ON A QUANTUM ENTROPY POWER INEQUALITY OF AUDENAERT, DATTA AND OZOLS

Eric A. Carlen¹, Elliott H. Lieb² and Michael Loss³

¹ Department of Mathematics, Hill Center,

Rutgers University, 110 Frelinghuysen Road Piscataway NJ 08854-8019 USA

² Departments of Mathematics and Physics, Jadwin Hall, Princeton University

P. O. Box 708, Princeton, NJ 08542.

³ School of Mathematics, Georgia Tech, Atlanta GA 30332

March 19, 2016

Abstract

We give a short proof of a recent inequality of Audenaert, Datta and Ozols, and determine cases of equality.

1 Introduction

Let X and Y be independent \mathbb{R}^n valued random variables with probability densities ρ and σ respectively. For any $\theta \in (0, \pi/2)$, a simple calculation shows that the sum $\cos \theta X + \sin \theta Y$ has the density

$$\rho \star_{\theta} \sigma(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho(x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta) \sigma(-x \sin \theta + y \cos \theta) dy .$$
 (1.1)

If U_{θ} is the orthogonal transformation on \mathbb{R}^{2n} given in block form by $U_{\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta \mathbf{1} & -\sin \theta \mathbf{1} \\ \sin \theta \mathbf{1} & \cos \theta \mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix}$, we can rewrite (1.1) as

$$\rho \star_{\theta} \sigma(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \otimes \sigma(U_{\theta}(x, y)) \mathrm{d}y \ . \tag{1.2}$$

Every probability density ρ on R that has a finite second moment has a well-defined entropy $S(\rho)$ given by $S(\rho) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \log \rho(x) dx$ with $S(\rho) \in [-\infty, S(\gamma_{\rho})]$ where γ_{ρ} is the centered Gaussian

¹Work partially supported by U.S. National Science Foundation grant DMS 1501007.

²Work partially supported by U.S. National Science Foundation grant PHY-1265118

³Work partially supported by U.S. National Science Foundation Grant DMS 1301555 and the German Humboldt Foundation

^{© 2016} by the authors. This paper may be reproduced, in its entirety, for non-commercial purposes.

density with the same variance as ρ . One form of Shannon's Entropy Power Inequality, first proved by Stam [8], is that for all ρ and σ with finite variance, and all $\theta \in (0, \pi/2)$,

$$S(\rho \star_{\theta} \sigma) \ge \cos^2 \theta S(\rho) + \sin^2 \theta S(\sigma) .$$
(1.3)

For a different proof, see [6].

Several authors [1, 4] have recently investigated quantum analogs of the entropy power inequality. Let \mathcal{H} be a separable Hilbert space. Let U be any unitary operator on $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$, and let ρ and let ρ and σ be two density matrices on \mathcal{H} ; i.e., positive trace class operators on \mathcal{H} . Then the operation

$$(\rho, \sigma) \mapsto \operatorname{Tr}_2[U^*(\rho \otimes \sigma)U]$$

where Tr_2 is the partial trace over the second factor on $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$, provides general quantum analog of the classical scaled convolution operation in (1.3). To get a closer analog, for which one can prove an analog of the Entropy Power Inequality (1.3), one must make a choice of U that is somehow analogous to the classical rotation in (1.3). There is a natural way to do this for a system of n bosons, and the quantum analog of (1.3) was proved in this setting by König and Smith [4], using a quantum implementation of the method of Stam [8]. In their setting, the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is infinite dimensional. More recently, Audenaert, Datta and Ozols have sought and proved [1] an analog of (1.3) that does not require the structure associated to a system of n bosons for its formulation, and is valid for any pair of density matrices on any separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

Let \mathcal{H} be any separable Hilbert space, possibly but not necessarily finite dimensional. Define the *swap operator* S on $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ by $S(u \otimes v) = v \otimes u$. S is self adjoint and unitary, and for each $\theta \in [0, \pi/2], \cos \theta \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}} + i \sin \theta S$ is unitary. To simplify the notation in what follows, we define $\sqrt{t} := \cos \theta$ so that for $\theta \in (0, \pi/2), \sin \theta = \sqrt{1-t}$. For each $t \in [0, 1]$, define

$$U_t = \sqrt{t} \, \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}} + i\sqrt{1-t} \, S \, . \tag{1.4}$$

Then as t varies between 0 and 1, U_t provides a continuous unitary interpolation between the identity and the swap operator S. Hence, for $t \in (0, 1)$, U_{θ} may be thought of as a *partial swap*. See [1] for the phyical context. For any two density matrices ρ and σ on \mathcal{H} , and any $t \in [0, 1]$, define

$$\rho \star_t \sigma = \operatorname{Tr}_2(U_t(\rho \otimes \sigma)U_t^*) . \tag{1.5}$$

A straightforward computation yields the explicit formula:

$$\rho \star_t \sigma = t\rho + (1-t)\sigma + i\sqrt{t(1-t)}[\rho,\sigma] .$$
(1.6)

For a density matrix ρ on \mathcal{H} , let $S(\rho) := -\text{Tr}[\rho \log \rho]$ be the von Neumann entropy of ρ . Audenaert, Datta and Ozols prove [1] the following analog of (1.3):

$$S(\rho \star_t \sigma) \ge t S(\rho) + (1-t)S(\sigma) . \tag{1.7}$$

In [1], (1.7) is by means of a majorization inequality; see [7]: Given two monotone non-increasing sequence $\{\kappa_j\}$ and $\{\lambda_j\}$ of non-negative numbers with $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \kappa_j = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j < \infty$, then $\{\kappa_j\}$ is

majorized by $\{\lambda_j\}$ if and only if for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sum_{j=1}^k \kappa_j \leq \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j$, in which case we write $\{\kappa_j\} \prec \{\lambda_j\}$.

A theorem of Hardy, Littlewood and Pólya [2] and Karamata [3], extended to infinite sequences in [5], says that $\{\kappa_j\} \prec \{\lambda_j\}$ if an only if there is a doubly stochastic matrix $S(S_{i,j} \ge 0)$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} S_{i,j} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} S_{i,j} = 1$ for all i, j) such that $\kappa_i = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} S_{i,j}\lambda_j$ for all j. Then by the strict concavity of $h(x) = -x \log x$ on [0, 1] we have the following: When $\{\kappa_j\}$ and $\{\lambda_j\}$ are two non-negative non-increasing sequences with $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \kappa_j = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j = 1$, and $\{\kappa_j\} \prec \{\lambda_j\}$, then $-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \kappa_j \log \kappa_j \ge -\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \log \lambda_j$, and when $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \log \lambda_j < \infty$, there is equality if and only if $\{\kappa_j\} = \{\lambda_j\}$. For any non-negative compact operator A on \mathcal{H} , let $\lambda_j(A)$ denote the jth largest eigenvalue of A, with the eigenvalues repeated according to their multiplicity. The first part of the following theorem is proved in [1] by a much longer argument.

1.1 THEOREM. For any two density matrices ρ and σ on \mathcal{H} , and any $t \in [0, 1]$, let $\rho \star_t \sigma$ be given by (1.6). Then

$$\{\lambda_j(\rho \star_t \sigma)\} \prec \{t\lambda_j(\rho) + (1-t)\lambda_j(\sigma)\} .$$
(1.8)

Moreover, $\{t\lambda_j(\rho) + (1-t)\lambda_j(\sigma)\} = \{\lambda_j(\rho \star_t \sigma)\}$ if and only if there is an orthonormal basis $\{\phi_j\}$ of \mathcal{H} such that $\rho\phi_j = \lambda_j(\rho)\phi_j$ and $\sigma = \lambda_j(\sigma)\phi_j$ for each j.

By Theorem 1.1 and what we have said about majorization and $h(x) = -x \log x$,

$$S(\rho \star_{t} \sigma) \geq -\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} h(t\lambda_{j}(\rho) + (1-t)\lambda_{j}(\sigma)) \geq -t\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} h(\lambda_{j}(\rho)) - (1-t)\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} h(\lambda_{j}(\sigma)) = tS(\rho) + (1-t)S(\sigma) , \quad (1.9)$$

and this proves (1.7). If there is equality in (1.7) and the left side is finite, then by Theorem 1.1, there is an orthonormal basis $\{\phi_j\}$ of \mathcal{H} such that $\rho\phi_j = \lambda_j(\rho)\phi_j$ and $\sigma = \lambda_j(\sigma)\phi_j$ for each j. Since the second inequality in (1.9) must also be saturated, it must be the case that $\lambda_j(\rho) = \lambda_j(\sigma)$ for each j, and hence $\rho = \sigma$. Thus our statement about cases of equality in Theorem 1.1 implies that finite equality holds in (1.7) if and only if $\rho = \sigma$.

We now give a very short proof of Theorem 1.1. The second part, on cases of equality, is new. The heart of the matter is the following lemma.

1.2 LEMMA. For all non-negative compact contractions A and B on \mathcal{H} , and all $t \in (0,1)$, let $A \star_t B := tA + (1-t)B + i\sqrt{t(1-t)}[A, B]$. Then $\lambda_1(A \star_t B) \leq t\lambda_1(A) + (1-t)\lambda_1(B)$. There is equality if and only if there is a unit vector $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $A\phi = \lambda_1(A)\phi$ and $B\phi = \lambda_1(B)\phi$.

Proof. To prove the inequality, it suffices to show that

$$[t\lambda_1(A) + (1-t)\lambda_1(B)] - A \star_t B \ge 0 .$$
(1.10)

Define

$$X = (\lambda_1(A) \mathbb{1} - A)$$
, $Y = (\lambda_1(B) \mathbb{1} - B)$ and $Z = \sqrt{t}X + i\sqrt{1 - t}Y$. (1.11)

Note that $i\sqrt{t(1-t)}[A, B] = i[\sqrt{t}X, \sqrt{1-t}Y] = -ZZ^* + tX^2 + (1-t)Y^2$. Therefore, the left side of (1.10) can be written as $t[X - X^2] + (1-t)[Y - Y^2] + ZZ^*$. Since $0 \le X, Y \le 1, X - X^2 \ge 0$ and $Y - Y^2 \ge 0$, and this proves (1.10).

For the cases of equality, suppose that ϕ is in the null space of $t[X-X^2]+(1-t)[Y-Y^2]+ZZ^*$. Then $\langle \phi, [X-X^2]\phi \rangle = 0$, and hence either $X\phi = 0$ or $X\phi = \phi$, and likewise for Y in place of X. Then if either $X\phi = \phi$ or $Y\phi = \phi$, then $||Z^*\phi|| \ge \sqrt{t(1-t)}||\phi||$, so if $\phi \ne 0$, $X\phi = Y\phi = 0$, and this proves the statement about cases of equality.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\bigwedge^k \mathcal{H}$ denote the antisymmetric k-fold tensor product of \mathcal{H} . For any bounded operator A on \mathcal{H} , define the operator $a^{[k]}$ on $\bigwedge^k \mathcal{H}$ by

$$A^{[k]}(v_1, \wedge \dots \wedge v_k) = (Av_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k) + (v_1 \wedge Av_2 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k) + \dots + (v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_{k-1} \wedge Av_k) .$$

If A is self adjoint, non-negative and compact, so is $A^{[k]}$ and $\lambda_1(A^{[k]}) = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j(A)$. In particular, if

A is a density matrix, then for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $A^{[k]}$ is a non-negative contraction. Also, for any bounded operators A, B on $\mathcal{H}, [A^{[k]}, B^{[k]}] = [A, B]^{[k]}$.

It now follows from Lemma 1.2 that for any density matrices ρ and σ , the sequence $\{\lambda_j(\rho \star_t \sigma)\}$ is majorized by the sequence $\{t\lambda_j(\rho)+(1-t)\lambda_j(\sigma)\}$, and moreover, if $\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j(\rho \star_t \sigma) = \sum_{j=1}^k (t\lambda_j(\rho)+(1-t)\lambda_j(\sigma))$ for each k, then ρ and σ have a common eigenvector basis $\{\phi_j\}$ such that $\rho\phi_j = \lambda_j(\rho)\phi_j$ and $\sigma = \lambda_j(\sigma)\phi_j$ for each j.

References

- [1] K. Audenaert, N. Datta and M. Ozols, Entropy power inequality for qdits. arXiv:1503.04213v2.
- [2] G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, Some simple inequalities satisfied by convex functions, Messenger of Math. 58, 145-152 (1929).
- [3] J. Karamata, Sur une inégalité relative aux fonctions convexes, Publ. Math. Univ. Belgrade 1, 145-148 (1932).
- [4] R. König, and G. Smith, The entropy power inequality for quantum systems, IEEE Trans. Info. Thy. 60, 1536-1548 (2014).
- [5] I.C. Gohberg, A.S. Markus, Some relations between eigenvalues and matrix elements of linear operators, Mat. Sb. 64 (106) 481-496, 1964 (in Russian); Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, vol. 52, 1966, 201-216 (in English).
- [6] E.H. Lieb, Proof of an entropy conjecture of Wehrl, Commun. Math. Phys., 62, no. 1, 3541, (1978).
- [7] A.W. Marshall. and I. Olkin, *Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and Its Applications*, Academic Press, New York. (1979).
- [8] A.J. Stam. Some inequalities satisfied by the quantities of information of Fisher and Shannon Inf. Control 2, 101-112 (1959).