8-21"></span><span id="page-8-20"></span><span id="page-8-19"></span><span id="page-8-18"></span><span id="page-8-17"></span><span id="page-8-16"></span><span id="page-8-15"></span><span id="page-8-14"></span><span id="page-8-13"></span><span id="page-8-12"></span><span id="page-8-11"></span><span id="page-8-10"></span><span id="page-8-9"></span><span id="page-8-8"></span><span id="page-8-7"></span><span id="page-8-6"></span><span id="page-8-5"></span><span id="page-8-4"></span><span id="page-8-3"></span><span id="page-8-2"></span><span id="page-8-1"></span>

<span id="page-9-2"></span><span id="page-9-1"></span><span id="page-9-0"></span> $\frac{1}{2}$  KYC., Fisher M.E., Kim KYC., Fisher M.E., Rev. Lett., 2003, **91, 10.1103/Phys.** O.1103/Phys. Rev. Rev. Rev. Rev. 2003, **Phys.** Rev. 2003, **91.065701.** 2003. Phys. Rev. 2003, Phys. Rev. 2003. Phys. Rev. 2004. Phy  $\frac{5}{5}$  KYC., Fig. r F.,  $\frac{1}{2}$  Ryc.,  $\$  $\frac{5}{5}$  K YC., Ps. Rev. Phys. Rev. Phys. Rev. Phys. Rev. 2005, *XE*.<br> $\frac{1}{2}$  F ro B. Alf's r EuAnn ysu. 105601.20 55. Frobe<del>t</del> Britanna ysti 1956, 1970, Frobet Ann. Phys., 1970, 176. 176. 176.  $F_i$   $r$   $\theta$   $B \sim 40$   $s$   $r$   $E \text{ (uAnn. ys.u. 1056)}$  $\overline{5}$ . Bertrand C.E., Asymmetric Fluid Criticality, Ph. S. S. Thesis, Ph. D. Theory and Maryland, 2011. 5 Bertran C.E., N. G. J.F., Anisimov M.A., Phys. Rev. 2013, *N.* 2013, *A.*, 2013, *A.*, 2013. Phys. Rev. 2013. Rev. 2013. Rev. 2013. Rev. 2013. Phys. Rev. 2013. Rev. 2013. Rev. 2013. Rev. 2013. Rev. 2013. Rev. 2013. Rev.  $\frac{1}{2}$  Yu novs I.  $\mu$  as ranstons ot the Second Order. Collective Variables Method, Collections Method, Singapor<sub>i</sub>u

<span id="page-9-6"></span><span id="page-9-5"></span><span id="page-9-4"></span><span id="page-9-3"></span>60. Yukhnovskii I., Kozlovskii M., Pylyuk I., Microscopic Theory of Phase Transitions in Three-Dimensional Systems, Evrosv<sub>tu</sub>Lv<sub>vu...</sub> n. ranan

# **Унiверсальнiсть чи неунiверсальнiсть в асиметричнiй критичностi плинiв**

#### М.А. Анiсiмов

Iнститут фiзичної науки i технологiї, Унiверситет Мариленду, Коледж Парк, MD 20742, США

Критичнi явища в реальних плинах демонструють комбiнацiю унiверсальних рис, спричинених розбiжнiстю далекосяжних флуктуацiй густини, i неунiверсальних (системо залежних) рис, пов'язаних iз специфiчними мiжмолекулярними взаємодiями. Асимптотично всi плини належать до класу унiверсальностi моделi Iзинга. Асимптотичнi степеневi закони для термодинамiчних властивостей описуються двома незалежними унiверсальними критичними показниками i двома незалежними неунiверсальними критичними амплiтудами; решту критичних амплiтуд можна отримати з унiверсальних спiввiдношень. Неунiверсальнi критичнi параметри (критична температура, тиск i густина) можуть бути включенi в одиницi цих властивостей. Неасимптотичну критичну поведiнку плинiв можна подiлити на двi частини, симетричну ("iзингоподiбну") i асиметричну ("плиноподiбну"). Симетрична неасимптотична поведiнка мiстить новий унiверсальний показник (показник Вегнера) i системо залежний масштаб кросоверу (число Гiнзбурга), пов'язаний з областю дiї мiжмолекулярних взаємодiй, тодi як асиметричнi риси взагальному описуються додатковим унiверсальним показником i трьома неасимптотичними амплiтудами, пов'язаними зi змiшуванням фiзичних полiв у скейлiнгових полях.

**Ключовi слова:** плини, критична точка, унiверсальнiсть, повний скейлiнг