

LUCI: A Python package for SITELLE spectral analysis

Carter Rhea ^{1,2} Julie Hlavacek-Larrondo ¹ Laurie Rousseau-Nepton ³ Benjamin Vigneron ¹ and
Louis-Simon Guité ¹

¹*Département de Physique, Université de Montréal, Succ. Centre-Ville, Montréal, Québec, H3C 3J7, Canada*

²*Centre de recherche en astrophysique du Québec (CRAQ)*

³*Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope, Kamuela, HI, United States*

(Received June 1, 2019; Revised January 10, 2019; Accepted August 31, 2021)

Submitted to RNAAS

ABSTRACT

High-resolution optical integral field units (IFUs) are rapidly expanding our knowledge of extragalactic emission nebulae in galaxies and galaxy clusters. By studying the spectra of these objects – which include classic HII regions, supernova remnants, planetary nebulae, and cluster filaments – we are able to constrain their kinematics (velocity and velocity dispersion). In conjunction with additional tools, such as the BPT diagram (e.g. Baldwin et al. 1981; Kewley et al. 2006), we can further classify emission regions based on strong emission-line flux ratios. LUCI is a simple-to-use python module intended to facilitate the rapid analysis of IFU spectra. LUCI does this by integrating well-developed pre-existing python tools such as `astropy` and `scipy` with new machine learning tools for spectral analysis (Rhea et al. 2020). Furthermore, LUCI provides several easy-to-use tools to access and fit SITELLE data cubes.

Keywords: SITELLE data reduction, IFU, Machine Learning

STATEMENT OF NEED

Recent advances in the science and technology of integral field units (IFUs) have resulted in the creation of the high-resolution, wide field-of-view (11 arcmin x 11 arcmin) instrument SITELLE (Drissen et al. 2019) at the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope. Due to the large field-of-view and the small angular resolution of the pixels (0.32 arcseconds), the resulting data cubes contain over 4 million spectra. Therefore, a simple, fast, and adaptable fitting code is paramount – it is with this in mind that we created LUCI.

FUNCTIONALITY

At her heart, like any fitting software, LUCI is a collection of pre-processing and post-processing functions coupled with a fitting algorithm (in this case, a `scipy.optimize.minimize` function call) to extract information from a spectrum. That being said, LUCI is more than a simple wrapper for a fitting call.

Since SITELLE data cubes are available as HDF5 files, LUCI was built to parse the original file and create an instance of a LUCI cube which contains the 2D header information and a 3D NumPy array (spatial X, spatial Y, spectral). Once the data cube has been successfully converted to a LUCI cube, there are several options for fitting different regions of the cube (e.g., `fit_cube`, `fit_entire_cube`, `fit_region`) or fitting single spectra (e.g., `fit_spectrum_region`). The primary use case of LUCI is to fit a region of a cube defined either as a box (in this case, the user would employ the `fit_cube` method and pass the limits of the bounding box) or to fit a region of the cube defined by a standard `ds9` file (in this case, the user would pass the name of the region file to `fit_region`). Regardless of the region being fit, the user needs only specify the lines they wish to fit, the fitting function, and the constraint relations between the lines.

We currently support all standard lines in the SN1 filter ([O II]3626 & [O II]3629), SN2 filter ([O II]4959, [O III]5007, & H β), and SN3 filter ([S II]6716, [S II]6731, [N II]6548, [N II]6583, & H α). The user chooses between three fitting functions: a pure Gaussian, and pure sinc function, or a sinc function convolved with a Gaussian (Martin et al. 2016). In either case, LUCI will solve for the three primary quantities of interest, which are the **amplitude** of the line, the **position** of the line (often described as the velocity and quoted in km/s), and the **broadening** of the line (often described as the velocity dispersion and quoted in units of km/s). LUCI's suite of post-processing tools allows us to extract kinematic parameters and line fluxes directly from these quantities.

Fitting Functions

The three fitting functions are mathematically described below where p_0 corresponds to the **amplitude**, p_1 corresponds to the line **position**, and p_2 corresponds of the **broadening** of the line.

The pure Gaussian function is expressed as

$$f(x) = p_0 \exp\left\{\frac{-(x - p_1)^2}{(2 * p_2^2)}\right\} \quad (1)$$

The pure sinc function is expressed as

$$f(x) = p_0 \frac{\sin((x - p_1)/p_2)}{(x - p_1)/p_2} \quad (2)$$

The convolved sincgauss function is expressed as

$$f(x) = p_0 \exp\{-b^2\} \left(\frac{\operatorname{erf}(a - ib) + \operatorname{erf}(a + ib)}{2\operatorname{erf}(a)} \right) \quad (3)$$

where x represents a given spectral channel, $a = \frac{p_2}{\sqrt{2}\sigma}$, $b = \frac{x - p_1}{\sqrt{2}\sigma}$, where σ is the pre-defined width of the sinc function. We define this as $\sigma = \frac{1}{2\text{MPD}}$ where **MPD** is the maximum path difference. We note that erf is the error function.

Kinematic Equations

In each case, after solving for these values, the velocity and velocity dispersion (also called the broadening) are calculated using the following equations:

$$v[\text{km/s}] = 3e5 * \left(\frac{p'_1 - v_0}{v_0} \right) \quad (4)$$

where $3e5$ represents the speed of light in kilometers per second, p'_1 is p_1 in nanometers, and v_0 is the reference wavelength of the line in nanometers.

$$\sigma[\text{km/s}] = 3e5 * \left(\frac{p_2}{p_1} \right) \quad (5)$$

where again $3e5$ represents the speed of light in kilometers per second.

Flux Equations

Similarly, we define the flux for each fitting function.

Flux for a Gaussian Function:

$$\text{Flux}[\text{erg/s/cm}^2] = \sqrt{2\pi} p_0 p_2 \quad (6)$$

Flux for a sinc Function:

$$\text{Flux}[\text{erg/s/cm}^2] = \pi p_0 p_2 \quad (7)$$

Flux for a sincgauss Function:

$$\text{Flux}[\text{erg/s/cm}^2] = p_0 \frac{\sqrt{2\pi} p_2}{\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{p_2}{\sqrt{2}\sigma}\right)} \quad (8)$$

Uncertainty Estimates

A full Bayesian approach is implemented in order to determine uncertainties on the three key fitting parameters (p_0 , p_1 , and p_2) using the `emcee` python package (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013). It can be activated by added `bayes_bool=True` to the argument of any fitting function. Thus, we are able to calculate posterior distributions for each parameter. There is a dedicated discussion to this in our online documentation. Additionally, LUCI can calculate the uncertainties by calculating the covariance matrix of the fit; this allows users to calculate uncertainties using a classical method by adding the argument `uncertainty_bool=True` to any fitting function (again, there is a dedicated discussion on this calculation in our online documentation).

DISCUSSION

Installation, Documentation, & Examples

LUCI is available as an open-source software package on our GitHub page: <https://github.com/crhea93/LUCI>. Moreover, we have included, on this page, a detailed set of instructions to install and use the software package. We have included detailed documentation outlining the features of LUCI and the mathematics behind her at <https://crhea93.github.io/LUCI/index.html>. On this site, we have included several key examples that can be adapted to any use-case of the software.

Other Software

Several fitting software packages exist for fitting generalized functions to optical spectra (such as `astropy`; Collaboration et al. 2013; Astropy Collaboration et al. 2018). Additionally, there exist software for fitting IFU datacubes for several instruments such as MUSE (Richard et al. 2012) and SITELLE (Martin et al. 2012). Although these are mature codes, we opted to write our own fitting package that is transparent to users and highly customize-able.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope (CFHT), which is operated by the National Research Council (NRC) of Canada, the Institut National des Sciences de l'Univers of the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) of France, and the University of Hawaii. The observations at the CFHT were performed with care and respect from the summit of Maunakea, which is a significant cultural and historic site.

C. L. R. acknowledges financial support from the physics department of the Université de Montréal, IVADO, and le fonds de recherche – Nature et Technologie.

J. H.-L. acknowledges support from NSERC via the Discovery grant program, as well as the Canada Research Chair program.

Software: `astropy` (Collaboration et al. 2013; Astropy Collaboration et al. 2018), `scipy` (Virtanen et al. 2020), `numpy` (Harris et al. 2020), `matplotlib` (Hunter 2007), `ipython` (Perez & Granger 2007), `tensorflow` (Abadi et al. 2015), `keras` (Chollet 2015), `emcee` (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013)

REFERENCES

- | | |
|---|--|
| <p>Abadi, M., Agarwal, A., Barham, P., et al. 2015, 19</p> <p>Astropy Collaboration, Price-Whelan, A. M., Sipőcz, B. M., et al. 2018, <i>The Astronomical Journal</i>, 156, 123, doi: 10.3847/1538-3881/aabc4f</p> <p>Baldwin, J. A., Phillips, M. M., & Terlevich, R. 1981, <i>Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific</i>, 93, 5, doi: 10.1086/130766</p> <p>Chollet, F. 2015, <i>Keras</i>. https://keras.io</p> <p>Collaboration, A., Robitaille, T. P., Tollerud, E. J., et al. 2013, <i>Astronomy and Astrophysics</i>, 558, A33, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201322068</p> | <p>Drissen, L., Martin, T., Rousseau-Nepton, L., et al. 2019, <i>Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society</i>, 485, 3930, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stz627</p> <p>Foreman-Mackey, D., Hogg, D. W., Lang, D., & Goodman, J. 2013, <i>Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific</i>, 125, 306, doi: 10.1086/670067</p> <p>Harris, C. R., Millman, K. J., van der Walt, S. J., et al. 2020, <i>Nature</i>, 585, 357, doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2649-2</p> <p>Hunter, J. D. 2007, <i>Computing in Science Engineering</i>, 9, 90, doi: 10.1109/MCSE.2007.55</p> |
|---|--|

- Kewley, L. J., Groves, B., Kauffmann, G., & Heckman, T. 2006, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 372, 961, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.10859.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.10859.x)
- Martin, T., Drissen, L., & Joncas, G. 2012, in *Software and Cyberinfrastructure for Astronomy II*, Vol. 8451 (International Society for Optics and Photonics), 84513K, doi: [10.1117/12.925420](https://doi.org/10.1117/12.925420)
- Martin, T. B., Prunet, S., & Drissen, L. 2016, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 463, 4223, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stw2315](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw2315)
- Perez, F., & Granger, B. E. 2007, *Computing in Science Engineering*, 9, 21, doi: [10.1109/MCSE.2007.53](https://doi.org/10.1109/MCSE.2007.53)
- Rhea, C. L., Rousseau-Nepton, L., Prunet, S., Hlavacek-larrondo, J., & Fabbro, S. 2020, *Astrophysical Journal*, 901. <http://arxiv.org/abs/2008.08093>
- Richard, J., Bacon, R., Weilbacher, P. M., et al. 2012, 553. <https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012sf2a.conf..553R>
- Virtanen, P., Gommers, R., Oliphant, T. E., et al. 2020, *Nature Methods*, 17, 261, doi: [10.1038/s41592-019-0686-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-019-0686-2)