

SHORT SECOND MOMENT BOUND AND SUBCONVEXITY FOR $GL(3)$ L -FUNCTIONS

KESHAV AGGARWAL, WING HONG LEUNG AND RITABRATA MUNSHI

ABSTRACT. Let π be a Hecke cusp form for $SL_3(\mathbb{Z})$. We bound the second moment average of $L(s, \pi)$ over a short interval to obtain the subconvexity estimate

$$L(1/2 + it, \pi) \ll_{\pi, \varepsilon} (1 + |t|)^{3/4 - 1/8 + \varepsilon}.$$

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULT

The problem of bounding automorphic L -functions on the central line $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 1/2$, has enthralled researchers for over a century. The first subconvex bound was announced by Littlewood in a proceedings of the London Mathematical Society in 1921. Adopting a method of Weyl for bounding exponential sums, Hardy and Littlewood proved that

$$\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \ll_{\varepsilon} (1 + |t|)^{\frac{1}{6} + \varepsilon},$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$. In contrast the trivial (convexity) bound, a simple consequence of the functional equation of the zeta function, yields the exponent $1/4$ in place of $1/6$. Complete details of the proof of Hardy-Littlewood's result appeared only later in a paper of Landau [21]. The exponent $1/6$, which is $2/3$ -rd of the convexity bound, is 'fundamental', and can be achieved using other methods, e.g. short moment computation of Iwaniec [16] and high moment computation of Heath-Brown [13]. To go beyond $1/6$, the so called Weyl bound, one needs deeper understanding of short exponential sums, as was done systematically by van der Corput and others [12]. Accordingly the Weyl bound has been breached, albeit mildly, dozens of times in the last hundred years, and the present record is due to Bourgain [8] which states that

$$\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \ll_{\varepsilon} (1 + |t|)^{\frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{84} + \varepsilon}.$$

The interest in such improvements lies not in the bound itself, but in the method that leads to it. However the strength of the bound documents the strength of the method, and as such is an important marker.

The classical result of Hardy-Littlewood-Weyl was first extended to degree two L -functions by Good [11] in 1980's. For any holomorphic form f of full level, Good established the bound

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, f\right) \ll_{f, \varepsilon} (1 + |t|)^{\frac{1}{3} + \varepsilon}.$$

Since this L -function can be compared with the square of the Riemann zeta function, one notices that the above exponent matches with the Weyl exponent. Later the same bound was established for the much broader class of Maass forms by Meurmann [25]. Around the same time Jutila [18] proved the same result using more elementary means based on his study of exponential sums twisted by $GL(2)$ Fourier coefficients. In a recent work, Booker et al [7] have established Weyl bound for L -functions of holomorphic cusp forms for $\Gamma_1(N)$ for any level N . This was extended to degree two L -functions, of any level, nebentypus and spectral parameter by the first author [2]. However any improvement over this bound is yet to be achieved. The recent work [14] of the third author together with Holowinsky and Qi, makes some progress towards this important problem by establishing cancellation in very short sums (beyond the Weyl range). Unfortunately their work does not yield anything new in the generic length

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F66, 11M41.

Key words and phrases. Subconvexity, Delta method, Short second moment, Voronoi summation.

(square root of the conductor), and hence one does not get a sub-Weyl bound for the L -function.

The t -aspect subconvexity problem for degree three L -functions remained an important open problem for a long time, till the breakthrough work of Li [22], where she adopted the method of Conrey and Iwaniec [9] to establish subconvex bound for symmetric square (self-dual) L -functions

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \text{Sym}^2 f\right) \ll_{f,\varepsilon} (1 + |t|)^{\frac{11}{16} + \varepsilon}.$$

Since non-negativity of central L -values play a crucial role in the Conrey-Iwaniec approach, it seems that Li's method can not be extended beyond self-dual forms. However the bound has been improved substantially. First McKee, Sun and Ye [24] established the exponent $2/3$, using the techniques of Li, but applying finer tools to deal with the exponential integrals. This was further improved by Nunes [30] to $5/8$, which doubles the initial saving given by Li. A recent preprint of Lin, Nunes and Qi [23] establish the much stronger exponent $3/5$, which is most likely the limit of the method in this set-up, but still falls short of the Weyl exponent $1/2$. Hybrid bounds have been obtain by Young [31] and Khan-Young [19] by estimating the second moment of $\text{GL}_3 \times \text{GL}_2$ L -functions. In the case of general non self-dual degree three L -functions $L(s, \pi)$, the first subconvex bound was established by the third author [28] using the delta method -

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \pi\right) \ll_{\pi,\varepsilon} (1 + |t|)^{\frac{11}{16} + \varepsilon}.$$

This was improved by the first author [3], who established the exponent $27/40$ by applying delta method with more careful analysis of integral transforms. His exponent is the limit of the delta method recipe in this context.

In a recent pre-print, Nelson [29] has announced a solution of the t -aspect subconvexity problem for standard L -functions, regardless of its degree. This breakthrough work is based on period approach introduced in earlier landmark papers of Bernstein-Reznikov [4] and Michel-Venkatesh [26]. For low degree L -functions, Nelson's bound is worse than what is already known. It is however understood that the method has the potential to produce strong bounds even in the case of low degrees.

1.1. Statement of Results and Methodology. We now state our results and discuss the main ideas. Let π be a Hecke cusp form of type (ν_1, ν_2) for $\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$. Let the normalized Fourier coefficients be given by $\lambda(m_1, m_2)$ (so that $\lambda(1, 1) = 1$). The L -series associated with π is given by

$$L(s, \pi) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda(1, n) n^{-s}, \quad \text{for } \text{Re}(s) > 1.$$

Our main result is given as the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let π be a Hecke-Maass cusp form for $\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $t^{1/2} < M < t^{1-\varepsilon}$, we have*

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2} + iv, \pi\right) \ll_{\pi,\varepsilon} t^\varepsilon \left(\frac{t^{9/4}}{M^{3/2}} + \frac{M^3}{t^{21/20}} + M^{7/4} t^{3/40} + M^{15/14} t^{15/28} \right).$$

In particular, when $M = t^{2/3}$, we have

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2} + iv, \pi\right) \ll_{\pi,\varepsilon} t^{5/4+\varepsilon}.$$

The second moment average can be used to bound the L -function by modifying Good's arguments [11] (Lemma 3.5). We obtain that for any $\log(t) < M < t^{1-\varepsilon}$,

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \pi\right) \ll \log t \left(1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} U\left(\frac{v}{M}\right) L\left(\frac{1}{2} + it + iv, \pi\right) dv \right), \quad (1)$$

where $U(x) \in C_c^\infty([1, 2])$ is an appropriate bump function. This yields the following subconvexity bound.

Theorem 1.2. *Let π be a Hecke-Maass cusp form for $SL_3(\mathbb{Z})$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$L(1/2 + it, \pi) \ll_{\pi, \varepsilon} t^{3/4-1/8+\varepsilon}.$$

Studying moments of L -functions is an important theme in modern analytic number theory. Various results have been obtained by estimating the first and second moment of L -functions in the last fifteen years. Li [22] and subsequent works improving her subconvexity exponent consider the first moment of a family of $GL_3 \times GL_2$ L -functions

$$\sum_{|t_j - T| < M} L(1/2, \pi \times f_j) + \int_{T-M}^{T+M} |L(1/2 + it, \pi)|^2 dt.$$

Here the GL_3 form π is self-dual and fixed, and the average is taken over a family of GL_2 forms $\{f_j\}$ with eigenvalues t_j . In comparison, we consider a short second moment of the L -function of a fixed GL_3 Hecke-Maass cusp form. The clear advantage is our ability to take π not necessarily self-dual.

We briefly discuss the main ideas to highlight the novelty of our proof. We start with using an approximate functional equation in (1) and execute the v -integral to obtain a shifted sum twisted with an additive character

$$S_{M,H}(N) \sim M \sum_{h \sim H} \sum_{n \sim N} \lambda(1, n) \overline{\lambda(1, n+h)} e^{\frac{th}{2\pi n}},$$

with H going up to $N^{1+\varepsilon}/M$. Next, we apply Duke-Friedlander-Iwaniec's delta method (Lemma 4.1) to separate the oscillations of the Fourier coefficients

$$S_{M,H}(N) \sim M \sum_{h \sim H} \sum_{n \sim N} \lambda(1, n) e^{\frac{th}{2\pi n}} \sum_{m \sim N} \overline{\lambda(1, m)} \delta(m = n+h).$$

Application of the delta method contributes Q^2 -many additive frequencies of size $1 \leq q \leq Q$, where Q is a parameter to be chosen later. This is followed by applying dual summation formulas to the n, m and h sums. However, at this point, we diverge from the 'routine' and apply a combination of Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the Duality principle. This allows us to change the lengths of summations inside the absolute-value squared obtained after Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and may be considered the first novelty of our proof.

The next step is to apply dual summation formulas to the new m and n sums followed by stationary phase analysis of the various integral transforms. Although not novel, one needs extreme care in this analysis. This brings us close to the convexity barrier.

Previous application of Cauchy-Schwarz and Duality principle had created two copies of the h and q -sums. The final steps involve applying Poisson summation formula to the new h_1, h_2 -sum and the q_1, q_2 -sum. However, we are faced with a unique issue due to the Duality principle. The q_1, q_2 -sum has structure of the form

$$\sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \sum_{q_1, q_2 \sim Q} \beta(q_1) \overline{\beta(q_2)} e^{\frac{aq_2}{q_1} + \frac{bq_1}{q_2}} G(q_1, q_2),$$

where a, b are some integers, G is some function and β is a sequence of complex numbers appearing from the Duality principle. While we would like to extract savings from the q_1, q_2 -sums, the presence of β is an obstacle. To overcome this hurdle, we apply Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to take out q_1 -sum. This allows us to get rid of $\beta(q_1)$ and hence perform Poisson summation in q_1 -sum to obtain cancellations. If we stop the analysis here, the character sum present at this stage is a Kloosterman sum. Application of the Weil-Deligne bound yields

$$\int_{t-M}^{t+M} L\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \pi\right)^2 dt \ll_{\pi, \varepsilon} t^\varepsilon \left(\frac{t^{9/4}}{M^{3/2}} + \frac{M^3}{t^{21/20}} + M^{7/4} t^{3/40} + M^{9/8} t^{9/16} \right).$$

The optimal choice of the length of the second moment is $M = t^{27/42}$, giving the subconvexity bound

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \pi\right) \ll_{\pi, \varepsilon} t^{9/14+\varepsilon}.$$

This already improves upon the best known exponent of $27/40$ for a not-necessarily self-dual form obtained in [3], but we can do better. Since the above process did not make use of the q_2 -sum, we apply the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to create two copies of it, say q_2 and q_3 sums. The same analysis is done to the q_2 -sum without using the q_3 -sum. We can thus iterate the above process ad infinitum to get further savings. This may be considered the second novelty of our proof.

We highlight that doing so results in increasingly complicated character sums. We bound these exponential sums by using the methods due to Adolphson and Sperber [1] obtained by their extension of Dwork's cohomology theory from smooth projective hypersurfaces in characteristic p to a general class of exponential sums. The associated Newton polyhedron after the first such application is depicted below.




FIGURE 1. Newton polyhedron for the character sum in base case

We also highlight that we need a careful application of the sophisticated tools developed by Adolphson-Sperber because the size of the Newton polyhedron grows with each application of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

Notations. In the rest of the paper, we use the notation $e(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$. For a and b that are either both positive or both negative, we denote $a \sim b$ to mean $k_1 < a/b < k_2$ for some absolute constants $k_1, k_2 > 0$. For $j \geq 0$, $a \sim_j b$ means $k_1 < a/b < k_2$ but k_1, k_2 may depend on j . We use ε to denote an arbitrarily small positive constant that can change depending on the context. We denote $a \asymp b$ to mean that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $t^{-\varepsilon}|b| \ll_\varepsilon |a| \ll_\varepsilon t^\varepsilon|b|$. We must add that for brevity of notation, we assume $t > 0$. Indeed, the same analysis holds for $t < 0$ by replacing t with $-t$ appropriately.

We will denote by $U(x)$ and $\varphi(x)$ two compactly supported smooth weight functions whose definitions can be revised based on the context. For $\alpha > 1$, $C \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we will refer to the intervals $[\alpha^k C, \alpha^{k+1} C]$ as dyadic intervals even though α may not be equal to 2.

2. SKETCH OF PROOF

We expand upon the above discussion via a sketch of proof for Theorem 1.1. Let $\log t < M < t^{1-\varepsilon}$ be a parameter and $U \in C_c^\infty([-2, 2])$ such that $U(x) = 1$ for $-1 \leq x \leq 1$.

2.1. Short second moment average and approximate functional equation. By the approximate functional equation (3.1), it suffices to bound

$$S(N) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} U\left(\frac{v}{M}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(1, n) n^{-i(t+v)} V\left(\frac{n}{N}\right)^2 dv,$$

where $V \in C_c^\infty([1, 2])$ may depend on t and satisfies $V^{(j)}(x) \ll_j 1$ for $j \geq 0$. Opening the square and integrating yields a shifted sum (Lemma 6.1),

$$S(N) \ll Mt^\varepsilon + \sup_{H \ll \frac{Nt^\varepsilon}{M}} \sup_{1 \leq N \ll t^{3/2+\varepsilon}} \frac{S_{M,H}(N)}{N},$$

where we get the shifted sum

$$S_{M,H}(N) \sim M \sum_{h \sim H} \sum_{n \sim N} \lambda(1, n) \overline{\lambda(1, n+h)} e\left(\frac{th}{2\pi n}\right).$$

For sketch, we focus on the generic cases $H = \frac{N}{M}$, i.e. we focus on $S_{M,N/M}(N)$.

We note that Lemma 3.6 gives us the trivial bound

$$S_{M,N/M}(N) \ll N^2 t^\varepsilon. \quad (2)$$

2.2. Delta method. Next we apply the DFI delta method in Lemma 4.1 to detect $m = n + h$,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{M,N/M}(N) &\sim \frac{M}{Q} \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{h \sim \frac{N}{M}} \sum_{n \sim N} \lambda(1, n) e\left(\frac{th}{2\pi n}\right) \sum_{m \sim N} \overline{\lambda(1, m)} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\alpha \bmod q} e\left(\frac{\alpha(n+h-m)}{q}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(q, x) e\left(\frac{(n+h-m)x}{qQ}\right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

For sketch, we focus on the generic case $x \sim 1$.

2.3. Dual summation formulas. After separating the variables with the delta method, we apply dual summation formulas. Applying Poisson summation to the h -sum, and Voronoi summation (Lemma 3.3) to the m and n sums, we roughly get

$$\begin{aligned} S_{M,N/M}(N) &\sim \frac{MN^{4/3}}{Q^2 t^{1-\varepsilon}} \sum_{q \sim Q} \sum_{h \sim \frac{qt}{N}} \sum_{m \ll \frac{N^2}{Q^3}} \overline{\lambda(m)} m^{1/3} \sum_{n \ll \frac{N^2}{Q^3} + \frac{Q^3 t^3}{M^3 N}} \frac{\lambda(n)}{n^{1/3}} \\ &\quad \times S_{\bar{h}, m; q} S_{\bar{h}, n; q} \int_{|u| \ll 1} e(f_1(m, h, q, u) + f_2(n, h, q, u)) du, \end{aligned}$$

with the analytic oscillations being of size $f_1(m, h, q, u) \sim \frac{N}{qQ}$ and $f_2(n, h, q, u) \sim \frac{(nN)^{1/3}}{q}$. The variable u is a secondary oscillatory terms that is created in the Voronoi summation to the n -sum. It does not have any significance in the generic case when $N \sim t^{3/2}$, but we get a little bit extra saving when N is smaller and the main oscillatory terms cancel out in the diagonal case. In the rigorous proof, we do not utilize the cancellations in the integrals until later stages due to the fact that x can be smaller than 1. We choose $Q \ll \sqrt{\frac{MN}{t}}$. Indeed to match the oscillation, we want to choose $Q = \sqrt{\frac{MN}{t}}$, but we will choose Q smaller when N is small. Focusing on the generic case $m \sim \frac{N^2}{Q^3}$ and $n \sim \frac{N^2}{Q^3} + \frac{Q^3 t^3}{M^3 N} \sim \frac{N^2}{Q^3}$, we roughly have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{M,N/M}(N) &\sim \frac{M}{t^{1-\varepsilon}} \sum_{q \sim Q} \sum_{h \sim \frac{qt}{N}} \sum_{m \sim \frac{N^2}{Q^3}} \overline{\lambda(m)} \sum_{n \sim \frac{N^2}{Q^3}} \lambda(n) \\ &\quad \times S_{\bar{h}, m; q} S_{\bar{h}, n; q} \int_{|u| \ll 1} e(f_1(m, h, q, u) + f_2(n, h, q, u)) du, \end{aligned}$$

with the analytic oscillations being of size $f_1(m, h, q), f_2(n, h, q) \sim \frac{N}{qQ}$.

2.4. Cauchy Schwarz inequality. Next we apply Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to take out the q, h sums, giving us

$$S_{M,N/M}(N) \ll \sqrt{S_1 S_2},$$

where roughly

$$S_j \sim \frac{M}{t^{1-\varepsilon}} \sum_{q \sim Q} \sum_{h \sim \frac{qt}{N}} \sum_{m \sim \frac{N^2}{Q^3}} \lambda(m) S_{\bar{h}, m; q} \Big|_{|u| \ll 1} e(f_j(m, h, q, u)) du \quad . \quad 2$$

Remark 2.1. One should note that the length of the q and h sums are shorter than the n and m sums. Therefore it would have been beneficial to instead take out the n and m sums out of the absolute value squared in the above step. However, our approach benefits by allowing us to separate the n and m sums.

2.5. Duality principle. We apply the duality principle (Lemma 4.2) together with Lemma 3.6 to take the n and m sums outside the absolute value squared and obtain

$$S_j \ll \frac{MN^2}{Q^3 t^{1-\varepsilon}} \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \sum_{m \sim \frac{N^2}{Q^3}} \sum_{q \sim Q} \sum_{h \sim \frac{qt}{N}} \beta(q, h) S_{\bar{h}, m; q} \Big|_{|u| \ll 1} e(f_j(m, h, q, u)) du \quad . \quad 2$$

This also rids us of the GL_3 Fourier coefficients decreasing the complexity of the problem at hand.

2.6. Poisson summation formula. Opening the square and apply Poisson summation on the m -sum gives us roughly

$$\begin{aligned} S_j &\ll \frac{MN^4}{Q^8 t^{1-\varepsilon}} \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \sum_{q_1, q_2 \sim Q} \sum_{h_i \sim \frac{q_i t}{N}} \sum_{|m| \ll \frac{Q^2 \sqrt{q_1 q_2}}{N}} \beta(q_1, h_1) \overline{\beta(q_2, h_2)} \\ &\times \sum_{\gamma \bmod q_1 q_2} S_{\bar{h}_1, \gamma; q_1} S_{\bar{h}_2, \gamma; q_2} \\ &\times \int_{|u_1| \ll 1} \int_{|u_2| \ll 1} V(y) e \left(f \frac{N^2}{Q^3} y, h_1, q_1, u_1 \right) - f \frac{N^2}{Q^3} y, h_2, q_2, u_2 - \frac{m N^2 y}{q_1 q_2 Q^3} \right) dy du_1 du_2. \end{aligned}$$

Now we have a spectrum of cases depending on the size of m . For the sketch, we shall focus on the two extreme cases, the diagonal corresponding to $m = 0$, and the off-diagonal where $m \sim \frac{Q^3}{N}$ is as large as possible. The other cases will yield bounds in between these two cases.

2.7. Diagonal terms. For the diagonal $m = 0$, we essentially have $q_1 = q_2 (= q)$, $h_1 = h_2$ and $|u_1 - u_2| \ll \frac{q^2 t}{MN}$. In such a case, one can evaluate the γ -sum and obtain the bound

$$\frac{MN^4}{Q^8 t^{1-\varepsilon}} Q^3 \frac{Q^2 t}{MN} \ll \frac{N^3 t^\varepsilon}{Q^3}.$$

Here is the only place where we extract an extra saving coming from the secondary oscillatory terms having u_1, u_2 . This is possible as the main oscillatory terms cancel out when $q_1 = q_2, h_1 = h_2$. Moreover, we'll see that $\frac{Q^2 t}{MN}$ is less than 1 only when N is smaller than the generic size $t^{3/2}$ by our choice of Q .

2.8. Off-diagonal terms. For the off-diagonal where $m \sim \frac{Q^3}{N}$, evaluating the γ -sum and apply stationary phase analysis on the y -integral gives us roughly

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{MN^{7/2}}{Q^5 t^{1-\varepsilon}} \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \sum_{m \sim \frac{Q^3}{N}} \sum_{q_1, q_2 \sim Q} \sum_{h_1, h_2 \sim \frac{qt}{N}} \beta(q_1, h_1) \overline{\beta(q_2, h_2)} \\ &\times e \left(-\frac{m h_1 q_2}{q_1} - \frac{m h_2 q_1}{q_2} \right) e(F(m, h_1, h_2, q_1, q_2)), \end{aligned}$$

with $F(m, h_1, h_2, q_1, q_2) \sim \frac{N}{Q^2}$. Here we dropped the u_1, u_2 -integral in sketch as we will just bound it trivially. The bound at this point is

$$\frac{MN^{7/2}}{Q^5 t^{1-\varepsilon}} \frac{Q^3}{N} Q \frac{Qt}{N} \ll MN^{3/2} t^\varepsilon.$$

We want to get saving from the q_j sums. Assuming we are in the generic case $(q_1, q_2) = 1$ in sketch, reciprocity gives us

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{MN^{7/2}}{Q^5 t^{1-\varepsilon}} \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \sum_{m \sim \frac{Q^3}{N}} \sum_{q_1, q_2 \sim Q} \sum_{h_i \sim \frac{q_i t}{N}} \beta(q_1, h_1) \overline{\beta(q_2, h_2)} \\ & \times e^{-\frac{q_1 q_2}{mh_1} + \frac{q_2 q_1}{mh_2}} e^{-\frac{q_2}{mh_1 q_1} - \frac{q_1}{mh_2 q_2}} + F(m, h_1, h_2, q_1, q_2). \end{aligned}$$

2.9. Infinite Cauchy-Schwarz and Poisson summation. We want to apply Poisson summation to the q_1, q_2 sums, but the β coefficients obtained from the duality principle prevent us from doing so. To deal with the β coefficients, we have to apply Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to take out the q_1 -sum while leaving the q_2 -sum inside the square. Applying Poisson summation afterwards on the q_1 -sum would give us a saving of

$$\sqrt{\frac{\text{old length}}{\text{new length}}} \sim \frac{N^{2^{-1/4}}}{Qt^2}$$

in $S_1(N)$. The process of Cauchy Schwarz creates two copies of q_2 -sum, say q_2, q_3 sums. We then repeat the process of taking out the q_2 -sum, leaving q_3 -sum inside the square and applying Poisson summation on the q_2 -sum. This gives us a saving of

$$\frac{N^{2^{-1/8}}}{Qt^2}$$

Remark 2.2. *The above saving is a result of careful analysis of complicated exponential sums, and we need to apply the Weil-Deligne bound via the result of Adolphson-Sperber obtained by their extension of Dwork's cohomology theory from smooth projective hypersurfaces in characteristic p to a general class of exponential sums.*

Iterating this process ν times for ν sufficiently large yields a total saving of

$$\frac{N^{2^{-1/4}}}{Qt^2} \times \frac{N^{2^{-1/8}}}{Qt^2} \times \cdots \times \frac{N^{2^{-1/2^\nu}}}{Qt^2} \sim \frac{N^{2^{-1/2}}}{Qt^2} t^{-\varepsilon}$$

and hence we get the contribution of $m \sim \frac{Q^3}{N}$ is bounded by

$$MN^{3/2} t^\varepsilon \frac{Qt^{2^{-1/2}}}{N^2} \ll M \sqrt{QN} t^{1+\varepsilon}.$$

2.10. Final calculations. As a result, assuming all other cases lie between the two cases in sketch, we have for $Q \ll \sqrt{\frac{MN}{t}}$,

$$S_j \ll \frac{N^3 t^\varepsilon}{Q^3} + M \sqrt{QN} t^{1+\varepsilon},$$

and hence

$$\frac{S_{M, N/M}(N)}{N} \ll \frac{N^2 t^\varepsilon}{Q^3} + \frac{\sqrt{QM} t^{1+\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{N}}$$

We choose $Q = \frac{\sqrt{MN}^{2/3}}{t^{3/4}} \ll \sqrt{\frac{MN}{t}}$, giving us

$$\frac{S_{M, N/M}(N)}{N} \ll \frac{t^{9/4+\varepsilon}}{M^{3/2}} + \frac{M^{5/4} t^{5/8+\varepsilon}}{N^{1/6}}.$$

Together with the trivial bound in (2), we have

$$\frac{S_{M,N/M}(N)}{N} \ll \frac{t^{9/4+\varepsilon}}{M^{3/2}} + \min \left\{ Nt^\varepsilon, \frac{M^{5/4}t^{5/8+\varepsilon}}{N^{1/6}} \right\} \ll \frac{t^{9/4+\varepsilon}}{M^{3/2}} + M^{15/14}t^{15/28+\varepsilon}.$$

The optimal choice is $M = t^{2/3}$, which gives us the bound

$$\frac{S_{M,N/M}(N)}{N} \ll t^{5/4+\varepsilon},$$

and

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \pi\right) \ll \log t \int_{\mathbb{R}} U\left(\frac{v}{t^{2/3}}\right) L\left(\frac{1}{2} + it + iv, \pi\right) dv \ll_{\pi, \varepsilon} t^{5/4+\varepsilon}.$$

3. PRELIMINARIES ON AUTOMORPHIC FORMS

Let π be a Maass form of type (ν_1, ν_2) for $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$, which is an eigenfunction for all the Hecke operators. Let the Fourier coefficients be $\lambda(n_1, n_2)$, normalized so that $\lambda(1, 1) = 1$. The Langlands parameter $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ associated with π are $\alpha_1 = -\nu_1 - 2\nu_2 + 1$, $\alpha_2 = -\nu_1 + \nu_2$, $\alpha_3 = 2\nu_1 + \nu_2 - 1$. The dual cusp form $\tilde{\pi}$ has Langlands parameters $(-\alpha_3, -\alpha_2, -\alpha_1)$. The L -function $L(s, \pi)$ satisfies a functional equation

$$\gamma(s, \pi)L(s, \pi) = \gamma(s, \tilde{\pi})L(1-s, \tilde{\pi}),$$

where $\gamma(s, \pi)$ and $\gamma(s, \tilde{\pi})$ are the associated gamma factors. We refer the reader to Goldfeld's book on automorphic forms for $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ [10] for the theory of automorphic forms on higher rank groups.

3.1. Approximate functional equation and Voronoi summation formula. We are interested in bounding $L(s, \pi)$ on the critical line, $\mathrm{Re}(s) = 1/2$. For that, we approximate $L(1/2 + it, \pi)$ by a smoothed sum of length $t^{3/2+\varepsilon}$. This is known as the approximate functional equation and is proved by applying Mellin transformation to f followed by using the above functional equation.

Lemma 3.1 ([17, Theorem 5.3]). *Let $G(u)$ be an even, holomorphic function bounded in the strip $-4 \leq \mathrm{Re}(u) \leq 4$ and normalized by $G(0) = 1$. Then for s in the strip $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$*

$$L(s, \pi) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda(1, n) n^{-s} V_s(n) + \frac{\gamma(s, \tilde{\pi})}{\gamma(s, \pi)} \sum_{n \geq 1} \overline{\lambda(1, n)} n^{-(1-s)} V_{1-s}(n),$$

where

$$V_s(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(3)} y^{-u} G(u) \frac{\gamma(s+u, \tilde{\pi})}{\gamma(s, \pi)} \frac{du}{u},$$

and $\gamma(s, \pi)$ is a product of certain Γ -functions appearing in the functional equation of $L(s, f)$.

Remark 3.2. *On the critical line, Stirling's approximation to $\gamma(s, \pi)$ followed by integration by parts to the integral representation of $V_{1/2 \pm it}(n)$ gives arbitrary saving for $n \gg (1 + |t|)^{3/2+\varepsilon}$.*

One of the main tools in our proof is a Voronoi type formula for $\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$. Let h be a compactly supported smooth function on $(0, \infty)$, and let $\tilde{h}(s) = \int_0^\infty h(x)x^{s-1} dx$ be its Mellin transform. For $\sigma > -1 + \max\{-\mathrm{Re}(\alpha_1), -\mathrm{Re}(\alpha_2), -\mathrm{Re}(\alpha_3)\}$ and $a = 0, 1$, define

$$\gamma_a(s) = \frac{\pi^{-3s-3/2}}{2} \prod_{\lambda=1}^3 \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1+s+\alpha_\lambda+a}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{-s-\alpha_\lambda+a}{2})}.$$

Further set $\gamma_\pm(s) = \gamma_0(s) \mp i\gamma_1(s)$ and let

$$H_\pm(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\sigma)} y^{-s} \gamma_\pm(s) \tilde{h}(-s) ds. \quad (3)$$

We need the following Voronoi type formula (See [5, 22, 27]).

Lemma 3.3. *Let h be a compactly supported smooth function on $(0, \infty)$. We have*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(1, n) e(an/q) h(n) = q \sum_{\pm} \sum_{n_0|q} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(n, n_0)}{nn_0} S(\bar{a}, \pm n; q/n_0) H_{\pm}(n_0^2 n/q^3),$$

where $(a, q) = 1$ and $a\bar{a} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$.

Stirling approximation of $\gamma_{\pm}(s)$ gives $\gamma_{\pm}(\sigma + i\tau) \ll 1 + |\tau|^{3\sigma+3/2}$. Moreover on $\operatorname{Re}(s) = -1/2$

$$\gamma_{\pm}(-1/2 + i\tau) = (|\tau|/e\pi)^{3i\tau} \Phi_{\pm}(\tau), \quad \text{where } \Phi'_{\pm}(\tau) \ll |\tau|^{-1}.$$

Lemma 3.4 ([5, Lemma 6]). *Let h be a compactly supported smooth function on $[a, b] \subset (0, \infty)$ and H_{\pm} be defined as in (3). Then there exist constants γ_{ℓ} depending only on the Langlands parameters $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ such that*

$$H_{\pm}(x) = x \int_0^{\infty} h(y) \sum_{\ell=1}^L \frac{\gamma_{\ell}}{(xy)^{\ell/3}} e(\pm 3(xy)^{1/3}) dy + R(x),$$

where

$$x^k \frac{d^k}{dx^k} R(x) \ll \|h\|_{\infty}^{1+(k-L)/3}.$$

The implied constant above depends on $a, b, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, k$ and L .

3.2. Short second moment average. We bound the square of the L -function by a second moment average over a short interval by following Good's arguments [11].

Lemma 3.5. *Let $T/2 \leq t \leq T$, then we have*

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \pi\right)^2 \ll \log T \int_{-\log T}^{\log T} L\left(\frac{1}{2} + it + iv, \pi\right)^2 e^{-v^2/2} dv.$$

Proof. Let $c = 1/\log t$ for $t \geq 10$. By the residue theorem,

$$L^2(1/2 + it, \pi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(c)} L^2(1/2 + it + s, \pi) \frac{e^{s^2}}{s} ds - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(-c)} L^2(1/2 + it + s, \pi) \frac{e^{s^2}}{s} ds.$$

Functional equation for $L(s, \pi)$ and Stirling's approximation give

$$L(1/2 - c + i(t + v)) \ll (1 + |t + v|^{3c}) |L(1/2 + c + i(t + v))|.$$

Therefore

$$|L(1/2 + it, \pi)|^2 \ll \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |L(1/2 + c + i(t + v))|^2 (1 + |t + v|^{6c}) \frac{e^{-v^2}}{(v^2 + c^2)^{1/2}} dv. \quad (4)$$

Similarly, for $1/2 < \sigma < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} L^2(\sigma + i\tau_1, \pi) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(1)} L^2(\sigma + i\tau_1 + s, \pi) \frac{e^{s^2}}{s} ds - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(1/2-\sigma)} L^2(\sigma + i\tau_1 + s, \pi) \frac{e^{s^2}}{s} ds \\ &\ll 1 + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |L(1/2 + i(\tau_1 + \tau_2), \pi)|^2 \frac{e^{-\tau_2^2}}{(\tau_2^2 + (1/2 - \sigma)^2)^{1/2}} d\tau_2. \end{aligned}$$

Putting $\sigma = 1/2 + c$, $\tau_1 = t + v$, substituting the above estimate into (4) and trivially estimating the τ_2 -integral, we obtain

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \pi\right)^2 \ll \log t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} L\left(\frac{1}{2} + it + iv, \pi\right)^2 e^{-v^2/2} dv.$$

Since $L(1/2 + it + iv, \pi)$ is polynomially bounded, the integral can be cutoff at $[-\log T, \log T]$ for a negligible error term. \square

We will also use Ramanujan bound on average which follows from the Rankin–Selberg theory.

Lemma 3.6 (Ramanujan bound on average). *We have*

$$\sum_{n_1^2 n_2 \leq x} |\lambda(n_2, n_1)|^2 \ll_{\pi, \varepsilon} x^{1+\varepsilon}.$$

4. PRELIMINARY LEMMAS

Lemma 4.1 (DFI delta method). *Let $Q > 1$. Then we have*

$$\delta(n=0) = \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{a \bmod q} e^{\frac{an}{q}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(q, x) e^{\frac{nx}{qQ}} dx,$$

where $g(q, x)$ is a smooth function satisfying

$$g(q, x) = 1 + O_A \left(\frac{Q}{q} \frac{q}{Q} + |x|^{-A} \right), \quad g(q, x) \ll |x|^{-A}, \quad \text{for any } A \geq 1, \quad (5)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^j}{\partial x^j} g(q, x) \ll |x|^{-j} \min(|x|^{-1}, Q/q) \log Q, \quad \text{for } j \geq 1.$$

Moreover, $g(q, x)$ satisfies

$$g(q, x) \ll Q^\varepsilon. \quad (6)$$

Proof. See [17, Section 20.5], and [15, Lemma 15] for minor corrections in the original estimates of the derivatives of $g(q, x)$. To prove (6), we observe that when $q > Q^{1-\varepsilon}$, the first property in (5) gives us $g(q, x) \ll Q^\varepsilon$ by taking $A = 1$. In the case $q \leq Q^{1-\varepsilon}$ and $x > Q^\varepsilon$, the second property in (5) gives us $g(q, x) \ll Q^\varepsilon$ by taking $A = 1$. In the remaining case where $q, x \leq Q^{1-\varepsilon}$, then the first property gives us $g(q, x) \ll 1$ by taking A sufficiently large. Combining all the cases, we have the result. \square

We will also use the Duality principle (see [17, Chapter 7]).

Lemma 4.2 (Duality principle). *Let $\phi : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. For any complex numbers a_m ,*

$$\sum_n \sum_m a_m \phi(m, n)^2 \ll \sum_m |a_m|^2 \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \sum_m \sum_n \beta(n) \phi(m, n)^2,$$

where the supremum is taken over all sequences of complex numbers $\beta(n)$ such that

$$\|\beta\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_n |\beta(n)|^2} = 1.$$

5. STATIONARY PHASE ANALYSIS

We need to use stationary phase analysis for oscillatory integrals. Let \mathcal{I} be an integral of the form

$$\mathcal{I} = \int_a^b w(t) e^{i\phi(t)} dt, \quad (7)$$

where w and ϕ are real valued smooth functions on \mathbb{R} . The fundamental estimate for integrals of the form (7) is the r^{th} -derivative test

$$\mathcal{I} \ll \frac{\text{Var}_{[a,b]} w(t)}{\min_{[a,b]} |\phi^{(r)}(t)|^{1/r}}.$$

We will however need sharper estimates and will use the stationary phase analysis of Blomer–Khan–Young [6] to analyze \mathcal{I} and state these in the language of inert functions as given by Kiral–Petrow–Young [20].

Definition 5.1. Let \mathcal{F} be an indexing set and $X : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ be a function of $T \in \mathcal{F}$, so that $X_T \in [1, \infty)$. A family $\{w_T\}_{T \in \mathcal{F}}$ of smooth functions supported on a product of dyadic intervals in $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^d$ is called X -inert if for each $j = (j_1, \dots, j_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$, we have

$$\sup_{T \in \mathcal{F}} \sup_{(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^d} X_T^{-(j_1 + \dots + j_d)} x_1^{j_1} \dots x_d^{j_d} w_T^{(j_1, \dots, j_d)}(x_1, \dots, x_d) \ll_{j_1, \dots, j_d} 1.$$

Lemma 5.2. Suppose that $w = w_T(t)$ is a family of X -inert functions with compact support of $[Z, 2Z]$, so that $w^{(j)}(t) \ll (X/Z)^j$. Also suppose that ϕ is smooth and satisfies $\phi^j(t) \ll Y/Z^j$ for some $Y/X^2 \geq R \geq 1$ for all t in the support of w . Let

$$\mathcal{I} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(t) e^{i\phi(t)} dt.$$

- (1) If $|\phi'(t)| \gg Y/Z$ in the support of w , then $\mathcal{I} \ll_A ZR^{-A}$ for arbitrarily large A . Moreover, the statement also holds under the weaker condition $Y/X \geq R$.
- (2) If $\phi'(t) \gg Y/Z^2$ in the support of w , and there exists some not necessarily unique $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\phi'(t_0) = 0$, then

$$\mathcal{I} = \frac{e^{i\phi(t_0)}}{\sqrt{\phi''(t_0)}} F_T(t_0) + O_A(ZR^{-A})$$

for any $A \geq 0$, where F_T is a family of X -inert functions (possibly depending on A) supported on $t_0 \asymp Z$.

- (3) Same setting as (2). Let $U \gg R^\varepsilon (\phi''(t_0))^{-1/2}$ and let $W_0 \in C_c^\infty([-2, 2])$ such that $W_0(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq 1$. Then we have

$$\mathcal{I} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(t) W_0 \left(\frac{t - t_0}{U} \right) e^{i\phi(t)} dt + O_A(R^{-A})$$

for any $A \geq 0$.

6. MAIN CALCULATIONS

6.1. A Short Second Moment. We first apply Lemma 3.5 to bound the square of $L(1/2 + it, \pi)$ by a short second moment. Let $T/2 \leq t \leq T$, then we have

$$L \left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \pi \right)^2 \ll \log T \int_{-\log T}^{\log T} L \left(\frac{1}{2} + it + iv, \pi \right)^2 e^{-v^2/2} dv. \quad (8)$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $1 < M < t^{1-\varepsilon}$ be a parameter. Let $U \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a fixed function supported in $[-2, 2]$ and satisfying $U(x) = 1$ for $-1 \leq x \leq 1$, then (8) gives us

$$L \left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \pi \right)^2 \ll \log t \int_{\mathbb{R}} U \left(\frac{v}{M} \right) L \left(\frac{1}{2} + it + iv, \pi \right)^2 dv.$$

Applying Lemma 3.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} L \left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \pi \right)^2 &\ll \log t \int_{\mathbb{R}} U \left(\frac{v}{M} \right) L \left(\frac{1}{2} + it + iv, \pi \right)^2 dv \\ &\ll \log t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sup_{1 \leq N \ll t^{3/2+\varepsilon}} \frac{S(N)}{N} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$S(N) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} U \left(\frac{v}{M} \right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(1, n) n^{-i(t+v)} V \left(\frac{n}{N} \right)^2 dv,$$

with $V \in C_c^\infty([1, 2])$ depending on t satisfying $V^{(j)}(x) \ll_j 1$ for any $j \geq 0$ (See e.g. [17, Proposition 5.4]). Opening the square and integrating yields

$$S(N) = \sum_n \lambda(1, n) V\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) \sum_m \overline{\lambda(1, m)} V\left(\frac{m}{N}\right) \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{it} \int_{\mathbb{R}} U\left(\frac{v}{M}\right) \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{iv} dv.$$

By repeated integration by parts on the v -integral, we obtain arbitrary saving unless

$$|m - n| \ll \frac{Nt^\varepsilon}{M}.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(N) &= M \sum_{|h| \ll \frac{Nt^\varepsilon}{M}} \sum_n \lambda(1, n) \overline{\lambda(1, n+h)} V\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) V\left(\frac{n+h}{N}\right) \left(\frac{n+h}{n}\right)^{it} \\ &\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} U(v) \left(\frac{n+h}{n}\right)^{iMv} dv + O(t^{-A}), \end{aligned}$$

for any $A > 0$. For $h = 0$, (3.6) on the n -sum gives us

$$M \sum_n |\lambda(1, n)|^2 V\left(\frac{n}{N}\right)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} U(v) dv \ll M N t^\varepsilon.$$

Now performing a smooth dyadic subdivision of h -sum for $h \neq 0$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} S(N) &\ll \sup_{H \ll \frac{Nt^\varepsilon}{M}} \sum_{\pm} M \sum_h \sum_n \lambda(1, n) \overline{\lambda(1, n+h)} V\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) V\left(\frac{n+h}{N}\right) \varphi_{\pm}\left(\frac{h}{H}\right) \left(\frac{n+h}{n}\right)^{it} \\ &\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} U(v) \left(\frac{n+h}{n}\right)^{iMv} dv + M N t^\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi_{\pm}(y) = \varphi(\pm y)$ for some fixed function $\varphi \in C_c^\infty([1/2, 2])$. Finally, we rewrite

$$\left(\frac{n+h}{n}\right)^{it} = e^{\frac{t}{2\pi} \log \left(1 + \frac{h}{n}\right)} = e^{\frac{th}{2\pi n}} e^{\frac{t}{2\pi} \log \left(1 + \frac{h}{n} - \frac{h}{n}\right)}$$

Notice that when multiplied by the weight function $V(n/N)\varphi_{\pm}(h/H)$, the integral $\int_{\mathbb{R}} U(v) \left(\frac{n+h}{n}\right)^{iMv} dv$ is t^ε -inert as a function of n and h , while $e^{\frac{t}{2\pi} \log \left(1 + \frac{h}{n} - \frac{h}{n}\right)}$ is t^ε -inert as a function of n and h when $M \gg t^{1/2+\varepsilon}$. For brevity of notation, we define

$$W_{\pm}(x, y) = V(x) \varphi_{\pm}(y) e^{\frac{t}{2\pi} \log \left(1 + \frac{Hy}{Nx} - \frac{Hy}{Nx}\right)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} U(v) \frac{Nx + Hy}{Nx}^{iMv} dv.$$

As a result, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\varepsilon, A > 0$ and let $t^{1/2+\varepsilon} \ll M \ll t^{1-\varepsilon}$. Then there exist functions $W_{\pm} \in C_c^\infty([1, 2] \times [\pm 1, \pm 2])$ satisfying*

$$x^{j_1} y^{j_2} W_{\pm}^{(j_1, j_2)}(x, y) \ll_{j_1, j_2} 1 \text{ for any } j_1, j_2 \geq 0,$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} L \left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \pi\right)^2 &\ll \log t \int_{\mathbb{R}} U\left(\frac{v}{M}\right) L \left(\frac{1}{2} + it + iv, \pi\right)^2 dv \\ &\ll \log t \ M t^\varepsilon + \sup_{H \ll \frac{Nt^\varepsilon}{M}} \sup_{1 \leq N \ll t^{3/2+\varepsilon}} \sum_{\pm} \frac{S_{M, H}^{\pm}(N)}{N}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$S_{M, H}^{\pm}(N) := M \sum_h \sum_n \lambda(1, n) \overline{\lambda(1, n+h)} W_{\pm}\left(\frac{n}{N}, \frac{h}{H}\right) e^{\frac{th}{2\pi n}}.$$

6.2. Trivial Bound. Applying Cauchy-Schwartz inequality together with Lemma 3.6, we have the trivial bound

$$S_{M,H}^{\pm}(N) \ll M \prod_{|h| \ll H} \prod_{n \ll N} |\lambda(1, n)|^2 \Bigg|^{1/2} \prod_{n \ll N} |\lambda(1, n+h)|^2 \Bigg|^{1/2} \ll MHNt^{\varepsilon}. \quad (9)$$

We will apply this bound for the cases with $H \ll \frac{N}{t^{1-\varepsilon}}$. Hence we will restrict our attention to the case $H \gg \frac{N}{t^{1-\varepsilon}}$ in the rest of the paper.

6.3. Application of the Delta Method. Now we focus on the case $H \gg \frac{N}{t^{1-\varepsilon}}$. To bound $S_{M,H}^{\pm}(N)$, we start by applying the DFI delta method (Lemma 4.1) to separate the oscillations. Let $U \in C_c^{\infty}([1/2, 5/2])$ be fixed such that $U(x) = 1$ for $3/4 \leq x \leq 9/4$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} S_{M,H}^{\pm}(N) &= M \prod_h \prod_n \lambda(1, n) W_{\pm} \left(\frac{n}{N}, \frac{h}{H} \right) e^{\frac{th}{2\pi n}} \prod_m \overline{\lambda(1, m)} U\left(\frac{m}{N}\right) \delta(m = n+h) \\ &= \frac{M}{Q} \prod_{1 \leq q \leq Q} \prod_h \prod_n \lambda(1, n) W_{\pm} \left(\frac{n}{N}, \frac{h}{H} \right) e^{\frac{th}{2\pi n}} \\ &\quad \times \prod_m \overline{\lambda(1, m)} U\left(\frac{m}{N}\right) \prod_{\alpha \bmod q} e^{\frac{\alpha(n+h-\overline{m})}{q}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(q, x) e^{\frac{(n+h-\overline{m})x}{qQ}} dx. \end{aligned}$$

We divide the q -sum into segments $C \leq q < (1 + 10^{-10})C$ to get

$$S_{M,H}^{\pm}(N) \ll t^{\varepsilon} \sup_{1 \leq C \leq Q} S_{M,H,C}^{\pm}(N), \quad (10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_{M,H,C}^{\pm}(N) &= \frac{M}{Q} \prod_{C \leq q < (1+10^{-10})C} \prod_h \prod_n \lambda(1, n) W_{\pm} \left(\frac{n}{N}, \frac{h}{H} \right) e^{\frac{th}{2\pi n}} \\ &\quad \times \prod_m \overline{\lambda(1, m)} U\left(\frac{m}{N}\right) \prod_{\alpha \bmod q} e^{\frac{\alpha(n+h-\overline{m})}{q}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(q, x) e^{\frac{(n+h-\overline{m})x}{qQ}} dx. \end{aligned}$$

6.4. Dual summation formulas. We shall now apply dual summation formulas to the h , m and n sums.

6.4.1. Analysis of the h -sum. We start with an application of the Poisson summation formula to the h -sum mod q , giving us

$$\begin{aligned} &\prod_h W_{\pm} \left(\frac{n}{N}, \frac{h}{H} \right) e^{\frac{\alpha h}{q} + \frac{th}{2\pi n} + \frac{hx}{qQ}} \\ &= \prod_h \frac{1}{q} \prod_{\gamma \bmod q} e^{\frac{(\alpha + \overline{h})\overline{\gamma}}{q}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} W_{\pm} \left(\frac{n}{N}, \frac{y}{H} \right) e^{\frac{ty}{2\pi n} + \frac{xy}{qQ} - \frac{hy}{q}} dy \\ &= H \prod_h \delta(\alpha \equiv -h \bmod q) \int_{\mathbb{R}} W_{\pm} \left(\frac{n}{N}, y \right) e^{\frac{tHy}{2\pi n} + \frac{Hxy}{qQ} - \frac{hHy}{q}} dy. \end{aligned}$$

The above congruence condition and $(\alpha, q) = 1$ imply $(h, q) = 1$, so that when $h = 0$, the terms with $q > 1$ vanish. Since $H \gg \frac{N}{t^{1-\varepsilon}}$, choosing $Q \gg \frac{N}{t^{1-\varepsilon}}$ and repeated integration by parts gives us arbitrary saving unless

$$h \neq 0 \text{ and } h \sim \frac{qt}{N} \sim \frac{Ct}{N}.$$

This gives arbitrary saving unless $C \gg \frac{N}{t^{1+\varepsilon}}$ and yields

$$S_{M,H,C}^\pm(N) = \frac{MH}{Q} \sum_{\substack{H' \leq h < (1+10^{-10})H' \\ (h,q)=1}} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\substack{\lambda(1,n) \\ m}} \sum_{\substack{\lambda(1,m) \\ m}} U\left(\frac{m}{N}\right) \\ \times e^{-\frac{h(n-m)}{q}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(q,x) W_\pm\left(\frac{n}{N}, y\right) e^{\frac{(n+Hy-m)x}{qQ} + \frac{tHy}{2\pi n} - \frac{hHy}{q}} dx dy + O(t^{-A}),$$

for any $A > 0$.

6.4.2. *Analysis of the m -sum.* Next we apply the Voronoi summation formula (Lemma 3.3) to the m -sum,

$$\sum_m \sum_{\lambda(1,m)} U\left(\frac{m}{N}\right) e^{-\frac{hm}{q} - \frac{m\bar{x}}{qQ}} \\ = q \sum_{\eta_1 = \pm m_0 | q} \sum_m \frac{\lambda(m, m_0)}{m_0 m} S\left(\bar{h}, \eta_1 m; \frac{q}{m_0}\right) \tilde{U}_{1, \eta_1}\left(\frac{m_0^2 m}{q^3}, q, x\right),$$

where

$$\tilde{U}_{1, \eta_1}\left(\frac{m_0^2 m}{q^3}, q, x\right) = \frac{m_0^2 m}{q^3} \int_0^\infty U\left(\frac{w}{N}\right) \bar{U}_0\left(\frac{m_0^2 m}{q^3}, w\right) w^{-\frac{1}{3}} e^{-\frac{wx}{qQ} + \eta_1 \Im\left(\frac{m_0^2 m w}{q^3}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}} dw,$$

and

$$U_0(u, w) = \sum_{\ell=1}^L \frac{\gamma_\ell}{(uw)^{\frac{\ell-1}{3}}}, \quad (11)$$

for a fixed large L , and γ_ℓ as give in Lemma 3.4. Note that $U_0(u, w)$ is a fixed flat function. By a change of variables, we obtain

$$\tilde{U}_{1, \eta_1}\left(\frac{m_0^2 m}{q^3}, q, x\right) = \frac{m_0^2 m N}{q^3} \int_0^\infty U(w) \bar{U}_0\left(\frac{m_0^2 m}{q^3}, Nw\right) w^{-\frac{1}{3}} e(f_1(w, x)) dw,$$

where the phase function is

$$f_1(w, x) = \eta_1 \Im\left(\frac{m_0^2 m N w}{q^3}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} - \frac{Nwx}{qQ}.$$

Repeated integration by parts gives us arbitrary saving unless

$$m_0^2 m \ll \frac{N^2 t^\varepsilon}{Q^3}.$$

Writing

$$U_{1, \eta_1}\left(\frac{m_0^2 m}{q^3}, q, x\right) = \int_0^\infty U(w) \bar{U}_0\left(\frac{m_0^2 m}{q^3}, Nw\right) w^{-\frac{1}{3}} e(f_1(w, x)) dw \quad (12)$$

we obtain

$$S_{M,H,C}^\pm(N) = \frac{MHN^{2/3}t^\varepsilon}{Q} \sum_{\substack{H' \sim \frac{Ct}{N} \\ C \leq q < (1+10^{-10})C}} \sup_{\substack{H' \leq h < (1+10^{-10})H' \\ (h,q)=1}} \frac{1}{q^2} \sum_{\lambda(1,n)} \\ \times \sum_{\substack{\lambda(m, m_0) \\ m_0 | q, m_0^2 m \ll \frac{N^2 t^\varepsilon}{Q^3}}} \left(\frac{m_0}{m}\right)^{1/3} S\left(\bar{h}, \eta_1 m; \frac{q}{m_0}\right) e^{-\frac{h\bar{n}}{q}} \\ \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(q,x) W_\pm\left(\frac{n}{N}, y\right) U_{1, \eta_1}\left(\frac{m_0^2 m}{q^3}, q, x\right) \\ \times e^{\frac{(n+Hy)x}{qQ} + \frac{tHy}{2\pi n} - \frac{hHy}{q}} dx dy + O(t^{-A})$$

for any $A > 0$.

6.4.3. *Analysis of the n -sum.* Finally, we apply Voronoi formula (Lemma 3.3) to the n -sum. Applying similar analysis as above yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_n \lambda(1, n) W_{\pm} \left(\frac{n}{N}, y \right) e^{-\frac{hn}{q} + \frac{nx}{qQ} + \frac{tHy}{2\pi n}} \\ &= \frac{N^{2/3}}{q} \sum_{\eta_2 = \pm n_0 |q} \sum_n \lambda(n, n_0) \left(\frac{n_0}{n} \right)^{1/3} S \left(-\bar{h}, \eta_2 n; \frac{q}{n_0} \right) W_{0, -\eta_2}^{\pm}(n_0^2 n, q, x, y), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} W_{0, \eta_2}^{\pm}(n_0^2 n, q, x, y) &= \int_0^{\infty} W_{\pm}(w, y) U_0 \left(\frac{n_0^2 n}{q^3}, Nw \right) w^{-\frac{1}{3}} \\ &\quad \times e^{-\frac{Nwx}{qQ} + \frac{tHy}{2\pi Nw} - \eta_2 3 \frac{n_0^2 n N w}{q^3}} dw, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where U_0 is given in (11). Since $H \gg \frac{N}{t^{1-\varepsilon}}$, repeated integration by parts gives us arbitrary saving unless

$$n_0^2 n \ll \frac{N^2}{Q^3} + \frac{(CHt)^3}{N^4} t^{\varepsilon}.$$

By choosing $Q^2 \ll NM/t^{1-\varepsilon}$, the first term on the right side dominates, so that $n_0^2 n \ll N^2 t^{\varepsilon}/Q^3$. Changing η_2 to $-\eta_2$ for ease of notation, this yields

$$\begin{aligned} S_{M, H, C}^{\pm}(N) &= \frac{MHN^{4/3}t^{\varepsilon}}{Q} \sup_{\eta_1, \eta_2 = \pm H' \sim \frac{Ct}{N}} \sum_{C \leq q < (1+10^{-10})C} \frac{1}{q^3} \sum_{\substack{H' \leq h < (1+10^{-10})H' \\ (h, q) = 1}} \sum_{n_0 | q} \sum_{n_0^2 n \ll \frac{N^2 t^{\varepsilon}}{Q^3}} \lambda(n, n_0) \left(\frac{n_0}{n} \right)^{1/3} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{m_0 | q} \sum_{m_0^2 m \ll \frac{N^2 t^{\varepsilon}}{Q^3}} \frac{\lambda(m, m_0)}{m} \left(\frac{m_0}{m} \right)^{1/3} S \left(\bar{h}, \eta_1 m; \frac{q}{m_0} \right) S \left(\bar{h}, \eta_2 n; \frac{q}{n_0} \right) \\ &\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(q, x) W_{0, \eta_2}^{\pm}(n_0^2 n, q, x, y) U_{1, \eta_1} \left(\frac{m_0^2 m}{q^3}, q, x \right) e^{-\frac{Hxy}{qQ} - \frac{hHy}{q}} dy dx + O(t^{-A}) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

for any $A > 0$.

6.4.4. *Analysis of integral transforms obtained by dual summation.* We now consider the y, w -integral. Then (13) and a change of variable gives us

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} W_{0, \eta_2}^{\pm}(n_0^2 n, q, x, y) e^{-\frac{Hxy}{qQ} - \frac{hHy}{q}} dy \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} U_{0, \eta_2} \left(\frac{n_0^2 n}{q^3}, Nw \right) w^{-\frac{1}{3}} e^{-\frac{Nwx}{qQ} - \eta_2 3 \frac{n_0^2 n N w}{q^3}} \\ &\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} W_{\pm}(w, y) e^{-\frac{tHy}{2\pi Nw} + \frac{Hxy}{qQ} - \frac{hHy}{q}} dy dw \\ &= e^{-\frac{tx}{2\pi hQ}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} U_{0, \eta_2} \left(\frac{n_0^2 n}{q^3}, \frac{qt}{2\pi h} + Nu \right) \frac{qt}{2\pi hN} + u^{-\frac{1}{3}} \\ &\quad \times e^{-\frac{Nxu}{qQ} - \eta_2 3 \frac{n_0^2 n N}{q^3} \frac{qt}{2\pi hN} + u} \\ &\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} W_{\pm} \left(\frac{qt}{2\pi hN} + u, y \right) e^{-\frac{tHy}{2\pi N} \frac{qt}{2\pi hN} + u^{-1} + \frac{Hxy}{qQ} - \frac{hHy}{q}} dy du. \end{aligned}$$

Since $C \gg \frac{N}{t^{1+\varepsilon}}$ and $H \ll \frac{Nt^\varepsilon}{M}$, choosing $MQ > t^{1+\varepsilon}$ gives us $\frac{Hxy}{qQ} \ll \frac{t^{1+\varepsilon}}{MQ} \ll 1$. Hence repeated integration by parts on the y -integral gives us arbitrary saving unless

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{tH}{2\pi N} \frac{qt}{2\pi hN} + u^{-1} - \frac{hH}{q} \ll t^\varepsilon &\iff \frac{1}{1 + \frac{2\pi hNu}{qt}} - 1 \ll \frac{qt^\varepsilon}{hH} \ll \frac{N}{Ht^{1-\varepsilon}} \\ &\iff |u| \ll \frac{N}{Ht^{1-\varepsilon}}. \end{aligned}$$

Take a fixed function $U \in C_c([-2, -2])$ such that $U(x) = 1$ for $-1 \leq x \leq 1$, and let

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1 \left(\frac{uHt^{1-\varepsilon}}{N}, q, h, x \right) &= \frac{qt}{2\pi hN} + u^{-\frac{1}{3}} \\ &\times \int_{\mathbb{R}} W_{\pm} \left(\frac{qt}{2\pi hN} + u, y \right) e^{\frac{tHy}{2\pi N} \frac{qt}{2\pi hN} + u^{-1} + \frac{Hxy}{qQ} - \frac{hHy}{q}} dy \end{aligned}$$

Then $\phi_1 \left(\frac{uHt^{1-\varepsilon}}{N}, q, h, x \right)$ is t^ε -inert and the y, w -integral becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{\frac{tx}{2\pi hQ}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} U \left(\frac{uHt^{1-\varepsilon}}{N} \right) \phi_1 \left(\frac{uHt^{1-\varepsilon}}{N}, q, h, x \right) U_0 \left(\frac{n_0^2 n}{q^3}, \frac{qt}{2\pi h} + Nu \right) \\ &\times e^{\frac{N xu}{qQ} - \eta_2 \mathfrak{I} \left(\frac{n_0^2 n N}{q^3} \frac{qt}{2\pi hN} + u \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}} du + O(t^{-A}) \\ &= \frac{N}{Ht^{1-\varepsilon}} e^{\frac{tx}{2\pi hQ}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} U(u) \phi_1(u, q, h, x) U_0 \left(\frac{n_0^2 n}{q^3}, \frac{qt}{2\pi h} + \frac{N^2 u}{Ht^{1-\varepsilon}} \right) \\ &\times e^{\frac{N^2 xu}{qQHt^{1-\varepsilon}} - \eta_2 \mathfrak{I} \left(\frac{n_0^2 n N}{q^3} \frac{qt}{2\pi hN} + \frac{Nu}{Ht^{1-\varepsilon}} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}} du + O(t^{-A}). \end{aligned}$$

Defining

$$W_{1, \eta_2}^{\pm}(n_0^2 n, q, h, u) := U_0 \left(\frac{n_0^2 n}{q^3}, \frac{qt}{2\pi h} + \frac{N^2 u}{Ht^{1-\varepsilon}} \right) e^{-\eta_2 \mathfrak{I} \left(\frac{n_0^2 n N}{q^3} \frac{qt}{2\pi hN} + \frac{Nu}{Ht^{1-\varepsilon}} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}}, \quad (15)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{M, H, C}^{\pm}(N) &= \frac{MN^{7/3} t^\varepsilon}{Qt^{1-\varepsilon}} \sup_{\eta_1, \eta_2 = \pm} \int_{H' \sim \frac{Ct}{N}} \int_{C \leq q < (1+10^{-10})C} \frac{1}{q^3} \int_{\substack{H' \leq h < (1+10^{-10})H' \\ (h, q) = 1}} \int_{n_0 | q} \int_{n_0^2 n \ll \left(\frac{N^2}{Q^3} + \frac{(CHt)^3}{N^4} \right) t^\varepsilon} \lambda(n, n_0) \left(\frac{n_0}{n} \right)^{1/3} \\ &\times \int_{m_0 | q} \int_{m_0^2 m \ll \frac{N^2 t^\varepsilon}{Q^3}} \frac{\lambda(m, m_0)}{\left(\frac{m_0}{m} \right)^{1/3}} S \left(\bar{h}, \eta_1 m; \frac{q}{m_0} \right) S \left(\bar{h}, \eta_2 n; \frac{q}{n_0} \right) \\ &\times \int_{\mathbb{R}} U(u) W_{1, \eta_2}^{\pm}(n_0^2 n, q, h, u) g(q, x) \phi_1(u, q, h, x) \\ &\times U_{1, \eta_1} \left(\frac{m_0^2 m}{q^3}, q, x \right) e^{\frac{N^2 xu}{qQHt^{1-\varepsilon}} + \frac{tx}{2\pi hQ}} dx du + O(t^{-A}) \end{aligned}$$

for any $A > 0$. Applying smooth dyadic subdivisions on the $m_0^2 m, n_0^2 n$ sums, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{M,H,C}^\pm(N) &\ll t^\varepsilon \sup_{N_1 \ll \frac{N^{2+\varepsilon}}{Q^3}} \sup_{N_2 \ll \frac{N^{2+\varepsilon}}{Q^3}} \sup_{H' \sim \frac{Ct}{N}, \eta_1, \eta_2 = \pm} \sup_{C \leq q < (1+10^{-10})C} \frac{MN^{7/3}}{Qt} \frac{1}{q^3} \\
&\times \sup_{m_0|q} \sup_m \lambda(m, m_0) \left(\frac{m_0}{m} \right)^{1/3} \varphi \frac{m_0^2 \overline{m}}{N_1} \sup_{n_0|q} \sup_n \lambda(n, n_0) \left(\frac{n_0}{n} \right)^{1/3} \varphi \frac{\overline{n_0^2 n}}{N_2} \\
&\times S_{\overline{h}, \eta_1 m; \frac{q}{m_0}} \overline{S}_{\overline{h}, \eta_2 n; \frac{q}{n_0}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} U(u) W_{1, \eta_2}^\pm(n_0^2 n, q, h, u) \\
&\times \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(q, x) \phi_1(u, q, h, x) U_{1, \eta_1} \frac{m_0^2 \overline{m}}{q^3}, q, x e^{-\frac{N^2 x u}{qQHt^{1-\varepsilon}} + \frac{\overline{tx}}{2\pi hQ}} dx du + t^{-A}
\end{aligned}$$

for some fixed $\varphi \in C_c^\infty([1/2, 2])$.

6.4.5. *x-integral.* We get arbitrary saving for $|x| \gg t^\varepsilon$ due to bounds on $g(q, x)$ as given in (5). So we can restrict $|x| \ll t^\varepsilon$. Moreover, we expect to obtain cancellations in the x -integral when $|x| \gg CQt^\varepsilon/N$. Therefore we use a smooth partition of unity to split the x -integral into dyadic segments and a small ‘remainder’ part of size CQt^ε/N .

Let $\varphi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth compactly supported function satisfying

$$\text{supp}(\varphi) \subset [1/2, 2] \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi \left(\frac{y}{2^j} \right) = 1 \quad \text{for } y \in (0, \infty).$$

Define $\varphi_0(0) = 1$, and for $y \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$

$$\varphi_0(y) := \sum_{i \geq 1} \varphi \left(\frac{2^i y}{CQt^\varepsilon/N} \right) + \varphi \left(\frac{-2^i y}{CQt^\varepsilon/N} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_X(y) := \varphi \left(\frac{y}{X} \right) \quad \text{for } X = \pm \frac{2^{j-1} CQt^\varepsilon}{N} \quad \text{and } j \geq 1.$$

Then $\varphi_0(y)$ is smooth and supported in $\left[\frac{-CQt^\varepsilon}{N}, \frac{CQt^\varepsilon}{N} \right]$ with $\varphi_0(y) = 1$ for $y \in \left[\frac{-CQt^\varepsilon}{2N}, \frac{CQt^\varepsilon}{2N} \right]$.

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{M,H,C}^\pm(N) &\ll t^\varepsilon \sup_{\substack{CQt^\varepsilon \leq |X| \leq t^\varepsilon \\ \text{or } X=0}} \sup_{N_1 \ll \frac{N^{2+\varepsilon}}{Q^3}} \sup_{N_2 \ll \frac{N^{2+\varepsilon}}{Q^3}} \sup_{H' \sim \frac{Ct}{N}, \eta_1, \eta_2 = \pm} \sup_{C \leq q < (1+10^{-10})C} \frac{MN^{7/3}}{Qt} \frac{1}{q^3} \\
&\times \sup_{H' \leq h < (1+10^{-10})H'} \sup_{m_0|q} \sup_m \lambda(m, m_0) \left(\frac{m_0}{m} \right)^{1/3} \varphi \frac{m_0^2 \overline{m}}{N_1} \sup_{n_0|q} \sup_n \lambda(n, n_0) \left(\frac{n_0}{n} \right)^{1/3} \varphi \frac{\overline{n_0^2 n}}{N_2} \\
&\times S_{\overline{h}, \eta_1 m; \frac{q}{m_0}} \overline{S}_{\overline{h}, \eta_2 n; \frac{q}{n_0}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} U(u) W_{1, \eta_2}^\pm(n_0^2 n, q, h, u) \\
&\times \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_X(x) g(q, x) \phi_1(u, q, h, x) U_{1, \eta_1} \frac{m_0^2 \overline{m}}{q^3}, q, x e^{-\frac{N^2 x u}{qQHt^{1-\varepsilon}} + \frac{\overline{tx}}{2\pi hQ}} dx du + t^{-A}.
\end{aligned}$$

6.5. **Cauchy-Schwartz Inequality and the Duality principle.** We now apply Cauchy-Schwartz inequality to take out everything in (14) except the m, m_0, n, n_0 -sums and the x -integral, giving us

$$S_{M,H,C}^\pm(N) \ll t^\varepsilon \sup_{\eta_1, \eta_2 = \pm} \sup_{\substack{CQt^\varepsilon \leq |X| \leq t^\varepsilon \\ \text{or } X=0}} \sup_{N_1 \ll \frac{N^{2+\varepsilon}}{Q^3}} \sup_{N_2 \ll \frac{N^{2+\varepsilon}}{Q^3}} \sup_{H' \sim \frac{Ct}{N}} \left(\tilde{S}_{1,X}(N) \tilde{S}_2(N) \right)^{1/2} + t^{-A}$$

for any $A > 0$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{S}_{1,X}(N) &:= \tilde{S}_{1,M,H,H',C,X}^{\pm,\eta_1}(N, N_1) \\
&= \frac{MN^{7/3}}{Qt} \sum_{C \leq q < (1+10^{-10})C} \sum_{\substack{H' \leq h < (1+10^{-10})H' \\ (h,q)=1}} \frac{1}{q^3} U(u)^2 \\
&\quad \times \sum_{m_0|q} \sum_m \lambda(m, m_0) \left(\frac{m_0}{m}\right)^{1/3} \varphi \left(\frac{m_0^2 \bar{m}}{N_1}\right) S \left(\bar{h}, \eta_1 m; \frac{q}{m_0}\right) \\
&\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_X(x) g(q, x) \phi_1(u, q, h, x) U_{1,\eta_1} \left(\frac{m_0^2 \bar{m}}{q^3}, q, x\right) e^{-\frac{N^2 x u}{qQHt^{1-\varepsilon}} + \frac{tx}{2\pi hQ}} dx du^2
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{S}_2(N) &:= \tilde{S}_{2,M,H,H',C}^{\pm,\eta_2}(N, N_2) \\
&= \frac{MN^{7/3}}{Qt} \sum_{C \leq q < (1+10^{-10})C} \sum_{\substack{H' \leq h < (1+10^{-10})H' \\ (h,q)=1}} \frac{1}{q^3} U(u)^2 \\
&\quad \times \sum_{n_0|q} \sum_n \lambda(n, n_0) \left(\frac{n_0}{n}\right)^{1/3} \varphi \left(\frac{n_0^2 \bar{n}}{N_2}\right) S \left(\bar{h}, \eta_2 n; \frac{q}{n_0}\right) W_{1,\eta_2}^{\pm}(n_0^2 n, q, h, u) du.
\end{aligned}$$

By the duality principle (Lemma 4.2) and Lemma 3.6, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 6.2. *For any positive numbers $A > 0$, $\sqrt{t} \ll M \ll N$, $\frac{N}{t^{1-\varepsilon}} \ll H \ll \frac{Nt^\varepsilon}{M}$, $Q \gg \frac{N}{t^{1-\varepsilon}}$, $C \ll Q$, we have*

$$S_{M,H,C}^{\pm}(N) \ll t^{-A}$$

unless $C \gg \frac{N}{t^{1+\varepsilon}}$. When $C \gg \frac{N}{t^{1+\varepsilon}}$, we have

$$S_{M,H,C}^{\pm}(N) \ll t^\varepsilon \sup_{|u| \ll 1} \sup_{\eta_1, \eta_2 = \pm \frac{CQt^\varepsilon}{N} \leq |X| \leq t^\varepsilon \text{ or } X=0} \sup_{N_1 \ll \frac{N^2 t^\varepsilon}{Q^3}} \sup_{N_2 \ll \frac{N^2 t^\varepsilon}{Q^3}} \sup_{H' \sim \frac{Ct}{N}} (S_{1,X}(N) S_2(N))^{1/2} + t^{-A},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{1,X}(N) &:= S_{1,M,H,H',C,X}^{\pm,\eta_1}(N, N_1) \\
&= \frac{MN_1^{1/3} N^{7/3}}{Qt^{1-\varepsilon}} \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \sum_{m_0} \sum_m \frac{1}{m_0} \varphi \left(\frac{m_0^2 \bar{m}}{N_1}\right) \sum_{\substack{\frac{C}{m_0} \leq q < (1+10^{-10})\frac{C}{m_0} \\ (h, qm_0)=1}} \frac{1}{q^{3/2}} U(u) \beta(m_0 q, h, u) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_X(x) g(m_0 q, x) \phi_1(u, q, h, x) \\
&\quad \times U_{1,\eta_1} \left(\frac{m}{q^3 m_0}, m_0 q, x\right) e^{-\frac{N^2 x u}{m_0 q Q H t^{1-\varepsilon}} + \frac{tx}{2\pi h Q}} dx du^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
S_2(N) &:= S_{2,M,H,H',C,u}^{\pm,\eta_2}(N, N_2) \\
&= \frac{MN_2^{1/3}N^{7/3}}{Qt^{1-\varepsilon}} \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \overset{\curvearrowright}{\triangleleft} \frac{1}{n_0} \overset{\curvearrowright}{\triangleleft} \varphi \frac{\overline{n_0^2 n}}{N_2} \overset{\curvearrowright}{\triangleleft} \frac{1}{q^{3/2}} \overset{\curvearrowright}{\triangleleft} S_{\overline{h}, \eta_2 n; q} \\
&\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} U(u) \beta(n_0 q, h, u) W_{1,\eta_2}^{\pm}(n_0^2 n, n_0 q, h, u) du, \tag{17}
\end{aligned}$$

with $U_{1,\eta_1}, W_{1,\eta_2}^{\pm}$ as defined in (12) and (15) respectively.

7. OPENING THE SQUARE AND POISSON SUMMATION TO $S_{1,X}(N)$

In this section we focus on the analysis of $S_{1,X}(N)$. We will then apply similar treatment to $S_2(N)$ in the next section.

7.1. Opening the square and Poisson summation. Opening the square in (16), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{1,X}(N) &= \frac{MN_1^{1/3}N^{7/3}}{Qt^{1-\varepsilon}} \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \overset{\curvearrowright}{\triangleleft} \frac{1}{m_0} \overset{\curvearrowright}{\triangleleft} \varphi \frac{\overline{m_0^2 m}}{N_1} \overset{\curvearrowright}{\triangleleft} \overset{\curvearrowright}{\triangleleft} \frac{1}{(q_1 q_2)^{3/2}} \\
&\quad \times \overset{\curvearrowright}{\triangleleft} \overset{\curvearrowright}{\triangleleft} S_{\overline{h}_1, \eta_1 m; q_1} S_{\overline{h}_2, \eta_1 m; q_2} \\
&\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} U(u_1) \beta(m_0 q_1, h_1, u_1) \varphi_X(x_1) g(m_0 q_1, x_1) \phi_1(u_1, m_0 q_1, h_1, x_1) \\
&\quad \times U_{1,\eta_1} \left(\frac{m}{q_1^3 m_0}, m_0 q_1, x_1 \right) e^{\frac{N^2 x_1 u_1}{m_0 q_1 Q H t^{1-\varepsilon}} + \frac{t x_1}{2\pi h_1 Q}} dx_1 du_1 \\
&\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} U(u_2) \beta(m_0 q_2, h_2, u_2) \varphi_X(x_2) g(m_0 q_2, x_2) \phi_1(u_2, m_0 q_2, h_2, x_2) \\
&\quad \times U_{1,\eta_1} \left(\frac{m}{q_2^3 m_0}, m_0 q_2, x_2 \right) e^{-\frac{N^2 x_2 u_2}{m_0 q_2 Q H t^{1-\varepsilon}} - \frac{t x_2}{2\pi h_2 Q}} dx_2 du_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Poisson summation to the m -sum, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overset{\curvearrowright}{\triangleleft} \varphi \frac{\overline{m_0^2 m}}{N_1} S_{\overline{h}_1, \eta_1 m; q_1} S_{\overline{h}_2, \eta_1 m; q_2} U_{1,\eta_1} \left(\frac{m}{q_1^3 m_0}, m_0 q_1, x_1 \right) U_{1,\eta_1} \left(\frac{m}{q_2^3 m_0}, m_0 q_2, x_2 \right) \\
&= \frac{N_1}{m_0^2 q_1 q_2} \overset{\curvearrowright}{\triangleleft} \mathcal{C}_{\eta_1}(m, h_1, h_2, q_1 q_2) \mathcal{J}_{1,1}(m, m_0, q_1, q_2, x_1, x_2),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}_{\eta_1}(m, h_1, h_2, q_1 q_2) = \overset{\curvearrowright}{\triangleleft} S_{\overline{h}_1, \eta_1 \gamma; q_1} S_{\overline{h}_2, \eta_1 \gamma; q_2} e^{\frac{m \gamma}{q_1 q_2}} \tag{18}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{J}_{1,1}(m, m_0, q_1, q_2, x_1, x_2) \\
&= \int_0^{\infty} \varphi(z) U_{1,\eta_1} \left(\frac{N_1 z}{(m_0 q_1)^3}, m_0 q_1, x_1 \right) U_{1,\eta_1} \left(\frac{N_1 z}{(m_0 q_2)^3}, m_0 q_2, x_2 \right) e^{-\frac{m N_1 z}{m_0^2 q_1 q_2}} dz. \tag{19}
\end{aligned}$$

Now we analyse $\mathcal{J}_{1,1}(m, m_0, q_1, q_2, x_1, x_2)$. Recall the definition of $U_{1,\eta_1} \left(\frac{N_1 z}{(m_0 q_j)^3}, m_0 q_j, x_j \right)$ in (12) for $j = 1, 2$. The oscillatory integral in U_{1,η_1} has the phase function

$$f_1(w) = -\frac{N w x_j}{m_0 q_j Q} + \eta_1 \Im \frac{N_1 \overline{N w z}}{(m_0 q_j)^3}^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

Differentiating gives us

$$\begin{aligned} f_1'(w) &= -\frac{N x_j}{m_0 q_j Q} + \eta_1 \frac{N_1 \overline{N z}}{(m_0 q_j)^3 w^2}^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ f_1''(w) &= -\eta_1 \frac{2}{3} \frac{N_1 \overline{N z}}{(m_0 q_j)^3 w^5}^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ f_1^{(j)}(w) &\ll_j \frac{(N_1 N)^{\frac{1}{3}}}{C} \end{aligned}$$

for any $j \geq 2$.

For the case $X = 0$, the support of $\varphi_0(x_j)$ gives us $\frac{N x_j}{C Q} \ll t^\varepsilon$, and hence (1) in Lemma 5.2 gives us arbitrary saving unless

$$\frac{\overline{N_1 N}}{C^3}^{\frac{1}{3}} \ll t^\varepsilon \iff N_1 \ll \frac{C^3 t^\varepsilon}{N}.$$

In such case, U_{1,η_1} is t^ε -inert. Now by repeated integration by parts on the z -integral in $\mathcal{J}_{1,1}$, we get arbitrary saving unless

$$|m| \ll \frac{C^2 t^\varepsilon}{N_1}.$$

We have $\mathcal{J}_{1,1}(m, m_0, q_1, q_2, x_1, x_2)$ is t^ε -inert when $|m| \ll \frac{C^2 t^\varepsilon}{N_1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} S_{1,0}(N) &= \frac{M N_1^{4/3} N^{7/3}}{Q t^{1-\varepsilon}} \delta \quad N_1 \ll \frac{\overline{C^3 t^\varepsilon}}{N} \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \int_{m_0}^{\int_{\frac{C}{m_0} \leq q_1, q_2 < (1+10^{-10}) \frac{C}{m_0}}} \frac{1}{m_0^3} \frac{1}{(q_1 q_2)^{5/2}} \\ &\quad \times \int_{|m| \ll \frac{C^2 t^\varepsilon}{N_1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} C_{\eta_1}(m, h_1, h_2, q_1 q_2) U(u_1) U(u_2) \beta(m_0 q_1, h_1, u_1) \overline{\beta(m_0 q_2, h_2, u_2)} \\ &\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_0(x_1) g(m_0 q_1, x_1) \phi_1(u_1, m_0 q_1, h_1, x_1) \overline{\varphi_0(x_2) g(m_0 q_2, x_2) \phi_1(u_2, m_0 q_2, h_2, x_2)} \\ &\quad \times \mathcal{J}_{1,1}(m, m_0, q_1, q_2, x_1, x_2) e^{-\frac{N^2 x_1 u_1}{m_0 q_1 Q H t^{1-\varepsilon}} - \frac{N^2 x_2 u_2}{m_0 q_2 Q H t^{1-\varepsilon}} + \frac{t x_1}{2\pi h_1 Q} - \frac{t x_2}{2\pi h_2 Q}} \\ &\quad dx_2 dx_1 du_2 du_1 + O(t^{-A}) \end{aligned}$$

for any $A > 0$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} S_{1,0}(N) &\ll \frac{Q M N_1^{4/3} N^{1/3}}{C t^{1-\varepsilon}} \delta \quad N_1 \ll \frac{\overline{C^3 t^\varepsilon}}{N} \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \int_{m_0}^{\int_{\frac{C}{m_0} \leq q_1, q_2 < (1+10^{-10}) \frac{C}{m_0}}} \frac{1}{q_1 q_2} \\ &\quad \times \int_{|u_1| < 1} \int_{|u_2| < 1} |\beta(m_0 q_1, h_1, u_1) \beta(m_0 q_2, h_2, u_2)| du_2 du_1 \int_{|m| \ll \frac{C^2 t^\varepsilon}{N_1}} |C_{\eta_1}(m, h_1, h_2, q_1 q_2)| + t^{-A}. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

For the case $X \neq 0$, the support of $\varphi_X(x_j)$ gives us $\frac{Nx_j}{CQ} \sim \frac{NX}{CQ} \gg t^\varepsilon$, (1) in Lemma 5.2 gives us arbitrary saving unless

$$\eta_1 X > 0 \text{ and } \frac{(N_1 N)^{1/3}}{C} \sim \frac{NX}{CQ} \gg t^\varepsilon.$$

In such case, we have $f_1''(w) \gg t^\varepsilon$. Computing the stationary phase point $f_1'(w_0) = 0$, we get

$$w_0 = \sqrt{\frac{N_1 Q^3 z}{N^2 |x_j|^3}}$$

$$f_1(w_0) = \frac{2Nx_j w_0}{m_0 q_j Q} = 2\sqrt{\frac{N_1 Q z}{(m_0 q_j)^2 |x_j|}}.$$

Hence for any $A > 0$, (2) in Lemma 5.2 yields

$$U_{1,\eta_1} \frac{N_1 z}{(m_0 q_j)^3}, m_0 q_j, x_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{f_1''(w_0)}} \delta(\eta_1 X > 0) U_2 \frac{N_1 Q^3 z}{N^2 |x_j|^3} e^{2\sqrt{\frac{N_1 Q z}{(m_0 q_j)^2 |x_j|}}} + O(t^{-A})$$

for some t^ε -inert function $U_2 \in C_c^\infty([1/4, 25/4])$. Writing

$$U_3 \frac{N_1 Q^3 z}{N^2 m_0^3 |x_j|^3}, m_0 q_j, x_j = \frac{(N_1 N)^{1/6}}{\sqrt{C}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{f_1''(w_0)}} U_2 \frac{N_1 Q^3 z}{N^2 |x_j|^3},$$

then U_3 is a t^ε -inert function supported on $[1/4, 25/4] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$U_{1,\eta_1} \frac{N_1 z}{(m_0 q_j)^3}, m_0 q_j, x_j = \frac{\sqrt{C}}{(N_1 N)^{1/6}} \delta(\eta_1 X > 0) U_3 \frac{N_1 Q^3 z}{N^2 |x_j|^3}, m_0 q_j, x_j$$

$$\times e^{2\sqrt{\frac{N_1 Q z}{(m_0 q_j)^2 |x_j|}}} + O(t^{-A}).$$

Inserting this back into (19) yields

$$\mathcal{J}_{1,1}(m, m_0, q_1, q_2, x_1, x_2)$$

$$= \frac{C}{(N_1 N)^{1/3}} \delta(\eta_1 X > 0) \int_0^\infty \varphi(z) U_3 \frac{N_1 Q^3 z}{N^2 |x_1|^3}, m_0 q_1, x_1 \overline{U_3 \frac{N_1 Q^3 z}{N^2 |x_2|^3}, m_0 q_2, x_2}$$

$$\times e^{2\sqrt{\frac{N_1 Q z}{(m_0 q_1)^2 |x_1|}} - 2\sqrt{\frac{N_1 Q z}{(m_0 q_2)^2 |x_2|}} - \frac{m N_1 z}{m_0^2 q_1 q_2}} dz.$$

Repeated integration by parts gives us arbitrary saving unless

$$\frac{|m| N_1}{m_0^2 q_1 q_2} \ll \sqrt{\frac{N_1 Q}{C^2 |X|}} \iff |m| \ll \frac{C \sqrt{Q}}{\sqrt{N_1 |X|}} t^\varepsilon \sim \frac{C Q^2}{N X^2} t^\varepsilon.$$

Hence we have for $X \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{1,X}(N) &\ll \frac{CMN_1N^2}{Qt^{1-\varepsilon}} \delta(\eta_1 X > 0) \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \sum_{m_0} \sum_{\substack{\frac{C}{m_0} \leq q_1, q_2 < (1+10^{-10})\frac{C}{m_0}}} (q_1 q_2)^{-5/2} \\
&\times \sum_{\substack{H' \leq h_1, h_2 < (1+10^{-10})H' \\ (h_1, q_1 m_0)=1 \\ (h_2, q_2 m_0)=1}} \sum_{|m| \ll \frac{CQ^2}{NX^2} t^\varepsilon} \mathcal{C}_{\eta_1}(m, h_1, h_2, q_1 q_2) \\
&\times \prod_{\mathbb{R}} U(u_1) U(u_2) \beta(m_0 q_1, h_1, u_1) \overline{\beta(m_0 q_2, h_2, u_2)} \prod_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_X(x_1) g(m_0 q_1, x_1) \\
&\times \phi_1(u_1, m_0 q_1, h_1, x_1) \prod_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_X(x_2) \overline{g(m_0 q_2, x_2)} \phi_1(u_2, m_0 q_2, h_2, x_2) \\
&\times \int_0^\infty \varphi(z) U_3 \left(\frac{N_1 Q^3 z}{N^2 |x_1|^3}, m_0 q_1, x_1 \right) \overline{U_3 \left(\frac{N_1 Q^3 z}{N^2 |x_2|^3}, m_0 q_2, x_2 \right)} dz \\
&\times e^{2\sqrt{\frac{N_1 Q z}{m_0^2 q_1^2 |x_1|}} - 2\sqrt{\frac{N_1 Q z}{m_0^2 q_2^2 |x_2|}} - \frac{m N_1 z}{m_0^2 q_1 q_2}} \\
&\times e^{\frac{N^2 x_1 u_1}{m_0 q_1 Q H t^{1-\varepsilon}} - \frac{N^2 x_2 u_2}{m_0 q_2 Q H t^{1-\varepsilon}} + \frac{t x_1}{2\pi h_1 Q} - \frac{t x_2}{2\pi h_2 Q}} dx_2 dx_1 du_2 du_1 + t^{-A} \quad (21)
\end{aligned}$$

for any $A > 0$.

7.2. Bounding $S_{1,0}(N)$. To bound $S_{1,0}(N)$, we are left to bound the character sum $\mathcal{C}_{\eta_1}(m, h_1, h_2, q_1 q_2)$ in (20). Recalling the definition in (18), we get for $m = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_{\eta_1}(0, h_1, h_2, q_1 q_2) &= \sum_{\gamma \bmod q_1 q_2} S_{\overline{h_1}, \eta_1 \gamma; q_1} S_{\overline{h_2}, \eta_1 \gamma; q_2} \\
&= \sum_{\alpha_1 \bmod q_1} \sum_{\alpha_2 \bmod q_2} \sum_{\gamma \bmod q_1 q_2} e^{\frac{\overline{\alpha_1 h_1} \gamma}{q_1}} e^{\frac{\overline{\alpha_2 h_2} \gamma}{q_2}} e^{\eta_1 \frac{(\overline{\alpha_1} q_2 + \overline{\alpha_2} q_1) \gamma}{q_1 q_2}} \\
&= q_1 q_2 \sum_{\alpha_1 \bmod q_1} \sum_{\alpha_2 \bmod q_2} e^{\frac{\overline{\alpha_1 h_1} \gamma}{q_1}} e^{\frac{\overline{\alpha_2 h_2} \gamma}{q_2}} \delta(\overline{\alpha_1} q_2 \equiv -\overline{\alpha_2} q_1 \bmod q_1 q_2) \\
&= q_1^2 \sum_{\alpha \bmod q_1} e^{\frac{\alpha(\overline{h_1} - \overline{h_2})}{q_1}} \delta(q_1 = q_2) \\
&= q_1^2 \sum_{q'_1 | q_1} q'_1 \mu \left(\frac{q}{q'_1} \right) \delta(h_1 \equiv h_2 \bmod q'_1, q_1 = q_2) \quad (22)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the contribution of $m = 0$ to $S_{1,0}(N)$ is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ll \frac{QM N_1^{4/3} N^{1/3}}{C t^{1-\varepsilon}} \delta \quad N_1 \ll \frac{C^3 t^\varepsilon}{N} \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \sum_{m_0} \sum_{\substack{\frac{C}{m_0} \leq q < (1+10^{-10})\frac{C}{m_0} \\ q' | q}} \sum_{\substack{H' \leq h_1, h_2 < (1+10^{-10})H' \\ (h_1, q_1 m_0)=1 \\ (h_2, q_2 m_0)=1 \\ h_1 \equiv h_2 \bmod q'}} \\
&\times \int_{|u_1| < 1} \int_{|u_2| < 1} |\beta(m_0 q, h_1, u_1) \beta(m_0 q, h_2, u_2)| du_2 du_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we get the above is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \ll \frac{QMN_1^{4/3}N^{1/3}}{Ct^{1-\varepsilon}} \delta \quad N_1 \ll \frac{\overline{C^3 t^\varepsilon}}{N} \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \\
& \times \int_{\substack{m_0 \frac{C}{m_0} \leq q < (1+10^{-10}) \frac{C}{m_0} \\ q' | q}} \int_{\substack{H' \leq h_1, h_2 < (1+10^{-10}) H' \\ h_1 \equiv h_2 \pmod{q'}}} \int_{|u_1| \ll 1} \int_{|u_2| \ll 1} |\beta(m_0 q, h_1, u_1)|^2 du_2 du_1 \\
& \times \int_{\substack{m_0 \frac{C}{m_0} \leq q < (1+10^{-10}) \frac{C}{m_0} \\ q' | q}} \int_{\substack{H' \leq h_1, h_2 < (1+10^{-10}) H' \\ h_1 \equiv h_2 \pmod{q'}}} \int_{|u_1| \ll 1} \int_{|u_2| \ll 1} |\beta(m_0 q, h_2, u_2)|^2 du_2 du_1 \\
& \ll \frac{QMN_1^{4/3}N^{1/3}}{t^{1-\varepsilon}} \left(1 + \frac{t}{N}\right) \delta \quad N_1 \ll \frac{\overline{C^3 t^\varepsilon}}{N}. \tag{23}
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 7.1. To get the inequality above, we have used

$$\int_{\substack{m_0 \frac{C}{m_0} \leq q < (1+10^{-10}) \frac{C}{m_0}}} \int_{\substack{C \leq q < (1+10^{-10}) C \\ m_0 | q}} F(m_0, q) \ll q^\varepsilon \int_{\substack{C \leq q < (1+10^{-10}) C \\ m_0 | q}} \sup F\left(m_0, \frac{q}{m_0}\right).$$

For the case $m \neq 0$, the character sum is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_{\eta_1}(m, h_1, h_2, q_1 q_2) &= \int_{\gamma \pmod{q_1 q_2}} S(\overline{h_1}, \eta_1 \gamma; q_1) S(\overline{h_2}, \eta_1 \gamma; q_2) e^{\frac{\overline{m} \gamma}{q_1 q_2}} \\
&= \int_{\alpha_1 \pmod{q_1}} e^{\frac{\overline{\alpha_1 h_1}}{q_1}} \int_{\alpha_2 \pmod{q_2}} e^{\frac{\overline{\alpha_2 h_2}}{q_2}} \int_{\gamma \pmod{q_1 q_2}} e^{\frac{(\eta_1(\alpha_1 q_2 + \alpha_2 q_1) + \overline{m}) \gamma}{q_1 q_2}} \\
&\ll_{q_1 q_2} \int_{\alpha_1 \pmod{q_1}} \int_{\alpha_2 \pmod{q_2}} \delta(-\eta_1 m \equiv \alpha_1 q_2 + \alpha_2 q_1 \pmod{q_1 q_2}). \tag{24}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence (20) gives us the contribution of $m \neq 0$ to $S_{1,0}(N)$ is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \ll \frac{QMN_1^{4/3}N^{1/3}}{Ct^{1-\varepsilon}} \delta \quad N_1 \ll \frac{\overline{C^3 t^\varepsilon}}{N} \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \int_{\substack{m_0 \frac{C}{m_0} \leq q_1, q_2 < (1+10^{-10}) \frac{C}{m_0} \\ H' \leq h_1, h_2 < (1+10^{-10}) H' \\ (h_1, q_1 m_0) = 1 \\ (h_2, q_2 m_0) = 1}} \int_{|u_1| \ll 1} \int_{|u_2| \ll 1} |\beta(m_0 q_1, h_1, u_1) \beta(m_0 q_2, h_2, u_2)| du_2 du_1 \\
& \times \int_{\substack{|u_1| \ll 1 \\ |m| \ll \frac{C^2 t^\varepsilon}{N_1}}} \int_{\alpha_1 \pmod{q_1}} \int_{\alpha_2 \pmod{q_2}} \delta(-\eta_1 m \equiv \alpha_1 q_2 + \alpha_2 q_1 \pmod{q_1 q_2}) + t^{-A}
\end{aligned}$$

for any $A > 0$. Performing Cauchy-Schwartz inequality as the $m = 0$ case, we get the above is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ll \frac{QMN_1^{4/3}N^{1/3}}{Ct^{1-\varepsilon}}\delta \quad N_1 \ll \frac{C^3t^\varepsilon}{N} \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \\ m_0 \frac{C}{m_0} \leq q_1, q_2 < (1+10^{-10}) \frac{C}{m_0} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \\ H' \leq h_1, h_2 < (1+10^{-10})H' \end{array} \\ |m| \ll \frac{C^{2+\varepsilon}}{N_1} \end{array} \right. \\
&\times \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle^* \quad \blacktriangle^* \\ \alpha_1 \bmod q_1 \quad \alpha_2 \bmod q_2 \end{array} \quad \delta(-\eta_1 m \equiv \alpha_1 q_2 + \alpha_2 q_1 \bmod q_1 q_2) \\ |u_1| \ll 1 \quad |u_2| \ll 1 \end{array} |\beta(m_0 q_1, h_1, u_1)|^2 du_2 du_1 \\
&\times \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \\ m_0 \frac{C}{m_0} \leq q_1, q_2 < (1+10^{-10}) \frac{C}{m_0} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \\ H' \leq h_1, h_2 < (1+10^{-10})H' \end{array} \\ |m| \ll \frac{C^{2+\varepsilon}}{N_1} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle^* \quad \blacktriangle^* \\ \alpha_1 \bmod q_1 \quad \alpha_2 \bmod q_2 \end{array} \right. \\
&\times \delta(-\eta_1 m \equiv \alpha_1 q_2 + \alpha_2 q_1 \bmod q_1 q_2) \quad \begin{array}{c} |u_1| \ll 1 \quad |u_2| \ll 1 \\ |\beta(m_0 q_2, h_2, x_2, u_2)|^2 du_2 du_1 \end{array} \quad .
\end{aligned}$$

To analyse the congruence condition, we let $q_0 = (q_1, q_2)$, $q_1 = q_0 q_{1,0} q'_1$, $q_2 = q_0 q_{2,0} q'_2$ such that $q_{1,0}, q_{2,0} | q_0^\infty$ and $(q_0, q'_1) = (q_0, q'_2) = 1$. Then the congruence condition decompose into $q_0 | m$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
-\eta_1 \frac{m}{q_0} &\equiv \alpha_1 q_{2,0} q'_2 + \alpha_2 q_{1,0} q'_1 \bmod q_0 q_{1,0} q_{2,0} \\
\alpha_1 &\equiv -\eta_1 \frac{m q_{2,0} q'_2}{q_0} \bmod q'_1 \\
\alpha_2 &\equiv -\eta_1 \frac{m q_{1,0} q'_1}{q_0} \bmod q'_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \\ m_0 \frac{C}{m_0} \leq q_1, q_2 < (1+10^{-10}) \frac{C}{m_0} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \\ H' \leq h_1, h_2 < (1+10^{-10})H' \end{array} \\ |m| \ll \frac{C^{2+\varepsilon}}{N_1} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle^* \quad \blacktriangle^* \\ \alpha_1 \bmod q_1 \quad \alpha_2 \bmod q_2 \end{array} \\
&\times \delta(-\eta_1 m \equiv \alpha_1 q_2 + \alpha_2 q_1 \bmod q_1 q_2) \quad \begin{array}{c} |u_1| \ll 1 \quad |u_2| \ll 1 \\ |\beta(m_0 q_1, h_1, u_1)|^2 du_2 du_1 \end{array} \\
&\ll \frac{Ct}{N} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \\ C \leq q_1 < (1+10^{-10})C \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \\ m_0 q_0 q_{1,0} q'_1 = q_1 \\ q_{1,0} | q_0^\infty \\ (q'_1, q_0) = 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \\ \frac{Ct}{N} \leq h_1 < (1+10^{-10}) \frac{Ct}{N} \end{array} \\ |u_1| \ll 1 \end{array} \quad |\beta(q_1, h_1, u_1)|^2 du_1 \\
&\times \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \\ |m| \ll \frac{C^{2+\varepsilon}}{N_1} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \\ C \leq q_2 < (1+10^{-10})C \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \\ m_0 q_0 q_{2,0} q'_2 = q_2 \\ q_{2,0} | q_0^\infty \\ (q'_2, q_1) = 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle^* \quad \blacktriangle^* \\ \alpha_1 \bmod q_1 \\ \alpha_1 \equiv -\eta_1 \frac{m q_{2,0} q'_2}{q_0} \bmod q'_1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle^* \quad \blacktriangle^* \\ \alpha_2 \bmod q_2 \\ \alpha_2 \equiv -\eta_1 \frac{m q_{1,0} q'_1}{q_0} \bmod q'_2 \end{array} \\
&\times \delta \quad \begin{array}{c} -\eta_1 \frac{m}{q_0} \equiv \alpha_1 q_{2,0} q'_2 + \alpha_2 q_{1,0} q'_1 \bmod q_0 q_{1,0} q_{2,0} \end{array} \\
&\ll \frac{C^4 t^{1+\varepsilon}}{N_1 N}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the contribution of $m \neq 0$ to $S_{1,0}(N)$ is bounded by

$$\ll \frac{QMN_1^{4/3}N^{1/3}}{Ct^{1-\varepsilon}}\delta \quad N_1 \ll \frac{C^3t^\varepsilon}{N} \frac{C^4t}{N_1 N} \ll \frac{C^3QMN_1^{1/3}t^\varepsilon}{N^{2/3}}\delta \quad N_1 \ll \frac{C^3t^\varepsilon}{N}. \tag{26}$$

Combining (23) and (26), we get

$$S_{1,0}(N) \ll \frac{C^3QMN_1^{1/3}t^\varepsilon}{N^{2/3}}\delta \quad N_1 \ll \frac{C^3t^\varepsilon}{N}. \tag{27}$$

7.3. **Integral Analysis for $X \neq 0$.** Consider the x_1 -integral in (21),

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_X(x_1) g(m_0 q_1, x_1) \phi_1(u_1, m_0 q_1, h_1, x_1) U_3 \left(\frac{N_1 Q^3 z}{N^2 |x_1|^3}, m_0 q_1, x_1 \right) \\ & \times e^{2\sqrt{\frac{N_1 Q z}{m_0^2 q_1^2 |x_1|}} + \frac{N^2 x_1 u}{m_0 q_1 Q H t^{1-\varepsilon}} + \frac{t x_1}{2\pi h_1 Q}} dx_1 \\ & = X \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x_1) g(m_0 q_1, X x_1) \phi_1(u_1, m_0 q_1, h_1, X x_1) U_3 \left(\frac{N_1 Q^3 z}{N^2 X^3 x_1^3}, m_0 q_1, X x_1 \right) e(F_1(x_1)) dx_1, \end{aligned}$$

where the phase function is

$$F_1(x_1) = 2\sqrt{\frac{N_1 Q z}{m_0^2 q_1^2 |X| |x_1|}} + \frac{N^2 X x_1 u}{m_0 q_1 Q H t^{1-\varepsilon}} + \frac{t X x_1}{2\pi h_1 Q}.$$

Recall we have $N_1 \sim \frac{N^2 X^3}{Q^3}$ by the support of U_3 . Differentiating, we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_1'(x_1) &= -\sqrt{\frac{N_1 Q z}{m_0^2 q_1^2 |X| |x_1|^3}} + \frac{N^2 X u}{m_0 q_1 Q H t^{1-\varepsilon}} + \frac{t X}{2\pi h_1 Q} \\ F_1''(x_1) &= \frac{3}{2} \sqrt{\frac{N_1 Q z}{m_0^2 q_1^2 |X| |x_1|^5}} \sim \frac{\sqrt{N_1 Q}}{C \sqrt{X}} \\ F_1^{(j)}(x_1) &\ll_j \frac{\sqrt{N_1 Q}}{C \sqrt{X}} \end{aligned}$$

for any $j \geq 2$. The stationary point $x_{1,0}$ satisfying $F_1'(x_{1,0}) = 0$ is

$$x_{1,0} = \frac{2\pi h_1}{m_0 q_1 t} \left(\frac{Q(N_1 z)^{1/3}}{|X|} \left(1 + \frac{2\pi h_1 N^2 u}{m_0 q_1 H t^{2-\varepsilon}} \right)^{-2/3} \right)^{2/3}$$

and

$$F_1(x_{1,0}) = 3\sqrt{\frac{N_1 Q z}{m_0^2 q_1^2 |X| |x_{1,0}|}} = 3 \frac{N_1 t z}{2\pi h_1 (m_0 q_1)^2} \left(1 + \frac{2\pi h_1 N^2 u}{m_0 q_1 H t^{2-\varepsilon}} \right)^{1/3}.$$

Note that the properties of $g(m_0 q_1, X x_1)$ stated in Lemma 4.1 gives us

$$\begin{cases} g(m_0 q_1, X x_1) = 1 + O(t^{-A}) & \text{for any } A > 0 \text{ if } X < t^{-\varepsilon/10} \text{ and } C < Q t^{-\varepsilon/10} \\ g(m_0 q_1, X x_1) \text{ is } t^{\varepsilon/10}\text{-inert w.r.t. } x_1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

Since $X \gg \frac{C Q t^\varepsilon}{N}$, we have $F_1''(x_1) \sim \frac{\sqrt{N_1 Q}}{C \sqrt{X}} \sim \frac{N X}{C Q} \gg t^\varepsilon$. Hence by (2) in Lemma 5.2, there exists a t^ε -inert function Φ supported on $[1/4, 25/4] \times \mathbb{R}$ such that the x_1 -integral is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & X \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x_1) g(m_0 q_1, X x_1) \phi_1(u_1, m_0 q_1, h_1, X x_1) U_3 \left(\frac{N_1 Q^3 z}{N^2 X^3 x_1^3}, m_0 q_1, X x_1 \right) e(F_1(x_1)) dx_1 \\ & = X \sqrt{\frac{C \sqrt{X}}{\sqrt{N_1 Q}}} t^\varepsilon \Phi \left(\frac{N_1 Q^3 z}{N^2 X^3}, m_0 q_1, u_1 \right) g(m_0 q_1, X x_{1,0}) e \left(3 \frac{N_1 t z}{2\pi h_1 (m_0 q_1)^2} \left(1 + \frac{2\pi h_1 N^2 u}{m_0 q_1 H t^{2-\varepsilon}} \right)^{1/3} \right) \\ & + O(t^{-A}) \end{aligned}$$

for any $A > 0$. Applying the same treatment to the x_2 -integral and inserting them back into (21), there exists a t^ε -inert function Φ' supported on $[1/4, 25/4] \times \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{1,X}(N) &= \frac{C^2 M \sqrt{N_1} N^2 X^{5/2}}{Q^{3/2} t^{1-\varepsilon}} \delta(\eta_1 X > 0) \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \int_{m_0}^{\infty} \int_{\frac{c}{m_0} \leq q_1, q_2 < (1+10^{-10}) \frac{c}{m_0}} (q_1 q_2)^{-5/2} \int_{\substack{H' \leq h_1, h_2 < (1+10^{-10}) H' \\ (h_1, q_1 m_0)=1 \\ (h_2, q_2 m_0)=1}} \int_0^\infty \\
&\times \int_{|m| \ll \frac{c Q^2}{N X^2} t^\varepsilon} C_{\eta_1}(m, h_1, h_2, q_1 q_2) \int_{\mathbb{R}} U(u_1) U(u_2) \beta(m_0 q_1, h_1, u_1) \overline{\beta(m_0 q_2, h_2, u_2)} \varphi(z) \\
&\times \Phi \left(\frac{N_1 Q^3 z}{N^2 X^3}, m_0 q_1, u_1 \right) \Phi' \left(\frac{N_1 Q^3 z}{N^2 X^3}, m_0 q_2, u_2 \right) g(m_0 q_1, X x_{1,0}) g(m_0 q_2, X x_{2,0}) \\
&\times e^{-3} \frac{N_1 t z}{2\pi h_1 (m_0 q_1)^2} \left(1 + \frac{2\pi h_1 N^2 u_1}{m_0 q_1 H t^{2-\varepsilon}} \right)^{1/3} \\
&\times e^{-3} \frac{N_1 t z}{2\pi h_2 (m_0 q_2)^2} \left(1 + \frac{2\pi h_2 N^2 u_2}{m_0 q_2 H t^{2-\varepsilon}} \right)^{1/3} - \frac{m N_1 z}{m_0^2 q_1 q_2} \int dz du_2 du_1 + O(t^{-A}) \quad (29)
\end{aligned}$$

for any $A > 0$, and

$$x_{j,0} = \frac{2\pi \overline{h_j}}{m_0 q_j t} \frac{Q(N_1 z)^{1/3}}{X} \left(1 + \frac{2\pi h_j N^2 u}{m_0 q_j H t^{2-\varepsilon}} \right)^{-2/3} \quad (30)$$

for $j = 1, 2$. Note that $x_{j,0}$ is flat with respect to z .

Before we continue the analysis of $S_{1,X}(N)$, we first reduce $S_2(N)$ in a similar fashion as above.

8. OPENING THE SQUARE AND POISSON SUMMATION TO $S_2(N)$

In this section, we apply similar treatment to $S_2(N)$ as done in the previous section. Recall that in (17) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S_2(N) &= \frac{M N_2^{1/3} N^{7/3}}{Q t^{1-\varepsilon}} \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \int_{n_0}^{\infty} \int_n \frac{n_0^2 \overline{n}}{N_2} \int_{\frac{c}{n_0} \leq q < (1+10^{-10}) \frac{c}{n_0}} \frac{1}{q^{3/2}} \int_{\substack{H' \leq h < (1+10^{-10}) H' \\ (h, q n_0)=1}} S(\overline{h}, \eta_2 n; q) \\
&\times \int_{\mathbb{R}} U(u) \beta(n_0 q, h, u) W_{1, \eta_2}^\pm(n_0^2 n, n_0 q, h, u) du
\end{aligned}$$

Opening the square, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
S_2(N) &= \frac{M N_2^{1/3} N^{7/3}}{Q t^{1-\varepsilon}} \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \int_{n_0}^{\infty} \int_n \frac{n_0^2 \overline{n}}{N_2} \int_{\frac{c}{n_0} \leq q_1, q_2 < (1+10^{-10}) \frac{c}{n_0}} \frac{1}{(q_1 q_2)^{3/2}} \int_{\substack{H' \leq h_1, h_2 < (1+10^{-10}) H' \\ (h_1, q_1 n_0)=1 \\ (h_2, q_2 n_0)=1}} \\
&\times S(\overline{h_1}, \eta_2 n; q_1) S(\overline{h_2}, \eta_2 n; q_2) \int_{\mathbb{R}} U(u_1) U(u_2) \beta(n_0 q_1, h_1, u_1) \overline{\beta(n_0 q_2, h_2, u_2)} \\
&\times W_{1, \eta_2}^\pm(n_0^2 n, n_0 q_1, h_1, u_1) \overline{W_{1, \eta_2}^\pm(n_0^2 n, n_0 q_2, h_2, u_2)} du_2 du_1. \quad (31)
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Poisson summation to the n -sum, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_n \frac{n_0^2 \overline{n}}{N_2} S(\overline{h_1}, \eta_2 n; q_1) S(\overline{h_2}, \eta_2 n; q_2) W_{1, \eta_2}^\pm(n_0^2 n, n_0 q_1, h_1, u_1) \overline{W_{1, \eta_2}^\pm(n_0^2 n, n_0 q_2, h_2, u_2)} \\
&= \frac{N_2}{n_0^2 q_1 q_2} \int_n C_{\eta_2}(n, h_1, h_2, q_1 q_2) \mathcal{J}_{2,1}(n, n_0, q_1, q_2, h_1, h_2, u_1, u_2),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}_{\eta_2}(n, h_1, h_2, q_1 q_2) = \sum_{\gamma \bmod q_1 q_2} S_{\overline{h_1}, \eta_2 \gamma; q_1} S_{\overline{h_2}, \eta_2 \gamma; q_2} e^{-\frac{n \overline{\gamma}}{q_1 q_2}}$$

is the same character sum defined in (18). Therefore, by (15)

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{J}_{2,1}(n, n_0, q_1, q_2, h_1, h_2, u_1, u_2) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \varphi(z) W_{1, \eta_2}^\pm(N_2 z, n_0 q_1, h_1, u_1) \overline{W_{1, \eta_2}^\pm(N_2 z, n_0 q_2, h_2, u_2)} e^{-\frac{n \overline{N_2 z}}{n_0^2 q_1 q_2}} dz \\ &= \int_0^\infty \varphi(z) U_{0, \eta_2} \left(\frac{N_2 z}{(n_0 q_1)^3}, \frac{n_0 q_1 t}{2\pi h_1} + \frac{N^2 \overline{u_1}}{H t^{1-\varepsilon}} \right) \overline{U_{0, \eta_2} \left(\frac{N_2 z}{(n_0 q_2)^3}, \frac{n_0 q_2 t}{2\pi h_2} + \frac{N^2 \overline{u_2}}{H t^{1-\varepsilon}} \right)} \\ & \quad \times e^{-\eta_2 \mathfrak{I} \left(\frac{N_2 N z}{(n_0 q_1)^3} \frac{n_0 q_1 t}{2\pi h_1 N} + \frac{N \overline{u_1}}{H t^{1-\varepsilon}} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}} + \eta_2 \mathfrak{I} \left(\frac{N_2 N z}{(n_0 q_2)^3} \frac{n_0 q_2 t}{2\pi h_2 N} + \frac{N \overline{u_2}}{H t^{1-\varepsilon}} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} - \frac{n N_2 z}{n_0^2 q_1 q_2} dz. \end{aligned}$$

Repeated integration by parts in the z -integral gives us arbitrary saving unless

$$|n| \ll \frac{C N^{1/3} t^\varepsilon}{N_2^{2/3}}.$$

Inserting this back into (31), we get

$$\begin{aligned} S_2(N) &= \frac{M N_2^{4/3} N^{7/3}}{Q t^{1-\varepsilon}} \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \sum_{n_0} \sum_{\substack{|n| \ll \frac{C N^{1/3} t^\varepsilon}{N_2^{2/3}} \\ \frac{C}{n_0} \leq q_1, q_2 < (1+10^{-10}) \frac{C}{n_0}}} (q_1 q_2)^{-5/2} \\ & \quad \times \sum_{\substack{H' \leq h_1, h_2 < (1+10^{-10}) H' \\ (h_1, q_1 n_0)=1 \\ (h_2, q_2 n_0)=1}} \mathcal{C}_{\eta_2}(n, h_1, h_2, q_1 q_2) \prod_{\mathbb{R}} U(u_1) U(u_2) \beta(n_0 q_1, h_1, u_1) \overline{\beta(n_0 q_2, h_2, u_2)} \\ & \quad \mathcal{J}_{2,1}(n, n_0, q_1, q_2, h_1, h_2, u_1, u_2) du_2 du_1 + O(t^{-A}) \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

for any $A > 0$.

9. ANALYSIS OF $S_{1,X}(N)$ AND $S_2(N)$

Using $N_1 \sim \frac{N^2 X^3}{Q^3}$, (27), (29) and (32), we have the following Lemma.

Lemma 9.1. *For $0 \leq |X| \ll t^\varepsilon$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} S_{1,X}(N) &\ll \frac{C^2 Q M N_1^{4/3} N^{1/3}}{t^{1-\varepsilon}} \delta_{\eta_1 X > 0, N_1 \sim \frac{N^2 X^3}{Q^3}} \\ &\quad \times \frac{C t}{N_1 N} \delta_{N_1 \ll \frac{C^3 t^\varepsilon}{N}} + \mathcal{R}_1(N) + O(t^{-A}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$S_2(N) \ll \frac{M N_2^{4/3} N^{7/3}}{Q t^{1-\varepsilon}} \mathcal{R}_2(N) + O(t^{-A})$$

for any $A > 0$, where for $j = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_j(N) &= \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \sum_{n_0} \sum_{\substack{|n| \ll \frac{C N^{1/3} t^\varepsilon}{N_j^{2/3}} \\ \frac{C}{n_0} \leq q_1, q_2 < (1+10^{-10}) \frac{C}{n_0}}} (q_1 q_2)^{-5/2} \sum_{\substack{H' \leq h_1, h_2 < (1+10^{-10}) H' \\ (h_1, q_1 n_0)=1 \\ (h_2, q_2 n_0)=1}} \mathcal{C}_{\eta_j}(n, h_1, h_2, q_1 q_2) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{\mathbb{R}} U(u_1) U(u_2) \beta(n_0 q_1, h_1, u_1) \overline{\beta(n_0 q_2, h_2, u_2)} \mathcal{J}_j(n, n_0, q_1, q_2, h_1, h_2, u_1, u_2) du_2 du_1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{J}_j(n, n_0, q_1, q_2, h_1, h_2, u_1, u_2) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \varphi(z) \Phi_{j, \eta_j}(n_0 q_1, n_0 q_2, u_1, u_2) (g(n_0 q_1, X x_{1,0}) g(n_0 q_2, X x_{2,0}))^{2-j} \\ & \quad \times e^{-\eta'_j 3 \frac{N_j N z}{(n_0 q_1)^3} - \frac{n_0 q_1 t}{2\pi h_1 N} + \frac{\overline{N u_1}}{H t^{1-\varepsilon}}^{\frac{1}{3}} + \eta'_j 3 \frac{N_j N z}{(n_0 q_2)^3} - \frac{n_0 q_2 t}{2\pi h_2 N} + \frac{\overline{N u_2}}{H t^{1-\varepsilon}}^{\frac{1}{3}} - \frac{n N_j z}{n_0^2 q_1 q_2}} dz, \end{aligned}$$

with $\eta'_1 = 1, \eta'_2 = \eta_2$, $x_{1,0}, x_{2,0}$ are defined in (30) and Φ_{j, η_j} is a t^ε -inert function. Moreover, $x_{1,0}, x_{2,0}$ are flat with respect to q_1, q_2, z .

With the above lemma, we are left to bound $\mathcal{R}_j(N)$. We separate the analysis into 4 cases depending on the size of $|n|$.

9.1. Diagonal Contribution. We first deal with the case $n = 0$. Its contribution to $\mathcal{R}_j(N)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \sum_{n_0} \sum_{\substack{C \\ \frac{C}{n_0} \leq q_1, q_2 < (1+10^{-10}) \frac{C}{n_0}}} (q_1 q_2)^{-5/2} \sum_{\substack{H' \leq h_1, h_2 < (1+10^{-10}) H' \\ (h_1, q_1 n_0)=1 \\ (h_2, q_2 n_0)=1}} C_{\eta_j}(0, h_1, h_2, q_1 q_2) \\ & \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} U(u_1) U(u_2) \beta(n_0 q_1, h_1, u_1) \overline{\beta(n_0 q_2, h_2, u_2)} \mathcal{J}_j(0, n_0, q_1, q_2, h_1, h_2, u_1, u_2) du_2 du_1. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that (22) gives us

$$C_{\eta_j}(0, h_1, h_2, q_1 q_2) = q_1^2 \sum_{q'_1 | q_1} q'_1 \mu\left(\frac{q}{q'_1}\right) \delta_{h_1 \equiv h_2 \pmod{q'_1}, q_1 = q_2}.$$

Using (28) and $x_{j,0}$ is flat with respect to z , repeated integration by parts on the z -integral in \mathcal{J}_j with $q_1 = q_2 = q$ gives us arbitrary saving unless

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{N_j N}{(n_0 q)^3} - \frac{n_0 q t}{2\pi h_1 N} + \frac{\overline{N u_1}}{H t^{1-\varepsilon}}^{\frac{1}{3}} - \frac{N_j N}{(n_0 q)^3} - \frac{n_0 q t}{2\pi h_2 N} + \frac{\overline{N u_2}}{H t^{1-\varepsilon}}^{\frac{1}{3}} \ll t^\varepsilon \\ \implies & \frac{n_0 q t}{2\pi N} (h_1^{-1} - h_2^{-1}) + \frac{N}{H t^{1-\varepsilon}} (u_1 - u_2) \ll \frac{C t^\varepsilon}{(N_j N)^{1/3}} \\ \implies & 0 \neq |h_2 - h_1| \ll \frac{C^2 t^{1+\varepsilon}}{N_j^{1/3} N^{4/3}} + \frac{C t^\varepsilon}{H} \text{ or } h_1 = h_2 \text{ and } |u_2 - u_1| \ll \frac{C H t^{1+\varepsilon}}{N_j^{1/3} N^{4/3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that (6) gives us $\mathcal{J}_j \ll t^\varepsilon$ for both $j = 1, 2$.

Applying Cauchy-Schwartz inequality gives us the contribution of $n = 0$ to $\mathcal{R}_j(N)$ is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \ll t^\varepsilon \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \int_{n_0}^{\wedge} n_0^{-3} \int_{\frac{C}{n_0} \leq q < (1+10^{-10}) \frac{C}{n_0}} \int_{q'|q} q^{-3} \int_{H' \leq h_1, h_2 < (1+10^{-10})H'} \int_{\substack{h_1 \equiv h_2 \pmod{q'} \\ |h_2 - h_1| \ll \frac{C^2 t^{1+\varepsilon}}{N_j^{1/3} N^{4/3}}} \int_{|u_1| \ll 1} \int_{|u_2| \ll 1} \\
& \quad \times |\beta(n_0 q_1, h_1, u_1)| |\beta(n_0 q_2, h_2, u_2)| \delta(h_1 \neq h_2) + \delta(h_1 = h_2, |u_2 - u_1| \ll \frac{CHt^{1+\varepsilon}}{N_j^{1/3} N^{4/3}}) du_2 du_1 \\
& \ll \frac{t^\varepsilon}{C^3} \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \left\{ \int_{n_0}^{\wedge} \int_{\frac{C}{n_0} \leq q < (1+10^{-10}) \frac{C}{n_0}} \int_{q'|q} \int_{H' \leq h_1, h_2 < (1+10^{-10})H'} \int_{\substack{h_1 \equiv h_2 \pmod{q'} \\ |h_2 - h_1| \ll \frac{C^2 t^{1+\varepsilon}}{N_j^{1/3} N^{4/3}}} \int_{|u_1| \ll 1} \int_{|u_2| \ll 1} |\beta(n_0 q, h_1, u_1)|^2 \right. \\
& \quad \times \delta(h_1 \neq h_2) + \delta(h_1 = h_2, |u_2 - u_1| \ll \frac{CHt^{1+\varepsilon}}{N_j^{1/3} N^{4/3}}) du_2 du_1 \Bigg|^{1/2} \\
& \quad \times \left\{ \int_{n_0}^{\wedge} \int_{\frac{C}{n_0} \leq q < (1+10^{-10}) \frac{C}{n_0}} \int_{q'|q} \int_{H' \leq h_1, h_2 < (1+10^{-10})H'} \int_{\substack{h_1 \equiv h_2 \pmod{q'} \\ |h_2 - h_1| \ll \frac{C^2 t^{1+\varepsilon}}{N_j^{1/3} N^{4/3}}} \int_{|u_1| \ll 1} \int_{|u_2| \ll 1} |\beta(n_0 q, h_2, u_2)|^2 \right. \\
& \quad \times \delta(h_1 \neq h_2) + \delta(h_1 = h_2, |u_2 - u_1| \ll \frac{CHt^{1+\varepsilon}}{N_j^{1/3} N^{4/3}}) du_2 du_1 \Bigg|^{1/2} \\
& \ll \frac{t^\varepsilon}{C^2} \frac{CHt}{N_j^{1/3} N^{4/3}} = \frac{Ht^{1+\varepsilon}}{CN_j^{1/3} N^{4/3}}. \tag{33}
\end{aligned}$$

9.2. Contribution when n is small. Now we deal with the case $0 \neq |nN_j| \ll C^2 t^\varepsilon$. Since $|nN_j| \ll C^2 t^\varepsilon$, we have $e^{-\frac{nN_j z}{n_0^2 q_1 q_2}}$ is t^ε -inert. Using (28) and $x_{j,0}$ is flat with respect to z , repeated integration by parts in the z -integral in \mathcal{J}_j gives us arbitrary saving unless

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{N_j N}{(n_0 q_1)^3} \frac{n_0 q_1 t}{2\pi h_1 N} + \frac{\overline{Nu_1}^{\frac{1}{3}}}{Ht^{1-\varepsilon}} - \frac{N_j N}{(n_0 q_2)^3} \frac{n_0 q_2 t}{2\pi h_2 N} + \frac{\overline{Nu_2}^{\frac{1}{3}}}{Ht^{1-\varepsilon}} \ll t^\varepsilon \\
\implies & \frac{t}{2\pi n_0^2 N} \frac{1}{q_1^2 h_1} - \frac{\overline{1}^{\frac{1}{3}}}{q_2^2 h_2} + \frac{N}{n_0^3 Ht^{1-\varepsilon}} \frac{u_1}{q_1^3} - \frac{\overline{u_2}}{q_2^3} \ll \frac{t^\varepsilon}{C^2 (N_j N)^{1/3}} \\
\implies & q_2 - \sqrt{\frac{h_1}{h_2}} q_1 \ll \frac{C^2 t^\varepsilon}{n_0 (N_j N)^{1/3}} + \frac{CN}{n_0 Ht^{1-\varepsilon}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Together with (24) giving us

$$\mathcal{C}_{n_j}(n, h_1, h_2, q_1, q_2) \ll q_1 q_2 \int_{\alpha_1 \pmod{q_1}}^{\wedge} \int_{\alpha_2 \pmod{q_2}}^{\wedge} \delta(n \equiv \alpha_1 q_2 + \alpha_2 q_1 \pmod{q_1 q_2}),$$

the contribution of $0 \neq |nN_j| \ll C^2 t^\varepsilon$ to $\mathcal{R}_j(N)$ is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & \ll t^\varepsilon \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \int_{n_0}^{\infty} \int_{0 \neq |n| \ll \frac{C^2 t^\varepsilon}{N_j}} \int_{H' \leq h_1, h_2 < (1+10^{-10})H'} \int_{\frac{C}{n_0} \leq q_1, q_2 < (1+10^{-10})\frac{C}{n_0}} \int_{q_2 - \sqrt{\frac{h_1}{h_2}} q_1 \ll \frac{C^2 t^\varepsilon}{n_0(N_j N)^{1/3}} + \frac{CN}{n_0 H t^{1-\varepsilon}}} (q_1 q_2)^{-3/2} \\ & \times \int_{\alpha_1 \bmod q_1}^{\infty} \int_{\alpha_2 \bmod q_2}^{\infty} \int_{|u_1| \ll 1} \int_{|u_2| \ll 1} |\beta(n_0 q_1, h_1, u_1)| |\beta(n_0 q_2, h_2, u_2)| du_2 du_1 \\ & \times (g(n_0 q_1, X x_{1,0}) g(n_0 q_2, X x_{2,0}))^{2-j} \delta(n \equiv \alpha_1 q_2 + \alpha_2 q_1 \bmod q_1 q_2). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and (6) giving us $g(m_0 q_j, X x_{j,0}) \ll t^\varepsilon$, we get this is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & \ll \frac{N_j^{4/3} t^\varepsilon}{C^3} \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \left\{ \int_{0 \neq |n| \ll \frac{C^2 t^\varepsilon}{N_j}} \int_{n_0}^{\infty} \int_{H' \leq h_1, h_2 < (1+10^{-10})H'} \int_{\frac{C}{n_0} \leq q_1, q_2 < (1+10^{-10})\frac{C}{n_0}} \int_{q_2 - \sqrt{\frac{h_1}{h_2}} q_1 \ll \frac{C^2 t^\varepsilon}{n_0(N_j N)^{1/3}} + \frac{CN}{n_0 H t^{1-\varepsilon}}} \right. \\ & \times \int_{\alpha_1 \bmod q_1}^{\infty} \int_{\alpha_2 \bmod q_2}^{\infty} \int_{|u_1| \ll 1} |\beta(n_0 q_1, h_1, u_1)|^2 du_1 \delta(n \equiv \alpha_1 q_2 + \alpha_2 q_1 \bmod q_1 q_2) \\ & \times \left. \int_{0 \neq |n| \ll \frac{C^2 t^\varepsilon}{N_j}} \int_{n_0}^{\infty} \int_{H' \leq h_1, h_2 < (1+10^{-10})H'} \int_{\frac{C}{n_0} \leq q_1, q_2 < (1+10^{-10})\frac{C}{n_0}} \int_{q_2 - \sqrt{\frac{h_1}{h_2}} q_1 \ll \frac{C^2 t^\varepsilon}{n_0(N_j N)^{1/3}} + \frac{CN}{n_0 H t^{1-\varepsilon}}} \right. \\ & \times \int_{\alpha_1 \bmod q_1}^{\infty} \int_{\alpha_2 \bmod q_2}^{\infty} \int_{|u_2| \ll 1} |\beta(n_0 q_2, h_2, u_2)|^2 du_2 \delta(n \equiv \alpha_1 q_2 + \alpha_2 q_1 \bmod q_1 q_2) \left. \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the same analysis as in $S_{1,0}(N)$ using (25), the above is bounded by

$$\ll \frac{t^\varepsilon C^2 C t}{C^3 N_j N} \left(1 + \frac{C^2}{(N_j N)^{1/3}} + \frac{CN}{Ht} \right) \ll \frac{t^{1+\varepsilon}}{N_j N} \left(1 + \frac{C^2}{(N_j N)^{1/3}} + \frac{CN}{Ht} \right). \quad (34)$$

Notice we have this contribution only when $N_j \ll C^2 t^\varepsilon$.

9.3. Integral Analysis. Now we continue the analysis when $|n|N_j \gg C^2 t^\varepsilon$. Recalling the definition of \mathcal{J}_j in Lemma 9.1, the phase function is

$$F_3(z) := -\tilde{\eta}_j \mathfrak{J} \frac{N_j N z}{(n_0 q_1)^3} \frac{n_0 q_1 t}{2\pi h_1 N} + \frac{\overline{Nu_1}}{Ht^{1-\varepsilon}}^{\frac{1}{3}} + \tilde{\eta}_j \mathfrak{J} \frac{N_j N z}{(n_0 q_2)^3} \frac{n_0 q_2 t}{2\pi h_2 N} + \frac{\overline{Nu_2}}{Ht^{1-\varepsilon}}^{\frac{1}{3}} - \frac{n N_j z}{n_0^2 q_1 q_2}.$$

Differentiating, we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_3'(z) &= -\tilde{\eta}_j \frac{N_j N}{(n_0 q_1)^3 z^2} \frac{n_0 q_1 t}{2\pi h_1 N} + \frac{\overline{Nu_1}}{Ht^{1-\varepsilon}}^{\frac{1}{3}} + \tilde{\eta}_j \frac{N_j N}{(n_0 q_2)^3 z^2} \frac{n_0 q_2 t}{2\pi h_2 N} + \frac{\overline{Nu_2}}{Ht^{1-\varepsilon}}^{\frac{1}{3}} - \frac{n N_j}{n_0^2 q_1 q_2} \\ F_3''(z) &= \tilde{\eta}_j \frac{2}{3} \frac{N_j N}{(n_0 q_1)^3 z^5} \frac{n_0 q_1 t}{2\pi h_1 N} + \frac{\overline{Nu_1}}{Ht^{1-\varepsilon}}^{\frac{1}{3}} - \tilde{\eta}_j \frac{2}{3} \frac{N_j N}{(n_0 q_2)^3 z^5} \frac{n_0 q_2 t}{2\pi h_2 N} + \frac{\overline{Nu_2}}{Ht^{1-\varepsilon}}^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ F_3^{(j)}(z) &\ll_j (N_j N)^{1/3} \frac{1}{n_0 q_1} \frac{n_0 q_1 t}{2\pi h_1 N} + \frac{\overline{Nu_1}}{Ht^{1-\varepsilon}}^{\frac{1}{3}} - \frac{1}{n_0 q_2} \frac{n_0 q_2 t}{2\pi h_2 N} + \frac{\overline{Nu_2}}{Ht^{1-\varepsilon}}^{\frac{1}{3}} \end{aligned}$$

for any $j \geq 2$. Computing the stationary phase point $F_3'(z_0(q_1, q_2)) = 0$, we get

$$z_0(n_0 q_1, n_0 q_2) = \frac{\sqrt{N}}{n^{3/2} N_j} - \tilde{\eta}_j n_0 q_2 \frac{n_0 q_1 t}{2\pi h_1 N} + \frac{\overline{Nu_1}}{Ht^{1-\varepsilon}}^{\frac{1}{3}} + \tilde{\eta}_j n_0 q_1 \frac{n_0 q_2 t}{2\pi h_2 N} + \frac{\overline{Nu_2}}{Ht^{1-\varepsilon}}^{\frac{1}{3}} \Big|^{3/2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_3(z_0(n_0q_1, n_0q_2)) &= \frac{2nN_jz_0}{n_0^2q_1q_2} \\ &= 2\sqrt{\frac{N}{n}} \left[-\tilde{\eta}_j \frac{q_2t}{2\pi h_1q_1N} \left(1 + \frac{2\pi h_1 N^2 \overline{u_1}}{n_0q_1 H t^{2-\varepsilon}} \right)^{1/3} + \tilde{\eta}_j \frac{q_1t}{2\pi q_2 h_2 N} \left(1 + \frac{2\pi h_2 N^2 \overline{u_2}}{n_0q_2 H t^{2-\varepsilon}} \right)^{1/3} \right]^{3/2} \end{aligned}$$

Using (28) and $x_{j,0}$ is flat with respect to z together with $|nN_j| \gg C^2t^\varepsilon$, (1) in Lemma 5.2 gives us arbitrary saving unless

$$F_3''(z) \sim \frac{|nN_j|}{C^2} \gg t^\varepsilon.$$

Hence (2) in Lemma 5.2 gives us

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{J}_j(n, n_0, q_1, q_2, h_1, h_2, u_1, u_2) \\ &= \frac{C}{\sqrt{|nN_j|}} (g(n_0q_1, Xx_1)g(n_0q_2, Xx_2))^{2-j} \\ &\quad \times \tilde{\Phi}(z_0(n_0q_1, n_0q_2), n, n_0, n_0q_1, n_0q_2, h_1, h_2, u_1, u_2) e(F_3(z_0(n_0q_1, n_0q_2))) + O(t^{-A}), \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

for any $A > 0$, with some flat function $\tilde{\Phi}$ supported on $[1/2, 5/2] \times \mathbb{R}^7$, and

$$x_j := x_j(z_0(n_0q_1, n_0q_2)) = \frac{2\pi \overline{h_j}}{n_0q_j t} \frac{Q(N_1z_0(n_0q_1, n_0q_2))^{1/3}}{X} \left(1 + \frac{2\pi h_j N^2 \overline{u}}{n_0q_j H t^{2-\varepsilon}} \right)^{-2/3},$$

for $j = 1, 2$. Inserting this back into (32) yields the contribution of $nN_j \gg C^2t^\varepsilon$ to $\mathcal{R}_j(N)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{C^2\sqrt{N_j}} \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \int_{n_0}^{\frac{C^2t^\varepsilon}{N_j}} \int_{\frac{C^2t^\varepsilon}{N_j} \ll |n| \ll \frac{CN^{1/3}t^\varepsilon}{N_j^{2/3}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|n|}} \int_{\frac{C}{n_0} \leq q_1, q_2 < (1+10^{-10})\frac{C}{n_0}} \frac{1}{q_1q_2} \int_{\substack{H' \leq h_1, h_2 < (1+10^{-10})H' \\ (h_1, q_1n_0)=1 \\ (h_2, q_2n_0)=1}} \\ &\times \mathcal{C}_{n_j}(n, h_1, h_2, q_1q_2) \int_{\mathbb{R}} U(u_1)U(u_2)\beta(n_0q_1, h_1, u_1)\overline{\beta(n_0q_2, h_2, u_2)} \\ &\times \Phi(z_0(n_0q_1, n_0q_2), n, n_0, n_0q_1, n_0q_2, h_1, h_2, u_1, u_2) e(F_3(z_0(n_0q_1, n_0q_2))) du_2du_1 + O(t^{-A}), \end{aligned}$$

for any $A > 0$, with

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi(z_0(n_0q_1, n_0q_2), n, n_0, n_0q_1, n_0q_2, h_1, h_2, u_1, u_2) \\ &:= \frac{C^3}{(n_0^2q_1q_2)^{3/2}} \tilde{\Phi}(z_0(n_0q_1, n_0q_2), n, n_0, n_0q_1, n_0q_2, h_1, h_2, u_1, u_2) (g(n_0q_1, Xx_1)g(n_0q_2, Xx_2))^{2-j}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (6) and (28), Φ is a t^ε -inert function supported on $[1/2, 5/2] \times \mathbb{R}^7$ up to an arbitrarily small error.

9.4. Contribution when $|n|$ is of intermediate size. For a technical reason, we deal with the case

$$\frac{C^2t^\varepsilon}{N_j} \ll |n| \ll \frac{CN^{4/3}}{N_j^{2/3} H t^{1-\varepsilon}}$$

before proceeding further. Note that the restriction of $z_0(n_0q_1, n_0q_2) \sim 1$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} &n_0q_2 \frac{n_0q_1t}{2\pi h_1N} + \frac{N\overline{u_1}}{Ht^{1-\varepsilon}}^{1/3} - n_0q_1 \frac{n_0q_2t}{2\pi h_2N} + \frac{N\overline{u_2}}{Ht^{1-\varepsilon}}^{1/3} \sim \frac{nN_j^{2/3}}{N^{1/3}} \\ \implies &n_0q_2 \frac{n_0q_1\overline{t}}{h_1N}^{1/3} - n_0q_1 \frac{n_0q_2\overline{t}}{h_2N}^{1/3} \ll \frac{|n|N_j^{2/3}}{N^{1/3}} + \frac{CN}{Ht^{1-\varepsilon}} \ll \frac{CN}{Ht^{1-\varepsilon}} \\ \implies &q_2 - \sqrt{\frac{h_1}{h_2}}q_1 \ll \frac{CN}{n_0Ht^{1-\varepsilon}}. \end{aligned}$$

Performing similar procedure as the case $0 \neq |n|N_j \ll C^2 t^\varepsilon$, Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and (24) gives us the contribution of $\frac{C^2 t^\varepsilon}{N_j} \ll |n| \ll \frac{CN^{4/3}}{N_j^{2/3} H t^{1-\varepsilon}}$ to $\mathcal{R}_j(N)$ is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \ll \frac{t^\varepsilon}{C^2 \sqrt{N_j}} \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \\ \blacktriangle \end{array} \\ 0 \neq |n| \ll \frac{CN^{4/3}}{N_j^{2/3} H t^{1-\varepsilon}} \end{array} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|n|}} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \\ \blacktriangle \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \\ \blacktriangle \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \\ \blacktriangle \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \\ \blacktriangle \end{array} \\ \frac{C}{n_0} \leq q_1, q_2 < (1+10^{-10}) H' \frac{C}{n_0} \\ q_2 - \sqrt{\frac{h_1}{h_2}} q_1 \ll \frac{CN}{n_0 H t^{1-\varepsilon}} \end{array} \frac{1}{2} \\
& \times \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle^* \\ \blacktriangle^* \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle^* \\ \blacktriangle^* \end{array} \begin{array}{c} | \beta(n_0 q_1, h_1, u_1) |^2 du_1 \delta(n \equiv \alpha_1 q_2 + \alpha_2 q_1 \pmod{q_1 q_2}) \\ \alpha_1 \pmod{q_1} \alpha_2 \pmod{q_2} \quad |u_1| \ll 1 \end{array} \\
& \times \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \\ \blacktriangle \end{array} \\ 0 \neq |n| \ll \frac{CN^{4/3}}{N_j^{2/3} H t^{1-\varepsilon}} \end{array} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|n|}} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \\ \blacktriangle \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \\ \blacktriangle \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \\ \blacktriangle \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \\ \blacktriangle \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle^* \\ \blacktriangle^* \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle^* \\ \blacktriangle^* \end{array} \\ \frac{C}{n_0} \leq q_1, q_2 < (1+10^{-10}) H' \frac{C}{n_0} \\ \alpha_1 \pmod{q_1} \alpha_2 \pmod{q_2} \\ q_2 - \sqrt{\frac{h_1}{h_2}} q_1 \ll \frac{CN}{n_0 H t^{1-\varepsilon}} \end{array} \frac{1}{2} \\
& \times \begin{array}{c} | \beta(n_0 q_2, h_2, u_2) |^2 du_2 \delta(n \equiv \alpha_1 q_2 + \alpha_2 q_1 \pmod{q_1 q_2}) \\ |u_2| \ll 1 \end{array} .
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the same analysis as in $S_{1,0}(N)$ using (25), the above is bounded by

$$\ll \frac{t^\varepsilon}{C^2 \sqrt{N_j}} \frac{\sqrt{CN^{2/3}}}{N_j^{1/3} \sqrt{Ht}} \frac{Ct}{N} \left(1 + \frac{CN}{Ht} \right) = \frac{t^{1/2+\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{CHN_j^{5/6} N^{1/3}}} \left(1 + \frac{CN}{Ht} \right) . \quad (36)$$

Notice we have this contribution only when $N_j \ll \frac{C^{3/2} N^2}{(Ht)^{3/2}} t^\varepsilon$.

9.5. Contribution when $|n|$ is big. Let $\mathcal{R}_j^*(N)$ denote the contribution of $|n| \gg \frac{C^2}{N_j} + \frac{CN^{4/3}}{N_j^{2/3} Ht}$ t^ε to $\mathcal{R}_j(N)$ in Lemma 9.1, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_j^*(N) &= \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \\ \blacktriangle \end{array} n_0^{-3} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \\ \blacktriangle \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \\ \blacktriangle \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \\ \blacktriangle \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \\ \blacktriangle \end{array} (q_1 q_2)^{-5/2} \\
& \quad \frac{C^2}{N_j} + \frac{CN^{4/3}}{N_j^{2/3} Ht} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \\ \blacktriangle \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \\ \blacktriangle \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \\ \blacktriangle \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \\ \blacktriangle \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \\ \blacktriangle \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \\ \blacktriangle \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \\ \blacktriangle \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \\ \blacktriangle \end{array} \\
& \quad t^\varepsilon \ll |n| \ll \frac{CN^{1/3} t^\varepsilon}{N_j^{2/3}} \frac{C}{n_0} \leq q_1, q_2 < (1+10^{-10}) \frac{C}{n_0} \\
& \times \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \\ \blacktriangle \end{array} \\ H' \leq h_1, h_2 < (1+10^{-10}) H' \\ (h_1, q_1 n_0) = 1 \\ (h_2, q_2 n_0) = 1 \end{array} \mathcal{C}_{\eta_j}(n, h_1, h_2, q_1 q_2) \\
& \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} U(u_1) U(u_2) \beta(n_0 q_1, h_1, u_1) \overline{\beta(n_0 q_2, h_2, u_2)} \mathcal{J}_j(n, n_0, q_1, q_2, h_1, h_2, u_1, u_2) du_2 du_1 .
\end{aligned}$$

9.5.1. Character Sum Analysis. Recall that (24) gives us

$$\mathcal{C}_{\eta_j}(n, h_1, h_2, q_1 q_2) = q_1 q_2 \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle^* \\ \blacktriangle^* \end{array} e^{\frac{\alpha_1 h_1}{q_1}} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle^* \\ \blacktriangle^* \end{array} e^{\frac{\alpha_2 h_2}{q_2}} \delta(-\eta_j n \equiv \alpha_1 q_2 + \alpha_2 q_1 \pmod{q_1 q_2}) .$$

Apply the same analysis as in (25): Write $(q_1, q_2) = q_0$, let $q_{1,0}, q'_1, q_{2,0}, q'_2$ be unique positive integers such that $q_{1,0}, q_{2,0} | q_0^\infty$, $(q'_1 q'_2, q_0) = 1$ with $q_1 = q_0 q_{1,0} q'_1$ and $q_2 = q_0 q_{2,0} q'_2$. Then the congruence condition above breaks down into $q_0 | n$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
-\eta_1 \frac{n}{q_0} &\equiv \alpha_1 q_{2,0} q'_2 + \alpha_2 q_{1,0} q'_1 \pmod{q_0 q_{1,0} q_{2,0}} \\
\alpha_1 &\equiv -\eta_2 \frac{n}{q_0} \overline{q_{2,0} q'_2} \pmod{q'_1} \\
\alpha_2 &\equiv -\eta_2 \frac{n}{q_0} \overline{q_{1,0} q'_1} \pmod{q'_2} .
\end{aligned}$$

Then the Chinese Remainder Theorem and reciprocity yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_{\eta_j}(n, h_1, h_2, q_1 q_2) &= q_1 q_2 e^{-\eta_j \frac{\overline{nh_1 q_{1,0} q_{2,0} q'_2}}{q'_1} - \eta_j \frac{\overline{nh_2 q_{2,0} q_{1,0} q'_1}}{q'_2}} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{\beta_1 \bmod q_0 q_{1,0}} \sum_{\beta_2 \bmod q_0 q_{2,0}} e^{\frac{\beta_1 \overline{h_1 q'_1}}{q_0 q_{1,0}} + \frac{\beta_2 \overline{h_2 q'_2}}{q_0 q_{2,0}}} \\
&\quad \times \delta_{q_0 | n, -\eta_j \frac{n}{q_0} \equiv \beta_1 q'_1 \overline{q'_1} q_{2,0} q'_2 + \beta_2 q'_2 \overline{q'_2} q_{1,0} q'_1 \pmod{q_0 q_{1,0} q_{2,0}}} \\
&= q_1 q_2 e^{-\eta_j \frac{\overline{q'_1 q_{2,0} q'_2}}{nh_1 q_{1,0}} + \eta_j \frac{\overline{q'_2 q_{1,0} q'_1}}{nh_2 q_{2,0}} - \eta_j \frac{q_{2,0} q'_2}{nh_1 q_{1,0} q'_1} - \eta_j \frac{q_{1,0} q'_1}{nh_2 q_{2,0} q'_2}} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{\beta_1 \bmod q_0 q_{1,0}} \sum_{\beta_2 \bmod q_0 q_{2,0}} e^{\frac{\beta_1}{q_0 q_{1,0}} + \frac{\beta_2}{q_0 q_{2,0}}} \\
&\quad \times \delta_{q_0 | n, -\eta_j \frac{n}{q_0} \equiv \beta_1 h_1 q_{2,0} q'_1 q'_2 + \beta_2 h_2 q_{1,0} q'_1 q'_2 \pmod{q_0 q_{1,0} q_{2,0}}}
\end{aligned}$$

Together with (35), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_j^*(N) &= \frac{1}{C^2 \sqrt{N_j}} \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \sum_{\substack{C \leq q_1 = n_0 q_0 q_{1,0} q'_1 < (1+10^{-10})C \\ (q'_1, q_2)=1}} \sum_{\substack{C \leq q_2 = n_0 q_0 q_{2,0} q'_2 < (1+10^{-10})C \\ (q'_1, q_2)=1}} \sum_{\substack{H' \leq h_1, h_2 < (1+10^{-10})H' \\ (h_1, q_1)=1 \\ (h_2, q_2)=1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|n|}} \sum_{n_0} \sum_{q_0 | n} \sum_{q_{1,0}, q_{2,0} | q_0^\infty} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{\beta_1 \bmod q_0 q_{1,0}} \sum_{\beta_2 \bmod q_0 q_{2,0}} e^{\frac{\beta_1}{q_0 q_{1,0}} + \frac{\beta_2}{q_0 q_{2,0}}} \\
&\quad \times \delta_{- \eta_j \frac{n}{q_0} \equiv \beta_1 h_1 q_{2,0} q'_1 q'_2 + \beta_2 h_2 q_{1,0} q'_1 q'_2 \pmod{q_0 q_{1,0} q_{2,0}}} \\
&\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} U(u_1) U(u_2) \beta(q_1, h_1, u_1) \overline{\beta(q_2, h_2, u_2)} \\
&\quad \times \Phi(z_0(n_0 q_1, n_0 q_2), u_1, u_2, n_0, q_1, q_2, h_1, h_2) e(F_3(z_0(n_0 q_1, n_0 q_2))) du_1 du_2 + O(t^{-A})
\end{aligned}$$

for any $A > 0$.

9.5.2. *Second Cauchy-Schwartz Inequality and Poisson Summation.* Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \sum_{0 \neq |n| \ll \frac{CN^{1/3} t^\varepsilon}{N_j^{2/3}}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{C \leq q_1 < (1+10^{-10})C} \sum_{\substack{n_0 q_0 q_{1,0} | q_1 \\ q_0 | n \\ q_{1,0} | q_0^\infty}} \sum_{\substack{q_{2,0} < (1+10^{-10}) \frac{C}{q_0} \\ q_{2,0} | q_0^\infty}} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{\substack{\frac{Ct}{N} \leq h_1, h_2 < (1+10^{-10}) \frac{Ct}{N} \\ |u_1| \ll 1}} |\beta(q_1, h_1, u_1)|^2 du_1 \sum_{|u_2| \ll 1} du_2 \ll \frac{Ct^{1+\varepsilon}}{N},
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\Upsilon \in C_c^\infty([1 - 10^{-9}, 1 + 10^{-9}])$ be a fixed function such that $\Upsilon(x) = 1$ for $1 \leq x < 1 + 10^{-10}$. Then applying Cauchy-Schwartz inequality to take out $n, n_0, q_0, q_{1,0}, q_{2,0}, q'_1, h_1, h_2$ -sums and the u_1, u_2 -integrals, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_j^*(N) &\ll \frac{t^{1/2+\varepsilon}}{C^{3/2}\sqrt{N_j N}} \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \sup_{|u_1| \ll 1} \left\{ \int_{|u_2| \ll 1} \int_{n_0} \int_{\frac{C^2}{N_2} + \frac{CN^{4/3}}{N_2^{2/3} H t}} \int_{t^\varepsilon \ll |n| \ll \frac{CN^{1/3} t^\varepsilon}{N_2^{2/3}}} \int_{q_0 | n} \int_{q_{1,0}, q_{2,0} | q_0^\infty} \frac{1}{q_0} \int_{q_{1,0}, q_{2,0} | q_0^\infty} \right. \\
&\times \int_{q'_1} \Upsilon \frac{n_0 q_0 q_{1,0} \overline{q'_1}}{C} \int_{\substack{C \leq q_2 = n_0 q_0 q_{2,0} q'_2 < (1+10^{-10})C \\ C \leq q_3 = n_0 q_0 q_{2,0} q'_3 < (1+10^{-10})C \\ (q'_2 q'_3, q'_1) = 1}} \int_{\substack{H' \leq h_1, h_2 < (1+10^{-10})H' \\ (h_1, n_0 q_0 q_{1,0} q'_1) = 1 \\ (h_2, q_2 q_3) = 1}} \\
&\times \mathcal{D}_1(q'_1) \overline{\beta(q_2, h_2, u_2)} \beta(q_3, h_2, u_2) \Psi(n_0 q_0 q_{1,0} q'_1, q_2, q_3) \\
&\times e^{F_3(z_0(n_0 q_0 q_{1,0} q'_1, q_2)) - F_3(z_0(n_0 q_0 q_{1,0} q'_1, q_3))} du_2 \quad + t^{-A} \tag{37}
\end{aligned}$$

for any $A > 0$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_1(q'_1) &= e^{-\eta_j \frac{\overline{q'_1} q_{2,0} (q'_2 - q'_3)}{n h_1 q_{1,0}} + \eta_j \frac{(q'_2 - q'_3) q_{1,0} q'_1}{n h_2 q_{2,0}}} \\
&\times \int_{\beta_1 \bmod q_0 q_{1,0}} \int_{\beta'_1 \bmod q_0 q_{1,0}} \int_{\beta_2 \bmod q_0 q_{2,0}} \int_{\beta'_2 \bmod q_0 q_{2,0}} e^{\frac{\beta_1 - \beta'_1}{q_0 q_{1,0}} + \frac{\beta_2 - \beta'_2}{q_0 q_{2,0}}} \\
&\times \delta_{-\eta_j \frac{n}{q_0} \equiv \beta_1 h_1 q_{2,0} q'_1 q'_2 + \beta_2 h_2 q_{1,0} q'_1 q'_2 \pmod{q_0 q_{1,0} q_{2,0}},} \\
&\delta_{-\eta_j \frac{n}{q_0} \equiv \beta'_1 h_1 q_{2,0} q'_1 q'_3 + \beta'_2 h_2 q_{1,0} q'_1 q'_3 \pmod{q_0 q_{1,0} q_{2,0}},} \tag{38}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi(n_0 q_0 q_{1,0} q'_1, q_2, q_3) &= \Phi(z_0(n_0 q_0 q_{1,0} q'_1, q_2), u_1, u_2, n_0, n_0 q_0 q_{1,0} q'_1, q_2, h_1, h_2) \\
&\times \overline{\Phi(z_0(n_0 q_0 q_{1,0} q'_1, q_3), u_1, u_2, n_0, n_0 q_0 q_{1,0} q'_1, q_3, h_1, h_2)} \\
&\times e^{-\eta_j \frac{q_{2,0} (q'_2 - q'_3)}{n h_1 q_{1,0} q'_1} - \eta_j \frac{q_{1,0} q'_1}{n h_2 q_{2,0} q'_2} + \eta_j \frac{q_{1,0} q'_1}{n h_2 q_{2,0} q'_3}},
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$z_0(x, q) = \frac{\sqrt{N}}{n^{3/2} N_j} - \tilde{\eta}_j q \frac{xt}{2\pi h_1 N} + \frac{\overline{N u_1}}{H t^{1-\varepsilon}}^{1/3} + \tilde{\eta}_j x \frac{qt}{2\pi h_2 N} + \frac{\overline{N u_2}}{H t^{1-\varepsilon}}^{1/3} \int^{3/2},$$

and

$$F_3(z_0(n_0 q_0 q_{1,0} q'_1, q)) = \frac{2n N_j}{n_0 q_0 q_{1,0} q'_1 q} z_0(n_0 q_0 q_{1,0} q'_1, q),$$

as before.

Consider the q'_1 -sum. Notice that $\mathcal{D}_1(q'_1)$ is determined by $q'_1 \bmod nh_1h_2q_0q_{1,0}q_{2,0}$, hence applying Poisson summation gives us

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{q'_1} \frac{n_0q_0q_{1,0}q'_1}{C} \mathcal{D}_1(q'_1)\varphi \frac{n_0q_0q_{1,0}q'_1}{C} \Psi(n_0q_0q_{1,0}q'_1, q_2, q_3) \\ & \times e^{-F_3(z_0(n_0q_0q_{1,0}q'_1, q_2)) - F_3(z_0(n_0q_0q_{1,0}q'_1, q_3))} \\ & = \frac{C}{n_0nh_1h_2q_0^2q_{1,0}^2q_{2,0}} \sum_{q'_1} \sum_{\gamma \bmod nh_1h_2q_0q_{1,0}q_{2,0}} \mathcal{D}_1(\gamma)e^{-\frac{q'_1\gamma}{nh_1h_2q_0q_{1,0}q_{2,0}}} \\ & \times \int_0^\infty \Upsilon(y)\Psi(Cy, q_2, q_3)e^{-F_3(z_0(Cy, q_2)) - F_3(z_0(Cy, q_3)) - \frac{q'_1Cy}{n_0nh_1h_2q_0^2q_{1,0}^2q_{2,0}}} dy. \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dy} z_0(Cy, q) &= \frac{3\sqrt{N}}{2n^{3/2}N_j} - \tilde{\eta}_j q \frac{Cty}{2\pi h_1 N} + \frac{Nu_1}{Ht^{1-\varepsilon}}^{1/3} + \tilde{\eta}_j Cy \frac{qt}{2\pi h_2 N} + \frac{Nu_2}{Ht^{1-\varepsilon}}^{1/3} \\ & \times \left[-\frac{\tilde{\eta}_j q}{3} \frac{Cty}{2\pi h_1 N} + \frac{Nu_1}{Ht^{1-\varepsilon}}^{-2/3} \frac{Ct}{2\pi h_1 N} + \tilde{\eta}_j C \frac{qt}{2\pi h_2 N} + \frac{Nu_2}{Ht^{1-\varepsilon}}^{1/3} \right] \\ & = \frac{z_0(Cy, q)}{y} + \tilde{\eta}_j \frac{CN^{1/3}}{nN_j^{2/3}} z_0(Cy, q)^{1/3} \frac{qt}{2\pi h_2 N} + \frac{Nu_2}{Ht^{1-\varepsilon}}^{1/3} \\ & + \frac{\tilde{\eta}_j q N^{4/3} u_1}{2nN_j^{2/3} Ht^{1-\varepsilon} y} \frac{Cty}{2\pi h_1 N} + \frac{Nu_1}{Ht^{1-\varepsilon}}^{-2/3}, \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

which together with the condition $H \gg \frac{N}{t^{1-\varepsilon}}$ yields

$$\frac{d^j}{dy^j} z_0(Cy, q) \ll \frac{CN^{1/3}}{nN_j^{2/3}} \quad (40)$$

for any $j \geq 0$. Together with Φ (not Ψ because of $z_0(n_0q_0q_{1,0}q'_1, q_2, q_3)$) is flat, repeated integration by parts gives us arbitrary saving unless

$$|q'_1| \ll \frac{n_0nh_1h_2q_0^2q_{1,0}^2q_{2,0}}{C} \left(1 + \frac{CN^{1/3}}{|n|N_j^{2/3}} \right) \left(1 + \frac{|n|N_j}{C^2} \right) t^\varepsilon \ll n_1q_0q_{1,0}^2Q_B,$$

where

$$Q_B := \frac{n_0n'q_0q_{2,0}N_j^{1/3}t^{2+\varepsilon}}{N^{5/3}}. \quad (41)$$

Hence the Weil bound for Kloosterman sums gives us

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\gamma \bmod nh_1h_2q_0q_{1,0}q_{2,0}} \mathcal{D}_1(\gamma) e \frac{q'_1 \gamma}{nh_1h_2q_0q_{1,0}q_{2,0}} \\ & \ll n_1 h_2 q_0^3 q_{1,0} q_{2,0} \sqrt{n' h_1 h_0 h_{2,0} (q'_1 \overline{q_0 q_{1,0}} + \eta_j h_1 q_{1,0} (\overline{q'_2} - \overline{q'_3}), h_0 h_{2,0} (q'_2 - q'_3), n' h_1 h_0 h_{2,0}) t^\varepsilon} \\ & \quad \times \delta \left(q'_1 \equiv -\eta_j q_0 h_1 (\overline{q'_2} - \overline{q'_3}) \pmod{h_2} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Next we analyse the y -integral with the phase function being

$$\begin{aligned} & F_3(z_0(Cy, q_2)) - F_3(z_0(Cy, q_3)) - \frac{q'_1 Cy}{n_0 n h_1 h_2 q_0^2 q_{1,0} q_{2,0}} \\ & = \frac{2nN_j}{Cy} \frac{z_0(Cy, q_2)}{q_2} - \frac{z_0(Cy, \overline{q_3})}{q_3} - \frac{q'_1 Cy}{n_0 n h_1 h_2 q_0^2 q_{1,0} q_{2,0}}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} G(y, z) & = G_{h_1, h_2}(y, z) = F_3(z_0(Cy, Cz)) \\ & = \sqrt{\frac{2t}{\pi n}} \left(-\tilde{\eta}_j \frac{z}{h_1 y} + \frac{2\pi N^2 \overline{u_1} z}{CHt^{2-\varepsilon} y^2} \right)^{1/3} + \tilde{\eta}_j \left(\frac{y}{h_2 z} + \frac{2\pi N^2 \overline{u_2} y}{CHt^{2-\varepsilon} z^2} \right)^{1/3} \Big)^{3/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Lemma A.1 gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dy} G \left(y, \frac{q}{C} \right) & = \tilde{\eta}_j \sqrt{\frac{t}{2\pi n}} \left(-\tilde{\eta}_j \frac{q}{h_1 Cy} + \frac{2\pi q N^2 \overline{u_1}}{C^2 H t^{2-\varepsilon} y^2} \right)^{1/3} + \tilde{\eta}_j \left(\frac{Cy}{h_2 q} + \frac{2\pi C N^2 \overline{u_2} y}{q^2 H t^{2-\varepsilon}} \right)^{1/3} \Big)^{1/2} \\ & \quad \times \left\{ \frac{q}{h_1 C y^4} + \frac{2\pi q N^2 \overline{u_1}}{C^2 H t^{2-\varepsilon} y^5} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{C}{h_2 q y^2} + \frac{2\pi C N^2 \overline{u_2}}{q^2 H t^{2-\varepsilon} y^2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{2\pi q N^2 \overline{u_1}}{C^2 H t^{2-\varepsilon} y^3} \frac{q}{h_1 Cy} + \frac{2\pi q N^2 \overline{u_1}}{C^2 H t^{2-\varepsilon} y^2} \right)^{-2/3}. \end{aligned}$$

We split the analysis depending on whether $|q'_1| \ll n_1 q_0 q_{1,0}^2 Q_S$ or not, where

$$Q_S := \frac{n_0 q_0 q_{2,0} C^3 t^{2+\varepsilon}}{n_1 n (N_j N)^{4/3}}. \quad (46)$$

We first deal with the case $|q'_1| \ll n_1 q_0 q_{1,0}^2 Q_S$ including $q'_1 = 0$. Using (40) to bound the derivatives of Ψ , applying (1) in Lemma 5.2 on the y -integral gives us arbitrary saving unless there exists $y' \in [1 - 10^{-9}, 1 + 10^{-9}]$ such that $z_0(Cy', q_j) \sim 1$ for $j = 1, 2$ and

$$\frac{d}{dy} \left(G \left(y, \frac{q_2}{C} \right) - G \left(y, \frac{q_3}{C} \right) \right) \Big|_{y=y'} \ll \frac{C^2 N^{2/3} t^\varepsilon}{n^2 N_j^{4/3}}$$

Note that for any y such that $z_0(Cy, q) \sim 1$, together with the condition $|n| \gg \frac{CN^{4/3}}{N^{2/3} H t^{1-\varepsilon}}$, implies

$$\begin{aligned} & -\tilde{\eta}_j q \frac{Cty}{2\pi h_1 N} + \frac{N \overline{u_1}}{H t^{1-\varepsilon}} \Big)^{1/3} + \tilde{\eta}_j Cy \left(\frac{qt}{2\pi h_2 N} + \frac{N \overline{u_2}}{H t^{1-\varepsilon}} \right)^{1/3} \sim \frac{n N_j^{2/3}}{N^{1/3}} \\ \implies & \frac{q}{h_1 Cy} + \frac{2\pi q N^2 \overline{u_1}}{C^2 H t^{2-\varepsilon} y^2} \Big)^{1/3} - \frac{Cy}{h_2 q} + \frac{2\pi C N^2 \overline{u_2} y}{q^2 H t^{2-\varepsilon}} \Big)^{1/3} \sim \frac{n N_j^{2/3}}{C^{4/3} t^{1/3}}. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Hence in the case where such y' exists, the bound for the derivative above implies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{q_2}{h_1 C y'} + \frac{2\pi q_2 N^2 \overline{u_1}}{C^2 H t^{2-\varepsilon} y'^2} - \frac{C y'}{h_2 q_2} + \frac{2\pi C N^2 \overline{u_2} y'}{q_2^2 H t^{2-\varepsilon}} \sqrt[3]{\frac{q_2}{h_1 C y'} + \frac{2\pi q_2 N^2 \overline{u_1}}{C^2 H t^{2-\varepsilon} y'^2}} \\
& + \frac{C y'}{h_2 q_2} + \frac{2\pi C N^2 \overline{u_2} y'}{q_2^2 H t^{2-\varepsilon}} + \frac{2\pi q_2 N^2 u_1}{C^2 H t^{2-\varepsilon} y'^2} \sqrt[3]{\frac{q_2}{h_1 C y'} + \frac{2\pi q_2 N^2 \overline{u_1}}{C^2 H t^{2-\varepsilon} y'^2}}^{-2/3} \\
& - \frac{q_3}{h_1 C y'} + \frac{2\pi q_3 N^2 \overline{u_1}}{C^2 H t^{2-\varepsilon} y'^2} - \frac{C y'}{h_2 q_3} + \frac{2\pi C N^2 \overline{u_2} y'}{q_3^2 H t^{2-\varepsilon}} \sqrt[3]{\frac{q_3}{h_1 C y'} + \frac{2\pi q_3 N^2 \overline{u_1}}{C^2 H t^{2-\varepsilon} y'^2}} \\
& + \frac{C y'}{h_2 q_3} + \frac{2\pi C N^2 \overline{u_2} y'}{q_3^2 H t^{2-\varepsilon}} + \frac{2\pi q_3 N^2 u_1}{C^2 H t^{2-\varepsilon} y'^2} \sqrt[3]{\frac{q_3}{h_1 C y'} + \frac{2\pi q_3 N^2 \overline{u_1}}{C^2 H t^{2-\varepsilon} y'^2}}^{-2/3} \\
& \ll \frac{C^2 N^{2/3} n(N_j N)^{1/3}}{n^2 N_j^{4/3} C t^{1-\varepsilon}} = \frac{CN}{n N_j t^{1-\varepsilon}},
\end{aligned}$$

and simplifying gives

$$|q_2 - q_3| \ll \frac{C^3 t^\varepsilon}{n N_j}. \quad (48)$$

Moreover, (47) gives us

$$y^{2/3} - \frac{h_2 \overline{q_2}^{1/3}}{h_1 C^2} \sim \frac{n N_j^{2/3}}{C N^{1/3}} + O\left(\frac{\overline{N}}{H t^{1-\varepsilon}}\right) \implies y - \frac{\sqrt{h_2} q_2}{\sqrt{h_1} C} \sim \frac{n N_j^{2/3}}{C N^{1/3}}.$$

Here we used $|n| \gg \frac{C N^{4/3}}{N_j^{2/3} H t^{1-\varepsilon}}$. Hence the y -integral is bounded by

$$\ll \frac{n N_j^{2/3} t^\varepsilon}{C N^{1/3}} \delta \quad |q_2 - q_3| \ll \frac{C^3 t^\varepsilon}{n N_j} + t^{-A}$$

for any $A > 0$. Together with (44), this gives us the contribution of $|q'_1| \ll n_1 q_0 q_{1,0}^2 Q_S$ to $S_2(N)^*$ in (42) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \ll \frac{t^{1/2+\varepsilon}}{C \sqrt{N_j N}} \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \sup_{|u_1| \ll 1} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \blacktriangle \blacktriangle \blacktriangle \\ n_0 \quad q_0 \quad q_0 |n_1| q_0^\infty \quad 0 \neq |n=n_1 n'| \ll \frac{C N^{1/3} t^\varepsilon}{N_j^{2/3}} \quad q_{1,0}, q_{2,0} |q_0^\infty \end{array} \\ \frac{n N_j^{2/3}}{C N^{1/3}} \end{array} \right. \\
& \times \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \quad \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \blacktriangle \blacktriangle \blacktriangle \\ (h_1/h_0, h_{2,0}/h_2) = (h'_2, h_0) = 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \blacktriangle \blacktriangle \blacktriangle \\ (n', q_0) = 1 \end{array} \quad \blacktriangle \\ h_0 \quad H' \leq h_0 |h_1, h_2 = h_0 h_{2,0} h'_2 < (1+10^{-10}) H' \quad C \leq q_2 = n_0 q_0 q_{2,0} q'_2 < (1+10^{-10}) C \quad |q'_1| \ll n_1 q_0 q_{1,0}^2 Q_S \\ h_{2,0} |h_0^\infty \quad C \leq q_3 = n_0 q_0 q_{2,0} q'_3 < (1+10^{-10}) C \quad q'_1 \equiv -\eta_j q_0 h_1 (q'_2 - q'_3) \pmod{h'_2} \\ |q_2 - q_3| \ll \frac{C^3 t^\varepsilon}{n N_j} \end{array} \\
& \times |\beta(q_2, h_2, u_2) \beta(q_3, h_2, u_2)| du_2 \frac{\sqrt{(q'_1 q_0 q_{1,0} + \eta_j h_1 q_{1,0} (\overline{q'_2} - \overline{q'_3}), h_0 h_{2,0} (q'_2 - q'_3), n' h_1 h_0 h_{2,0})}}{n_0 q_{1,0} \sqrt{n' h_1 h_0 h_{2,0}}} \quad 1/2
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Cauchy-Schwartz inequality to take out everything, we get the above is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \ll \frac{t^{1/2+\varepsilon}}{C\sqrt{N_j N}} \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \sup_{|u_1| \ll 1} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \blacktriangle \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \\ |u_2| \ll 1 \quad n_0 \quad q_0 \quad q_0 |n_1| q_0^\infty \quad 0 \neq |n=n_1 n'| \ll \frac{CN^{1/3} t^\varepsilon}{N_j^{2/3}} \quad q_{1,0}, q_{2,0} |q_0^\infty \end{array} \\ \frac{nN_j^{2/3}}{CN^{1/3}} \quad h_0 \end{array} \right. \\
& \times \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \blacktriangle \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \\ H' \leq h_0 |h_1, h_2 = h_0 h_{2,0} h_2' < (1+10^{-10}) H' \quad C \leq q_2 = n_0 q_0 q_{2,0} q_2' < (1+10^{-10}) C \\ h_{2,0} |h_0^\infty \quad C \leq q_3 = n_0 q_0 q_{2,0} q_3' < (1+10^{-10}) C \\ |q_2 - q_3| \ll \frac{C^3 t^\varepsilon}{n N_j} \end{array} \\ |\beta(q_2, h_2, u_2)|^2 du_2 \end{array} \\
& \times \frac{\sqrt{(q_1' \overline{q_0 q_{1,0}} + \eta_j h_1 q_{1,0} (\overline{q_2'} - \overline{q_3'}), h_0 h_{2,0} (q_2' - q_3'), n' h_1 h_0 h_{2,0})}}{n_0 q_{1,0} \sqrt{n' h_1 h_0 h_{2,0}}} \\
& \times \delta \left(q_2' \equiv q_3' \pmod{h_2'} + \frac{n_0 q_0^2 q_{1,0}^2 q_{2,0} C^3 t^2}{h_2' n (N_j N)^{4/3}} \right)^{1/4} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \blacktriangle \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \\ |u_2| \ll 1 \quad n_0 \quad q_0 \quad q_0 |n_1| q_0^\infty \quad 0 \neq |n=n_1 n'| \ll \frac{CN^{1/3} t^\varepsilon}{N_j^{2/3}} \end{array} \end{array} \right. \\
& \times \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \blacktriangle \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \\ q_{1,0}, q_{2,0} |q_0^\infty \quad \frac{nN_j^{2/3}}{CN^{1/3}} \quad h_0 \quad H' \leq h_0 |h_1, h_2 = h_0 h_{2,0} h_2' < (1+10^{-10}) H' \quad C \leq q_2 = n_0 q_0 q_{2,0} q_2' < (1+10^{-10}) C \\ h_{2,0} |h_0^\infty \quad C \leq q_3 = n_0 q_0 q_{2,0} q_3' < (1+10^{-10}) C \\ |q_2 - q_3| \ll \frac{C^3 t^\varepsilon}{n N_j} \end{array} \\ |\beta(q_3, h_2, u_2)|^2 du_2 \end{array} \\
& \times \delta \left(q_2' \equiv q_3' \pmod{h_2'} + \frac{n_0 q_0^2 q_{1,0}^2 q_{2,0} C^3 t^2}{h_2' n (N_j N)^{4/3}} \right)^{1/4} \\
& \times \frac{\sqrt{(q_1' \overline{q_0 q_{1,0}} + \eta_j h_1 q_{1,0} (\overline{q_2'} - \overline{q_3'}), h_0 h_{2,0} (q_2' - q_3'), n' h_1 h_0 h_{2,0})}}{n_0 q_{1,0} \sqrt{n' h_1 h_0 h_{2,0}}} \quad 1/4 \\
& \ll \frac{t^{1/2+\varepsilon}}{C\sqrt{N_j N}} \left\{ \frac{CN^{1/3}}{N_j^{2/3}} \frac{Ct}{N} + \frac{C^2}{(N_j N)^{1/3}} \sqrt{\frac{N}{Ct} \frac{N_j^{2/3}}{CN^{1/3}}} + \frac{C^2}{(N_j N)^{1/3}} \frac{C^3 t^2}{(N_j N)^{4/3}} \frac{N}{Ct} \frac{N_j^{2/3}}{CN^{1/3}} \right\}^{1/2} \\
& \ll \mathcal{B}_1,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}_1 := \frac{t^{1/2+\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{CN_j^{5/6} N^{1/3}}} \frac{C}{\sqrt{t}} + \frac{C^3 t^\varepsilon}{N_j N} \quad 1/2 \quad (49)$$

Inserting the above analysis back into (42) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_j^*(N) & \ll \frac{t^{1/2+\varepsilon}}{C\sqrt{N_j N}} \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \sup_{|u_1| \ll 1} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \\ |u_2| \ll 1 \quad n_0 \quad \frac{C^2}{N_j} + \frac{CN^{4/3}}{N_j^{2/3} Ht} \quad t^\varepsilon \ll |n| \ll \frac{CN^{1/3} t^\varepsilon}{N_j^{2/3}} \quad q_0 |n \quad q_{1,0}, q_{2,0} |q_0^\infty \end{array} \end{array} \right. \\
& \times \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \blacktriangle \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \quad \blacktriangle \\ C \leq q_2 = n_0 q_0 q_{2,0} q_2' < (1+10^{-10}) C \quad H' \leq h_1, h_2 < (1+10^{-10}) H' \\ C \leq q_3 = n_0 q_0 q_{2,0} q_3' < (1+10^{-10}) C \quad (h_1, n_0 q_0 q_{1,0} q_1') = 1 \\ (q_2' q_3', q_1') = 1 \quad (h_2, q_2 q_3) = 1 \end{array} \\ \frac{1}{n_0 n h_1 h_2 q_0^3 q_{1,0}^2 q_{2,0}} \end{array} \\
& \times \overline{\beta(q_2, h_2, u_2)} \beta(q_3, h_2, u_2) \quad \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangle \\ \mathcal{D}_2(q_2', q_3') \end{array} \\
& \times \frac{\infty}{0} \Upsilon(y) \tilde{\Psi}(Cy, q_2, q_3) e^{-G \left(y, \frac{q_2}{C} \right) - G \left(y, \frac{q_3}{C} \right) - \frac{q_1' Cy}{n_0 n h_1 h_2 q_0^2 q_{1,0}^2 q_{2,0}}} dy du_2 \quad 1/2 + \mathcal{B}_1,
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_2(q'_2, q'_3) &= h'_2 S \left(q'_1 \overline{q_0 q_1, 0 q_2, 0 n_1 h'_2} + \eta_j (\overline{q'_2} - \overline{q'_3}) h_1 q_{1,0} \overline{q_2, 0 n_1 h'_2}, \eta_j q_{2,0} (q'_2 - q'_3) h_0 h_{2,0} \overline{q_{1,0} n_1}; n' h_1 h_0 h_{2,0} \right) \\ &\quad \times \delta \left(q'_1 \equiv -\eta_j q_0 h_1 (\overline{q'_2} - \overline{q'_3}) \pmod{h'_2} \right) \mathcal{D}_0(q'_2, q'_3) \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

and the notations $n = n_1 n'$ with $n_1 | q_0^\infty$, $(n', q_0) = 1$, and $h_0 = (n' h_1, h_2)$, $h_2 = h_0 h_{2,0} h'_2$ with $h_{2,0} | h_0^\infty$, $(h'_2, h_0) = 1$ and $\mathcal{D}_0(q'_2, q'_3)$ as in (43).

9.5.3. *Third Cauchy Schwarz Inequality and Poisson Summation.* Now we consider the remaining case $|q'_1| \gg Q_S$ by iterating the above process.

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} C_{\leq q_2 < (1+10^{-10})C} n_0 q_0 q_2, 0 q'_2 = q_2 \quad q_{1,0} < (1+10^{-10}) \frac{C}{q_0} \quad 0 < |q'_1| \ll n_1 q_0 q_{1,0}^2 Q_B \quad q_0 | n_1 | q_0^\infty \quad 0 \neq |n = n_1 n'| \ll \frac{CN^{1/3} t^\varepsilon}{N_j^{2/3}} \\ &\times \frac{1}{n_1 n h_1 q_{1,0} q_{2,0} |q'_1|} \int_{H' \leq h_1, h_2 < (1+10^{-10})H'} \int_{|u_2| < 1} |\beta(q_2, h_2, u_2)|^2 du_2 \int_{\gamma \pmod{n_1 q_0 q_1, 0 q_2, 0}} \\ &\times \int_{\beta_1 \pmod{q_0 q_1, 0}} \int_{\beta_2 \pmod{q_0 q_2, 0}} \delta \left(-\eta_j \frac{n}{q_0} \equiv \beta_1 h_1 q_{2,0} \gamma q'_2 + \beta_2 h_2 q_{1,0} \gamma q'_2 \pmod{q_0 q_1, 0 q_2, 0} \right) \ll t^\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Recall the definition of \mathcal{D}_2 in (50), applying Cauchy-Schwartz inequality to take out $n, n_0, q_0, q_1, 0, q_2, 0, q'_1, q'_2, h_1, h_2, \gamma$ -sums, we get for the same fixed $\Upsilon \in C_c^\infty([1-10^{-9}, 1+10^{-9}])$ as before,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_j^*(N) &\ll \frac{t^{1/2+\varepsilon}}{C \sqrt{N_j N}} \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \sup_{|u_1| < 1} \left\{ \int_{|u_2| < 1} \int_{n_0 q_0 q_1, 0 q_2, 0 | q_0^\infty} \int_{q_0 | n_1 | q_0^\infty} \int_{n_1 q_0 q_1, 0 Q_S \ll |q'_1| \ll n_1 q_0 q_{1,0}^2 Q_B} \right. \\ &\quad \times \int_{\beta_1 \pmod{q_0 q_1, 0}} \int_{\beta_2 \pmod{q_0 q_2, 0}} \int_{\beta_3 \pmod{q_0 q_3, 0}} \int_{\beta_4 \pmod{q_0 q_4, 0}} \Upsilon \left(\frac{q_2}{C} \right) \\ &\quad \times \int_{\substack{H' \leq h_1, h_2 < (1+10^{-10})H' \\ (h_1, n_0 q_0 q_1, 0 q'_1)=1 \\ (h_2, q_2 q_3)=1}} \frac{|q'_1|}{n_0^2 n' h_1 h_2^2 q_0^6 q_{1,0}^3 q_{2,0}} \beta(q_3, h_2, u_2) \overline{\beta(q_4, h_2, u_2)} \\ &\quad \times \mathcal{D}'_2(q'_2, q'_3) \mathcal{D}'_2(q'_2, q'_4) \overline{\mathcal{D}_0(q'_3, q'_4)} I_2(q'_1, q_2, q_3, q_4) du_2 \quad \left. + \mathcal{B}_1, \right\} \quad (51) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}'_2(q'_2, q') &= h'_2 S \left(q'_1 \overline{q_0 q_1, 0 q_2, 0 n_1 h'_2} + \eta_j (\overline{q'_2} - \overline{q'}) h_1 q_{1,0} \overline{q_2, 0 n_1 h'_2}, \eta_j q_{2,0} (q'_2 - q') h_0 h_{2,0} \overline{q_{1,0} n_1}; n' h_1 h_0 h_{2,0} \right) \\ &\quad \times \delta \left(q'_1 \equiv -\eta_j q_0 h_1 (\overline{q'_2} - \overline{q'}) \pmod{h'_2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

with the previous notations $h_0 = (n' h_1, h_2)$, $h_2 = h_0 h_{2,0} h'_2$ with $h_{2,0} | h_0^\infty$, $(h'_2, h_0) = 1$ and $\mathcal{D}_0(q'_3, q'_4)$ as in (43), and

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(q'_1, q_2, q_3, q_4) &= \int_0^\infty \Upsilon(y_1) \Psi(Cy_1, q_2, q_3) e^{-G \left(y_1, \frac{q_2}{C} \right) - G \left(y_1, \frac{q_3}{C} \right) - \frac{q'_1 C y_1}{n_0 n h_1 h_2 q_0^2 q_{1,0}^2 q_{2,0}}} dy_1 \\ &\quad \times \int_0^\infty \Upsilon(y_2) \overline{\Psi(Cy_2, q_2, q_4)} e^{-G \left(y_2, \frac{q_2}{C} \right) + G \left(y_2, \frac{q_4}{C} \right) + \frac{q'_1 C y_2}{n_0 n h_1 h_2 q_0^2 q_{1,0}^2 q_{2,0}}} dy_2, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$G(y, z) = \sqrt{\frac{2t}{\pi n}} \left[-\tilde{\eta}_j \frac{z}{h_1 y} + \frac{2\pi N^2 \overline{u_1 z}}{CHt^{2-\varepsilon} y^2} \right]^{1/3} + \tilde{\eta}_j \left[\frac{y}{h_2 z} + \frac{2\pi N^2 \overline{u_2 y}}{CHt^{2-\varepsilon} z^2} \right]^{1/3} \Bigg|^{3/2},$$

as in (45). Notice that \mathcal{D}'_2 is defined mod $n'h_1 h_2$. Now we apply Poisson summation on the q'_2 -sum to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{q'_2} \frac{\Upsilon \left(\frac{n_0 q_0 \overline{q_2, 0} \overline{q'_2}}{C} \right)}{C} \overline{\mathcal{D}'_2(q'_2, q'_3) \mathcal{D}'_2(q'_2, q'_4)} I_2(q'_1, q_2, q_3, q_4) \\ &= \frac{C}{n_0 n' h_1 h_2 q_0 q_2, 0} \sum_{q'_2} \sum_{\gamma \bmod n' h_1 h_2} \overline{\mathcal{D}'_2(\gamma, q'_3) \mathcal{D}'_2(\gamma, q'_4)} e^{\frac{\gamma \overline{q'_2}}{n' h_1 h_2}} \\ & \times \int_0^\infty \Upsilon(y_3) I_2(q'_1, C y_3, q_3, q_4) e^{-\frac{q'_2 C y_3}{n_0 n' h_1 h_2 q_0 q_2, 0}} dy_3. \end{aligned}$$

Applying a similar computation as the computation on \mathcal{D}_1 in the previous section with the Chinese remainder theorem, the character sum simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\gamma \bmod n' h_1 h_2} \overline{\mathcal{D}'_2(\gamma, q'_3) \mathcal{D}'_2(\gamma, q'_4)} e^{\frac{\gamma \overline{q'_2}}{n' h_1 h_2}} \\ &= h_2'^2 \delta_{q'_3 \equiv q'_4 \pmod{h_2'}} e^{\frac{q_0 q'_2 n' h_0 h_2, 0 (q'_3 - \eta_j q'_1)}{h_2'}} \Bigg| \mathcal{D}_3(q'_3, q'_4), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_3(q'_3, q'_4) &= \sum_{\gamma \bmod n' h_1 h_0 h_2, 0}^* e^{\frac{\gamma \overline{q'_2 h_2'}}{n' h_1 h_0 h_2, 0}} \\ & \times S(q'_1 \overline{q_0 q_1, 0} \overline{q_2, 0} n_1 h_2' + \eta_j (\overline{q_3} - \overline{q_4}) h_1 q_{1, 0} \overline{q_2, 0} n_1 h_2', \eta_j q_2, 0 (\gamma - q'_3) h_0 h_2, 0 \overline{q_1, 0} n_1; n' h_1 h_0 h_2, 0) \\ & \times S(q'_1 \overline{q_0 q_1, 0} \overline{q_2, 0} n_1 h_2' + \eta_j (\overline{q_3} - \overline{q_4}) h_1 q_{1, 0} \overline{q_2, 0} n_1 h_2', \eta_j q_2, 0 (\gamma - q'_4) h_0 h_2, 0 \overline{q_1, 0} n_1; n' h_1 h_0 h_2, 0). \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

Applying Lemma B.1, we have

$$\mathcal{D}_3(q'_3, q'_4) \ll (n' h_1 h_0 h_2, 0)^2 t^\varepsilon \delta(*_3) + (n' h_1 h_0 h_2, 0)^{3/2} t^\varepsilon, \quad (53)$$

where $*_3$ is the condition

$$\begin{aligned} *_3 &= \left\{ \begin{aligned} & q'_3 \equiv q'_4 \pmod{n' h_1 h_0 h_2, 0} \\ & \text{or } q'_1 \overline{q_0 q_1, 0} \pm h_1 q_{1, 0} (\overline{q'_3} - \overline{q'_4}) \equiv 0 \pmod{n' h_1 h_0 h_2, 0} \\ & \text{or } q'_1 \overline{q_0 q_1, 0} - \eta_j h_1 q_{1, 0} \overline{q'_3} \equiv 0 \pmod{n' h_1 h_0 h_2, 0} \\ & \text{or } q'_1 \overline{q_0 q_1, 0} - \eta_j h_1 q_{1, 0} \overline{q'_4} \equiv 0 \pmod{n' h_1 h_0 h_2, 0} \end{aligned} \right. \end{aligned}$$

Using (39) as before, repeated integration by parts now gives us arbitrary saving unless

$$|q'_2| \ll \frac{n_0 n' h_1 h_2 q_0 q_2, 0}{C} \left[1 + \frac{CN^{1/3}}{|n| N_j^{2/3}} \right] \left[1 + \frac{|n| N_j}{C^2} \right] t^\varepsilon \ll Q_B,$$

with Q_B defined in (41). Inserting this back into (51), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_j^*(N) &\ll \frac{t^{1/2+\varepsilon}}{C\sqrt{N_j N}} \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \sup_{|u_1| \ll 1} \left\{ C \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ \text{Diagram 2} \\ \text{Diagram 3} \\ \text{Diagram 4} \end{array} \right. \\
&\times \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 5} \\ \text{Diagram 6} \end{array} \\
&\times \frac{C^2 + \frac{CN^{4/3}}{N_j^{2/3} H t}}{N_j} t^\varepsilon \ll |n=n_1 n'| \ll \frac{CN^{1/3} t^\varepsilon}{N_j^{2/3}} \begin{array}{c} |q_2| \ll Q_B \\ C \leq q_3 = n_0 q_0 q_{2,0} q_3' < (1+10^{-10})C \\ C \leq q_4 = n_0 q_0 q_{2,0} q_4' < (1+10^{-10})C \\ (q_3' q_4', q_1') = 1 \end{array} \\
&\times \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 7} \\ \text{Diagram 8} \end{array} \frac{|q_1'| h_2'^2}{n_0^3 n'^2 h_1^2 h_2^3 q_0^7 q_1^3 q_2^2} \beta(q_3, h_2, u_2) \overline{\beta(q_4, h_2, u_2)} \\
&\times \mathcal{D}_3(q_3', q_4') \mathcal{D}_{3,0}(q_3', q_4') I_3(q_1', q_2', q_3, q_4) du_2 \delta_{q_3' \equiv q_4' \pmod{h_2'}} + \mathcal{B}_1, \tag{54}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_{3,0}(q_3', q_4') = e^{-\frac{q_0 q_2' n' h_0 h_{2,0} (q_3' - \eta_j q_1')}{h_2'}} \overline{\mathcal{D}_0(q_3', q_4')} \ll n_1 q_0^3 q_{1,0} q_{2,0}, \tag{55}$$

by (43), and

$$I_3(q_1', q_2', q_3, q_4) = \int_0^\infty \Upsilon(y_3) I_2(q_1', C y_3, q_3, q_4) e^{-\frac{q_2' C y_3}{n_0 n' h_1 h_2 q_0 q_{2,0}}} dy_3. \tag{56}$$

Now we want to again separate the contribution when $|q_2'|$ is small, applying similar treatment as the case $|q_1'| \ll n_1 q_0 q_{1,0}^2 Q_S$. Precisely, we first deal with the case

$$|q_2'| \ll Q_S = \frac{n_0 q_0 q_{2,0} C^3 t^{2+\varepsilon}}{n_1 n (N_j N)^{4/3}}$$

including the case $q_2' = 0$. Applying (1) in Lemma 5.2 on the y_3 -integral, we get arbitrary saving unless there exists $y_3' \in [1/2, 5/2]$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dy_3} \Big|_{y=y_3'} (G(y_1, y_3) - G(y_2, y_3)) \ll \frac{C^2 N^{2/3} t^\varepsilon}{n^2 N_j^{4/3}}.$$

Applying the same analysis as in the previous subsection (to get (48)) with Lemma A.1, (47) on $z_0(C y_1, y_3), z_0(C y_2, y_3) \sim 1$ in Ψ , the above bound for the derivative yields

$$|y_1 - y_2| \ll \frac{C^2 t^\varepsilon}{n N_j}. \tag{57}$$

Inserting these conditions into $I_3(q_1', q_2', q_3, q_4)$, writing $y_2 = y_1 + v$ and bounding the y_3 -integral trivially, we have for $|q_2'| \ll Q_S$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&I_3(q_1', q_2', q_3, q_4) \\
&\ll t^\varepsilon \sup_{y_3 \sim 1} \sup_{|v| \ll \frac{C^2 t^\varepsilon}{n N_j}} \int_0^\infty \Upsilon(y_1) \Upsilon(y_1 + v) \Psi(C y_1, C y_3, q_3) \overline{\Psi(C(y_1 + v), C y_3, q_4)} \\
&\times e^{-G(y_1, y_3) - G(y_1, \frac{q_3}{C}) - G(y_1 + v, y_3) + G(y_1 + v, \frac{q_4}{C})} + \frac{q_1' C v}{n_0 n h_1 h_2 q_0^2 q_1^2 q_{2,0}} dy_1 dv + t^{-A}
\end{aligned}$$

for any $A > 0$. Applying Taylor expansion on the functions involving $1 + \frac{v}{y_1}$ and $1 + \frac{v}{y_1}$, using $H \gg \frac{N}{t^{1-\varepsilon}}$, and bounding the v_1 -integral trivially, there exists some $\frac{CN}{n^{3/2}N_j\sqrt{t}}t^\varepsilon$ -inert function φ such that

$$I_3(q'_1, q'_2, q_3, q_4) \ll \frac{C^2 t^\varepsilon}{nN_j} \sup_{|v_1| \ll \frac{C^2 t^\varepsilon}{nN_j}} \int_0^\infty \Upsilon(y) \Psi_2(Cy, q_3, q_4) e^{-G\left(y, \frac{q_3}{C}\right) + G\left(y, \frac{q_4}{C}\right)} dy,$$

with

$$\Psi_2(Cy, q_3, q_4) = \Psi(Cy, Cy_3, q_3) \overline{\Psi(C(y+v), Cy_3, q_4)} \varphi(y, y_3, v, q_3, q_4),$$

being a $\frac{CN^{1/3}}{|n|N_j^{2/3}} + \frac{CN}{|n|^{3/2}N_j\sqrt{t}}$ t^ε -inert function. Applying the same repeated integration by parts process on the y -integral, we get

$$I_3(q'_1, q'_2, q_3, q_4) \ll \frac{C^2 t^\varepsilon}{nN_j} \delta_{|q_3 - q_4|} \ll \frac{C^2}{(N_j N)^{1/3}} + \frac{C^2 N^{1/3}}{\sqrt{|n|t}N_j^{2/3}} t^\varepsilon + t^{-A},$$

for any $A > 0$.

Remark 9.2. We are using the same analysis to get (48) and (57). One may notice the difference in the truncation above, which is a result of the weight function being $\frac{CN^{1/3}}{nN_j^{2/3}} + \frac{CN}{n^{3/2}N_j\sqrt{t}}$ t^ε -inert and instead of $\frac{C^2 N^{2/3}}{n^2 N_j^{4/3}} t^\varepsilon$ due to the presence of $e^{-\frac{q'_1 Cy}{n_0 n h_1 h_2 q_0^2 q_{1,0} q_{2,0}}}$ or $e^{-\frac{q'_2 Cy_3}{n_0 q_0 q_{2,0}}}$.

Inserting (55) and the above bound for I_3 into (54), we get the contribution of $|q'_2| \ll Q_S$ is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & \ll \frac{t^{1/2+\varepsilon}}{C\sqrt{N_j N}} \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \sup_{|u_1| \ll 1} \left\{ \int_{|u_2| \ll 1} \int_{n_0}^{q_0} \int_{q_{1,0}, q_{2,0}}^{q_0^\infty} \int_{q_0 |n_1| q_0^\infty}^{n_1 q_0 q_{1,0}^2 Q_S} \int_{|q'_1| \ll |q'_1| \ll n_1 q_0 q_{1,0}^2 Q_B} \right. \\ & \times \int_{\frac{C^2}{N_j} + \frac{CN^{4/3}}{N_j^{2/3} H t}}^{t^\varepsilon} \int_{|n=n_1 n'|} \ll \frac{C N^{1/3} t^\varepsilon}{N_j^{2/3}} \frac{C^3}{nN_j} \int_{|q'_2| \ll Q_S} \int_{\substack{C \leq q_3 = n_0 q_0 q_{2,0} q'_3 < (1+10^{-10})C \\ C \leq q_4 = n_0 q_0 q_{2,0} q'_4 < (1+10^{-10})C}} \int_{\substack{H' \leq h_1, h_2 < (1+10^{-10})H' \\ (h_1, n_0 q_0 q_{1,0} q'_1) = 1 \\ (h_2, q_2 q_3) = 1}} \\ & \int_{|q_3 - q_4| \ll \frac{C^2}{(N_j N)^{1/3}} + \frac{C^2 N^{1/3}}{\sqrt{|n|t}N_j^{2/3}} t^\varepsilon} \int_{(q'_3 q'_4, q'_1) = 1} \\ & \times \frac{|q'_1| h_2^2 n_1}{n_0^3 n^2 h_1^3 h_2^3 q_0^4 q_{1,0}^2 q_{2,0}} |\beta(q_3, h_2, u_2) \beta(q_4, h_2, u_2)| |\mathcal{D}_3(q'_3, q'_4)| du_2 \delta_{q'_3 \equiv q'_4 \pmod{h'_2}} \Bigg\}^{1/4}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that Q_S, Q_B are defined in (46) and (41) respectively. Applying Cauchy-Schwarz inequality as before to deal with the β terms, using (53), the above is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & \ll \frac{t^{1/2+\varepsilon}}{C\sqrt{N_j N}} \left\{ \int_{|n| \ll \frac{CN^{1/3} t^\varepsilon}{N_j^{2/3}}} \frac{C^3}{nN_j} \frac{nN_j^{1/3} t^2}{N^{5/3}} \right\}^2 \left(1 + \frac{C^3 t^2}{n(N_j N)^{4/3}} + \frac{1}{n^2} \frac{Ct}{N} \right)^{-3} \\ & \times \frac{C^2}{(N_j N)^{1/3}} + \frac{C^2 N^{1/3}}{\sqrt{|n|t}N_j^{2/3}} + \frac{Ct}{N} \frac{nCt}{N}^{3/2} \frac{1}{N}^{1/4} \\ & \ll \frac{t^{9/8+\varepsilon}}{C^{1/4} (N_j N)^{5/6}} \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{Ct}}{N_j^{1/6} N^{5/12}} \right) \frac{C^2}{(N_j N)^{1/3}} + \frac{Ct}{N}^{1/4}. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, with the same process we have the following technical Lemma that we will apply repeatedly later.

Lemma 9.3. *Let $q_3, q_4 \sim C$. Let $B \ll \frac{C^2 N^{2/3} t^\varepsilon}{n^2 N_j^{4/3}}$ and let $\eta = 0$ or 1 . Let W be a $\frac{CN^{1/3}}{|n|N_j^{2/3}} + \eta \frac{CN}{|n|^{3/2} N_j \sqrt{t}}$ t^ε -inert function and let*

$$J = \int_0^\infty \Upsilon(y) \int_0^\infty \Upsilon(y_1) \int_0^\infty \Upsilon(y_2) \Psi(Cy_1, Cy, q_3) \overline{\Psi(Cy_2, Cy, q_4)} W(y, y_1, y_2, q_3, q_4) \\ \times e \left(G(y_1, y) - G\left(y_1, \frac{q_3}{C}\right) - G(y_2, y) + G\left(y_2, \frac{q_4}{C}\right) + (1-\eta)By \right) dy_2 dy_1 dy.$$

Then there exists some $\frac{CN^{1/3}}{|n|N_j^{2/3}} + \frac{CN}{|n|^{3/2} N_j \sqrt{t}}$ t^ε -inert function \tilde{W} and some function F such that

$$J = \int_{1/2}^{5/2} \int_{|v| \ll (1-\eta) \frac{C^2}{nN_j} + \eta \frac{C}{(N_j N)^{1/3}} + \frac{CN^{1/3}}{\sqrt{|n|t} N_j^{2/3}}} F(u, v) \int_0^\infty \Upsilon(y) \Upsilon(y+v) \Psi(Cy, Cu, q_3) \\ \times \overline{\Psi(C(y+v), Cu, q_4)} \tilde{W}(u, y, y+v, q_3, q_4) e \left(-G\left(y, \frac{q_3}{C}\right) + G\left(y, \frac{q_4}{C}\right) \right) dy dv du.$$

Moreover, we have

$$J \ll (1-\eta) \frac{C^2}{nN_j} + \eta \frac{C}{(N_j N)^{1/3}} + \frac{CN^{1/3}}{\sqrt{|n|t} N_j^{2/3}} t^\varepsilon \\ \times \delta_{|q_3 - q_4| \ll \frac{C^2}{(N_j N)^{1/3}} + \frac{C^2 N^{1/3}}{\sqrt{|n|t} N_j^{2/3}} t^\varepsilon} + t^{-A}$$

for any $A > 0$.

All together we have

$$\mathcal{R}_j^*(N) \ll \frac{t^{1/2+\varepsilon}}{C \sqrt{N_j N}} \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \sup_{|u_1| \ll 1} \left\{ C \int_{|u_2| \ll 1} \int_{n_0, q_0} \int_{q_{1,0}, q_{2,0}} \int_{q_0^\infty} \int_{q_0 |n_1| q_0^\infty} \int_{n_1 q_0 q_{1,0}^\infty} \int_{Q_S \ll |q'_1| \ll n_1 q_0 q_{1,0}^\infty} \int_{Q_B} \right. \\ \times \int_{\substack{C \leq q_3 = n_0 q_0 q_{2,0} q'_3 < (1+10^{-10})C \\ C \leq q_4 = n_0 q_0 q_{2,0} q'_4 < (1+10^{-10})C \\ (q'_3 q'_4, q'_1) = 1}} t^\varepsilon \ll |n = n_1 n'| \ll \frac{CN^{1/3} t^\varepsilon}{N_j^{2/3}} \\ \times \int_{\substack{H' \leq h_1, h_2 < (1+10^{-10})H' \\ (h_1, n_0 q_0 q_{1,0} q'_1) = 1 \\ (h_2, q_2 q_3) = 1}} \frac{|q'_1| h_2'^2}{n_0^3 n'^2 h_1^2 h_2^3 q_0^7 q_{1,0}^3 q_{2,0}^2} \beta(q_3, h_2, u_2) \overline{\beta(q_4, h_2, u_2)} \\ \left. \times \mathcal{D}_3(q'_3, q'_4) \mathcal{D}_{3,0}(q'_3, q'_4) I_3(q'_1, q'_2, q_3, q_4) du_2 \delta(q'_3 \equiv q'_4 \pmod{h'_2}) \right\}^{1/4} + \mathcal{B}_2 + \mathcal{B}_1,$$

where \mathcal{B}_1 is defined in (49) and

$$\mathcal{B}_2 := \frac{t^{9/8+\varepsilon}}{C^{1/4} (N_j N)^{5/6}} \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{Ct}}{N_j^{1/6} N^{5/12}} \int \frac{C^2}{(N_j N)^{1/3}} + \frac{Ct}{N} \right)^{1/4}. \quad (58)$$

9.5.4. *Iteration of the above Process.* By iterating the above process, we prove the following lemma in this subsection.

Lemma 9.4. *For any positive integer $\nu \geq 2$, we have*

$$\mathcal{R}_j^*(N) \ll \mathcal{A}_\nu + \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \mathcal{B}_j,$$

Proof. We prove this by induction. Note that \mathcal{B}_2 coincides with the definition in (58) and the statement is proved in the previous subsection for $\nu = 2$. Assume the statement is true for $\nu = k$, then we have

$$S_2(N)^* \ll \mathcal{A}_k + \sum_{j=1}^k \mathcal{B}_j,$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \sum_{C \leq q_{k+1} < (1+10^{-10})C} \sum_{n_0 q_0 q_2, 0 q'_{k+1} = q_{k+1}} \sum_{q_1, 0 < (1+10^{-10}) \frac{C}{q_0}} \sum_{q_0 | n_1 | q_0^\infty} \sum_{0 \neq |n = n_1 n'| \ll \frac{CN^{1/3} t^\varepsilon}{N_j^{2/3}}} \sum_{0 < |q'_1| \ll n_1 q_0 q_{1,0}^2} \sum_{Q_B} \\ & \times \sum_{0 < |q'_2|, \dots, |q'_k| \ll Q_B} \sum_{H' \leq h_1, h_2 < (1+10^{-10})H'} \frac{1}{n_1 n h_1 q_{1,0} q_{2,0} |q'_1 \cdots q'_k|} \int_{|u_2| \ll 1} |\beta(q_{k+1}, h_2, u_2)|^2 du_2 \sum_{\gamma \bmod n_1 q_0 q_{1,0} q_{2,0}} \\ & \times \mathcal{D}(k) \sum_{\beta_1 \bmod q_0 q_{1,0}} \sum_{\beta_2 \bmod q_0 q_{2,0}} \delta_{-n_j \frac{n}{q_0} \equiv \beta_1 h_1 q_{2,0} \gamma q'_{k+1} + \beta_2 h_2 q_{1,0} \gamma q'_{k+1} \pmod{q_0 q_{1,0} q_{2,0}}} \ll t^\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Recall the definition of \mathcal{D}_0 in (43), applying Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to take out $n, n_0, q_0, q_{1,0}, q_{2,0}, q'_1, q'_2, h_1, h_2$ -sums, γ -sum in \mathcal{D}_0 and the u_2 -integral, we get for the same fixed $\Upsilon \in C_c^\infty([1 - 10^{-9}, 1 + 10^{-9}])$ as before,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_k & \ll \frac{t^{1/2+\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{CN_j N}} \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \sup_{|u_1| \ll 1} \left\{ \frac{1}{C^2} \sum_{|u_2| \ll 1} \sum_{n_0} \sum_{q_0} \sum_{q_0 | n_1 | q_0^\infty} \sum_{\frac{C^2}{N_j} + \frac{CN^{4/3}}{N_j^{2/3} H t}} \sum_{t^\varepsilon \ll |n = n_1 n'| \ll \frac{CN^{1/3} t^\varepsilon}{N_j^{2/3}}} \sum_{\Upsilon\left(\frac{q_{k+1}}{C}\right)} \right. \\ & \times \sum_{q_{1,0}, q_{2,0} | q_0^\infty} \sum_{n_1 q_0 q_{1,0}^2} \sum_{Q_S \ll |q'_1| \ll n_1 q_0 q_{1,0}^2} \sum_{Q_B} \sum_{Q_S \ll |q'_2|, \dots, |q'_k| \ll Q_B} \sum_{q_{k+1} = n_0 q_0 q_{2,0} q'_{k+1}} \\ & \times \sum_{\substack{C \leq q_{k+2} = n_0 q_0 q_{2,0} q'_{k+2} < (1+10^{-10})C \\ C \leq q_{k+3} = n_0 q_0 q_{2,0} q'_{k+3} < (1+10^{-10})C \\ (q'_{k+2} q'_{k+3}, q'_1) = 1}} \sum_{\substack{H' \leq h_1, h_2 < (1+10^{-10})H' \\ (h_1, n_0 q_0 q_{1,0} q'_1) = 1 \\ (h_2, q_{k+2} q_{k+3}) = 1}} \frac{n_1^{2^\nu - 2} h_2^{2^\nu} |q_1^{2^\nu - 1} q_2^{2^\nu - 1} \cdots q_{\nu-1}^3|}{n_0^{2^{\nu+1} - 2} n^{2^\nu - 1} h_1^{2^\nu - 1} h_2^{2^{\nu+1} - 2} q_0^{2^{\nu+2} - 2} q_{1,0}^{2^\nu + 2} q_{2,0}^{2^\nu - 1}} \\ & \times \beta'(q_{k+2}, h_2, u_2) \overline{\beta'(q_{k+3}, h_2, u_2)} \overline{\mathcal{D}_k(q'_{k+1}, q'_{k+2})} \overline{\mathcal{D}_k(q'_{k+1}, q'_{k+3})} \delta(q_{k+1} \equiv q'_{k+2} \equiv q'_{k+3} \pmod{h'_2}) \\ & \times \overline{\mathcal{D}'_0(q'_{k+2}, q'_{k+3})} \overline{I_k(q'_1, \dots, q'_k, q_{k+1}, q_{k+2})} \overline{I_k(q'_1, \dots, q'_k, q_{k+1}, q_{k+3})} du_2 \Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that \mathcal{D}_k is defined mod $n' h_1 h_2$. Applying Poisson summation on the q'_{k+1} -sum, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{q'_{k+1}} \sum_{\Upsilon} \frac{n_0 q_0 q_{2,0} q'_{k+1}}{C} \overline{\mathcal{D}_k(q'_{k+1}, q'_{k+2})} \overline{\mathcal{D}_k(q'_{k+1}, q'_{k+3})} \delta(q'_{k+1} \equiv q'_{k+2} \equiv q'_{k+3} \pmod{h'_2}) \\ & \times \overline{I_k(q'_1, \dots, q'_k, q_{k+1}, q_{k+2})} \overline{I_k(q'_1, \dots, q'_k, q_{k+1}, q_{k+3})} \\ & = \frac{C}{n_0 n' h_1 h_2 q_0 q_{2,0}} \sum_{q'_{k+1}} \sum_{\gamma \bmod n' h_1 h_2} \overline{\mathcal{D}_k(\gamma, q'_{k+2})} \overline{\mathcal{D}_k(\gamma, q'_{k+3})} \delta(\gamma \equiv q'_{k+2} \equiv q'_{k+3} \pmod{h'_2}) e^{\frac{\gamma q'_{k+1}}{n' h_1 h_2}} \\ & \times \sum_0^\infty \Upsilon(y_{2^{k+1}-1}) \overline{I_k(q'_1, \dots, q'_k, C y_{2^{k+1}-1}, q_{k+2})} \\ & \times \overline{I_k(q'_1, \dots, q'_k, C y_{2^{k+1}-1}, q_{k+3})} e^{-\frac{q'_{k+1} C y_{2^{k+1}-1}}{n_0 n' h_1 h_2 q_0 q_{2,0}}} dy_{2^{k+1}-1}. \end{aligned}$$

For the character sum, the Chinese remainder theorem yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\gamma \bmod n'h_1h_2} \mathcal{D}_k(\gamma, q'_{k+2}) \overline{\mathcal{D}_k(\gamma, q'_{k+3})} \delta(\gamma \equiv q'_{k+2} \equiv q'_{k+3} \pmod{h'_2}) e^{\frac{\gamma q'_{k+1}}{n'h_1h_2}} \\
&= e^{\frac{q_{k+1}q'_{k+2}}{h'_2} \frac{n'h_1h_0h_{2,0}}{h'_2}} \delta(q'_{k+2} \equiv q'_{k+3} \pmod{h'_2}) \\
&\quad \times \sum_{\gamma \bmod n'h_1h_0h_{2,0}} \mathcal{D}_k(\gamma, q'_{k+2}) \overline{\mathcal{D}_k(\gamma, q'_{k+3})} e^{\frac{\gamma q'_{k+1} h'_2}{n'h_1h_0h_{2,0}}} \\
&= \mathcal{D}(k+1) \mathcal{D}_{k+1}(q'_{k+2}, q'_{k+3}) \delta(q'_{k+2} \equiv q'_{k+3} \pmod{h'_2}).
\end{aligned}$$

For the integral, note that the phase function in the $y_{2^{k+1}-1}$ -integral is

$$(-1)^{k+1} (G(y_{2^{k-1}-k+1}, y_{2^{k+1}-1}) - G(y_{2^k+2^{k-1}-k}, y_{2^{k+1}-1})) - \frac{q'_{k+1} C y_{2^{k+1}-1}}{n_0 q_0 q_{2,0}}.$$

Hence repeated integration by parts gives us arbitrary saving unless $|q'_{k+1}| \ll Q_B$.

Let \mathcal{B}_{k+1} be the contribution when $|q'_{k+1}| \ll Q_s$ including the case $q'_{k+1} = 0$. Then we have

$$S_2(N)^* \ll \mathcal{A}_{k+1} + \sum_{j=1}^k \mathcal{B}_j + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{k+1},$$

with $\mathcal{A}_{k+1}, \mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_k$ as defined in the statement and

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{k+1} &:= \frac{t^{1/2+\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{CN_j N}} \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \sup_{|u_1| \ll 1} \left\{ \frac{1}{C} \sum_{|u_2| \ll 1} \sum_{n_0} \sum_{q_0} \sum_{q_{1,0}, q_{2,0}, q_0^\infty} \sum_{q_0 | n_1 | q_0^\infty} \sum_{\substack{C^2 + \frac{CN^{4/3}}{N_j^{2/3} H t} \\ t^\varepsilon \ll |n=n_1 n'| \ll \frac{CN^{1/3} t^\varepsilon}{N_j^{2/3}}}} \right. \\
&\quad \times \sum_{n_1 q_0 q_{1,0}^2 Q_S \ll |q'_1| \ll n_1 q_0 q_{1,0}^2 Q_B} \sum_{Q_S \ll |q'_2|, \dots, |q'_k| \ll Q_B} \sum_{|q'_{k+1}| \ll Q_S} \sum_{\substack{C \leq q_{k+2} = n_0 q_0 q_{2,0} q'_{k+2} < (1+10^{-10}) C \\ C \leq q_{k+3} = n_0 q_0 q_{2,0} q'_{k+3} < (1+10^{-10}) C \\ (q'_{k+2} q'_{k+3}, q'_1) = 1}} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{\substack{H' \leq h_1, h_2 < (1+10^{-10}) H' \\ (h_1, n_0 q_0 q_{1,0} q'_1) = 1 \\ (h_2, q_{k+2} q_{k+3}) = 1}} \frac{n_1^{2^k-2} h_2^{2^k} |q'_1|^{2^k-1} q_2^{2^k-1} \dots q'_k}{n_0^{2^{k+1}-1} n^{2^k} h_1^{2^k} h_2^{2^{k+1}-1} q_0^{2^{k+2}-1} q_{1,0}^{2^{k+1}} q_{2,0}^{2^k}} D(k+1) \delta(q'_{k+2} \equiv q'_{k+3} \pmod{h'_2})
\end{aligned}$$

2^{-k-1}

$$\beta'(q_{k+2}, h_2, u_2) \overline{\beta'(q_{k+3}, h_2, u_2)} \mathcal{D}_{k+2}(q'_{k+2}, q'_{k+3}) \mathcal{D}'_0(q'_{k+2}, q'_{k+3}) I_{k+2}(q'_1, \dots, q'_{k+1}, q_{k+2}, q_{k+3}) du_2$$

Applying Lemma 9.3 with $\eta = 0$ on the $y_{2^{k+1}-1}$ -integral, then $\eta = 1$ for $(2^k - 2)$ -times on the remaining integrals, followed by the final statement on the single integral left after the process, we get for $|q'_{k+1}| \ll Q_S$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& I_{k+2}(q'_1, \dots, q'_{k+1}, q_{k+2}, q_{k+3}) \\
&\ll \frac{C^2 t^\varepsilon}{n N_j} \frac{C}{(N_j N)^{1/3}} + \frac{CN^{1/3}}{\sqrt{|n|t} N_j^{2/3}} \int^{2^k-2} \delta(|q_{k+2} - q_{k+3}| \ll \frac{C^2}{(N_j N)^{1/3}} + \frac{C^2 N^{1/3}}{\sqrt{|n|t} N_j^{2/3}} \int t^\varepsilon \int + t^{-A}
\end{aligned}$$

for any $A > 0$. The fact that every use of Lemma 9.3 will truncate two variables of integration and finally truncate the distance between q'_{k+2}, q'_{k+3} can be seen by noticing every integral y_i appears in some $G(\cdot, \cdot)$ in the phase function of the $(2^{k+1} - 1)$ -fold integral twice and no two of them appears in the same $G(\cdot, \cdot)$. Also, the way q_{k+2}, q_{k+3} is constructed through iterations of Cauchy-Schwarz inequality shows that the truncation between them occurs at last.

On the other hand, notice that the character sum \mathcal{D}_{k+2} is exactly of the form defined before Lemma B.2. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{D}_{k+2}(q'_{k+2}, q'_{k+3}) \\ &= \mathcal{C}_{k-1}(a_{I_{k-1}}, \overline{q'_1 q_0 q_{1,0} q_{2,0} n_1 h'_2}, \overline{\eta_j h_1 q_{1,0} q_{2,0} n_1 h'_2}, \overline{\eta_j q_{2,0} h_0 h_{2,0} \overline{q_{1,0} n_1}}, n' h_1 h_0 h_{2,0}, q'_{k+2}, q'_{k+3}), \end{aligned}$$

with $a_{I_{k-1}}$ is some set consisting of $q'_j h'_2$ appropriately. Hence Lemma B.2 gives us

$$\mathcal{D}_{k+2}(q'_{k+2}, q'_{k+3}) \ll (n' h_1 h_0 h_{2,0})^{2^k - 1/2} t^\varepsilon \left(\sqrt{n' h_1 h_0 h_{2,0}} \delta(*_{k-1}) + 1 \right),$$

where $*_{k-1}$ is the condition

$$\begin{aligned} *_{k-1} = & \begin{cases} q'_{k+2} \equiv q'_{k+3} \pmod{n' h_1 h_0 h_{2,0}} \\ \text{or } q'_1 \overline{q_0 q_{1,0}} \pm h_1 q_{1,0} (\overline{q'_{k+2}} - \overline{q'_{k+3}}) \equiv 0 \pmod{n' h_1 h_0 h_{2,0}} \\ \text{or } q'_1 \overline{q_0 q_{1,0}} - \eta_j h_1 q_{1,0} \overline{q'_{k+2}} \equiv 0 \pmod{n' h_1 h_0 h_{2,0}} \\ \text{or } q'_1 \overline{q_0 q_{1,0}} - \eta_j h_1 q_{1,0} \overline{q'_{k+3}} \equiv 0 \pmod{n' h_1 h_0 h_{2,0}} \end{cases} . \end{aligned}$$

This proves the last statement of the Lemma.

Together with $\mathcal{D}(k+1) \ll 1$ and $\mathcal{D}_0 \ll n_1 q_0^3 q_{1,0} q_{2,0}$ as in (43), this yields

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}_{k+1} & \ll \frac{t^{1/2+\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{CN_j N}} \sup_{\|\beta\|_2=1} \sup_{|u_1| \ll 1} \left\{ \frac{1}{C} \right. \\ & \times \left. \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \triangle \triangle \triangle \triangle \triangle \\ n_0 \quad q_0 \quad q_{1,0}, q_{2,0} | q_0^{\infty} \quad q_0 | n_1 | q_0^{\infty} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \triangle \triangle \triangle \triangle \triangle \\ n_1 q_0 q_{1,0}^2 Q_S \ll |q'_1| \ll n_1 q_0 q_{1,0}^2 Q_B \quad Q_S \ll |q'_2|, \dots, |q'_k| \ll Q_B \quad |q'_{k+1}| \ll Q_S \end{array} \end{array} \right. \\ & \times \left. \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \triangle \triangle \triangle \triangle \triangle \\ C \leq q_{k+2} = n_0 q_0 q_{2,0} q'_{k+2} < (1+10^{-10})C \quad H' \leq h_1, h_2 < (1+10^{-10})H' \\ C \leq q_{k+3} = n_0 q_0 q_{2,0} q'_{k+3} < (1+10^{-10})C \quad (h_1, n_0 q_0 q_{1,0} q'_1) = 1 \\ \quad \quad \quad q'_{k+2} \equiv q'_{k+3} \pmod{h'_2} \quad (h_2, q_{k+2} q_{k+3}) = 1 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \triangle \triangle \triangle \triangle \triangle \\ |q_{k+2} - q_{k+3}| \ll \frac{C^2}{(N_j N)^{1/3}} + \frac{C^2 N^{1/3}}{\sqrt{|n| t N_j^{2/3}}} t^\varepsilon \end{array} \end{array} \right. \\ & \times \left. \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \triangle \triangle \triangle \triangle \triangle \\ \frac{n_1^{2^k-1} h_2^{2^k} |q'_1|^{2^k-1} q_2^{2^k-1-1} \dots q'_k|}{n_0^{2^k+1-1} n^{2^k} h_1^{2^k} h_2^{2^k+1-1} q_0^{2^k+2-4} q_{1,0}^{2^k} q_{2,0}^{2^k-1}} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \triangle \triangle \triangle \triangle \triangle \\ |\beta(q_{k+2}, h_2, u_2) \beta(q_{k+3}, h_2, u_2)| \frac{C^2 t^\varepsilon}{n N_j} \quad \frac{C}{(N_j N)^{1/3}} + \frac{C N^{1/3}}{\sqrt{|n| t N_j^{2/3}}} \Bigg|^{2^k-2} du_2 \end{array} \end{array} \right. \\ & \times (n' h_1 h_0 h_{2,0})^{2^k - 1/2} \left(\sqrt{n' h_1 h_0 h_{2,0}} \delta(*_{k-1}) + 1 \right) . \end{aligned}$$

Recall the definition of Q_S, Q_B in (46) and (41). Applying Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to deal with β as before, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}_{k+1} &\ll \frac{t^{1/2+\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{CN_j N}} \left\{ \frac{1}{C} \sum_{|n| \ll \frac{CN^{1/3+\varepsilon}}{N_j^{2/3}}} n^{2^{k+1}-7/2} \frac{N_j^{1/3} t^2}{N^{5/3}} \right\}^{2^{k+1}-2} \left(1 + \frac{C^3 t^2}{n(N_j N)^{4/3}} \frac{Ct}{N} \right)^{-2^k+1/2} \\ &\times \frac{Ct}{N} + \frac{C^2}{(N_j N)^{1/3}} + \frac{C^2 N^{1/3}}{\sqrt{|n|tN_j^{2/3}}} \left\{ \frac{C^2}{N_j} \frac{C}{(N_j N)^{1/3}} + \frac{CN^{1/3}}{\sqrt{|n|tN_j^{2/3}}} \right\}^{2^k-2} 2^{-k-1} \\ &\ll \frac{\sqrt{C} t^{2+\varepsilon}}{N_j N^{3/2}} \left\{ \frac{N_j^{2/3} N^{8/3}}{C^3 t^{7/2}} \right\} \left(1 + \frac{C^2 t^2}{N_j^{2/3} N^{5/3}} \right) \left\{ \frac{Ct}{N} + \frac{C^2}{(N_j N)^{1/3}} \right\}^{2^k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

With the above lemma, we are left to bound $I_{\nu+1}(q'_1, \dots, q'_\nu, q_{\nu+1}, q_{\nu+2})$.

9.5.5. *Integral Analysis for $I_{\nu+1}$.* Now we apply stationary phase analysis on the integral $I_{\nu+1}(q'_1, \dots, q'_\nu, q_{\nu+1}, q_{\nu+2})$. Recall that such an integral is defined recursively by

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\nu+1}(q'_1, \dots, q'_\nu, q_{\nu+1}, q_{\nu+2}) &= \int_0^\infty \Upsilon(y_{2^\nu-1}) I_\nu(q'_1, \dots, q'_{\nu-1}, C y_{2^\nu-1}, q_{\nu+1}) \\ &\quad \times \overline{I_\nu(q'_1, \dots, q'_{\nu-1}, C y_{2^\nu-1}, q_{\nu+2})} e^{-\frac{q'_\nu C y_{2^\nu-1}}{n_0 n' h_1 h_2 q_0 q_{2,0}}} dy_{2^\nu-1} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} I_3(q'_1, q'_2, q_3, q_4) &= \int_0^\infty \Upsilon(y_3) I_2(q'_1, C y_3, q_3, q_4) e^{-\frac{q'_2 C y_3}{n_0 n' h_1 h_2 q_0 q_{2,0}}} dy_3 \\ &= \int_0^\infty \Upsilon(y_3) \int_0^\infty \Upsilon(y_1) \Psi(C y_1, C y_3, q_3) e^{-G(y_1, y_3) - G\left(y_1, \frac{q_3}{C}\right) - \frac{q'_1 C y_1}{n_0 n h_1 h_2 q_0^2 q_{1,0}^2 q_{2,0}}} dy_1 \\ &\quad \times \int_0^\infty \Upsilon(y_2) \overline{\Psi(C y_2, C y_3, q_4)} e^{-G(y_2, y_3) + G\left(y_2, \frac{q_4}{C}\right) + \frac{q'_1 C y_2}{n_0 n h_1 h_2 q_0^2 q_{1,0}^2 q_{2,0}}} dy_2 \\ &\quad \times e^{-\frac{q'_2 C y_3}{n_0 n' h_1 h_2 q_0 q_{2,0}}} dy_3. \end{aligned}$$

Recall the definition of G in (45). Applying Taylor expansion, we get

$$G(y, z) = \tilde{G}(y, z) + \theta(y, z),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(y, z) &= \sqrt{\frac{2t}{\pi n}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j \left(-\tilde{\eta}_j \frac{z}{2\pi h_1 y} \right)^{1/3} + \tilde{\eta}_j \frac{y}{h_2 z} \left(\frac{z}{y} \right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{y}{h_2 z} \right)^{3/2-j} \\ &\quad \times \left(-\tilde{\eta}_j \frac{z}{h_1 y} \right)^{1/3} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} b_\ell \frac{2\pi h_1 N^2 u_1}{CH t^{2-\varepsilon}}^\ell + \tilde{\eta}_j \frac{y}{h_2 z} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} b_\ell \frac{2\pi h_2 N^2 u_2}{CH t^{2+\varepsilon}}^\ell \left(\frac{y}{h_2 z} \right)^j \end{aligned}$$

for some constants $a_j, b_\ell \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $e(\theta(y, z))$ is $\frac{N^{3/2}}{\sqrt{C|n|Ht^{1-\varepsilon}}}$ -inert and I_3 is simplified to

$$\begin{aligned} & I_3(q'_1, q'_2, q_3, q_4) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \Upsilon(y_3) \int_0^\infty \Upsilon(y_1) \tilde{\Psi}(Cy_1, Cy_3, q_3) e^{-\tilde{G}(y_1, y_3) - \tilde{G}\left(y_1, \frac{q_3}{C}\right) - \frac{q'_1 Cy_1}{n_0 n h_1 h_2 q_0^2 q_{1,0}^2 q_{2,0}}} dy_1 \\ & \times \int_0^\infty \Upsilon(y_2) \tilde{\Psi}(Cy_2, Cy_3, q_4) e^{-\tilde{G}(y_2, y_3) + \tilde{G}\left(y_2, \frac{q_4}{C}\right) + \frac{q'_1 Cy_2}{n_0 n h_1 h_2 q_0^2 q_{1,0}^2 q_{2,0}}} dy_2 \\ & \times e^{-\frac{q'_2 Cy_3}{n_0 n' h_1 h_2 q_0 q_{2,0}}} dy_3, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{\Psi}(x, y, z) = \Psi(x, y, z) e(\theta(x, y) - \theta(x, z))$$

is $1 + \frac{CN^{1/3}}{|n|N_j^{2/3}} + \frac{N^{3/2}}{\sqrt{C|n|Ht}}$ t^ε -inert by (40) and above analysis on θ .

Write $q''_1 = \frac{q'_1}{n_1 q_0 q_{1,0}^2}$ and $q''_j = q'_j$ for all $2 \leq j \leq \nu$. Note that $n \gg \frac{C^2}{N_j} + \frac{CN^{4/3}}{N_j^{2/3} Ht} t^\varepsilon$ and $|q'_j| \gg Q_s \theta_j n_1 q_0 q_{1,0}^2$, this allows us to apply Lemma A.3 ($2^\nu - 1$)-times to bound the integral. (The lower bounds for q'_j and n are needed so that the oscillation of $\tilde{\psi}$ satisfies the condition in Lemma A.3.) For $1 \leq j \leq \nu$, we choose

$$\begin{aligned} U_j &= 1 + \delta(j > 1) \frac{nN_j^{2/3} \sqrt{q''_1 + \cdots + q''_{j-1}}}{CN^{1/3} \sqrt{q''_j}} + \frac{(N_j N)^{1/3} n_0 n' h_1 h_2 q_0 q_{2,0}}{\sqrt{\min\{q''_u : 1 \leq u \leq j-1\} q''_j C^2}} \\ & \times \sqrt{\frac{n_0 n' h_1 h_2 q_0 q_{2,0}}{q''_j C}} \end{aligned}$$

as the parameter U in Lemma A.3 on the $2^{\nu-j}$ integrals with q'_j . We first apply 1 time on the integral with q'_ν , then 2-times on the 2 integrals with q'_2 and go on until we apply $2^{\nu-1}$ -times on the $2^{\nu-1}$ integrals with q'_1 . Such choices of U are made so that the conditions of Lemma A.3 is fulfilled in the next iteration.

Remark 9.5. *Explanation on the choice of U_j :* Suppose we have applied Lemma A.3 on all the integrals involving q''_1, \dots, q''_k . Then a number of functions of the form $W_0 \left(\frac{y_u - y_0}{U_j} \right)$ is created, and they are $\frac{t^\varepsilon}{U_j} \left(1 + \frac{(N_j N)^{1/3}}{C q''_j Z} \right)$ -inert by Lemma A.3, where $Z = \frac{C}{n_0 n' h_1 h_2 q_0 q_{2,0}}$. To apply Lemma A.3 on the integrals involving q''_{k-1} , we need to make sure U_ν, \dots, U_k are chosen such that

$$q'_{k-1} \gg \frac{1}{\theta_{k-1} n_1 q_0 q_{1,0}^2} \frac{t^\varepsilon}{Z U_j^2} \frac{nN_j^{2/3}}{CN^{1/3}} + \frac{(N_j N)^{1/3}}{C q''_j Z} \Bigg|^2$$

for all $k \leq j \leq \nu$.

Applying the above procedure and bounding the resulting integral trivially yields

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{\nu+1}(q'_1, \dots, q'_\nu, q_{\nu+1}, q_{\nu+2}) \ll_\nu U_1^{2^{\nu-1}} U_2^{2^{\nu-2}} \cdots U_\nu t^\varepsilon \\ & \ll_{\nu-2} \prod_{j=2}^\nu \left(1 + \frac{nN_j^{2/3} \sqrt{q''_1 + \cdots + q''_{j-1}}}{CN^{1/3} \sqrt{q''_j}} + \frac{(N_j N)^{1/3} n_0 n' h_1 h_2 q_0 q_{2,0}}{\sqrt{\min\{q''_u : 1 \leq u \leq j-1\} q''_j C^2}} \right)^{2^{\nu-j}} \\ & \times \frac{(n_1 q_0 q_{1,0}^2)^{2^{\nu-2}} (n_0 n' h_1 h_2 q_0 q_{2,0})^{2^{\nu-1}-1/2}}{q_1^{2^{\nu-2}} q_2^{2^{\nu-3}} \cdots q_\nu^{2^{\nu-1}} C^{2^{\nu-1}-1/2}} t^\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for any $\nu \geq 2$.

$N \gg t^{1-\varepsilon}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_j(N) &\ll \frac{Ht^{1+\varepsilon}}{CN_j^{1/3}N^{4/3}} + \frac{t^{1+\varepsilon}}{N_jN} \left(1 + \frac{C^2}{(N_jN)^{1/3}} + \frac{\overline{CN}}{Ht} \right) \delta N_j \ll C^2 t^\varepsilon \\ &+ \frac{t^{1/2+\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{CH}N_j^{5/6}N^{1/3}} \left(1 + \frac{\overline{CN}}{Ht} \right) \delta N_j \ll \frac{C^{3/2}\overline{N}^{2-\varepsilon}}{(Ht)^{3/2}t^\varepsilon} + \frac{t^{1/2+\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{CN_j^{5/6}N^{1/3}}} \left(\frac{C}{\sqrt{t}} + \frac{\overline{C^3t}}{N_jN} \right)^{1/2} \\ &+ \frac{\sqrt{C}t^{2+\varepsilon}}{N_jN^{3/2}} + t^\varepsilon \sup_{2 \leq \ell \leq t^\varepsilon} \frac{\sqrt{C}t^{2+\varepsilon}}{N_jN^{3/2}} \left\{ \frac{N_j^{2/3}N^{8/3}}{C^3t^{7/2}} \left(1 + \frac{C^2t^2}{N_j^{2/3}N^{5/3}} \right) \frac{Ct}{N} + \frac{C^2}{(N_jN)^{1/3}} \right\}^{2-\ell}. \quad (60) \end{aligned}$$

10. FINAL BOUND

We choose Q such that $\frac{N}{t^{1-\varepsilon}} < Q < \frac{N}{\sqrt{Ht}}t^\varepsilon$. Inserting (60) into Lemma 9.1, the conditions $\frac{N}{t^{1+\varepsilon}} \ll C \ll Qt^\varepsilon$, $t^{1/2+\varepsilon} \ll H \ll \frac{Nt^\varepsilon}{M}$, $t^{1/2+\varepsilon} \ll M \ll t^{1-\varepsilon}$, $N \ll t^{3/2+\varepsilon}$, $N_1 \sim \frac{N^2X^3}{Q^3}$ and $N_2 \ll \left(\frac{N^2}{Q^3} + \frac{(CHt)^3}{N^4} \right) t^\varepsilon \ll \frac{N^2t^\varepsilon}{Q^3}$ (by the choice of Q) implies

$$\begin{aligned} &(S_{1,X}(N)S_2(N))^{1/2} \\ &\ll \frac{CM(N_1N_2)^{2/3}N^{4/3}}{t^{1-\varepsilon}} \left\{ \frac{Ct}{N_1N} \delta N_1 \ll \frac{\overline{C^3t^\varepsilon}}{N} + \mathcal{R}_1(N) \mathcal{R}_2(N) \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\ll t^\varepsilon \left\{ Q^3M + \frac{MHN^2}{Q^3} + Q^{5/3}MN^{1/3} \left(1 + \frac{Q^{4/3}}{N^{1/3}} + \frac{\overline{QN}}{Ht} \right) + \min \left\{ \frac{MN^2}{Q\sqrt{Ht}}, \frac{Q^{5/4}MN^2}{(Ht)^{5/4}} \right\} \left(1 + \frac{\overline{QN}}{Ht} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{MN^2}{Q\sqrt{t}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{Q}}{t^{1/4}} + \frac{Q^3\sqrt{t}}{N^{3/2}} \right) + \sqrt{Q\overline{N}}Mt \left(1 + \frac{N}{Q^{5/4}t^{7/8}} \right) \left(1 + \frac{\overline{Q\sqrt{t}}}{N^{3/4}} \right) \frac{\overline{Qt}}{N}^{1/4} + \frac{Q^{3/4}}{N^{1/4}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

including the case $X = 0$.

We choose $Q = \frac{M^{5/6}H^{1/3}N^{1/3}}{t^{3/4}}$, then Q satisfies $\frac{N}{t^{1-\varepsilon}} < Q < \frac{N}{\sqrt{Ht}}t^\varepsilon$ when $H \gg \frac{N^2}{M^{5/2}t^{3/4-\varepsilon}}$. Inserting the bound into Theorem 6.2 and then (10), we get for $t^{1/2+\varepsilon} \ll M \ll t^{1-\varepsilon}$, $N \ll t^{3/2+\varepsilon}$, $\sqrt{t} + \frac{N^2}{M^{5/2}t^{3/4-\varepsilon}} \ll H \ll \frac{Nt^\varepsilon}{M}$,

$$\frac{S_{M,H}^\pm(N)}{N} \ll t^\varepsilon \left\{ \frac{t^{9/4}}{M^{3/2}} + \frac{M^{5/2}}{t^{3/4}} + \frac{M^{29/9}}{H^{1/9}t^{7/6}} + \frac{M^{23/8}t^{3/16}}{H^{3/2}} + \frac{M^{7/12}t^{7/8}}{H^{1/6}} + \frac{M^{5/4}t^{5/8}}{N^{1/6}} \right\}.$$

Inserting the above bound together with the trivial bound (9) into Lemma 6.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} L \left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \pi \right)^2 &\ll \log t \left(1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} U \left(\frac{v}{M} \right) dv \right) L \left(\frac{1}{2} + it + iv, \pi \right)^2 \\ &\ll t^\varepsilon \left\{ \frac{t^{9/4}}{M^{3/2}} + \frac{M^{5/2}}{t^{3/4}} + \sup_H \min \left\{ \frac{M^{29/9}}{H^{1/9}t^{7/6}} + \frac{M^{23/8}t^{3/16}}{H^{3/2}} + \frac{M^{7/12}t^{7/8}}{H^{1/6}}, MH \right\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{t^{9/4}}{M^{3/2}} + \sup_N \min \left\{ \frac{M^{5/4}t^{5/8}}{N^{1/6}}, N \right\} \right\} \\ &\ll t^\varepsilon \left(\frac{t^{9/4}}{M^{3/2}} + \frac{M^{5/2}}{t^{3/4}} + \frac{M^3}{t^{21/20}} + M^{7/4}t^{3/40} + M^{9/14}t^{3/4} + M^{15/14}t^{15/28} \right) \\ &\ll t^\varepsilon \left(\frac{t^{9/4}}{M^{3/2}} + \frac{M^3}{t^{21/20}} + M^{7/4}t^{3/40} + M^{15/14}t^{15/28} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The optimal choice of M is $M = t^{2/3}$, which yields

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \pi\right)^2 \ll \log t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| U\left(\frac{v}{t^{2/3}}\right) \right| L\left(\frac{1}{2} + it + iv, \pi\right)^2 dv \ll t^{5/4+\varepsilon}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.1.

APPENDIX A. INTEGRAL ANALYSIS

Lemma A.1. Let $\eta = \pm 1$ and let h_1, h_2, A_1, A_2, x, y be such that $\eta \left(\frac{x}{h_2 y}\right)^{1/3} \geq \eta \left(\frac{y}{h_1 x}\right)^{1/3}$. Let g be

$$g(x, y, h_1, h_2, A_1, A_2) = -\eta \frac{y}{h_1 x} + \frac{\overline{A_1 y}}{x^2} + \eta \frac{x}{h_2 y} + \frac{\overline{A_2 x}}{y^2},$$

we have

$$g(x, y, h_1, h_2, A_1, A_2) = (-1)^{3/2} g(y, x, h_2, h_1, A_2, A_1).$$

Differentiating yields

$$\begin{aligned} g_x(x, y, h_1, h_2, A_1, A_2) &= (-1)^{3/2} g_y(y, x, h_2, h_1, A_2, A_1) \\ &= \frac{\eta}{2x} \left[-\eta \frac{y}{h_1 x} + \frac{\overline{A_1 y}}{x^2} + \eta \frac{x}{h_2 y} + \frac{\overline{A_2 x}}{y^2} \right]^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left[\frac{y}{h_1 x} + \frac{\overline{A_1 y}}{x} + \frac{x}{h_2 y} + \frac{\overline{A_2 x}}{y^2} + \frac{A_1 y}{x^2} \frac{y}{h_1 x} + \frac{\overline{A_1 y}}{x^2} \right]^{-2/3}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma A.2. Let $\eta = \pm 1$ and let h_1, h_2, x, y be such that $\eta \left(\frac{x}{h_2 y}\right)^{1/3} \geq \eta \left(\frac{y}{h_1 x}\right)^{1/3}$. Let f be

$$f(x, y, h_1, h_2) = -\eta \frac{y}{h_1 x} + \eta \frac{x}{h_2 y},$$

we have

$$f(x, y, h_1, h_2) = (-1)^{3/2} f(y, x, h_2, h_1).$$

Differentiating yields

$$\begin{aligned} f_x(x, y, h_1, h_2) &= (-1)^{3/2} f_y(y, x, h_2, h_1) \\ &= \frac{\eta}{2} \left[-\eta \frac{y}{h_1 x} + \eta \frac{x}{h_2 y} \right]^{1/2} \frac{y}{h_1 x^4} + \frac{1}{(h_2 x^2 y)^{1/3}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{xx}(x, y, h_1, h_2) &= (-1)^{3/2} f_{yy}(y, x, h_2, h_1) \\ &= \frac{1}{12} \left[-\eta \frac{y}{h_1 x} + \eta \frac{x}{h_2 y} \right]^{-1/2} \left[\frac{9y^{2/3}}{h_1^{2/3} x^{8/3}} - \frac{2}{(h_1 h_2)^{1/3} x^2} - \frac{3}{h_2^{2/3} x^{4/3} y^{2/3}} \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$f_{xy}(x, y, h_1, h_2) = -\frac{1}{12} \left[-\eta \frac{y}{h_1 x} + \eta \frac{x}{h_2 y} \right]^{-1/2} \left[\frac{3}{(h_1^2 x^5 y)^{1/3}} + \frac{3}{(h_2^2 x y^5)^{1/3}} - \frac{2}{(h_1 h_2)^{1/3} xy} \right],$$

and

$$f_{xxy}(x, y, h_1, h_2) = \frac{\eta}{72} \left(-\eta \frac{\overline{y}}{h_1 x}^{1/3} + \eta \frac{\overline{x}}{h_2 y}^{1/3} \right)^{-3/2} \\ \times \frac{9}{h_2 x y^2} + \frac{43}{h_1^{2/3} h_2^{1/3} x^{7/3} y^{3/2}} - \frac{17}{h_1^{1/3} h_2^{2/3} x^{5/3} y^{4/3}} - \frac{27}{h_1 x^3}.$$

Define

$$G(x, y) = G_{h_1, h_2}(x, y) = \sqrt{\frac{2t}{\pi n}} f(x, y, h_1, h_2)$$

as in the main sections. Then we have the following integral analysis lemmas.

Lemma A.3. *Let $1 \leq a, b, \frac{h_1}{H}, \frac{h_2}{H} < 1 + 10^{-10}$. Let $\Upsilon \in C_c^\infty([1 - 10^{-9}, 1 + 10^{-9}])$ be fixed and let W be a X -inert function such that $W(y, a, b)$ contains the restriction*

$$\frac{\overline{a}}{h_1 y}^{1/3} - \frac{\overline{y}}{h_2 a}^{1/3} \sim \frac{\overline{b}}{h_1 y}^{1/3} - \frac{\overline{y}}{h_2 b}^{1/3} \sim \frac{n N_j^{2/3}}{C^{4/3} t^{1/3}}. \quad (61)$$

Let $Z > 0$ and let $|q'| \gg \frac{X^2}{Z} t^\varepsilon$. Let

$$J = \int_0^\infty \Upsilon(y) W(y, a, b) e^{-G(y, a) - G(y, b) - q' Z y} dy$$

and let y_0 be such that

$$G_x(y_0, a) - G_x(y_0, b) = q' Z.$$

Let $U \gg \sqrt{\frac{n N_j^{2/3}}{C N^{1/3} q' Z}} t^\varepsilon$ and let $W_0 \in C_c^\infty([-2, 2])$ such that $W_0(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq 1$, then we have

$$J = \int_0^\infty \Upsilon(y) W(y, a, b) W_0 \left(\frac{y - y_0}{U} \right) e^{-G(y, a) - G(y, b) - q' Z y} dy + O(t^{-A})$$

for any $A > 0$. Moreover, we have

$$\frac{d^j y_0}{da^j}, \frac{d^j y_0}{db^j} \ll_j \frac{n N_j^{2/3}}{C N^{1/3}} + \frac{(N_j N)^{1/3}}{C q' Z} \Bigg|^j.$$

for any $j \geq 1$.

Proof. Since W is X -inert and $|q'| \gg \frac{X^2}{Z} t^\varepsilon$, (1) in Lemma 5.2 gives us arbitrary saving unless there exists $1 \leq y' < 1 + 10^{-10}$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dy} \Big|_{y=y'} (G(y, a) - G(y, b)) = G_x(y', a) - G_x(y', b) \sim q' Z.$$

Applying the same analysis that concludes (57) yields

$$|a - b| \sim \frac{n N_j^{1/3}}{N^{2/3}} q' Z. \quad (62)$$

Differentiating once more with Lemma A.2 yields

$$\frac{d^2}{dy^2} (G(y, a) - G(y, b)) = \sqrt{\frac{t}{72\pi n}} (F(a) - F(b)),$$

where

$$F(x) = G_{xxy}(y, x) = -\eta \frac{\overline{x}}{h_1 y}^{1/3} + \eta \frac{\overline{y}}{h_2 x}^{1/3} \Bigg|^{-1/2} \frac{9x^{2/3}}{h_1^{2/3} y^{8/3}} - \frac{2}{(h_1 h_2)^{1/3} y^2} - \frac{3}{h_2^{2/3} x^{2/3} y^{4/3}} \Bigg|^j.$$

We want to compute the bounds for the derivatives of G in order to apply stationary phase analysis in Lemma 5.2. Notice that

$$F'(x) = \frac{\eta}{6} \left(-\eta \frac{x}{h_1 y} + \eta \frac{y}{h_2 x} \right)^{-3/2} \\ \times \frac{43}{(h_1^2 h_2 x^2 y^7)^{1/3}} + \frac{9}{h_2 x^2 y} - \frac{27}{h_1 y^3} - \frac{17}{(h_1 h_2^2 x^4 y^5)^{1/3}}.$$

Moreover, $\left(\frac{x}{h_1 y} \right)^{1/3} - \left(\frac{y}{h_2 x} \right)^{1/3}$ is increasing w.r.t. x for $x, y > 0$ together with (61) gives us

$$\min_{x=a,b} \left\{ \frac{x}{h_1 y} - \frac{y}{h_2 x} \right\}^{1/3} \leq \frac{x}{h_1 y} - \frac{y}{h_2 x} \leq \max_{x=a,b} \left\{ \frac{x}{h_1 y} - \frac{y}{h_2 x} \right\} \\ \Rightarrow \frac{x}{h_1 y} - \frac{y}{h_2 x} \sim \frac{n N_j^{2/3}}{C^{4/3} t^{1/3}} \quad (63)$$

for any x in between a, b . Together with $1 \leq a, b, \frac{h_1}{H}, \frac{h_2}{H} < 1 + 10^{-10}, 1 - 10^{-9} \leq y \leq 1 + 10^{-9}$ by the assumption and the support of Υ , we get

$$F'(x) \gg \frac{n N_j^{2/3}}{C^{4/3} t^{1/3}} \frac{C t^{-1}}{N} = \frac{CN}{n^{3/2} N_j \sqrt{t}}$$

for x in between a, b . Hence the mean value theorem yields

$$\frac{d^2}{dy^2} (G(y, a) - G(y, b)) = \sqrt{\frac{t}{72\pi n}} (F(a) - F(b)) \gg \min_{\{a,b\} \leq x \leq \max\{a,b\}} \min_{\{a,b\}} \sqrt{\frac{t}{72\pi n}} |F'(x)| |a - b| \\ \gg \frac{CN}{n^2 N_j} |a - b| \sim \frac{CN^{1/3}}{n N_j^{2/3}} q' Z.$$

With similar analysis we obtain

$$\frac{d^j}{dy^j} (G(y, a) - G(y, b)) \ll_j \frac{CN^{1/3}}{n N_j^{2/3}} q'^{j-1} Z$$

for any $j \geq 2$. Let y_0 be as in the statement, i.e.

$$\frac{d}{dy}_{y=y_0} (G(y, a) - G(y, b)) = G_x(y_0, a) - G_x(y_0, b) = q' Z. \quad (64)$$

Then (3) in Lemma 5.2 yields the first statement.

For the second statement about the derivatives of y_0 , notice that differentiating (64) yields,

$$\frac{dy_0}{da} = \frac{G_{xy}(y_0, a)}{G_{xx}(y_0, b) - G_{xx}(y_0, a)}.$$

Mean value theorem then implies that there exists some x' in between a and b such that

$$G_{xx}(y_0, b) - G_{xx}(y_0, a) = G_{xxy}(y_0, x')(b - a),$$

with $G_{xxy}(y_0, x')$ computed with Lemma A.2 as F above. Then the restriction (62) and (63) together with $1 \leq x', a, b, \frac{h_1}{H'}, \frac{h_2}{H'} < 1 + 10^{-10}, 1 - 10^{-9} \leq y_0 \leq 1 + 10^{-9}$ gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dy_0}{da} &= \frac{G_{xy}(y_0, a)}{(b-a)G_{xxy}(y_0, x')} \\ &= \frac{6\eta}{a-b} - \eta \frac{a^{1/3}}{h_1 y_0} + \eta \frac{y_0^{1/3}}{h_2 a} \sqrt[3]{-1/2} \frac{3}{(h_1^2 a y_0^5)^{1/3}} + \frac{3}{(h_2^2 a^5 y_0)^{1/3}} - \frac{2}{(h_1 h_2)^{1/3} a y_0} \\ &\quad \times \left(-\eta \frac{x'^{1/3}}{h_1 y_0} + \eta \frac{y_0^{1/3}}{h_2 x'} \sqrt[3]{3/2} \frac{43}{(h_1^2 h_2 x'^2 y^7)^{1/3}} + \frac{9}{h_2 x'^2 y_0} - \frac{27}{h_1 y_0^3} - \frac{17}{(h_1 h_2^2 x'^4 y_0^5)^{1/3}} \right) \\ &\sim \frac{N^{2/3}}{n N_j^{1/3} q' Z} \frac{n N_j^{2/3}}{C^{4/3} t^{1/3}} \frac{C t^{1/3}}{N} = \frac{(N_j N)^{1/3}}{C q' Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Performing similar analysis yields

$$\frac{d^j y_0}{da^j}, \frac{d^j y_0}{db^j} \ll_j \frac{n N_j^{2/3}}{C N^{1/3}} \sqrt[3]{j} \left(1 + \frac{N^{2/3}}{n N_j^{1/3} q' Z} \sqrt[3]{j} \right) = \frac{n N_j^{2/3}}{C N^{1/3}} + \frac{(N_j N)^{1/3}}{C q' Z} \sqrt[3]{j}.$$

□

APPENDIX B. CHARACTER SUM ANALYSIS

Let $a, b_1, b_2, b_3, c, q_1, q_2$ be integers such that $(q_1 q_2, c) = 1$ and $c \nmid b_2, b_3$. Let

$$\mathcal{C}(a, b_1, b_2, b_3, c, q_1, q_2) = \sum_{\gamma \pmod c} e\left(\frac{a\gamma}{c}\right) S(b_1 - \overline{q_1} b_2 + \overline{\gamma} b_2, b_3(\gamma - q_1); c) S(b_1 - \overline{q_2} b_2 + \overline{\gamma} b_2, b_3(\gamma - q_2); c).$$

Lemma B.1. *If $q_1 \equiv q_2 \pmod c$ or $b_1 \pm b_2(\overline{q_1} - \overline{q_2}) \equiv 0 \pmod c$ or $b_1 - \overline{q_1} b_2 \equiv 0 \pmod c$ or $b_1 - \overline{q_2} b_2 \equiv 0 \pmod c$, then we have*

$$\mathcal{C}(a, b_1, b_2, b_3, c, q_1, q_2) \ll c^{2+\varepsilon}.$$

Otherwise, we have

$$\mathcal{C}(a, b_1, b_2, b_3, c, q_1, q_2) \ll c^{3/2+\varepsilon}.$$

Proof. Write $d_j(\gamma) = \gcd(b_1 - \overline{q_j} b_2 + \overline{\gamma} b_2, b_3(\gamma - q_j), c)$ for $j = 3, 4$. For the first statement, applying Weil bound on the two Kloosterman sums yields

$$\mathcal{C}(a, b_1, b_2, b_3, c, q_1, q_2) \ll c^{1+\varepsilon} \sum_{\gamma \pmod c} \sqrt{(d_3(\gamma) d_4(\gamma))} \ll c^{2+\varepsilon}.$$

For the second statement, we apply the method in [1]. Consider the Newton polyhedron $\Delta(f)$ of

$$f(x, y, z) = az + x(b_1 - \overline{q_1} b_2) + xz^{-1} b_2 + x^{-1} z b_3 - x^{-1} b_3 q_1 + y(b_1 - \overline{q_2} b_2) + yz^{-1} b_2 + y^{-1} z b_3 - y^{-1} b_3 q_2.$$

We have to separate into two cases.

- (1) $a \equiv 0 \pmod c$, other coefficients of f are not $0 \pmod c$
- (2) No coefficients of f is $0 \pmod c$.

The Newton polyhedron $\Delta(f)$ for case (2) is illustrated in Figure 1.1. One can check that the locus of $\partial f_\sigma / \partial x = \partial f_\sigma / \partial y = \partial f_\sigma / \partial z = 0$ is empty in $(\mathbb{F}_c^\times)^3$ for any face σ in the Newton polyhedron $\Delta(f)$ that corresponds to any of the three cases unless $q_1 \equiv q_2 \pmod c$ or $b_1 \pm b_2(\overline{q_1} - \overline{q_2}) \equiv 0 \pmod c$. □

In general, we can extend the above lemma to the following setting. Let $\nu \geq 0$ be an integer and let $I_\nu \subset \mathcal{P}(\{1, 2, \dots, 2^\nu\})$ be the set defined by

$$\begin{aligned} I_\nu &= \{\{2j+1, 2j+2\} : 0 \leq j \leq 2^\nu - 1\} \\ &\quad \cup \{2^k(1+4j), 2^k(3+4j) : j, k \geq 0, 2^k(3+4j) \leq 2^\nu \setminus \{2^{\nu-1}, 2^\nu\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $J_\nu = \{(j, S) : 1 \leq j \leq 2^\nu, S \in I_\nu \text{ and } j \in S\}$. Let $a_1, \dots, a_{2^\nu}, a_S$ ($S \in I_\nu$), $b_1, b_2, b_3, c, q_1, q_2$ be integers. Write $a_{I_\nu} = \{a_1, \dots, a_{2^\nu}, a_S : S \in I_\nu\}$ and define

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{C}_\nu(a_{I_\nu}, b_1, b_2, b_3, c, q_1, q_2) \\ &= \prod_{\{\alpha_{j,S} : (j,S) \in J_\nu\}, \beta_1, \beta_2, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{2^\nu}, \{\gamma_S : S \in I_\nu\} \bmod c} e^{\frac{f_\nu(\alpha_{J_\nu}, \beta_1, \beta_2, \overline{\gamma_{I_\nu}})}{c}} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} f_\nu(\alpha_{J_\nu}, \beta_1, \beta_2, \gamma_{I_\nu}) &= \prod_{j=1}^{2^\nu} a_j \gamma_j + \prod_{S \in I_\nu} a_S \gamma_S + \prod_{(j,S) \in J_\nu} (\alpha_{j,S} (b_1 - \overline{\gamma_S} b_2 + \overline{\gamma_j} b_2) + \overline{\alpha_{j,S}} (\gamma_j - \gamma_S) b_3) \\ &+ \beta_1 (b_1 - \overline{q_1} b_2 + \overline{\gamma_{2^{\nu-1}}} b_2) + \overline{\beta_1} (\gamma_{2^{\nu-1}} - q_1) b_3 \\ &+ \beta_2 (b_1 - \overline{q_2} b_2 + \overline{\gamma_{2^\nu}} b_2) + \overline{\beta_2} (\gamma_{2^\nu} - q_2) b_3, \end{aligned}$$

and the notation $\alpha_{J_\nu} = \{\alpha_{j,S} : (j, S) \in J_\nu\}$ and $\gamma_{I_\nu} = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{2^\nu}, \gamma_S : S \in I_\nu\}$.

Lemma B.2. *Notations as above. Let $a_1, \dots, a_{2^\nu}, a_S$ ($S \in I_\nu$), $b_1, b_2, b_3, c, q_1, q_2$ be integers such that $(q_1 q_2, c) = 1$ and $c \nmid b_2, b_3$. If $q_1 \equiv q_2 \pmod{c}$ or $b_1 \pm b_2(\overline{q_1} - \overline{q_2}) \equiv 0 \pmod{c}$ or $b_1 - \overline{q_1} b_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{c}$ or $b_1 - \overline{q_2} b_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{c}$, then we have*

$$\mathcal{C}_\nu(a_{I_\nu}, b_1, b_2, b_3, c, q_1, q_2) \ll c^{2^{\nu+1} + \varepsilon}.$$

Otherwise, we have

$$\mathcal{C}_\nu(a_{I_\nu}, b_1, b_2, b_3, c, q_1, q_2) \ll c^{2^{\nu+1} - 1/2 + \varepsilon}.$$

Proof. We apply the same method as the proof of Lemma B.1.

For the second statement, we apply the method in [1]. Studying all the cases whether each a_j, a_S for $1 \leq j \leq 2^\nu, S \in I_\nu$ can be $0 \pmod{c}$ or not, one can check that the locus of $\partial f_{\nu\sigma} / \partial x_j = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq 2^{\nu+2} - 1$ is empty in $(\mathbb{F}_c^\times)^{2^{\nu+2} - 1}$ for any face σ in the Newton polyhedron $\Delta(f_\nu)$ that corresponds to any of the three cases unless $q_1 \equiv q_2 \pmod{c}$ or $b_1 \pm b_2(\overline{q_1} - \overline{q_2}) \equiv 0 \pmod{c}$.

For the first statement, we prove them by induction. Note that $\nu = 0$ is precisely the statement of Lemma B.1. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_\nu(a_{I_\nu}, b_1, b_2, b_3, c, q_1, q_2) &= \prod_{\gamma_{2^{\nu-2}, 3(2^{\nu-2})}} e^{\left(\frac{a_{\{2^{\nu-2}, 3(2^{\nu-2})\}} \gamma_{\{2^{\nu-2}, 3(2^{\nu-2})\}}}{c} \right)} \\ &\times \mathcal{C}_{\nu-1}(a_{I_{\nu-1}}, b_1, b_2, b_3, c, \gamma_{2^{\nu-2}, 3(2^{\nu-2})}, q_1) \\ &\times \mathcal{C}_{\nu-1}(a'_{I_{\nu-1}}, b_1, b_2, b_3, c, \gamma_{2^{\nu-2}, 3(2^{\nu-2})}, q_2), \end{aligned}$$

with appropriate choices of $a_{I_{\nu-1}}$ and $a'_{I_{\nu-1}}$ given a_{I_ν} . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_\nu(a_{I_\nu}, b_1, b_2, b_3, c, q_1, q_2) &\ll \prod_{\gamma_{2^{\nu-2}, 3(2^{\nu-2})} \bmod c} \mathcal{C}_{\nu-1}(a_{I_{\nu-1}}, b_1, b_2, b_3, c, \gamma_{2^{\nu-2}, 3(2^{\nu-2})}, q_1) \\ &\times \mathcal{C}_{\nu-1}(a'_{I_{\nu-1}}, b_1, b_2, b_3, c, \gamma_{2^{\nu-2}, 3(2^{\nu-2})}, q_2). \end{aligned}$$

For $j = 1, 2$, write $(*_j)$ as the condition $\left\{ \gamma_{2^{\nu-2}, 3(2^{\nu-2})} \equiv q_j \pmod{c} \text{ or } b_1 \pm b_2(\overline{\gamma_{2^{\nu-2}, 3(2^{\nu-2})}} - \overline{q_j}) \equiv 0 \pmod{c} \text{ or } b_1 - \overline{\gamma_{2^{\nu-2}, 3(2^{\nu-2})}} b_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{c} \text{ or } b_1 - \overline{q_j} b_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{c} \right.$. By induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{C}_{\nu-1}(a_{I_{\nu-1}}, b_1, b_2, b_3, c, \gamma_{2^{\nu-2}, 3(2^{\nu-2})}, q_j) \\ & \ll c^{2^\nu - 1/2 + \varepsilon} \sqrt{c} \delta(*_j) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\mathcal{C}_\nu(a_{I_\nu}, b_1, b_2, b_3, c, q_1, q_2) \ll \prod_{\gamma_{2^{\nu-2}, 3(2^{\nu-2})} \bmod c} c^{2^{\nu+1} - 1 + \varepsilon} \prod_{j=1,2} \sqrt{c} \delta(*_j) + 1,$$

which yields the desired results. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Adolphson and S. Sperber. Twisted exponential sums and Newton polyhedra. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 443:151–177, 1993.
- [2] K. Aggarwal. Weyl bound for $GL(2)$ in t -aspect via a simple delta method. *J. Number Theory*, 208:72–100, 2020.
- [3] K. Aggarwal. A new subconvex bound for $GL(3)$ L -functions in the t -aspect. *Int. J. Number Theory*, 17(5):1111–1138, 2021.
- [4] J. Bernstein and A. Reznikov. Subconvexity bounds for triple l -functions and representation theory. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 172(3):1679–1781, 2010.
- [5] V. Blomer. Subconvexity for twisted L -functions on $GL(3)$. *Amer. J. Math.*, 134(5):1385–1421, 2012.
- [6] V. Blomer, R. Khan, and M. Young. Distribution of mass of holomorphic cusp forms. *Duke Math. J.*, 162(14):2609–2644, 2013.
- [7] A. R. Booker, M. B. Milinovich, and N. Ng. Subconvexity for modular form L -functions in the t aspect. *Adv. Math.*, 341:299–335, 2019.
- [8] J. Bourgain. Decoupling, exponential sums and the Riemann zeta function. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 30(1):205–224, 2017.
- [9] J. B. Conrey and H. Iwaniec. The cubic moment of central values of automorphic L -functions. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 151(3):1175–1216, 2000.
- [10] D. Goldfeld. *Automorphic forms and L -functions for the group $GL(n, \mathbf{R})$* , volume 99 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006. With an appendix by Kevin A. Broughan.
- [11] A. Good. The square mean of Dirichlet series associated with cusp forms. *Mathematika*, 29(2):278–295 (1983), 1982.
- [12] S. W. Graham and G. Kolesnik. *Van der Corput’s Method of Exponential Sums*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- [13] D. R. Heath-Brown. The twelfth power moment of the Riemann-function. *The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics*, 29(4):443–462, 12 1978.
- [14] R. Holowinsky, R. Munshi, and Z. Qi. Beyond the Weyl barrier for $GL(2)$ exponential sums. *preprint, arXiv:2104.05157*, 2021.
- [15] B. Huang. Hybrid subconvexity bounds for twisted L -functions on $GL(3)$. *preprint, arXiv:1605.09487*, 2016.
- [16] H. Iwaniec. Fourier coefficients of cusp forms and the riemann zeta-function. *Seminaire de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux*, 9:1–36, 1979-1980.
- [17] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski. *Analytic number theory*, volume 53 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.
- [18] M. Jutila. *Lectures on a method in the theory of exponential sums*, volume 80 of *Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Lectures on Mathematics and Physics*. Published for the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay; by Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
- [19] R. Khan and M. P. Young. Moments and hybrid subconvexity for symmetric-square l -functions. *Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu*, page 1–45, 2021.
- [20] E. M. Kiral, I. Petrow, and M. P. Young. Oscillatory integrals with uniformity in parameters. *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux*, 31(1):145–159, 2019.
- [21] E. Landau. Über die Wurzeln der Zetafunktion. *Math. Z.*, 20(1):98–104, 1924.
- [22] X. Li. Bounds for $GL(3) \times GL(2)$ L -functions and $GL(3)$ L -functions. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 173(1):301–336, 2011.
- [23] Y. Lin, R. Nunes, and Z. Qi. Strong subconvexity for self-dual $GL(3)$ L -functions. *preprint, arXiv:2112.14396*, 2021.
- [24] M. McKee, H. Sun, and Y. Ye. Improved subconvexity bounds for $GL(2) \times GL(3)$ and $GL(3)$ L -functions by weighted stationary phase. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 370(5):3745–3769, 2018.
- [25] T. Meurman. On exponential sums involving the Fourier coefficients of Maass wave forms. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 384:192–207, 1988.
- [26] P. Michel and A. Venkatesh. The subconvexity problem for GL_2 . *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, (111):171–271, 2010.
- [27] S. D. Miller and W. Schmid. Automorphic distributions, L -functions, and Voronoi summation for $GL(3)$. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 164(2):423–488, 2006.
- [28] R. Munshi. The circle method and bounds for L -functions—III: t -aspect subconvexity for $GL(3)$ L -functions. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 28(4):913–938, 2015.
- [29] P. D. Nelson. Bounds for standard L -functions. *preprint, arXiv:2109.15230*, 2021.
- [30] R. M. Nunes. Subconvexity for $GL(3)$ L -functions. *preprint, arXiv 1703.04424*, 2017.
- [31] M. P. Young. The second moment of $gl(3) \times gl(2)$ l -functions, integrated. *Advances in Mathematics*, 226(4):3550–3578, 2011.

ALFRÉD RÉNYI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, HU 1053 BUDAPEST, REÁLTANODA U. 13-15.

Email address: keshav@renyi.hu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, 231 W 18TH AVENUE, COLUMBUS, OHIO 43210, USA

Email address: leung.179@osu.edu

STAT-MATH UNIT, INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, 203 B.T. ROAD, KOLKATA 700108, INDIA

Email address: ritabratamunshi@gmail.com