

# A characterization of $g_2$ -minimal normal 3-pseudomanifolds with at most four singularities

Biplab Basak<sup>1</sup>, Raju Kumar Gupta and Sourav Sarkar

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi 110016, India<sup>2</sup>.

February 08, 2024

---

## Abstract

Let  $\Delta$  be a  $g_2$ -minimal normal 3-pseudomanifold. A vertex in  $\Delta$  whose link is not a sphere is called a singular vertex. When  $\Delta$  contains at most two singular vertices, its combinatorial characterization is known [9]. In this article, we present a combinatorial characterization of such a  $\Delta$  when it has three singular vertices, including one  $\mathbb{RP}^2$ -singularity, or four singular vertices, including two  $\mathbb{RP}^2$ -singularities. In both cases, we prove that  $\Delta$  is obtained from a one-vertex suspension of a surface, and some boundary complexes of 4-simplices by applying the combinatorial operations of types connected sums, vertex foldings, and edge foldings.

*MSC 2020*: Primary 57Q05; Secondary 05E45, 51B10, 51E20, 52B70.

*Keywords*: Normal pseudomanifolds, vertex folding, edge folding, one-vertex suspension.

## 1 Introduction

The study of pseudomanifolds, and in particular normal pseudomanifolds, has been one of the central topics in combinatorial topology. Structures for such objects from a combinatorial and topological viewpoint in terms of simplicial and cell complexes are being developed by various researchers throughout the world. A basic and fundamental enumerative invariant of any  $n$ -dimensional simplicial complex,  $\Delta$ , is its  $f$ -vector  $(f_{-1}, f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n)$ , where  $f_i$  is the number of  $i$ -dimensional faces of  $\Delta$  for  $-1 \leq i \leq n$ . The empty set is considered to be the only face of dimension  $-1$ . Inequalities in the lower and upper bound conjectures involving  $f_i$  have been used as a very interesting and powerful tool to analyze the interplay between the  $f$ -vector of a complex and its geometric carrier. In 1970, a breakthrough in this direction was given by Walkup [19]. Inspired by [19], a new combinatorial invariant  $g_2(\Delta)$  has been defined as  $g_2(\Delta) = f_1 - (d+1)f_0 + \binom{d+2}{2}$  for every  $d$ -dimensional simplicial complex  $\Delta$ . From [19], it is known that for a triangulated 3-manifold  $\Delta$ ,  $g_2(\Delta) \geq 0$  with the equality occurring when  $\Delta$  is a triangulation of a stacked sphere. Barnette [4, 5] achieved the same results in higher dimensions. In 1987, Kalai [13] used the concept of rigidity and framework on graphs to establish the lower bounds of  $f$ -vector for normal pseudomanifolds of dimension at least three, where 2-dimensional links were triangulated spheres.

---

<sup>1</sup>*Corresponding author*: Biplab Basak

<sup>2</sup>*E-mail addresses*: [biplab@iitd.ac.in](mailto:biplab@iitd.ac.in) (B. Basak), [Raju.Kumar.Gupta@maths.iitd.ac.in](mailto:Raju.Kumar.Gupta@maths.iitd.ac.in) (R. K. Gupta), [Sourav.Sarkar@maths.iitd.ac.in](mailto:Sourav.Sarkar@maths.iitd.ac.in) (S. Sarkar).

Kalai's findings establish a lower bound on the value of  $g_2$  for a normal  $d$ -pseudomanifold, where 2-dimensional links are spheres, expressed in terms of the same for a link. Subsequently, Fogelsanger's results in [8, Chapter 8] removed the restriction on 2-dimensional links. The combined implications of Kalai and Fogelsanger's results assert that if  $d \geq 0$  and  $\Delta$  is a normal  $d$ -pseudomanifold, then for any face  $\sigma$  of  $\Delta$  with co-dimension 3 or more, the inequality  $g_2(\Delta) \geq g_2(\text{lk}(\sigma, \Delta))$  holds. In [12], the non-negativity of the invariant  $g_2$  has been described in a different way of looking at rigidity, and in [3], a detailed study on the lower bound theorem has been given in terms of Gromov rigidity. For the case of the normal 3-pseudomanifold  $\Delta$ , the result turned out to be  $g_2(\Delta) \geq g_2(\text{lk}(v, \Delta))$  for every vertex  $v$  in  $\Delta$ . If  $g_2(\Delta) = g_2(\text{lk}(v, \Delta))$  for some vertex  $v$ , then such a complex  $\Delta$  is said to be  $g_2$ -minimal (or have relatively minimal  $g_2$  [9]). Basak and Swartz [9] proved that if  $\Delta$  is a  $g_2$ -minimal normal 3-pseudomanifold with at most two singular vertices, then  $\Delta$  is obtained from a one-vertex suspension of a surface and some boundary complexes of 4-simplices by a sequence of operations of the form vertex foldings and connected sums. In this article, we have worked with the  $g_2$ -minimal normal 3-pseudomanifolds with at most four singularities. More precisely, we proved the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\Delta$  be a normal 3-pseudomanifold such that  $\Delta$  has  $n$  singular vertices among which  $n - 2$  have  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^2$ -singularities, where  $3 \leq n \leq 4$ . Then  $\Delta$  is obtained from a one-vertex suspension of a surface, and some boundary complexes of 4-simplices by a sequence of operations of types connected sums, vertex foldings, and edge foldings.*

Several classifications and descriptions of triangulated manifolds and pseudomanifolds have been done based on the  $f$ -vector and the value of  $g_2$ . In [2], the  $d$ -pseudomanifold with  $d + 4$  vertices has been described. The work in [16] established that only a finite number of combinatorial manifolds are possible for a given upper bound of  $g_2$ . In [17, 15], the  $f$ -vector was the key factor where homology manifolds and specific types of pseudomanifolds were involved. In [14] and [20], normal  $d$ -pseudomanifolds with  $g_2 = 1$  and  $g_2 = 2$  have been characterized, respectively. The concepts of rigidity and the frameworks described in [13, 18] are utilized in each case. In the recent article [7], normal 3-pseudomanifolds with at most two singular vertices are characterized. Additionally, [6] delves into the characterization of normal 3-pseudomanifolds with  $g_2 \leq 4$ . For a characterization of homology  $d$ -manifolds with  $g_2 \leq 3$ , one can refer to [8].

## 2 Preliminaries

A *simplicial complex*  $K$  is a finite collection of simplices in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that for every simplex  $\sigma \in K$ , all of its faces are in  $K$ , and for any two simplices  $\sigma, \tau \in K$ ,  $\sigma \cap \tau$  is a face of both  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ . We assume that the empty set  $\emptyset$  (considered a simplex of dimension  $-1$ ) is a member of every simplicial complex. For a simplicial complex  $\Delta$ , the notation  $|\Delta|$  will be used to denote the geometric carrier, i.e.,  $|\Delta| := \cup_{\sigma \in \Delta} \sigma$ . Two simplices,  $\sigma = u_0 u_1 \cdots u_k$  and  $\tau = v_0 v_1 \cdots v_l$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , are considered skew if  $u_0, \dots, u_k, v_0, \dots, v_l$  are affinely independent. In that case,  $u_0 \cdots u_k v_0 \cdots v_l$  is a  $(k + l + 1)$ -simplex and is denoted by  $\sigma \star \tau$  or  $\sigma\tau$ . Two simplicial complexes  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$  in some  $\mathbb{R}^m$  are called *skew* if  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are skew for every simplex  $\sigma \in \Delta_1$  and  $\tau \in \Delta_2$ . The join of two skew simplicial complexes  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$  is defined as  $\{\sigma \star \tau : \sigma \in \Delta_1, \tau \in \Delta_2\}$ , and is denoted by  $\Delta_1 \star \Delta_2$ . In particular, the join  $\sigma \star \Delta_1$ , where  $\sigma$  is an  $i$ -simplex and  $\Delta_1$  is a  $j$ -dimensional simplicial complex, is defined as the  $(i + j + 1)$ -dimensional simplicial complex  $\{\tau : \tau \leq \sigma\} \star \Delta_1$ . If a simplex  $\tau$  is a face of another simplex  $\sigma$ , then we denote it by  $\tau \leq \sigma$ .

If  $S$  is a collection of simplices, then the sub-collection of all the simplices of dimension at most one in  $S$  is called the *graph* of  $S$  and is denoted by  $G(S)$ . The link of a face  $\sigma$  in  $\Delta$  is the collection of faces in  $\Delta$  that do not intersect  $\sigma$  and whose join with  $\sigma$  lies in  $\Delta$  and is denoted by  $\text{lk}(\sigma, \Delta)$ . The star of a face  $\sigma$  in  $\Delta$  is  $\{\gamma : \gamma \leq \sigma \star \alpha \text{ and } \alpha \in \text{lk}(\sigma, \Delta)\}$  and is denoted by  $\text{st}(\sigma, \Delta)$ . If the underlying simplicial complex is specified, we then simply write  $\text{lk}(\sigma)$  and  $\text{st}(\sigma)$  for  $\text{lk}(\sigma, \Delta)$  and  $\text{st}(\sigma, \Delta)$ , respectively. A maximal face in  $\Delta$  (i.e., a maximal simplex in  $\Delta$ ) is called a *facet* of  $\Delta$ , and if all the facets are of the same dimension, then we say  $\Delta$  is a pure simplicial complex. For a simplex  $\sigma$ , its boundary complex is defined as  $\{\tau : \tau \leq \sigma \text{ and } \tau \neq \sigma\}$ .

Several combinatorial tools have been defined to classify simplicial complexes, and taking the suspension of a simplicial complex is one such tool. In [3] and [10], another type of suspension has been defined for the class of normal pseudomanifolds by introducing one extra vertex instead of two. Let  $\Delta$  be a normal  $(d-1)$ -pseudomanifold, and  $v \in \Delta$  be a vertex. Then, for a new symbol  $u \notin \Delta$ , consider the normal  $d$ -pseudomanifold  $\sum_{v,u} \Delta := (v \star \{\tau \in \Delta : v \notin \tau\}) \cup (u \star \Delta)$ . The geometric carrier of  $\sum_{v,u} \Delta$  is the suspension of  $|\Delta|$ . The complex  $\sum_{v,u} \Delta$  is called the *one-vertex suspension* of  $\Delta$  with respect to the vertex  $v$ . Note that in  $\sum_{v,u} \Delta$ ,  $\text{lk } u$  and  $\text{lk } v$  are simplicially isomorphic to  $\Delta$ .

A  $d$ -pseudomanifold is a pure  $d$ -dimensional simplicial complex  $\Delta$  such that every face of dimension  $d-1$  is contained in exactly two facets, and for any pair of facets  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  in  $\Delta$ , there is a sequence of facets  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_m$  in  $\Delta$  such that  $\sigma = \sigma_1, \tau = \sigma_m$ , and  $\sigma_i \cap \sigma_{i+1}$  is a  $(d-1)$ -simplex in  $\Delta$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ . The  $d$ -pseudomanifolds in which the links of all faces of dimensions up to  $d-2$  are connected are called *normal*. In particular, a normal 3-pseudomanifold is a strongly connected 3-dimensional pure simplicial complex where the geometric carrier of the link of each vertex is a closed and connected surface. A vertex in a normal pseudomanifold  $\Delta$  whose link is a triangulated sphere is called a *non-singular vertex* of  $\Delta$ . If  $t$  is a vertex in a normal pseudomanifold  $\Delta$  whose link is not a triangulated sphere, we call  $t$  a *singular vertex* of  $\Delta$ , and if  $|\text{lk}(t, \Delta)| \cong S$ , we say  $t$  has  $S$ -singularity. Two combinatorial tools, vertex folding, and edge folding, are first introduced in [9], and these two are going to be the main key factors in our result.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  be two facets of a simplicial complex  $\Delta$  whose intersection is a single vertex  $x$ . Suppose there is a simplicial bijection  $\psi : \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2$  such that  $\psi(x) = x$  and for all other vertices  $y \leq \sigma_1$ , the only path of length two or less from  $y$  to  $\psi(y)$  is  $(y, x, \psi(y))$ . Then we can obtain a complex  $\Delta_x^\psi$  by identifying all the faces  $\rho \leq \sigma_1$  with  $\psi(\rho) \leq \sigma_2$  and then removing the identified facet. We call  $\Delta_x^\psi$  a *vertex folding* of  $\Delta$  at  $x$ , and in this case,  $\Delta$  is called a *vertex unfolding* of  $\Delta_x^\psi$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  be two facets of a simplicial complex  $\Delta$  whose intersection is a single edge  $xy$ . Suppose there is a simplicial bijection  $\psi : \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2$  such that  $\psi(x) = x$ ,  $\psi(y) = y$ , and for all other vertices  $u \leq \sigma_1$ , all paths of length two or less from  $u$  to  $\psi(u)$  pass through either  $x$  or  $y$ . Then we can obtain a complex  $\Delta_{xy}^\psi$  by identifying all the faces  $\rho \leq \sigma_1$  with  $\psi(\rho) \leq \sigma_2$  and then removing the identified facet. We call  $\Delta_{xy}^\psi$  an *edge folding* of  $\Delta$  at  $xy$ , and in this case,  $\Delta$  is called an *edge unfolding* of  $\Delta_{xy}^\psi$ .

If  $\Delta$  is a normal  $d$ -pseudomanifold, then  $\Delta_{xy}^\psi$  is a pseudomanifold that need not be normal. Moreover,

$$g_2(\Delta_{xy}^\psi) = g_2(\Delta) + \binom{d}{2}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\text{lk}(x, \Delta_{xy}^\psi) = \text{lk}(x, \Delta)_y^\psi.$$

After applying vertex folding and edge folding to a simplicial complex, the vertices of two facets get identified by the admissible map  $\psi$  in the new complex. However, to avoid ambiguity in notations in the new complex, we denote the identified vertices with the same notation as in the original complex.

The definition of a simplicial complex implies that sometimes  $\Delta$  may contain all the proper faces of a simplex  $\sigma$ , but  $\sigma \notin \Delta$ . In such a case, we say  $\sigma$  is a missing face of  $\Delta$ . If  $S$  is a subset of  $\Delta$  such that  $xy \in S$  but the vertices  $x$  and  $y$  are not in  $S$ , then we say that  $xy$  is an open edge of  $S$  and denote it by  $(x, y)$ . The presence of a missing tetrahedron and an open edge in a normal 3-pseudomanifold and its subsets provides information regarding the construction of the same.

**Proposition 2.3** ([9]). *Let  $\Delta$  be a normal 3-pseudomanifold. Suppose  $pqrs$  is a missing facet in  $\Delta$  such that  $\partial(pqs)$  and  $\partial(psr)$  separate  $\text{lk}(p, \Delta)$  and  $\text{lk}(q, \Delta)$ , respectively, and a small neighborhood of  $|\partial(pqr)|$  in  $|\text{lk}(s, \Delta)|$  is a Möbius strip. Then a small neighborhood of  $|\partial(pqs)|$  in  $|\text{lk}(r, \Delta)|$  is a Möbius strip. Further, there exists a normal 3-pseudomanifold  $\Delta'$  such that  $\Delta$  is obtained from  $\Delta'$  by an edge folding at  $rs \in \Delta'$ , and  $pqrs$  is the image of the removed facet.*

**Proposition 2.4** ([9]). *Let  $\Delta$  be a  $g_2$ -minimal normal 3-pseudomanifold with at most two singular vertices,  $t$  and  $s$ , such that  $g_2(\text{lk}(t, \Delta)) \geq g_2(\text{lk}(s, \Delta))$ . Then  $\Delta$  is obtained from a one-vertex suspension of  $\text{lk}(s, \Delta)$  and some boundary complexes of 4-simplices by a sequence of operations of the form vertex foldings and connected sums. In particular, if  $\Delta$  has exactly one singular vertex, then  $\Delta$  is obtained from some boundary complexes of 4-simplices by a sequence of operations of the form vertex foldings and connected sums.*

In Proposition 2.4, it is evident that if  $\text{lk}(t, \Delta) \cong \text{lk}(s, \Delta) \#_n H$ , where  $H$  is either Torus or Klein bottle, then  $\Delta$  is obtained from a one-vertex suspension of  $\text{lk}(s, \Delta)$  and some boundary complexes of 4-simplices by exactly  $n$  vertex foldings and finitely many connected sums.

**Proposition 2.5** ([9]). *Let  $\Delta$  be a  $g_2$ -minimal normal 3-pseudomanifold. Then there exists a normal 3-pseudomanifold  $\bar{\Delta}$  and a vertex  $t \in \bar{\Delta}$  such that  $\Delta$  is obtained from  $\bar{\Delta}$  by (possibly zero) facet subdivisions, and  $G(\bar{\Delta}) = G(\text{st}(t, \bar{\Delta}))$ .*

### 3 Normal 3-pseudomanifolds with three or four singularities

Let  $\Delta$  be a normal 3-pseudomanifold and  $uv$  be an edge in  $\Delta$ . Then  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta) \setminus \{\sigma \in \text{lk}(v, \Delta) : u \leq \sigma\}$  is a triangulation of a surface with boundary. Let  $K$  be a triangulation of a surface with a boundary. An edge  $e$  is called an *interior edge* of  $K$  if  $e$  is contained in exactly two triangles in  $K$ , and an edge  $e$  is called a *boundary edge* of  $K$  if  $e$  is contained in exactly one triangle in  $K$ . A vertex  $v$  is called a *boundary vertex* of  $K$  if there is a boundary edge of  $K$  containing  $v$ . A vertex  $u$  is referred to as an *interior vertex* of  $K$  if there is no boundary edge of  $K$  containing  $u$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\Delta$  be a normal 3-pseudomanifold such that  $G(\Delta) = G(\text{st}(t, \Delta))$ , and let  $v$  be a vertex in  $\Delta$  such that  $v \neq t$ . Then  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta) \setminus \{\sigma \in \text{lk}(v, \Delta) : t \leq \sigma\}$  does not contain any interior vertex.*

*Proof.* If  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta) \setminus \{\sigma \in \text{lk}(v, \Delta) : t \leq \sigma\}$  has an interior vertex, say  $x$ , then  $x \in \text{lk}(t, \Delta)$ , and  $vx$  is an edge in  $\Delta$ , but  $vx \notin \text{lk}(t, \Delta)$ . This leads to a contradiction.  $\square$

Let  $v$  be a singular vertex in  $\Delta$ . If  $|\text{lk}(v, \Delta)| \cong \mathbb{RP}^2$ , then  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta) \setminus \{\sigma \in \text{lk}(v, \Delta) : t \leq \sigma\}$  is a Möbius strip, and by Lemma 3.1,  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta) \setminus \{\sigma \in \text{lk}(v, \Delta) : t \leq \sigma\}$  has no interior vertices. Let  $M$  be a Möbius strip that does not have any interior vertices. An edge  $xy \in M$  will be called a *cut edge* if the edge  $xy$  is an interior edge of  $M$ , and  $xy$  separates  $M$  into two portions. Then one portion will be a disc, and the other portion will be another Möbius strip. If  $xy$  is an interior edge of  $M$ , and  $xy$  does not separate  $M$ , then we say  $xy$  is a *non-cut edge* of the Möbius strip  $M$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\Delta$  be a normal 3-pseudomanifold such that  $G(\Delta) = G(\text{st}(t, \Delta))$  and  $\Delta$  has exactly three singular vertices, including  $t$ . Let  $v$  be a singular vertex in  $\Delta$  such that  $v \neq t$  and  $|\text{lk}(v, \Delta)| \cong \mathbb{RP}^2$ . Then  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta) \setminus \{\sigma \in \text{lk}(v, \Delta) : t \leq \sigma\}$  contains a non-cut edge  $xy$ , where  $x$  and  $y$  are non-singular vertices in  $\Delta$ . Moreover, the non-cut edge  $xy$  creates a missing tetrahedron  $xyvt$  in  $\Delta$  such that a small neighborhood of  $|\partial(xyvt)|$  in  $|\text{lk}(v, \Delta)|$  is a Möbius strip.*

*Proof.* From Lemma 3.1, we know that the Möbius strip  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta) \setminus \{\sigma \in \text{lk}(v, \Delta) : t \leq \sigma\}$  does not contain any interior vertex. If the Möbius strip  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta) \setminus \{\sigma \in \text{lk}(v, \Delta) : t \leq \sigma\}$  has a cut edge, then we can cut along the cut edge, and we get another Möbius strip. Continuing this a finite number of times, we have a Möbius strip  $M$  where each interior edge will be a non-cut edge. Note that a non-cut edge of  $M$  is also a non-cut edge of  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta) \setminus \{\sigma \in \text{lk}(v, \Delta) : t \leq \sigma\}$ . Furthermore, any vertex  $x \in M$  is on the boundary of  $M$  and has a degree of at least 3. Moreover,  $x$  is adjacent to two vertices in the boundary of  $M$ , and for the other vertex  $y$ , if  $xy$  is an edge in  $M$ , then  $xy$  is an interior edge, and hence a non-cut edge of  $M$ . Let  $p$  be the singular vertex other than  $t$  and  $v$  in  $\Delta$ . If possible, let  $M$  not contain an interior edge  $xy$ , where  $x$  and  $y$  are non-singular vertices in  $\Delta$ . Then, all the interior edges of  $M$  are incident to  $p$  in  $M$ . If a non-singular vertex  $u$  is not adjacent to  $p$  in  $M$ , then  $d(u) \geq 3$  implies that there is a non-singular vertex  $x$  such that  $ux$  is an interior edge of  $M$ , leading to a contradiction. Thus, all non-singular vertices of  $M$  must be adjacent to  $p$  in  $M$ . Consequently, the Möbius strip  $M$  is the same as  $\text{st}(p, M)$ . This is contradictory, as  $\text{st}(p, M)$  is a 2-ball. Therefore,  $M$  contains an interior edge  $xy$ , where  $x$  and  $y$  are non-singular vertices in  $\Delta$ . Since each interior edge of  $M$  is a non-cut edge of  $M$ , and a non-cut edge of  $M$  is also a non-cut edge of  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta) \setminus \{\sigma \in \text{lk}(v, \Delta) : t \leq \sigma\}$ , the result is achieved.

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that  $x, y \in \text{lk}(tv, \Delta)$ . Since  $xy$  is an interior edge of  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta) \setminus \{\sigma \in \text{lk}(v, \Delta) : t \leq \sigma\}$ ,  $xy \notin \text{lk}(tv, \Delta)$ . Thus,  $xyvt \notin \Delta$ . On the other hand,  $xy \in \text{lk}(v, \Delta)$ ,  $x, y \in \text{lk}(tv, \Delta)$ , and  $G(\Delta) = G(\text{st}(t, \Delta))$  imply that  $\partial(xyvt) \subset \Delta$ . Therefore,  $xyvt$  is a missing tetrahedron in  $\Delta$ . Since  $xy$  is a non-cut edge of  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta) \setminus \{\sigma \in \text{lk}(v, \Delta) : t \leq \sigma\}$ ,  $\partial(txy)$  does not separate  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta)$ . Since  $|\text{lk}(v, \Delta)| \cong \mathbb{RP}^2$ , a small neighborhood of  $|\partial(txy)|$  in  $|\text{lk}(v, \Delta)|$  is a Möbius strip.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $\Delta$  be a normal 3-pseudomanifold such that  $G(\Delta) = G(\text{st}(t, \Delta))$  and  $\Delta$  has exactly four singular vertices, including  $t$ . Let  $v$  be a singular vertex in  $\Delta$  such that  $v \neq t$  and  $|\text{lk}(v, \Delta)| \cong \mathbb{RP}^2$ . Then  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta) \setminus \{\sigma \in \text{lk}(v, \Delta) : t \leq \sigma\}$  contains a non-cut edge  $xy$ , where  $x$  and  $y$  are non-singular vertices in  $\Delta$ . Moreover, the non-cut edge  $xy$  creates a missing tetrahedron  $xyvt$  in  $\Delta$  such that a small neighborhood of  $|\partial(xyvt)|$  in  $|\text{lk}(v, \Delta)|$  is a Möbius strip.*

*Proof.* Let  $p$  and  $q$  be two singular vertices other than  $v$  and  $t$  in  $\Delta$ . From Lemma 3.1, we know that the Möbius strip  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta) \setminus \{\sigma \in \text{lk}(v, \Delta) : t \leq \sigma\}$  does not contain any interior vertex. If the Möbius strip  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta) \setminus \{\sigma \in \text{lk}(v, \Delta) : t \leq \sigma\}$  has a cut edge, then we can

cut along the cut edge and get another Möbius strip. Continuing this a finite number of times, we have a Möbius strip  $M$  where each interior edge is a non-cut edge. Furthermore, any vertex  $x \in M$  is in the boundary of  $M$  and has a degree of at least 3. Moreover,  $x$  is adjacent to two vertices in the boundary of  $M$ , and for the other vertex  $y$ , if  $xy$  is an edge in  $M$ , then  $xy$  is an interior edge and hence a non-cut edge of  $M$ . If  $M$  contains exactly one singular vertex, then we achieve our result by using similar arguments as in Lemma 3.2.




Figure 1

Assume that  $M$  contains both singular vertices  $p$  and  $q$ . If possible, suppose there is no interior edge  $xy$  in  $M$ , where  $x$  and  $y$  are non-singular vertices. In such a case, all the interior edges of  $M$  are incident to either  $p$  or  $q$ . If a non-singular vertex  $u$  is adjacent to neither  $p$  nor  $q$  in  $M$ , then  $d(u) \geq 3$  implies the existence of a non-singular vertex  $x$  such that  $ux$  is an interior edge of  $M$ , leading to a contradiction. Thus, all non-singular vertices of  $M$  must be connected to either  $p$  or  $q$  in  $M$ . Consequently, the Möbius strip  $M$  is the same as the union of  $\text{st}(p, M)$  and  $\text{st}(q, M)$ . Now we consider three possibilities.

**Case 1:** Let the edge  $pq \notin M$ . Then, the stars  $\text{st}(p, M)$  and  $\text{st}(q, M)$  take the forms depicted in Figure 1, where  $p_i$  and  $q_j$  are non-singular vertices,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . If we identify  $p_i p_{i+1}$  with  $q_j q_{j+1}$  or  $q_{j+1} q_j$ , then the identified edge will be in the interior of  $M$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $\text{st}(p, M)$  and  $\text{st}(q, M)$  are disjoint, which contradicts the fact that the Möbius strip  $M$  is the same as the union of  $\text{st}(p, M)$  and  $\text{st}(q, M)$ .

**Case 2:** Let  $pq$  be a boundary edge of  $M$ . Suppose  $\text{lk}(p, M) = P(p_1, \dots, p_n, x, q)$ . Then, no edge of the form  $qz$  can be identified with some  $p_i p_{i+1}$  or  $p_{i+1} p_i$ . Let  $\text{lk}(q, M) = P(q_1, \dots, q_m, x, p)$ . Here,  $x, p_i$ , and  $q_j$  are non-singular vertices,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . If we identify  $p_i p_{i+1}$  with  $q_j q_{j+1}$  or  $q_{j+1} q_j$ , then the identified edge will be an interior edge of  $M$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore, the union of  $\text{st}(p, M)$  and  $\text{st}(q, M)$  is a 2-ball (cf. Figure 2 (a)), contradicting the fact that the Möbius strip  $M$  is the same as the union of  $\text{st}(p, M)$  and  $\text{st}(q, M)$ .

**Case 3:** Let  $pq$  be an interior edge of  $M$ . Let  $\text{lk}(p, M) = P(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n_1}, x, q, y, p'_{n_2}, p'_{n_2-1}, \dots, p'_2, p'_1)$ . Then, no edge of the form  $qz$  can be identified with an edge in the path  $P(p_{n_1}, p_{n_1-1}, \dots, p_1, p, p'_1, p'_2, \dots, p'_{n_2})$ . Let  $\text{lk}(q, M) = P(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{m_1}, x, p, y, q'_{m_2}, q'_{m_2-1}, \dots, q'_2, q'_1)$ . Here,  $x, y, p_i, p'_j, q_k$ , and  $q'_l$  are non-singular vertices,  $1 \leq i \leq n_1$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n_2$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq m_1$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq m_2$ . If we identify any edge from the paths  $P(p_{n_1}, p_{n_1-1}, \dots, p_1)$  or  $P(p'_1, p'_2, \dots, p'_{n_2})$  with an edge from the paths  $P(q_{m_1}, q_{m_1-1}, \dots, q_1)$  or  $P(q'_1, q'_2, \dots, q'_{m_2})$ , then the identified edge will be an interior edge of  $M$ , leading to a contradiction. Consequently, the union of  $\text{st}(p, M)$  and  $\text{st}(q, M)$  is a 2-ball (cf. Figure 2 (b)), contradicting the fact that the Möbius strip  $M$  is the same as the union of  $\text{st}(p, M)$  and  $\text{st}(q, M)$ .




Figure 2

Therefore,  $M$  contains an interior edge  $xy$ , where  $x$  and  $y$  are non-singular vertices in  $\Delta$ . Since every interior edge of  $M$  is a non-cut edge, and a non-cut edge of  $M$  is likewise a non-cut edge of  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta) \setminus \{\sigma \in \text{lk}(v, \Delta) : t \leq \sigma\}$ , we have successfully established the result.

Using similar arguments as those in Lemma 3.2, it can be concluded that  $xyvt$  is a missing tetrahedron in  $\Delta$ . Since  $xy$  is a non-cut edge of  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta) \setminus \{\sigma \in \text{lk}(v, \Delta) : t \leq \sigma\}$ , the boundary  $\partial(txy)$  does not separate  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta)$ . Since  $|\text{lk}(v, \Delta)| \cong \mathbb{RP}^2$ , a small neighborhood of  $|\partial(txy)|$  in  $|\text{lk}(v, \Delta)|$  is a Möbius strip.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $\Delta$  be a  $g_2$ -minimal normal 3-pseudomanifold, and let  $t$  be a singular vertex in  $\Delta$  such that  $G(\Delta) = G(\text{st}(t, \Delta))$ .*

1. *If  $\Delta$  has three singular vertices, including one  $\mathbb{RP}^2$ -singularity, then  $\Delta$  is obtained by an edge folding from a  $g_2$ -minimal normal 3-pseudomanifold with exactly two singularities.*
2. *If  $\Delta$  has four singular vertices, including two  $\mathbb{RP}^2$ -singularities, then  $\Delta$  is obtained by two repeated edge foldings on a  $g_2$ -minimal normal 3-pseudomanifold with exactly two singularities.*

*Proof.* First, we assume that  $\Delta$  has three singular vertices, and let the singular vertices of  $\Delta$  other than  $t$  be  $s$  and  $v_1$ , where  $|\text{lk}(v_1, \Delta)| \cong \mathbb{RP}^2$ . Since  $|\text{lk}(v_1, \Delta)| \cong \mathbb{RP}^2$ , by Lemma 3.2, there is a missing tetrahedron  $tv_1xy$ , where  $x$  and  $y$  are non-singular vertices. Moreover, a small neighborhood of  $|\partial(txy)|$  in  $|\text{lk}(v_1, \Delta)|$  is a Möbius strip. According to Proposition 2.3, there exists a normal 3-pseudomanifold  $\Delta'$  such that  $\Delta = (\Delta')_{tv_1}^\psi$ . Since  $\Delta$  is  $g_2$ -minimal with respect to  $t$ ,  $\Delta'$  is also  $g_2$ -minimal with respect to  $t$ . On the other hand,  $g_2(\text{lk}(v_1, \Delta')) = g_2(\text{lk}(v_1, \Delta)) - 3 = 0$ , and hence  $v_1$  is a non-singular vertex in  $\Delta'$ . Since  $\text{lk}(s, \Delta)$  is isomorphic to  $\text{lk}(s, \Delta')$ ,  $\Delta'$  is a  $g_2$ -minimal normal pseudomanifold with two singular vertices  $t$  and  $s$ . Moreover,  $g_2(\Delta') = g_2(\text{lk}(t, \Delta'))$ , and  $\Delta$  is obtained from  $\Delta'$  by an edge folding along the edge  $tv_1$ , where  $v_1$  is a non-singular vertex in  $\Delta'$ .

Now, let  $\Delta$  have four singular vertices, including two  $\mathbb{RP}^2$ -singularities. Let  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  be the vertices in  $\Delta$  such that  $|\text{lk}(v_1, \Delta)| \cong |\text{lk}(v_2, \Delta)| \cong \mathbb{RP}^2$ . Since  $|\text{lk}(v_2, \Delta)| \cong \mathbb{RP}^2$ , by Lemma 3.3, there is a missing tetrahedron  $tv_2wz$ , where  $w$  and  $z$  are non-singular vertices. Moreover, a small neighborhood of  $|\partial(tv_2wz)|$  in  $|\text{lk}(v_2, \Delta)|$  is a Möbius strip. Therefore, by Proposition 2.3,  $\Delta$  is formed via an edge folding along the edge  $tv_2$  from a normal 3-pseudomanifold  $\Delta'$ . In  $\Delta'$ ,  $v_2$  is a non-singular vertex because  $g_2(\text{lk}(v_2, \Delta')) = g_2(\text{lk}(v_2, \Delta)) - 3 = 0$ . Since the singular vertices other than  $t$  and  $v_2$  did not participate in the process of edge folding, their link in  $\Delta'$  will be isomorphic to the links in  $\Delta$ . On the other hand,  $\Delta'$  is also a  $g_2$ -minimal normal pseudomanifold, with  $g_2(\Delta') = g_2(\text{lk}(t, \Delta'))$ . Thus,  $\Delta'$  is a  $g_2$  minimal normal pseudomanifold with three singular vertices, and  $v_1 \in \Delta'$  is a vertex such that  $|\text{lk}(v_1, \Delta')| \cong \mathbb{RP}^2$ . By the same argument as in the last paragraph,  $\Delta'$  is obtained from a  $g_2$ -minimal normal 3-pseudomanifold  $\Delta''$  with exactly two singular vertices by an edge folding along the edge  $tv_1$ , where  $v_1$  is a non-singular vertex in  $\Delta''$ . Therefore,  $\Delta$  is obtained from  $\Delta''$  by two edge foldings along the edges  $tv_1$  and  $tv_2$ , where  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are non-singular vertices in  $\Delta''$ .  $\square$

Let  $\Delta$  be a  $g_2$ -minimal normal 3-pseudomanifold with three singular vertices,  $t, s$ , and  $v$ , where  $|\text{lk}(v, \Delta)| \cong \mathbb{RP}^2$ . Also, let  $g_2(\Delta) = g_2(\text{lk}(t, \Delta))$ . It follows from Proposition 2.5 that  $\Delta = \bar{\Delta} \# \Delta_1 \# \cdots \# \Delta_n$ , where each  $\Delta_i$  is the boundary complex of a 4-simplex, and  $G(\bar{\Delta}) = G(\text{st}(t, \bar{\Delta}))$ . Note that  $\bar{\Delta}$  contains a copy of each of the vertices  $t, s$ , and  $v$ , with the links having the same Betti numbers as the link of those vertices in  $\Delta$ . Let  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  be the first Betti numbers of  $\text{lk}(t, \Delta)$  and  $\text{lk}(s, \Delta)$ , respectively. By Theorem 3.4,  $\bar{\Delta}$  is obtained from a  $g_2$ -minimal normal 3-pseudomanifold  $\Delta'$  by an edge folding along an edge of the form  $tv$ , where  $v$  is a non-singular vertex in  $\Delta'$ . Further,  $\Delta'$  is  $g_2$ -minimal with respect to the singular vertex  $t \in \Delta'$ , and the first Betti number of the link of  $s$  in  $\Delta'$  is unchanged. Notice from the definition that an edge folding along an edge  $tx$  reduces the first Betti number of the corresponding vertices by 1 in the resulting complex, and it follows that  $b_1 - b_2 \geq 1$ . On the other hand, if  $\Delta$  has four singular vertices, including two  $\mathbb{RP}^2$ -singularities, and  $G(\Delta) = G(\text{st}(t, \Delta))$ , then  $\Delta$  is obtained from a  $g_2$ -minimal normal pseudomanifold by two repeated applications of edge folding. Therefore, by Proposition 2.5 and Theorem 3.4, we have the following:

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $\Delta$  be a  $g_2$ -minimal normal 3-pseudomanifold with four singular vertices  $t, s, v_1$ , and  $v_2$ , where  $|\text{lk}(v_1, \Delta)| \cong |\text{lk}(v_2, \Delta)| \cong \mathbb{RP}^2$  and  $\Delta$  is  $g_2$ -minimal with respect to the vertex  $t$ . If  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  are the first Betti numbers of  $\text{lk}(t, \Delta)$  and  $\text{lk}(s, \Delta)$ , respectively, then  $b_1 - b_2 \geq 2$ .*

Let  $\Delta$  be a  $g_2$ -minimal normal 3-pseudomanifold with three singular vertices, including one  $\mathbb{RP}^2$ -singularity, or four singular vertices, including two  $\mathbb{RP}^2$ -singularities. Let  $s$  and  $t$  be the other singular vertices in  $\Delta$ , where  $g_2(\Delta) = g_2(\text{lk}(t, \Delta))$ . Then in the first case,  $\text{lk}(t, \Delta) \cong \text{lk}(s, \Delta) \#_n \mathbb{T}^2 \# \mathbb{RP}^2$ , and for the second case,  $\text{lk}(t, \Delta) \cong \text{lk}(s, \Delta) \#_n \mathbb{T}^2 \# \mathbb{RP}^2 \# \mathbb{RP}^2$ , where  $\mathbb{T}^2$  denotes a triangulated torus. Thus, using Proposition 2.5, Theorem 3.4, and Proposition 2.4, we have the following corollaries:

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $\Delta$  be a  $g_2$ -minimal normal 3-pseudomanifold with three singular vertices, including one  $\mathbb{RP}^2$ -singularity. Let  $t$  be the singular vertex in  $\Delta$  such that  $g_2(\Delta) = g_2(\text{lk}(t, \Delta))$ . Then,  $\Delta$  is obtained from a one-vertex suspension of  $\text{lk}(s, \Delta)$  and some boundary complexes of 4-simplices by  $n$  vertex foldings, one edge folding, and finitely many connected sums, where  $\text{lk}(t, \Delta) \cong \text{lk}(s, \Delta) \#_n \mathbb{T}^2 \# \mathbb{RP}^2$  for a singular vertex  $s$ .*



**Corollary 3.7.** *Let  $\Delta$  be a  $g_2$ -minimal normal 3-pseudomanifold with four singular vertices, including two  $\mathbb{RP}^2$ -singularities. Let  $t$  be the singular vertex in  $\Delta$  such that  $g_2(\Delta) = g_2(\text{lk}(t, \Delta))$ . Then  $\Delta$  is obtained from a one-vertex suspension of  $\text{lk}(s, \Delta)$  and some boundary complexes of 4-simplices by  $n$  vertex foldings, two edge foldings, and finitely many connected sums, where  $\text{lk}(t, \Delta) \cong \text{lk}(s, \Delta) \#_n \mathbb{T}^2 \# \mathbb{RP}^2 \# \mathbb{RP}^2$  for a singular vertex  $s$ .*

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* The proof follows from Corollaries 3.6 and 3.7. □

**Remark 3.8.** The proof of Theorem 1.1 depends on Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, which give the existence of a non-cut edge  $xy$  in  $\text{lk}(v, \Delta) \setminus \{\sigma \in \text{lk}(v, \Delta) : t \leq \sigma\}$ , where  $v$  is a singular vertex and  $x, y$  are non-singular vertices in  $\Delta$ . If  $\Delta$  has more than four singular vertices, then such a non-cut edge may not exist. Therefore, if  $\Delta$  has more than four singular vertices, then such a characterization for  $\Delta$  may not be possible. In fact, we have an example of a  $g_2$ -minimal normal 3-pseudomanifold  $\Delta$  with five singular vertices, among which one has  $\mathbb{T}^2$ -singularity and the remaining four have  $\mathbb{RP}^2$ -singularities (see [1]), where  $\Delta$  cannot be obtained from a normal 3-pseudomanifold  $\Delta'$  by an edge folding.

**Acknowledgement:** The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their many useful comments and suggestions. The first author is supported by the Science and Engineering Research Board (CRG/2021/000859). The second author is supported by CSIR (India). The third author is supported by the Prime Minister's Research Fellows (PMRF) Scheme.

## References

- [1] T. Akhmejanov, Triangulations of normal 3-pseudomanifolds on 9 Vertices, <https://pi.math.cornell.edu/~takhmejanov/pseudoManifolds.html>
- [2] B. Bagchi and B. Datta, A structure theorem for pseudomanifolds, *Discrete Math.* **188** (1998), no. 1-3, 41–60.
- [3] B. Bagchi and B. Datta, Lower bound theorem for normal pseudomanifolds, *Expo. Math.* **26** (2008), 327–351.
- [4] D. Barnette, A proof of the lower bound conjecture for convex polytopes, *Pacific J. Math.* **46** (1973), 349–354.
- [5] D. Barnette, Graph theorems for manifolds, *Israel J. Math.* **16** (1973), 62–72.
- [6] B. Basak and R. K. Gupta: A characterization of normal 3-pseudomanifolds with  $g_2 \leq 4$ , 2022, 13 pages, arXiv: 2202.06638v1.
- [7] B. Basak, R. K. Gupta and S. Sarkar, A characterization of normal 3-pseudomanifolds with at most two singularities, *Discrete Math.* **346** (12) (2023), Paper No. 113588, 15 pp.
- [8] B. Basak and S. Sarkar: On a construction of some homology  $d$ -manifolds, 2023, 22 pages.

- [9] B. Basak and E. Swartz, Three-dimensional normal pseudomanifolds with relatively few edges, *Advances in Mathematics* **365** (2020) 107035, 1–25.
- [10] A. Björner and F. Lutz, H. Simplicial manifolds, bistellar flips and a 16-vertex triangulation of the Poincaré homology 3-sphere. *Experiment. Math.* **9** (2000), 275–289.
- [11] A. Fogelsanger, The generic rigidity of minimal cycles, Ph.D. thesis, Cornell University, 1988.
- [12] M. Gromov, *Partial differential relations*, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1986.
- [13] G. Kalai, Rigidity and the lower bound theorem I, *Invent. Math.* **88** (1987), 125–151.
- [14] E. Nevo and E. Novinsky, A characterization of simplicial polytopes with  $g_2 = 1$ , *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **118** (2011), 387–395.
- [15] I. Novik and E. Swartz, Face numbers of pseudomanifolds with isolated singularities, *Math. Scan.*, **110** (2012), 198–212.
- [16] E. Swartz, Topological finiteness for edge-vertex enumeration, *Adv. Math.*, **219** (2008), 1722–1728.
- [17] E. Swartz, Face enumeration: From spheres to manifolds, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, **11** (2009), 449–485.
- [18] T. Tay, N. White and W. Whiteley, Skeletal rigidity of simplicial complexes II, *European J. Combin.* **16** (1995), 503–525.
- [19] D. Walkup, The lower bound conjecture for 3- and 4-manifolds, *Acta Math.* **125** (1970), 75–107.
- [20] H. Zheng, A characterization of homology manifolds with  $g_2 \leq 2$ , *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **153** (2018), 31–45.