

SPEX: High-Resolution Spectral Modeling and Fitting for X-ray Astronomy

Jelle de Plaa,¹ Jelle S. Kaastra,^{1,2} Liyi Gu,³ Junjie Mao,⁴ and Ton Raassen¹

¹*SRON Netherlands Institute for Space Research, Utrecht, The Netherlands;
j.de.plaa@sron.nl*

²*Leiden University, Leiden, The Netherlands*

³*RIKEN High Energy Astrophysics Laboratory, Wako, Saitama, Japan*

⁴*University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, UK*

Abstract. We present the SPEX software package for modeling and fitting X-ray spectra. Our group has developed spectral models, atomic data and code for X-ray applications since the 1970's. Since the 1990's these are further developed in the public SPEX package. In the last decades, X-ray spectroscopy has been revolutionized by the high-resolution grating spectrometers aboard XMM-Newton and Chandra. Currently, new high-resolution detectors aboard the Hitomi mission, and future missions, like XRISM and Athena, will provide another major step forward in spectral resolution. This poses challenges for us to increase the atomic database substantially, while keeping model calculation times short. In this paper, we summarize our efforts to prepare the SPEX package for the next generation of X-ray observatories.

1. Introduction

Plasmas, gases and dust in the Universe can show distinct spectral features in the X-ray band. With the advance of space-based X-ray observatories that started in the 1970's, there has been an increasing demand for spectral models that can be used to fit the observed spectra. What started with a code to model the solar X-ray spectrum (Mewe 1972) grew over a few decades into a multi-purpose spectral fitting code called SPEX¹ (Kaastra et al. 1996). The package contains models for, for example, optically thin plasmas in collisional ionization equilibrium, plasmas in photo-ionization equilibrium, and charge-exchange emission. These models are all using the same atomic database, which is built-up in parallel with the software package.

SPEX has a similar purpose as the other well known X-ray spectral fitting package called Xspec (Arnaud 1996). The main difference is that Xspec acts as a spectral fitting platform with community provided models, while SPEX favors a more self-consistent approach. Most of the models that require atomic data in SPEX use the same atomic database and the same routines calculating the physical processes.

¹<https://www.sron.nl/astrophysics-spex>

The intention is that the SPEX calculations are fast enough to run on an average desktop computer, while retaining the physical information of the model. The aim of the code is to fit high-resolution X-ray spectra obtained by, for example, the Reflection Grating Spectrometer (RGS) aboard XMM-Newton (den Herder et al. 2001) or the grating spectrometers aboard Chandra (Weisskopf et al. 2000). With the introduction of high-resolution microcalorimeter spectrometers on Hitomi (Takahashi et al. 2016), XRISM² and ATHENA³, there will be much higher requirements on the accuracy of the spectral models.

2. Development Challenges

The increasing spectral resolution of observed X-ray spectra poses a number of challenges. Not only do we need to increase the size of the atomic database, also the accuracy of the calculations needs to be improved. In addition, the new microcalorimeter instruments have much larger response matrices. These matrices are used to convolve the model spectrum with the instrument characteristics to make a direct comparison with observed spectra possible. Finally, there is an increasing demand to make the code better scriptable using languages like Python. At the same time, the code should remain light enough to run smoothly on a typical workstation.

2.1. Dealing with More Atomic Data

To illustrate the progress of the atomic database, we compare the number of lines used in the original SPEX version 2 models (before 2016) and the current version 3 database. In version 2 models, the number of lines is of the order of 5000, while the current database contains about 2 million lines. Figure 1 shows the difference between these models around the silicon and sulfur lines around 2 keV. The bottleneck of calculating all these lines is to solve the line strengths (transition rates) per ion. Currently, this is done by solving a large set of rate equations using CPU accelerated LAPACK routines (Intel Math Kernel Library or OpenBLAS). An attempt to accelerate the calculation even more using graphical processors (GPU) did not result in faster execution times.

Another trick to speed up the calculations is to approximate computationally intensive physics relations with a simpler parametrization. It turns out that some of these approximations in the SPEX version 2 models are not accurate enough for the high quality data we can expect from future X-ray missions. Therefore, we are in the process of replacing the old functions with more accurate versions, if applicable also with more (recent) atomic data.

2.2. Optimal Binning and Response Matrices

Although model calculations are the most computationally intensive, we can also expect longer execution times when fitting high-resolution data. In X-ray astrophysics, it is customary to convolve the model spectrum with the instrumental response (effective area and redistribution matrix) to calculate what the model spectrum looks like when it

²<http://xrism.isas.jaxa.jp/en/>

³<https://www.the-athena-x-ray-observatory.eu/>




Figure 1. Here we compare a thermal spectrum (with a temperature of $kT = 1.5$ keV) around the silicon and sulfur lines for SPEX versions 2 and 3.

is observed by the instrument. This way, the spectral model can be fitted to the observed spectrum.

With the increased spectral resolution of the new spectrometers, the redistribution matrices will become much larger. In the current standard OGIP format⁴, these response matrices can grow to about 4 GB, while currently the largest matrices are of the order of 100 MB. To reduce this size, and speed up the convolution, new response file structures and binning algorithms have been developed (Kaastra & Bleeker 2016) to reduce the size of these matrices. In addition, the matrices can be re-ordered to make it easier to do the convolution in parallel using OpenMP. These features should help to keep the execution times for spectral fitting within reasonable limits.

2.3. Creating Flexible Interfaces

Currently, SPEX is an interactive program operated by giving commands on a command prompt in a terminal window. Although the interface can be quite efficient, once you learn the commands, there is an increasing demand from users to be able to script SPEX. Especially Python has grown very popular as a scripting language and together with Jupyter Notebook this provides very nice features to do a documented interactive analysis of spectra. We are currently developing a Python wrapper for SPEX that allows users to include SPEX into their Python program without using the command prompt. If successful, this may appear as an experimental feature in the release of SPEX 3.06.00.

In preparation for the Python interface, we released a package with Python versions of a few auxiliary tools⁵ (de Plaa 2019). This package is mainly focused on

⁴https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/heasarc/ofwg/docs/spectra/ogip_92_007/ogip_92_007.html

⁵<https://github.com/spex-xray/pyspextools>

manipulating spectrum and response files in SPEX and OGIP format and contains a template to build user defined models for SPEX in Python.

3. Code Distribution

SPEX is written mostly in FORTRAN 90 and runs on UNIX systems like Linux and MacOS. Historically, SPEX has been distributed with statically compiled binaries included. Since SPEX version 3.05.00 the source code of SPEX is available under the GPLv3 license following the new Open Science policy in the Netherlands. This allows users to compile the code on their own machine, which solves issues with binary compatibility and library dependencies that sometimes occur at the cost of a slightly more complicated install procedure. In addition to the binaries and source code, a Docker image⁶ is available to be able to run SPEX in a controlled environment. Since 2018, all SPEX versions above version 2.0 are available on Zenodo (Kaastra et al. 2018).

4. Discussion and Future Prospects

Although a lot of work has been done to prepare SPEX for the Hitomi mission, there are still challenges left on multiple aspects of the package. We intent to improve the atomic data, expand and make the spectral models more accurate, improve the efficiency of the spectral fitting, make the interfaces more flexible and user friendly, and expand the available documentation with more examples and analysis threads.

The high-resolution spectra that will be obtained after the Japanese XRISM mission is launched early 2022 will provide thorough tests for the improvements made to the SPEX package. This will help preparing SPEX and other spectral codes for the next generation of high-resolution spectrometers provided by ATHENA in the 2030's.

References

- Arnaud, K. A. 1996, in *Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems V*, edited by G. H. Jacoby, & J. Barnes, vol. 101 of *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series*, 17
- de Plaa, J. 2019, Pyspextools. URL <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3245804>
- den Herder, J. W., et al. 2001, *A&A*, 365, L7
- Kaastra, J. S., & Bleeker, J. A. M. 2016, *A&A*, 587, A151. 1601.05309
- Kaastra, J. S., Mewe, R., & Nieuwenhuijzen, H. 1996, in *UV and X-ray Spectroscopy of Astrophysical and Laboratory Plasmas*, 411
- Kaastra, J. S., Raassen, A. J. J., de Plaa, J., & Gu, L. 2018, Spex x-ray spectral fitting package. URL <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2419563>
- Mewe, R. 1972, *Solar Phys.*, 22, 459
- Takahashi, T., et al. 2016, in *Space Telescopes and Instrumentation 2016: Ultraviolet to Gamma Ray*, vol. 9905 of *SPIE Proc.*, 99050U
- Weisskopf, M. C., Tananbaum, H. D., Van Speybroeck, L. P., & O'Dell, S. L. 2000, in *SPIE Proc.*, edited by J. E. Truemper, & B. Aschenbach, vol. 4012 of *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*, 2. astro-ph/0004127

⁶<https://hub.docker.com/r/spexxray/spex/>