

An anthropic solution to the cosmological moduli problem

Howard Baer^{1,2*}, Vernon Barger^{2†} and Robert Wiley Deal^{1,2‡}

¹*Homer L. Dodge Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK 73019, USA*

²*Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706 USA*

Abstract

Light moduli fields, gravitationally coupled scalar fields with no classical potential and which are expected to emerge as remnants from string theory compactification, are dangerous to cosmology in that 1. their late-time decays may disrupt successful Big Bang Nucleosynthesis (BBN), 2. they may decay into gravitino pairs which result in violation of BBN constraints or overproduction of lightest SUSY particles (LSPs, assumed to constitute at least a portion of the dark matter in the universe) and 3. they may decay directly into LSPs, resulting in gross DM overproduction. Together, these constitute the cosmological moduli problem (CMP). The combined effects require lightest modulus mass $m_\phi \gtrsim 10^4$ TeV, and if the lightest modulus mass m_ϕ is correlated with the SUSY breaking scale $m_{3/2}$, then the underlying SUSY model would be highly unnatural. We present a solution to the CMP wherein the lightest modulus initial field strength ϕ_0 is anthropically selected to be $\phi_0 \sim 10^{-7} m_P$ by the requirement that the dark matter-to-baryonic matter ratio be not-too-far removed from its present value so that sufficient baryons are present in the universe to create observers. In this case, instead of dark matter overproduction via neutralino reannihilation at the modulus decay temperature, the neutralinos inherit the reduced moduli number density, thereby gaining accord with the measured dark matter relic density.

*Email: baer@ou.edu

†Email: barger@pheno.wisc.edu

‡Email: rwileydeal@ou.edu

Moduli fields are gravitationally coupled scalar fields with no classical potential which arise from string theory compactifications from 10 to 4 spacetime dimensions [1]. Upon stabilization of the moduli, their vevs determine many aspects of the resulting 4-d low energy effective field theory (EFT) such as gauge and Yukawa couplings and the scale of supersymmetry breaking. In II-B string theory compactifications, one expects Hodge number $h^{2,1}$ complex structure moduli U^β and $h^{1,1}$ Kähler moduli T^α which parametrize metric deformations under Calabi-Yau compactifications. These are accompanied by the non-geometric axio-dilaton modulus S . Under typical compactifications, the Hodge numbers are expected to be of order several hundred: $h^{2,1} \sim h^{1,1} \sim O(100)$ [2].

Under flux compactifications [3], the U^β and S fields can be stabilized by flux to gain Kaluza-Klein (KK) scale masses while the Kähler moduli are expected to be stabilized by non-perturbative effects (KKLT) [4] or a combination of perturbative/non-perturbative effects under the large volume scenario (LVS) [5]. The Kähler moduli may have masses extending as low as the weak scale and arguments have been made that the lightest of the Kähler moduli ϕ should have mass comparable to the gravitino mass $m_\phi \sim m_{3/2}$ [6, 7]. Such light moduli fields present a significant danger to the standard cosmology, a situation known as the cosmological moduli problem (CMP) [8].

We consider the case of scalar field evolution just after the end of inflation when the universe has (re)-heated to a temperature T_R . Scalar fields ϕ in the early universe obey the wave equation $\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + V' = 0$ where the dots denote time derivatives, $H(T)$ is the Hubble parameter, V is the scalar potential, and the prime denotes derivative with respect to ϕ . In the very early universe with large H , the friction term $3H\dot{\phi}$ is dominant and the value of ϕ is effectively frozen. As the universe expands and H decreases, the potential can be approximated as a simple harmonic oscillator and the ϕ field begins to oscillate at a temperature $T_{osc} \sim (10/\pi^2 g_*(T_{osc}))^{1/4} P_{m_P m_\phi}$ where m_P is the reduced Planck mass and g_* is the effective degrees of freedom parameter at temperature T . The oscillating ϕ field behaves as cold dark matter and dilutes under expansion more slowly than radiation and hence comes to dominate the energy density of the universe at the radiation equality temperature $T_e = (3/2m_P^2)\phi_0^2 P_{m_P m_\phi} (10/\pi^2 g_*(T_e))^{1/4}$ (where ϕ_0 is the magnitude of the lightest modulus field which is set during inflation). Eventually, the ϕ field decays at a radiation temperature $T_D = \sqrt{m_P \Gamma_\phi / (\pi^2 g_*(T_D)/90)}^{1/4}$ into all available modes.

Here, given the substantial motivations for weak scale supersymmetry, especially with regard to stabilizing radiative corrections to scalar field masses such as the Higgs boson, we will assume a low energy EFT as described by the minimal supersymmetric standard model or MSSM [9]. In this case, the lightest modulus ϕ decays to SM particles (radiation), SUSY particles, and gravitinos. We have computed all 2-body MSSM decay modes of the ϕ field using the Moroi-Randall [10] operators which are proportional to coupling parameters λ_i , where i labels the various modulus couplings to gauge bosons/gauginos, Higgs superfields, and matter superfields. The results will be reported in a longer paper [11] but example results are shown in Fig. 1 which shows the modulus field partial widths as a function of m_ϕ for all couplings $\lambda_i = 1$ in case of helicity-suppressed decays to gauginos but unsuppressed decays to gravitinos [12–14]. This plot is shown assuming a natural SUSY benchmark point [11]. Once Γ_ϕ is known, the modulus decay temperature T_D and various branching fractions can be computed.

Originally, the CMP was concerned with late decays of scalar fields in the early universe wherein the decay energy release, if occurring after the onset of Big Bang Nucleosynthesis




Figure 1: Lightest modulus field partial decay widths into MSSM particles and gravitinos vs. m_ϕ (case with suppressed decay to gauginos but unsuppressed decays to gravitinos with all MR couplings $\lambda_i = 1$).

(BBN), would disrupt the production of light elements [15–17]. As a rough estimate, we take the temperature $T_{BBN} \approx 3 - 5$ MeV at which the onset of BBN starts.¹ The T_{BBN} is shown by the two lower horizontal lines in Fig. 2 along with the modulus field decay temperature T_D for three values of MR couplings $\lambda_i = 0.1, 1, \text{ and } 10$. From the plot, we see that the modulus decay temperature T_D exceeds T_{BBN} for modulus mass values $m_\phi \gtrsim 10 - 100$ TeV. Lighter values of m_ϕ are then excluded by BBN constraints. For perspective, we also show at the top of the plot the values of T_{osc} and T_e . Since T_e depends on the initial modulus field strength ϕ_0 , which is expected to be set by inflationary cosmology to values of order $\phi_0 \sim m_P$, its values are very high, in $10^9 - 10^{12}$ GeV range. For oscillations beginning after the inflationary reheating period, $T_{osc} \sim \rho / m_P m_\phi$ and hence typically gives values of the same order of magnitude as T_e . We show also a putative re-heat temperature $T_R \sim 10^{12}$ GeV which is right at the Buchmuller limit T_{BHLR} [21], above which thermal effects can destabilize the dilaton effective potential and give rise to a runaway dilaton field S .

However, even if $T_D > T_{BBN}$, we have still not necessarily solved the CMP problem. A

¹More precise estimates range from 1.8-5 MeV, see *e.g.* Refs. [18–20].




Figure 2: Modulus decay temperatures T_D vs. m_ϕ for three values of the MR couplings λ_i along with LSP freeze-out temperature T_{fo} and T_{BBN} . We also show a putative value for $T_R \sim 10^{12}$ GeV along with modulus oscillation temperature T_{osc} and modulus field-radiation equality temperature T_e .

further problem arises if the ϕ field decays at a substantial rate into gravitinos ψ_μ [12–14, 22–25]. These decays may or may not be helicity suppressed depending on details of the supergravity Kähler potential [14]. Then the gravitinos also decay late and can disrupt BBN, in which case we need knowledge of $T_{3/2}$, the gravitino decay temperature. Furthermore, the gravitinos can decay to lightest SUSY particles (LSPs, denoted as χ) and potentially overproduce dark matter (assumed to be the χ particles). Further details will be reported in Ref. [11], but for the moment we will assume $m_\phi \ll m_{3/2}$ so that the decay mode $\phi \rightarrow \psi_\mu \psi_\mu$ is kinematically closed as suggested by Acharya *et al.* Ref. [7].

A further concern for the CMP is the direct overproduction of dark matter χ from moduli cascade decays. The initial modulus energy density is $\rho_\phi = m_\phi^2 \phi_0^2 / 2$ and the modulus number density is roughly $n_\phi \sim \rho_\phi / m_\phi$. Assuming each modulus particle decays with branching fraction $B(\phi \rightarrow \chi)$, and accounting for the expansion of the universe between T_{osc} and T_D , we find

$$n_\chi^D \sim B(\phi \rightarrow \chi) m_\phi \phi_0^2 \left(\frac{g_*(T_D) T_D^3}{g_*(T_{osc}) T_{osc}^3} \right), \quad (1)$$

i.e. naively, the neutralinos initially inherit the modulus field number density, subject to branching ratio and expansion effects. Of course, if the modulus decay temperature T_D exceeds the LSP freeze-out temperature $T_{fo} \approx m_\chi/20$, then the neutralinos will thermalize and their relic density will be just the standard thermally-produced (TP) result $\Omega_\chi^{TP} h^2$.

However, this accounting can be greatly modified if the modulus field decays at temperature $T_D < T_{fo}$ and the number density n_χ exceeds the critical density n_χ^c above which neutralino *reannihilation effects* may be important [26–28]. The Boltzmann equation for the neutralino number density is given by

$$\frac{dn_\chi}{dt} + 3Hn_\chi = h\sigma v i n_\chi^2 \quad (2)$$

and if $h\sigma v i n_\chi(T_D) > H(T_D)$, then neutralinos will reannihilate after modulus decay. The Boltzmann equation, rewritten in terms of the yield variable $Y_\chi = n_\chi/s$, where s is the entropy density ($s = \frac{2\pi^2}{45} g_* T^3$ for radiation) is given by

$$\frac{dY_\chi}{dt} = h\sigma v i Y_\chi^2 s \quad (3)$$

which, assuming $h\sigma v i$ is dominated by the constant term, can be easily integrated to find $Y_\chi^{reann} = H(T_D)/h\sigma v i s(T_D)$ or

$$n_\chi^c = H/h\sigma v i|_{T=T_D} \quad (4)$$

so that

$$\Omega_\chi^{reann} h^2 = \Omega_\chi^{TP} h^2 (T_{fo}/T_D), \quad (5)$$

i.e. the reannihilation abundance is enhanced from its TP value by a factor T_{fo}/T_D . The final neutralino number density is then given by

$$n_\chi = \min \{ n_\chi^c, n_\chi^D \} \quad (6)$$

with $\Omega_\chi h^2 = m_\chi n_\chi / \rho_c h^{-2}$ where ρ_c is the critical closure density and h is the scaled Hubble constant. Provided reannihilation effects are important, the reannihilation enhancement factor T_{fo}/T_D can be read off from Fig. 2. Since ϕ_0 is so large, almost always $n_\chi^c < n_\chi^D$ so that the neutralino relic abundance takes the reannihilation value for $T_D < T_{fo}$.

The overall picture is given in Fig. 3, where we plot the allowed and excluded regions in the $m_{3/2}$ vs. m_ϕ plane. The upper-left shaded region is plagued by the moduli-induced gravitino problem. We also see that one must live to the upper-right of the BBN bounds which set in around $m_{3/2}, m_\phi \approx 30$ TeV. However, even if one avoids the BBN bounds by taking heavy gravitino/moduli masses, the overproduction of dark matter is considerably above measured values unless $m_\phi \gtrsim 5 \times 10^3$ TeV. For comparison, we include a purple circle of naturalness favored region [29] where $\sqrt{m_\phi^2 + m_{3/2}^2} < 30$ TeV. The CMP-consistent regions are far beyond the naturalness regions of parameter space.

A variety of solutions to the CMP have been proposed. Some intriguing possibilities include 1. a period of late weak scale [30] or thermal inflation [31] which could dilute all relics after moduli decays are complete, 2. the presence of a late decaying saxion field which could dilute all relics [32] (but one must then beware of dark matter/BBN constraints from the associated axino field [28]), 3. additional hidden sector fields connected to the visible sector via a portal




Figure 3: Regions of the $m_{3/2}$ vs. m_ϕ plane which are allowed (upper-right with $\Omega_\chi h^2 < 0.1$) or excluded. We also show BBN bounds and an approximate curve (purple) beneath which SUSY may be natural. The shaded region is subject to the moduli-induced gravitino problem.

coupling so that the dark matter is actually a hidden sector semi-inert particle [33, 34] and 4. abandoning naturalness and allowing for a thermally underproduced wino LSP where then the modulus decay into winos makes up the dark matter deficit [10, 35]. This latter scenario now seems excluded by rather strict indirect detection limits on wino dark matter [36–38].

Here, we propose an alternative anthropic solution to the CMP. It is somewhat similar to an older proposal for an anthropic solution to overproduction of axion dark matter in stringy scenarios with a large axion decay constant $f_a \sim 10^{16}$ GeV [39–42]. In those papers, it is considered that there is an anthropic upper bound on the ratio $r = \rho_{DM}/\rho_{baryons}$ where if the dark matter energy density ρ_{DM} is too high, then the dominant structures that form in the early universe will be virialized clouds of dark matter and baryons are present at such a low rate that galaxies and hence stars and observers as we know them will not form. The exact upper bound on r is difficult to calculate but attempts have been made in Aguirre *et al.* [41] and by Freivogel [42] using Bousso’s causal diamond measure [43] and by Bousso and Hall [44]. Typically, it is expected that the dark matter-to-baryon ratio r should be $\sim 1 - 10$ (whereas observation sets it at ~ 5). For a uniform distribution of axion misalignment angles θ distributed across the decades of possibilities, then anthropics may require small θ leading to

$\Omega_{axion} h^2 \approx 0.1$ even for string scale axion decay constants.

In our approach, we note that for much of the plane shown in Fig. 3, dark matter in the form of WIMPs is grossly overproduced by several orders of magnitude. This is especially true in the lower-left natural region of m_ϕ vs. $m_{3/2}$ space. Here, we expect that the landscape also sets the magnitude of the weak scale in various pocket-universes to be ≈ 100 GeV. This occurs from bounds derived by Agrawal *et al.* [45] that if the pocket-universe value of the weak scale is displaced by more than a factor $2 \approx 5$ from its measured value, then the proton-neutron mass difference is displaced in such a fashion as not to allow complex nuclei, and hence atoms as we know them, to form (the atomic principle [46]). Natural SUSY is expected to be far more prevalent on the landscape than unnatural models because in unnatural models, one would have to land on finely tuned parameter choices to keep the weak scale weak, whereas in natural models a wide range of natural parameters are allowable [47]. It is expected that soft SUSY breaking parameters obey either a power-law [46, 48, 49] or log [50] selection favoring large values subject to the anthropic condition of the atomic principle. Scans over the landscape [51] then find the Higgs mass m_h pulled up to a statistical peak around $m_h \approx 125$ GeV (due in part to large mixing in the stop sector) while sparticles are pulled beyond present LHC search limits. The first/second generation matter scalars are pulled into the $10 \approx 40$ TeV range since they have only suppressed contributions to the weak scale. The maximal scalar masses should be comparable to the gravitino mass $m_{3/2}$ in gravity-mediated SUSY breaking models. Thus, we expect a corresponding value $m_{3/2} \approx 10 \approx 40$ TeV in natural SUSY from the landscape.

Thus, in the case of landscape SUSY, which is typified by a gravitino mass and soft breaking scale $m_{3/2} \approx 30$ TeV, we will also require no great overproduction of dark matter compared to baryons in anthropically-allowed pocket universes. We will also

- assume that the lightest moduli field strength ϕ_0 is spread somewhat uniformly in value across the decades, although the volume of ϕ_0 space favors large ϕ_0 values (as in Weinberg's solution to the cosmological constant problem) [52]. Thus, we feign ignorance about the particular inflationary model which gives rise to ϕ_0 so long as it includes a distribution of ϕ_0 values extending well below m_P that is somewhat uniformly distributed in the area of selection.

Then, large values of $\phi_0 \approx m_P$ are certainly included in the distribution $P(\phi_0)$ but will be *vetoed* due to overproduction of dark matter which leads to unlivable pocket universes: one will have an anthropic selection of much smaller ϕ_0 values. The situation is illustrated in Fig. 4, where we show a putative landscape distribution $dP/d\phi_0$ vs. ϕ_0 . Values of ϕ_0 in the red region lead to too large a value of $\rho_{DM}/\rho_{baryons}$ and hence to unlivable pocket universes. The distribution favors pocket universes with $\rho_{DM}/\rho_{baryons}$ as large as possible such that baryonic structures such as galaxies and stars can form, leading to livable pocket universes.

How might such a distribution as Fig. 4 arise in the eternally inflating multiverse? To see how, we adopt a toy example from Dine, Randall and Thomas (DRT) Ref. [53] where during inflation we expect the scalar potential for the lightest modulus ϕ to have the form

$$V_{inf}(\phi) = (m_{soft}^2 - a^2 H_I^2) j \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2m_P^2} (m_{soft}^2 + b^2 H_I^2) j \phi^4 \quad (7)$$

where the m_{soft} terms come from usual SUGRA breaking with $m_{soft} \approx m_{3/2}$ but the terms involving $H_I \approx \sqrt{V_{inf}}$ are *inflation-induced* soft term contributions to the scalar potential.




Figure 4: A putative distribution of ϕ_0 values from the string landscape. Values of ϕ_0 giving rise to $\rho_{DM}/\rho_{baryons} \gg 5$ are anthropically vetoed leading to a value of $\phi_0 \sim 10^{-7}m_P$.

They arise because the inflaton potential $V_{inf}(\phi_I)$ (where ϕ_I is the inflaton field) necessarily breaks SUSY (since $V_{inf} > 0$ during inflation) [53, 54]. For $H_{inf} \sim m_{soft}$, then the minimum of the potential occurs for $\phi_{min1} \sim (a/b)m_P$ while for $H \sim m_{soft}$, then the minimum occurs at $\phi_{min2} \sim m_P$. The difference sets the value of ϕ_0 : $\phi_0 \sim (1 - (a/b))m_P$. Thus, the expectation for ϕ_0 is at $\sim m_P$, but with $\sim 10^{500}$ pocket universes [55] and with a and b scanning over different values in the multiverse, there are still considerable numbers of pocket universes with $(a/b) \sim 1$ so that $\phi_0 \sim m_P$. This is the picture shown in Fig. 4. DRT actually suggested a solution to the CMP wherein the presence of some hidden symmetry causes the inflationary minimum to coincide with the post-inflationary minimum, thus setting ϕ_0 to tiny values. In our case, there would be no symmetry but instead anthropic conditions veto the solutions with ϕ_0 too big. In this sense, the proposed DRT solution is akin to the conjecture of some symmetry which sets the cosmological constant to zero, whilst our solution is akin to Weinberg's wherein only tiny cosmological constants are allowed by anthropic conditions.

For tiny ϕ_0 , the moduli number density is highly suppressed since it depends on ϕ_0^2 , and so few χ particles are produced in ϕ decays that they fail to meet the reannihilation criterion. In this case, the final neutralino number density follows Eq. 1.

In Fig. 5, we show the neutralino critical number density n_χ^c as the green curve, along with

the decay-produced number density n_χ^D with $\phi_0 \sim m_P$ (blue dashed curve). Since the n_χ^c curve is almost always below the blue-dashed n_χ^D curve, then reannihilation rates produce a large overabundance of LSP dark matter. But if ϕ_0 is anthropically selected at $\sim 10^{-7}m_P$, then we obtain instead the orange-dashed curve for n_χ^D . It is well below the n_χ^c curve and so the neutralinos inherit the moduli field number density (subject to the lightest modulus branching fraction to SUSY particles). This suppression brings the neutralino relic density down to the $\Omega_\chi^{NTP} h^2 \sim 0.1$ level. This solves the CMP.




Figure 5: LSP number density n_χ^D from modulus decay along with critical number density n_χ^c which is expected to arise from LSP reannihilation after modulus decay in the early universe.

Actually, in natural SUSY, which we assume here, the lightest neutralino is expected to be dominantly higgsino-like, since the superpotential μ parameter feeds directly into the value of the weak scale, but also sets the mass of the higgsinos [56, 57]. In Ref. [58], it is shown that higgsino-only dark matter for $m_\chi \lesssim 350$ GeV (the naturalness region) is likely excluded by direct and indirect detection bounds. Likewise, in Ref. [59], it is claimed higgsino-only DM with mass $m_\chi \lesssim 550$ GeV is excluded by AMS searches. A way out is that one must also require naturalness in the QCD sector, which addresses the strong CP problem. Then the SUSY DM is expected to be an axion-WIMP admixture [28], where axions typically dominate the higgsino abundance. In this case, DD and IDD dark matter limits are considerably weakened since WIMPs only make up a small portion of dark matter [60]. For our case here, if ϕ_0 is selected

somewhat lower, then there is room left for axions and natural higgsino-like WIMPs remain as allowed DM candidates. For the case of SUSY axions, we needn't rely on anthropics since the soft SUSY breaking terms that enter the PQ potential set the axion scale to $f_a \sim 10^{11}$ GeV [61]. In future work, we will consider the more model-dependent effect of dark radiation from moduli decay [62–69].

Conclusion: We have computed all lightest modulus decay modes including mixing and phase space factors and used these results to examine the cosmological moduli problem with respect to BBN and dark matter and gravitino overproduction. In the case where gravitino production is not allowed ($m_\phi < 2m_{3/2}$), then the most severe constraint comes from overproduction of WIMPs via late time moduli decay. One resolution to the CMP requires a lightest modulus mass $m_\phi \gtrsim 5 \times 10^3$ TeV. If the modulus mass comes from SUSY breaking and is $m_{3/2}$, then such a huge modulus mass brings extreme tension with naturalness. We present an alternative solution to the CMP wherein initial modulus field values are anthropically selected to suppressed values $\phi_0 \lesssim 10^{-7}m_P$ in order to avoid overproduction of dark matter via modulus decay. The anthropic solution allows for light moduli and gravitino masses to exist in the naturalness preferred regime of values $m_\phi \sim m_{3/2} \sim 30$ TeV.

Acknowledgements:

This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of High Energy Physics under Award Number DE-SC-0009956 and U.S. Department of Energy (DoE) Grant DE-SC-0017647.

References

- [1] L. E. Ibanez, A. M. Uranga, String theory and particle physics: An introduction to string phenomenology, Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- [2] M. Kreuzer, H. Skarke, Complete classification of reflexive polyhedra in four-dimensions, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 4 (2002) 1209–1230. [arXiv:hep-th/0002240](#), [doi:10.4310/ATMP.2000.v4.n6.a2](#).
- [3] M. R. Douglas, S. Kachru, Flux compactification, Rev. Mod. Phys. 79 (2007) 733–796. [arXiv:hep-th/0610102](#), [doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.79.733](#).
- [4] S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. D. Linde, S. P. Trivedi, De Sitter vacua in string theory, Phys. Rev. D 68 (2003) 046005. [arXiv:hep-th/0301240](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.68.046005](#).
- [5] V. Balasubramanian, P. Berglund, J. P. Conlon, F. Quevedo, Systematics of moduli stabilisation in Calabi-Yau flux compactifications, JHEP 03 (2005) 007. [arXiv:hep-th/0502058](#), [doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2005/03/007](#).
- [6] F. Denef, M. R. Douglas, Distributions of nonsupersymmetric flux vacua, JHEP 03 (2005) 061. [arXiv:hep-th/0411183](#), [doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2005/03/061](#).
- [7] B. S. Acharya, G. Kane, E. Kuflik, Bounds on scalar masses in theories of moduli stabilization, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 29 (2014) 1450073. [arXiv:1006.3272](#), [doi:10.1142/S0217751X14500730](#).

- [8] G. Kane, K. Sinha, S. Watson, Cosmological Moduli and the Post-Inflationary Universe: A Critical Review, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* 24 (08) (2015) 1530022. [arXiv:1502.07746](#), [doi:10.1142/S0218271815300220](#).
- [9] H. Baer, X. Tata, *Weak scale supersymmetry: From superfields to scattering events*, Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [10] T. Moroi, L. Randall, Wino cold dark matter from anomaly mediated SUSY breaking, *Nucl. Phys. B* 570 (2000) 455–472. [arXiv:hep-ph/9906527](#), [doi:10.1016/S0550-3213\(99\)00748-8](#).
- [11] K. J. Bae, H. Baer, V. Barger, R. Wiley Deal, The cosmological moduli problem and naturalness (to appear).
- [12] T. Asaka, S. Nakamura, M. Yamaguchi, Gravitinos from heavy scalar decay, *Phys. Rev. D* 74 (2006) 023520. [arXiv:hep-ph/0604132](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.74.023520](#).
- [13] S. Nakamura, M. Yamaguchi, Gravitino production from heavy moduli decay and cosmological moduli problem revived, *Phys. Lett. B* 638 (2006) 389–395. [arXiv:hep-ph/0602081](#), [doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2006.05.078](#).
- [14] M. Dine, R. Kitano, A. Morisse, Y. Shirman, Moduli decays and gravitinos, *Phys. Rev. D* 73 (2006) 123518. [arXiv:hep-ph/0604140](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.73.123518](#).
- [15] G. D. Coughlan, W. Fischler, E. W. Kolb, S. Raby, G. G. Ross, Cosmological Problems for the Polonyi Potential, *Phys. Lett. B* 131 (1983) 59–64. [doi:10.1016/0370-2693\(83\)91091-2](#).
- [16] T. Banks, D. B. Kaplan, A. E. Nelson, Cosmological implications of dynamical supersymmetry breaking, *Phys. Rev. D* 49 (1994) 779–787. [arXiv:hep-ph/9308292](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.49.779](#).
- [17] B. de Carlos, J. A. Casas, F. Quevedo, E. Roulet, Model independent properties and cosmological implications of the dilaton and moduli sectors of 4-d strings, *Phys. Lett. B* 318 (1993) 447–456. [arXiv:hep-ph/9308325](#), [doi:10.1016/0370-2693\(93\)91538-X](#).
- [18] M. Kawasaki, K. Kohri, N. Sugiyama, Cosmological constraints on late time entropy production, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 82 (1999) 4168. [arXiv:astro-ph/9811437](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.82.4168](#).
- [19] M. Kawasaki, K. Kohri, N. Sugiyama, MeV scale reheating temperature and thermalization of neutrino background, *Phys. Rev. D* 62 (2000) 023506. [arXiv:astro-ph/0002127](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.62.023506](#).
- [20] T. Hasegawa, N. Hiroshima, K. Kohri, R. S. L. Hansen, T. Tram, S. Hannestad, MeV-scale reheating temperature and thermalization of oscillating neutrinos by radiative and hadronic decays of massive particles, *JCAP* 12 (2019) 012. [arXiv:1908.10189](#), [doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2019/12/012](#).

- [21] W. Buchmuller, K. Hamaguchi, O. Lebedev, M. Ratz, Dilaton destabilization at high temperature, *Nucl. Phys. B* 699 (2004) 292–308. [arXiv:hep-th/0404168](#), [doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2004.08.031](#).
- [22] M. Kawasaki, K. Kohri, T. Moroi, Big-Bang nucleosynthesis and hadronic decay of long-lived massive particles, *Phys. Rev. D* 71 (2005) 083502. [arXiv:astro-ph/0408426](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.71.083502](#).
- [23] M. Hashimoto, K. I. Izawa, M. Yamaguchi, T. Yanagida, Gravitino overproduction through moduli decay, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* 100 (1998) 395–398. [arXiv:hep-ph/9804411](#), [doi:10.1143/PTP.100.395](#).
- [24] M. Endo, K. Hamaguchi, F. Takahashi, Moduli-induced gravitino problem, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 96 (2006) 211301. [arXiv:hep-ph/0602061](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.211301](#).
- [25] M. Kawasaki, K. Kohri, T. Moroi, Y. Takaesu, Revisiting Big-Bang Nucleosynthesis Constraints on Long-Lived Decaying Particles, *Phys. Rev. D* 97 (2) (2018) 023502. [arXiv:1709.01211](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.97.023502](#).
- [26] G. F. Giudice, E. W. Kolb, A. Riotto, Largest temperature of the radiation era and its cosmological implications, *Phys. Rev. D* 64 (2001) 023508. [arXiv:hep-ph/0005123](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.64.023508](#).
- [27] K.-Y. Choi, J. E. Kim, H. M. Lee, O. Seto, Neutralino dark matter from heavy axino decay, *Phys. Rev. D* 77 (2008) 123501. [arXiv:0801.0491](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.77.123501](#).
- [28] H. Baer, A. Lessa, S. Rajagopalan, W. Sreethawong, Mixed axion/neutralino cold dark matter in supersymmetric models, *JCAP* 06 (2011) 031. [arXiv:1103.5413](#), [doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2011/06/031](#).
- [29] H. Baer, V. Barger, P. Huang, D. Mickelson, A. Mustafayev, X. Tata, Radiative natural supersymmetry: Reconciling electroweak fine-tuning and the Higgs boson mass, *Phys. Rev. D* 87 (11) (2013) 115028. [arXiv:1212.2655](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.87.115028](#).
- [30] L. Randall, S. D. Thomas, Solving the cosmological moduli problem with weak scale inflation, *Nucl. Phys. B* 449 (1995) 229–247. [arXiv:hep-ph/9407248](#), [doi:10.1016/0550-3213\(95\)00228-K](#).
- [31] D. H. Lyth, E. D. Stewart, Thermal inflation and the moduli problem, *Phys. Rev. D* 53 (1996) 1784–1798. [arXiv:hep-ph/9510204](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.53.1784](#).
- [32] M. Endo, F. Takahashi, Non-thermal Production of Dark Matter from Late-Decaying Scalar Field at Intermediate Scale, *Phys. Rev. D* 74 (2006) 063502. [arXiv:hep-ph/0606075](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.74.063502](#).
- [33] N. Blinov, J. Kozaczuk, A. Menon, D. E. Morrissey, Confronting the moduli-induced lightest-superpartner problem, *Phys. Rev. D* 91 (3) (2015) 035026. [arXiv:1409.1222](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.91.035026](#).

- [34] B. S. Acharya, S. A. R. Ellis, G. L. Kane, B. D. Nelson, M. J. Perry, The lightest visible-sector supersymmetric particle is likely to be unstable, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 117 (2016) 181802. [arXiv:1604.05320](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.181802](#).
- [35] B. S. Acharya, P. Kumar, K. Bobkov, G. Kane, J. Shao, S. Watson, Non-thermal Dark Matter and the Moduli Problem in String Frameworks, *JHEP* 06 (2008) 064. [arXiv:0804.0863](#), [doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/06/064](#).
- [36] T. Cohen, M. Lisanti, A. Pierce, T. R. Slatyer, Wino Dark Matter Under Siege, *JCAP* 10 (2013) 061. [arXiv:1307.4082](#), [doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2013/10/061](#).
- [37] J. Fan, M. Reece, In Wino Veritas? Indirect Searches Shed Light on Neutralino Dark Matter, *JHEP* 10 (2013) 124. [arXiv:1307.4400](#), [doi:10.1007/JHEP10\(2013\)124](#).
- [38] H. Baer, V. Barger, H. Serce, SUSY under siege from direct and indirect WIMP detection experiments, *Phys. Rev. D* 94 (11) (2016) 115019. [arXiv:1609.06735](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.94.115019](#).
- [39] A. D. Linde, Inflation and Axion Cosmology, *Phys. Lett. B* 201 (1988) 437–439. [doi:10.1016/0370-2693\(88\)90597-7](#).
- [40] F. Wilczek, A Model of anthropic reasoning, addressing the dark to ordinary matter coincidence (2004) 151–162 [arXiv:hep-ph/0408167](#).
- [41] M. Tegmark, A. Aguirre, M. Rees, F. Wilczek, Dimensionless constants, cosmology and other dark matters, *Phys. Rev. D* 73 (2006) 023505. [arXiv:astro-ph/0511774](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.73.023505](#).
- [42] B. Freivogel, Anthropic Explanation of the Dark Matter Abundance, *JCAP* 03 (2010) 021. [arXiv:0810.0703](#), [doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2010/03/021](#).
- [43] R. Bousso, Holographic probabilities in eternal inflation, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 97 (2006) 191302. [arXiv:hep-th/0605263](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.191302](#).
- [44] R. Bousso, L. Hall, Why Comparable? A Multiverse Explanation of the Dark Matter-Baryon Coincidence, *Phys. Rev. D* 88 (2013) 063503. [arXiv:1304.6407](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.88.063503](#).
- [45] V. Agrawal, S. M. Barr, J. F. Donoghue, D. Seckel, Anthropic considerations in multiple domain theories and the scale of electroweak symmetry breaking, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 80 (1998) 1822–1825. [arXiv:hep-ph/9801253](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.80.1822](#).
- [46] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, S. Kachru, Predictive landscapes and new physics at a TeV [arXiv:hep-th/0501082](#).
- [47] H. Baer, V. Barger, S. Salam, Naturalness versus stringy naturalness (with implications for collider and dark matter searches, *Phys. Rev. Research.* 1 (2019) 023001. [arXiv:1906.07741](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevResearch.1.023001](#).

- [48] L. Susskind, Supersymmetry breaking in the anthropic landscape, in: *From Fields to Strings: Circumnavigating Theoretical Physics: A Conference in Tribute to Ian Kogan*, 2004, pp. 1745–1749. [arXiv:hep-th/0405189](#), [doi:10.1142/9789812775344-0040](#).
- [49] M. R. Douglas, Statistical analysis of the supersymmetry breaking scale [arXiv:hep-th/0405279](#).
- [50] I. Broeckel, M. Cicoli, A. Maharana, K. Singh, K. Sinha, Moduli Stabilisation and the Statistics of SUSY Breaking in the Landscape, *JHEP* 10 (2020) 015. [arXiv:2007.04327](#), [doi:10.1007/JHEP09\(2021\)019](#).
- [51] H. Baer, V. Barger, H. Serce, K. Sinha, Higgs and superparticle mass predictions from the landscape, *JHEP* 03 (2018) 002. [arXiv:1712.01399](#), [doi:10.1007/JHEP03\(2018\)002](#).
- [52] S. Weinberg, Anthropic Bound on the Cosmological Constant, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 59 (1987) 2607. [doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.59.2607](#).
- [53] M. Dine, L. Randall, S. D. Thomas, Baryogenesis from flat directions of the supersymmetric standard model, *Nucl. Phys. B* 458 (1996) 291–326. [arXiv:hep-ph/9507453](#), [doi:10.1016/0550-3213\(95\)00538-2](#).
- [54] M. Dine, L. Randall, S. D. Thomas, Supersymmetry breaking in the early universe, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 75 (1995) 398–401. [arXiv:hep-ph/9503303](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.398](#).
- [55] S. Ashok, M. R. Douglas, Counting flux vacua, *JHEP* 01 (2004) 060. [arXiv:hep-th/0307049](#), [doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2004/01/060](#).
- [56] K. L. Chan, U. Chattopadhyay, P. Nath, Naturalness, weak scale supersymmetry and the prospect for the observation of supersymmetry at the Tevatron and at the CERN LHC, *Phys. Rev. D* 58 (1998) 096004. [arXiv:hep-ph/9710473](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.58.096004](#).
- [57] H. Baer, V. Barger, P. Huang, Hidden SUSY at the LHC: the light higgsino-world scenario and the role of a lepton collider, *JHEP* 11 (2011) 031. [arXiv:1107.5581](#), [doi:10.1007/JHEP11\(2011\)031](#).
- [58] H. Baer, V. Barger, D. Sengupta, X. Tata, Is natural higgsino-only dark matter excluded?, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 78 (10) (2018) 838. [arXiv:1803.11210](#), [doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-6306-y](#).
- [59] C. Han, Higgsino Dark Matter in a Non-Standard History of the Universe, *Phys. Lett. B* 798 (2019) 134997. [arXiv:1907.09235](#), [doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2019.134997](#).
- [60] H. Baer, V. Barger, D. Mickelson, Direct and indirect detection of higgsino-like WIMPs: concluding the story of electroweak naturalness, *Phys. Lett. B* 726 (2013) 330–336. [arXiv:1303.3816](#), [doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2013.08.060](#).

- [61] H. Baer, V. Barger, D. Sengupta, H. Serce, K. Sinha, R. W. Deal, Is the magnitude of the Peccei–Quinn scale set by the landscape?, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 79 (11) (2019) 897. [arXiv:1905.00443](#), [doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7408-x](#).
- [62] M. Cicoli, J. P. Conlon, F. Quevedo, Dark radiation in LARGE volume models, *Phys. Rev. D* 87 (4) (2013) 043520. [arXiv:1208.3562](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.87.043520](#).
- [63] T. Higaki, F. Takahashi, Dark Radiation and Dark Matter in Large Volume Compactifications, *JHEP* 11 (2012) 125. [arXiv:1208.3563](#), [doi:10.1007/JHEP11\(2012\)125](#).
- [64] T. Higaki, K. Nakayama, F. Takahashi, Moduli-Induced Axion Problem, *JHEP* 07 (2013) 005. [arXiv:1304.7987](#), [doi:10.1007/JHEP07\(2013\)005](#).
- [65] J. P. Conlon, M. C. D. Marsh, The Cosmophenomenology of Axionic Dark Radiation, *JHEP* 10 (2013) 214. [arXiv:1304.1804](#), [doi:10.1007/JHEP10\(2013\)214](#).
- [66] R. Allahverdi, M. Cicoli, B. Dutta, K. Sinha, Correlation between Dark Matter and Dark Radiation in String Compactifications, *JCAP* 10 (2014) 002. [arXiv:1401.4364](#), [doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2014/10/002](#).
- [67] M. Cicoli, F. Muia, General Analysis of Dark Radiation in Sequestered String Models, *JHEP* 12 (2015) 152. [arXiv:1511.05447](#), [doi:10.1007/JHEP12\(2015\)152](#).
- [68] F. Takahashi, M. Yamada, Anthropic Bound on Dark Radiation and its Implications for Reheating, *JCAP* 07 (2019) 001. [arXiv:1904.12864](#), [doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2019/07/001](#).
- [69] M. Reig, The Stochastic Axiverse, *JHEP* 09 (2021) 207. [arXiv:2104.09923](#), [doi:10.1007/JHEP09\(2021\)207](#).