

# On non-degenerate Berge-Turán problems

Dániel Gerbner

Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics  
gerbner.daniel@renyi.hu

## Abstract

Given a hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}$  and a graph  $G$ , we say that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a *Berge- $G$*  if there is a bijection between the hyperedges of  $\mathcal{H}$  and the edges of  $G$  such that each hyperedge contains its image. We denote by  $\text{ex}_k(n, \text{Berge-}F)$  the largest number of hyperedges in a  $k$ -uniform Berge- $F$ -free graph. Let  $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$  denote the largest number of copies of  $H$  in  $n$ -vertex  $F$ -free graphs. It is known that  $\text{ex}(n, K_k, F) \leq \text{ex}_k(n, \text{Berge-}F) \leq \text{ex}(n, K_k, F) + \text{ex}(n, F)$ , thus if  $\chi(F) > r$ , then  $\text{ex}_k(n, \text{Berge-}F) = (1+o(1))\text{ex}(n, K_k, F)$ . We conjecture that  $\text{ex}_k(n, \text{Berge-}F) = \text{ex}(n, K_k, F)$  in this case. We prove this conjecture in several instances, including the cases  $k = 3$  and  $k = 4$ . We prove the general bound  $\text{ex}_k(n, \text{Berge-}F) = \text{ex}(n, K_k, F) + O(1)$ .

**Keywords:** Berge hypergraph, Turán

## 1 Introduction

Given a hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}$  and a graph  $G$ , we say that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a *Berge copy* of  $G$  (in short: a Berge- $G$ ) if there is a bijection between the hyperedges of  $\mathcal{H}$  and the edges of  $G$  such that each hyperedge contains its image.

Berge hypergraphs were introduced by Gerbner and Palmer [9] as a generalization of the notion of hypergraph cycles due to Berge.

A closely connected area is that of generalized Turán problems. Given graphs  $H$  and  $G$ , we let  $\mathcal{N}(H, G)$  denote the number of copies of  $H$  in  $G$ . Let  $\text{ex}(n, H, F) := \max\{\mathcal{N}(H, G) : G \text{ is an } n\text{-vertex } F\text{-free graph}\}$ . The systematic study of this topic was initiated by Alon and Shikhelman [1] after several sporadic results.

The connection between Berge-Turán problems and generalized Turán problems was established by Gerbner and Palmer [10], who showed that  $\text{ex}(n, K_k, F) \leq \text{ex}_k(n, \text{Berge-}F) \leq \text{ex}(n, K_k, F) + \text{ex}(n, F)$ . The upper bound was strengthened by Füredi, Kostochka, and Luo [3] and independently by Gerbner, Methuku and Palmer [8]. To state this result, we need some definition.

A *blue-red graph*  $G$  is a graph with each edge colored blue or red. We denote by  $G_{\text{blue}}$  the subgraph consisting of the blue edges and by  $G_{\text{red}}$  the subgraph consisting of the red

edges. We say that a blue-red graph  $G$  is  $F$ -free if  $G$  does not contain  $F$  (here we do not care about the colors). Given an integer  $k \geq 3$ , let  $g(G) := \mathcal{N}(K_k, G_{blue}) + |E(G_{red})|$ . Let  $\text{ex}^{col}(n, F) := \max\{g(G) : G \text{ is an } n\text{-vertex } F\text{-free graph}\}$ .

**Lemma 1.1** ([3],[8]). *For any  $F$  we have  $\text{ex}_k(n, \text{Berge-}F) \leq \text{ex}^{col}(n, F)$ .*

A hypergraph Turán problem is called degenerate if the order of magnitude of the extremal function is smaller than the largest possible, i.e. smaller than  $n^k$  in our case. By the above bounds,  $\text{ex}_k(n, \text{Berge-}F) = o(n^k)$  if and only if  $\text{ex}(n, K_k, F) = o(n^k)$ , which happens if and only if  $\chi(F) \leq k$  by a result of Alon and Shikhelman [1]. Another result of Alon and Shikhelman [1] shows that if  $\chi(F) = r + 1 > k$ , then  $\text{ex}(n, K_k, F) = (1 + o(1))\mathcal{N}(K_k, T(n, r)) = (1 + o(1))\binom{r}{k} \left(\frac{n}{r}\right)^k$ .

In the non-degenerate case, for  $k \geq 3$  we have that  $\text{ex}(n, F) = O(n^2) = o(\text{ex}(n, K_k, F))$ , thus  $\text{ex}_k(n, \text{Berge-}F) = (1 + o(1))\text{ex}(n, K_k, F)$ . We believe that a stronger connection also holds.

**Conjecture 1.2.** *If  $\chi(F) > k$  and  $n$  is sufficiently large, then  $\text{ex}_k(n, \text{Berge-}F) = \text{ex}(n, K_k, F)$ .*

The above conjecture is known to hold in the case  $F$  has a color-critical edge (an edge whose deletion decreases the chromatic number). The  $k$ -uniform *expansion*  $F^{+k}$  of a graph  $F$  is the specific  $k$ -uniform Berge copy that contains the most vertices, i.e., the  $k - 2$  vertices added to each edge of  $F$  are distinct for different edges, and distinct from the vertices of  $F$ . Pikhurko [19] showed that for  $r \geq k$ , the Turán number of  $K_{r+1}^{+k}$  is equal to  $\mathcal{N}(K_k, T(n, r))$  if  $n$  is sufficiently large. According to the survey [18] on expansions, Alon and Pikhurko observed that Pikhurko's proof generalizes to the case  $F$  is an  $(r + 1)$ -chromatic graph with a color-critical edge. A simpler proof for the Berge case can be found in [7].

In general, the above observations imply that  $\text{ex}_k(n, \text{Berge-}F) = \text{ex}(n, K_k, F) + O(n^2)$ . This was improved to  $\text{ex}_k(n, \text{Berge-}F) = \text{ex}(n, K_k, F) + o(n^2)$  in [4]. We further improve this bound in our next result.

**Theorem 1.3.**  $\text{ex}_k(n, \text{Berge-}F) = \text{ex}(n, K_k, F) + O(1)$ .

We show that Conjecture 1.2 holds if  $F$  contains a color-critical vertex (a vertex whose deletion decreases the chromatic number).

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $\chi(F) > k$  and assume that  $F$  contains a color-critical vertex. Then for sufficiently large  $n$  we have  $\text{ex}_k(n, \text{Berge-}F) = \text{ex}(n, K_k, F)$ .*

We show that Conjecture 1.2 holds in the 3- and 4-uniform case. Furthermore, it holds in any uniformity if the chromatic number of  $F$  is sufficiently large.

**Theorem 1.5. (i)** *Let  $\chi(F) > k$  and  $k \leq 4$ . Then  $\text{ex}_k(n, \text{Berge-}F) = \text{ex}(n, K_k, F)$  for sufficiently large  $n$ .*

**(i)** *Let us fix  $k$  and  $r$  be sufficiently large. If  $\chi(F) = r + 1$ , then  $\text{ex}_k(n, \text{Berge-}F) = \text{ex}(n, K_k, F)$  for sufficiently large  $n$ .*

Recall that if  $\chi(F) > k$ , then the asymptotics of  $\text{ex}(n, K_k, F)$  is known, thus the asymptotics of  $\text{ex}_k(n, \text{Berge-}F)$  is known. Even if Conjecture 1.2 is true, it only improves the asymptotic result to an exact result in the few cases when  $\text{ex}(n, K_k, F)$  is known. Besides the case where  $F$  has a color-critical edge, we are aware only of the following results. Let  $2K_{r+1}$  denote two vertex-disjoint copies of  $K_{r+1}$  and  $B_{r,1}$  denote two copies of  $K_{r+1}$  sharing exactly one vertex. Gerbner and Patkós [12] determined  $\text{ex}(n, K_k, 2K_{r+1})$  and  $\text{ex}(n, K_k, B_{r+1,1})$ . The first of these results was extended by Gerbner [6] to  $\text{ex}(n, K_k, F)$  in the case each component of  $F$  either has chromatic number  $r + 1$  and contains a color-critical edge, or has chromatic number at most  $r$ . Gerbner [6] also determined  $\text{ex}(n, K_k, Q_{r+1})$  for a class of graphs  $Q_r$  that we do not define here and most values of  $k$ .

For the Berge copies of the graphs mentioned above, we can show that Conjecture 1.2 holds. In fact,  $B_{r+1,1}$  and  $Q_r$  each has a color-critical vertex, thus we already dealt with them in Theorem 1.4. Let  $K_i + T(n - i, r)$  denote the graph we obtain by adding  $i$  vertices to  $T(n - i, r)$  and joining them to every vertex.

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let us assume that  $F$  consists of  $s$  components with chromatic number  $r + 1$ , each with a color-critical edge, and any number of components with chromatic number at most  $r$ . Then  $\text{ex}_k(n, \text{Berge-}F) = \mathcal{N}(K_k, K_{s-1} + T(n - s + 1, r))$ .*

To prove the above theorems, we use the following results on the structure of the extremal graphs that are interesting on their own. Let us denote by  $\sigma(F)$  the smallest possible order of a color class in a  $\chi(F)$ -coloring of  $F$ .

**Theorem 1.7.** *Let  $\chi(F) = r + 1 > k$  and  $G$  be an  $n$ -vertex  $F$ -free blue-red graph with  $g(G) = \text{ex}^{\text{col}}(n, F)$ . Then the followings hold.*

(i) *For every vertex  $u$  of  $G$  we have that the number of blue  $k$ -cliques plus the number of red edges containing  $u$  is at least  $(1 + o(1))\binom{r-1}{k-1}\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)^{k-1}$ .*

(ii) *Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be sufficiently small. Then there exist an  $r$ -partition of  $V(G)$  to  $A_1, \dots, A_r$ , a constant  $K = K(F, \varepsilon)$  and a set  $B$  of at most  $rK(\sigma(F) - 1)$  vertices such that the followings hold. For each  $i$  we have  $|A_i| = (1 - o(1))n/r$ , each red edge is between two elements of  $B$ , every vertex of  $B$  is adjacent to at least  $\varepsilon n$  vertices in each part and to at least  $cn$  vertices in all but one parts for some constant  $c = c(F)$ . Furthermore, every vertex of  $A_i \setminus B$  is adjacent to at most  $\varepsilon n$  vertices in  $A_i$  and all but at most  $\varepsilon(2r^k + 1)n$  vertices in  $A_j$  with  $j \neq i$ .*

(iii) *Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be an  $n$ -vertex  $k$ -uniform Berge- $F$ -free hypergraph with  $\text{ex}_k(n, \text{Berge-}F)$  hyperedges. Then every vertex of  $\mathcal{H}$  is contained in at least  $(1 + o(1))\binom{r-1}{k-1}\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)^{k-1}$  hyperedges.*

## 2 Proofs

We will use the following stability result due to Ma and Qiu [17].

**Theorem 2.1** (Ma, Qiu [17]). *Let  $\chi(F) > k$  and let  $G$  be an  $n$ -vertex  $F$ -free graph that contains  $\text{ex}(n, K_k, F) - o(n^k)$  copies of  $K_k$ . Then  $G$  can be turned into  $T(n, r)$  by adding and removing  $o(n^2)$  edges.*

Let us start with the proof of Theorem 1.7, that we restate here for convenience.

**Theorem.** *Let  $\chi(F) = r + 1 > k$  and  $G$  be an  $n$ -vertex  $F$ -free blue-red graph with  $g(G) = \text{ex}^{\text{col}}(n, F)$ . Then the followings hold.*

(i) *For every vertex  $u$  of  $G$  we have that the number of blue  $k$ -cliques plus the number of red edges containing  $u$  is at least  $(1 + o(1))\binom{r-1}{k-1}\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)^{k-1}$ .*

(ii) *Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be sufficiently small. Then there exist an  $r$ -partition of  $V(G)$  to  $A_1, \dots, A_r$ , a constant  $K = K(F, \varepsilon)$  and a set  $B$  of at most  $rK(\sigma(F) - 1)$  vertices such that the followings hold. For each  $i$  we have  $|A_i| = (1 - o(1))n/r$ , each red edge is between two elements of  $B$ , every vertex of  $B$  is adjacent to at least  $\varepsilon n$  vertices in each part and to at least  $cn$  vertices in all but one parts for some constant  $c = c(F)$ . Furthermore, every vertex of  $A_i \setminus B$  is adjacent to at most  $\varepsilon n$  vertices in  $A_i$  and all but at most  $\varepsilon(2r^k + 1)n$  vertices in  $A_j$  with  $j \neq i$ .*

(iii) *Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be an  $n$ -vertex  $k$ -uniform Berge- $F$ -free hypergraph with  $\text{ex}_k(n, \text{Berge-}F)$  hyperedges. Then every vertex of  $\mathcal{H}$  is contained in at least  $(1 + o(1))\binom{r-1}{k-1}\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)^{k-1}$  hyperedges.*

We note that the analogous results for  $\text{ex}(n, K_k, F)$  can be found in [17]. Generalizations to some other graphs in place of  $K_k$  can be found in [5] for (i) and in [6] for (ii). Our proof follows the proofs in [5] and [6].

*Proof.* Observe that  $G$  contains at least  $\text{ex}(n, K_k, F) - \text{ex}(n, F)$  blue copies of  $K_k$ , thus  $G_{\text{blue}}$  can be transformed to a complete  $r$ -partite graph by adding and removing  $o(n^2)$  edges by Theorem 2.1. Note that there may be several different such complete  $r$ -partite graphs on the vertex set  $V(G)$  that can be obtained this way, we pick one with the smallest number of edges inside the parts and denote it by  $G'$ . It is easy to see that each part has order  $(1 - o(1))n/r$ , otherwise the number of blue cliques is at most  $\binom{r}{k}\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)^k - \Theta(n^k)$ . Let  $A_1, \dots, A_r$  denote the parts and let  $f(v)$  denote the number of red edges and blue  $k$ -cliques incident to  $v$  that are removed this way. Then we have  $\sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v) = o(n^k)$ . Consider a set  $S$  of  $|V(F)|$  vertices in  $A_1$  such that  $\sum_{v \in S} f(v)$  is minimal. Then by averaging  $\sum_{v \in S} f(v) \leq \frac{|S|}{|V_1|} \sum_{v \in V_1} f(v) = o(n^{k-1})$ .

Let us consider blue  $k$ -cliques and red edges that contain exactly one vertex  $s$  of  $S$ , and the other vertices are in the common neighborhood of  $S$  in  $G$ . Let  $d_G(k, S)$  denote the number of such blue  $k$ -cliques and red edges. Observe that each vertex of  $S$  is in  $\frac{d_G(k, S)}{|S|}$  such blue  $k$ -cliques and red edges. Clearly  $\frac{d_{T(n, r)}(k, S)}{|S|} = (1 + o(1))\binom{r-1}{k-1}\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)^{k-1}$ .

Let  $x$  denote the number of blue  $k$ -cliques and red edges that contain  $u$  and a vertex from  $S$ , then  $x = O(n^{k-2})$ . Now we apply a variant of Zykov's symmetrization [23]. If  $d_G(k, u) < \frac{d_G(k, S)}{|S|} - x$ , then we remove the edges incident to  $u$  from  $G$ . Then for every vertex  $v$  that is connected to each vertex of  $S$  with a blue edge, we connect  $u$  to  $v$  with a blue edge. For every vertex  $w$  that is connected to each vertex of  $S$  with a red edge, we connect  $u$  to  $w$  with a red edge. This way we do not create any copy of  $F$ , as the copy should contain  $u$ , but  $u$  could be replaced by any vertex of  $S$  that is not already in the copy, to create a copy of  $F$  in  $G$ . We removed  $d_G(k, u)$  blue  $k$ -cliques and red edges, but added at least  $\frac{d_G(k, S)}{|S|} - x$  blue  $k$ -cliques and red edges, a contradiction.

Therefore, we have that the blue  $k$ -cliques plus the red edges containing  $u$  is at least

$$\frac{d_G(k, S)}{|S|} - x \geq \frac{d_{T(n,r)}(k, S)}{|S|} - \sum_{v \in S} f(v) - x = \frac{d_{T(n,r)}(k, S)}{|S|} - o(n^{k-1}).$$

This completes the proof of **(i)**.

The proof of **(iii)** is similar. We pick  $S$  the same way, but instead of blue  $k$ -cliques and red edges, we count the hyperedges containing  $u$ , let  $d_{\mathcal{H}}(k, u)$  denote their number. Let  $y$  denote the number of hyperedges that contain  $u$  and a vertex from  $S$ . If  $d_{\mathcal{H}}(k, u) < \frac{d_{\mathcal{H}}(k, S)}{|S|} - x$ , then we remove the hyperedges containing  $u$  and for every hyperedge  $H$  that contains exactly one vertex  $v \in S$ , we add  $(H \setminus \{v\}) \cup \{u\}$  as a hyperedge. Then the same reasoning as above completes the proof of **(iii)**.

Let  $B$  denote the set of vertices that are adjacent to at least  $\varepsilon n$  vertices in their part  $A_i$ . Note that by the choice of  $G'$ , vertices of  $B$  are incident to at least  $\varepsilon n$  vertices in each other part. Let  $B_i = B \cap A_i$ .

**Claim 2.2.** *There is a  $K$  depending on  $\varepsilon$  and  $F$  such that  $|B| \leq K(\sigma(F) - 1)$ .*

The analogous claim for uncolored graphs  $G_0$  with  $\text{ex}(n, K_k, F)$  copies of  $K_k$  is in [17]. However, the proof of that claim does not use that  $G_0$  is extremal, only that  $G_0$  contains  $\text{ex}(n, K_k, F) - o(n^k)$  copies of  $K_k$ . As this holds for  $G$  as well, the claim follows.

Consider now the set  $U_i$  of vertices  $v$  such that  $v \in A_i \setminus B$  is adjacent to less than  $|A_j| - \varepsilon(2r^k + 1)n$  vertices of some  $A_j$ . As there are  $o(n^2)$  edges missing between parts, we have that  $|U_i| = o(n)$ .

**Claim 2.3.** *For each  $i$  we have that  $U_i = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof of Claim.* Let  $V_i = A_i \setminus (B_i \cup U_i)$ , then we have that  $|V_i| \geq |A_i| - \varepsilon n$ .

Let us delete the edges from each  $v \in U_i$  to  $A_i$  and connect  $v$  to each vertex of each  $V_j$ ,  $j \neq i$  with a blue edge. We claim that the resulting graph  $G''$  is  $F$ -free. Indeed, consider a copy  $F_0$  of  $F$  with the smallest number of vertices in  $U_i$ . Clearly  $F_0$  contains a vertex  $v \in U_i$ , as all the new edges are incident to such a vertex. Let  $Q$  be the set of vertices in  $F_0$  that are adjacent to  $v$  in  $G'$ . They are each from  $\cup_{j \neq i} V_j$ . Their common neighborhood in  $V_i$  is of order  $\frac{n}{r} - o(n)$ . Therefore, at least one of the common neighbors is not in  $F_0$ , thus we can replace  $v$  with that vertex to obtain another copy of  $F$  with less vertices from  $\cup_{i=1}^r U_i$ , a contradiction.

We deleted at most  $\varepsilon n^{k-1}$  blue  $k$ -cliques and red edges for each vertex  $v \in U_i$ , since they each contain one of the less than  $\varepsilon n$  edges incident to  $v$  inside  $U_i$ . We claim that we added more than  $\varepsilon n^{k-1}$  blue  $k$ -cliques. We consider only those blue  $k$ -cliques that contain  $v$ , a new neighbor of  $v$  in  $V_j$  with  $j \neq i$ , and  $k - 2$  other vertices from other sets  $V_\ell$ . We have at least  $2r^k \varepsilon n$  choices for the neighbor and at least  $n/r - \varepsilon n$  choices for the other vertices. If  $\varepsilon$  is sufficiently small, then indeed, we obtain more than  $\varepsilon n$  new blue  $k$ -cliques, thus  $g(G'') > g(G')$ , a contradiction unless  $U_i$  is empty. ■

Now we show that there is a constant  $c = c(F)$  such that each vertex is adjacent to at least  $cn$  vertices in all but one parts. Assume that  $v$  is adjacent to less than  $cn$  vertices in  $A_1$  and in  $A_2$ . Then the number of blue cliques containing  $v$  is at most  $\binom{r-2}{k-1} \left(\frac{n}{r}\right)^{k-1} + \binom{r-2}{k-2} \left(\frac{n}{r}\right)^{k-2} cn + \binom{r-2}{k-3} \left(\frac{n}{r}\right)^{k-3} cn^2$ , contradiction to **(i)** if  $c$  is small enough.

It is left to show that each red edge is between vertices in  $B$ . Assume that  $u \notin B$  and  $uv$  is a red edge. Let us change its color to blue. We will find more than one new blue  $k$ -clique greedily. We can assume without loss of generality that  $u \in A_1$  and  $v$  is in either  $A_1$  or in  $A_2$ . Let us observe that  $u$  and  $v$  have at least  $cn - \varepsilon(2r^k + 2)n$  common neighbors in  $G_{blue}$  inside  $V_3$ , we pick one of them. These three vertices have at least  $cn - 2\varepsilon(2r^k + 2)n$  common neighbors in  $G_{blue}$  inside  $V_4$ , we pick one of them, and so on. We can pick  $k$  vertices if  $cn - (k-2)\varepsilon(2r^k + 2)n > 0$ , which holds if  $\varepsilon$  is small enough. Clearly we can pick more than one blue  $k$ -clique this way, completing the proof of **(ii)**.  $\blacksquare$

Theorem 1.3 is easily implied by **(ii)** of Theorem 1.7, since in an  $F$ -free  $n$ -vertex blue-red graph, the number of blue  $k$ -cliques is at most  $\text{ex}(n, K_k, F)$ , while the number of red edges inside  $B$  is  $O(1)$ . Theorem 1.4 is also implied by **(ii)** of Theorem 1.7, since a color-critical vertex means that  $\sigma(F) = 1$ , thus  $|B| = 0$ , hence there are no red edges.

Let us continue with the proof of Theorem 1.5. Recall that it states that if  $\chi(F) > k$  and  $k \leq 4$  or if  $\chi(F)$  is sufficiently large, then Conjecture 1.2 holds.

*Proof of Theorem 1.5.* Let  $\chi(F) = r + 1$ . We will use Lemma 1.1. Let  $G$  be a blue-red  $F$ -free graph with  $g(G) = \text{ex}^{col}(n, F)$ . Assume that there is a red edge  $uv$  in  $G$  and apply now **(ii)** of Theorem 1.7. We obtain a partition of  $V(G)$  to  $A_1, \dots, A_r$  with  $|A_i| = (1 + o(1))n/r$  such that there are  $o(n)$  edges inside parts, and there is a set  $B$  of vertices with  $|B| = o(n)$  such that each vertex outside  $B$  is adjacent to all but  $o(n)$  vertices in each other part.

Assume that  $u$  and  $v$  have  $\Omega(n)$  common neighbors in at least  $k-2$  of the sets  $A_1, \dots, A_r$ , say  $A_1, \dots, A_{k-2}$ . Then at least  $\Omega(n)$  of those vertices are not in  $B$ , we will use only those vertices. We pick a common neighbor in  $A_1 \setminus B$ , then it has  $\Omega(n)$  common neighbor with  $u$  and  $v$  in  $A_2$ . Therefore, we can pick a common neighbor in  $A_2 \setminus B$ , and so on. The resulting cliques do not contain any vertex of  $B$ , thus by turning  $uv$  blue, we obtain multiple blue  $k$ -cliques, thus  $g(G)$  increases, a contradiction.

We obtained that  $u$  and  $v$  have  $\Omega(n)$  common neighbors in at most  $k-3$  of the sets  $A_i$ , say  $A_1, \dots, A_{k-3}$ . In the remaining  $r - k + 3$  sets  $A_i$ , they have  $o(n)$  common neighbors, thus at least one of them, say  $u$  has at most  $(1 + o(1))(r - k + 3)n/2r$  neighbors in  $A_{k-2}, \dots, A_r$ . Consider now the number of blue  $k$ -cliques containing  $u$ . There are  $o(n^{k-1})$  blue  $k$ -cliques that contain  $u$  and an edge inside an  $A_i$  that is not incident to  $u$ . Therefore, we can focus on those blue  $k$ -cliques that contain  $u$ , and the other  $k-1$  vertices are from different parts.

Let  $K$  be such a blue  $k$ -clique and assume that  $K$  contains  $i$  vertices from  $A_1, \dots, A_{k-3}$ . There are at most  $(1 + o(1)) \binom{k-3}{i} \left(\frac{n}{r}\right)^i$  ways to pick such an  $i$ -set. For the remaining  $k-1-i$  vertices of  $K$ , we have to pick one neighbor of  $u$  from  $k-1-i$  of the remaining  $r-k+3$  sets, and in total  $u$  has  $(1 + o(1))(r - k + 3)n/2r$  neighbors in those sets. Then the number of  $(k-1-i)$ -cliques is at most  $\text{ex}((1 + o(1))(r - k + 3)n/2r, K_{k-1-i}, K_{r-k+4})$ . A theorem

of Zykov [23] states that  $\text{ex}(n, K_s, K_t) = \mathcal{N}(K_s, T(n, t-1)) = (1 + o(1)) \binom{t-1}{s} \left(\frac{n}{t-1}\right)^s$ , thus there are at most  $(1 + o(1)) \binom{r-k+3}{k-i-1} \left(\frac{n}{2r}\right)^{k-1-i}$  ways to pick the  $(k-1-i)$ -clique.

We apply **(i)** of Theorem 1.7, thus we know that each vertex  $v$  is in at least  $(1 + o(1)) \binom{r-1}{k-1} \left(\frac{n}{r}\right)^{k-1}$  blue  $k$ -cliques. Therefore,

$$(1 + o(1)) \sum_{i=0}^{k-3} \binom{k-3}{i} \left(\frac{n}{r}\right)^i \binom{r-k+3}{k-i-1} \left(\frac{n}{2r}\right)^{k-1-i} \geq (1 + o(1)) \binom{r-1}{k-1} \left(\frac{n}{r}\right)^{k-1}.$$

This holds only if

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-3} \binom{k-3}{i} \binom{r-k+3}{k-i-1} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k-1-i} \geq \binom{r-1}{k-1}. \quad (1)$$

If  $k = 3$ , then  $i = 0$  and  $\binom{r}{k-1}/4 \geq \binom{r-1}{k-1}$ , a contradiction.

If  $k = 4$ , then (1) gives  $\binom{r-1}{3} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^3 + \binom{r-1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \geq \binom{r-1}{3}$ . If  $r \geq 6$ , then  $\binom{r-1}{2} \leq \binom{r-1}{3}$ , thus  $\binom{r-1}{3} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^3 + \binom{r-1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \leq \binom{r-1}{3} \left(\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{4}\right) < \binom{r-1}{3}$ , a contradiction. If  $r = 5$  or  $r = 4$ , then one can easily obtain a contradiction as well. This completes the proof of **(i)**.

There are several other pairs  $(k, r)$  when we could obtain a contradiction a similar way. However, if  $k = r$ , the left hand side has a term  $\binom{k-3}{k-4}/8$ . If  $k \geq 11$ , then this term alone is larger than the right hand side, thus we do not have a contradiction in general. In fact, one can easily see that for  $k = r = 5$  we do not obtain any contradiction. On the other hand, if  $k$  is fixed and  $r$  grows, there is only one term on the left hand side of (1) of order  $r^{k-1}$ , and it is  $r^{k-1}/2^{k-1}(k-1)!$ . Since the leading term on the right hand side is  $r^{k-1}/(k-1)!$ , we obtain a contradiction for  $r$  large enough, proving **(ii)**.  $\blacksquare$

Let us continue with the proof of Theorem 1.6 that we restate here for convenience.

**Theorem. (i)** *Let us assume that  $F$  consists of  $s$  components with chromatic number  $r+1$ , each with a color-critical edge, and any number of components with chromatic number at most  $r$ . Then  $\text{ex}_k(n, \text{Berge-}F) = \mathcal{N}(K_k, K_{s-1} + T(n-s+1, r))$ .*

**(ii)**  $\text{ex}_k(n, \text{Berge-}B_{r+1,1}) = \mathcal{N}(K_k, T^+(n, r))$ .

The corresponding generalized Turán results are proved in [6] and we will extend the proofs from there. We omit some details. We remark that in [6], the proof of the statement  $\text{ex}(n, K_k, F) = \mathcal{N}(K_k, K_{s-1} + T(n-s+1, r))$  shows a bit more: if an  $n$ -vertex  $F$ -free graph  $G$  is not a subgraph of  $K_{s-1} + T(n-s+1, r)$ , then it contains  $\mathcal{N}(K_k, K_{s-1} + T(n-s+1, r)) - \Omega(n^{k-1})$  copies of  $K_k$ . This immediately implies for us that  $G_{\text{blue}}$  is a subgraph of  $K_{s-1} + T(n-s+1, r)$ . Changing any blue edge in  $K_{s-1} + T(n-s+1, r)$  to red destroys  $\Theta(n^{k-2})$  copies of  $K_k$ , thus it decreases  $g(G)$ . This gives an alternative proof of **(i)** of Theorem 1.6.

*Proof.* We start with proving **(i)**. Let  $G$  be a blue-red  $F$ -free graph with  $g(G) = \text{ex}^{\text{col}}(n, F)$ . We apply **(ii)** of Theorem 1.7. Assume first that there are  $s$  independent edges  $u_1v_1, \dots, u_s v_s$  inside the parts such that for each  $i$ , at least one of  $u_i$  and  $v_i$  are not in  $B$ . Observe that  $u_i$

and  $v_i$  have  $\Omega(n)$  common neighbors in each part besides the one containing them. Using this, we can easily extend each edge to an  $(r + 1)$ -chromatic component of  $F$ , where  $u_i v_i$  plays the role of a color-critical edge. We can also find the other components to obtain a copy of  $F$  in  $G$ , a contradiction.

If  $|B| \geq s$ , then we can find  $s$  distinct vertices among their neighbors not in  $B$ , resulting in the contradiction. By similar reasoning, there are no  $s - |B|$  independent edges inside parts but outside  $B$ . Therefore, the edges inside parts that are not incident to any vertex of  $B$  form at most  $s - 1 - |B|$  stars plus  $O(1)$  further edges. Since the vertices outside  $B$  are incident to  $o(n)$  edges inside parts, there are  $o(n^{k-1})$   $k$ -cliques containing such a vertex. This implies that deleting all the edges inside parts that are not incident to  $B$ , we lose  $o(n^{|V(H)|-1})$  copies of  $H$ . If  $|B| < s - 1$ , then we can add a vertex to  $B$  creating  $\Theta(n^{|V(H)|-1})$  copies of  $H$ , a contradiction. We obtained that  $|B| = s - 1$  and then there is no edge inside parts but outside  $B$ . This implies that  $G$  is a subgraph of  $K_{s-1} + T(n - s + 1, r)$ , completing the proof.  $\blacksquare$

**Funding:** Research supported by the National Research, Development and Innovation Office - NKFIH under the grants SNN 129364, FK 132060, and KKP-133819.

## References

- [1] N. Alon, C. Shikhelman. Many  $T$  copies in  $H$ -free graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, **121**, 146–172, 2016.
- [2] J. I. Brown, A. Sidorenko. The inducibility of complete bipartite graphs. *Journal of Graph Theory*, **18**(6), 629–645, 1994.
- [3] Z. Füredi, A. Kostochka, R. Luo. Avoiding long Berge cycles, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B* **137**, 55–64, 2019.
- [4] D. Gerbner. Counting multiple graphs in generalized Turán problems. *arXiv preprint* arXiv:2007.11645, 2020.
- [5] D. Gerbner. Some stability and exact results in generalized Turán problems. *arXiv preprint* arXiv:2204.04600, 2022.
- [6] D. Gerbner. Some exact results for non-degenerate generalized Turán problems. *arXiv preprint* arXiv:2209.03426, 2022.
- [7] D. Gerbner. Rainbow copies of  $F$  in families of  $H$ . *arXiv preprint* arXiv:2211.01565, 2022.
- [8] D. Gerbner, A. Methuku, C. Palmer. General lemmas for Berge-Turán hypergraph problems. *European Journal of Combinatorics* **86**, Article 103082, 2020.

- [9] D. Gerbner, C. Palmer, Extremal Results for Berge hypergraphs. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, **31**, 2314–2327, 2017.
- [10] D. Gerbner, C. Palmer. Counting copies of a fixed subgraph in  $F$ -free graphs. *European Journal of Combinatorics* **82** (2019) Article 103001.
- [11] D. Gerbner, C. Palmer. Some exact results for generalized Turán problems. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, **103**, 103519, 2022.
- [12] D. Gerbner, B. Patkós. Generalized Turán results for intersecting cliques, *arXiv preprint* arXiv:2101.08094, 2021.
- [13] E. Győri, J. Pach, and M. Simonovits. On the maximal number of certain subgraphs in  $K_r$ -free graphs. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, **7**(1), 31–37, 1991.
- [14] Doudou Hei, Xinmin Hou, Boyuan Liu, Some exact results of the generalized Turán numbers for paths, *arXiv preprint* arXiv:2112.14895, 2021.
- [15] B. Lidický, K. Murphy. Maximizing five-cycles in  $K_r$ -free graphs. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 97, 103367, 2021.
- [16] H. Liu, O. Pikhurko, M. Sharifzadeh, and K. Staden. Stability from graph symmetrisation arguments with applications to inducibility. *arXiv preprint* arXiv:2012.10731, 2020.
- [17] J. Ma, Y. Qiu, Some sharp results on the generalized Turán numbers. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, **84**, 103026, 2018.
- [18] D. Mubayi, J. Verstraëte. A survey of Turán problems for expansions. *Recent Trends in Combinatorics*, 117–143, 2016.
- [19] O. Pikhurko. Exact computation of the hypergraph Turán function for expanded complete 2-graphs, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, **103**(2) 220–225, 2013.
- [20] M. Simonovits. A method for solving extremal problems in graph theory, stability problems. *Theory of Graphs, Proc. Colloq., Tihany, 1966, Academic Press, New York*, 279–319, 1968.
- [21] M. Simonovits. Extremal graph problems with symmetrical extremal graphs. Additional chromatic conditions, *Discrete Math.* **7**, 349–376, 1974.
- [22] P. Turán. Egy gráfelméleti szélsőértékfeladatról. *Mat. Fiz. Lapok*, **48**, 436–452, 1941.
- [23] A. A. Zykov. On some properties of linear complexes. *Matematicheskii Sbornik*, **66**(2), 163–188, 1949.