

HIGHER ANALOGS OF SIMPLICIAL AND COMBINATORIAL COMPLEXITY

AMIT KUMAR PAUL

ABSTRACT. We introduce higher simplicial complexity of a simplicial complex K and higher combinatorial complexity of a finite space P (i.e. P is a finite poset). We relate higher simplicial complexity with higher topological complexity of $|K|$ and higher combinatorial complexity with higher simplicial complexity of the order complex of P .

1. INTRODUCTION

The *topological complexity* $TC(X)$ of a path connected space X was introduced by Farber (see [3]). It is a measure of the complexity to construct a motion-planning algorithm on the space X . Let $I = [0, 1]$ and $PX = X^I$ denotes the free path space. Consider the fibration

$$\pi : PX \rightarrow X \times X, \gamma \mapsto (\gamma(0), \gamma(1)). \quad (1)$$

Then $TC(X)$ is defined to be the least positive integer r such that there exists an open cover $\{U_1, \dots, U_r\}$ of $X \times X$ with continuous section of π over each U_i (i.e. a continuous map $s_i : U_i \rightarrow E$ satisfying $\pi \circ s_i = \text{Id}_{U_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$). The idea was generalised by Rudyak to higher dimensions (see [7]). He introduced *n-th topological complexity* $TC_n(X)$, $n \geq 2$ such that $TC_2(X) = TC(X)$. We recall the definition of higher topological complexity in the next section.

In ([4]), Gonzalez used contiguity of simplicial maps to define *simplicial complexity* $SC(K)$ for a simplicial complex K . This is a discrete analogue of topological complexity in the category of simplicial complexes. He showed that $SC(K) = TC(|K|)$ for finite K , where $|K|$ is the geometric realization of K . We introduce *higher simplicial complexity* $SC_n(K)$ of a simplicial complex K and generalise the above result.

Theorem A. *For a finite simplicial complex K , $SC_n(K) = TC_n(|K|)$ for any $n \geq 2$.*

(See Theorem 3.5.)

A combinatorial approach to topological complexity was introduced by Tanaka (cf. [10]). The basic idea of Tanaka's paper is to describe topological complexity by combinatorics of finite spaces i.e. connected finite T_0 space (see [9]). He used an analogue of the above path-space fibration for finite spaces to define *combinatorial complexity* $CC(P)$. It is shown that $CC(P) = TC(P)$. We introduce an analogue *higher combinatorial complexity* $CC_n(P)$ and prove the above result for higher dimensions.

Date: May 7, 2019.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 57Q05; secondary: 06A07, 55M30, 05E45.

Key words and phrases. Simplicial complexity, finite space, higher topological complexity, Schwarz genus.

Theorem B. *For any finite space P , we have $\mathcal{CC}_n(P) = TC_n(P)$, for any $n \geq 2$.*

(See Theorem 4.9)

Given a finite space P , there is a naturally associated simplicial complex, called *order complex* $\mathcal{K}(P)$. A finite space is equivalent to a finite poset and the n -simplices of $\mathcal{K}(P)$ are linearly ordered subsets of P (c.f 4.7). As noted by Tanaka, $CC(P)$ is just an upper bound to $SC(\mathcal{K}(P))$. To describe $SC(\mathcal{K}(P))$ combinatorially, he used barycentric subdivision of P to define $CC^\infty(P)$. Finally it is shown that $CC^\infty(P) = SC(\mathcal{K}(P))$. Hence $CC^\infty(P) = TC(|\mathcal{K}(P)|)$.

We further generalise above ideas to *higher combinatorial complexities* $\mathcal{CC}_n^\infty(P)$ using barycentric subdivision of a finite space P and prove the following.

Theorem C. *For any finite space P , we have $\mathcal{CC}_n^\infty(P) = SC_n(\mathcal{K}(P))$, for any $n \geq 2$.*

(See Theorem 5.6)

The organization of the rest of paper is as follows. In Section 2 we recall basics of topological complexity and higher topological complexity of a space X . In Section 3 we introduce higher simplicial complexity of a simplicial complex K and prove Theorem (A). In Section 4 we define higher combinatorial complexity $\mathcal{CC}_n(P)$ of a finite space P and prove Theorem (B). In 5 we describe higher combinatorial complexity with barycentric subdivision $\mathcal{CC}_n^\infty(P)$ of a finite space P and we prove Theorem (C).

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we review basic concepts of topological complexity. For details we refer to [2, 3, 7]. We start by recalling the definition of the *Schwarz genus* of a fibration. Let $p : E \rightarrow B$ be a fibration. The *Schwarz genus* of p is the minimum number k such that B can be cover by k open subsets, $U_1 \cup U_2 \cup \dots \cup U_k = B$ and on each U_i there is a section of p . It is denoted by $genus(p)$. If no such k exists then we say $genus(p) = \infty$. Then the topological complexity of X is $genus(\pi)$, where π is as in the equation 1.

Suppose $I_n, n \geq 2$ denote the wedge of n intervals $[0, 1]_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, where $0_j \in [0, 1]_j$ are identified. Consider the mapping space X^{I_n} and the fibration

$$e_n : X^{I_n} \rightarrow X^n, e_n(\alpha) = (\alpha(1_1), \alpha(1_2), \dots, \alpha(1_n)).$$

The n -th *topological complexity* $TC_n(X)$ of X is defined to be $genus(e_n)$. It can be defined alternatively as $TC_n(X) = genus(e'_n)$, where

$$e'_n : X^I = PX \rightarrow X^n, e'_n(\alpha) = (\alpha(0), \alpha(\frac{1}{n-1}), \alpha(\frac{2}{n-1}), \dots, \alpha(1)).$$

Note that $TC_2(X)$ is nothing but $TC(X)$. It is proved that $TC_n(X)$ is homotopy invariant and $TC_n(X) = 1$ if and only if X is contractible. The sequence $TC_n(X)$ is an increasing sequence i.e, $TC_n(X) \leq TC_{n+1}(X)$. Topological complexity of a space X is closely related to the *LusternikSchnirelmann category* (or L-S category) of the space X , which is denoted by $cat(X)$. Recall that $cat(X)$ is defined as $cat(X) = genus(\pi_0)$, where $\pi_0 : P_0X \rightarrow X$ given by $\pi_0(\gamma) = \gamma(1)$ and P_0X is the space of all paths in X with a fixed starting point x_0 . The topological complexity and L-S category of a space satisfy the following inequality:

$$cat(X) \leq TC_n(X) \leq cat(X^n) \leq cat(X)^n.$$

We will use the following Lemma to define higher simplicial complexity. It is simple generalisation of [4, Lemma 1.1]

2.1. Lemma. *The evaluation map $e'_n : PX \rightarrow X^n$ admits a section on a subset A of X^n if and only if the composition maps $\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_n : A \hookrightarrow X^n \rightarrow X$ are in same homotopy class of maps.*

Proof. Let e'_n admits a section $s : A \rightarrow PX$ on a subset A of X^n . Let $H : A \times I \rightarrow X$ be the map given by $H(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t) = s(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)(t)$. Then clearly $H|_{A \times [\frac{j-1}{n-1}, \frac{j}{n-1}]} : \pi_j \simeq \pi_{j+1}$ for $j = 1, \dots, n-1$. Conversely, assume that $\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_n$ are in same homotopy class and $h_t^j : A \rightarrow X$ be a homotopy from π_j to π_{j+1} i.e, $h_0^j(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = x_j$ and $h_1^j(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = x_{j+1}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Then the concatenation of the paths $h_t^1, h_t^2, \dots, h_t^{n-1}$ will give a section on A . \square

We will use the following Proposition to relate n -th simplicial complexity of a simplicial complex K and n -th topological complexity of the geometric realization of K . This is a simple generalisation of the result of [2, Proposition 4.12 and Remark 4.13]

2.2. Proposition. *Let X be an ENR. Then $TC_n(X) = r$, where r is the minimal integer such that there exist a section $s : X^n \rightarrow PX$ (which is not necessarily continuous) of the fibration e'_n and a splitting $G_1 \cup G_2 \cup \dots \cup G_r = X^n$, where each G_i is locally compact subset of X^n and each restriction $s|_{G_i} : G_i \rightarrow PX$ is continuous for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$.*

Proof. Let us assume that $TC_n(X) = k$. Consider an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^k$ of X^n such that on each open set there is a continuous section $s_i : U_i \rightarrow PX$ of e'_n . Set $G_1 = U_1$ and $G_i = U_i - (U_1 \cup U_2 \cup \dots \cup U_{i-1})$ for $i \geq 2$. Then G_i 's are locally compact and cover X^n . Let $s = s_1 \cup s_2|_{G_2} \cup s_3|_{G_3} \dots \cup s_k|_{G_k}$. Then $s : X^n \rightarrow PX$ is a section of e'_n which is continuous on each G_i . Thus $r \leq k = TC_n(X)$.

Conversely, suppose that $G_1 \cup G_2 \cup \dots \cup G_r = X^n$ where $\{G_i\}$ are locally compact subsets. Assume that $s : X^n \rightarrow PX$ is a section (which is not necessarily continuous) of the fibration e'_n such that $s|_{G_i} = s_i$ are continuous. These s_i 's are in one-to-one correspondence with homotopies $h_t^i : G_i \rightarrow X$, $t \in [0, 1]$ such that $h_0^i, h_{\frac{1}{n-1}}^i, h_{\frac{2}{n-1}}^i, \dots, h_1^i : G_i \rightarrow X$ are the n projections $X^n \rightarrow X$ restricted to G_i . Using the same argument as in [2, Proposition 4.12(c)], we can extend the section s_i on an open subset containing G_i . Hence $TC_n(X) \leq r$. \square

3. HIGHER SIMPLICIAL COMPLEXITY

A simplicial approach to topological complexity was introduced by Gonzalez's ([4]). He introduced the notion of simplicial complexity $SC(K)$ for simplicial complex K . This was based on contiguity class of simplicial maps. It is proved in ([4]) that simplicial complexity $SC(K)$ is equal to the topological complexity $TC(|K|)$ of geometric realization of K , for a finite simplicial complex K . In this section we introduce higher analog of simplicial complexity $SC_n(K)$ and prove that for a finite simplicial complex K , $SC_n(K) = TC_n(|K|)$.

We begin by recalling the definition and some basic facts about contiguity of simplicial maps. For a positive integer c , two simplicial maps $\phi, \phi' : K \rightarrow L$ are called

c -contiguous if there is a sequence of simplicial maps $\phi = \phi_0, \phi_1, \phi_2 \cdots, \phi_c = \phi' : K \rightarrow L$, such that $\phi_{i-1}(\sigma) \cup \phi_i(\sigma)$ is a simplex of L for each simplex σ of K and $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, c\}$. We write $\phi \sim \phi'$ if ϕ and ϕ' are c -contiguous for some positive integer c . This defines an equivalence relation on the set of simplicial maps $K \rightarrow L$ and the equivalence classes are called *contiguity classes*. Simplicial maps in the same contiguity class have homotopic topological realization.

We denote barycentric subdivision of K by $\text{sd}(K)$ and $\text{sd}^{k+1}(K) = \text{sd}(\text{sd}^k(K))$. We choose a *simplicial approximation* of the identity on $|K| \times |K|$,

$$\iota_{\text{sd}^{k-1}(K \times K)} : \text{sd}^k(K \times K) \rightarrow \text{sd}^{k-1}(K \times K), \quad k \geq 1.$$

The iterated compositions are denoted by

$$\iota_{K \times K}^k : \text{sd}^k(K \times K) \rightarrow K \times K, \quad k \geq 0.$$

Let $\pi_j : \text{sd}^k(K \times K) \rightarrow K$ denote the composition of $\iota_{K \times K}^k$ and j^{th} projection for $j = 1, 2$.

Let K be a finite simplicial complex. In [4] Gonzalez defined $SC^k(K)$ to be the smallest nonnegative integer r such that there exist subcomplexes $\{L_i\}_{i=1}^r$ covering $\text{sd}^k(K \times K)$ and the restrictions $\pi_1, \pi_2 : L_i \rightarrow K$ lie in the same contiguity class for each i . Then $SC^0 \geq SC^1 \geq SC^2 \geq \dots \geq 1$. The *simplicial complexity* $SC(K)$ is defined as the minimum of the $SC^k(K)$ i.e.,

$$SC(K) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} SC^k(K) = \min_{k \geq 0} \{SC^k(K)\}.$$

Now we introduce *higher simplicial complexity* $SC_n(K)$ of simplicial complex K . As the previous case we choose a simplicial approximation $\iota_{\text{sd}^{k-1}(K^n)} : \text{sd}^k(K^n) \rightarrow \text{sd}^{k-1}(K^n)$ of the identity on $|K|^n$ for $k \geq 0$. We denote iterated compositions by $\iota_{K^n}^k : \text{sd}^k(K^n) \rightarrow K^n$ and $\pi_j : \text{sd}^k(K^n) \rightarrow K$ denote the composition of $\iota_{K^n}^k$ and j^{th} projection $K^n \rightarrow K$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

3.1. Definition. Let K be a simplicial complex. We define $SC_n^k(K)$ as the smallest nonnegative integer r such that there exist subcomplexes $\{L_i\}_{i=1}^r$ covering $\text{sd}^k(K^n)$ and the restrictions $\pi_j : L_i \rightarrow K$, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ lie in the same contiguity class, for each i . If no such r exists then we define $SC_n^k(K)$ to be ∞ .

It is to be noted that the value SC_n^k is independent of the chosen approximation $\iota_{K^n}^k : \text{sd}^k(K^n) \rightarrow K^n$ of identity on $|K|^n$. As in the simplicial complexity of Gonzales, we have $SC_n^0 \geq SC_n^1 \geq SC_n^2 \geq \dots \geq 1$ for any simplicial complex K . Using the Proposition (2.2) we deduce the following:

3.2. Lemma. For a simplicial complex K , we have the following inequalities:

- (i) $SC_n^k(K) \geq TC_n(|K|)$ for any $n \geq 2$.
- (ii) $SC_n^k(K) \geq SC_n^{k+1}(K)$ for all $n \geq 2, k \geq 0$.

Proof. (i) If we first apply the geometric realization functor of simplicial complexes, then $|\pi_j|$ are homotopic, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then use Lemma 2.1 to get sections $s_i : |L_i| \rightarrow |K|$ over locally compact subsets $|L_i|$. If we set $G_1 = |L_1|$ and $G_i = |L_i| - (|L_1| \cup |L_2| \cup \dots \cup |L_{i-1}|)$ for $i \geq 2$, then each G_i is also locally compact. Define $s : |K|^n \rightarrow |K|$, is the union of s_i restricted over G_i and lastly we apply Proposition 2.2 to conclude $SC_n^k(K) \geq TC_n(|K|)$ for any $n \geq 2$.

(ii) Let J be a subcomplex of $\text{sd}^k(K^n)$ on which $\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_n$ are in same contiguity class. Assume that $\lambda_J : \text{sd}(J) \rightarrow J$ is an approximation of identity on J . Obviously $\text{sd}(J)$ is a subcomplex of $\text{sd}^{k+1}(K^n)$. We will show that $\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_n$ are in same contiguity class on $\text{sd}(J)$. The two compositions of the diagram are contiguous.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} J & \hookrightarrow & \text{sd}^k(K^n) \\ \lambda \uparrow & & \uparrow \iota \\ \text{sd}(J) & \hookrightarrow & \text{sd}^{k+1} K^n \end{array}$$

So, $\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_n$ are in same contiguity class on $\text{sd}(J)$. □

Since $\{SC_n^k(X)\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is a decreasing sequence of integers, we can make the following definition.

3.3. Definition. For a finite simplicial complex K , the n -th simplicial complexity $SC_n(K)$ is defined as the minimum of the $SC_n^k(K)$:

$$SC_n(K) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} SC_n^k(K) = \min_{k \geq 0} \{SC_n^k(K)\}.$$

We now prove the main Theorem of this section. The proof is analogous to [4, Theorem 3.5]. The following result is required in the proof ([8, Chapter 3]).

3.4. Proposition. Let $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n : |K| \rightarrow |L|$ be continuous maps, which are in same homotopic class, then there is $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for each $k \geq k_0$ and any approximation $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n : \text{sd}^k(K) \rightarrow L$ of f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n respectively, are belongs to same contiguity class.

3.5. Theorem. For a finite simplicial complex K , $SC_n(K) = TC_n(|K|)$ for any $n \geq 2$.

Proof. From the Lemma (3.2) one can say that $SC_n(K) \geq TC_n(|K|)$. We now prove the other inequality. Assume that $TC_n(|K|) = r$. We choose a motion planner $\{(U_1, s_1), (U_2, s_2), \dots, (U_r, s_r)\}$ for $|K|$. Using the finiteness assumption on K , we choose a large positive integer k so that the realization of each simplex of $\text{sd}^k(K^n)$ is contained in some U_i ($0 \leq i \leq r$). For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ let L_i be the subcomplex of $\text{sd}^k(K^n)$ consisting of those simplices whose realization are contained in U_i . Then L_1, L_2, \dots, L_r covers K^n . Now the projections $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n : |K|^n \rightarrow |K|$ belong to same homotopy class over each U_i and, in particular, over the realization of the corresponding subcomplex L_i . Therefore by Proposition (3.4) there is a positive integer k' such that, for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ the compositions $\text{sd}^{k'}(L_i) \hookrightarrow \text{sd}^{k+k'}(K^n) \rightarrow K$ are belongs to same contiguity class. Hence $SC_n(K) \leq TC_n(|K|)$, and thus $SC_n(K) = TC_n(|K|)$. □

4. HIGHER COMBINATORIAL COMPLEXITY

In this section we introduce the higher analogue of combinatorial complexity of a finite space, as defined by Tanaka in [10]. We refer reader to [9] for finite spaces. A finite space P is a finite T_0 space. For any $x \in P$ we denote U_x be the intersection of all open set containing x . Now define a partial relation on P by $x \leq y$ if and only if $U_x \subseteq U_y$. So we can consider P as a poset. On the other hand, given a finite poset,

we have a T_0 finite set with $U_x = \{y : y \leq x\}$. Thus a finite space is equivalent to a finite poset. From now onwards we assume all our finite spaces are connected.

A map between finite spaces is continuous if and only if it preserves the partial order. Let J_m denote the finite space consisting $m + 1$ points with the zigzag order

$$0 \leq 1 \geq 2 \leq \cdots \geq (\leq)m.$$

This finite space is called the *finite fence* with length m . It behaves like an interval in the category of finite spaces. An order preserving map $\gamma : J_m \rightarrow P$ is called a combinatorial path or simply a path. Thus a combinatorial path is just a zigzag $\gamma(0) \leq \gamma(1) \geq \gamma(2) \leq \cdots \geq (\leq)\gamma(m)$ of elements of P . A connected finite space is always path connected. If γ_1 and γ_2 be two combinatorial paths in P of length m_1 and m_2 respectively, satisfying $\gamma_1(m_1) = \gamma_2(0)$. Then the concatenation of γ_1 and γ_2 is a path $\gamma_1 * \gamma_2 : J_m \rightarrow P$ where $m = m_1 + m_2$ or $m_1 + m_2 + 1$ according to m_1 is even or odd. It is define as:

$$\gamma_1 * \gamma_2(i) = \begin{cases} \gamma_1(i) & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq m_1 \\ \gamma_2(i - m_1) & \text{if } m_1 \leq i \leq m_1 + m_2. \end{cases} \quad (m_1 \text{ -even})$$

$$\gamma_1 * \gamma_2(i) = \begin{cases} \gamma_1(i) & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq m_1 \\ \gamma_1(i - 1) & \text{if } i = m_1 + 1 \\ \gamma_2(i - m_1 - 1) & \text{if } m_1 + 1 \leq i \leq m_1 + m_2 + 1. \end{cases} \quad (m_1 \text{ -odd})$$

Two maps $f, g : P \rightarrow Q$ between two finite spaces are called homotopic if there exist $m \geq 0$ and a continuous map (or an order preserving map) $H : P \times J_m \rightarrow Q$ such that $H(x, 0) = f(x)$ and $H(x, m) = g(x)$. The finite space of all combinatorial paths of P with length m , equipped with the pointwise order, is denoted by P^{J_m} . As an analog of path fibration, it is equipped with the canonical order preserving map

$$q_m : P^{J_m} \rightarrow P \times P \text{ defined by } q_m(\gamma) = (\gamma(0), \gamma(m)), \quad m \geq 0$$

In ([10]) Tanaka defined $CC_m(P)$ to be the smallest nonnegative integer r such that there exist an open cover of $P \times P$ consisting r open sets with a section of q_m on each open set. He proved that $CC_m(P)$ is decreasing sequence on m and defined $CC(P)$ be the limit of $CC_m(P)$. Also he proved that $CC(P) = TC(P)$. In this section we will generalise the above idea.

Let $n \geq 2$ and $J_{n,m}$ be the finite set of $nm + 1$ points

$$\{0, 1_1, 1_2, \cdots, 1_n, 2_1, 2_2, \cdots, 2_n, \cdots, m_1, m_2, \cdots, m_n\}.$$

The partial ordering on $J_{n,m}$ consists of n finite fences each length m as below:

$$0 \leq 1_1 \geq 2_1 \leq \cdots \geq (\leq)m_1,$$

$$0 \leq 1_2 \geq 2_2 \leq \cdots \geq (\leq)m_2,$$

...

$$0 \leq 1_n \geq 2_n \leq \cdots \geq (\leq)m_n.$$

Consider the space $P^{J_{n,m}}$ is the space of all order preserving map $J_{n,m} \rightarrow P$. We have a canonical projection

$$q_{n,m} : P^{J_{n,m}} \rightarrow P^n, \quad q_{n,m}(\gamma) = (\gamma(m_1), \gamma(m_2), \cdots, \gamma(m_n)).$$

We define $\mathcal{CC}_{n,m}(P)$ as the smallest positive integer r such that P^n can be cover by r open sets $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^r$ with section $s_i : Q_i \rightarrow P^{J_{n,m}}$ of $q_{n,m}$ for each i . If no such r exist then we set $\mathcal{CC}_{n,m}(P) = \infty$. The following lemma shows that $\mathcal{CC}_{n,m}$ decreases as we increase m .

4.1. Lemma. *For any $m \geq 0, n \geq 2$, it holds that $\mathcal{CC}_{n,m+1}(P) \leq \mathcal{CC}_{n,m}(P)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{CC}_{n,m}(P) = r$ and $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^r$ be an open cover of P^n with section $s_i : Q_i \rightarrow P^{J_{n,m}}$. Consider the retraction map $R : J_{n,m+1} \rightarrow J_{n,m}$ sending each $(m+1)_i$ to m_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$. This is clearly an order preserving map. It will induce a map $R^* : P^{J_{n,m}} \rightarrow P^{J_{n,m+1}}, \gamma \rightarrow \gamma \circ R$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P^{J_{n,m}} & \xrightarrow{R^*} & P^{J_{n,m+1}} \\ & \searrow q_{n,m} & \swarrow q_{n,m+1} \\ & & P^n \end{array}$$

The composition $R^* \circ s_i : Q_i \rightarrow P^{n,m+1}$ is a section of $q_{n,m+1}$ for each i . Thus, $\mathcal{CC}_{n,m+1}(P) \leq r$. \square

4.2. Definition. For a finite space P we define $\mathcal{CC}_n(P)$ is the minimum of the $\mathcal{CC}_{n,m}(P)$

$$\mathcal{CC}_n(P) = \min_{m \geq 1} \{\mathcal{CC}_{n,m}(P)\} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{CC}_{n,m}(P).$$

We now give an alternative description of $\mathcal{CC}_n(P)$. Later we will use both the description interchangeably. Consider the space $P^{J^{(n-1)m}}$ and the projection map

$$q'_{n,m} : P^{J^{(n-1)m}} \rightarrow P^n, q'_{n,m}(\alpha) = (\alpha(0), \alpha(m), \dots, \alpha((n-1)m)).$$

Denote by $\mathcal{CC}'_{n,m}(P)$ the smallest positive integer r' such that P^n can be cover by r' open sets $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^{r'}$ with a section $s_i : Q_i \rightarrow P^{J^{(n-1)m}}$ of $q'_{n,m}$ for each i . We have a analogue of Lemma 4.1.

4.3. Lemma. *For any $m \geq 0, n \geq 2$, it holds that $\mathcal{CC}'_{n,m+1}(P) \leq \mathcal{CC}'_{n,m}(P)$.*

Proof. Let $q'_{n,m}$ has a section on an open set Q_i of P^n . We define a order preserving map

$$R : J_{(n-1)(m+1)} \rightarrow J_{(n-1)m}$$

which maps $i(m+1) - 1, i(m+1)$ and $i(m+1) + 1$ to im , if i is odd otherwise it is linear. This will induce a map $R^* : P^{J^{(n-1)m}} \rightarrow P^{J^{(n-1)(m+1)}}$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P^{J^{(n-1)m}} & \xrightarrow{R^*} & P^{J^{(n-1)(m+1)}} \\ & \searrow q'_{n,m} & \swarrow q'_{n,m+1} \\ & & P^n \end{array}$$

The composition $R^* \circ s_i : Q_i \rightarrow P^{(n-1)(m+1)}$ is a section of $q'_{n,m+1}$ for each i . Thus, $\mathcal{CC}'_{n,m+1}(P) \leq \mathcal{CC}'_{n,m}(P)$. \square

4.4. Definition. We define $\mathcal{CC}'_n(P)$ is the minimum of the $\mathcal{CC}'_{n,m}(P)$

$$\mathcal{CC}'_n(P) = \min_{m \geq 1} \{\mathcal{CC}'_{n,m}(P)\} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{CC}'_{n,m}(P).$$

We now prove that the two Definitions 4.2 and 4.4 are equivalent.

4.5. Theorem. For any finite space P , $\mathcal{CC}'_n(P) = \mathcal{CC}_n(P)$.

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{CC}_n(P) = r$. Take an open cover $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^r$ of P^n with order preserving section $s_i : Q_i \rightarrow P^{J_{n,m}}$ of $q_{n,m}$, for some m and each i . Since $\mathcal{CC}_{n,m}$ is decreasing with respect to m by Lemma 4.1, we can assume that m is even. Define an order preserving map $f : J_{(n-1)2m} \rightarrow J_{n,m}$ by sending each element of $J_{(n-1)2m}$ to the corresponding element of the following path of $J_{n,m}$:

$$m_1 \leq m_1 - 1 \geq \cdots \leq 1_1 \geq 0 \leq 1_2 \geq \cdots \leq m_2 - 1 \geq m_2 \leq m_2 - 1 \geq \cdots \leq 1_2 \geq 0 \leq 1_3$$

$$\geq \cdots \leq m_3 - 1 \geq m_3 \leq m_3 - 1 \geq \cdots \leq 1_3 \geq 0 \leq \cdots \geq 0 \leq 1_n \geq \cdots \leq m_n - 1 \geq m_n.$$

This map induces $f^* : P^{J_{n,m}} \rightarrow P^{J_{(n-1)2m}}$ such that the following triangle commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P^{J_{n,m}} & \xrightarrow{f^*} & P^{J_{(n-1)2m}} \\ & \searrow q_{n,m} & \swarrow q'_{n,2m} \\ & P^n & \end{array}$$

So the composition map $f^* \circ s_i : Q_i \rightarrow P^{J_{(n-1)2m}}$ is a section of $q'_{n,2m}$ on Q_i for each i . Thus $\mathcal{CC}'_{n,2m}(P) \leq r$ i.e. $\mathcal{CC}'_n(P) \leq r = \mathcal{CC}_n(P)$.

Conversely, assume that $\mathcal{CC}'_n(P) = r'$. Then there are sections $s_i : Q_i \rightarrow P^{J_{(n-1)m}}$ of $q'_{n,m}$ on open subsets Q_i of P^n coving it, for some m , $1 \leq i \leq r'$. We can take m as a multiple of four, by Lemma 4.3. Let $k = \frac{(n-1)m}{2}$ so that k is even. Define an order preserving retraction map $g : J_{n,k} \rightarrow J_{(n-1)m}$ by sending $0 \leq 1_j \geq 2_j \leq \cdots \geq k_j$ to $(1 \leq j \leq n)$:

$$k \leq k - 1 \geq k - 2 \leq \cdots \geq (j - 1)m \leq (j - 1)m \geq (j - 1)m \leq \cdots \geq (j - 1)m, \text{ if } j \leq \frac{n}{2}$$

$$k \leq k + 1 \geq k + 2 \leq \cdots \geq (j - 1)m \leq (j - 1)m \geq (j - 1)m \leq \cdots \geq (j - 1)m \text{ if } j > \frac{n}{2}.$$

As in previous case the map g map induces $g^* : P^{J_{(n-1)m}} \rightarrow P^{J_{n,k}}$ such that the following triangle commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P^{J_{(n-1)m}} & \xrightarrow{g^*} & P^{J_{n,k}} \\ & \searrow q'_{n,m} & \swarrow q_{n,k} \\ & P^n & \end{array}$$

So the composition map $g^* \circ s_i : Q_i \rightarrow P^{J_{n,k}}$ is a section of $q_{n,k}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq r'$. Thus $\mathcal{CC}_{n,k}(P) \leq r'$ i.e. $\mathcal{CC}_n(P) \leq \mathcal{CC}'_n(P)$. Hence $\mathcal{CC}'_n(P) = \mathcal{CC}_n(P)$. \square

To abuse the notation we will only use $\mathcal{CC}_n(P)$ for both the descriptions. Similar to the topological setting, we have an upper bound of $\mathcal{CC}_n(P)$ in terms of L - S category of P^n .

4.6. Lemma. *It holds that $\mathcal{CC}_n(P) \leq \text{cat}(P^n)$ for all $n \geq 2$.*

Proof. Let $\text{cat}(P^n) = r$. Then there exists contractible open cover $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^r$ of P^n . For a fixed i , let Q_i be contractible to $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in P^n$. Since P is connected there exists a positive integer k and a map

$$\gamma : J_{n,k} \rightarrow P \text{ such that } q_{n,k}(\gamma) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n).$$

Now let $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in Q_i$ be an arbitrary element. Choose a contracting homotopy of Q_i in P^n , $H : Q_i \times J_l \rightarrow P^n$ such that $H(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n, 0) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $H(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n, l) = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$. Applying exponential law we get projection maps $H_j : Q_i \rightarrow P^{J_l}$ such that $H_j(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)(l) = p_j$ and $H_j(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)(0) = x_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Assume $\gamma_j : J_k \rightarrow P$ be j -th component of γ which is obtained by composing γ with n -different inclusions of J_k inside $J_{n,k}$. Set $\lambda_j = \gamma_j * H_j$, concatenation of H_j and γ_j . Let $\lambda : J_{n,k+l} \rightarrow P$ or $\lambda : J_{n,k+l+1} \rightarrow P$ according to l even or odd, is the map whose components are λ_i 's. Define $s_i(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) = \lambda$, whose projection nothing but (p_i, p_2, \dots, p_n) . Set $m_i = k + l$ or $k + l + 1$ according to l even or odd and $m = \max\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r\}$. Then $\mathcal{CC}_{n,m}(P) \leq r$ and therefore $\mathcal{CC}_n(P) \leq \text{cat}(P^n)$ for all $n \geq 2$. \square

Now we will prove the equality between $\mathcal{CC}_n(P)$ and $TC_n(P)$. For this first we recall order complex $\mathcal{K}(P)$ of a finite space P .

4.7. Definition. The *order complex* $\mathcal{K}(P)$ of a finite space P is a simplicial complex whose n -simplices are linearly ordered subsets of P . Its geometric realization is denoted by $|\mathcal{K}(P)|$.

4.8. Example. Let \mathbb{S}^m denote the finite space consisting of $2m + 2$ points

$$\{e_+^0, e_-^0, \dots, e_+^m, e_-^m\},$$

with the partial order defined by $e_p^k \leq e_q^l$ if $k \leq l$ and $p, q \in \{+, -\}$. The realization of the order complex $|\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{S}^m)|$ is homeomorphic to the sphere S^m with dimension m .

4.9. Theorem. *For any finite space P , it holds that $\mathcal{CC}_n(P) = TC_n(P)$, $n \geq 2$.*

Proof. Let us first show that $TC_n(P) \geq \mathcal{CC}_n(P)$. Assume that $TC_n(P) = r$ with an open cover $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^r$ of P^n and a continuous section $Q_i \rightarrow P^I$ of e for each i . This induces a map $f_1 : I \rightarrow P^{Q_i}$ by the exponential law. Hence we obtain a order preserving map $f_2 : J_s \rightarrow P^{Q_i}$ for some $s \geq 0$ by the homotopy theory of finite spaces. Now we can construct a order preserving map $\phi : J_{(n-1)m} \rightarrow J_s$ for some $m \geq 0$, such a way that $f_1(\frac{k}{n-1}) = f_2 \circ \phi(km)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. So we have a combinatorial section $Q_i \rightarrow P^{J_{(n-1)m}}$ of $q'_{n,m}$. Hence $\mathcal{CC}_n(P) \leq r$.

For the other inequality, assume $\mathcal{CC}_n(P) = r$ with open cover $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^r$ of P^n and a continuous section $s_i : Q_i \rightarrow P^{J_{(n-1)m}}$ for some $m \geq 0$ of $q'_{n,m}$, for all i . Let $\alpha : [0, (n-1)m] \simeq |\mathcal{K}(J_{(n-1)m})| \rightarrow J_{(n-1)m}$ be denote the continuous map (see [6]):

$$\alpha(t) = \begin{cases} 2k-1 & \text{if } t = 2k-1 \\ 2k & \text{if } 2k-1 < t < 2k+1. \end{cases}$$

Note that, $\alpha(jm) = jm, j = 0, 1, \dots, (n-1)$. Let $\beta : I \rightarrow J_{(n-1)m}$ denote the composition of α and the homeomorphism $I = [0, 1] \simeq [0, (n-1)m]$, given by $t \rightarrow (n-1)mt$. This induces $\beta^* : P^{J_{(n-1)m}} \rightarrow P^I$ such that the diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P^{J_{(n-1)m}} & \xrightarrow{\beta^*} & P^I \\ & \searrow q'_{n,m} & \swarrow e_n \\ & P^n & \end{array}$$

The composition $\beta^* \circ s_i : Q_i \rightarrow P^I$ is a continuous section for the fibration e_n . So $TC_n(P) \leq r$. Thus $\mathcal{CC}_n(P) = TC_n(P), n \geq 2$. \square

- 4.10. Corollary.** (a) For any finite space P we have $\mathcal{CC}_n(P) \leq \mathcal{CC}_{n+1}(P)$, $n \geq 2$.
(b) A finite space P is contractible if and only if $\mathcal{CC}_n(P) = 1$ for any $n \geq 2$.
(c) The \mathcal{CC}_n is homotopy invariant, i.e. $\mathcal{CC}_n(P) = \mathcal{CC}_n(Q)$ if two spaces P and Q are homotopy equivalent.
(d) For any finite space P , the following inequalities hold:

$$\text{cat}(P) \leq \mathcal{CC}_n(P) \leq \text{cat}(P^n) \leq \text{cat}(P)^n.$$

Proof. This follows from above Theorem 4.9 and the corresponding inequalities about $TC_n(P)$. \square

4.11. Example. Let \mathbb{S}^m denote the finite space consisting as in Example 4.8. Then

$$\mathcal{CC}_n(\mathbb{S}^m) = \text{cat}((\mathbb{S}^m)^n) = \text{cat}(\mathbb{S}^m)^n = 2^n \text{ for any } m \geq 1 \text{ and } n \geq 2.$$

Proof. We know that $\text{cat}(\mathbb{S}^m) = 2$ (see [10, Example 3.7]) for any $m \geq 1$. So by Corollary 4.10, $\mathcal{CC}_n(\mathbb{S}^m) \leq \text{cat}(\mathbb{S}^m)^n = 2^n$. If $\mathcal{CC}_n(\mathbb{S}^m) < 2^n$, then there is an open set of $(\mathbb{S}^m)^n$ containing at least two distinguished maximal points of $(\mathbb{S}^m)^n$. This yields a contractible open set in \mathbb{S}^m containing e_+^m and e_-^m , that will be nothing but the entire space \mathbb{S}^m , which is not contractible. The contradiction implies that $\mathcal{CC}_n(\mathbb{S}^m) = 2^n$. Thus, $\mathcal{CC}_n(\mathbb{S}^m) = \text{cat}((\mathbb{S}^m)^n) = \text{cat}(\mathbb{S}^m)^n = 2^n$ for any $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 2$. \square

5. HIGHER COMBINATORIAL COMPLEXITY WITH BARYCENTRIC SUBDIVISION

The combinatorial complexity $\mathcal{CC}_n^k(P)$ does not capture the topological complexity of the naturally associated simplicial complex $\mathcal{K}(P)$. From the example of the \mathbb{S}^m we see that $\mathcal{CC}_n^k(\mathbb{S}^m)$ is much higher than $TC_n(\mathbb{S}^m)$. To remedy the situation, we refine the definition of $\mathcal{CC}_n^k(P)$ using barycentric subdivision of P . We first recall barycentric subdivision of a finite space P . Then we define higher combinatorial complexity with barycentric subdivision and show it is equal to the topological complexity of $|\mathcal{K}(P)|$.

5.1. Definition. The *barycentric subdivision* $\text{sd}(P)$ of P is defined as the face poset $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{K}(P))$ of the order complex $\mathcal{K}(P)$ (4.7).

Let $\tau_P : \text{sd}(P) \rightarrow P$ be the map sending $p_0 \leq p_1 \leq \dots \leq p_n$ to the last element p_n . This is a weak homotopy equivalence, and the induced simplicial map $\mathcal{K}(\tau_P) : \mathcal{K}(\text{sd}(P)) = \text{sd}(\mathcal{K}(P)) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(P)$ is a simplicial approximation of the identity on $|\mathcal{K}(P)|$ (see [5]). For $k \geq 0$, we denote by $\tau_P^k : \text{sd}^k(P) \rightarrow P$ the composition

$$\text{sd}^k(P) \xrightarrow{\tau_{\text{sd}^{k-1}(P)}} \text{sd}^{k-1}(P) \xrightarrow{\tau_{\text{sd}^{k-2}(P)}} \dots \xrightarrow{\tau_{\text{sd}(P)}} \text{sd}(P) \xrightarrow{\tau_P} P.$$

5.2. Definition. Let $k \geq 0$. We define $\mathcal{CC}_n^k(P)$ as the smallest nonnegative integer r such that there exist an open cover $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^r$ of $\text{sd}^k(P^n)$ and an positive integer m , with a map $s_i : Q_i \rightarrow P^{J_{n,m}}$ such that $q_{n,m} \circ s_i = \tau_{P^n}^k$ on Q_i for each i . If no such r exists, then we define $\mathcal{CC}_n^k(P)$ to be ∞ .

If we define $\mathcal{CC}'_n^k(P)$ as taking $P^{J_{(n-1)m}}$ instead of $P^{J_{n,m}}$ and $q'_{n,m}$ of $q_{n,m}$ then we get same positive integer. Obviously, $\mathcal{CC}_n(P) = \mathcal{CC}_n^0(P)$ by the definition above.

5.3. Lemma. *For any finite space P and $n \geq 2$, we have:*

- (a) $\mathcal{CC}'_n^k(P) = \mathcal{CC}_n^k(P)$.
- (b) $\mathcal{CC}_n^{k+1}(P) \leq \mathcal{CC}_n^k$.

Proof. (a) This proof is similar as the proof of the Theorem (4.5).

(b) The result for $n = 2$ was proved in [10, Lemma 4.3]. In similar way we can prove this result. \square

5.4. Definition. We define the n -th combinatorial complexity $\mathcal{CC}_n^\infty(P)$ of P to be

$$\mathcal{CC}_n^\infty(P) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{CC}_n^k(P) = \min_{k \geq 0} \{\mathcal{CC}_n^k(P)\}.$$

Now we relate $\mathcal{CC}_n^\infty(P)$ to the n -th topological complexity $TC_n(|\mathcal{K}(P)|)$ of geometric realization of the order complex of P . For this we need the following lemma. For $k \geq 0$, let $\rho_j : \text{sd}^k(P^n) \rightarrow P$ denote the composition of $\tau_{P^n}^k : \text{sd}^k(P^n) \rightarrow P^n$ and the j -th projection for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

5.5. Lemma. *With notations as above, $\mathcal{CC}_n^\infty(P) \leq r$ if and only if there exist $k \geq 0$ and an open cover $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^r$ of $\text{sd}^k(P^n)$ such that $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_n : Q_i \rightarrow P$ are in same homotopy class of maps.*

Proof. Let us assume that $\mathcal{CC}_n^\infty(P) \leq r$. Then for some $k \geq 0$ there exist an open cover $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^r$ of $\text{sd}^k(P^n)$ and a map $s_i : Q_i \rightarrow P^{J_{(n-1)m}}$ such that $q'_{n,m} \circ s_i = \tau_{P^n}^k$ on Q_i for each i .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q_i & \xrightarrow{s_i} & P^{J_{(n-1)m}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow q'_{n,m} \\ \text{sd}^k(P^n) & \xrightarrow{\tau_{P^n}^k} & P^n \end{array}$$

We define a homotopy $H : Q_i \times J_{(n-1)m} \rightarrow P$ by

$$H(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n, x) = s_i(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)(x),$$

where $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in Q_i$, $x \in J_{(n-1)m}$. Then

$$H(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n, (j-1)m) = s_i(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)((j-1)m) = \rho_j(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n),$$

for $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. This shows that the maps $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_n : Q_i \rightarrow P$ are in same homotopy class of maps.

Conversely, assume that $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^r$ is an open cover of $\text{sd}^k(P^n)$ such that $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_n : Q_i \rightarrow P$ are in same homotopy class of maps for each i . Then there exist homotopies $H_j : Q_i \times J_m \rightarrow P$ for some m and $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, such that H_j is a homotopy between ρ_j and ρ_{j+1} . Define $s_i : Q_i \rightarrow P^{J_{(n-1)m}}$ by

$$s_i(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)(x) = [H_1 * H_2 * \dots * H_{n-1}](p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n, x),$$

where $*$ denotes concatenation and $x \in J_{(n-1)m}$. So we have

$$q'_{n,m} \circ s_i(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)(j) = \rho_j(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n).$$

Thus $q'_{n,m} \circ s_i(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) = \tau_{P^n}^k(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. This gives a section over each Q_i for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Hence $\mathcal{CC}_n^\infty(P) \leq r$. \square

We now prove the main result of the section, generalising [10, Theorem 4.9]

5.6. Theorem. *For any finite space P , we have $\mathcal{CC}_n^\infty(P) = SC_n(\mathcal{K}(P))$, $n \geq 2$.*

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{CC}_n^\infty(P) = r$. By the Lemma (5.5) there exists $k \geq 0$ and an open cover $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^r$ of $\text{sd}^k(P^n)$ such that $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_n : Q_i \rightarrow P$ are in same homotopy class of maps. Using [1, Proposition 4.11] we can say that the maps

$$\mathcal{K}(\rho_1), \mathcal{K}(\rho_2), \dots, \mathcal{K}(\rho_n) : \mathcal{K}(Q_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(P)$$

lie in same contiguity class. The subcomplex $\mathcal{K}(Q_i)$ form a cover of $\mathcal{K}(\text{sd}^k(P^n)) = \text{sd}^k(\mathcal{K}(P^n))$ and $\mathcal{K}(\rho_j) = \mathcal{K}(pr_j \circ \tau_{P^n}^k) = \pi_j$, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. So, $SC_n^k(\mathcal{K}(P)) \leq r$ and then $SC_n(\mathcal{K}(P)) \leq r$.

Conversely, assume that $SC_n(\mathcal{K}(P)) = r$. Then $SC_n^k(\mathcal{K}(P)) = r$ for some $k \geq 0$. Let $\{L_i\}_{i=1}^r$ be a covering of $\text{sd}^k(\mathcal{K}(P^n))$ and the restriction $\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_n : L_i \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(P)$ lie in same contiguity class for each i . The [1, Proposition 4.12] implies that $\mathcal{X}(\pi_1), \mathcal{X}(\pi_2), \dots, \mathcal{X}(\pi_n) : \mathcal{X}(L_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{K}(P)) = \text{sd}(P)$ are in same homotopy class of maps. The subsets $\mathcal{X}(L_i)$ form an open cover of $\mathcal{X}(\text{sd}^k(\mathcal{K}(P^n))) = \text{sd}^{k+1}(P^n)$. The naturality of τ makes the following diagram commute :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{sd}^{k+1}(P^n) & \xrightarrow{\text{sd}(\tau_{P^n}^k)} & \text{sd}(P^n) & \xrightarrow{\text{sd}(pr_j)} & \text{sd}(P) \\ \downarrow \tau_{\text{sd}^k(P^n)} & & \downarrow \tau_{P^n} & & \downarrow \tau_P \\ \text{sd}^k(P^n) & \xrightarrow{\tau_{P^n}^k} & P^n & \xrightarrow{pr_j} & P \end{array}$$

Also we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_P \circ \mathcal{X}(\pi_j) &= \tau_P \circ \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{K}(pr_j \circ \tau_{P^n}^k)) = \tau_P \circ \text{sd}(pr_j \circ \tau_{P^n}^k) = \tau_P \circ \text{sd}(pr_j) \circ \text{sd}(\tau_{P^n}^k) \\ &= pr_j \circ \tau_{P^n}^{k+1} = \rho_j \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

So, $\mathcal{CC}_n^{k+1}(P) \leq r$ and then $\mathcal{CC}_n^\infty(P) \leq r$. Thus $\mathcal{CC}_n^\infty(P) = SC_n(\mathcal{K}(P))$, $n \geq 2$. \square

Combining Theorem 5.6 and Theorem 3.5 we have the following corollary.

5.7. Corollary. *For any finite space P , we have $\mathcal{CC}_n^\infty(P) = TC_n(|\mathcal{K}(P)|)$, $n \geq 2$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Barmak & E.G. Minian “Strong homotopy types, nerves and collapses,” (English summary) *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **47** (2012), no. 2, pp. 301328.
- [2] M. Farber *Invitation to topological robotics. Zurich Lectures in Advanced Mathematics.* European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zrich, 2008. x+133 pp.
- [3] M. Farber “Topological complexity of motion planning,” *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **29** (2003), no. 2, pp. 211221.

- [4] J. Gonzalez “Simplicial complexity: piecewise linear motion planning in robotics,” *New York J. Math.* **24** (2018), pp. 279292.
- [5] K.A. Hardie & J.J.C Vermeulen “Homotopy theory of finite and locally finite T_0 spaces.” *Exposition. Math.* **11** (1993), pp. 4, 331341.
- [6] M. C. McCord “Singular homology groups and homotopy groups of finite topological spaces. ” *Duke Math. J.* **33** 1966 pp. 465474.
- [7] Y.B. Rudyak “ On higher analogs of topological complexity,” *Topology Appl.* **157** (2010), no. 5, pp. 916920.
- [8] E. H. Spanier, *Algebraic topology* McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York-Toronto, Ont.-London, 1966, xiv+528 pp,
- [9] R.E. Stong, “Finite topological spaces.” *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **123** 1966 pp. 325340.
- [10] K. Tanaka “A combinatorial description of topological complexity for finite spaces.” (English summary) *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **18** (2018), no. 2, pp. 779- 796.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, KANPUR, UTTAR PRADESH 208016, INDIA
E-mail address: kamitp@iitk.ac.in