

MATRIX VALUED LAGUERRE POLYNOMIALS

ERIK KOELINK AND PABLO ROMÁN

*Dedicated to Ben de Pagter on the occasion of his retirement.
In admiration of his mathematics, personal leadership and calmness.*

ABSTRACT. Matrix valued Laguerre polynomials are introduced via a matrix weight function involving several degrees of freedom using the matrix nature. Under suitable conditions on the parameters the matrix weight function satisfies matrix Pearson equations, which allow to introduce shift operators for these polynomials. The shift operators lead to explicit expressions for the structures of these matrix valued Laguerre polynomials, such as a Rodrigues formula, the coefficients in the three-term recurrence, differential operators, and expansion formulas.

1. INTRODUCTION

Matrix valued polynomials have a long history, introduced in the 1940s by M.G. Krein in a study of the matrix moment problem as well as in the study of operators with higher deficiency indices [35], [36]. Since its introduction several other applications have been linked to matrix valued orthogonal polynomials, such as relations and applications to e.g. spectral theory of higher order recurrences, scattering theory and differential operators, see e.g. [3], [14], [16], [17], [18]. As in the study of classical, i.e. scalar-valued, polynomials there are several possible approaches, and this can be roughly divided in general methods and studying special classes. More general methods can be methods such as studying the general moment problem, general approximation properties, etc. The special classes are typically introduced as arising in or related to the study of other topics, such as solutions to differential operators, in terms of hypergeometric functions, numerical analysis, representation theory, applications in mathematical physics, etc. This is particularly true for the matrix valued case of orthogonal polynomials, but the theory is not as fully developed as that of orthogonal polynomials. We refer to Damanik et al. [7] for an overview of theory and references.

Important examples of the special cases are the ones that arise in representation theory via matrix valued spherical functions. Even though the general spherical functions go back at least to the work of Godement in the 1950s, see references in [15], the relation to matrix valued orthogonal polynomials is of a much later time. A first step was made by Koornwinder [34] realizing vector-valued orthogonal polynomials, and next Grünbaum, Pacharoni, Tirao [20] studied matrix valued orthogonal polynomials related to the symmetric pair $(SU(3), U(2))$ using differential operators. These papers have been motivational to understand the situation of matrix valued orthogonal polynomials in connection to representation theory, and in particular we refer to [1], [23], [29], [30], [31], [38], [39].

It is well-known that in the scalar-case many polynomials in the Askey scheme, see [4], [27], [28], have an interpretation in representation theory, but typically only for a very limited set of parameters involved. It is then an important question how to extend to a general

Date: November 19, 2018.

set of parameters, and this is a non-trivial question since usually the limited set is finite. An important example is the extension of multivariable spherical functions on Riemannian symmetric spaces to the general solutions of integrable systems in terms of Heckman-Opdam functions, see Heckman's lectures in [22]. For the case of the extension of [29], [30] from matrix valued Chebyshev polynomials to matrix valued Gegenbauer polynomials, this is done in [32].

In [32] it turns out that one of the essential features that make the analytic extension work is the appropriate LDU -decomposition of the matrix weight. Here L is a unipotent lower triangular matrix consisting of Gegenbauer polynomials, and the diagonal matrix D is intimately related to the weight of the classical Gegenbauer polynomials. These features make it possible to introduce matrix valued analogues of shift operators, which make it possible to derive properties in an explicit fashion, cf. [22] for the importance of shift operators in the multivariable setting. Moreover, it turns out that the inverse matrix polynomial L has a simple expression in terms of Gegenbauer polynomials, due to Cagliero and Koornwinder [5]. It is then a natural question to find the appropriate analogues for the simplest classical polynomials in the Askey scheme, i.e. the Hermite and Laguerre polynomials. For the Hermite polynomials it is possible to use a limit from Gegenbauer polynomials, and this suggests how to deal with the appropriate matrix valued analogue of the Hermite polynomials, see [25]. It turns out that then the matrix polynomial L is, up to a constant matrix, a matrix exponential, and it is shown that, for certain values of the parameters, it is related to examples by Grünbaum and Durán in [12], [11] and [10]. Again, as shown in [25], the shift operators allow us to be explicit in describing the properties of these matrix polynomials.

The purpose of this paper is to describe explicitly the matrix valued analogue of the Laguerre polynomials following the approach sketched above. So we introduce in Section 3 the matrix weight function in terms of an LDU -decomposition where the matrix polynomial L is a unipotent lower triangular matrix with entries given in terms of Laguerre polynomials in analogy with the LDU -decomposition of the matrix weight in [32]. The corresponding monic matrix valued Laguerre polynomials are eigenfunctions of a second order matrix valued differential operator which is symmetric with respect to the weight, see Section 4. In Section 5 we use the degrees of freedom introduced in the matrix weight to impose two non-linear conditions, which allow us to derive Pearson equations for the weight. For specific values of the parameters, the matrix-valued Laguerre polynomials are closely related to the family studied in [13]. In turn, in Section 6 this allows us to calculate the squared norm explicitly, and we give an Rodrigues formula to generate the polynomials. We naturally obtain from the Pearson equations a matrix valued differential operator which is factored as lowering and raising operator. We calculate its Darboux transform in terms of the matrix differential operator obtained before. As an application of the shift operators, we give expressions for the matrix coefficients in the three-term recurrence relation and we apply it to find an expansion formula for the matrix valued Laguerre polynomials. We briefly describe three families of solutions to the conditions. In particular, this family of matrix valued orthogonal polynomials fits into the setting of Cantero, Moral and Velázquez [6], since the derivative of the matrix valued Laguerre polynomials form a family of matrix valued orthogonal polynomials as well. However, [6] does not contain any explicit family of arbitrary size of comparable complexity as in this paper. For convenience, Section 2 describes some basic results on matrix valued orthogonal polynomials.

As mentioned above, the matrix valued analogue of the Laguerre polynomials in this paper is not motivated by a limit transition of the matrix valued Gegenbauer polynomials [32],

which find their origin in group theory and the use of shift operators. This is the case for the Hermite polynomials of [25], and it turns out that the matrix valued Gegenbauer polynomials and matrix valued Hermite polynomials share some features, such as the simple structure of the matrices in the three-term recurrence relation. Indeed, the B_n and C_n of (2.2) are tridiagonal and diagonal, respectively. This allows, with the results of [33, Lemma 3.1], to give a simple proof of the triviality of \mathcal{A}_W and A_W , see Section 2. In the case of the matrix valued Laguerre polynomials the expressions for B_n and C_n are explicit, but more complex, see Proposition 6.6 and a proof of the irreducibility along these lines does not seem to work. We conjecture that \mathcal{A}_W and A_W are trivial as well, but we have no full proof. Another important difference is that in the case of the matrix valued Hermite, respectively Gegenbauer, polynomials, the entries of these polynomials can be explicitly calculated in terms of the scalar Hermite polynomials and Hahn polynomials, respectively Gegenbauer and Racah polynomials, see [25, Thm. 3.13], [32, Thm. 3.4]. In the Laguerre setting of this paper, this is not possible because the eigenvalue matrix of Proposition 4.3 is not diagonal.

Acknowledgements. Erik Koelink gratefully acknowledges the support and hospitality of FaMAF at Universidad Nacional de Córdoba and the support of an Erasmus+ travel grant. The work of Pablo Román was supported by Radboud Excellence Fellowship, CONICET grant PIP 112-200801-01533, FONCyT grant PICT 2014-3452 and by SeCyT-UNC.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we give some background on matrix valued orthogonal polynomials and we fix the notation, for more information we refer to e.g. [7], [12], [21].

We consider a complex $N \times N$ matrix valued integrable function $W: (a, b) \rightarrow M_N(\mathbb{C})$, and we assume that $W(x) > 0$ almost everywhere and such that the weight has finite moments of all orders. Here $M_N(\mathbb{C})$ is the algebra of complex $N \times N$ -matrices. Integration of a matrix valued function is separate integration of each matrix entry, so that the integral is a matrix. Here we allow the endpoints a and b to be infinite. By $M_N(\mathbb{C})[x]$ we denote the algebra over \mathbb{C} of all polynomials in x with coefficients in $M_N(\mathbb{C})$. The weight matrix W induces a Hermitian sesquilinear form

$$(2.1) \quad \langle P, Q \rangle = \int_a^b P(x)W(x)Q(x)^* dx \in M_N(\mathbb{C}),$$

such that for all $P, Q, R \in M_N(\mathbb{C})[x]$, $T \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ the following properties are satisfied

$$\langle aP + bQ, R \rangle = a\langle P, R \rangle + b\langle Q, R \rangle, \quad \langle TP, Q \rangle = T\langle P, Q \rangle, \langle P, Q \rangle^* = \langle Q, P \rangle.$$

Moreover

$$\langle P, P \rangle = 0 \iff P = 0,$$

see for instance [21]. Given a weight matrix W there exists a unique sequence of monic orthogonal polynomials $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ in $M_N(\mathbb{C})[x]$. Another sequence of $\{R_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ of orthogonal polynomials in $M_N(\mathbb{C})[x]$ is of the form $R_n(x) = A_n P_n(x)$ for some $A_n \in \text{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$.

Orthogonal polynomials satisfy a three-term recurrence relation and for monic orthogonal polynomials $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ we have

$$(2.2) \quad xP_n(x) = P_{n+1}(x) + B_n(x)P_n(x) + C_n P_{n-1}(x), \quad n \geq 0,$$

where $P_{-1} = 0$ and B_n, C_n are matrices depending on n and not on x .

We say that a matrix weight W is reducible to weights of smaller size if there exists a constant matrix M such that $MW(x)M^* = \text{diag}(W_1(x), \dots, W_k(x))$ for all $x \in [a, b]$, where W_1, \dots, W_k , $k > 1$, are weights of size less than N . In such a case, the real vector space

$$\mathcal{A}_W = \{Y \in \text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C}) \mid YW(x) = W(x)Y^*, \quad \text{for all } x \in [a, b]\},$$

is non-trivial. On the other hand, if the commutant algebra

$$A_W = \{Y \in \text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C}) \mid YW(x) = W(x)Y, \quad \text{for all } x \in [a, b]\},$$

is nontrivial, then the weight W is reducible via a unitary matrix M . The relation between \mathcal{A}_W and A_W is the following; if \mathcal{A}_W is $*$ -invariant, the weight W reduces to weights of smaller size if and only if the commutant algebra A_W is not trivial, see [33].

3. MATRIX VALUED LAGUERRE-TYPE POLYNOMIALS

We introduce a family of matrix valued weight functions by giving an explicit LDU -decomposition, and in Section 5 we give conditions on the parameters involved. The matrix polynomial L in this decomposition is a unipotent lower triangular matrix polynomial, whose entries are multiples of Laguerre polynomials independent of the dimension of the matrix. This structure is inspired by a family of matrix valued orthogonal polynomials related to the symmetric pair $(G, K) = (\text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(2), \text{SU}(2))$ (K diagonally embedded), which involves a lower triangular matrix whose entries are Gegenbauer polynomials, see [29], [30], [32], as well as by the extension to matrix valued q -orthogonal polynomials related to the quantum analogue of (G, K) , see [2].

In the paper the integer $N \geq 1$ is fixed. Let $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N)$ be a sequence of non-zero coefficients and $\alpha > 0$. Then $L_\mu^{(\alpha)}$ is the $N \times N$ unipotent lower triangular matrix defined by

$$(3.1) \quad L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(x)_{m,n} = \begin{cases} \frac{\mu_m}{\mu_n} L_{m-n}^{(\alpha+n)}(x), & m \geq n, \\ 0 & n < m. \end{cases}$$

The Laguerre polynomials $L_n^{(\alpha)}(x)$ can be defined by the generating function

$$(3.2) \quad (1-t)^{-1-\alpha} \exp\left(\frac{xt}{t-1}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) t^n,$$

see e.g. [8, 18.12.13], [28, (1.11.10)]. Note that the μ dependence in (3.1) is by conjugation with the matrix $S_\mu = \text{diag}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N)$, so that $L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(x) = S_\mu L^{(\alpha)}(x) S_\mu^{-1}$, where $L^{(\alpha)}(x)$ is (3.1) with $\mu_i = 1$ for all i . It is a direct consequence of the formula $\frac{d}{dx} L_n^{(\alpha)} = -L_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1)}$, see e.g. [28, (1.11.6)], that the matrix $L^{(\alpha)}$ satisfies

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{dL^{(\alpha)}}{dx}(x) = L^{(\alpha)}(x) A, \quad A = -\sum_{k=2}^N E_{k,k-1} \implies L^{(\alpha)}(x) = L^{(\alpha)}(0) e^{xA}.$$

By conjugation we have $A_\mu = S_\mu A S_\mu^{-1}$, $\frac{dL_\mu^{(\alpha)}}{dx}(x) = L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(x) A_\mu$ and $L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(x) = L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(0) e^{xA_\mu}$. Because of the dependence of the parameter of the Laguerre polynomial on n in (3.1), $L^{(\alpha)}(x)$ does not commute with A , see Lemma 4.2.

Remark 3.1. Comparing the expression $L^{(\alpha)}(x)$ of (3.1), (3.3) with the corresponding L operator in the matrix valued Hermite case, see [25, (3.1), Prop. 3.1], we see that the exponential part of $L^{(\alpha)}(x)$ and of the L in [25] is the same up to a factor -2 . So, denoting L of [25] for

the moment by H , we see that $L^{(\alpha)}(-2x) = L^{(\alpha)}(0)H(0)^{-1}H(x)$. Taking the (m, n) -entry we get connection coefficients relating Laguerre polynomials and Hermite polynomials, explicitly

$$L_{m-n}^{(\alpha+n)}(-2x) = \sum_{p=n}^m (L^{(\alpha)}(0)H(0)^{-1})_{m,p} \frac{1}{(p-n)!} H_{p-n}(x)$$

where H_n are the standard Hermite polynomials [28, §1.13]. Since $H(0)^{-1}$ is known by [25, Prop. 3.1], we can rewrite the $(L^{(\alpha)}(0)H(0)^{-1})_{m,p}$ explicitly as a sum, and we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad L_{m-n}^{(\alpha+n)}(-2x) = \sum_{p=n}^m \frac{(\alpha+p+1)_{m-p}}{(m-p)!(p-n)!} {}_2F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}(p-m), \frac{1}{2}(p-m+1) \\ \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+p+1), \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+p+2) \end{matrix}; 1 \right) H_{p-n}(x).$$

Observe that the inverse of $L_\mu^{(\alpha)}$ is a unipotent lower triangular polynomial matrix function. Hence, its inverse is a unipotent lower triangular polynomial matrix function as well. In order to obtain an explicit expression for $(L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(x))^{-1}$, we need the following result of [26, §1], which can be obtained from the generating function (3.2) for the Laguerre polynomials.

Lemma 3.2. *For $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \geq j$, the following inversion formula for the Laguerre polynomials holds true.*

$$\sum_{k=j}^i L_{i-k}^{(-\alpha-i-1)}(-x) L_{k-j}^{(\alpha+j)}(x) = \delta_{i,j}.$$

Corollary 3.3. *The inverse of $L_\mu^{(\alpha)}$ is given explicitly by*

$$(L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(x)^{-1})_{m,n} = \begin{cases} \frac{\mu_m}{\mu_n} L_{m-n}^{(-\alpha-m-1)}(-x), & m \geq n, \\ 0 & n < m. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For $r \geq s$, we have

$$\left(L^{(\alpha)}(x)^{-1} L^{(\alpha)}(x) \right)_{r,s} = \sum_{k=1}^N (L^{(\alpha)}(x))_{r,k}^{-1} L^{(\alpha)}(x)_{k,s} = \sum_{k=s}^r L_{r-k}^{(-\alpha-r-1)}(-x) L_{k-s}^{(\alpha+s)}(x) = \delta_{r,s}.$$

The case $r < s$ follows with an analogous calculation, and now conjugate with S_μ . \square

Note that Lemma 3.2 follows directly from a generating function, and the same holds for the Hermite case [25]. For the other cases [30], [32] this is more involved, and the necessary result has been obtained by Cagliero and Koornwinder [5] and for the Gegenbauer case a q -analogue is given by Aldenhoven [1].

Writing $L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(x)$ as the product of a constant matrix times an exponential function as in (3.3) allows us to give an explicit relation between the matrices with different parameters.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(x)$ be the matrix polynomial as in (3.1) and let $\lambda > 0$. Then*

$$L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(x) = M_\mu^{(\alpha,\lambda)} L_\mu^{(\lambda)}(x), \quad M_\mu^{(\alpha,\lambda)} = L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(x) L_\mu^{(\lambda)}(x)^{-1} = L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(0) L_\mu^{(\lambda)}(0)^{-1}.$$

Moreover, $M_\mu^{(\alpha,\lambda)}$ is explicitly given by

$$M_\mu^{(\alpha,\lambda)} = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{(\alpha-\lambda)_k}{k!} (-1)^k A_\mu^k.$$

Note that the series for $M_\mu^{(\alpha,\lambda)}$ terminates, since A_μ is nilpotent. Using the binomial theorem it can also be expressed as

$$M_\mu^{(\alpha,\lambda)} = (1 + A_\mu)^{\lambda-\alpha}.$$

Proof. Note that it suffices to deal with the case $\mu_i = 1$ for all i , and next conjugate by S_μ . Since $L^{(\alpha)}(x)$ and $(L^{(\lambda)}(x))^{-1}$ are unipotent lower triangular matrices, $M^{(\alpha,\lambda)}$ is unipotent lower triangular. Moreover, $M^{(\alpha,\lambda)}$ is constant, since the exponentials cancel. Now the (r, s) -entry of $M^{(\alpha,\lambda)}L^{(\lambda)}(x) = L^{(\alpha)}(x)$, for $r > s$, is

$$\sum_{k=s}^r M_{r,k}^{(\alpha,\lambda)} L_{k-s}^{(\lambda+s)}(x) = L_{r-s}^{(\alpha+s)} = \sum_{k=s}^r \frac{(\alpha-\lambda)_{r-k}}{(r-k)!} L_{k-s}^{(\lambda+s)},$$

where we use [8, 18.18.18] in order to write the Laguerre polynomials with parameter $\lambda + s$ in terms of Laguerre polynomials with parameter $\alpha + s$. Note that the required identity [8, 18.18.18] is a direct consequence of the same generating function (3.2) and the binomial theorem. We obtain

$$M_{r,k}^{(\alpha,\lambda)} = \frac{(\alpha-\lambda)_{r-k}}{(r-k)!}.$$

Conjugating by S_μ , the lemma follows. \square

We are now ready to introduce the weight matrix. For $\nu > 0$ we define the matrix

$$(3.5) \quad W_\mu^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x) = L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(x) T^{(\nu)}(x) L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(x)^*, \quad T^{(\nu)}(x) = e^{-x} \sum_{k=1}^N x^{\nu+k} \delta_k^{(\nu)} E_{k,k}.$$

Here the parameters $\delta_k^{(\nu)}$, $1 \leq k \leq N$, are to be determined later, see conditions (5.2) and (5.4) in Section 5. For now we assume the condition $\delta_k^{(\nu)} > 0$, $1 \leq k \leq N$, so that the weight is positive definite. Moreover, since $\nu > 0$ the factor $e^{-x} x^{\nu+k}$ in the entries of the diagonal matrix $T^{(\nu)}$ guarantees that all the moments exist. Note that even $\nu > -2$ suffices for the existence of moments as well, but we require $\nu > 0$ in all cases in Examples 6.9, 6.10, 6.11. By $\{P_n^{(\alpha,\nu)}\}_n$ we denote the sequence of monic orthogonal polynomials with respect to $W_\mu^{(\alpha,\nu)}$. We suppress the μ -dependence in the notation for the polynomials and the related quantities, such as the squared norms, coefficients in the three-term recurrence relation, etc. Note that the structure in $T^{(\nu)}$ is motivated by the results of [30], [32].

Observe that for real μ_i 's we have

$$(3.6) \quad W_\mu^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x) = L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(x) T^{(\nu)}(x) L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(x)^* = L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(0) e^{xA_\mu} T^{(\nu)}(x) e^{xA_\mu^*} L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(0)^*.$$

From now on we assume that the coefficients μ_i are real and non-zero for all i .

Using Lemma 3.4 we obtain

$$(3.7) \quad W_\mu^{(\alpha,\nu)} = M_\mu^{(\alpha,\lambda)} W_\mu^{(\lambda,\nu)} (M_\mu^{(\alpha,\lambda)})^*,$$

for $\alpha, \lambda > 0$. Denote by $H_n^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ the n -th squared norms for the monic orthogonal polynomials:

$$H_n^{(\alpha,\nu)} = \int_0^\infty P_n^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x) W_\mu^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x) P_n^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x)^* dx,$$

so that by (3.7) we have the following relation for the squared norms with different parameters

$$(3.8) \quad H_n^{(\alpha,\nu)} = M_\mu^{(\alpha,\lambda)} H_n^{(\lambda,\nu)} (M_\mu^{(\alpha,\lambda)})^*.$$

Recall that the squared norms satisfy $H_n^{(\alpha, \nu)} > 0$.

Proposition 3.5. *If we let $\alpha = \nu$, then the 0-th moment $H_0^{(\nu, \nu)}$ is the diagonal matrix*

$$(H_0^{(\nu, \nu)})_{j,j} = \int_0^\infty (W_\mu^{(\nu)}(x))_{j,j} dx = \frac{\mu_j^2 \Gamma(\nu + j + 1)}{(j-1)!} \sum_{k=1}^j \frac{\delta_k^{(\nu)}}{\mu_k^2} (-1)^{k+1} (-j+1)_{k-1}.$$

Proof. It follows from the definition of the weight (3.5) and the orthogonality relations for the Laguerre polynomials that

$$\begin{aligned} (H_0^{(\nu, \nu)})_{i,j} &= \int_0^\infty (W_\mu^{(\nu, \nu)}(x))_{i,j} dx = \sum_{k=1}^{\min(i,j)} \frac{\mu_i \mu_j}{\mu_k^2} \delta_k^{(\nu)} \int_0^\infty L_{i-k}^{(\nu+k)}(x) L_{j-k}^{(\nu+k)}(x) x^{\nu+k} e^{-x} dx \\ &= \delta_{i,j} \mu_j^2 \Gamma(\nu + j + 1) \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\delta_k^{(\nu)}}{\mu_k^2 (j-k)!}. \end{aligned}$$

Now the proposition follows by rewriting the factor $(j-k)!$. \square

4. A SYMMETRIC SECOND ORDER DIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR

A standard technique in order to deal with matrix valued polynomials is to obtain a matrix valued differential operator having the matrix valued orthogonal polynomials as eigenfunctions, see e.g. [9], [12], [19], [25], [32]. We obtain a second-order matrix valued differential operator which is symmetric with respect to $W_\mu^{(\nu)}$ and which preserves polynomials and its degree, by establishing a conjugation to a diagonal matrix differential operator using the approach of [32].

Let F_2, F_1, F_0 be matrix valued polynomials of degrees two, one and zero respectively. Assume that we have a matrix valued second-order differential operator D which acts on a matrix valued $C^2([0, \infty))$ -function Q by

$$(4.1) \quad QD = \left(\frac{d^2 Q}{dx^2} \right) (x) F_2(x) + \left(\frac{dQ}{dx} \right) (x) F_1(x) + Q(x) F_0(x).$$

For a positive definite matrix valued weight W with finite moments of all orders, we say that D is symmetric with respect to W if for all matrix valued $C^2([0, \infty))$ -functions G, H we have

$$\int_0^\infty (GD)(x) W(x) (H(x))^* dx = \int_0^\infty G(x) W(x) ((HD)(x))^* dx.$$

By [12, Thm 3.1], D is symmetric with respect to W if and only if the boundary conditions

$$(4.2) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow a} F_2(x) W(x) = 0 = \lim_{x \rightarrow b} F_2(x) W(x),$$

$$(4.3) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow a} F_1(x) W(x) - \frac{d(F_2 W)}{dx}(x) = 0 = \lim_{x \rightarrow b} F_1(x) W(x) - \frac{d(F_2 W)}{dx}(x)$$

and the symmetry conditions

$$(4.4) \quad F_2(x) W(x) = W(x) (F_2(x))^*, \quad 2 \frac{d(F_2 W)}{dx}(x) - F_1(x) W(x) = W(x) (F_1(x))^*,$$

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{d^2(F_2 W)}{dx^2}(x) - \frac{d(F_1 W)}{dx}(x) + F_0(x) W(x) = W(x) (F_0(x))^*$$

for almost all $x \in (a, b)$ hold.

Remark 4.1. Suppose that the differential operator D is symmetric with respect to a weight matrix of the form $W_\mu^{(\nu)}(x) = L(x)T(x)L(x)^*$, and let $\tilde{D} = \frac{d^2}{dx^2}\tilde{F}_2 + \frac{d}{dx}\tilde{F}_1 + \tilde{F}_0$ be the second-order differential operator obtained by conjugation of D by L . Then for all C^2 -matrix valued functions Q we have

$$(4.6) \quad \frac{d^2(QL)}{dx^2}(x)\tilde{F}_2(x) + \frac{d(QL)}{dx}(x)\tilde{F}_1(x) + (QL)(x)\tilde{F}_0(x) = (QD)(x)L(x),$$

where the coefficients F_i and \tilde{F}_i are related by

$$(4.7) \quad F_2L = L\tilde{F}_2, \quad F_1L = 2\frac{dL}{dx}\tilde{F}_2 + L\tilde{F}_1, \quad F_0L = \frac{d^2L}{dx^2}\tilde{F}_2 + \frac{dL}{dx}\tilde{F}_1 + L\tilde{F}_0,$$

see the discussion in [32, §4]. Moreover, it follows from [32, Proposition 4.2] that D is symmetric with respect to W if and only if \tilde{D} is symmetric with respect to T .

In order to obtain the explicit expression for a symmetric differential operator having the matrix valued orthogonal polynomials $P_n^{(\nu)}$ as eigenfunctions, we need to control commutation relations with some explicit matrices. In particular, J is the diagonal matrix $J_{k,k} = k$. Note that $JS_\mu = S_\mu J$.

Lemma 4.2. *The following commutation relations for $L_\mu^{(\alpha)}$, A_μ and J hold.*

$$\begin{aligned} L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(x)J(L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(x))^{-1} &= x(A_\mu + 1)^{-1} - x + (\alpha + J)A_\mu + J, \\ (L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(x))^{-1}JL_\mu^{(\alpha)}(x) &= J - (\alpha + J - x)A_\mu - xA_\mu^2, \\ L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(x)(1 - A_\mu)(L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(x))^{-1} &= (1 + A_\mu)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that in particular, the last equality gives $[A_\mu, L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(x)] = A_\mu L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(x)A_\mu$.

Proof. It suffices to prove the lemma for $\mu_i = 1$ for all i . We first prove the last identity. If we multiply the third equation by $L^{(\alpha)}(x)$ on the right and by $A + 1$ on the left, we see that it suffices to show $(A + 1)L^{(\alpha)}(x)A = AL^{(\alpha)}(x)$. The (r, s) -entry of this equation is given by

$$L_{r-s-2}^{(\alpha+s+1)}(x) - L_{r-s-1}^{(\alpha+s+1)}(x) = -L_{r-s-1}^{(\alpha+s)}(x),$$

which is e.g. [8, 18.9.14].

Similarly, the first equation is equivalent to

$$(A + 1)L^{(\alpha)}(x)J = ((\alpha + J - x)A + AJ + J + \alpha A^2 + AJA)L^{(\alpha)}(x).$$

The (r, s) -entry of this equation, after simplifying and regrouping terms, gives

$$-sL_{r-s-1}^{(\alpha+s)}(x) + L_{r-s}^{(\alpha+s)}(x) = rL_{r-s}^{(\alpha+s)}(x) + (x - \alpha - 2r + 1)L_{r-s-1}^{(\alpha+s)}(x) + (\alpha + r - 1)L_{r-s-2}^{(\alpha+s)}(x).$$

Observe that all the Laguerre polynomials have the same parameter and this follows from the three-term recurrence relation of the Laguerre polynomials, see e.g. [28, (1.11.3)].

The second equation follows from the other two. Use the third equation in the first one twice to rewrite the first equation as

$$L^{(\alpha)}(x)J(L^{(\alpha)}(x))^{-1} = -xL^{(\alpha)}(x)A(L^{(\alpha)}(x))^{-1} + \alpha A + JL^{(\alpha)}(x)(1 - A)^{-1}(L^{(\alpha)}(x))^{-1}$$

and isolating J from the last term gives

$$J = L^{(\alpha)}(x)(J(1 - A) + xA(1 - A) - \alpha(L^{(\alpha)}(x))^{-1}AL^{(\alpha)}(x)(1 - A))(L^{(\alpha)}(x))^{-1}$$

and use the last equation once more to see $(L^{(\alpha)}(x))^{-1}AL^{(\alpha)}(x)(1-A) = A$. Rewriting gives the second equation. \square

Now we are ready to write explicitly a symmetric second order differential operator.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $D^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ be given by*

$$D^{(\alpha,\nu)} = \left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right) F_2^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x) + \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right) F_1^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x) + F_0^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x),$$

with $F_2^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x) = x$ and

$$F_1^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x) = -x(A_\mu + 1)^{-1} + \nu + J + 1 + (\alpha + J)A_\mu, \quad F_0^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x) = (\alpha - \nu)(A_\mu + 1)^{-1} - J.$$

Then $D^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ is symmetric with respect to $W_\mu^{(\alpha,\nu)}$. Moreover,

$$P_n^{(\alpha,\nu)} D^{(\alpha,\nu)} = \Gamma_n^{(\alpha,\nu)} P_n^{(\alpha,\nu)}, \quad \Gamma_n^{(\alpha,\nu)} = (-n + \alpha - \nu)(A_\mu + 1)^{-1} - J, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Note that the eigenvalue matrix $\Gamma_n^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ is a lower triangular matrix, which also depends on the choice of the sequence μ .

Proof. Let us consider the differential operator $\tilde{D}^{(\alpha,\nu)} = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \tilde{F}_2^{(\alpha,\nu)} + \frac{d}{dx} \tilde{F}_1^{(\alpha,\nu)} + \tilde{F}_0^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ obtained by conjugation of $D^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ by the matrix $L_\mu^{(\alpha)}$. Then it follows from (4.7) that the coefficients $\tilde{F}_i^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ are given by $\tilde{F}_2^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x) = x$ and

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{F}_1^{(\alpha,\nu)} &= (L_\mu^{(\alpha)})^{-1} \left(F_1^{(\nu)} L_\mu^{(\alpha)} - 2x \frac{dL_\mu^{(\alpha)}}{dx} \right), \\ \tilde{F}_0^{(\alpha,\nu)} &= (L_\mu^{(\alpha)})^{-1} \left(F_0^{(\alpha,\nu)} L_\mu^{(\alpha)} - x \frac{d^2 L_\mu^{(\alpha)}}{dx^2} - \frac{dL_\mu^{(\alpha)}}{dx} \tilde{F}_1^{(\alpha,\nu)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The derivatives in (4.8) can be evaluated by (3.3). It follows from the definition of $F_1^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}_1^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x) &= \nu + 1 - xL_\mu^{(\alpha)}(x)^{-1}(A_\mu + 1)^{-1}L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(x) + L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(x)^{-1}JL_\mu^{(\alpha)}(x) \\ &\quad + L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(x)^{-1}(\alpha + J)A_\mu L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(x) - 2xA_\mu. \end{aligned}$$

We use the first equation of Lemma 4.2 to rewrite the term $L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(x)^{-1}(\alpha + J)A_\mu L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(x)$. Similarly the last equation of Lemma 4.2 is used, and we obtain $\tilde{F}_1^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x) = \nu + J + 1 - x$.

Similarly, using (3.3) and Lemma 4.2 and the expression for $\tilde{F}_1^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ we obtain

$$\tilde{F}_0^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x) = (\alpha - \nu)(1 - A_\mu) - L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(x)^{-1}JL_\mu^{(\alpha)}(x) - xA_\mu^2 - A_\mu(\nu + J + 1 - x) = (\alpha - \nu) - J.$$

By Remark 4.1, in order to prove that $D^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ is symmetric with respect to $W_\mu^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ it is enough to prove that $\tilde{D}^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ is symmetric with respect to $T^{(\nu)}$, i.e. we need to show that the boundary conditions (4.2), (4.3) and the symmetry conditions (4.4), (4.5) hold true with W replaced by $T^{(\nu)}$ and the F 's replaced by the corresponding $\tilde{F}^{(\alpha,\nu)}$'s. Since $\tilde{F}^{(\alpha,\nu)}$'s are polynomials, the weight involves the exponential e^{-x} we see that for $\nu > -1$, so in particular for $\nu > 0$, the boundary conditions (4.2), (4.3) are satisfied.

The symmetry equation (4.4) and (4.5) are diagonal conditions, and can be verified by a simple calculation.

Hence $D^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ is symmetric with respect to the weigh matrix $W_\mu^{(\alpha,\nu)}$. Since $D^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ preserves polynomials and the degree of the polynomials, $P_n^{(\alpha,\nu)} D^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ are also orthogonal with respect to $W_\mu^{(\alpha,\nu)}$, so that $P_n^{(\alpha,\nu)} D^{(\alpha,\nu)} = \Gamma_n^{(\alpha,\nu)} P_n^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ for some matrix $\Gamma_n^{(\alpha,\nu)}$, which is obtained by considering the leading coefficient. \square

Remark 4.4. It follows from the proof Proposition (4.3), that matrix valued polynomials $P_n^{(\alpha,\nu)} L_\mu^{(\alpha)}$ are polynomial eigenfunctions of the diagonal second-order differential operator $\tilde{D}^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ with eigenvalue $\Gamma_n^{(\alpha,\nu)} = -n(A_\mu + 1)^{-1} + (\alpha - \nu)(A_\mu + 1)^{-1} - J$. More precisely, we have

$$x \frac{d^2(P_n^{(\alpha,\nu)} L_\mu^{(\alpha)})}{dx^2}(x) + \frac{d(P_n^{(\alpha,\nu)} L_\mu^{(\alpha)})}{dx}(x)(\nu + J + 1 - x) - (P_n^{(\alpha,\nu)} L_\mu^{(\alpha)})(x)J = \Gamma_n^{(\alpha,\nu)}(P_n^{(\alpha,\nu)} L_\mu^{(\alpha)})(x).$$

Observe that although the differential operator $\tilde{D}^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ is diagonal, the eigenvalue $\Gamma_n^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ is a full lower triangular matrix so that the previous equation gives a coupled system of differential equations for the entries of $P_n^{(\alpha,\nu)} L_\mu^{(\alpha)}$. This is in contrast with the case of matrix valued Gegenbauer polynomials studied in [32]. An analogous result for a differential operator and involving a diagonal eigenvalue allows to determine the entries of the analogue of $P_n^{(\alpha,\nu)} L_\mu^{(\alpha)}$ as a single Gegenbauer polynomial. This allowed to find explicit expressions for the polynomials, see [32, §5.2]. The situation of the Gegenbauer setting of [32] is repeated for the matrix valued Hermite polynomials [25, §3].

5. THE MATRIX VALUED PEARSON EQUATION

In order to establish the existence of shift operators, the Pearson equations are essential. We derive the matrix valued Pearson equations for the family of weights $W_\mu^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ under explicit non-linear conditions relating the coefficients of the sequence μ and the coefficients in $T^{(\nu)}$.

First we need certain relations involving the function e^{xA_μ} . Recall the diagonal matrix J ; $J_{k,l} = \delta_{k,l}k$. Then $[J, A_\mu] = A_\mu$ and $[J, A_\mu^*] = -A_\mu^*$, so that

$$(5.1) \quad e^{-xA_\mu} J e^{xA_\mu} = xA_\mu + J, \quad e^{-xA_\mu^*} J e^{xA_\mu^*} = -xA_\mu^* + J,$$

For this we use that the left hand side of the first expression is a matrix valued polynomial in x , since A_μ is nilpotent. Its derivative $e^{-xA_\mu} [J, A_\mu] e^{xA_\mu} = A_\mu$ is constant, and the first formula follows. For the second equation of (5.1) we take adjoints and replace x by $-x$ in the first formula.

Now we need to impose conditions on the sequence $\{\mu_i\}_i$ and the coefficients $\delta_k^{(\nu)}$. We consider the diagonal matrix $\Delta^{(\nu)} = \text{diag}(\delta_1^{(\nu)}, \dots, \delta_N^{(\nu)})$, so that $(T^{(\nu)})_{k,k} = e^{-x} x^{\nu+k} (\Delta^{(\nu)})_{k,k}$. We assume that there exist coefficients $c^{(\nu)}$ and $d^{(\nu)}$ such that $\delta_k^{(\nu+1)} = (k d^{(\nu)} + c^{(\nu)}) \delta_k^{(\nu)}$ for all $k = 1, \dots, N$. In other words, we assume that

$$(5.2) \quad \Delta^{(\nu+1)} = (d^{(\nu)} J + c^{(\nu)}) \Delta^{(\nu)}.$$

Note that $d^{(\nu)}, c^{(\nu)} \geq 0$, since $\delta_k^{(\nu)} > 0$. In view of (5.1), the condition (5.2) implies that

$$(5.3) \quad e^{-xA_\mu^*} (\Delta^{(\nu)})^{-1} \Delta^{(\nu+1)} e^{xA_\mu^*} = e^{-xA_\mu^*} (d^{(\nu)} J + c^{(\nu)}) e^{xA_\mu^*} = d^{(\nu)} (-xA_\mu^* + J) + c^{(\nu)}.$$

This is the main ingredient in Proposition 5.1.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $W_\mu^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ be the weight matrix given in (3.5) and assume that (5.2) holds true. Then*

$$\Phi^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x) = (W_\mu^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x))^{-1}W_\mu^{(\alpha,\nu+1)}(x),$$

is a matrix valued polynomial of degree two.

Proof. Using (3.6), the fact that $T^{(\nu)}(x)^{-1}T^{(\nu+1)}(x) = x(\Delta^{(\nu)})^{-1}\Delta^{(\nu+1)}$ and (5.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (W_\mu^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x))^{-1}W_\mu^{(\alpha,\nu+1)}(x) &= x(L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(x)^*)^{-1}(\Delta^{(\nu)})^{-1}\Delta^{(\nu+1)}L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(x)^* \\ &= x(L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(0)^*)^{-1}e^{-xA_\mu^*}(d^{(\nu)}J + c^{(\nu)})e^{xA_\mu^*}L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(0)^* \\ &= -d^{(\nu)}x^2(L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(0)^*)^{-1}A_\mu^*L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(0)^* + \\ &\quad + x\left(d^{(\nu)}(L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(0)^*)^{-1}JL_\mu^{(\alpha)}(0)^* + c^{(\nu)}\right). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Now we assume that the coefficients μ_k and $\delta_k^{(\nu)}$ satisfy the relation

$$(5.4) \quad \frac{\mu_{k+1}^2}{\mu_k^2} = d^{(\nu)}k(N-k)\frac{\delta_{k+1}^{(\nu)}}{\delta_k^{(\nu+1)}}, \quad k = 1, \dots, N-1.$$

Note that, since the coefficients μ_k are independent of ν , we require the right hand side of (5.4) to be independent of ν .

Proposition 5.2. *Let $W_\mu^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ be the weight matrix given in (3.5) and assume that the conditions (5.2) and (5.4) hold true. Then*

$$\Psi^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x) = (W_\mu^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x))^{-1}\frac{dW_\mu^{(\alpha,\nu+1)}}{dx}(x),$$

is a matrix valued polynomial of degree one.

Proof. Using (3.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(0)^*\Psi^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x)(L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(0)^*)^{-1} &= e^{-xA_\mu^*}(T^{(\nu)}(x))^{-1}A_\mu T^{(\nu+1)}(x)e^{xA_\mu^*} \\ &\quad + e^{-xA_\mu^*}(T^{(\nu)}(x))^{-1}\frac{dT^{(\nu+1)}}{dx}(x)e^{xA_\mu^*} + e^{-xA_\mu^*}(T^{(\nu)}(x))^{-1}T^{(\nu+1)}e^{xA_\mu^*}A_\mu^*. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (5.2) and (5.1) that

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} e^{-xA_\mu^*}T^{(\nu)}(x)^{-1}T^{(\nu+1)}(x)e^{xA_\mu^*}A_\mu^* &= xe^{-xA_\mu^*}(\Delta^{(\nu)})^{-1}\Delta^{(\nu+1)}e^{xA_\mu^*}A_\mu^* \\ &= -x^2d^{(\nu)}(A_\mu^*)^2 + xd^{(\nu)}JA_\mu^* + xc^{(\nu)}A_\mu^*, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} e^{-xA_\mu^*}(T^{(\nu)}(x))^{-1}\frac{dT^{(\nu+1)}}{dx}(x)e^{xA_\mu^*} &= x^2d^{(\nu)}(A_\mu^* + (A_\mu^*)^2) \\ &\quad - x((A_\mu^* + 1)(d^{(\nu)}J + c^{(\nu)}) + d^{(\nu)}(\nu + J + 1)A_\mu^*) + (\nu + J + 1)(d^{(\nu)}J + c^{(\nu)}). \end{aligned}$$

We observe that the term $x^2d^{(\nu)}(A_\mu^*)^2$ of the right hand side of (5.6) cancels with the term of degree two in (5.5).

Now we note that $(T^{(\nu)}(x))^{-1} A_\mu T^{(\nu+1)}(x) = x(\Delta^{(\nu)})^{-1} A_\mu \Delta^{(\nu+1)}$. On the other hand, the matrix $[(\Delta^{(\nu)})^{-1} A_\mu \Delta^{(\nu+1)}, A_\mu^*]$ is a diagonal matrix whose k -th diagonal entry is given by

$$[(\Delta^{(\nu)})^{-1} A_\mu \Delta^{(\nu+1)}, A_\mu^*]_{k,k} = \frac{\mu_{k+1}^2 \delta_k^{(\nu+1)}}{\mu_k^2 \delta_{k+1}^{(\nu)}} - \frac{\mu_k^2 \delta_{k-1}^{(\nu+1)}}{\mu_{k-1}^2 \delta_k^{(\nu)}}.$$

By (5.4) we verify that $[(\Delta^{(\nu)})^{-1} A_\mu \Delta^{(\nu+1)}, A_\mu^*] = 2d^{(\nu)}J - d^{(\nu)}(N+1)$. This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} \left(e^{-xA_\mu^*} (\Delta^{(\nu)})^{-1} A_\mu \Delta^{(\nu+1)} e^{xA_\mu^*} \right) &= e^{-xA_\mu^*} \left[(\Delta^{(\nu)})^{-1} A_\mu \Delta^{(\nu+1)}, A_\mu^* \right] e^{xA_\mu^*} \\ &= e^{-xA_\mu^*} (2d^{(\nu)}J - d^{(\nu)}(N+1)) e^{xA_\mu^*} \\ &= -2xd^{(\nu)}A_\mu^* + 2d^{(\nu)}J - d^{(\nu)}(N+1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have that

$$(5.7) \quad e^{-xA_\mu^*} (\Delta^{(\nu)})^{-1} A_\mu \Delta^{(\nu+1)} e^{xA_\mu^*} = -d^{(\nu)}x^2 A_\mu^* + xd^{(\nu)}(2J - N - 1) + (\Delta^{(\nu)})^{-1} A_\mu \Delta^{(\nu+1)}$$

Adding (5.5), (5.6) and (5.7) shows that $\Psi^{(\alpha, \nu)}$ is a polynomial of degree one. \square

For future reference we state Corollary 5.3 as an immediate consequence of the proofs of Propositions 5.1 and 5.2.

Corollary 5.3. *Assuming the conditions (5.2) and (5.4), the matrix valued polynomials*

$$\begin{aligned} L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(0)^* \Phi^{(\alpha, \nu)}(x) (L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(0)^*)^{-1} &= -d^{(\nu)}x^2 A_\mu^* + x \left(d^{(\nu)}J + c^{(\nu)} \right), \\ L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(0)^* \Psi^{(\alpha, \nu)}(x) (L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(0)^*)^{-1} &= x \left(d^{(\nu)}(J - A_\mu^*(J + \nu + 1) - N - 1) - c^{(\nu)} \right) \\ &\quad + \left((\nu + J + 1)(d^{(\nu)}J + c^{(\nu)}) + (\Delta^{(\nu)})^{-1} A_\mu \Delta^{(\nu+1)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

satisfy the Pearson equations

$$\Phi^{(\alpha, \nu)}(x) = (W_\mu^{(\alpha, \nu)}(x))^{-1} W_\mu^{(\alpha, \nu+1)}(x), \quad \Psi^{(\alpha, \nu)}(x) = (W_\mu^{(\alpha, \nu)}(x))^{-1} \frac{dW_\mu^{(\alpha, \nu+1)}}{dx}(x).$$

Remark 5.4. Upon replacing $\delta_k^{(\nu+1)} = (kd^{(\nu)} + c^{(\nu)})\delta_k^{(\nu)}$ in (5.4), we can iterate the resulting identity to obtain

$$(5.8) \quad \frac{\delta_k^{(\nu)}}{\mu_k^2} = \frac{(1 + \frac{c^{(\nu)}}{d^{(\nu)}})_{k-1}}{(k-1)!(N-k+1)_{k-1}} \frac{\delta_1^{(\nu)}}{\mu_1^2}.$$

This relation can now be used to evaluate explicitly the the 0-th moment $H_0^{(\nu, \nu)}$ given in Proposition (3.5). Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} (H_0^{(\nu, \nu)})_{j,j} &= \frac{\mu_j^2 \delta_1^{(\nu)} \Gamma(\nu + j + 1)}{\mu_1^2 (j-1)!} \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{(1 + \frac{c^{(\nu)}}{d^{(\nu)}})_{k-1} (-j+1)_{k-1}}{(N-k+1)_{k-1} (k-1)!} \\ &= \frac{\mu_j^2 \delta_1^{(\nu)} \Gamma(\nu + j + 1)}{\mu_1^2 (j-1)!} {}_2F_1 \left(1 + \frac{c^{(\nu)}}{d^{(\nu)}}, -(j-1); 1 \right) \\ &= \frac{\mu_j^2 \delta_1^{(\nu)} \Gamma(\nu + j + 1) (-N - \frac{c^{(\nu)}}{d^{(\nu)}})_{j-1}}{\mu_1^2 (j-1)! (-N-1)_{j-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the ${}_2F_1$ is summed by the Chu-Vandermonde Identity.

6. SHIFT OPERATORS

In this section we use the Pearson equations to give explicit lowering and rising operators for the polynomials $P_n^{(\alpha,\nu)}$. Next we exploit the existence of the shift operators to give an explicit Rodrigues formula, to calculate the squared norms as well as the coefficients in the three-term recurrence relation. Moreover, we find another matrix valued differential operator to which the matrix polynomials are eigenfunctions. For this explicit matrix valued differential operator it is possible to perform a Darboux transform, and we give an explicit expression for the Darboux transformation. We end by obtaining a Burchnell type identity, see [25], for the matrix valued Laguerre polynomials, and by showing that there are at least three families of solutions to the non-linear conditions (5.2) and (5.4). In this section we assume that these conditions are satisfied, and hence that the Pearson equations of Corollary 5.3 hold.

For matrix valued functions P and Q , we denote by

$$\langle P, Q \rangle^{(\alpha,\nu)} = \int_0^\infty P(x) W_\mu^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x) Q(x)^* dx,$$

whenever the integral converges. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{dP}{dx}, Q \right\rangle^{(\alpha,\nu+1)} &= \int_0^\infty \frac{dP}{dx}(x) W_\mu^{(\alpha,\nu+1)}(x) (Q(x))^* dx \\ &= - \int_0^\infty P(x) W_\mu^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x) \Psi^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x) Q(x)^* dx - \int_0^\infty P(x) W_\mu^{(\nu)}(x) \Phi^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x) \frac{dQ^*}{dx}(x) dx \\ &= - \langle P, QS^{(\alpha,\nu)} \rangle^{(\alpha,\nu)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $S^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ is the first order matrix valued differential operator

$$(6.1) \quad (QS^{(\alpha,\nu)})(x) = \frac{dQ}{dx}(x) (\Phi^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x))^* + Q(x) (\Psi^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x))^*.$$

Note that we have to assume that the decay at 0 and at ∞ is sufficiently large, which is the case for e.g. polynomials P and Q .

In particular, if we set $P(x) = P_n^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x)$ and $Q(x) = x^k$, considering the degrees of $\Phi^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ and $\Psi^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ we obtain $\langle \frac{dP_n^{(\alpha,\nu)}}{dx}, Q \rangle^{(\alpha,\nu+1)} = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k < n$. Since the leading coefficient of $\frac{dP_n^{(\alpha,\nu)}}{dx}$ is non-singular, we conclude that $\{\frac{dP_n^{(\alpha,\nu)}}{dx}\}_n$ is a sequence of matrix valued orthogonal polynomials with respect to $W_\mu^{(\alpha,\nu+1)}$. Similarly, the sequence $\{P_n^{(\alpha,\nu+1)} S^{(\alpha,\nu)}\}_n$ is a sequence of matrix valued orthogonal polynomials with respect to $W_\mu^{(\alpha,\nu)}$.

Proposition 6.1. *Assume that the conditions (5.2) and (5.4) are satisfied for all ν of the form $\nu_0 + k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, for some fixed ν_0 . The first order differential operator $S^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ given in (6.1) satisfies*

$$\left\langle \frac{dP}{dx}, Q \right\rangle^{(\alpha,\nu+1)} = - \langle P, QS^{(\alpha,\nu)} \rangle^{(\alpha,\nu)},$$

for matrix valued polynomials P and Q . Moreover

$$\frac{dP_n^{(\alpha,\nu)}}{dx}(x) = n P_{n-1}^{(\alpha,\nu+1)}(x), \quad (P_{n-1}^{(\alpha,\nu+1)} S^{(\alpha,\nu)})(x) = K_n^{(\alpha,\nu)} P_n^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x),$$

where the matrices $K_n^{(\alpha, \nu)}$ are invertible and are explicitly given by

$$L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(0)^{-1} K_n^{(\alpha, \nu)} L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(0) = d^{(\nu)}(J - (J + \nu + n)A_\mu - N - 1) - c^{(\nu)}.$$

Proof. Taking into account the preceding discussion, we have that $\frac{dP_n^{(\alpha, \nu)}}{dx}$ is a multiple of $P_{n-1}^{(\alpha, \nu+1)}$ and $P_{n-1}^{(\alpha, \nu+1)} S^{(\alpha, \nu)}$ is a multiple of $P_n^{(\alpha, \nu)}(x)$, these multiples follow from the leading coefficients. Now we only need to show that $K_n^{(\alpha, \nu)}$ is invertible. Observe that $L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(0)^{-1} K_n^{(\alpha, \nu)} L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(0)$ is a lower triangular matrix whose j -th diagonal entry is given by

$$(L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(0)^{-1} K_n^{(\alpha, \nu)} L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(0))_{j,j} = d^{(\nu)}(j - (N + 1)) - c^{(\nu)}.$$

These entries are strictly negative, since $c^{(\nu)}$ and $d^{(\nu)}$ are positive. So invertibility follows. \square

We note that the matrix $\tilde{K}_n^{(\alpha, \nu)} = L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(0)^{-1} K_n^{(\alpha, \nu)} L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(0)$ is actually a function of $\nu + n$ so that $\tilde{K}_{n-j}^{(\alpha, \nu+j)} = \tilde{K}_n^{(\alpha, \nu)}$ for all $j \leq n$. Now conjugating with $L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(0)^{-1}$ we obtain that

$$(6.2) \quad K_{n-j}^{(\alpha, \nu+j)} = K_n^{(\alpha, \nu)}, \quad j \leq n.$$

Theorem 6.2. *Assume that the conditions of Proposition 6.1. The polynomials $P_n^{(\alpha, \nu)}$ satisfy the following Rodrigues formula*

$$P_n^{(\alpha, \nu)}(x) = G_n^{(\alpha, \nu)} \left(\frac{d^n W_\mu^{(\alpha, \nu+n)}}{dx^n}(x) \right) W_\mu^{(\alpha, \nu)}(x)^{-1},$$

where $G_n^{(\alpha, \nu)} = (K_n^{(\alpha, \nu)})^{-1} \dots (K_1^{(\alpha, \nu+n-1)})^{-1} = (K_n^{(\alpha, \nu)})^{-n}$. Moreover, the squared norm $H_n^{(\alpha, \nu)}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} H_n^{(\alpha, \nu)} &= (-1)^n n! (K_n^{(\alpha, \nu)})^{-n} H_0^{(\alpha, \nu+n)} \\ &= (-1)^n n! M_\mu^{(\alpha, \nu+n)} (K_n^{(\nu+n, \nu)})^{-n} H_0^{(\nu+n, \nu+n)} (M_\mu^{(\alpha, \nu+n)})^*, \end{aligned}$$

where $M_\mu^{(\nu+n, \nu)}$ is the matrix given in (3.7).

Proof. Observe that $(QS^{(\alpha, \nu)})(x) = \frac{d(QW_\mu^{(\alpha, \nu+1)})}{dx}(x) (W_\mu^{(\alpha, \nu)}(x))^{-1}$ by Corollary 5.3. Iterating gives

$$(QS^{(\alpha, \nu+n-1)} \dots S^{(\alpha, \nu)})(x) = \frac{d^n (QW_\mu^{(\alpha, \nu+n)})}{dx^n}(x) (W_\mu^{(\alpha, \nu)}(x))^{-1}.$$

Now taking $Q(x) = P_0^{(\alpha, \nu+n)}(x) = 1$ and using Proposition 6.1 repeatedly we obtain the Rodrigues formula.

Finally, for the squared norm we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} nH_{n-1}^{(\alpha, \nu+1)} &= n \langle P_{n-1}^{(\alpha, \nu+1)}, P_{n-1}^{(\alpha, \nu+1)} \rangle_{(\alpha, \nu+1)} = \left\langle \frac{dP_n^{(\alpha, \nu)}}{dx}, P_{n-1}^{(\alpha, \nu+1)} \right\rangle_{(\alpha, \nu+1)} \\ &= \langle P_n^{(\alpha, \nu)}, P_{n-1}^{(\alpha, \nu+1)} S^{(\alpha, \nu)} \rangle_{(\alpha, \nu)} = \langle P_n^{(\alpha, \nu)}, P_n^{(\alpha, \nu)} \rangle_{(\alpha, \nu)} (K_n^{(\alpha, \nu)})^* = H_n^{(\alpha, \nu)} (K_n^{(\alpha, \nu)})^*, \end{aligned}$$

so that $H_n^{(\alpha, \nu)} = n (K_n^{(\alpha, \nu)})^{-1} H_{n-1}^{(\alpha, \nu+1)}$, where we have used that $H_n^{(\alpha, \nu)}$ is self-adjoint for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Iterating and using (6.2) and (3.8) gives the expressions for the squared norm. \square

Corollary 6.3. *Assume the conditions of Proposition 6.1. The second-order differential operator*

$$\mathcal{D}^{(\alpha,\nu)} = S^{(\alpha,\nu)} \circ \frac{d}{dx} = \left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \right) \Phi^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x)^* + \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right) \Psi^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x)^*,$$

is symmetric with respect to the weight $W_\mu^{(\alpha,\nu)}$. Moreover, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$P_n^{(\alpha,\nu)} \mathcal{D}^{(\alpha,\nu)} = \Lambda_n^{(\alpha,\nu)} P_n^{(\alpha,\nu)}, \quad \Lambda_n^{(\alpha,\nu)} = nK_n^{(\alpha,\nu)}.$$

Moreover, the operators $\mathcal{D}^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ and $D^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ commute.

Proof. The fact that $\mathcal{D}^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ is symmetric with respect to $W_\mu^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ follows directly from the factorization $\mathcal{D}^{(\alpha,\nu)} = S^{(\alpha,\nu)} \circ \frac{d}{dx}$ and Proposition 6.1. Then the orthogonal polynomials $P_n^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ are eigenfunctions of $\mathcal{D}^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ and the eigenvalue is obtained by looking at the leading coefficients.

In order to prove that $\mathcal{D}^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ and $D^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ commute, we will show that the corresponding eigenvalues $\Gamma_n^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ and $\Lambda_n^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ commute, see [37, Cor. 4.4]. It is then enough to show that the following matrices commute;

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_n^{(\alpha,\nu)} = (L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(0))^{-1} \Lambda_n^{(\alpha,\nu)} L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(0), \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_n^{(\alpha,\nu)} = (L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(0))^{-1} \Gamma_n^{(\alpha,\nu)} L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(0).$$

Using the explicit expressions of $\Gamma_n^{(\alpha,\nu)}$, Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 6.1 we obtain

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_n^{(\alpha,\nu)} - (\alpha - \nu - n) = (J + \nu + n)A_\mu - J = -\frac{\tilde{\Lambda}_n^{(\alpha,\nu)} - c^{(\nu)}}{d^{(\nu)}} - N - 1.$$

So $\Gamma_n^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ and $\Lambda_n^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ commute for all n . \square

Remark 6.4. Corollary 6.3 states that the differential operator $\mathcal{D}^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ has a factorization, where first the lowering operator $\frac{d}{dx}$ is applied and next the raising operator $S^{(\alpha,\nu)}$. The Darboux transform of such a differential operator is obtained by interchanging the order of the lowering and raising operator. This gives a differential operator $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^{(\alpha,\nu)} = \frac{d}{dx} \circ S^{(\nu)}$ which has the orthogonal polynomials $P_n^{(\alpha,\nu+1)}$ as eigenfunctions. Explicitly we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(P_n^{(\alpha,\nu+1)} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^{(\alpha,\nu)} \right) (x) &= \frac{d^2 P_n^{(\alpha,\nu+1)}}{dx^2} (x) \Phi^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x)^* + \frac{d P_n^{(\alpha,\nu+1)}}{dx} (x) \left(\frac{d \Phi^{(\alpha,\nu)}}{dx} (x)^* + \Psi^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x)^* \right) \\ &\quad + P_n^{(\alpha,\nu+1)}(x) \frac{d \Psi^{(\alpha,\nu)}}{dx} (x)^* = \Xi_n^{(\alpha,\nu+1)} P_n^{(\alpha,\nu+1)}(x), \end{aligned}$$

where the eigenvalue $\Xi_n^{(\alpha,\nu+1)}$ is given by

$$\Xi_n^{(\alpha,\nu+1)} = n^2 \text{lc}(\Phi^{(\alpha,\nu)})^* + (n+1) \text{lc}(\Psi^{(\alpha,\nu)})^*.$$

Proposition 6.5. *With the notations as in Proposition 4.3, Corollary 6.3 and Remark 6.4 and assuming $\frac{c^{(\nu)}}{d^{(\nu)}} = \nu + \rho$ for some constant ρ we have*

$$\frac{1}{d^{(\nu)}} P \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^{(\alpha,\nu)} = \frac{1}{d^{(\nu+1)}} P \mathcal{D}^{(\alpha,\nu+1)} - P D^{(\alpha,\nu+1)} + \alpha - N - 2\nu - 2 - \rho.$$

Note that the assumption on the quotient is satisfied in Examples 6.9, 6.10 and 6.11.

Proof. The proof is a bit involved, but essentially straightforward. First, to use the explicit expression of Remark 6.4 we need the explicit expressions for $\Phi^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x)^*$, $\Psi^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x)^*$ and compare the difference for ν and $\nu + 1$. From Corollary 5.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{d^{(\nu)}}\Phi^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x)^* - \frac{1}{d^{(\nu+1)}}\Phi^{(\alpha,\nu+1)}(x)^* &= x \left(\frac{c^{(\nu)}}{d^{(\nu)}} - \frac{c^{(\nu+1)}}{d^{(\nu+1)}} \right) I = -xI, \\ \frac{1}{d^{(\nu)}} \left(\frac{d\Phi^{(\alpha,\nu)}}{dx}(x) \right)^* &= L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(0) \left(-2xA_\mu + J + \nu + \rho \right) L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(0)^{-1} \\ &= -2x(1 - (1 + A_\mu)^{-1}) + (\alpha + J)A_\mu + J + \nu + \rho \end{aligned}$$

using Lemma 4.2. To do the same for $\Psi^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x)^*$ we first observe that $\frac{1}{d^{(\nu)}}(\Delta^{(\nu)})^{-1}A_\mu\Delta^{(\nu+1)}$ is actually independent of ν , because of (5.4). So we find from Corollary 5.3 and Lemma 4.2

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{d^{(\nu)}}\Psi^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x)^* - \frac{1}{d^{(\nu+1)}}\Psi^{(\alpha,\nu+1)}(x)^* &= L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(0) \left(x(1 + A_\mu) - 2J - 2 - 2\nu - \rho \right) L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(0)^{-1} \\ &= x + x(1 - (1 + A_\mu)^{-1}) - 2J - 2(\alpha + J)A_\mu - 2 - 2\nu - \rho. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by Corollary 5.3 and Lemma 4.2

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{d^{(\nu)}} \left(\frac{d\Psi^{(\alpha,\nu)}}{dx}(x) \right)^* &= L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(0) \left(J - (J + \nu + 1)A_\mu - N - 1 - \frac{c^{(\nu)}}{d^{(\nu)}} \right) L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(0)^{-1} \\ &= (\alpha + J)A_\mu + J - ((\alpha + J)A_\mu + J + \nu + 1)(1 - (1 + A_\mu)^{-1}) - N - 1 - \nu - \rho \\ &= ((\alpha + J)A_\mu + J + \nu + 1)(1 + A_\mu)^{-1} - N - 2\nu - 2 - \rho \\ &= J + (\alpha A_\mu + \nu + 1)(1 + A_\mu)^{-1} - N - 2\nu - 2 - \rho. \end{aligned}$$

Collecting these expressions in the differential operator in Remark 6.4 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{d^{(\nu)}}P\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x) - \frac{1}{d^{(\nu+1)}}P\mathcal{D}^{(\alpha,\nu+1)}(x) &= \\ \frac{d^2P}{dx^2}(x)(-xI) + \frac{dP}{dx}(x) \left(x(1 + A_\mu)^{-1} - J - (\alpha + J)A - 2 - \nu \right) &+ \\ P(x) \left(J + (\alpha A_\mu + \nu + 1)(1 + A_\mu)^{-1} - N - 2\nu - 2 - \rho \right) &= \\ -(P\mathcal{D}^{(\alpha,\nu+1)})(x) + \alpha - N - 2\nu - 2 - \rho. &\quad \square \end{aligned}$$

As a next application of the shift operators, we calculate the matrix coefficients in the three-term recurrence for the monic polynomials explicitly. As in (2.2), the monic matrix valued Laguerre-type polynomials $P_n^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ satisfy a three-term recurrence relation of the form

$$xP_n^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x) = P^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x) + B_n^{(\alpha,\nu)}P_n^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x) + C_n^{(\alpha,\nu)}P_{n-1}^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x).$$

Proceeding as in [32, §5.3], the coefficients $B_n^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ and $C_n^{(\alpha,\nu)}$, are given by

$$(6.3) \quad B_n^{(\alpha,\nu)} = X_n^{(\alpha,\nu)} - X_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\nu)}, \quad C_n^{(\alpha,\nu)} = H_n^{(\alpha,\nu)}(H_{n-1}^{(\alpha,\nu)})^{-1},$$

where $X_n^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ is the one-but-leading coefficient of $P_n^{(\alpha,\nu)}$.

Proposition 6.6. *Assume the conditions of Proposition 6.1. The coefficients of the three-term recurrence relation for the monic Laguerre-type orthogonal polynomials are given by*

$$\begin{aligned} B_n^{(\alpha, \nu)} &= n (K_1^{(\alpha, \nu+n-1)})^{-1} (\Psi^{(\alpha, \nu+n-1)}(0))^* - (n+1) (K_1^{(\alpha, \nu+n)})^{-1} (\Psi^{(\alpha, \nu+n)}(0))^*, \\ C_n^{(\alpha, \nu)} &= -n M_\mu^{(\alpha, \nu+n)} (K_n^{(\nu+n, \nu)})^{-n} H_0^{(\nu+n, \nu+n)} (1 - A_\mu^*) (H_0^{(\nu+n-1, \nu+n-1)})^{-1} \\ &\quad \times (K_{n-1}^{(\nu+n-1, \nu)})^{n-1} (M_\mu^{(\alpha, \nu+n-1)})^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By taking the derivative of $P_n^{(\alpha, \nu)}$ with respect to x and using Proposition 6.1, we find that $(n-1)X_n^{(\alpha, \nu)} = nX_{n+1}^{(\alpha, \nu)}$ which gives $X_n^{(\alpha, \nu)} = nX_1^{(\alpha, \nu+n-1)}$. Using the Rodrigues formula we obtain $P_1^{(\alpha, \nu)}(x) = G_1^{(\alpha, \nu)}(\Psi^{(\alpha, \nu)}(x))^*$. Evaluating at $x = 0$ gives

$$X_n^{(\alpha, \nu)} = n (K_1^{(\alpha, \nu+n-1)})^{-1} (\Psi^{(\alpha, \nu+n-1)}(0))^*.$$

Replacing $X_n^{(\alpha, \nu)}$ in (6.3) we obtain the expression for $B_n^{(\alpha, \nu)}$.

On the other hand, using the expression for the norm in Theorem 6.2, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} H_n^{(\alpha, \nu)} (H_{n-1}^{(\alpha, \nu)})^{-1} &= -n M_\mu^{(\alpha, \nu+n)} (K_n^{(\nu+n, \nu)})^{-n} H_0^{(\nu+n, \nu+n)} (M_\mu^{(\alpha, \nu+n)})^* ((M_\mu^{(\alpha, \nu+n-1)})^*)^{-1} \\ &\quad \times (H_0^{(\nu+n-1, \nu+n-1)})^{-1} (K_{n-1}^{(\nu+n-1, \nu)})^{n-1} (M_\mu^{(\alpha, \nu+n-1)})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we use Lemma 3.4 to write $L_\mu^{(\nu+n)}(0)^* (L_\mu^{(\nu+n-1)}(0))^*{}^{-1} = (1 - A_\mu^*)$ and this completes the proof of the proposition. \square

As a final application of the shift operators we discuss briefly an expansion formula for the matrix valued Laguerre polynomials arising from the Burchnell formula for matrix valued polynomials satisfying a Rodrigues formula. Note that the matrix valued Laguerre polynomials form an example of the general conditions in [25, §4]. In particular, Burchnell's formula [25, Thm. 4.1] applies. Assuming $\frac{c^{(\nu)}}{d^{(\nu)}} = \nu + \rho$ as in Proposition 6.5, we see from Corollary 5.3 that

$$\left(\Phi^{(\alpha, \nu)}(x) \cdots \Phi^{(\alpha, \nu+k-1)}(x) \right)^* = (-1)^k x^k \left(\prod_{p=0}^{k-1} d^{(\nu+p)} \right) (J - xA_\mu + \nu + \rho)_k.$$

Moreover, since the matrices $G_n^{(\alpha, \nu)}$ in Theorem 6.2 are powers, the result of [25, Cor 4.2] simplifies and we obtain Corollary 6.7.

Corollary 6.7. *Under the conditions of Proposition 6.5 we have the following expansion formula for the matrix valued Laguerre polynomials.*

$$\begin{aligned} (K_{n+m}^{(\alpha, \nu)})^{-n} P_{n+m}^{(\alpha, \nu)}(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{m \wedge n} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \binom{m}{k} k! \left(\prod_{p=0}^{k-1} d^{(\nu+p)} \right) x^k \\ &\quad \times P_{m-k}^{(\alpha, \nu+n-k)}(x) (K_n^{(\alpha, \nu)})^{n-k} P_{n-k}^{(\alpha, \nu+k)}(x) L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(0) (J - xA_\mu + \nu + \rho)_k L_\mu^{(\alpha)}(0)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Remark 6.8. In the scalar case the Burchnell identities for some subclasses of the Askey scheme, notably Hermite, Laguerre, Meixner-Pollaczek, Krawtchouk, Meixner and Charlier polynomials, can be used to find expressions for the orthogonal polynomials for the corresponding Toda modification of the weight, i.e. multiplication by e^{-xt} , see [24, Prop. 7.1]. These are precisely the cases where it is easy to “glue” on the exponent e^{-xt} to the classical weight function. In the matrix case, the Toda modification of the matrix weight leads to

solutions of the non-abelian Toda lattice, see [25, §2.1] and references given there, and this is worked out in detail for the matrix valued Hermite polynomials in [25, §5]. In the case of the matrix valued Laguerre polynomials, however, we are not lead to a corresponding solution of the non-abelian Toda lattice. Essentially, the Burchnell approach fails due to the fact that $\Phi^{(\alpha, \nu)} \dots \Phi^{(\alpha, \nu+k-1)}$ is a polynomial of degree $2k$ (instead of k), see the discussion in [24]. Even though in the matrix valued case it is straightforward to glue on the exponential e^{-xt} to the matrix weight $W_\mu^{(\alpha, \nu)}(x)$, it leads to a linear change in the parameters μ and $\delta_k^{(\nu)}$, and since, the conditions (5.2) and (5.4) form non-linear conditions, the Pearson equations do not hold for the Toda modification. So in particular, Proposition 6.6 is no longer valid for the Toda modified matrix weight.

6.1. Examples. We cannot give all the solutions to the (5.2) and (5.4) in general, due to the non-linearity of these relations. However, if we choose coefficients μ_k such that the quotient μ_{k+1}^2/μ_k^2 coincides with some of the factors in the product $k(N-k)$ of the right hand side of (5.4), we can give explicit examples of Laguerre-type matrix valued orthogonal polynomials. In the case of Hermite-type matrix valued orthogonal polynomials given in [25] there are two nonlinear conditions. The first one is the same as (5.2) and the second one differs from (5.4) by a factor of $\frac{1}{2}$ on the right-hand side. The examples in this paper are obtained in the same way as in [25].

Example 6.9. *If we assume that $\mu_{k+1} = \sqrt{N-k} \mu_k$, then the left hand side of (5.4) coincides with the factor $N-k$ on the right hand side. Thus $\mu_k = \sqrt{(N-k+1)_k}$ for $k = 1, \dots, N$. Then (5.2) and (5.4) give the following recurrence relations*

$$(6.4) \quad \delta_k^{(\nu+1)} = (d^{(\nu)}k + c^{(\nu)})\delta_k^{(\nu)}, \quad \delta_{k+1}^{(\nu)} = \left(k + \frac{c^{(\nu)}}{d^{(\nu)}}\right)\delta_k^{(\nu)}.$$

One solution to (6.5) is given by

$$\delta_k^{(\nu)} = \Gamma(\nu)(\nu)_k, \quad c^{(\nu)} = \nu, \quad d^{(\nu)} = 1.$$

Example 6.10. *If we assume that $\mu_{k+1} = \sqrt{k(N-k)} \mu_k$, then the left hand side of (5.4) coincides with the factor $k(N-k)$ on the right hand side. Then $\mu_k = \sqrt{(k-1)!(N-k+1)_{k-1}}$, $k = 1, \dots, N$. The relations (5.2) and (5.4) give the following recurrence relations*

$$(6.5) \quad \delta_k^{(\nu+1)} = (d^{(\nu)}k + c^{(\nu)})\delta_k^{(\nu)}, \quad \delta_{k+1}^{(\nu)} = \left(k + \frac{c^{(\nu)}}{d^{(\nu)}}\right)\delta_k^{(\nu)}.$$

A solution to (6.5) is given by

$$\delta_k^{(\nu)} = \lambda^\nu \Gamma(\nu+k) = (\nu)_k \lambda^\nu \Gamma(\nu), \quad c^{(\nu)} = \nu\lambda, \quad d^{(\nu)} = \lambda.$$

for some fixed $\lambda > 0$.

Example 6.11. *Now we take $\mu_k = 1$ for all $k = 1, \dots, N$. Therefore the relations (5.2) and (5.4) are given by*

$$1 = \frac{d^{(\nu)}k(N-k)}{(d^{(\nu)}k + c^{(\nu)})} \frac{\delta_{k+1}^{(\nu)}}{\delta_k^{(\nu)}}, \quad \delta_k^{(\nu+1)} = (d^{(\nu)}k + c^{(\nu)})\delta_{k+1}^{(\nu)}$$

for which

$$d^{(\nu)} = \rho, \quad c^{(\nu)} = C + \nu\rho, \quad \delta_k^{(\nu)} = \frac{(1 + \nu + C/\rho)_{k-1}}{(k-1)!(N-k+1)_{k-1}} \rho^\nu \Gamma(1 + \nu + C/\rho)$$

with $\rho > 0$, $\nu > 0$ and $C \geq 0$ gives a solution meeting all the conditions.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Aldenhoven, *Explicit matrix inverses for lower triangular matrices with entries involving continuous q -ultraspherical polynomials*, J. Approx. Theory **199** (2015), 1–12.
- [2] N. Aldenhoven, E. Koelink, P. Román, *Matrix valued orthogonal polynomials related to the quantum analogue of $(\text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(2), \text{diag})$* , Ramanujan J. **43** (2017), 243–311.
- [3] A.I. Aptekarev, E.M. Nikishin, *The scattering problem for a discrete Sturm-Liouville operator*. Mat. USSR Sbornik **49** (1984), 325–355.
- [4] R. Askey, J. Wilson, *Some basic hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials that generalize Jacobi polynomials*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **54** (1985), no. 319.
- [5] L. Cagliero, T.H. Koornwinder, *Explicit matrix inverses for lower triangular matrices with entries involving Jacobi polynomials*, J. Approx. Theory **193** (2015), 20–38.
- [6] M.J. Cantero, L. Moral, L. Velázquez, *Matrix orthogonal polynomials whose derivatives are also orthogonal*, J. Approx. Theory **146** (2007), 174–211.
- [7] D. Damanik, A. Pushnitski, B. Simon, *The analytic theory of matrix orthogonal polynomials*, Surveys in Approx. Th. **4** (2008), 1–85.
- [8] Digital Library of Mathematical Functions, (eds. F.W.J. Olver, A.B. Olde Daalhuis, D.W. Lozier, B.I. Schneider, R.F. Boisvert, C.W. Clark, B.R. Miller, and B.V. Saunders), <http://dlmf.nist.gov>.
- [9] A.J. Durán, *A method to find weight matrices having symmetric second-order differential operators with matrix leading coefficient*, Constr. Approx. **29**(2009), 181–205.
- [10] A. J. Durán, *A method to find weight matrices having symmetric second-order differential operators with matrix leading coefficient*, Constr. Approx. **29** (2009), 2, 181–205.
- [11] A. J. Durán, F. A. Grünbaum, *Structural formulas for orthogonal matrix polynomials satisfying second-order differential equations. I*, Constr. Approx. **22** (2005), 2, 255–271.
- [12] A.J. Durán, F.A. Grünbaum, *Orthogonal matrix polynomials satisfying second-order differential equations*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2004** (2004), 461–484.
- [13] A.J. Durán, M. de la Iglesia, *Some examples of orthogonal matrix polynomials satisfying odd order differential equations*, J. Approx. Theory **150** (2008), 2, 153–174.
- [14] A.J. Durán, W. Van Assche, *Orthogonal matrix polynomials and higher-order recurrence relations*, Linear Algebra Appl. **219** (1995), 261–280.
- [15] R. Gangolli, V.S. Varadarajan. *Harmonic Analysis of Spherical Functions on Real Reductive Groups*, Ergeb. Math. 101, Springer, 1988.
- [16] J.S. Geronimo, *Scattering theory and matrix orthogonal polynomials on the real line*, Circuits Systems Signal Process. **1** (1982), 471–495.
- [17] W. Groenevelt, M.E.H. Ismail, E. Koelink, *Spectral theory and matrix valued orthogonal polynomials*, Adv. Math. **244** (2013), 91–105.
- [18] W. Groenevelt, E. Koelink, *A hypergeometric function transform and matrix valued orthogonal polynomials*, Constr. Approx. **38** (2013), 277–309.
- [19] F.A. Grünbaum, M.D. de la Iglesia, A. Martínez-Finkelshtein, *Properties of matrix orthogonal polynomials via their Riemann-Hilbert characterization*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **7** (2011), paper 098, 31 p.
- [20] F.A. Grünbaum, I. Pacharoni, J. Tirao, *Matrix valued spherical functions associated to the complex projective plane*, J. Funct. Anal. **188** (2002), 350–441.
- [21] F.A. Grünbaum, J. Tirao, *The algebra of differential operators associated to a weight matrix*, Integral Eq. Operator Theory **58** (2007), 449–475.
- [22] G. Heckman, H. Schlichtkrull, *Harmonic Analysis and Special Functions on Symmetric Spaces*, Perspectives Math. **16**, Academic Press, 1994.
- [23] G. Heckman, M. van Pruijssen, *Matrix valued orthogonal polynomials for Gelfand pairs of rank one*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **68** (2016), 407–436.

- [24] M.E.H. Ismail, E. Koelink, P. Román, *Generalized Burchnell-type identities for orthogonal polynomials and expansions*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **14** (2018), 072, 24 p.
- [25] M.E.H. Ismail, E. Koelink, P. Román, *Matrix valued Hermite polynomials, Burchnell formulas and non-abelian Toda lattice*, to appear.
- [26] R. Koekoek, *Inversion formulas involving orthogonal polynomials and some of their applications*, pp. 166–180 in “Special Functions” (eds. M.E.H. Ismail, C. Dunkl, R. Wong), World Sci., 2000.
- [27] R. Koekoek, P.A. Lesky, R.F. Swarttouw, *Hypergeometric Orthogonal Polynomials and their q -Analogues*, Springer, 2010.
- [28] R. Koekoek, R.F. Swarttouw, *The Askey-scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and its q -analogue*, online at <http://aw.twi.tudelft.nl/~koekoek/askey.html>, Report 98-17, Technical University Delft, 1998.
- [29] E. Koelink, M. van Pruijssen, P. Román, *Matrix-valued orthogonal polynomials related to $(\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2), \mathrm{diag})$* , Int. Math. Res. Not. **2012** (2012), 5673–5730.
- [30] E. Koelink, M. van Pruijssen, P. Román, *Matrix-valued orthogonal polynomials related to $(\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2), \mathrm{diag})$, II*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **49** (2013), 271–312.
- [31] E. Koelink, M. van Pruijssen, P. Román, *Matrix elements of irreducible representations of $\mathrm{SU}(n+1) \times \mathrm{SU}(n+1)$ and multivariable matrix valued orthogonal polynomials*, [arXiv:1706.01927](https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.01927).
- [32] E. Koelink, A.M. de los Ríos, P. Román, *Matrix-valued Gegenbauer-type type polynomials*, Constr. Approx. **46** (2017), 459–487.
- [33] E. Koelink, P. Román, *Orthogonal vs. non-orthogonal reducibility of matrix valued measures*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **12** (2016), 008, 9 p.
- [34] T.H. Koornwinder, *Matrix elements of irreducible representations of $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$ and vector-valued orthogonal polynomials*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **16** (1985), 602–613.
- [35] M.G. Krein, *Infinite J -matrices and a matrix moment problem*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **69** (1949), 125–128.
- [36] M.G. Krein, *Fundamental aspects of the representation theory of hermitian operators with deficiency index (m, m)* , AMS Translations ser. 2 **97** (1971), 75–143.
- [37] I. Pacharoni, P. Román, *A sequence of matrix valued orthogonal polynomials associated to spherical functions*, Constr. Approx. **28** (2008), 127–147.
- [38] M. van Pruijssen, *Multiplicity free induced representations and orthogonal polynomials*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2018** (2018), 2208–2239.
- [39] M. van Pruijssen and P. Román, *Matrix-valued classical pairs related to compact Gelfand pairs of rank one*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **10** (2014), 113, 28 p.

IMAPP, RADBOUD UNIVERSITEIT, HEYENDAALSEWEG 135, 6525 GL NIJMEGEN, THE NETHERLANDS
E-mail address: e.koelink@math.ru.nl

CIEM, FAMAF, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE CÓRDOBA, MEDINA ALLENDE S/N CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, CÓRDOBA, ARGENTINA
E-mail address: roman@famaf.unc.edu.ar