Observation of the Semileptonic D^+ Decay into the $\bar{K}_1(1270)^0$ Axial-Vector Meson

M. Ablikim¹, M. N. Achasov^{10,d}, P. Adlarson⁵⁹, S. Ahmed¹⁵, M. Albrecht⁴, M. Alekseev^{58A,58C}, A. Amoroso^{58A,58C}, F. F. An¹, Q. An^{55,43}, Y. Bai⁴², O. Bakina²⁷, R. Baldini Ferroli^{23A}, I. Balossino^{24A}, Y. Ban³⁵, K. Begzsuren²⁵, J. V. Bennett⁵, N. Berger²⁶, M. Bertani^{23A}, D. Bettoni^{24A}, F. Bianchi^{58A,58C}, J Biernat⁵⁹, J. Bloms⁵², I. Boyko²⁷, R. A. Briere⁵, H. Cai⁶⁰, X. Cai^{1,43}, A. Calcaterra^{23A}, G. F. Cao^{1,47}, N. Cao^{1,47}, S. A. Cetin^{46B}, J. Chai^{58C}, J. F. Chang^{1,43}, W. L. Chang^{1,47}, G. Chelkov^{27,b,c}, D. Y. Chen⁶, G. Chen¹, H. S. Chen^{1,47}, J. C. Chen¹, M. L. Chen^{1,43}, S. J. Chen³³, Y. B. Chen^{1,43}, W. Cheng^{58C}, G. Cibinetto^{24A}, F. Cossio^{58C}, X. F. Cui³⁴, H. L. Dai^{1,43}, J. P. Dai^{38,h}, X. C. Dai^{1,47}, A. Dbeyssi¹⁵, D. Dedovich²⁷, Z. Y. Deng¹, A. Denig²⁶, I. Denysenko²⁷, M. Destefanis^{58A,58C}, F. De Mori^{58A,58C}, Y. Ding³¹, C. Dong³⁴, J. Dong^{1,43}, L. Y. Dong^{1,47}, M. Y. Dong^{1,43,47}, Z. L. Dou³³, S. X. Du⁶³, J. Z. Fan⁴⁵, J. Fang^{1,43}, S. S. Fang^{1,47}, Y. Fang¹, R. Farinelli^{24A,24B}, L. Fava^{58B,58C} F. Feldbauer⁴, G. Felici^{23A}, C. Q. Feng^{55,43}, M. Fritsch⁴, C. D. Fu¹, Y. Fu¹, Q. Gao¹, X. L. Gao^{55,43}, Y. Gao⁴⁵ Y. Gao⁵⁶, Y. G. Gao⁶, Z. Gao^{55,43}, B. Garillon²⁶, I. Garzia^{24A}, E. M. Gersabeck⁵⁰, A. Gilman⁵¹, K. Goetzen¹¹, L. Gong³⁴, W. X. Gong^{1,43}, W. Gradl²⁶, M. Greco^{58A,58C}, L. M. Gu³³, M. H. Gu^{1,43}, S. Gu², Y. T. Gu¹³, A. Q. Guo²², L. B. Guo³², R. P. Guo³⁶, Y. P. Guo²⁶, A. Guskov²⁷, S. Han⁶⁰, X. Q. Hao¹⁶, F. A. Harris⁴⁸, K. L. He^{1,47}, F. H. Heinsius⁴, T. Held⁴, Y. K. Heng^{1,43,47}, M. Himmelreich^{11,g}, Y. R. Hou⁴⁷, Z. L. Hou¹, H. M. Hu^{1,47}, J. F. Hu^{38,h}, T. Hu^{1,43,47}, Y. Hu¹, G. S. Huang^{55,43}, J. S. Huang¹⁶, X. T. Huang³⁷, X. Z. Huang³³ N. Huesken⁵², T. Hussain⁵⁷, W. Ikegami Andersson⁵⁹, W. Imoehl²², M. Irshad^{55,43}, Q. Ji¹, Q. P. Ji¹⁶, X. B. Ji^{1,47}, X. L. Ji^{1,43}, H. L. Jiang³⁷, X. S. Jiang^{1,43,47}, X. Y. Jiang³⁴, J. B. Jiao³⁷, Z. Jiao¹⁸, D. P. Jin^{1,43,47}, S. Jin³³. Y. Jin⁴⁹, T. Johansson⁵⁹, N. Kalantar-Nayestanaki²⁹, X. S. Kang³¹, R. Kappert²⁹, M. Kavatsyuk²⁹, B. C. Ke¹, I. K. Keshk⁴, A. Khoukaz⁵², P. Kiese²⁶, R. Kiuchi¹, R. Kliemt¹¹, L. Koch²⁸, O. B. Kolcu^{46B,f}, B. Kopf⁴, M. Kuemmel⁴, M. Kuessner⁴, A. Kupsc⁵⁹, M. Kurth¹, M. G. Kurth^{1,47}, W. Kühn²⁸, J. S. Lange²⁸, P. Larin¹⁵, L. Lavezzi^{58C}, H. Leithoff²⁶, T. Lenz²⁶, C. Li⁵⁹, Cheng Li^{55,43}, D. M. Li⁶³, F. Li^{1,43}, F. Y. Li³⁵, G. Li¹, H. B. Li^{1,47}, H. J. Li^{9,j}, J. C. Li¹, J. W. Li⁴¹, Ke Li¹, L. K. Li¹, Lei Li³, P. L. Li^{55,43}, P. R. Li³⁰, Q. Y. Li³⁷, W. D. Li^{1,47}, W. G. Li¹, X. H. Li^{55,43}, X. L. Li³⁷, X. N. Li^{1,43}, Z. B. Li⁴⁴, Z. Y. Li⁴⁴, H. Liang^{55,43}, H. Liang^{1,47}, Y. F. Liang⁴⁰, Y. T. Liang²⁸, G. R. Liao¹², L. Z. Liao^{1,47}, J. Libby²¹, C. X. Lin⁴⁴, D. X. Lin¹⁵, Y. J. Lin¹³, B. Liu^{38,h}, B. J. Liu¹, C. X. Liu¹, D. Liu^{55,43}, D. Y. Liu^{38,h}, F. H. Liu³⁹, Fang Liu¹, Feng Liu⁶, H. B. Liu¹³, H. M. Liu^{1,47}, Huanhuan Liu¹, Huihui Liu¹⁷, J. B. Liu^{55,43}, J. Y. Liu^{1,47}, K. Y. Liu³¹, Ke Liu⁶, L. Y. Liu¹³, Q. Liu⁴⁷ S. B. Liu^{55,43}, T. Liu^{1,47}, X. Liu³⁰, X. Y. Liu^{1,47}, Y. B. Liu³⁴, Z. A. Liu^{1,43,47}, Zhiqing Liu³⁷, Y. F. Long³⁵, X. C. Lou^{1,43,47}, H. J. Lu¹⁸, J. D. Lu^{1,47}, J. G. Lu^{1,43}, Y. Lu¹, Y. P. Lu^{1,43}, C. L. Luo³², M. X. Luo⁶², P. W. Luo⁴⁴, T. Luo^{9,j}, X. L. Luo^{1,43}, S. Lusso^{58C}, X. R. Lyu⁴⁷, F. C. Ma³¹, H. L. Ma¹, L. L. Ma³⁷, M. M. Ma^{1,47}, Q. M. Ma¹, X. N. Ma^{34} , X. X. $Ma^{1,47}$, X. Y. $Ma^{1,43}$, Y. M. Ma^{37} , F. E. $Maas^{15}$, M. Maggiora^{58A,58C}, S. Maldaner²⁶, S. Malde⁵³, Q. A. Malik⁵⁷, A. Mangoni^{23B}, Y. J. Mao³⁵, Z. P. Mao¹, S. Marcello^{58A,58C}, Z. X. Meng⁴⁹, J. G. Messchendorp²⁹, G. Mezzadri^{24A}, J. Min^{1,43}, T. J. Min³³, R. E. Mitchell²², X. H. Mo^{1,43,47}, Y. J. Mo⁶, C. Morales Morales¹⁵, N. Yu. Muchnoi^{10,d}, H. Muramatsu⁵¹, A. Mustafa⁴, S. Nakhoul^{11,g}, Y. Nefedov²⁷, F. Nerling^{11,g}, I. B. Nikolaev^{10,d} Z. Ning^{1,43}, S. Nisar^{8,k}, S. L. Niu^{1,43}, S. L. Olsen⁴⁷, Q. Ouyang^{1,43,47}, S. Pacetti^{23B}, Y. Pan^{55,43}, M. Papenbrock⁵⁹, P. Patteri^{23A}, M. Pelizaeus⁴, H. P. Peng^{55,43}, K. Peters^{11,g}, J. Pettersson⁵⁹, J. L. Ping³², R. G. Ping^{1,47}, A. Pitka⁴ R. Poling⁵¹, V. Prasad^{55,43}, H. R. Qi², M. Qi³³, T. Y. Qi², S. Qian^{1,43}, C. F. Qiao⁴⁷, N. Qin⁶⁰, X. P. Qin¹³, X. S. Qin⁴, Z. H. Qin^{1,43}, J. F. Qiu¹, S. Q. Qu³⁴, K. H. Rashid^{57,i}, K. Ravindran²¹, C. F. Redmer²⁶, M. Richter⁴, A. Rivetti^{58C}, V. Rodin²⁹, M. Rolo^{58C}, G. Rong^{1,47}, Ch. Rosner¹⁵, M. Rump⁵², A. Sarantsev^{27,e}, M. Savrié^{24B}, Y. Schelhaas²⁶, K. Schoenning⁵⁹, W. Shan¹⁹, X. Y. Shan^{55,43}, M. Shao^{55,43}, C. P. Shen², P. X. Shen³⁴,
 X. Y. Shen^{1,47}, H. Y. Sheng¹, X. Shi^{1,43}, X. D Shi^{55,43}, J. J. Song³⁷, Q. Q. Song^{55,43}, X. Y. Song¹, S. Sosio^{58A,58C},
 C. Sowa⁴, S. Spataro^{58A,58C}, F. F. Sui³⁷, G. X. Sun¹, J. F. Sun¹⁶, L. Sun⁶⁰, S. S. Sun^{1,47}, X. H. Sun¹, Y. J. Sun^{55,43}, Y. K Sun^{55,43}, Y. Z. Sun¹, Z. J. Sun^{1,43}, Z. T. Sun¹, Y. T Tan^{55,43}, C. J. Tang⁴⁰, G. Y. Tang¹, X. Tang¹, V. Thoren⁵⁹, B. Tsednee²⁵, I. Uman^{46D}, B. Wang¹, B. L. Wang⁴⁷, C. W. Wang³³, D. Y. Wang³⁵, K. Wang^{1,43}, L. L. Wang¹, L. S. Wang¹, M. Wang³⁷, M. Z. Wang³⁵, Meng Wang^{1,47}, P. L. Wang¹, R. M. Wang⁶¹ W. P. Wang^{55,43}, X. Wang³⁵, X. F. Wang¹, X. L. Wang^{9,j}, Y. Wang^{55,43}, Y. Wang⁴⁴, Y. F. Wang^{1,43,47}, Z. Wang^{1,43}, Z. G. Wang^{1,43}, Z. Y. Wang¹, Zongyuan Wang^{1,47}, T. Weber⁴, D. H. Wei¹², P. Weidenkaff²⁶, H. W. Wen³², S. P. Wen¹, U. Wiedner⁴, G. Wilkinson⁵³, M. Wolke⁵⁹, L. H. Wu¹, L. J. Wu^{1,47}, Z. Wu^{1,43}, L. Xia^{55,43}, Y. Xia²⁰ S. Y. Xiao¹, Y. J. Xiao^{1,47}, Z. J. Xiao³², Y. G. Xie^{1,43}, Y. H. Xie⁶, T. Y. Xing^{1,47}, X. A. Xiong^{1,47}, Q. L. Xiu^{1,43}, G. F. Xu¹, J. J. Xu³³, L. Xu¹, Q. J. Xu¹⁴, W. Xu^{1,47}, X. P. Xu⁴¹, F. Yan⁵⁶, L. Yan^{58A,58C}, W. B. Yan^{55,43}, W. C. Yan², Y. H. Yan²⁰, H. J. Yang^{38,h}, H. X. Yang¹, L. Yang⁶⁰, R. X. Yang^{55,43}, S. L. Yang^{1,47}, Y. H. Yang³³, Y. X. Yang¹², Yifan Yang^{1,47}, Z. Q. Yang²⁰, M. Ye^{1,43}, M. H. Ye⁷, J. H. Yin¹, Z. Y. You⁴⁴, B. X. Yu^{1,43,47}, C. X. Yu³⁴, J. S. Yu²⁰, T. Yu⁵⁶, C. Z. Yuan^{1,47}, X. Q. Yuan³⁵, Y. Yuan¹, A. Yuncu^{46B,a}, A. A. Zafar⁵⁷.

Y. Zeng²⁰, B. X. Zhang¹, B. Y. Zhang^{1,43}, C. C. Zhang¹, D. H. Zhang¹, H. H. Zhang⁴⁴, H. Y. Zhang^{1,43},

J. Zhang^{1,47}, J. L. Zhang⁶¹, J. Q. Zhang⁴, J. W. Zhang^{1,43,47}, J. Y. Zhang¹, J. Z. Zhang^{1,47}, K. Zhang^{1,47},

L. Zhang⁴⁵, S. F. Zhang³³, T. J. Zhang^{38,h}, X. Y. Zhang³⁷, Y. Zhang^{55,43}, Y. H. Zhang^{1,43}, Y. T. Zhang^{55,43},

Yang Zhang¹, Yao Zhang¹, Yi Zhang^{9,j}, Yu Zhang⁴⁷, Z. H. Zhang⁶, Z. P. Zhang⁵⁵, Z. Y. Zhang⁶⁰, G. Zhao¹,

J. W. Zhao^{1,43}, J. Y. Zhao^{1,47}, J. Z. Zhao^{1,43}, Lei Zhao^{55,43}, Ling Zhao¹, M. G. Zhao³⁴, Q. Zhao¹, S. J. Zhao⁶³,

T. C. Zhao¹, Y. B. Zhao^{1,43}, Z. G. Zhao^{55,43}, A. Zhemchugov^{27,b}, B. Zheng⁵⁶, J. P. Zheng^{1,43}, Y. Zheng³⁵,

Y. H. Zheng⁴⁷, B. Zhong³², L. Zhou^{1,43}, L. P. Zhou^{1,47}, Q. Zhou^{1,47}, X. Zhou⁶⁰, X. K. Zhou⁴⁷, X. R. Zhou^{55,43},

Xiaoyu Zhou²⁰, Xu Zhou²⁰, A. N. Zhu^{1,47}, J. Zhu³⁴, J. Zhu⁴⁴, K. Zhu¹, K. J. Zhu^{1,43,47}, S. H. Zhu⁵⁴,

W. J. Zhu³⁴, X. L. Zhu⁴⁵, Y. C. Zhu^{55,43}, Y. S. Zhu^{1,47}, Z. A. Zhu^{1,47}, J. Zhuang^{1,43}, B. S. Zou¹, J. H. Zou¹

(BESIII Collaboration)

¹ Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing 100049, People's Republic of China

² Beihang University, Beijing 100191, People's Republic of China

³ Beijing Institute of Petrochemical Technology, Beijing 102617, People's Republic of China

⁴ Bochum Ruhr-University, D-44780 Bochum, Germany

⁵ Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213, USA

⁶ Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, People's Republic of China

⁷ China Center of Advanced Science and Technology, Beijing 100190, People's Republic of China

⁸ COMSATS University Islamabad, Lahore Campus, Defence Road, Off Raiwind Road, 54000 Lahore, Pakistan

⁹ Fudan University, Shanghai 200443, People's Republic of China

¹⁰ G.I. Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics SB RAS (BINP), Novosibirsk 630090, Russia

¹¹ GSI Helmholtzcentre for Heavy Ion Research GmbH, D-64291 Darmstadt, Germany

¹² Guangxi Normal University, Guilin 541004, People's Republic of China

¹³ Guangxi University, Nanning 530004, People's Republic of China

¹⁴ Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou 310036, People's Republic of China

¹⁵ Helmholtz Institute Mainz, Johann-Joachim-Becher-Weg 45, D-55099 Mainz, Germany

¹⁶ Henan Normal University, Xinxiang 453007, People's Republic of China

¹⁷ Henan University of Science and Technology, Luoyang 471003, People's Republic of China

¹⁸ Huangshan College, Huangshan 245000, People's Republic of China

¹⁹ Hunan Normal University, Changsha 410081, People's Republic of China

²⁰ Hunan University, Changsha 410082, People's Republic of China

²¹ Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai 600036, India

²² Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47405, USA

²³ (A)INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, I-00044, Frascati,

Italy; (B)INFN and University of Perugia, I-06100, Perugia, Italy

²⁴ (A)INFN Sezione di Ferrara, I-44122, Ferrara, Italy; (B)University of Ferrara, I-44122, Ferrara, Italy

²⁵ Institute of Physics and Technology, Peace Ave. 54B, Ulaanbaatar 13330, Mongolia

²⁶ Johannes Gutenberg University of Mainz, Johann-Joachim-Becher-Weg 45, D-55099 Mainz, Germany

²⁷ Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Moscow region, Russia

²⁸ Justus-Liebiq-Universitaet Giessen, II. Physikalisches Institut, Heinrich-Buff-Ring 16, D-35392 Giessen, Germany

²⁹ KVI-CART, University of Groningen, NL-9747 AA Groningen, The Netherlands

³⁰ Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, People's Republic of China

³¹ Liaoning University, Shenyang 110036, People's Republic of China

³² Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210023, People's Republic of China

³³ Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China

³⁴ Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, People's Republic of China

³⁵ Peking University, Beijing 100871, People's Republic of China

³⁶ Shandong Normal University, Jinan 250014, People's Republic of China

³⁷ Shandong University, Jinan 250100, People's Republic of China

³⁸ Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, People's Republic of China

³⁹ Shanxi University, Taiyuan 030006, People's Republic of China

⁴⁰ Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, People's Republic of China

⁴¹ Soochow University, Suzhou 215006, People's Republic of China

⁴² Southeast University, Nanjing 211100, People's Republic of China

Beijing 100049, Hefei 230026, People's Republic of China

⁴⁴ Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 510275, People's Republic of China

⁴⁵ Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, People's Republic of China

⁴⁶ (A)Ankara University, 06100 Tandogan, Ankara, Turkey; (B)Istanbul Bilgi

University, 34060 Eyup, Istanbul, Turkey; (C)Uludag University, 16059 Bursa,

Turkey; (D)Near East University, Nicosia, North Cyprus, Mersin 10, Turkey

⁴⁷ University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, People's Republic of China

⁴⁸ University of Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii 96822, USA

 49 University of Jinan, Jinan 250022, People's Republic of China

⁵⁰ University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PL, United Kingdom

⁵¹ University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, USA

⁵² University of Muenster, Wilhelm-Klemm-Str. 9, 48149 Muenster, Germany
 ⁵³ University of Oxford, Keble Rd, Oxford, UK OX13RH

⁵⁴ University of Science and Technology Liaoning, Anshan 114051, People's Republic of China

⁵⁵ University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, People's Republic of China

⁵⁶ University of South China, Hengyang 421001, People's Republic of China
 ⁵⁷ University of the Punjab, Lahore-54590, Pakistan

⁵⁸ (A)University of Turin, I-10125, Turin, Italy; (B)University of Eastern

Piedmont, I-15121, Alessandria, Italy; (C)INFN, I-10125, Turin, Italy

⁵⁹ Uppsala University, Box 516, SE-75120 Uppsala, Sweden

⁶⁰ Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, People's Republic of China

⁶¹ Xinyang Normal University, Xinyang 464000, People's Republic of China

⁶² Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, People's Republic of China

⁶³ Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450001, People's Republic of China

^a Also at Bogazici University, 34342 Istanbul, Turkey

^b Also at the Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Moscow 141700, Russia

^c Also at the Functional Electronics Laboratory, Tomsk State University, Tomsk, 634050, Russia

^d Also at the Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia

^e Also at the NRC "Kurchatov Institute", PNPI, 188300, Gatchina, Russia

^f Also at Istanbul Arel University, 34295 Istanbul, Turkey

^g Also at Goethe University Frankfurt, 60323 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

^h Also at Key Laboratory for Particle Physics, Astrophysics and Cosmology, Ministry

of Education; Shanghai Key Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology; Institute

of Nuclear and Particle Physics, Shanghai 200240, People's Republic of China

ⁱ Also at Government College Women University, Sialkot - 51310. Punjab, Pakistan.

^j Also at Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Ion-beam Application (MOE) and Institute

of Modern Physics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200443, People's Republic of China

^k Also at Harvard University, Department of Physics, Cambridge, MA, 02138, USA

By analyzing a 2.93 fb⁻¹ data sample of e^+e^- collisions, recorded at a center-of-mass energy of 3.773 GeV with the BESIII detector operated at the BEPCII collider, we report the first observation of the semileptonic D^+ transition into the axial-vector meson $D^+ \to \bar{K}_1(1270)^0 e^+ \nu_e$ with a statistical significance greater than 10σ . Its decay branching fraction is determined to be $\mathcal{B}[D^+ \to \bar{K}_1(1270)^0 e^+ \nu_e] = (2.30 \pm 0.26^{+0.18}_{-0.21} \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-3}$, where the first and second uncertainties are statistical and systematic, respectively, and the third originates from the input branching fraction of $\bar{K}_1(1270)^0 \to K^-\pi^+\pi^0$.

PACS numbers: 13.20.Fc, 14.40.Lb

Studies of semileptonic (SL) D transitions, mediated via $c \to s(d)\ell^+\nu_\ell$ at the quark level, are important for the understanding of nonperturbative strong-interaction dynamics in weak decays [1, 2]. Those transitions into Swave states have been extensively studied in theory and experiment. However, there is still no experimental confirmation of the predicted transitions into P-wave states.

^{*} Corresponding author: liuke@ihep.ac.cn

In the quark model, the physical mass eigenstates of the strange axial-vector mesons, $K_1(1270)$ and $K_1(1400)$, are mixtures of the ¹P₁ and ³P₁ states with a mixing angle θ_{K_1} . These mesons have been thoroughly studied via τ , B, D, $\psi(3686)$ and J/ψ decays, as well as via Kp scattering [3–12]. Nevertheless, the value of θ_{K_1} is still very controversial in various phenomenological analyses [13– 20]. Studies of the SL D transitions into $\bar{K}_1(1270)$ provide important insight into the mixing angle θ_{K_1} . The improved knowledge of θ_{K_1} is essential for theoretical calculations describing the decays of τ [13], B [15, 21], and D [22, 23] particles into strange axial-vector mesons, and for investigations in the field of hadron spectroscopy [24].

Earlier quantitative predictions for the branching frac-



tained from a two-dimensional (2-D) unbinned extended maximum-likelihood fit of the data presented by the distribution in Fig. 2(a). In the fit, the 2-D signal shape is described by the MC-simulated shape extracted from the signal MC events of $D^+ \to \bar{K}_1(1270)^0 e^+ \nu_e$. The 2-D background shape is modeled by the MC-simulated shape obtained from the inclusive MC samples and the number of background events is a free parameter in the fit. The smooth 2-D probability density functions of signal and background are modeled by the corresponding MC-simulated shape [43, 44]. The projections of the 2-D fit on the $M_{K^-\pi^+\pi^0}$ and U_{miss} distributions are shown in Figs. 2(b) and 2(c). In the fit, we ignore the contributions from non-resonant decays $D^+ \rightarrow$ $K^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{0}e^{+}\nu_{e}, \ \bar{K}^{*}(892)^{0}\pi^{0}e^{+}\nu_{e}, \ K^{*}(892)^{-}\pi^{+}e^{+}\nu_{e}$ and $K^{-}\rho(770)^{+}e^{+}\nu_{e}$, as well as the possible interference between them due to the low significance of these contributions with the limited size of the data set. The two decays $D^+ \to \bar{K}_1(1400)^0 e^+ \nu_e$ and $D^+ \to \bar{K}^*(1430)^0 e^+ \nu_e$ are indistinguishable, and as no significant contribution is found from either source, these components are not included in the fit. From the fit, we obtain the DT yield of $N_{\rm DT} = 119.7 \pm 13.3$, where the uncertainty is statistical. The statistical significance of the signal is estimated to be greater than 10σ , by comparing the likelihoods with and without the signal components included, and taking the change in the number of degrees of freedom into account.

For each tag mode, the DT efficiency is estimated with the corresponding signal MC events. The average signal efficiency is determined to be $\varepsilon_{\rm SL} = 0.0742 \pm 0.0007$. Compared to $\epsilon_{\rm SL}$, the signal efficiencies for individual tag modes vary within $\pm 10\%$. The reliability of the MC simulation is tested by examining typical distributions of the SL candidate events. The data distributions of momenta and $\cos\theta$ of K^- , π^+ , π^0 and e^+ are consistent with those of MC simulations.

By inserting $N_{\rm DT}$, $\varepsilon_{\rm SL}$, and $N_{\rm ST}^{\rm tot}$ into Eq. (1), we determine the product of $\mathcal{B}_{\rm SL}$ and the BF of $\bar{K}_1(1270)^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^0$ ($\mathcal{B}_{\rm sub}$) to be

$$\mathcal{B}_{\rm SL} \cdot \mathcal{B}_{\rm sub} = (1.06 \pm 0.12^{+0.08}_{-0.10}) \times 10^{-3},$$

where the first and second uncertainties are statistical and systematic, respectively.

The systematic uncertainties in the BF measurement, which are assigned relative to the measured BF, are discussed below. The DT method ensures that most uncertainties arising from the ST selection cancel. The uncertainty from the ST yield is assigned to be 0.5% [39–41], by examining the relative change in the yield between data and MC simulation after varying the $M_{\rm BC}$ fit range, the signal shape, and the endpoint of the ARGUS function.

The uncertainties associated with the efficiencies of e^+ tracking (PID), K^- tracking (PID), π^+ tracking (PID) and π^0 reconstruction are investigated using data and MC samples of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma e^+e^-$ events and DT $D\bar{D}$ hadronic events. Small differences between the data and MC efficiencies are found, which are $-(0.03 \pm 0.15)\%$, $+(0.94 \pm 0.27)\%$, $+(2.63 \pm 0.32)\%$, $-(0.14 \pm 0.18)\%$, +(0.03 ± 0.13)%, -(0.08 ± 0.18)% for e^+ tracking, e^+ PID, K^- tracking, K^- PID, π^+ tracking and π^+ PID, respectively. The MC efficiency is then corrected by these differences and used to determine the central value of the BF. In the studies of e^+ tracking (PID) efficiencies, the 2-D (momentum and $\cos\theta$) tracking efficiencies of data and MC simulation of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma e^+e^-$ events are re-weighted to match those of $D^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}_1(1270)^0 e^+\nu_e$ decays. After corrections, we assign the uncertainties associated with the e^+ tracking (PID), K^- tracking (PID), π^+ tracking (PID) and π^0 reconstruction to be 1.0% (1.0%), 1.0% (0.5%), 0.5% (0.5%) and 2.0%, respectively.

The uncertainty associated with the $M_{K^-\pi^+\pi^0e^+}$ requirement is estimated by varying the requirement by $\pm 0.05 \text{ GeV}/c^2$, and the largest change on the BF, 0.9%, is taken as the systematic uncertainty. Similarly, the systematic uncertainty in the $U'_{\rm miss}$ requirement is estimated to be 1.7% by varying the corresponding selection window by ± 0.01 GeV. The uncertainty of the input BFs of $\overline{K}_1(1270)^0$ is estimated by changing the BF of each subdecay by $\pm 1\sigma$. The largest variation in the detection efficiency, 0.5%, is assigned as the related systematic uncertainty. The uncertainty of the 2-D fit is estimated to be $^{+7.0\%}_{-8.2\%}$ by examining the BF changes with different fit ranges, signal shapes (dominated by varying the width of $\bar{K}_1(1270)^0$ by $\pm 1\sigma$) and background shapes. The uncertainty arising from background shapes is mainly due to unknown non-resonant decays, and is assigned as the change of the fitted DT yield when they are fixed by referring to the well known non-resonant fraction in $D^+ \to \bar{K}^*(892)^0 e^+ \nu_e$ [45]. The uncertainty arising from the limited size of the MC samples is 1.0%.

The uncertainty due to FSR recovery is evaluated to be 1.3% which is the change of the BF when varying the FSR recovery angle to be 10°. The total systematic uncertainty is estimated to be $^{+8.0\%}_{-9.0\%}$ by adding all the individual contributions in quadrature.

When making use of the world average of $\mathcal{B}_{sub} = 0.467 \pm 0.050$ [35, 46], we obtain

$$\mathcal{B}_{\rm SL} = (2.30 \pm 0.26^{+0.18}_{-0.21} \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-3},$$

where the third uncertainty, 10.7%, is from the external uncertainty of the input BF \mathcal{B}_{sub} .

To summarize, by analyzing an e^+e^- collision data sample of 2.93 fb⁻¹ taken at $\sqrt{s} = 3.773$ GeV, we report the observation of $D^+ \to \bar{K}_1(1270)^0 e^+\nu_e$ and determine its decay BF for the first time. The measured BF is 1.4% of the total semileptonic D^+ decay width, which lies between the ISGW prediction of 1% and the ISGW2 prediction of 2%. Our BF of $D^+ \to \bar{K}_1(1270)^0 e^+\nu_e$ agrees with the CLFQM and LCSR predictions when $\theta_{K_1} \approx 33^\circ$ or 57° [26], and clearly rules out the predictions when setting θ_{K_1} negative [27]. Making use of the measured value for the BF of $D^0 \to K_1(1270)^-e^+\nu_e$ [28] and the world-average lifetimes of the D^0 and D^+ mesons [35], we determine the partial decay width ratio $\Gamma[D^+ \to \bar{K}_1(1270)^0 e^+\nu_e] = 1.2^{+0.7}_{-0.5}$,



Fig. 2. (a) The $M_{K^-\pi^+\pi^0}$ vs. U_{miss} distribution of the SL candidate events and (b, c) the projections to $M_{K^-\pi^+\pi^0}$ and U_{miss} , respectively, with the residual χ distributions of the 2-D fit. Dots with error bars are data. Blue solid, red and black dashed curves are the fit result, the fitted signal and the fitted background, respectively.

which is consistent with unity as predicted by isospin conservation. This demonstration of the capability to observe $\bar{K}_1(1270)$ mesons in the very clean environment of SL $D^{0(+)}$ decays opens up the opportunity to conduct further studies of the nature of these axial-vector mesons. A near-future follow-up analysis of the dynamics of these SL decays with higher statistics will allow for deeper explorations of the inner structure, production, mass and width of $\bar{K}_1(1270)$ and $\bar{K}_1(1400)$, as well as providing access to hadronic-transition form factors.

The BESIII collaboration thanks the staff of BEPCII and the IHEP computing center for their strong support. Authors thank helpful discussions from Xianwei Kang and Haiyang Cheng. This work is supported in part by National Key Basic Research Program of China under Contract No. 2015CB856700; National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Contract No. 11835012; National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Contracts No. 11775230, No. 11625523, No. 11635010, No. 11735014; the Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS) Large-Scale Scientific Facility Program; Joint Large-Scale Scientific Facility Funds of the NSFC and CAS under Contracts Nos. U1532257, U1532258, U1732263, U1832107, U1832207; CAS Key Research Program of Frontier Sciences under Contracts Nos. QYZDJ-SSW-SLH003, QYZDJ-SSW-SLH040; 100 Talents Program of CAS; INPAC and Shanghai Key Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology; German Research Foundation DFG under Contract No. Collaborative Research Center CRC 1044, FOR 2359: Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Italy; Koninklijke Nederlandse Akademie van Wetenschappen (KNAW) under Contract No. 530-4CDP03; Ministry of Development of Turkey under Contract No. DPT2006K-120470; National Science and Technology fund; The Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation (Sweden) under Contract No. 2016.0157; The Royal Society, UK under Contract No. DH160214; The Swedish Research Council; U. S. Department of Energy under Contracts Nos. DE-FG02-05ER41374, DE-SC-0010118, DE-SC-0012069; University of Groningen (RuG) and the Helmholtzzentrum fuer Schwerionenforschung GmbH (GSI), Darmstadt.

- N. Isgur, D. Scora, B. Grinstein, and M. B. Wise, Phys. Rev. D 39, 799 (1989).
- [2] D. Scora and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. D 52, 2783 (1995).
- [3] R. Barate *et al.* (ALEPH Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. C **11**, 599 (1999).
- [4] G. Abbiendi *et al.* (OPAL Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. C 13, 197 (2000).
- [5] D. M. Asner *et al.* (CLEO Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 62, 072006 (2000).
- [6] K. Abe *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 161601 (2001).
- [7] H. Yang *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 111802 (2005).
- [8] J. M. Link *et al.* (FOCUS Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B 610, 225 (2005).

- [9] J. Z. Bai *et al.* (BES Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 1918 (1999).
- [10] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BES Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 72, 092002 (2005).
- [11] C. Daum *et al.* (ACCMOR Collaboration), Nucl. Phys. B 187, 1 (1981).
- [12] D. Aston et al., Nucl. Phys. B 292, 693 (1987).
- [13] M. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. D 47, 1252 (1993).
- [14] F. Divotgey, L. Olbrich and F. Giacosa, Eur. Phys. J. A 49, 135 (2013).
- [15] H. Hatanaka and K. C. Yang, Phys. Rev. D 77, 094023 (2008); Phys. Rev. D 78, 059902(E) (2008).
- [16] H. Y. Cheng, Phys. Lett. B **707**, 116 (2012).
- [17] H. G. Blundell, S. Godfrey and B. Phelps, Phys. Rev. D 53, 3712 (1996).

- [18] A. Tayduganov, E. Kou and A. Le Yaouanc, Phys. Rev. D 85, 074011 (2012).
- [19] H. J. Lipkin, Phys. Lett. B 72, 249 (1977).
- [20] L. Burakovsky and T. Goldman, Phys. Rev. D 56, R1368 (1997).
- [21] H. Y. Cheng and C. K. Chua, Phys. Rev. D 69, 094007 (2004); Phys. Rev. D 81, 059901(E) (2010).
- [22] H. Y. Cheng and C. W. Chiang, Phys. Rev. D 81, 074031 (2010).
- [23] H. Y. Cheng, Phys. Rev. D 67, 094007 (2003).
- [24] L. Burakovsky and T. Goldman, Phys. Rev. D 57, 2879 (1998).
- [25] R. Khosravi, K. Azizi, and N. Ghahramany, Phys. Rev. D 79, 036004 (2009).
- [26] H. Y. Cheng and X. W. Kang, Eur. Phys. J. C 77, 587 (2017); Eur. Phys. J. C 77, 863(E) (2017), and private communication.
- [27] S. Momeni and R. Khosravi, J. Phys. G 46, 105006 (2019).
- [28] M. Artuso *et al.* (CLEO Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 191801 (2007).
- [29] Throughout the Letter, charged conjugated modes are implied unless stated explicitly.
- [30] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Chin. Phys. C 37, 123001 (2013); Phys. Lett. B 753, 629 (2016).
- [31] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A **614**, 345 (2010).
- [32] S. Agostinelli *et al.* (GEANT4 Collaboration), Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 506, 250 (2003).
- [33] S. Jadach, B. F. L. Ward, and Z. Was, Phys. Rev. D

63, 113009 (2001); Comput. Phys. Commun. **130**, 260 (2000).

- [34] D. J. Lange, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 462, 152 (2001);
 R. G. Ping, Chin. Phys. C 32, 599 (2008).
- [35] M. Tanabashi *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Phys. Rev. D 98, 030001 (2018) and 2019 update.
- [36] J. C. Chen, G. S. Huang, X. R. Qi, D. H. Zhang, and Y. S. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D 62, 034003 (2000); R. L. Yang, R. G. Ping, and H. Chen, Chin. Phys. Lett. 31, 061301 (2014).
- [37] E. Richter-Was, Phys. Lett. B 303, 163 (1993).
- [38] D. Becirevic and A. B. Kaidalov, Phys. Lett. B 478, 417 (2000).
- [39] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, 369 (2016).
- [40] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Chin. Phys. C 40, 113001 (2016).
- [41] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 171803 (2018).
- [42] H. Albrecht *et al.* (ARGUS Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **241**, 278 (1990).
- [43] W. Verkerke and D. Kirkby, eConf No.C0303241 (2003)MOLT007[arXiv:physics/0306116].
- [44] https://root.cern.ch/doc/master/classRooNDKeysPdf.html.
- [45] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 94, 032001 (2016).
- [46] $\mathcal{B}_{K_1 \to K^- \pi^+ \pi^0} = \frac{2}{3} \times \mathcal{B}_{K_1 \to K\rho} + \frac{4}{9} \times \mathcal{B}_{K_1 \to K^* (892)\pi} + \frac{4}{9} \times 0.93 \times \mathcal{B}_{K_1 \to K^*_0 (1430)\pi}$, where K_1 denotes $\bar{K}_1 (1270)^0$.