# Killing Correspondence in Finsler spaces

Suresh K. Shukla and M. K. Gupta

Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics Guru Ghasidas Vishwavidyalaya Bilaspur (C.G.)-495009, INDIA

Email: shuklasureshk@gmail.com; mkgiaps@gmail.com

#### Abstract

The present paper deals with the Killing correspondence between some Finsler spaces. We consider a Finsler space equipped with a  $\beta$ -change of metric and study the Killing correspondence between the original Finsler space and the Finsler space equipped with  $\beta$ -change of metric. We obtain necessary and sufficient condition for a vector field Killing in the original Finsler space to be Killing in the Finsler space equipped with  $\beta$ -change of metric. Certain consequences of such result are also discussed.

Keywords: Finsler spaces,  $\beta$ -change, Killing vector field 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53B40.

## 1 Introduction

As a matter of investigation, it is important to observe how properties of a Finsler space change under a change in the metric. Several geometers from different parts of the globe have been working in this direction for the last 2-3 decades. M.S. Knebelman [\[1\]](#page-6-0), S.Golab [\[2\]](#page-6-1) and M.Hashiguchi [\[3\]](#page-6-2) studied conformal change of Finsler metrics. Park and Lee [\[4\]](#page-6-3) discussed various Randers changes of Finsler spaces with  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics of Douglas type. M. Matsumoto [\[6\]](#page-6-4) and T. Aikou [\[5\]](#page-6-5) studied and investigated several properties of projective change and projective Randers change. In 1984, C. Shibata [\[8\]](#page-7-0) studied  $\beta$ -change of Finsler metrics and discussed certain invariant tensors under such a change.

 $\overline{1}$ 

Killing equations play important role in the study of a Finsler space which undergoes a change in the metric. In fact, they give an equivalent characterization for the transformations to preserve distances. In 1979, Singh, et. al. [\[7\]](#page-6-6) studied a Randers space  $F^n\left(M,L(x,y)=(g_{ij}(x)\,y^i\,y^j)^{\frac{1}{2}}+b_i(x)\,y^i\right),\,n\geq 2$  which undergoes a change  $L(x, y) \mapsto L^*(x, y) = L^2(x, y) + (\alpha_i(x)y^i)^2$ . They discussed Killing correspondence of the spaces  $F^n(M, L)$  and  $F^{*n}(M, L^*).$ 

In the present paper, we consider a general Finsler space  $F<sup>n</sup>(M, L)$  which undergoes a β-change, that is  $L(x, y) \mapsto \overline{L}(x, y) = f(L, \beta)$ , where  $\beta(x, y) = b_i(x)y^i$  is a 1-form. We study Killing correspondence of the Finsler spaces  $F^n(M, L)$  and  $\bar{F}^n(M, \bar{L})$ . For the notations and terminology, we refer the reader to the books [\[9\]](#page-7-1) and [\[10\]](#page-7-2), and the paper [\[8\]](#page-7-0) by Shibata.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we give some preliminaries which are used in the discussion of subsequent sections. Section 3 deals with Killing correspondence of  $F^n(M, L)$  and  $\bar{F}^n(M, \bar{L})$ , where  $\bar{L}(x, y) = f(L, \beta)$ . In section 4, we give conclusion to the results obtained in the paper and discuss future possible work to be done in this direction.

### 2 Preliminaries

Let  $F^{n}(M, L), n \geq 2$  be an *n*-dimensional Finsler space. Suppose that the metric function  $L(x, y)$  undergoes a change  $L(x, y) \mapsto \overline{L}(x, y) = f(L, \beta)$ , where  $\beta(x, y) =$  $b_i(x)y^i$  is a 1-form and the new space is  $\bar{F}^n(M,\bar{L})$ . This change of metric is called a  $\beta$ -change (see [\[8\]](#page-7-0) and [\[9\]](#page-7-1)).

 $\sim$ 

The angular metric tensor  $\bar{h}_{ij}$  of the space  $\bar{F}^n$  is given by [\[8\]](#page-7-0)

$$
\bar{h}_{ij} = p h_{ij} + q_0 m_i m_j,
$$

 $\epsilon$ 

where

(2.2) 
$$
\begin{cases} p = f f_1/L, & q_0 = f f_{22}, \quad m_i = b_i - \beta y^i/L^2, \\ f_1 = \partial f/\partial L, & f_2 = \partial f/\partial \beta, \end{cases}
$$

 $h_{ij}$  being the angular metric tensor of  $F<sup>n</sup>$ . The fundamental metric tensor  $\bar{g}_{ij}$  and its inverse  $\bar{g}^{ij}$  of  $\bar{F}^n$  are expressed as [\[8\]](#page-7-0)

(2.3) 
$$
\bar{g}_{ij} = pg_{ij} + p_0b_ib_j + p_{-1}(b_iy_j + b_jy_i) + p_{-2}y_iy_j,
$$

(2.4) 
$$
\bar{g}^{ij} = g^{ij}/p - sb^i b^j - s_{-1}(b^i y^j + b^j y^i) - s_{-2} y^i y^j,
$$

where

(2.5)  
\n
$$
\begin{cases}\np_0 = q_0 + f_2^2, \\
q_{-1} = f f_{12}/L, \quad p_{-1} = q_{-1} + p f_2/f, \quad q_{-2} = f (f_{11} - f_1/L) / L^2, \\
p_{-2} = q_{-2} + p^2 / f^2, \\
b^i = g^{ij} b_j, \quad b^2 = g^{ij} b_i b_j, \quad s_0 = \bar{L} q_0 / (\tau p L^2), \\
s_1 = p_{-1} \bar{L}^2 / (\tau p L^2), \quad s_{-2} = p_{-1} (\nu p L^2 - b^2 \bar{L}^2) / (\tau p L^2 \beta), \\
\tau = \bar{L}^2 (p + \nu q_0) / L^2, \quad \nu = b^2 - \beta^2 / L^2,\n\end{cases}
$$

 $g_{ij}$  and  $g^{ij}$  respectively being the metric tensor and inverse metric tensor of  $F^n$ . The Cartan tensor  $\bar{C}_{ijk}$  and the associate Cartan tensor  $\bar{C}_{ij}^h$  of  $\bar{F}^n$  are given by the following expressions:

(2.6) 
$$
\bar{C}_{ijk} = p C_{ijk} + \frac{1}{2} p_{-1} \mathfrak{S}_{(ijk)} \{ h_{ij} \, m_k \} + \frac{1}{2} p_{02} \, m_i m_j m_k,
$$

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
\bar{C}_{ij}^h = C_{ij}^h - V_{ij}^h,
$$

where

(2.8) 
$$
V_{ij}^h = Q^h (pC_{imj}b^m - p_{-1}m_im_j) - (\frac{1}{p}m^h - \nu Q^h)(p_{02}m_im_j + p_{-2}h_{ij})/2 - p_{-1}(h_i^hm_j + h_j^hm_i)/(2p),
$$

(2.9) 
$$
Q^{h} = s_{0}b^{h} + s_{-1}y^{h}, \ \ h_{i}^{h} = g^{hr}h_{ir}, \ \ m^{h} = g^{hr}m_{r}, \ \ p_{02} = \partial p_{0}/\partial \beta,
$$

 $\mathfrak{S}_{(ijk)}$  denote the cyclic sum with respect to the indices i, j and k;  $C_{ijk}$  and  $C_{ij}^h$  respectively being the Cartan tensor and associate Cartan tensor of  $F<sup>n</sup>$ .

 $\sim$ 

The spray coefficients  $\bar{G}^i$  of  $\bar{F}^n$  in terms of the spray coefficients  $G^i$  of  $F^n$  are expressed as [\[8\]](#page-7-0)

$$
\bar{G}^i = G^i + D^i,
$$

where

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
D^{i} = (q/p) F_{0}^{i} + (p E_{00} - 2q F_{r0} b^{r})(s_{-1} y^{i} + s_{0} b^{i})/2,
$$
  

$$
F_{j}^{i} = g^{ir} F_{rj}, \quad E_{jk} = (1/2)(b_{j|k} + b_{k|j}), \quad F_{jk} = (1/2)(b_{j|k} - b_{k|j}),
$$

the symbol  $\gamma$  denote the h-covariant derivative with respect to the Cartan connection CΓ and the lower index '<sub>0</sub>' (except in  $s_0$ ) denote the contraction by  $y^i$ .

The relation between the coefficients  $\bar{N}_j^i$  of Cartan nonlinear connection in  $\bar{F}^n$  and the coefficients  $N_j^i$  of the corresponding Cartan nonlinear connection in  $F^n$  is given by [\[8\]](#page-7-0)

$$
\bar{N}_j^i = N_j^i + D_j^i,
$$
\n
$$
(2.11)
$$

where

(2.12) 
$$
D_j^i = \dot{\partial}_j D^i, \quad \dot{\partial}_j \equiv \partial / \partial y^j.
$$

The coefficients  $\bar{F}^i_{jk}$  of Cartan connection  $C\bar{\Gamma}$  in  $\bar{F}^n$  and the coefficients  $F^i_{jk}$  of the corresponding Cartan connection  $C\Gamma$  in  $F^n$  are related as [\[8\]](#page-7-0)

(2.13) 
$$
\bar{F}_{jk}^i = F_{jk}^i + D_{jk}^i,
$$

where

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
D_{jk}^{i} = \{(1/p)g^{is} - Q^{i}b^{s} - y^{s}(s_{-1}b^{i} + s_{-2}y^{i})\}
$$
  
\n
$$
(B_{sj}b_{0|k} + B_{sk}b_{0|j} - B_{kj}b_{0|s} + F_{sj}Q_{k} + F_{sk}Q_{j} + E_{kj}Q_{s} + pC_{jkr}D_{s}^{r}
$$
  
\n
$$
+ V_{jkr}D_{s}^{r} - pC_{skm}D_{j}^{m} - V_{sjm}D_{k}^{m} - pC_{sjm}D_{k}^{m} - V_{skm}D_{j}^{m});
$$

$$
B_{kj} = 2\dot{\partial}_j Q_k.
$$

The difference tensor  $D^i_{jk}$  satisfies the following properties:

(i) 
$$
D_{j0}^i = B_{j0}^i = D_j^i
$$
, (ii)  $D_{00}^i = 2D^i$ , where  $B_{jk}^i = \partial_k D_j^i$ .

4

# 3 Killing Correspondence of  $F^n$  and  $\bar{F}^n$

<span id="page-4-0"></span>Let us consider an infinitesimal transformation

$$
(3.1) \t\t\t'x^i = x^i + \epsilon v^i(x),
$$

where  $\epsilon$  is an infinitesimal constant and  $v^i(x)$  is a contravariant vector field.

The vector field  $v^i(x)$  is said to be a Killing vector field in  $F^n$  if the metric tensor of the Finsler space with respect to the infinitesimal transformation [\(3.1\)](#page-4-0) is Lie invariant, that is

<span id="page-4-6"></span>
$$
(3.2) \t\t\t \mathfrak{L}_v g_{ij} = 0,
$$

 $\mathfrak{L}_v$  being the operator of Lie differentiation. Equivalently, the vector field  $v^i(x)$  is Killing in  $F^n$  if

<span id="page-4-1"></span>(3.3) 
$$
v_{i|j} + v_{j|i} + 2C_{ij}^{h}v_{h|0} = 0,
$$

where  $v_i = g_{il}v^l$ .

Now, we prove the following result which gives a necessary and sufficient condition for a Killing vector field in  $F<sup>n</sup>$  to be Killing in  $\bar{F}<sup>n</sup>$ :

<span id="page-4-5"></span>**Theorem 3.1.** A Killing vector field  $v^i(x)$  in  $F^n$  is Killing in  $\overline{F}^n$  if and only if

<span id="page-4-4"></span>
$$
(3.4) \tV_{ij}^h v_{h|0} + C_{rjl} v^l D_i^r + C_{ril} v^l D_j^r + v_r D_{ij}^r + \bar{C}_{ij}^h (2C_{rhl} v^l D^r + v_r D_h^r) = 0,
$$

where  $\bar{C}_{ij}^h$  is the associate Cartan tensor of  $\bar{F}^n$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $v^{i}(x)$  is Killing in  $F^{n}$ . Then [\(3.3\)](#page-4-1) is satisfied. By definition, the h-covariant derivatives of  $v_i$  with respect to  $C\Gamma$  and  $C\Gamma$  are respectively given as

<span id="page-4-2"></span>(3.5) (a) 
$$
v_{i||j} = \partial_j v_i - (\dot{\partial}_r v_i) \bar{G}_j^r - v_r \bar{F}_{ij}^r
$$
, (b)  $v_{i|j} = \partial_j v_i - (\dot{\partial}_r v_i) G_j^r - v_r F_{ij}^r$ ,

where  $\partial_j \equiv \partial/\partial x^j$  and '<sub>||</sub>' denote the h-covariant differentiation with respect to  $C\overline{\Gamma}$ . Equation  $(3.5)(a)$ , by virtue of  $(2.10)$ ,  $(2.13)$  and  $(3.5)(b)$ , takes the form

<span id="page-4-3"></span>5

(3.6) 
$$
v_{i|j} = v_{i|j} - 2 C_{r i l} v^{l} D_{j}^{r} - v_{r} D_{ij}^{r}.
$$

Now, from [\(3.6\)](#page-4-3), we have

<span id="page-5-0"></span>(3.7) 
$$
v_{i||j} + v_{j||i} + 2\bar{C}_{ij}^h v_{h||0} = v_{i|j} + v_{j|i} + 2\bar{C}_{ij}^h v_{h|0} - 2C_{ril}v^l D_j^r - 2C_{rjl}v^l D_i^r - 2v_r D_{ij}^r - 2\bar{C}_{ij}^h (2C_{rhl}v^l D^r + v_r D_h^r).
$$

Using  $(2.7)$  in  $(3.7)$  and applying  $(3.3)$ , we get

(3.8) 
$$
v_{i||j} + v_{j||i} + 2\bar{C}_{ij}^h v_{h||0} = -2V_{ij}^h v_{h|0} - 2C_{ril}v^l D_j^r - 2C_{rjl}v^l D_i^r - 2v_r D_{ij}^r - 2\bar{C}_{ij}^h (2C_{rhl}v^l D^r + v_r D_h^r).
$$

Proof completes with the observation that  $v^{i}(x)$  is Killing in  $\bar{F}^{n}$  if and only if  $v_{i||j}$  +  $v_{j||i} + 2\bar{C}_{ij}^h v_{h||0} = 0$ , that is, if and only if [\(3.4\)](#page-4-4) holds. П

If a vector field  $v^i(x)$  is Killing in  $F^n$  and  $\bar{F}^n$ , then from Theorem [3.1,](#page-4-5) [\(3.4\)](#page-4-4) holds, which on transvection by  $y^i$  yields

<span id="page-5-1"></span>(3.9) 
$$
2C_{rjl}v^{l}D^{r} + v_{r}D_{j}^{r} = 0.
$$

Equation  $(3.4)$ , in view of  $(3.9)$ , enables us to state the following:

<span id="page-5-2"></span>**Corollary 3.1.** If a vector field  $v^i(x)$  is Killing in  $F^n$  and  $\overline{F}^n$ , then

(3.10) 
$$
V_{ij}^h v_{h|0} + C_{rjl} v^l D_i^r + C_{ril} v^l D_j^r + v_r D_{ij}^r = 0.
$$

As another important consequence of Theorem [3.1,](#page-4-5) we have the following:

<span id="page-5-3"></span>**Corollary 3.2.** If a vector field  $v^i(x)$  is Killing in  $F^n$  and  $\overline{F}^n$ , then the vector  $v_i(x, y)$ is orthogonal to the vector  $D^{i}(x, y)$ .

*Proof.* As  $v^i(x)$  is Killing in  $F^n$  and  $\overline{F}^n$ , [\(3.4\)](#page-4-4) holds, which on transvection by  $y^i$  gives [\(3.9\)](#page-5-1). Again transvecting [\(3.9\)](#page-5-1) by  $y^j$ , it follows that  $v_r D^r = 0$ . This proves the result.

### 4 Discussion and Conclusion

We proved Theorem [3.1](#page-4-5) as the main result and as its consequences we obtained Corollary [3.1](#page-5-2) and Corollary [3.2.](#page-5-3) Since the Killing equation [\(3.2\)](#page-4-6) is a necessary and

 $\sqrt{2}$ 

sufficient condition for the transformation  $(3.1)$  to be a motion in  $F<sup>n</sup>$  (vide [\[10\]](#page-7-2)), the condition [\(3.4\)](#page-4-4) obtained in Theorem [3.1](#page-4-5) may be taken as the necessary and sufficient condition for the vector field  $v^i(x)$ , generating a motion in  $F^n$ , to generate a motion in  $\bar{F}^n$  as well. Also, since every motion is an affine motion and every affine motion is a projective motion (*vide* [\[11\]](#page-7-3)-[\[13\]](#page-7-4)), it is clear that vector field  $v^i(x)$ , generating an affine motion (respectively projective motion) in  $F<sup>n</sup>$ , generates an affine motion (respectively projective motion) in  $\bar{F}^n$  if condition [\(3.4\)](#page-4-4) holds. The main result and its consequences, obtained in the paper, may be further utilized to link various transformations in  $F<sup>n</sup>$ with corresponding transformations in  $\bar{F}^n$ .

# References

- <span id="page-6-0"></span>[1] M. S. Knebelman, Conformal geometry of generalized metric spaces, Proc. Nat. Sci., U. S. A., **15** (1929), 376-379.
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>[2] S. Golab, Einige Bemerkungen uber Winkelmetrik in Finslerschen Raumen, Verh. Intern. Math. Kongr., Zurich, II (1932), 178-179.
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>[3] M. Hashiguchi, On conformal transformations of Finsler metrics, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **16** (1976), 25-50.
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>[4] H. S. Park and I.Y. Lee, The Randers changes of Finsler spaces with  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics of Douglas type, J. Korean Math. Soc., 8(3) (2001), 503-521.
- <span id="page-6-5"></span>[5] T. Aikou, Some remarks on conformal changes of generalized Finsler metrics, Rep. Fac. Sci., Kagoshima Univ., (Math., Phys. & Chem.), 20 (1987), 57-61.
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>[6] M. Matsumoto, The Tavakol-van Den Berg conditions in the theories of gravity and projective changes of Finsler metrics, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 42 (1993), 155-168.
- <span id="page-6-6"></span>[7] U.P. Singh, V. N. John and B. N. Prasad, Finsler spaces preserving Killing vector fields, J. Math. Phys. Sci. Madras, 13 (1979), 265-271.

 $\rightarrow$ 

- <span id="page-7-0"></span>[8] C. Shibata, On invariant tensors of  $\beta$ -changes of Finsler metrics, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., 24 (1984), 163188.
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>[9] P. L. Antonelli (ed.), Handbook of Finsler geometry, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2003.
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>[10] H. Rund, The Differential Geometry of Finsler Spaces, Springer-Verlag, 1959.
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>[11] P. N. Pandey, Certain types of affine motion in a Finsler manifold I, II, III. Colloq. Math., 49 (1985), 243-252; 53 (1987), 219-227; 56 (1988), 333-340.
- [12] P. N. Pandey, Certain types of projective motion in a Finsler manifold, Atti. Accad. Peloritana Pericolanti Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur., 60 (1983) 287-300.
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>[13] P. N. Pandey, Certain types of projective motion in a Finsler manifold II, Atti. Accad. Sci. Torino, 120 (5-6) (1986), 168-178.

 $\sim$