ISO-CONTACT EMBEDDINGS OF MANIFOLDS IN CO-DIMENSION 2

DISHANT M. PANCHOLI AND SUHAS PANDIT

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this article is to study co-dimension 2 iso-contact embeddings of closed contact manifolds. We first show that a closed contact manifold (M^{2n-1}, ξ_M) iso-contact embeds in a contact manifold (N^{2n+1}, ξ_N) , provided M contact embeds in (N, ξ_N) with a trivial normal bundle and the contact structure induced on M via this embedding is homotopic as an almost-contact structure to ξ_M . We apply this result to first establish that a closed contact 3-manifold having no 2-torsion in its second integral cohomology iso-contact embeds in the standard contact 5-sphere if and only if the first Chern class of the contact structure is zero. Finally, we discuss iso-contact embeddings of closed simply connected contact 5-manifolds.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of embeddings of manifolds in Euclidean spaces has been a very classical and well studied topic which has lead to the development of many important tools in geometric topology. H.Whitney in [Wh] established that every smooth *n*-manifold admits an embedding in \mathbb{R}^{2n} . He also demonstrated that $\mathbb{R}P^2$ does not admit an embedding in \mathbb{R}^3 there by establishing that this result is optimal in general. However, M. Hirsch generalized the result for odd dimensional closed orientable manifolds to establish that every (2n+1)dimensional manifold admits an embedding in \mathbb{R}^{4n-1} . This, in particular, implies that every closed orientable 3-manifold admits an embedding in \mathbb{R}^5 . On the other hand, J. Nash in [Na] established that every closed Riemannian *n*-manifold admits a c^1 -isometric embedding in $\frac{n}{2}(3n+11)$ -dimensional flat Euclidean space. This initiated the study of embeddings of manifolds preserving a given geometric structure.

In this article, we study *iso-contact* embeddings of contact manifolds. This study was formally initiated by M. Gromov. See, for example, [Gr] for Gromov's approach to the iso-contact embedding problem.

Recall that by a contact structure on a manifold M, we mean a nowhere integrable hyperplane field ξ on M. The contact structure is said to be co-orientable, provided ξ is the kernel of a 1-form defined on M. A contact manifold M with the contact structure ξ is denoted by the pair (M, ξ) . When ξ is co-oriented and ξ is the kernel of a 1-form α defined on M, then we also denote the contact manifold (M, ξ) by the pair $(M, Ker\{\alpha\})$. In this article, we will always work with co-orientable contact structures defined on orientable manifolds.

Now, let $(M_1, Ker\{\alpha_1\})$ and $(M_2, Ker\{\alpha_2\})$ be two contact manifolds. We say that $(M_1, Ker\{\alpha_1\})$ admits an *iso-contact embedding* in $(M_2, Ker\{\alpha_2\})$, provided there exists a smooth embedding $f : M_1 \hookrightarrow M_2$ such that $f^*\alpha_2 = g\alpha_1$, for some everywhere positive function $g : M \to \mathbb{R}$. In case, a manifold M_1 admits an embedding into a contact manifold (M_2, ξ_2) such that the restriction of ξ_2 to M_1 is a contact structure on M_1 , we say M_1 admits *contact embedding* in (M_2, ξ_2) .

Gromov in [Gr] using his convex integration technique – which generalizes the technique developed by Nash in [Na] – essentially provided a complete understanding regarding iso-contact embeddings when the co-dimension of the embedding is bigger than or equal to 4. He established that the question of contact embeddings in any positive co-dimension and the question of iso-contact embeddings of closed contact manifold manifolds in co-dimension bigger than equal to four abides by the h-principle. This essentially means that whenever there are no formal bundle theoretic obstructions for finding a contact embedding, there is indeed, a contact embedding. See [EM] for more on h-principle.

Date: September 11, 2019.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 53D10. Secondary: 53D15, 57R17.

Key words and phrases. contact structures, embeddings.

Our main focus is on understanding iso-contact embeddings of closed contact manifolds in co-dimension 2. In this co-dimension the techniques developed by Gromov in [Gr] are generally not sufficient for a complete answer. The systematic study of co-dimension 2 iso-contact embeddings of closed contact manifolds was initiated by J. Etnyre and R. Fukuwara in [EF].¹ Iso-contact embeddings of contact 3–manifolds in $M \times S^2$ were also constructed in [NP] using the fact that the co-tangent bundle of any closed orientable 3-manifold is trivial.

For a contact manifold $(M_1, Ker\{\alpha_1\})$ to admit an iso-contact embedding in the manifold $(M_2, Ker\{\alpha_2\})$, there must exist a smooth embedding f of M_1 in M_2 and a monomorphism $F: TM_1 \to TM_2$ which covers the map f and satisfies the property that the bundle $(F_*\xi_1, F_*d\alpha_1)$ is a conformal symplectic sub-bundle of $(M_2, Ker\{\alpha_2\})$. If such a pair (f, F) exists, then we say that we have a formal iso-contact embedding of $(M_1, Ker\{\alpha_1\})$ in $(M_2, Ker\{\alpha_2\})$. We refer to [EM, Chpt-12] for more on formal iso-contact embeddings.

Let (N,ξ) be a contact manifold. Following [EM], we say that the problem of iso-contact embeddings of a collection \mathcal{A} of contact manifolds abide by the *h*-principle, provided every formal iso-contact embedding of a contact manifold $(M, \xi_M) \in \mathcal{A}$ in (N, ξ) can be isotoped to an iso-contact embedding of (M, ξ_M) in (N, ξ) .

Questions related to iso-contact embeddings of closed contact manifolds in an arbitrary contact manifold (N,ξ) abide by the h-principle provided, either the co-dimension of the embedding is bigger than 4 or the target manifold is overtwisted in the sense of [BEM]. See for example, [EM, Theorem 12.3.1] to understand the case of iso-contact embeddings when the co-dimension of the embedding is bigger than or equal to 4. Iso-contact embeddings were first studied by Gromov. He established in [Gr] the h-principle for iso-contact embeddings for the category of open contact manifolds provided the co-dimension of the embeddings is bigger than or equal to 2. As a result, he also obtained the h-principle for closed contact manifolds, provided the co-dimension of the embeddings is at least 4. Gromov in [Gr] also established the *h*-principle for co-dimension 2 immersions. To clearly understand the case of iso-contact embeddings in overtwisted contact manifolds, see discussions related to iso-contact embeddings in [EF] and [EL]. In particular, in [EL] it is shown that every closed contact 3-manifold admits an iso-contact embedding in an overtwisted contact $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. We would also like to point out that A. Mori in [Mr] also produced iso-contact embeddings of all contact 3-manifolds in the

like to point out that I. For M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n contact manifold $\left(\mathbb{R}^7, Ker\{dz + \sum_{i=1}^3 x_i dy_i\}\right)$ using open books and D. Martinez-Torres in [Ma] produced an iso-contact embedding of any contact manifold M^{2n+1} in $\left(\mathbb{R}^{4n+3}, Ker\{dz + \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} x_i dy_i\}\right)$.

In this article, we first establish an h-principle type result for co-dimension 2 iso-contact embeddings of closed manifolds. In order to state this result, we need the notion of *overtwisted* contact manifolds due to M. Borman, Y. Eliashberg and E. Murphy discussed in [BEM].

Recall that a contact manifold (M,ξ) is said to be overtwisted, provided it admits an iso-contact embedding of an overtwisted ball. For a precise definition of an overtwisted ball, refer [BEM]. For the purpose of this article what is important is the following fact established in [BEM]:

In every homotopy class of almost contact structures, there exists a unique overtwisted contact structure up to isotopy.

Here, by an almost-contact structure on a manifold M, we mean a hyperplane-field ξ together with a conformal class of a symplectic structure on it. We would like to remark that a contact structure $Ker\{\alpha\}$ can be naturally regarded as an almost-contact structure. This is because $d\alpha$ restricted to $Ker\{\alpha\}$ provides the conformal class of symplectic structure on the hyperplane $Ker\{\alpha\}$.

We will always denote the unique overtwisted contact structure in the homotopy class of an almost contact structure ξ on a manifold M by ξ^{ot} . Now, we state our main result of this article.

Theorem 1. Let (M, ξ_M) be a closed contact manifold. If (M, ξ_M^{ot}) admits an iso-contact embedding in a contact manifold (N, ξ_N) with the trivial normal bundle, then so does (M, ξ_M) .

 $^{^{1}}$ In [EF] the term contact embedding means iso-contact embedding. We on the other hand follow the conventions from [EM].

Our proof of the Theorem 1 relies on certain *flexibility* discovered in iso-contact embeddings of contact manifolds in neighborhoods of a special class of closed contact overtwisted manifolds. which are assumed to be embedded in a given contact manifold. See the Proposition 17 for a precise statement.

Next, we discuss some applications of the Theorem 1. We first discuss co-dimension 2 iso-contact embeddings of contact manifolds in the standard contact spheres. Recall that by the standard contact structure

 ξ_{std} on the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, we mean the kernel of the 1-form $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i dy_i - y_i dx_i$ restricted to \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} .

The techniques developed to establish the Theorem 1 also establishes the following very useful proposition.

Proposition 2. A closed contact manifold (M^{2n-1}, ξ_M) admits an iso-contact embedding in the standard contact sphere $(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}, \xi_{std})$ if and only if M admits a contact embedding in $(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}, \xi_{std})$ and the induced contact structure on M by the embedding is homotopic to ξ_M as an almost-contact structure.

There are many interesting classes of smooth manifolds which admit smooth co-dimension 2 embeddings in the standard spheres. For example, as mentioned earlier, Hirsch in [Hi] showed that every closed smooth 3-manifold admits a smooth embedding in S^5 . There are now many proofs of this result. See for example, [HLM] for what is now known as braided embedding and [PPS] for embeddings using open books.

N. Kasuya in [Ka] first observed that not all contact 3-manifolds admit iso-contact embeddings in the standard contact \mathbb{S}^5 . He showed that the necessary condition for the existence of such an embedding is that the first Chern class of the contact structure must be zero. In [Ka], Kasuya also established that every closed contact 3-manifold (M, ξ) admits an iso-contact embedding in some contact \mathbb{R}^5 .

In [EF], Etnyre and Fukuwara obtained many interesting iso-contact embedding results. One of the most striking result which they established states that every overtwisted contact 3-manifold (M, ξ_{ot}) with no 2-torsion in second integral cohomology iso-contact embeds in the standard contact \mathbb{S}^5 if and only if the first Chern class of the overtwisted contact structure ξ_{ot} is zero.

Applying the Theorem 1 about iso-contact embeddings in spheres and a result about iso-contact embeddings of overtwisted 3-manifolds in \mathbb{S}^5 proved in [EF], we establish the following:

Theorem 3. Let M be a closed orientable 3-manifold. Then, we have the following:

- (1) In case, M has no 2-torsion in $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$, then M together with any contact structure ξ on it admits an iso-contact embedding in $(\mathbb{S}^5, \xi_{std})$ if and only if the first Chern class $c_1(\xi)$ is zero.
- (2) In case, M has a 2-torsion in H²(M, Z), then there exits a homotopy class [ξ] of plane fields on M such that M together with any contact structure homotopic to a plane field belonging to the class [ξ] over a 2-skeleton of M admits an iso-contact embedding in (S⁵, ξ_{std}).

Finally, we discuss iso-contact embeddings of simply-connected contact 5–manifolds in $(\mathbb{S}^7, \xi_{std})$. In particular, we establish:

Theorem 4. Let (M,ξ) be a closed simply connected contact 5-manifold with $w_2(M) = 0$. Then, (M,ξ) admits an iso-contact embedding in $(\mathbb{S}^7, \xi_{std})$ if and only if $c_1(\xi) = 0$.

Acknowledgment

The first author is extremely grateful to Yakov Eliashberg for asking various questions regarding isocontact embeddings which stimulated this work. During the course of the development of this article, he provided us constant encouragement and support. This work would not have been possible without his critical comments and suggestions. We are also thankful to Roger Casals and John Etnyre for various helpful comments and suggestions. We are extremely grateful to Francisco Presas for helping us improving the presentation of this article. The first author is also thankful to Simons Foundation for providing support to travel to Stanford, where a part of work of this project was carried out. The first author is thankful to ICTP, Trieste, Italy and Simons Associateship program without which this work would not have been possible.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we quickly review notions necessary for the article pertaining open books, contact structures and relationship between them.

2.1. Open books.

Let us review few results related to open book decomposition of manifolds. We first recall the following:

Definition 5 (Open book decomposition). An open book decomposition of a closed oriented manifold Mconsists of a co-dimension 2 oriented sub-manifold B with a trivial normal bundle in M and a locally trivial fibration $\pi : M \setminus B \to \mathbb{S}^1$ such that $\pi^{-1}(\theta)$ is an interior of a co-dimension 1 sub-manifold N_{θ} and $\partial N_{\theta} = B$, for all $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^1$. Furthermore, the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}(B)$ of the sub-manifold B is trivialized such that π restricted to $\mathcal{N}(B) \setminus B \to \mathbb{S}^1$ is given by the angular co-ordinate in \mathbb{D}^2 -factor.

The sub-manifold B is called the *binding* and N_{θ} is called a *page* of the open book. We denote the open book decomposition of M by $(M, \mathcal{O}b(B, \pi))$ or sometimes simply by $\mathcal{O}b(B, \pi)$.

Next, we discuss the notion of an *abstract open book decomposition*. To begin with, let us recall that the *mapping class group* of a manifold $(\Sigma, \partial \Sigma)$ is the group of isotopy classes of diffeomorphisms of Σ which are the identity near the boundary $\partial \Sigma$.

Definition 6 (Mapping torus). Let Σ be a manifold with non-empty boundary $\partial \Sigma$. Let ϕ be an element of the mapping class group of Σ . By the mapping torus $\mathcal{MT}((\Sigma, \partial \Sigma), \phi)$, we mean

 $\Sigma \times [0,1]/\sim,$

where \sim is the equivalence relation identifying (x, 0) with $(\phi(x), 1)$.

Observe that by the definition of $\mathcal{M}T((\Sigma, \partial \Sigma), \phi)$, there exists a collar of the boundary $\partial \mathcal{M}T((\Sigma, \partial \Sigma), \phi)$ in $\mathcal{M}T((\Sigma, \partial \Sigma), \phi)$ which can be identified with $(-\epsilon, 0] \times \partial \Sigma \times S^1$ as the diffeomorphism ϕ is the identity in a collar $(-\epsilon, 0] \times \partial \Sigma$ of the boundary of Σ . We will sometimes denote the mapping torus $\mathcal{M}T((\Sigma, \partial \Sigma), \phi)$ just by $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma, \phi)$. We are now in a position to define an abstract open book decomposition.

Definition 7 (Abstract open book). Let Σ and ϕ as in the previous definition. An abstract open book decomposition of M is pair (Σ, ϕ) such that M is diffeomorphic to

$$\mathcal{MT}(\Sigma,\phi) \cup_{id} \partial \Sigma \times \mathbb{D}^2$$

where id denotes the identity mapping of $\partial \Sigma \times \mathbb{S}^1$

The map ϕ is called the *monodromy* of the open book. We will denote an abstract open book decomposition by $Aob(\Sigma, \phi)$. Note that the mapping class ϕ uniquely determines $M = Aob(\Sigma, \phi)$ up to diffeomorphism.

One can easily see that an abstract open book decomposition of M gives an open book decomposition of M up to diffeomorphism and vice versa. Hence, sometimes we will not distinguish between open books and abstract open books. In particular, we will continue to use the notation $Aob(\Sigma, \phi)$ to denote the open book decomposition associated to the abstract open book $Aob(\Sigma, \phi)$.

- **Examples 8.** (1) Notice that \mathbb{S}^n admits an open book decomposition with pages \mathbb{D}^{n-1} and the monodromy the identity map of \mathbb{D}^{n-1} . We call this open book the trivial open book of \mathbb{S}^n . For more details regarding open books, refer the lecture notes [Et] and [Gi, Chpt-4.4.2].
 - (2) The manifold S³ × S² admits an open book decomposition with pages disk co-tangent bundle DT*S² and monodromy the identity. We call this open book decomposition of S³ × S² the standard open book decomposition of S³ × S².
 - (3) In [Al], it was shown that every closed orientable 3-manifold admits an open book decomposition. This result was further generalized to all odd dimensional closed orientable manifold of dimension bigger than 5 by Quinn in [Qu].

Given two abstract open books $M_1^n = Aob(\Sigma_1, \phi_1)$ and $M_2^n = Aob(\Sigma_2, \phi_2)$, if we make the band connected sum of pages of $Aob(\Sigma_1, \phi_1)$ and $Aob(\Sigma_1, \phi_2)$, then we get an abstract open book decomposition $Aob(\Sigma_1 \#_b \Sigma_2, \phi_1 \#_b \Phi_2)$ of $M_1 \# M_2$. This was first established in [Ga] by D.Gabai for 3-manifolds.

There exists an intimate connection between open books and contact structures on manifolds discovered by E.Giroux in [Gi]. In order to understand this correspondence, we first recall the notion of a contact structure supported by an open book.

2.2. Contact manifolds and supporting open books.

Giroux in [Gi] introduced the notion of a contact structure supported by an open book. We now recall this notion.

Definition 9 (Open book supporting a contact form). Let $(M, Ker\{\alpha\})$ be a contact manifold. We say that an open book decomposition $\mathcal{O}b(B, \pi)$ supports a contact form α provided:

- (1) The binding B is a contact sub-manifold of M.
- (2) $d\alpha$ is a symplectic form on each page of the open book.
- (3) The boundary orientation on B coming from the orientation of the pages induced by $(d\alpha)^n$ is the same as the orientation given by $\alpha|_B \wedge (d\alpha|_B)^{n-1}$.

We would like to remark that if α_1 and α_2 are two contact forms on a contact manifold M which are supported by the same open book $\mathcal{O}b(B,\pi)$, then they are isotopic as contact structures. See, for example, [Ko].

Let (M, ξ) be a contact manifold. We say that ξ is supported by an open book decomposition $\mathcal{O}b(B, \pi)$ of M provided there exists a contact 1-form α inducing the contact structure ξ on M such that α is supported by $\mathcal{O}b(B, \pi)$.

Giroux in [Gi] established a one to one correspondence between the open books up to *positive stabilizations* and the supported contact structures up to isotopy for closed orientable 3–manifolds. See the notes [Ko1] by O. van Koert and [Et] for more on this. The purpose of the next subsection is to recall a few notions and results associated to Giroux's correspondence.

2.3. Contact abstract open book and Giroux's correspondence.

We begin this subsection by recalling the notion of the *Generalized Dehn twist*. This notion is necessary to understand the notion of positive stabilization. This notion was first introduced in [Se1]. See also [Se2].

Definition 10 (Generalized Dehn twist). Consider,

$$T^* \mathbb{S}^n = \{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} | x.y = 0, ||y|| = 1 \}.$$

Define a diffeomorphism τ of $T^*\mathbb{S}^n$ as follows:

$$\tau(x,y) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos g(y) & |y|^{-1} \sin g(y) \\ -|y| \sin g(y) & \cos g(y) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$

where, g is a function of y which is the identity near 0 and is zero outside a compact set containing 0. The diffeomorphism τ is called the Generalized Dehn twist while τ^{-1} is called the negative generalized Dehn twist.

It is relatively easy to check that τ is a compactly supported symplectomorphism of $T^*\mathbb{S}^n$. Furthermore, τ can be isotoped to a symplectomorphism which is compactly supported in an arbitrary small neighborhood of the zero section of $T^*\mathbb{S}^n$. This, in particular, implies that τ and τ^{-1} can be regarded as diffeomorphisms of the disk co-tangent bundle $\mathcal{D}T^*\mathbb{S}^n$. We refer to [MS, page-186] and the notes [Ko] for more details. See also [KN] for a nice exposition on how to produce a compactly supported Generalized Dehn twist.

Next, we discuss the notion of a *contact abstract open book*. We refer to [Ko, Section-2] for a more detailed description of this.

Let $(\Sigma, d\lambda)$ be a Weinstein manifold and ϕ an exact symplectomorphism of Σ which is the identity near the boundary of Σ . Giroux generalized the construction of W. Thurston and H. Winkelnkemper given in [TW] to produce a contact form on the manifold with open book $Aob(\Sigma, \phi)$ such that the contact form is supported by the open book $Aob(\Sigma, \phi)$ in the sense explained in Subsection 2.2. We will generally denote this contact form by $\alpha_{(\Sigma,\phi)}$. See lecture notes by O. van Koert [Ko] for the details of this construction. See also [GM]. We call this contact manifold a *contact abstract open book*.

In this article, unless stated otherwise, whenever we talk of a contact structure ξ , supported by an abstract open book $\mathcal{A}ob(\Sigma, \phi)$, we will always mean that Σ is a Weinstein manifold and ϕ an exact symplectomorphism of Σ which when restricted to a collar of its boundary is the identity and the contact structure ξ is contactomorphic to $Ker\{\alpha_{(\Sigma,\phi)}\}$ described earlier.

Examples 11.

(1) Let \mathbb{D}^{2n} denote the unit 2n-disk in \mathbb{R}^{2n} . Let λ_{std} denote the canonical 1-form on \mathbb{D}^{2n} given by $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i dy_i - y_i dx_i \text{ which induces the standard symplectic structure on } \mathbb{D}^{2n}.$ The standard contact

sphere $(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}, \xi_{std})$ is contactomorphic to the open book $(\mathcal{A}ob(\mathbb{D}^{2n}, id), Ker\{\alpha_{(\mathbb{D}^{2n}, id)}\}).$

- (2) Consider $\mathcal{D}T^*\mathbb{S}^n$, the unit disk bundle associated to the co-tangent bundle of \mathbb{S}^n and the Generalized Dehn twist τ on $\mathcal{D}T^*\mathbb{S}^n$. It is well known that the contact abstract open book $\mathcal{D}Aob(T^*\mathbb{S}^n, \tau)$ is contactomorphic to the standard contact sphere $(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}, \xi_{std})$.
- (3) The contact abstract open book $Aob(\mathcal{D}T^*\mathbb{S}^n, \tau^{-1})$ induces an overtwisted contact structure on \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} . This is clearly discussed for 3-manifolds in [KN1]. In general, this follows from [CMP]. We will denote this overtwisted contact structure by ξ_{stot} . We will denote a contact 1-form inducing the contact structure ξ_{stot} by α_{stot} .

We now define the notion of a generalized contact abstract open book:

Definition 12 (Generalized contact abstract open book). Let $((W, \partial W), d\lambda)$ be a Weinstein cobordism with a connected convex boundary M. Let ϕ be a symplectomorphism of $(W, d\lambda)$ which is the identity in a small collar of the boundary of W. Consider the quotient manifold N defined as:

$$N = \mathcal{MT}(W, \phi) \cup_{id} M \times \mathbb{D}^2,$$

notice that N admits a contact structure analogous to the one discussed earlier for the contact abstract open book. We call N a generalized contact abstract open book with the binding M, page W and the monodromy ϕ .

By a slight abuse of notation, we will use the same notation $\mathcal{A}ob(W, \phi)$ for the generalized contact abstract open book as well. By a Weinstein manifold or Weinstein domain, we will always mean a Weinstein cobordism with an empty concave boundary and a connected convex boundary. Note that whenever the Weinstein cobordism associated to a generalized contact abstract open book is a Weinstein manifold, we get usual contact abstract open book.

Definition 13 (Generalized contact abstract connected sum).

Let $(Aob(W_1, \phi_1), \alpha_{(W_1, \phi_1)})$ and $(Aob(W_2, \phi_2), \alpha_{(W_2, \phi_2)})$ be two generalized contact abstract open books. Observe that we can perform the band connected sum $W_1 \#_b W_2$ of W_1 and W_2 along their connected convex boundaries to produce a new Weinstein cobordism $W_1 \#_b W_2$ with connected convex boundary $\partial W_1 \# \partial W_2$. Let $Aob(W_1, \phi_1) \# Aob(W_2, \phi_2)$ be the generalized abstract open book obtained by performing the band connected sum of their pages along convex boundaries.

Since the page of the generalized abstract open book are Weinstein cobordism $W_1 \#_b W_2$ with connected convex boundary $\partial W_1 \# \partial W_2$, it is clear that this generalized abstract open book carries a natural contact structure supported by the generalized open book having pages $W_1 \#_b W_2$ and the monodromy $\phi_1 \# \phi_2$. This contact structure will be denoted by $Ker\{\alpha_{(W_1 \#_b W_2, \phi_1 \# \phi_2)}\}$. We call this contact manifold the generalized contact abstract connected sum.

Remark 14.

(1) Observe that the binding of a generalized contact abstract band connected sum is the connected sum of the bindings of the generalized contact abstract open books.

- (2) When W_1 and W_2 are Weinstein manifolds, then the generalized contact abstract connected sum $\mathcal{A}ob(W_1,\phi_1)\#_b\mathcal{A}ob(W_2,\phi_2)$ is the contact connected sum of $\mathcal{A}ob(W_1,\phi_1)$ and $\mathcal{A}ob(W_2,\phi_2)$. The contact structure $Ker\{\alpha_{(W_1\#_bW_2,\phi_1\#\phi_2)}\alpha\}$ is supported by the open book with pages $W_1\#_bW_2$ and the monodromy $\phi_1\#\phi_2$.
- (3) We will sometime use the notation $Aob(W_1 \#_b W_2, \phi_1 \# \phi_2)$ to denote the generalized abstract connected sum $Aob(W_1, \phi_1) \# Aob(W_2, \phi_2)$. This notation will be used to emphasis the abstract open book decomposition of $Aob(W_1, \phi_1) \# Aob(W_2, \phi_2)$.

2.4. **Iso-contact open book embeddings.** In this sub-section, we discuss the notion of *iso-contact open book embeddings*. For more on open book embeddings, refer [EL] and [PPS].

Definition 15. Let $M = Aob(\Sigma, \phi)$ and $N = Aob(W, \Psi)$ be two generalized contact abstract open books. Let $F: M \to N$ be a proper iso-contact embedding of M in N. We say that this embedding is a contact abstract open book embedding, provided the following diagram commutes:



Here, $\pi_1 : \mathcal{M}T(\Sigma, \phi) \to \mathbb{S}^1$ and $\pi_2 : \mathcal{M}T(W, \Psi) \to \mathbb{S}^1$ are the natural projections associated to the mapping tori.

We end this section by establishing a proposition. The proposition, in particular, establishes that if (M_1, ξ_{M_1}) iso-contact embeds in $(N_1, Ker\{\alpha_1\})$ and (M_2, ξ_{M_2}) iso-contact embeds in $(N_2, Ker\{\alpha_2\})$, then the contact connected sum $(M_1 \# M_2, \xi_{M_1} \# \xi_{M_2})$ iso-contact embeds in the contact connected sum $(N_1 \# N_2, Ker\{\alpha_1\} \# Ker\{\alpha_2\}) = (N_1 \# N_2, Ker\{\alpha_1 \# \alpha_2\})$. This was already proved by J.Etnyre and R. Fukuwara in [EF].

Proposition 16. If a contact abstract open book $(Aob(\Sigma_i^{2n-2}, \phi_i), \eta_i)$ iso-contact open book embeds in a generalized contact abstract open book $(Aob(W_i^{2n}, \Psi_i), \xi_i)$ for i = 1, 2, then the contact abstract connected sum $(Aob(\Sigma_1, \phi_1) # Aob(\Sigma_2, \phi_2), \eta_1 # \eta_2)$ iso-contact open book embeds in the generalized contact abstract connected sum $(Aob(W_1, \Psi_1) # Aob(W_2, \Psi_2), \xi_1 # \xi_2)$.

Furthermore, if Σ_i is contained in an arbitrary small collar of the convex boundary M_i of ∂W_i , then we can ensure that the page $\Sigma_1 \#_b \Sigma_2$ of $Aob(\Sigma_1 \#_b \Sigma_2, \phi_1 \# \phi_2)$ is contained in an arbitrary small collar of the convex boundary of the page of $Aob(W_1 \#_b W_2, \Psi_1 \# \Psi_2)$.

Proof. First of all notice that since the band connected sum of W_1 with W_2 can be regarded as adding a 1-handle to $W_1 \sqcup W_2$, we can perform the band connected sum of W_1 with W_2 along their convex boundaries in such way that the band connected sum of Σ_1 with Σ_2 properly symplectically embeds in $W_1 \#_b W_2$. To achieve this, notice that in order to perform the band connected sum, we need to fix a small Darboux ball U_1 around a point p_1 in ∂W_1 and a small Darboux ball U_2 around a point p_2 in ∂W_2 . We fix these balls in such a way that they restrict to Darboux balls \tilde{U}_i containing the point p_i in $\partial \Sigma_i$, for each i = 1, 2. Now, if we perform the band connected sum of W_1 with W_2 , we get an induced band connected sum of Σ_1 with Σ_2 which is contained in $W_1 \#_b W_2$.

Observe that we have not yet achieved the second property. In order to achieve this, we first observe that $\Sigma_1 \#_b \Sigma_2 \subset W_1 \#_b W_2$ can be made disjoint from the core of the 1-handle *B* associated to $W_1 \#_b W_2$ by a sufficiently small C^{∞} perturbation whose support is contained in a small tubular neighborhood of $B \cap \Sigma_1 \#_b \Sigma_2 \subset W_1 \#_b W_2$. See Figure 1 for a pictorial description.

Let ϵ_1 be such that Σ_1 is contained in the symplectic collar $([0, \epsilon_1] \times \partial W_1, d(e^t \alpha_1))$ of ∂W_1 , where $e^t \alpha_1$ is the Liouville 1-form on the symplectic collar of the convex boundary ∂W_1 .

Let ϵ_2 be such that Σ_2 is contained in the symplectic collar $([0, \epsilon_2] \times \partial W_2, d(e^t \alpha_2))$ of ∂W_1 , where $e^t \alpha_2$ is the Liouville 1-form on the symplectic collar of the convex boundary ∂W_2 .

Let us denote by $B = \mathbb{D}^{2n-1}(\delta) \times \mathbb{D}(1)$ the band of length 1 and radius δ used in the band connected sum $W_1 \#_b W_2$. Clearly, by the construction $\widetilde{B} = \mathbb{D}^{2n-3}(\delta) \times \mathbb{D}(1)$ is the band associated to the induced band connected sum $\Sigma_1 \#_b \Sigma_2$.

Let A_{δ} denote the annulus $\left[\frac{\delta}{10}, \delta\right] \times \mathbb{S}^{2n-2} \times \mathbb{D}^1$. Notice that the part of the boundary of the band B corresponding to $\mathbb{D}^{2n-1} \times \partial \mathbb{D}(1)$ can be assumed to have the symplectic collar A_{δ} . Hence, if the C^{∞} -perturbation that we perform in order to make $\Sigma_1 \# \Sigma_2$ disjoint from the core of 1– handle is done such that perturbed $\Sigma_1 \#_b \Sigma_2$ is contained in A_{δ} and the support of the perturbation is contained in the complement of the annulus $\left[\frac{9\delta}{10}, \delta\right] \times \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{D}^1$, then the perturbed band \widetilde{B} associated to $\Sigma_1 \#_b \Sigma_2$ is contained in A_{δ} and its intersection with the boundary of the annulus A_{δ} is the same as the intersection of unperturbed \widetilde{B} . Observe that such a perturbation is always possible.

Next, choose δ such that $\delta < \min \{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2\}$. Observe that for this choice of δ the perturbed $\Sigma_1 \#_b \Sigma_2$ lies in a small symplectic neighborhood of $\partial W_1 \# \partial W_2$ as claimed. See Figure 2.

Finally, observe that since the symplectomorphisms Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 are the identity in suitable collars of the boundaries of W_1 and W_2 respectively, the symplectomorphism $\Psi_1 \# \Psi_2$ naturally induces the symplectomorphism $\phi_1 \# \phi_2$ on the symplectically embedded $\Sigma_1 \#_b \Sigma_2 \subset W_1 \# W_2$ that we just described.

This establishes the proposition.



FIGURE 1. The figure depicts a small Darboux neighborhood of the attaching sphere p_i contained in $\partial \Sigma_i \subset \partial W_i$ used in performing the band connected sum $W_1 \#_b W_2$. The picture of on the left depicts the embedding of the Darboux ball of Σ_i contained the unperturbed $\Sigma_1 \#_b \Sigma_2$, while the the picture on the right depicts the neighborhood after a sufficiently small perturbation.

3. Proof of the Theorem 1

The purpose of this section is to establish the Theorem 1. There are three steps in establishing the Theorem 1. We first mention the first two steps in the form of the Proposition 17 and the Proposition 18. We give proofs of these propositions in Section 4.

In order to state the Proposition 17, we need to introduce the following notation. Let (M^{2n+1},ξ) be a contact manifold. The contact structure obtained by the contact connected sum of (M,ξ) with the standard overtwisted sphere $(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1},\xi_{stot})$ will be denoted by ξ^{stot} . Notice that if ξ is supported by an open book decomposition $\mathcal{A}ob(\Sigma,\phi)$, then ξ^{stot} is supported by the open book $\mathcal{A}ob(\Sigma\#_b\mathcal{D}T^*\mathbb{S}^n,\phi\#\tau^{-1})$. This follows from [CMP]. See, the third example in Examples 11.

Proposition 17. Let M^{2n-1} be a closed smooth manifold. Let ξ be a contact structure on M. Suppose that (M, ξ^{stot}) admits an iso-contact embedding in a contact manifold (N^{2n+1}, ξ_N) with the trivial normal bundle, then (M, ξ) also admits an iso-contact embedding in (N, ξ_N) .

This is the key step and its proof is divided in to several smaller steps. As mentioned earlier, we will establish each step in Section 4.

The second step is to establish the following:



FIGURE 2. The figure on the left depicts a collar of ∂W_i containing Σ_i for each *i* together with the band *B*. The red line at the center of the band *B* is the core of the attaching band. The figure on the right depicts $\Sigma_1 \# \Sigma_2$ embedded in $W_1 \#_b W_2$ close to the boundary $\partial (W_1 \#_b W_2)$ and disjoint from the core of the 1-handle *B*. The green region in both figures depicts the annulus $A(\delta)$.

Proposition 18. There exists an iso-contact embedding of $(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}, \xi_{stot})$ in the standard contact sphere $(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}, \xi_{std})$.

Finally, the third step is to establish the following:

Proposition 19. Let (M^{2n-1},ξ_1) be a contact manifold. If (M,ξ_1) admits an iso-contact embedding in a contact manifold (N,ξ_2) , then there exists an iso-contact embedding of (M,ξ_1^{stot}) in the contact manifold (N,ξ_2) .

Proof. Observe that it follows from the Proposition 16 that if (M_1, ξ_1) iso-contact embeds in (N_1, η_1) and (M_2, ξ_2) iso-contact (N_2, η_2) , then $(M_1 \# M_2, \xi_1 \# \xi_2)$ iso-contact embeds in $(N_1 \# N_2, \eta_1 \# \eta_2)$.

We know from the Proposition 18 that there is an iso-contact embedding of $(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}, \xi_{stot})$ in the standard contact sphere $(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}, \xi_{std})$. This implies that $(M \# \mathbb{S}^{2n-1}, \xi_1 \# \xi_{stot})$ admits an iso-contact embedding in $(N \# \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}, \xi_2 \# \xi_{std})$.

Next, note that the contact manifold $(N\#\mathbb{S}^{2n+1},\xi_2\#\xi_{std})$ is contactomorphic to (N,ξ_2) . Hence, we have an iso-contact embedding of $(M,\xi_1^{stot}) = (M\#\mathbb{S}^{2n-1},\xi_1\#\xi_{stot})$ in the contact manifold (N,ξ_2) .

Let us now discuss how these three steps imply the Theorem 1.

Proof of the Theorem 1.

When the co-dimension of the embedding of M in N is bigger than or equal to 4, the theorem was already established by Gromov in [Gr]. Hence, from now on, we assume that the co-dimension of M in N is 2.

First of all, since an overtwisted contact structure is unique in its homotopy class of almost contact structures, we get that the contact structures $(M, \xi_M^{ot} \# \xi_{stot})$ and (M, ξ_M^{stot}) are contactomorphic. Hence, it follows from the Proposition 19 that there is an iso-contact embedding of (M, ξ_M^{stot}) in (N, ξ_N) with the trivial normal bundle. It follows from the proposition 17 that there is an iso-contact embedding of (M, ξ_M) in (N, ξ_N) as required.

Let us now discuss how the Proposition 2 follows from the Theorem 1.

Proof of the Proposition 2.

Since the Euler class of the normal bundle of any embedded closed orientable manifold M in \mathbb{S}^k has to be zero, we get that the manifold M^{2n-1} admits an embedding in \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} with the trivial normal bundle.

Next, assume that M admits an embedding in $(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}, \xi_{std})$ such that the induced contact structure ξ is homotopic to ξ_M . The Proposition 18 implies that there exits an iso-contact embedding of $(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}, \xi_{stot})$ in $(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}, \xi_{std})$. Hence, it follows from the Proposition 16 that there exists an iso-contact embedding of $(M, \xi \# \xi_{stot})$ in $(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}, \xi_{std})$. Now, since the overtwisted contact structures $\xi \# \xi_{stot}$ and the $\xi_M \# \xi_{stot}$ are homotopic as almost contact structures, by the uniqueness of an overtwisted contact structure in a given homotopy class of almost contact structures, we get that there is an iso-contact embedding of $(M, \xi_M \# \xi_{stot}) = (M, \xi_M^{stot})$ in the standard contact sphere.

The Proposition 17 now implies that there is an iso-contact embedding of (M, ξ_M) in the standard contact sphere as claimed. This completes our argument.

The next section is devoted to establish the proof of the Proposition 17. Using the techniques developed to establish the Proposition 17, we will also establish the Proposition 18.

4. Proofs of Proposition 17 and Proposition 18

In order to prove the Proposition 17, we would like to think of $M \times \mathbb{D}^2_{\varepsilon}$ as an abstract open book. This abstract open book is a special case of a generalized abstract open book. Since we will need it time and again, we introduce a special terminology for it.

Definition 20 (ε -partial open book). Let W be the product Weinstein cobordism ($[a, \varepsilon] \times M, d(e^{-r}\alpha)$), where α be a contact form on M and $\{a\} \times M$ is the convex boundary. Consider the mapping torus $\mathcal{M}T(W, id) = \mathbb{S}^1 \times ([a, \varepsilon] \times M)$ with the contact form $\varepsilon d\theta + e^{-r} \alpha$. Consider the contact abstract open book $(\mathcal{A}ob(W, id), \alpha_{(W, id)}) = (M \times \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}^2, Ker\{h_1(r)\alpha + h_2(r)d\theta\})$ constructed using the pair of functions h_1 and h_2 as depicted in Figure 3 and satisfying the following properties:

- (1) $h_1(r) > 0$, decreasing and $h'_1(0) = 0$ and $h'_1(r) < 0$ for every $r \in (0, b)$.
- (2) $h_1(r) = e^{-r} \operatorname{near} \varepsilon$. (3) $h_2(r) = r^2 \operatorname{near} r = 0$ and h_2 is non-decreasing and $h_2(r)$ is the constant ε near ε .
- (4) $h'_{2}(r)h_{1}(r) h'_{1}(r)h_{2}(r)$ is always positive.

This contact open book is called an ε -partial open book associated to $(M, Ker\{\alpha\})$.



FIGURE 3. The figure depicts the graphs of the functions h_1 and h_2 . These functions are also used in the construction of contact abstract open book in [Ko].

(1) The form $h_1(r)\alpha + h_2(r)d\theta$ on the ε -partial open book is supported by the ε -partial Remark 21. open book.

- (2) The region of an ε -partial open book where the form is given by $\varepsilon d\theta + e^{-r}\alpha$ will be referred as the standard region associated to the partial open book.
- (3) By changing the co-ordinates s = -r we will denote the standard region by $S^1 \times [-\varepsilon, -a] \times M$ for some $-a \in (-\varepsilon, 0)$. The contact form in the standard region will be described by the formula $\varepsilon d\theta + e^s \alpha$.

In the next sub-section, we establish the Proposition 17.

4.1. Proof of Proposition 17.

The proof of the Proposition 17 can be divided into three steps.

The first step, which readily follows from neighborhoods of contact sub-manifolds discussed [Ge, Theorem:2.5.15] is stated as the following:

Lemma 22. [Ge, Theorem:2.5.15] Let (N, ξ_N) be a contact manifold. Let (M, ξ_M) be a contact sub-manifold of (N, ξ_N) with the trivial normal bundle. If $Ker\{\alpha\}$ is contactomorphic to ξ_M on M, then there exists an ε_0 -positive such that there is an iso-contact embedding of an ε -partial open book associated to $(M, Ker\{\alpha\})$ in (N, ξ_N) for every ε smaller than ε_0 .

We now state the next two steps in the form of lemmas whose proofs we will provide in the subsequent sub-sections.

The second step is to establish is the following:

Lemma 23. Let $(M, Ker\{\alpha\}) = (Aob(\Sigma, \phi), Ker\{\alpha_{(\Sigma, \phi)}\})$. Let $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ be given. There exists a contact abstract open book embedding F of $(M, Ker\{\alpha\})$ in ε_0 -partial open book $M \times \mathbb{D}^2_{\varepsilon_0}$.

In particular, this implies the following:

(1) *F* is constructed such that if $\mathbb{S}^1 \times [-\varepsilon_0, -a] \times M$ is the standard region associated to the ε_0 -partial open book for some $0 < a < \varepsilon_0$, then the following diagram commutes:



(2) The pull-back under F of the 1-form $\varepsilon_0 d\theta + e^s \alpha$ induces the contact structure $Ker\{\alpha\}$ restricted to $\mathcal{M}T(\Sigma, \phi)$.

The third step is to establish the following:

Lemma 24. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an contact abstract open book embedding of the standard contact sphere ($\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}, \xi_{std}$) in the ε -partial open book associated to ($\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}, Ker\{\alpha_{stot}\}$), where the standard contact sphere is regarded as an abstract open book with pages the standard symplectic (2n-2)-disc and monodromy the identity.

In particular, this implies the following:

(1) *F* is constructed such that if $\mathbb{S}^1 \times [-\varepsilon_0, -a] \times \mathbb{S}^{2n-1}$ for some $0 < a < \varepsilon_0$, is the standard region associated to the ε -partial open book, then the following diagram commutes:



(2) The pull-back under F of the 1-form $\varepsilon d\theta + e^s \alpha_{stot}$ induces the contact structure α_{std} restricted to $\mathcal{M}T(\mathbb{D}^{2n-2}, id).$

Now that we have clearly stated all three steps needed for the proof of the Proposition 17 in the form of the Lemmas 22, 23 and 24, we give the proof of the Proposition 17 assuming the Lemmas 23 and 24.

Proof of the Proposition 17.

We can assume that (M, ξ) is an abstract open book $(Aob(\Sigma, \phi), Ker\{\alpha_{(\Sigma, \phi)}\})$.

We first notice that the Lemma 22 implies that given an $\varepsilon > 0$, it is sufficient to iso-contact embed (M, ξ) in the ε -partial open book associated to the contact manifold $(M \# \mathbb{S}^{2n-1}, \xi \# \xi_{stot}) = (M, \xi^{stot})$.

Let $\Sigma_{\theta} = \pi_1^{-1}(\theta)$, where $\pi_1 : \mathcal{M}T(\Sigma, \phi) \to \mathbb{S}^1$ is the fibration associated to $\mathcal{A}ob(\Sigma, \phi)$. Now, consider the standard region $\mathbb{S}^1 \times [-\varepsilon, -\varepsilon_0 + \delta] \times M$ of the ε -partial open book associated to $(M \# S^{2n-1}, Ker\{\beta = \alpha_{(\Sigma, \phi)} \# \alpha_{stot}\})$, where the contact form is given by $\varepsilon d\theta + e^{-r}\beta$.

Observe that if there exists a proper symplectic embedding of the band connected sum $\Sigma_{\theta} \#_b \mathbb{D}^{2n-2}$ in $\{\theta\} \times (-\varepsilon_0, -\varepsilon_0 + \delta] \times (M \# \mathbb{S}^{2n-1})$ for any ε_0 , then there exists an iso-contact embedding of the contact $\mathcal{A}ob(\Sigma \#_b \mathbb{D}^{2n-2}, Ker\{\alpha_{(\Sigma \#_b \mathbb{D}^{2n-2}, \phi \#_i d)}\})$ in the ε -partial open book associated to $(M \# \mathbb{S}^{2n-1}, \xi \# \xi_{stot})$.

By the Lemma 23, there exists a contact abstract open book embedding of the contact manifold $(M, Ker\{\alpha\}) = (Aob(\Sigma, \phi), Ker\{\alpha_{(\Sigma,\phi)}\})$ in the ε -partial open book associated to $(M, Ker\{\alpha\})$. Moreover, the mapping tours $\mathcal{M}T(\Sigma, \phi)$ is properly embedded close to the convex boundary times \mathbb{S}^1 in the standard region of the ε -partial open book associated to $(M, Ker\{\alpha\})$. Furthermore, the pull-back of the form $\varepsilon d\theta + e^s \alpha$ induces the contact structure $Ker\{\alpha\}$ restricted to $\mathcal{M}T(\Sigma, \phi)$.

Also, by the Lemma 24, there exists an iso-contact abstract open book embedding of the standard sphere $(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}, Ker\{\alpha_{std}\}) = (\mathcal{A}ob(\mathbb{D}^{2n-2}, id), Ker\{\alpha_{(\mathbb{D}^{2n-2}, id)}\})$ in the ε -partial open book associated to $(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}, Ker\{\alpha_{stot}\})$. Moreover, the mapping tours $\mathcal{M}T(\mathbb{D}^{2n-2}, id)$ is properly embedded close to the convex boundary times \mathbb{S}^1 in the standard region of the ε -partial open book associated to $(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}, Ker\{\alpha_{stot}\})$. Also notice that the pull-back of the form $\varepsilon d\theta + e^s \alpha$ induces the contact structure $Ker\{\alpha_{std}\}$ restricted to $\mathcal{M}T(\mathbb{D}^{2n-2}, id)$.

Hence, by the Proposition 16, there exists an iso-contact abstract open book embedding of

 $(\mathcal{A}ob(\Sigma \#_b \mathbb{D}^{2n-2}, \phi \# id), Ker\{\alpha \# \alpha_{std}\})$ in the ε -partial open book associated to $(M \# \mathbb{S}^{2n-1}, \xi \# \xi_{stot})$.

Since the contact abstract open book $\mathcal{A}ob(\Sigma \#_b \mathbb{D}^{2n-2}, Ker\{\alpha_{(\Sigma \#_b \mathbb{D}^{2n-2}, \phi \# id)}\})$ is contactomorphic to (M, ξ) and $(M \# \mathbb{S}^{2n-1}, \xi \# \xi_{stot})$ - by definition – is (M, ξ^{stot}) , the proposition follows.

We now proceed to establish the Lemma 23 and the Lemma 24.

4.2. Proof of the Lemma 23.

The purpose of this sub-section is to establish the Lemma 23.



FIGURE 4. The figure depicts a neighborhood $\mathcal{N}(B)$ of the binding of an open book. We depict the vertical line connecting the binding B with the boundary of the circle as a part of the collar of $\hat{f}_0(\Sigma)$ while the dashed curve depicted $f_0(\Sigma)$ obtained after pushing $\hat{f}_0(\Sigma)$ in the interior.

Lemma 25. Let $(N^{2n-1}, Ker\{\alpha\}) = (Aob(\Sigma, \phi), Ker\{\alpha_{(\Sigma, \phi)}\})$ be a contact abstract open book. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $[0, \varepsilon] \times \partial \Sigma$ is the collar of $\partial \Sigma$ which satisfies the following:

(1) The symplectomorphism ϕ is the identity when restricted to this collar.

(2) The form $\alpha_{\Sigma,\phi}$ restricted to this collar is the form $e^s \lambda$ for a contact form λ defined on $\{0\} \times \partial \Sigma$.

Then, there exists a family $f_{(c,t)}$ of embeddings of Σ in the symplectic manifold $([a,b] \times N, d(e^s \alpha))$, which satisfies the following properties:

(1) The family $f_{(c,t)}$ is smooth in both c and t.

(2) $f_{(c,t)}(\Sigma)$ is a properly embedded symplectic sub-manifold of $((a,b] \times N, d(e^{s\alpha}))$ for every c and t.

- (3) $f_{(c,1)}(\Sigma) = f_{(c,0)}(\Sigma)$ and $f_{(c,1)}^{-1} \circ f_{(c,0)} = \phi$.
- (4) $\partial f_{(c,t_1)}(x) = \partial f_{(c,t_2)}(x)$ for all x and for any pair of reals $t_1, t_2 \in [0,1]$ in the collar neighborhood $[0,\epsilon] \times \partial \Sigma$.
- (5) The embedding is such that the complement of the collar $f_{(c,t)}$ $(\Sigma \setminus (0, \varepsilon] \times \partial \Sigma)$ is contained in $\{c\} \times N$.
- (6) The form f^{*}_(c,t) (d(e^sα)) restricted to the collar is a part of the symplectization of the contact manifold ({0} × ∂Σ, Ker{e^cλ|_{{0}×∂Σ}}). Furthermore, the primitive of the symplectic form when restricted to the convex boundary {ε} × ∂Σ is the 1-form e^{b+ε}λ and in a neighborhood of {0} × ∂Σ, the primitive is given by e^s(e^cλ).

Before we discuss the formal proof, let us discuss briefly the idea behind the proof. Given a contact abstract open book $(M, Ker\{\alpha\})$ with page Σ , we know that Σ admits an embedding as a page in M at level θ for any $\theta \in S^1$. Call the image of the embedding as Σ_{θ} . This embedding is symplectic and its boundary is the binding B. Hence, for any $c \in [a, b]$ there exists a piece-wise linear embedding of Σ in $[a, b] \times M$ consisting of $\Sigma_{\theta} \cup [c, b] \times B$, where we regard Σ_{θ} as embedded in $\{c\} \times M$. Our main observation is that we can smoothen the corner along the binding B to produce a symplectic embedding in $([a, b] \times M, d(e^s \alpha))$.

Proof. For any $c \in (a, b]$, since $e^c \alpha$ is supported by the open book decomposition $Aob(\Sigma, \phi)$. This implies that there exists a family $\hat{f}_{(c,t)}$ of embedding of Σ in $\{c\} \times N$ which satisfies the following properties:

- (1) $\widehat{f}^*_{(c,t)}(\mathbf{e}^c d\alpha)$ is a symplectic form on Σ .
- (2) $\widehat{f}_{(c,1)}(\Sigma) = \widehat{f}_{(c,0)}(\Sigma)$ and $\widehat{f}_{(c,t_1)}(x) = \widehat{f}_{(c,t_2)}(x)$ for every x in a collar of $\partial \Sigma$ and for any $t_1, t_2 \in [0,1]$. (3) $\widehat{f}_{(c,0)} \circ \widehat{f}_{(c,1)}^{-1}$ is the symplectomorphism ϕ of $(\Sigma, \widehat{f}_{(c,0)}^* d(e^c \lambda))$

Fix a $t_0 \in [0, 1]$. Let us denote by Σ_{t_0} the image $\widehat{f}_{(c,t_0)}(\Sigma)$. Notice that Σ_{t_0} is a symplectic sub-manifold of $([a, b] \times N, d(e^s \alpha))$ which is contained in $\{c\} \times N$. Observe that by the definition of the abstract open book, we get that the form $e^s \alpha$ restricted to the collar $\widehat{f}_{(c,t_0)}([0, \varepsilon] \times \partial \Sigma)$ of Σ_{t_0} is pulled back via the symplectomorphism $\widehat{f}_{(c,t_0)}$ to the 1-form $e^r e^c \lambda$, where $r \in [0, \varepsilon]$, on the collar $[0, \varepsilon] \times \Sigma$.

We now describe how to re-embed the collar $[0, \varepsilon] \times \Sigma$ in the symplectic manifold by an embedding F such that it satisfies the following properties:

- There exists a $\delta \in (0, \varepsilon)$ such that $F = \widehat{f}_{(c,t_0)}$ when restricted to $[0, \delta] \times \partial \Sigma$.
- The pull-back of the form $e^s \alpha$ by F induces the form $e^{b+\varepsilon} \lambda$ on $\{\varepsilon\} \times \partial \Sigma$.

In order to achieve this, consider a pair of functions $f : [0, \varepsilon] \to [a, b]$ and $g : [0, \varepsilon] \to [0, \varepsilon]$ such that f is constant c near 0 and increases to b while g is the identity near 0 and is the constant ε in a small neighborhood of the point ε . See Figure 5 for graphs of f and g. We now define the embedding F as F(t, x) = (f(t), g(t), x). See Figure 4 for a pictorial description of the embedding F.

PSfrag replacements



FIGURE 5. The figure depicts graphs of functions f and g.

We now define the embedding $f_{(c,t_0)}$ of Σ using F and $\hat{f}_{(c,t_0)}$ as:

$$f_{(c,t_0)}(x) = \begin{cases} F(x), & \text{for } x \in [0,\varepsilon]\\ \widehat{f}_{(c,t_0)}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Observe that since t_0 is arbitrary, doing the construction parametrically, we get the family $f_{(c,t)}$ from the family $\hat{f}_{(c,t)}$ with the required properties. This completes our argument.

Lemma 26. Let $(N, Ker\{\alpha\}) = (Aob(\Sigma, \phi), Ker\{\alpha_{(\Sigma, \phi)}\})$ be a contact abstract open book. Let m = 1 or -1. Let $(M, Ker\{\alpha_m\})$ be the contact abstract open book $(Aob(\Sigma, \phi^m), Ker\{\alpha_m = \alpha_{(\Sigma, \phi^m)}\})$. Let $\mathcal{M}T(\Sigma, \phi^m)$ be the contact mapping torus associated to the contact abstract open book M. Consider the manifold $(\mathbb{S}^1 \times [a,b] \times N, Ker\{\alpha_K = Kd\theta + e^s\alpha\})$. If $\pi_m : \mathcal{M}T(\Sigma, \phi^m) \to \mathbb{S}^1$ denotes the bundle projection, then there exists a $K_0 > 0$ and a contact embedding of $\mathcal{M}T(\Sigma, \phi^m)$ in $(\mathbb{S}^1 \times [a,b] \times N, Ker\{\alpha_K\})$ for all $K \ge K_0$, which satisfies the following properties:

(1) The following diagram commutes:



- (2) For a fixed $c \in (a, b)$, the fiber $\pi_m^{-1}(\theta)$ is a symplectic sub-manifold of the symplectic manifold $([c, b] \times N, d(e^s \alpha))$ for every $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^1$.
- (3) The contact structure induced on the embedded $\mathcal{M}T(\Sigma, \phi^m)$ by α_K is contactomorphic to the contact structure $Ker\{\alpha_m\}$ restricted to $\mathcal{M}T(\Sigma, \phi^m) \subset N$ by a contactomorphism which is the identity when restricted to $\partial \mathcal{M}T(\Sigma, \phi^m)$.
- (4) When m = 1, we can choose K_0 to be arbitrarily small.

Proof. Our first task is to produce an embedding which satisfies the first two properties stated in the statement of the lemma. In order to achieve this, let us fix an $m \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. Now, consider the family f_t of embeddings of Σ in the symplectic manifold $([a, b] \times N, d(e^s \alpha))$ defined as follows:

$$f_t = \begin{cases} f_{(c,t)}, & t \in [0,1] \text{ and } m = 1\\ f_{(c,1-t)}, & t \in [0,1] \text{ and } m = -1. \end{cases}$$

The family $f_{(c,t)}$, is the family constructed in the Lemma 25 earlier.

Consider the embedding of $I \times \Sigma \subset I \times [a, b] \times N$ given by $F(t, x) = (t, f_t(x))$. Regard $\mathbb{S}^1 \times [a, b] \times N$ as the quotient of $[0, 1] \times [a, b] \times N$ where we identify $\{0\} \times [a, b] \times N$ to $\{1\} \times [a, b] \times N$. Notice that due to the third property associated to the family $f_{(c,t)}$ constructed in the Lemma 25, we get that F naturally induces an embedding of $\mathcal{M}T(\Sigma, \phi^m)$ in $S^1 \times [a, b] \times N$ which satisfies the first two properties mentioned in the statement. Let us denote this induced embedding of $\mathcal{M}T(\Sigma, \phi^m)$ in $\mathbb{S}^1 \times [a, b] \times N$ by F_c .

Next, observe that $F^*(Kd\theta)$ is a 1– form on $\mathcal{MT}(\Sigma, \phi)$ which is transverse to the fiber of the fibration π_m . Since we have already established that the fibers of $\mathcal{MT}(\Sigma, \phi)$ are symplectic sub-manifolds of $([a, b] \times N, d(e^s \alpha))$, it follows that for a sufficiently large K_0 the pull-back of the form $Kd\theta + e^s \alpha$ by F induces a contact structure on $\mathcal{MT}(\Sigma, \phi^m)$

We now focus on our claim that K can be chosen to be arbitrary small in case m = 1. Observe that in the calculation of the volume form $F^*(Kd\theta + e^s\alpha) \wedge (dF^*(Kd\theta + e^s\alpha))^{n-1}$ on $\mathcal{M}T(\Sigma, \phi)$, we get two non-zero terms $F^*(e^s\alpha) \wedge (F^*(d(e^s\alpha))^{n-1})$ and $F^*_m(Kd\theta) \wedge (F^*(de^s\alpha))^{n-1}$.

Since the second term is always positive for any K positive, we get that whenever the first term is nonnegative, the pull-back form defines a contact form on $\mathcal{MT}(\Sigma, \phi)$ for an arbitrary K positive. Notice that when m = 1 the term $F^*(e^s\alpha) \wedge (F^*(d(e^s\alpha))^{n-1})$ is positive and hence, we get what we required.

So far, we have produced a contact embedding of M in $(\mathbb{S}^1 \times [a, b] \times N, d\theta + e^s \alpha)$. Hence, in order to establish the lemma, we need to show that the contact structure induced by the form $F^*(d\theta + e^s \alpha)$ on $\mathcal{M}T(\Sigma, \phi^m)$ is contactomorphic to the contact structure $Ker\{\alpha_m\}$ restricted to $\mathcal{M}T(\Sigma, \phi^m)$.

In order to see this, we observe that the embedding F_c is constructed using the family $f_{(c,t)}$ of embeddings of Σ in the symplectic manifold $([a, b] \times N, d(e^s \alpha))$ as in the Lemma 25 is smooth in the parameter c. This follows from the first property listed in the statement of the Lemma 25. Observe that by varying $c \in (a, b]$ and pulling back the form $Kd\theta + e^s \alpha$ via the family F_c of embeddings, we can produce a 1-parameter family $\alpha_t, t \in (a, b]$ of contact forms on $\mathcal{M}T(\Sigma, \phi_m)$ such that the form α_b is the contact form $e^b \alpha$ restricted to $\mathcal{M}T(\Sigma, \phi^m)$. It follows from the Gray's stability theorem [Ge, Theorem:2.2.2] that the induced contact structure via the embedding F_c is contactomorphic to $ker\{\alpha\}$ restricted to $\mathcal{M}T(\Sigma, \phi^m)$.

This establishes the lemma.

We are now in a position to establish the Lemma 23.

Proof of the Lemma 23.

Notice that it follows from the Lemma 26 applied in the case when m = 1 that for a given ε , an arbitrary pair of reals 0 < a < b, there is a proper iso-contact open book embedding of $\mathcal{M}T(\Sigma, \phi)$ inside the contact manifold $(\mathbb{S}^1 \times [a, b] \times M, \varepsilon d\theta + e^s \alpha)$.

Observe this clearly implies that the ε -partial open book admits an iso-contact abstract open book embedding F of (M, α) as desired.

4.3. Proof of the Lemma 24.

Let us now establish the Lemma 24.

Proof of the Lemma 24.

To begin with observe that it follows from the Lemma 23 that there exist a contact abstract open book embedding of the standard contact (2n-1)-sphere $(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}, Ker\{\alpha_{std}\}) = (\mathcal{A}ob(\mathbb{D}^{2n-2}, id), \alpha_{(\mathbb{D}^{2n-2}, id)})$ in any ε -partial open book $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}$ associated to $(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}, \alpha_{std})$. Call this embedding F.

Next, produce a generalized contact abstract open book – say N –by performing the band connected sums of the pages of the ε –partial open book associated to ($\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}, Ker\{\alpha_{std}\}$) and the ε –partial book associated to ($\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}, Ker\{\alpha_{stot}\}$) which satisfies the following:

We choose the neighborhood of the page P of the ε -partial open book associated to $(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}, Ker\{\alpha_{std}\})$ to perform the band connected sum to be disjoint from the image $F(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}) \cap P$.

It now follows from the Proposition 16 that there exists an abstract open book embedding of $(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}, \alpha_{std})$ in the ε -partial open book associated to $(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}\#\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}, Ker\{\alpha\#\alpha_{stot}\})$. This clearly implies the lemma.

The only proposition left to establish that is used in the proof of the Theorem 1 is the Proposition 18. In the next sub-section we establish this.

4.4. Proof of the Proposition 18.

Before we establish this proposition, we would like to point out some historical developments related to embedding of overtwisted contact spheres in standard contact spheres.

K. Niederkrüger and F. Presas pointed out to us that the Proposition 18 follows by a straight forward generalization of Example 1.(b) given in [NP]. This fact was known to them when they wrote the article [NP].

Embedding of the standard overtwisted sphere in the standard 5–sphere was also established in [Mr1] by A. Mori. This was then generalized by J. Etnyre and R. Fukuwara in [EF], where they showed that any overtwisted sphere embeds in the standard contact S^5 .

Proof of the Proposition 18.

Consider the standard contact sphere $(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}, \xi_{std})$ as the contact abstract open book $\mathcal{A}ob(T^*\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \tau)$. Observe that for any large K, there is an iso-contact embedding of the K-partial open book associated to $(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}, Ker\{\alpha_{std}\})$ in the standard contact sphere \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} . This is because the standard \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} appears as the binding of the trivial open book supporting the standard contact form on \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} .

Since $T^* \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ is a page of the standard open book of \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} , it follows from the Lemma 26 applied in the case when ϕ is τ and m = -1, that there is an iso-contact abstract open book embedding of $(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}, \xi_{stot})$ in the *K*-partial open book associated to $(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}, Ker\{\alpha_{std}\})$ for a very large *K*. Hence the Proposition.

In the next couple of sections, we will give applications of the Theorem 1.

5. Contact embedding of 3-manifolds in the standard contact \mathbb{S}^5

The purpose of this section is to show that every contact 3-manifold (M,ξ) contact embeds in $(\mathbb{S}^5, \xi_{std})$, provided the first Chern class of ξ is zero and M has no 2-torsion in $H^2(M,\mathbb{Z})$. The result essentially follows from [EF, Theorem:1.20] and the Proposition 17. However, for the sake of completion, we provide a slightly more detailed argument. We begin this section by reviewing a few fact about homotopy classes of plane fields on an orientable 3-manifold.

5.1. Homotopy classes of oriented plane fields on orientable 3-manifolds.

Let ξ be an oriented 2-plane field on a closed oriented 3-manifold M. Recall that any two such plane fields are homotopic over the 1-skeleton of a triangulation of M. Gompf in [Go] established that when Mhas no two torsion in $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$, the first Chern class $c_1(\xi)$ completely determines homotopy of plane fields over the 2-skeleton. See [Go, Theorem:4.5].

It also follows from [Go, Theorem:4.5] that if $c_1(\xi) = 0$, then homotopy over the 3-skeleton is completely determined by the 3-dimensional invariant $d_3(\xi)$, which is defined as follows:

It was shown in [Go] that it is possible to choose an almost complex manifold (X, J) whose complex tangencies are (M, ξ) . Given this one defines $d_3(\xi)$ as:

$$d_3(\xi) = \frac{1}{4} \left(C_1^2(X, J) - 3\sigma(X) - 2(\chi(X) - 1) \right).$$

We would like to point out that this formula is slightly different from the one given in [Go], as we are subtracting 1 from the Euler characteristic of X in the formula. This is just to ensure that the formula for d_3 is additive when one considers the connected sums. More precisely,

Let (M_1, ξ_1) be a contact manifold with $c_1(\xi_1) = 0$ and (M_2, ξ_2) be another contact manifold with $c_1(\xi_2) = 0$, then for the contact connected sum $(M_1 \# M_2, \xi_1 \# \xi_2)$, we have

$$d_3(\xi_1 \# \xi_2) = d_3(\xi_1) + d_3(\xi_2).$$

To begin with, we need the following result of Etnyre and Fukuwara established in [EF]. For the sake of completeness, we will provide a short sketch of the proof of this result here.

Theorem 27 (Etnyre and Fukuwara).

Let M be a closed 3-manifold. If M is orientable, then there exists an embedding of M in \mathbb{S}^5 such that the contact structure ξ_{std} on \mathbb{S}^5 induces a contact structure on M.

Proof. To begin with, we observe that if there exists an embedding $F : M \to \mathbb{S}^3 \times D^2$ given by $F(x) = (f_1(x), f_2(x))$ which satisfies the following properties:

- (1) The map $f_1: M \to \mathbb{S}^3$ is a branch covering,
- (2) The branch locus L in \mathbb{S}^3 for the branch cover $f_1: M \to \mathbb{S}^3$ is transversal to the standard contact structure on \mathbb{S}^3 .

Then, there exists an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for all ε less than ε_0 , the embedding $F_{\varepsilon} : M^3 \to \mathbb{S}^3 \times D^2$ given by $F_{\varepsilon}(x) = (f_1(x), \varepsilon f_2(x))$ is a contact embedding of M in $(\mathbb{S}^3 \times D^2, \{\alpha_{std} + r^2 d\theta\} = 0)$. See [EF] for the computation establishing that the pulled back form $F_{\varepsilon}^*(\alpha + r^2 d\theta)$, in fact, induces a contact structure on M.

Now, the Remark 3 on the page 375 of [HLM] and the fact that transversality is a generic property implies that there exists an embedding of M in $\mathbb{S}^3 \times D^2$ satisfying the two properties mentioned above. This clearly

implies that in an arbitrarily small neighborhood of contact $(\mathbb{S}^3, \xi_{std})$ inside $(\mathbb{S}^5, \xi_{std})$ admits an embedding of M such that it is a contact embedding.

We are now in a position to prove the Theorem 3. Recall that the Theorem 3 states that a necessary and sufficient condition for an iso-contact embedding of a contact 3-manifold (M,ξ) in (\mathbb{S}^5,ξ_{std}) is that $c_1(\xi) = 0$ provided M has no 2-torsion in $H^2(M,\mathbb{Z})$. In case, M has a 2-torsion in $H^2(M,\mathbb{Z})$, the statement claims that there is a homotopy class $[\xi]$ of plane fields such that M with every contact structure homotopic to a plane field in the class $[\xi]$ over the 2-skeleton of M admits an iso-contact embedding in $(\mathbb{S}^5, \xi_{std})$.

Proof of the Theorem 3.

We know from [Ka] that $c_1(\xi) = 0$ is a necessary condition for having an iso-contact embedding of any contact (M,ξ) in (\mathbb{S}^5,ξ_{std}) . We know from the Theorem 27 that there exist a contact structure η on every 3-manifold M with $c_1(\eta) = 0$ such that (M,η) admits an iso-contact embedding in (\mathbb{S}^5,ξ_{std}) .

In case, M has no 2-torsion in $H^2(M,\mathbb{Z})$, it follows from the [Go, Theorem:4.5] that every overtwisted contact structure η_2^{ot} on M which is homotopic to η over a 2-skeleton of M can be obtained by making a contact connected sum of M with a suitably chosen overtwisted \mathbb{S}^3 . We already know from [EF, Theorem:1:20] that every contact \mathbb{S}^3 embeds in $(\mathbb{S}^5, \xi_{std})$. Hence, we conclude that if (M, η) iso-contact embeds in $(\mathbb{S}^5, \xi_{std})$, then so does (M, η_2^{ot}) provided η^{ot} is an overtwisted contact structure on M which is homotopic to η over the 2-skeleton of M. But, this implies that every (M, η^{ot}) iso-contact embeds in $(\mathbb{S}^5, \xi_{std})$, provided the first Chern class of η^{ot} is zero. The case of no 2-torsion in $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ is now a straightforward consequence of the Corollary 1.

In case, M has a 2-torsion in $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ – by an argument similar to the one discussed above – it is clear that every overtwisted contact structure ξ^{ot} on M such that ξ^{ot} is homotopic to η as an almost contact plane field over a 2-skeleton on M admits iso-contact embedding in $(\mathbb{S}^5, \xi_{std})$. Again, applying the Theorem 1, we conclude that every contact structure homotopic as a plane field over 2-skeleton to η admits an iso-contact embedding in $(\mathbb{S}^5, \xi_{std})$. This completes our argument.

6. Embeddings of simply connected 5-manifolds in $(\mathbb{S}^7, \xi_{std})$

We begin this section by observing the following:

Proposition 28. Let ξ be a contact structure on \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} . If ξ is co-orientable and homotopic as an almostcontact structure to the standard contact structure on \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} , then (\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}, ξ) admits an iso-contact embedding in $(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}, \xi_{std})$. In particular, every contact (\mathbb{S}^5, ξ) iso-contact embeds in $(\mathbb{S}^7, \xi_{std})$.

Proof. The first part of the proposition is an immediate consequence of the Proposition 2. In order to establish the second part, recall that there exists a unique almost-contact class on \mathbb{S}^5 . This was established in [Ge1]. See also [Ha]. But this implies ξ_{stot} is homotopic as an almost-contact plane field to ξ . Hence the theorem.

Next, we show that any contact structure on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ with trivial first Chern class iso-contact embeds in $(\mathbb{S}^7, \xi_{std})$. More precisely, we establish:

Lemma 29. Let ξ be a co-orientable contact structure on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. The contact manifold ($\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^3, \xi$) isocontact embeds in (\mathbb{S}^7, ξ_{std}) if and only if the first Chern class $c_1(\xi)$ of the contact structure is zero.

Proof. Recall that in [Ge1, Ha] it is established that two almost-contact plane fields ξ_1 and ξ_2 are homotopic as almost-contact structures if and only if their first Chern classes coincide.

Next, Kasuya in [Ka] showed that a necessary condition for a contact manifold (M^{2n+1},ξ) to admit an iso-contact embedding in $(\mathbb{S}^{2n+3},\xi_{std})$ is that $c_1(\xi) = 0$.

Hence, from the Corollary 2 we can see that if there exist a contact embedding of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ in $(\mathbb{S}^7, \xi_{std})$, then the lemma follows. So, we now show that there is a contact embedding of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ in $(\mathbb{S}^7, \xi_{std})$.

Notice that the contact abstract open book $\mathcal{A}ob(T^*\mathbb{S}^2, id)$ is contact manifold diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. Clearly, $\mathcal{A}ob(T^*\mathbb{S}^2, id)$ iso-contact open book embeds in the contact abstract open book $\mathcal{A}ob(D^6, id)$ as there is a symplectic embedding of $\mathcal{D}T^*\mathbb{S}^2$ in \mathbb{S}^5 . Since contact abstract open book $\mathcal{A}ob(D^6, id)$ is contactomorphic to $(\mathbb{S}^7, \xi_{std})$, the theorem follows.

It was established by H. Geiges in [Ge, Chapter-8] that a necessary condition to produce a contact structure on any five manifold is that the third integral Steifel-Whitney class W_3 is zero. D. Barden in [Ba] had given a complete classification of simply connected 5-manifolds. Using this classification, it is easy to list all the simply connected prime 5-manifolds with vanishing W_3 . We now proceed to describe this list. First of all, recall that for each $2 \leq k < \infty$, there exists a unique prime simply connected manifold M_k characterized by the property that $H_2(M_k, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}_k \oplus \mathbb{Z}_k$. Next, recall that there exists a unique non-trivial orientable real rank 4 vector-bundle over \mathbb{S}^2 . By $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, we denote the unit sphere bundle associated to this vector-bundle.

We are now in a position to state Barden's theorem that we will need to establish the Theorem 4.

Theorem 30 (Barden). Every closed simply connected almost contact 5-manifold can be uniquely decomposed into a connected sum of prime manifolds $M_k, 2 \leq k < \infty$, $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ and $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. Furthermore, the decomposition has no copy of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ provided the second Steifel-Whitney class is zero.

Proof of the Theorem 4.

Notice that it is sufficient to establish that any (M, ξ) satisfying the hypothesis with $c_1(\xi) = 0$ admits an iso-contact embedding in $(\mathbb{S}^7, \xi_{std})$.

Let M be a closed simply connected 5-manifold with $w_2(M) = 0$ and let ξ be a contact structure on it with $c_1(\xi) = 0$. In order to establish the Theorem 4, we first show that M admits a contact embedding in $(\mathbb{S}^7, \xi_{std})$ such that the induced contact structure has its first Chern class 0.

Notice that if M is as in the hypothesis, then it follows from Theorem 30 of Barden stated above that in its connected sum decomposition there is no $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ factor. See also, [Ge, Theorem:8.2.9] for a proof of this. Next, we have already observed that if $M = N_1 \# N_2 \# \cdots \# N_l$ and each N_i contact embeds in $(\mathbb{S}^7, \xi_{std})$, then there exist a contact embedding of M in $(\mathbb{S}^7, \xi_{std})$.

We have already shown in the Lemma 29 that $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ contact embeds in $(\mathbb{S}^7, \xi_{std})$. Hence, in order to show that M contact embeds in $(\mathbb{S}^7, \xi_{std})$, we just need to show that each prime manifold M_k described in the Theorem 30 above must contact embed in $(\mathbb{S}^7, \xi_{std})$. It is well known that each M_k is a Briskorn 5–sphere. Hence, they admit contact embedding in $(\mathbb{S}^7, \xi_{std})$. See, for example, [Ko, Rmk:4.2].

Thus, we have shown that every simply connected 5-manifold satisfying the hypothesis admits a contact embedding in $(\mathbb{S}^7, \xi_{std})$. Next, recall that if a 5-manifold admits a formal contact embedding in $(\mathbb{S}^7, \xi_{std})$, then it was shown in [Ka] that the first Chern class of the induced contact structure has to be trivial.

Finally, observe that it was established in [Ge, Ge1, Chpt-8] (see also [Ha, chpt-VII] for a precise formulation) that any two contact structures on a closed simply connected 5-manifold having first Chern classes trivial are homotopic as almost-contact structures. It now follows from the Proposition 2 that (M, ξ) admits an iso-contact embedding in $(\mathbb{S}^7, \xi_{std})$.

References

- [Al] J. W. Alexander, A lemma on systems of knotted curves, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, vol. 9 (1923), 93–95.
- [Ba] D. Barden, Simply connected five-manifolds, Ann. of Math, vol. 82, issue 2, 1965, 365 385.
- [BEM] M. Borman, Y. Elaishberg and E. Murphy, Existence and classification of overtwisted contact structures in all dimensions, Acta Mathematica, vol. 215, issue 2, (2015), 281 – 361.
- [CMP] R. Casals, E. Murphy and F. Presas, Geometric criteria for overtwistedness, arXiv:1503.06221, 03/2015.
- [Et] J. Etnyre, Lectures on open book decompositions and contact structures, Floer homology, gauge theory, and lowdimensional topology 5, 103 – 141.
- [EM] Y. Eliashberg and N. Mishachev, Introduction to the *h*-principle, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, AMS, 2002, vol 48.

[EF] J. Etnyre and R. Fukuwara, Braided embeddings of contact 3–manifolds in standard contact 5–spheres,

- arXiv:1510.03091v3 [math.GT].
- [EL] J. Etnyre, J and Y. Lekili, Embedding all contact 3-manifolds in a fixed contact 5-manifold, arXiv:1712.09642v1 [math.GT].
- [Ga] D. Gabai, The Murasugi sum is a natural geometric operation, Low-dimensional topology (San Francisco, Calif., 1981), 131-143, 1983.

- [Ge] H. Geiges, An Introduction to Contact Topology, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 109.
- [Ge1] H. Geiges, Contact structures on 1-connected 5-manifolds, Mathematika, vol. 38, no. 2, 1991, 303311.
- [Gi] E. Giroux, Géométrie de contact: de la dimension trois vers les dimensions supérieures, Proceedings of the ICM, Beijing 2002, vol. 2, 405 – 414.
- [Gi1] E. Giroux, Structures de contact en dimension trois et bifurcations des feuilletages de surfaces. Invent. Math., 141(3):615689, 2000.
- [Go] R. Gomph, Handle body constructions on Stein surfaces Annals of Math vol. 148, No. 2 (1998), 619 693.
- [Gr] M. Gromov, Partial Differential Relations, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. 9 (1986), Springer-Verlag.
- [GM] E. Giroux and J-P. Mohsen, Contact structures and symplectic fibrations over the circle, lecture notes.
- [Ha] M. Hamilton, On symplectic 4–manifolds and contact 5–manifolds, Ph.D Thesis.
- [Hi] M. Hirsch, On imbedding differentiable manifolds in euclidean space. Ann. of Math. vol. 73, No. 2 (1961), 566571.
- [Ho] K. Honda, On the classification of tight contact structures. I. Geom. Topol., 4:309368 (electronic), 2000.
- [HLM] H. M. Hilden, M. T. Lozano and J. M. Montesinos, All three-manifolds are pullbacks of a branched covering S³ to S³, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 279, No. 2 (1983), 729–735.
- [Ka] N. Kasuya, An obstruction for codimension two contact embeddings in the odd dimensional Euclidean spaces, J. Math. Soc. Japan, vol. 68 (2), 737 – 743, 2016.
- [Ko] O. van Koert, Open books on contact five-manifolds, Annales de l'Institut Fourier, vol. 58 (2008), 139–157.
- [Ko1] O. van Koert, Lectures on stablization of open books, Münster J. Math. vol. 10, (2), 425455. 53D10, 2017.
- [KN] O. van Koert and K. Niederkruger, Open book decompositions for contact structures on Brieskorn manifolds, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 133, (12), 3679–3686, 2005.
- [KN1] O. van Koert and K. Neiderkruger, Every contact manifolds can be given a nonfillable contact structure, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, vol 23, Art. ID rnm115, (2007).
- [Ma] D. Martinez-Torres, Contact embeddings in standard contact spheres via approximately holomorphic geometry, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, (18), 2, (2011), 139–154.
- [Mr] A. Mori, Global models of contact forms. J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, vol. 11(4), 447–454, (2004).
- [Mr1] The Reeb foliation arises as a family of Legendrian submanifolds at the end of a deformation of the standard S³ in S⁵. C.R.Math.Acad.Sci. Paris, vol. 350(1-2), 67–70, 2012.
- [MS] D. McDuff, D. Salamon, Introduction to Symplectic Topology, Oxford Math. Monogr. (1998), Oxford.
- [Na] J. Nash, The Imbedding Problem for Riemannian Manifolds, Annals of Mathematics, Vol. 63 (1), 20–63, (1956).
- [NP] K. Niederkruger and F. Presas, Some remarks on the size of tubular neighborhoods in contact topology and fillability, Geom. Topol Vol. 14, (2), 719-754, 2010.
- [Pr] F. Presas, Lefschetz type pencils on contact manifolds, Asian J. Math. 6 (2002), 277–302
- [PPS] D. M. Pancholi, S. Pandit and K. Saha, Open books and embeddings of manifolds, A preprint.
- [Qu] F. Quinn, Open book decompositions, and the bordism of automorphisms, *Topology*, vol. 18 (1), (1979), 55–73.
- [Se1] P. Seidel, Floer homology and the symplectic isotopy problem, Ph.D. thesis, Oxford.
- [Se2] P. Seidel, Lectures on four-dimensional Dehn twists, Symplectic 4-manifolds and algebraic surfaces, 231–267, Lecture notes in Math, vol. 1938, Springer, Berlin, 2008.
- [TW] W. Thurston, H. Winkelnkemper, On the existence of contact forms, *Proceedings of AMS* vol. 52, (October 1975), 345 347.
- [Wh] H. Whitney, The self-intersections of a smooth *n*-manifold in 2*n*-space, Ann. of Math., vol 45 (2), 1944, 220–246.

Institute of Mathematical Sciences, IV, Cross Road, CIT Campus, Taramani, Chennai 600133, Tamilnadu, India. E-mail address: dishant@imsc.res.in

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MADRAS, IIT PO.CHENNAI, 600036, TAMILNADU, INDIA. *E-mail address:* suhas@iitm.ac.in