

A physically realizable molecular motor driven by the Landauer blowtorch effect

Riley J. Preston

Institute of Physics, University of Freiburg, Hermann-Herder-Strasse 3, 79104 Freiburg, Germany

Daniel S. Kosov*

College of Science and Engineering, James Cook University, Townsville, QLD, 4811, Australia

We propose a model for a molecular motor in a molecular electronic junction driven by a natural manifestation of Landauer's blowtorch effect. The effect emerges via the interplay of the electronic friction and diffusion coefficients, each calculated quantum mechanically using nonequilibrium Green's functions, within a semi-classical Langevin description of the rotational dynamics. The motor functionality is analysed through numerical simulations where the rotations exhibit a directional preference according to the intrinsic geometry of the molecular configuration. The proposed mechanism for motor function is expected to be ubiquitous for a range of molecular geometries beyond the one examined here.

I. INTRODUCTION

Experimental demonstrations of molecular motors have used a range of external energy sources such as light [1–5], chemical reactions [6–8], thermal gradients [9], or applied electric currents [10–17], the latter being of particular interest due to its conceptual compatibility with nanoelectronics. With this in mind, this work considers a molecular rotor subject to an applied electric current as supplied by a pair of conducting electrodes. The molecular rotor serves as the main conducting element in a molecular electronic junction, capable of producing mechanical work.

There already exists a wealth of theoretical literature describing such systems whose motor functionalities arise from a range of physical phenomena, including, but not limited to, quantum tunneling [10] and excitation-relaxation [18, 19] processes in asymmetric ratchet potentials, non-Markovian behaviour of the current-induced forces leading to a bias in the directionality [20], and non-conservative forces [21, 22]. However, previous studies have overlooked the possible functionality which can arise due to the inhomogeneous dissipative-excitational current-induced forces present in such systems.

In this paper, we consider a model in which a molecular rotor is driven by the current-induced forces imparted by electrons tunneling through it. These forces provide the required energy to the rotational degree of freedom in order to overcome the potential barrier for rotation. In parallel with previous work [18, 19, 21], we model the rotational degree of freedom classically according to a Keldysh-Langevin approach where its time-evolution is governed by three components; an adiabatic force which sets the shape of the ratchet potential, as well as a dissipative frictional force and a stochastic force, the balance of which yields the steady-state temperature of the classical rotator. Each of these forces, which arise due to the interaction with the quantum nonequilibrium electronic

environment, is calculated self-consistently via nonequilibrium Green's functions.

The directionality of our rotation is a result of - to our knowledge - a hitherto unexplored contribution for motors in molecular junctions, that being a consequence of Landauer's blowtorch effect [23, 24] in the ratchet potential, which emerges via the interplay of the coordinate-dependent diffusion and viscosity coefficients. This phenomena is well-understood in the context of chemical reaction rates [25], whereas here the scope is extended to the study of ratchets. This is in contrast to previous research where the directional rotation comes as a result of the non-conservativity of the adiabatic force [18, 21] - a phenomena which is also easily accessible with our model via an appropriate choice of Hamiltonian, but is not the aim of this study. Driving the motor by the blowtorch effect is of particular interest since the dissipative and stochastic forces generally act to degrade the device performance rather than enforce it [21]. We note that while the effect of inhomogeneous viscosity and diffusion coefficients in ratchets has been explored on a mathematical level [26, 27], here we propose a physically realizable molecular electronic junction in which the effect emerges naturally. This effect does not require an explicit time-dependence of the Hamiltonian as it arises due to the molecular geometry. Additionally, the directional rotation does not require an asymmetric ratchet potential, although such asymmetric potentials can arise from our calculations via the adiabatic force, further reinforcing motor performance. We note that the function of our motor is reliant on the rotational dynamics being sufficiently damped; a regime which is generally fulfilled in molecular electronic junctions since the conducting molecule is usually embedded into an insulating solvent or it is a part of a molecular monolayer.

It has been shown theoretically that a non-zero charge current can be pumped through a quantum system in equilibrium via the periodic variation of two independent parameters [28]. A particularly relevant example is described in Ref.[29], where the coupling of a quantum system to the left and right electrodes each assumes a pe-

* Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed: daniel.kosov@jcu.edu.au

riodic time-dependence. Since in our model the coupling to each lead is implicitly time-dependent through the evolution of the nuclear geometry, this would be equivalent to a constant, manual rotation of our molecular motor with constant angular velocity. We use our model to investigate the converse effect to equilibrium charge shuttling, in which an applied charge current via the nonequilibrium electrodes produces a time-dependent variation of two independent parameters (the coupling to the left and right electrodes) which emerges via the directed rotation of the molecular geometry. Thus, this is an example of an adiabatic quantum motor. We do, however, find that the operational parameter regimes of our molecular motor differ from that of models of equilibrium charge shuttling, which we further discuss in the results section. Our choice of Hamiltonian also mirrors an example demonstrated in Ref. [30], where the rotation is instead considered from a quantum perspective.

II. MODEL

A visualisation of our proposed molecular junction configuration is shown in Fig. 1. We have two planar electrodes bridged by a biphenyl based molecule. The phenyl rings are prepared such that they are displaced by a dihedral angle ϕ from each other - this angle is a constant for a given simulation. The motor effect arises through the angle θ , which represents the uniform rotation of the entire molecular bridge as a rigid body. To produce an observable directionality to the rotations, the vibrations must be adequately damped. We find that the electronically calculated forces are generally insufficient to achieve this regime and so we additionally include an external equilibrium environment; for example, a solvent or molecular monolayer surrounding the junction, which acts to further dampen the classical vibrations. We emphasize that the proposed geometry is merely a physically reasonable suggestion. The proposed motor effect should be ubiquitous in molecular junction geometries provided that there is an asymmetry in the Hamiltonian, in our case arising from the dihedral angle ϕ .

The system is described by a generic tunneling Hamiltonian as per

$$\hat{H}(t) = \hat{H}_M + \hat{H}_L + \hat{H}_R + \hat{H}_{LM}(\theta(t)) + \hat{H}_{MR}(\theta(t)) + H_{cl}(t). \quad (1)$$

The total system Hamiltonian is partitioned into the following components; the molecular Hamiltonian \hat{H}_M for the molecular bridge, the left and right electrodes Hamiltonians \hat{H}_L and \hat{H}_R , the electrodes-molecule coupling Hamiltonians $\hat{H}_{LM}(\theta(t))$ and $\hat{H}_{MR}(\theta(t))$ which describe the coupling between the electronic states on the rotor and the left and right electrodes, respectively, and the classical Hamiltonian $H_{cl}(t)$ which describes the time-evolving molecular geometry. Note that $\hat{H}_{LM}(\theta(t))$ and $\hat{H}_{MR}(\theta(t))$ depend on time implicitly via the classical, rotational degree of freedom θ .




FIG. 1: Schematic of the model system. A biphenyl based molecule (green) connects two graphene electrodes. The molecule represents a rigid rotator. The dihedral angle between the two phenyl rings, which is critical for motor functionality, can be adjusted by the inclusion of appropriate side groups to atoms 1,2,3, and 4. An applied current induces a directional rotation about the red bonds when the dihedral angle between the phenyl rings is non-zero.

The molecular Hamiltonian consists of two conducting electronic levels, each localised on one of the phenyl rings. It then takes the form

$$\hat{H}_M = E_1 \hat{d}_1^\dagger \hat{d}_1 + E_2 \hat{d}_2^\dagger \hat{d}_2 + v(\hat{d}_1^\dagger \hat{d}_2 + \hat{d}_2^\dagger \hat{d}_1). \quad (2)$$

E_1 and E_2 are the energies of the first and second electronic levels, respectively, while v is the hopping amplitude.

The electrodes are described as non-interacting fermionic baths and the Hamiltonian is taken in the standard form,

$$\hat{H}_L + \hat{H}_R = \sum_{k\alpha} \epsilon_{k\alpha} \hat{d}_{k\alpha}^\dagger \hat{d}_{k\alpha}, \quad (3)$$

where we use a subscript $k\alpha$ to denote an operator acting on state k in the α electrode which has energy $\epsilon_{k\alpha}$.

The molecule-electrode coupling, \hat{H}_{LM} and \hat{H}_{MR} , are defined according to

$$\hat{H}_{LM} = \sum_{k \in L} \left(t_{k1}(\theta(t)) \hat{d}_k^\dagger \hat{d}_1 + \text{h.c.} \right), \quad (4)$$

$$\hat{H}_{MR} = \sum_{k \in R} \left(t_{k2}(\theta(t) + \phi) \hat{d}_k^\dagger \hat{d}_2 + \text{h.c.} \right). \quad (5)$$

The matrix elements t_{ki} (and their conjugates) describe the tunneling amplitudes between electrode states k and the molecular bridge states i , where state 1 is only coupled to the left electrode and state 2 is only coupled to the right electrode. Note that t_{ki} depends explicitly on the classical rotational coordinate θ . We choose to express $t_{k\alpha,i}(\theta) = t_{k\alpha,i} s_\alpha(\theta)$, where the classical dependence emerges through $s_\alpha(\theta)$, which takes the following

forms for the left and right electrodes:

$$s_L = 1 + \frac{A}{2} (\cos(2\theta) - 1), \quad (6)$$

$$s_R = 1 + \frac{A}{2} (\cos(2(\theta + \phi)) - 1). \quad (7)$$

With this dependence, the coupling amplitude is maximised when a phenyl ring is coplanar with its corresponding electrode and minimised when the phenyl ring is orthogonal to the electrode with a magnitude of $1 - A$ times the maximum value. This dependence of the tunneling amplitudes on the rotational angle can be realized physically using graphene electrodes, where the rotation of the molecular bridge out of the electrode plane lowers π -conjugation, reducing the corresponding tunneling amplitude.

Finally, the classical Hamiltonian is given by a rigid rotator expression,

$$H_{\text{cl}}(t) = \frac{L^2}{2I} + U_{\text{cl}}(\theta), \quad (8)$$

where L is the angular momentum of the molecular geometry, I is the moment of inertia and $U_{\text{cl}}(\theta)$ is the classical potential for the rotation. In our calculations we set $U_{\text{cl}}(\theta) = 0$, such that the rotational potential results entirely from the interaction with the electronic environment, calculated quantum mechanically. In any case, the inclusion of a non-zero classical potential will not have a qualitative difference on the observed motor effect.

III. CURRENT-INDUCED TORQUE AND "BLOWTORCH" TEMPERATURE

The operator for the torque acting on the classical rotational coordinate due to the quantum, electronic environment is given by

$$\hat{\tau} = -\partial_\theta \hat{H}(t) = -\sum_{k\alpha, i} \left[\partial_\theta t_{k\alpha i}(\theta) \hat{d}_{k\alpha}^\dagger \hat{d}_i + h.c. \right], \quad (9)$$

where ∂_θ is the partial derivative with respect to θ . The summation in the above runs over both electrodes, $\alpha \in \{L, R\}$, and both molecular electronic states, $i \in \{1, 2\}$. The torque operator is then expressed in terms of a mean term and a deviation from the mean,

$$\hat{\tau} = \langle \hat{\tau} \rangle + \delta \hat{\tau}, \quad (10)$$

where each can be quantified in terms of nonequilibrium Green's functions. As is covered in detail in the appendix, a time-scale separation between the slow classical rotation of the rotor and the fast electron tunneling allows for a perturbative expansion of the mean torque in terms of the small parameter \hbar - the derivative with respect to central time in the molecular bridge Green's functions. The perturbative expansion is

$$\langle \hat{\tau} \rangle = \tau_{(0)}(\theta) + \tau_{(1)}(\theta, \dot{\theta}) + \dots, \quad (11)$$

where $\tau_{(n)}$ is of n^{th} order in the central time derivatives. We truncate the expansion after the first order. We calculate a conservative potential according to

$$U = -\int_{\theta_0}^{\theta} d\theta' \tau_{(0)}(\theta'), \quad (12)$$

where the choice of θ_0 is arbitrary. Equation (12) entirely defines the ratchet potential for our rotational coordinate due to the electronic environment. Finally, the torque operator is then mapped onto a classical torque such that we obtain a classical equation of motion for the rotational coordinate. It takes the form of a Langevin equation,

$$I\ddot{\theta} = \tau_{(0)}(\theta) - (\xi_{\text{solv}} + \xi(\theta))\dot{\theta} + \delta\tau(t), \quad (13)$$

where $\xi(\theta)$, calculated via $\tau_{(1)}$, is the electronic friction coefficient while ξ_{solv} is the friction due to the interaction with an external solvent. $\delta\tau(t)$ is a classical stochastic force quantified according to a diffusion coefficient, $D_{\text{tot}} = D(\theta) + D_{\text{solv}}$, where the electronic part is defined according to

$$\langle \delta\tau(t) \delta\tau(t') \rangle = D(\theta) \delta(t - t'). \quad (14)$$

Each of the electronic forces, $\tau_{(0)}(\theta)$, $\xi(\theta)$, and $D(\theta)$, are calculated quantum mechanically via nonequilibrium Green's functions while the forces due to interaction with the external solvent, ξ_{solv} and D_{solv} , are input parameters to the model which allow us to artificially increase the damping of the dynamics. We have applied the white-noise approximation in calculating the electronic part of the diffusion coefficient which is justified due to the clear separation of time-scales between the electronic and classical dynamics [31]. The same cannot be said for the external damping, whose dynamics may occur on similar time-scales to the classical rotations. However, the operation of our motor is governed chiefly by the behaviour of the electronic component and as such, we predict that a more accurate approach to the modelling of the external solvent is not important for the observed motor effect. In analogy with the fluctuation-dissipation theorem, we can define an effective "blowtorch" temperature for the classical rotation according to [25, 32-34]

$$k_B T_{\text{eff}}(\theta) = \frac{D(\theta) + D_{\text{solv}}}{2(\xi(\theta) + \xi_{\text{solv}})}. \quad (15)$$

Expressions for the diffusion coefficient, viscosity and average torque in terms of nonequilibrium Green's functions along with relevant derivations are given in the appendix.

IV. RESULTS

We now present results for our model system. Results are acquired via computational simulations of the Langevin dynamics produced by our model according to (13). From long Langevin trajectories in time, we calculate the average rotation rate for the classical rotational




FIG. 2: U calculated according to (12) with $k_B T_{\text{eff}}$ overlaid on top for different voltages. The nonhomogeneous temperature with local hot spots is the manifestation of the Landauer blowtorch effect. Dihedral angle between phenyl rings: $\phi = -\pi/4$.

degree of freedom for a chosen set of parameters. The common parameters for all calculations, unless otherwise specified, are as follows. The electrode temperatures are set such that $k_B T_\alpha \approx 2.72 \times 10^{-2} \text{eV}$, and the solvent is in thermal equilibrium with the electrodes with a corresponding viscosity coefficient of $\xi_{\text{solv}} = 5 \text{ a.u.}$. The moment of inertia of the classical rotational coordinate is approximated according to two phenyl rings as $I = 4.5 \times 10^5 \text{ a.u.}$. We use the wide-band approximation, and express the level broadening as

$$\alpha = \max_{\alpha} s_{\alpha}^2(\theta), \quad (16)$$

where the maximum level broadenings \max_{α} are input parameters in our calculations. We take the maximum level broadenings due to the left and right electrodes, respectively, as $\max_L \approx 0.272 \text{eV}$ and $\max_R = \max_L/2$. For the molecular Hamiltonian, we take $E_1 = E_2 = 0$ while the hopping amplitude is given by $v = 1.25 \text{eV}$. We apply the voltage, \mathcal{V} , symmetrically in all cases such that $\mu_L = -\mu_R$. Finally, we take $A = 0.95$, such that the Hamiltonian coupling element when the phenyl ring is perpendicular to the electrode is 5% of the corresponding coplanar value.

In Fig. 2, we observe the periodic ratchet potentials generated for a range of voltages along with the corresponding inhomogeneous effective temperatures overlaid on top. At equilibrium, the rotational coordinate is in thermal equilibrium with the electrodes and solvent. Of principal importance are the energies of the molecular orbitals which are $\pm 1.25 \text{eV}$ for our parameters, which are off-resonant when $\mathcal{V} < 2.5 \text{V}$. Increasing the voltage in the off-resonant regime - exemplified by the $\mathcal{V} = 2 \text{V}$ case - increases the height of the energy barrier for rotation while the temperature of the rotational coordinate differs




FIG. 3: U calculated according to (12) with $k_B T_{\text{eff}}$ overlaid on top for different dihedral angle between phenyl rings ϕ . Voltage $\mathcal{V} = 5 \text{V}$.

only slightly from equilibrium. Conversely, in the resonant regime when $\mathcal{V} > 2.5 \text{V}$, the inhomogeneous temperature as a function of θ yields clear periodic hot-spots which we refer to as the blowtorch. Further increasing the voltage magnifies these hotspots while decreasing the energy barrier for rotation. The value of $\phi = -\pi/4$ was chosen specifically here to illustrate a situation in which a periodic blowtorch increases the probability for the forwards rotation (increasing θ). This is because the effects of the potential gradient are nullified in the region where the blowtorch is applied, resulting in an effective decrease to the barrier for rotation in the forwards direction [25]. We also observe numerically that our Langevin coefficients are independent of the sign of the voltage. Thus, the rotational direction must also be independent of the sign of the voltage. In other words, our mechanism for the rotation of the molecular structure is independent of the direction of electron tunneling through the junction. If we take this to be true, this then justifies our decision to have $\max_L \neq \max_R$, since otherwise the symmetry of the system would prevent any non-zero average rotation.

We additionally observe the dependence on ϕ in Fig. 3. When $\phi = 0$ and the two phenyl rings are coplanar, the ratchet potential and corresponding effective temperature distribution are symmetric, ruling out any possible rotation as is to be expected. Upon comparing $\phi = \pi/4$ with $\phi = -\pi/4$, corresponding to opposite chiralities of the molecular bridge, we observe the dependence on θ to be flipped such that we should observe equal and opposite average rotation rates - a result which we observe directly in Fig. 4. The case of $\phi = 1.3$ was chosen to highlight the possibility of deformation to the potential which can have a significant effect on the rotation rate.

We now turn to numerical simulations of the dynamics. In Fig. 4, we observe the average rotation rate, R , over a trajectory as a function of ϕ . The rotations go to zero




FIG. 4: The rotation rate, R , as a function of dihedral angle between phenyl rings ϕ . Each R point is calculated via averaging over a trajectory with a length of $\approx 1.6 \times 10^6$ ns. Voltage $\mathcal{V} = 5V$.

when $\phi = 0$ and $\pm\pi/2$, as is to be expected from symmetry arguments. We find that $R(-\phi) = -R(\phi)$ as expected from the previous discussion. Short example trajectories of the rotational coordinate as a function of time are plotted for different values of ϕ in Fig. 5, for the readers intuition.

In Ref. [29], equilibrium charge shuttling was shown to be maximised when $\phi = \pm\pi/4$; a result which we can readily reproduce by applying a manual rotation to θ such that it increases or decreases linearly with time. We find here that the rotation rate due to an applied voltage follows a similar trend, reaching a minimum/maximum at $\phi = \pm\pi/4$. However, we observe a deviation from this behaviour around $\phi = \pm 1.3$ due to the rapid current-induced deformation of the ratchet potential. We also note that equilibrium charge shuttling can even be observed even when $\frac{\max}{L} = \frac{\max}{R}$, a regime in which we do not observe a net rotation by applying a voltage since our mechanism for rotation is independent of the direction of the current. In contrast, models for equilibrium charge pumping show that the produced current is *reversed* upon reversing the rotation of the molecular configuration [29]. We find that the direction of rotation in our model is determined by the choice of ϕ as well as the choices of $\frac{\max}{L}$ and $\frac{\max}{R}$. We have arbitrarily chosen $\frac{\max}{L} > \frac{\max}{R}$ to produce the displayed results. If we instead choose $\frac{\max}{R} > \frac{\max}{L}$, the observed rotational directions are reversed - a result we have observed numerically but not shown here.

Fig. 6 demonstrates the voltage dependence of the rotational rate. We observe negligible rotation in the off-resonant regime when $\mathcal{V} < 2.5V$. In the resonant regime, the average rotation rate increases approximately linearly due to the increasing magnitude of the applied blowtorch with increasing voltage along with the lowering of the energy barrier required for rotation. For even higher volt-




FIG. 5: Short time trajectories of the rotational angle θ (expressed here in terms of the number of revolutions) for different values of the dihedral angle ϕ . Voltage $\mathcal{V} = 5V$.




FIG. 6: The rotation rate, R , as a function of voltage \mathcal{V} . Each R point is calculated via averaging over a trajectory with a length of $\approx 1.6 \times 10^6$ ns. Dihedral angle $\phi = -\pi/4$.

ages, we expect that the rotation rate would begin decreasing back towards zero since the large effective temperatures will overwhelm the potential entirely, removing any directional preference. This, however, would occur beyond the realms of physically achievable voltages for our model.

The function of our molecular motor requires sufficient damping - a regime we achieve via the inclusion of an external solvent to the system. In Fig. 7, we observe the dependence of the rotation rate on the moment of inertia of the molecular configuration, where $I \approx 1.15 \times 10^{-45} \text{kgm}^2$ is the physically reasonable value corresponding to our chosen molecular configuration. In the overdamped case




FIG. 7: The rotation rate R , and directionality, Dir , as a function of the moment of inertia of the classical rotational coordinate. The final point on each plot corresponds to our usual choice of I for two phenyl rings. The trajectory length was chosen for each value of I to ensure convergence of the results. Dihedral angle $\phi = -\pi/4$, voltage $\mathcal{V} = 5V$.

where I is unrealistically small, the rotation rate is orders of magnitude larger than for realistic values for I . The rotation rate asymptotically decreases towards zero with increasing moment of inertia, where in the underdamped case, the preference of a given direction will become vanishingly small. As an additional insight, we define the directionality according to

$$Dir = \frac{n_{\text{forw}}}{n_{\text{forw}} + n_{\text{back}}}, \quad (17)$$

where n_{forw} and n_{back} are the number of forward and backward rotations over the full length of the trajectory. $Dir = 1$ would correspond to a trajectory in which the molecular motor rotates unidirectionally forwards. For the physically realistic value of I , 50.68% of all rotations are forwards. This directionality is far smaller than what has been demonstrated for motors governed chiefly by quantum effects [10].

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have proposed an experimentally realizable model for a molecular motor in a molecular electronic junction whose operation is governed by Landauer's blowtorch effect. This contrasts with other theoretical models for molecular motors which generally disregard the inhomogeneous temperature of the electronic environment induced by the nonequilibrium electrodes. We have demonstrated that directional rotations can be produced entirely as a result of the behaviour of the viscosity and diffusion coefficients - these are exerted by

tunneling quantum electrons on the classical rotator and calculated exactly via nonequilibrium Green's functions - while the rotational potential is periodic and subsequently introduces no intrinsic directionality of its own. This effect is, however, limited to regimes where the rotations are sufficiently damped and we anticipate that the small electronic friction alone will not be enough to produce a non-negligible rotational preference, hence our choice to additionally include an external solvent which increases the dampening of the rotation.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that supports the findings of this study are available within the article.

Appendix A: Torque, Rotational Viscosity and Diffusion Coefficient in Terms of Nonequilibrium Green's functions

We use the standard definitions for the lesser $G_{ij}^<(t, t')$, greater $G_{ij}^>(t, t')$, retarded $G_{ij}^R(t, t')$ and advanced $G_{ij}^A(t, t')$ components of the electronic Green's functions in our derivations. Expressing the torque operator in the Heisenberg picture, we compute the average torque as

$$\langle \hat{\tau} \rangle = i \sum_{k\alpha i} [\partial_{\theta} t_{k\alpha i}(\theta) G_{ik\alpha}^<(t, t) + \partial_{\theta} t_{ik\alpha}(\theta) G_{k\alpha i}^<(t, t)]. \quad (\text{A1})$$

This torque is computed for the exact, nonadiabatic Green's functions.

We now perform a perturbative expansion of the mean torque given in (A1). It is a mathematical convenience to perform this expansion under a Wigner transformation of the time since it allows for the easy recognition of different time-scales within the system. The Wigner time coordinates are defined according to

$$T = \frac{t + t'}{2}, \quad \tau = t - t', \quad (\text{A2})$$

where T is the central time, associated with the long time-scales of classical vibration and τ is the relative time, related to electronic tunneling. Thus, in our theory the small parameter naturally emerges via derivatives with respect to T . We introduce an auxiliary two-time function,

$$\mathcal{T}(t, t') = i \sum_{k\alpha i} [\partial_{\theta} t_{k\alpha i}(\theta(t')) G_{ik\alpha}^<(t, t') + \partial_{\theta} t_{ik\alpha}(\theta(t)) G_{k\alpha i}^<(t, t')], \quad (\text{A3})$$

where $\mathcal{T}(t, t') = \langle \hat{\tau}(t) \rangle$. Next, the Green's functions spanning both the electrode and molecular space can be decomposed via the Dyson equation

$$G_{k\alpha i}^<(t, t') = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_1 \sum_j [g_{k\alpha}^<(t, t_1) t_{k\alpha j}(t_1) G_{ji}^A(t_1, t') + g_{k\alpha}^R(t, t_1) t_{k\alpha j}(t_1) G_{ji}^<(t_1, t')], \quad (\text{A4})$$

where $g_{k\alpha}(t, t_1)$ is the free Green's function for electrode α . The resultant equation for $\mathcal{T}(t, t')$ is

$$\mathcal{T}(t, t') = i \sum_{ij} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_1 [G_{ij}^<(t, t_1) G_{ji}^A(t_1, t') + G_{ij}^R(t, t_1) G_{ji}^<(t_1, t') + G_{ij}^<(t, t_1) G_{ji}^A(t_1, t') + G_{ij}^R(t, t_1) G_{ji}^<(t_1, t')]. \quad (\text{A5})$$

Here we have introduced the self-energy-like terms, \tilde{G}_{ij}^c and \tilde{G}_{ij}^c , which contain any information about the coupling to the electrodes. These are defined as ($c = <, >, R, A$)

$$\tilde{G}_{ij}^c(t, t') = \sum_{k\alpha} \partial_{\theta} t_{ik\alpha}(\theta(t)) g_{k\alpha}^c(t, t') t_{k\alpha j}(\theta(t')), \quad (\text{A6})$$

$$\tilde{G}_{ij}^c(t, t') = \sum_{k\alpha} t_{ik\alpha}(\theta(t)) g_{k\alpha}^c(t, t') \partial_{\theta} t_{k\alpha j}(\theta(t')). \quad (\text{A7})$$

Application of the Wigner transform to (A5) results in

$$\int d\tau e^{i\omega\tau} \mathcal{T}(t, t') = \text{Tr} \left\{ i e^{\frac{1}{2i}\lambda(\partial_T^G \partial_{\omega}^{\Phi} - \partial_{\omega}^G \partial_T^{\Phi})} \left(\tilde{G}^< \tilde{G}^A + \tilde{G}^R \tilde{G}^< \right) + i e^{\frac{1}{2i}\lambda(\partial_T^{\Psi} \partial_{\omega}^G - \partial_{\omega}^{\Psi} \partial_T^G)} \left(\tilde{G}^< \tilde{G}^A + \tilde{G}^R \tilde{G}^< \right) \right\}, \quad (\text{A8})$$

where we use \tilde{G} to denote the Wigner transform of G , defined as

$$\tilde{G}(T, \omega) = \int d\tau e^{i\omega\tau} G(T, \tau), \quad (\text{A9})$$

and the same applies for the self-energy-like terms. Functions in the Wigner space carry dependence on T and ω which we subdue for brevity. We now propose the ansatzes,

$$\tilde{G} = \tilde{G}_{(0)} + \lambda \tilde{G}_{(1)} + \lambda^2 \tilde{G}_{(2)} + \dots, \quad (\text{A10})$$

$$\tilde{\tau} = \tilde{\tau}_{(0)} + \lambda \tilde{\tau}_{(1)} + \lambda^2 \tilde{\tau}_{(2)} + \dots, \quad (\text{A11})$$

$$\tilde{\tau} = \tilde{\tau}_{(0)} + \lambda \tilde{\tau}_{(1)} + \lambda^2 \tilde{\tau}_{(2)} + \dots, \quad (\text{A12})$$

in which $\tilde{G}_{(n)}$ is of n^{th} order in our small parameter, and the same applies to $\tilde{\tau}$ and $\tilde{\tau}$. Terms with $n = 0$ correspond to the adiabatic approximation, while the higher order terms go beyond this and account for the dynamical corrections due to molecular rotations. We use λ in the above as a book-keeping term which makes clear the "smallness" of the term in question. For example, a term proportional to λ will be first order in our small parameter, and so on. We let $\lambda = 1$ at the end of the derivation.

We substitute these expansions into (A8) and consider each order of λ separately. In the adiabatic case, we retain only the $n = 0$ terms from (A10)-(A12) while the exponentials in (A8) disappear, resulting in

$$\int d\tau e^{i\omega\tau} \mathcal{T}_{(0)}(t, t') = i \text{Tr} \left\{ \tilde{G}_{(0)}^< \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^A + \tilde{G}_{(0)}^R \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^< + \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^< \tilde{G}_{(0)}^A + \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^R \tilde{G}_{(0)}^< \right\}, \quad (\text{A13})$$

where we have let $\lambda = 1$. We then apply the inverse Wigner transform and let $\tau = 0$ which yields

$$\tau_{(0)} = - \int \frac{d\omega}{\pi} \text{ImTr} \left\{ \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^< \tilde{G}_{(0)}^A + \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^R \tilde{G}_{(0)}^< \right\}. \quad (\text{A14})$$

We use ImTr to denote the imaginary part of the trace, where we have used the fact that $(X^<)^{\dagger} = -X^<$ and $(X^A)^{\dagger} = X^R$ for an arbitrary term X . (A14) specifies the adiabatic torque.

We now consider the first-order non-adiabatic correction to the average torque. This is found by retaining the first-order terms in (A8), which are linear in λ . With some work, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{(1)} = & -\frac{1}{\pi} \int d\omega \text{ImTr} \left\{ \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^R \tilde{G}_{(1)}^< + \tilde{\tau}_{(1)}^< \tilde{G}_{(0)}^A + \tilde{\tau}_{(1)}^R \tilde{G}_{(0)}^< + \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^< \tilde{G}_{(1)}^A \right\} \\ & + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\omega \text{ReTr} \left\{ \partial_T \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^< \partial_\omega \tilde{G}_{(0)}^A + \partial_T \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^R \partial_\omega \tilde{G}_{(0)}^< - \partial_\omega \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^< \partial_T \tilde{G}_{(0)}^A - \partial_\omega \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^R \partial_T \tilde{G}_{(0)}^< \right\}, \quad (\text{A15}) \end{aligned}$$

where ReTr denotes the real part of the trace. We find that $\tau_{(1)}$ is proportional to $\dot{\theta}$ and as a result, it can be alternately expressed as

$$\tau_{(1)} = -\xi(\theta)\dot{\theta}, \quad (\text{A16})$$

where ξ is the electronic viscosity coefficient. Thus, (A15) denotes the dissipative frictional torque.

The fluctuations about the average torque are treated as a Gaussian stochastic variable which is quantified entirely by its first two moments:

$$\langle \delta\hat{\tau}(t) \rangle = \mathbf{0}, \quad \langle \delta\hat{\tau}(t) \delta\hat{\tau}(t') \rangle = D\delta(t - t'), \quad (\text{A17})$$

where D is the electronic diffusion coefficient which we aim to find an expression for. Note that we have taken the white-noise approximation such that the stochastic force is delta-correlated.

Here, we provide a final expression for D , while the derivation follows Ref. [33]:

$$\begin{aligned} D(\theta) = & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\omega \text{Tr} \left\{ \tilde{G}_{(0)}^> \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^A \tilde{G}_{(0)}^< \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^A + \tilde{G}_{(0)}^R \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^> \tilde{G}_{(0)}^< \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^A + \tilde{G}_{(0)}^> \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^A \tilde{G}_{(0)}^R \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^< + \tilde{G}_{(0)}^R \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^> \tilde{G}_{(0)}^R \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^< + \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^> \tilde{G}_{(0)}^A \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^< \tilde{G}_{(0)}^A \right. \\ & + \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^R \tilde{G}_{(0)}^> \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^< \tilde{G}_{(0)}^A + \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^> \tilde{G}_{(0)}^A \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^R \tilde{G}_{(0)}^< + \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^R \tilde{G}_{(0)}^> \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^R \tilde{G}_{(0)}^< + \tilde{G}_{(0)}^> \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^< + \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^> \tilde{G}_{(0)}^< + \tilde{G}_{(0)}^> \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^< \tilde{G}_{(0)}^A \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^A \\ & \left. + \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^> \tilde{G}_{(0)}^A \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^A \tilde{G}_{(0)}^< + \tilde{G}_{(0)}^> \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^R \tilde{G}_{(0)}^< \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^A + \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^R \tilde{G}_{(0)}^> \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^A \tilde{G}_{(0)}^< + \tilde{G}_{(0)}^> \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^R \tilde{G}_{(0)}^R \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^< + \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^R \tilde{G}_{(0)}^R \tilde{\tau}_{(0)}^> \tilde{G}_{(0)}^< \right\}, \quad (\text{A18}) \end{aligned}$$

where we have introduced an additional self-energy-like term, defined as ($c = <, >, R, A$)

$$\zeta_{ij}^c(t, t') = \sum_{k\alpha} \partial_{\theta} t_{ik\alpha}(\theta(t)) g_{k\alpha}^c(t, t') \partial_{\theta} t_{k\alpha j}(\theta(t')), \quad (\text{A19})$$

whose perturbative expansion is defined in the usual way. The diffusion coefficient according to (A18) then gives a means of quantifying the stochastic force in numerical simulations.

Appendix B: Solving for the Adiabatic and First Order Green's Functions

What remains is to calculate explicit expressions for both the adiabatic and first order Green's functions, as well as the self-energy-like terms, in the frequency domain. The Green's functions evolve according to the Keldysh-Kadano - Baym equations, given in the Wigner space as [25, 31, 33]

$$\left(\omega + \frac{i}{2}\partial_T - e^{\frac{\lambda}{2i}\partial_\omega^G \partial_T^h} h(T)\right) \tilde{G}^{R/A} = I + e^{\frac{\lambda}{2i}\partial_\omega^G (\partial_T^\Sigma \partial_\omega^G - \partial_\omega^\Sigma \partial_T^G)} \tilde{G}^{R/A}, \quad (\text{B1})$$

$$\left(\omega + \frac{i}{2}\partial_T - e^{\frac{\lambda}{2i}\partial_\omega^G \partial_T^h} h(T)\right) \tilde{G}^{</>} = e^{\frac{\lambda}{2i}\partial_\omega^G (\partial_T^\Sigma \partial_\omega^G - \partial_\omega^\Sigma \partial_T^G)} \left(\tilde{G}^{R/A} \tilde{G}^{</>} + \tilde{G}^{</>} \tilde{G}^A \right), \quad (\text{B2})$$

where we have shown the retarded/advanced and the lesser/greater terms collectively. Here, we adopt the convenient notation for derivatives, ∂_T^G , which denotes a partial derivative acting on the G term with respect to T , and so on. We have once again introduced the book-keeping parameter, λ , for clarity in our perturbative expansions. The self-energies take the conventional form ($c = <, >, R, A$):

$$g_{ij}^c(t, t') = \sum_{k\alpha} t_{ik\alpha}(\theta(t)) g_{k\alpha}^c(t, t') t_{k\alpha j}(\theta(t')), \quad (\text{B3})$$

and we apply our usual ansatz to the self-energies,

$$\tilde{g} = \tilde{g}_{(0)} + \lambda \tilde{g}_{(1)} + \lambda^2 \tilde{g}_{(2)} + \dots \quad (\text{B4})$$

To solve for the form of the adiabatic and first-order Green's functions, we take a perturbative expansion of the exponentials in (B1) and (B2) as well as substituting in our perturbative ansatzes, (A10) and (B4). Truncating after the zeroth order and solving for $\tilde{G}_{(0)}$ yields the standard adiabatic Green's functions as follows:

$$\tilde{G}_{(0)}^{R/A} = \left(\omega I - h - \tilde{g}_{(0)}^{R/A}\right)^{-1}, \quad (\text{B5})$$

$$\tilde{G}_{(0)}^{</>} = \tilde{G}_{(0)}^R \tilde{g}_{(0)}^{</>} \tilde{G}_{(0)}^A. \quad (\text{B6})$$

For the first-order, we consider terms linear in λ such that we obtain

$$\tilde{G}_{(1)}^{R/A} = \frac{1}{2i} \tilde{G}_{(0)}^{R/A} \left[\tilde{G}_{(0)}^{R/A}, \partial_T h \right] \tilde{G}_{(0)}^{R/A}, \quad (\text{B7})$$

$$\tilde{G}_{(1)}^{</>} = \tilde{G}_{(0)}^R \tilde{g}_{(0)}^{</>} \tilde{G}_{(1)}^A + \tilde{G}_{(1)}^R \tilde{g}_{(0)}^{</>} \tilde{G}_{(0)}^A + \frac{1}{2i} \tilde{G}_{(0)}^R \left(\partial_T h \tilde{G}_{(0)}^R \partial_\omega \tilde{g}_{(0)}^{</>} + \tilde{G}_{(0)}^{</>} \partial_T h + h.c \right) \tilde{G}_{(0)}^A. \quad (\text{B8})$$

We now solve for the adiabatic and first-order components of the self-energy-like terms. Rather than considering each variant of self-energy individually, we will instead consider the following more general expression ($c = <, >, R, A$)

$$g_{\alpha, ii'}^c = \sum_k A_{ik\alpha}(t) g_{k\alpha}^c(t, t') B_{k\alpha i'}(t'), \quad (\text{B9})$$

where A and B are arbitrary functions of time. Obviously, when $A_{k\alpha i} = B_{k\alpha i} = t_{k\alpha i}$, we obtain $g_{\alpha, ii'}^c$, while different choices allow us to obtain $g_{\alpha, ii'}^<$ and $g_{\alpha, ii'}^>$. We apply the Wigner transform to the above while making use of the shift operator, defined according to $f(x+h) = e^{h d_x^f} f(x)$ where we use d_x^f to denote the derivative with respect to x which acts on f (to avoid ambiguity), to obtain

$$\tilde{g}_{\alpha, ii'}^c = \sum_k \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau e^{i\omega\tau} e^{\frac{\tau}{2} d_T^A} A_{ik\alpha}(T) g_{k\alpha}^c(t, t') e^{-\frac{\tau}{2} d_T^B} B_{k\alpha i'}(T) \quad (\text{B10})$$

$$= \sum_k \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau e^{i\omega\tau} e^{\frac{\tau}{2i} \overleftarrow{\partial}_\omega^c (d_T^A - d_T^B)} A_{ik\alpha}(T) g_{k\alpha}^c(t, t') B_{k\alpha i'}(T), \quad (\text{B11})$$

where the $\overleftarrow{\partial}_\omega^e$ notation denotes the derivative operator acting to the left on the exponential. Now we take all the terms that are independent of τ outside of the integral, leaving us with

$$\tilde{c}_{\alpha,ii'} = \sum_k e^{\frac{1}{2i}\overleftarrow{\partial}_\omega^e(d_T^A - d_T^B)} A_{ik\alpha}(T) B_{k\alpha i'}(T) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau e^{i\omega\tau} g_{k\alpha}^c(t, t') \quad (\text{B12})$$

$$= \sum_k e^{\frac{1}{2i}\overleftarrow{\partial}_\omega^e(d_T^A - d_T^B)} A_{ik\alpha}(T) B_{k\alpha i'}(T) \tilde{g}_{k\alpha}^c(T, \omega). \quad (\text{B13})$$

Finally, we take a power series expansion of the exponential to find

$$\tilde{c}_{\alpha,ii'} = \sum_k A_{ik\alpha} \tilde{g}_{k\alpha}^c B_{k\alpha i'} + \frac{1}{2i} \sum_k \frac{\partial \tilde{g}_{k\alpha}^c}{\partial \omega} \left(\frac{dA_{ik\alpha}}{dT} B_{k\alpha i'} - A_{ik\alpha} \frac{dB_{k\alpha i'}}{dT} \right) + \dots = \tilde{c}_{(0),\alpha,ii'} + \tilde{c}_{(1),\alpha,ii'} + \dots, \quad (\text{B14})$$

where the functional dependencies are clear from the context. Thus, (B14) allows us to calculate each of the required orders of self-energy-like terms. If we consider $A_{k\alpha i} = B_{k\alpha i} = t_{k\alpha i}$, the adiabatic component corresponds to the standard self-energy. We make the wide-band approximation for the electrodes. The retarded/advanced component is given by

$$\tilde{c}_{(0),\alpha,ii'}^{R/A} = \mp \frac{i}{2} \rho_{\alpha,ii'}, \quad (\text{B15})$$

where the level-broadening takes the form

$$\rho_{\alpha,ii'} = 2\pi t_{\alpha i}^* t_{\alpha i'} \rho_\alpha, \quad (\text{B16})$$

where density of states ρ is a constant and $t_{\alpha ki} = t_{\alpha i}$ under the wide-band approximation. The equation for the lesser case takes the form

$$\tilde{c}_{(0),\alpha,ii'}^<(\omega, T) = i f_\alpha(\omega) \rho_{\alpha,ii'}(T), \quad (\text{B17})$$

where $f_\alpha(\omega)$ is the Fermi-Dirac distribution;

$$f_\alpha(\omega) = \frac{1}{e^{\frac{\omega - \mu_\alpha}{k_B T_\alpha}} + 1}. \quad (\text{B18})$$

Here, μ_α is the chemical potential for the α lead while T_α is the macroscopic temperature and k_B is Boltzmann's constant. The form of Ψ , Φ and ζ can be found equivalently by replacing \tilde{g} in the above equations with Ψ , Φ and ζ , respectively, as given by

$$\Psi_{\alpha,ii'} = 2\pi \partial_\theta t_{\alpha i}^* t_{\alpha i'} \rho_\alpha, \quad (\text{B19})$$

$$\Phi_{\alpha,ii'} = 2\pi t_{\alpha i}^* \partial_\theta t_{\alpha i'} \rho_\alpha, \quad (\text{B20})$$

$$\zeta_{\alpha,ii'} = 2\pi \partial_\theta t_{\alpha i}^* \partial_\theta t_{\alpha i'} \rho_\alpha. \quad (\text{B21})$$

Under the wide-band approximation, $\tilde{c}_{(1)}^{R/A} = 0$, and so we need only consider the lesser case.

[1] R. Wilcken, M. Schildhauer, F. Rott, L. A. Huber, M. Guentner, S. Thumser, K. Hoffmann, S. Oesterling, R. de Vivie-Riedle, E. Riedle, and H. Dube, Complete Mechanism of Hemithioindigo Motor Rotation, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **140**, 5311 (2018).

[2] J. C. M. Kistemaker, P. Štacko, J. Visser, and B. L. Feringa, Unidirectional rotary motion in achiral molecular motors, *Nature Chemistry* **7**, 890 (2015).

[3] V. Balzani, M. Clemente-León, A. Credi, B. Ferrer, M. Venturi, A. H. Flood, and J. F. Stoddart,

- Autonomous artificial nanomotor powered by sunlight, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **103**, 1178 (2006).
- [4] R. A. van Delden, M. K. J. ter Wiel, M. M. Pollard, J. Vicario, N. Koumura, and B. L. Feringa, Unidirectional molecular motor on a gold surface, *Nature* **437**, 1337 (2005).
- [5] N. Koumura, R. W. Zijlstra, R. A. Van Delden, N. Harada, and B. L. Feringa, Light-driven monodirectional molecular rotor, *Nature* **401**, 152 (1999).
- [6] K. Kinoshita, R. Yasuda, H. Noji, and K. Adachi, A rotary molecular motor that can work at near 100% efficiency, *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences* **355**, 173 (2000).
- [7] D. A. Leigh, J. K. Y. Wong, F. Dehez, and F. Zerbetto, Unidirectional rotation in a mechanically interlocked molecular rotor, *Nature* **424**, 174 (2003).
- [8] B. K. Juluri, A. S. Kumar, Y. Liu, T. Ye, Y.-W. Yang, A. H. Flood, L. Fang, J. F. Stoddart, P. S. Weiss, and T. J. Huang, A Mechanical Actuator Driven Electrochemically by Artificial Molecular Muscles, *ACS Nano* **3**, 291 (2009).
- [9] A. Barreiro, R. Rurali, E. R. Hernández, J. Moser, T. Pichler, L. Forró, and A. Bachtold, Subnanometer Motion of Cargoes Driven by Thermal Gradients Along Carbon Nanotubes, *Science* **320**, 775 (2008).
- [10] S. Stolz, O. Gröning, J. Prinz, H. Brune, and R. Widmer, Molecular motor crossing the frontier of classical to quantum tunneling motion, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **117**, 14838 (2020).
- [11] J. Ren, M. Freitag, C. Schwermann, A. Bakker, S. Amirjalayer, A. Rühling, H.-Y. Gao, N. L. Doltsinis, F. Glorius, and H. Fuchs, A Unidirectional Surface-Anchored N-Heterocyclic Carbene Rotor, *Nano Letters* **20**, 5922 (2020).
- [12] Y. Zhang, J. P. Calupitan, T. Rojas, R. Tumbleson, G. Erbland, C. Kammerer, T. M. Ajayi, S. Wang, L. A. Curtiss, A. T. Ngo, S. E. Ulloa, G. Rapenne, and S. W. Hla, A chiral molecular propeller designed for unidirectional rotations on a surface, *Nature Communications* **10**, 3742 (2019).
- [13] F. Eisenhut, J. Meyer, J. Krüger, R. Ohmann, G. Cuniberti, and F. Moresco, Inducing the controlled rotation of single *o*-MeO-DMBI molecules anchored on Au(111), *Surface Science* **678**, 177 (2018).
- [14] P. Mishra, J. P. Hill, S. Vijayaraghavan, W. V. Rossom, S. Yoshizawa, M. Grisolia, J. Echeverria, T. Ono, K. Ariga, T. Nakayama, C. Joachim, and T. Uchihashi, Current-Driven Supramolecular Motor with In Situ Surface Chiral Directionality Switching, *Nano Letters* **15**, 4793 (2015).
- [15] U. G. E. Perera, F. Ample, H. Kersell, Y. Zhang, G. Vives, J. Echeverria, M. Grisolia, G. Rapenne, C. Joachim, and S.-W. Hla, Controlled clockwise and anticlockwise rotational switching of a molecular motor, *Nature Nanotechnology* **8**, 46 (2013).
- [16] H. L. Tierney, C. J. Murphy, A. D. Jewell, A. E. Baber, E. V. Iski, H. Y. Khodaverdian, A. F. McGuire, N. Klebanov, and E. C. H. Sykes, Experimental demonstration of a single-molecule electric motor, *Nature Nanotechnology* **6**, 625 (2011).
- [17] J. Kudernac, N. Ruangsupapichat, M. Parschau, B. Maciá, N. Katsonis, S. R. Harutyunyan, K.-H. Ernst, and B. L. Feringa, Electrically driven directional motion of a four-wheeled molecule on a metal surface, *Nature* **479**, 208 (2011).
- [18] F. D. Ribetto, S. E. Deghi, H. L. Calvo, and R. A. Bustos-Marín, A dynamical model for Brownian molecular motors driven by inelastic electron tunneling, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **157**, 164102 (2022).
- [19] J. Echeverria, S. Monturet, and C. Joachim, One-way motion of a molecular motor driven by a shot noise, *Nanoscale* **6**, 2793 (2014).
- [20] L.-R. Nie and D.-C. Mei, Effect of correlated noises on Brownian motor, *Physics Letters A* **373**, 3816 (2009).
- [21] H. L. Calvo, F. D. Ribetto, and R. A. Bustos-Marín, Real-time diagrammatic approach to current-induced forces: Application to quantum-dot based nanomotors, *Physical Review B* **96**, 165309 (2017).
- [22] D. Dundas, E. J. McEniry, and T. N. Todorov, Current-driven atomic waterwheels, *Nature Nanotechnology* **4**, 99 (2009).
- [23] R. Landauer, Inadequacy of entropy and entropy derivatives in characterizing the steady state, *Physical Review A* **12**, 636 (1975).
- [24] R. Landauer, Statistical physics of machinery: forgotten middle-ground, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **194**, 551 (1993).
- [25] R. J. Preston, M. F. Gelin, and D. S. Kosov, First-passage time theory of activated rate chemical processes in electronic molecular junctions, *J. Chem. Phys.* **154**, 114108 (2021).
- [26] M. Buttiker, Transport as a consequence of state-dependent diffusion, *Zeitschrift für Physik B Condensed Matter* **68**, 161 (1987).
- [27] R. H. Luchsinger, Transport in nonequilibrium systems with position-dependent mobility, *Physical Review E* **62**, 272 (2000).
- [28] P. W. Brouwer, Scattering approach to parametric pumping, *Physical Review B* **58**, R10135 (1998).
- [29] H. K. Yadalam and U. Harbola, Statistics of an adiabatic charge pump, *Physical Review B* **93**, 035312 (2016).
- [30] I. A. Pshenichnyuk and M. Čížek, Motor effect in electron transport through a molecular junction with torsional vibrations, *Physical Review B* **83**, 165446 (2011).
- [31] R. J. Preston, T. D. Honeychurch, and D. S. Kosov, Emergence of negative viscosities and colored noise under current-driven Ehrenfest molecular dynamics, *Physical Review B* **106**, 195406 (2022).
- [32] R. Zwanzig, *Nonequilibrium Statistical Mechanics* (Oxford University Press, 2001).
- [33] R. J. Preston, V. F. Kershaw, and D. S. Kosov, Current-induced atomic motion, structural instabilities, and negative temperatures on molecule-electrode interfaces in electronic junctions, *Phys. Rev. B* **101**, 155415 (2020).
- [34] R. J. Preston, T. D. Honeychurch, and D. S. Kosov, Cooling molecular electronic junctions by AC current, *J. Chem. Phys.* **153**, 121102 (2020).