

# ESTIMATES FOR A CERTAIN BILINEAR FOURIER INTEGRAL OPERATOR

TOMOYA KATO, AKIHIKO MIYACHI, AND NAOHITO TOMITA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider the boundedness from  $H^1 \times L^\infty$  to  $L^1$  of bilinear Fourier integral operators with non-degenerate phase functions and amplitudes in  $BS_{1,0}^{-n/2}$ . Our result gives an improvement of Rodríguez-López, Rule, and Staubach's result.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The (linear) Fourier integral operator  $T_\sigma^\phi$  treated in this paper is the following form:

$$T_\sigma^\phi f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\phi(x,\xi)} \sigma(x,\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

for  $f$  in the Schwartz class  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where  $\widehat{f}$  denotes the Fourier transform. The function  $\phi$  is called the *phase function*, usually assumed homogeneity and non-degeneracy conditions, and the function  $\sigma$  is called the *amplitude*, usually taken to be in some Hörmander class (see Definitions 1.1 and 1.2 below). For the boundedness of the operator  $T_\sigma^\phi$ , we use the following terminology. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be function spaces on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  equipped with quasi-norms or seminorms  $\|\cdot\|_X$  and  $\|\cdot\|_Y$ , respectively. If there exists a constant  $C$  such that

$$(1.1) \quad \|T_\sigma^\phi f\|_Y \leq C \|f\|_X$$

holds for all  $f \in \mathcal{S} \cap X$ , then we say that  $T_\sigma^\phi$  is bounded from  $X$  to  $Y$ . The smallest constant  $C$  of (1.1) is denoted by  $\|T_\sigma^\phi\|_{X \rightarrow Y}$ .

In order to illustrate boundedness results of the operator  $T_\sigma^\phi$ , we recall the following definitions for phase functions and amplitudes.

**Definition 1.1.** A function  $\phi(x, \xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}))$  is called a non-degenerate phase function, if it is real-valued, positively homogeneous of degree one in the variable  $\xi$ , *i.e.*,

$$\phi(x, t\xi) = t\phi(x, \xi), \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\},$$

and satisfies the *non-degeneracy condition*

$$\det \left( \frac{\partial^2 \phi(x, \xi)}{\partial x \partial \xi} \right) \neq 0$$

for  $x$  in the spatial support of the amplitude and for  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ .

---

*Date:* June 27, 2023.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42B15, 42B20.

*Key words and phrases.* Bilinear Fourier integral operators.

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI, Grant Numbers 20K14339 (Kato), 20H01815 (Miyachi), and 20K03700 (Tomita).

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . The class  $S_{1,0}^m(N)$  is defined to be the set of all  $C^\infty$  functions  $\sigma = \sigma(x, \xi)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  that satisfy the estimate

$$\left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \sigma(x, \xi) \right| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta} (1 + |\xi|)^{m-|\beta|}$$

for all multi-indices satisfying  $|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq N$ . We define

$$\|\sigma\|_{S_{1,0}^m(N)} = \max_{|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq N} \left( \sup_{x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |\xi|)^{-(m-|\beta|)} \left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \sigma(x, \xi) \right| \right).$$

Now, we recall the following theorem.

**Theorem A.** *Let  $\phi$  be a non-degenerate phase function and an amplitude  $\sigma(x, \xi)$  be compactly supported in the spatial variable  $x$ . Then, if  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $m = -(n-1)|1/p - 1/2|$ , or if  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $m < -(n-1)|1/p - 1/2|$ , there exist a positive constant  $C$  and a positive integer  $N$  such that*

$$\|T_\sigma^\phi\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} \leq C \|\sigma\|_{S_{1,0}^m(N)}.$$

The first assertion was proved by Hörmander [Hör] for  $p = 2$  and Seeger–Sogge–Stein [SSS] for  $1 < p < \infty$ , and the second by Dos Santos Ferreira–Staubach [DS, Theorems 2.1, 2.2, and 2.16]. It is worth mentioning that the number  $-(n-1)|1/p - 1/2|$  is optimal (see [M]).

Based on the above result, we shall consider a bilinear analogue. The bilinear Fourier integral operator  $T_\sigma^{\phi_1, \phi_2}$  considered in this paper is defined by, for non-degenerate phase functions  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  and for an amplitude  $\sigma \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{3n})$ ,

$$T_\sigma^{\phi_1, \phi_2}(f, g)(x) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\phi_1(x, \xi) + i\phi_2(x, \eta)} \sigma(x, \xi, \eta) \widehat{f}(\xi) \widehat{g}(\eta) d\xi d\eta, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

for  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . If  $X, Y$ , and  $Z$  are function spaces on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  equipped with quasi-norms or seminorms  $\|\cdot\|_X$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_Y$ , and  $\|\cdot\|_Z$ , respectively, and if there exists a constant  $C$  such that

$$(1.2) \quad \|T_\sigma^{\phi_1, \phi_2}(f, g)\|_Z \leq C \|f\|_X \|g\|_Y$$

holds for all  $f \in \mathcal{S} \cap X$  and  $g \in \mathcal{S} \cap Y$ , then we say that  $T_\sigma^{\phi_1, \phi_2}$  is bounded from  $X \times Y$  to  $Z$ . The smallest constant  $C$  of (1.2) is denoted by  $\|T_\sigma^{\phi_1, \phi_2}\|_{X \times Y \rightarrow Z}$ . For the functions spaces  $X, Y$ , and  $Z$ , we consider the Lebesgue space  $L^p$ , the Hardy space  $H^p$ , the local Hardy space  $h^p$ , and the space  $BMO$ . We note that  $H^p = h^p = L^p$  if  $1 < p \leq \infty$  and  $H^1 \hookrightarrow h^1 \hookrightarrow L^1$ . For  $H^p$  and  $BMO$ , see, *e.g.*, [S, Chapters III and IV] and, for  $h^p$ , see [Gol]. In the special case when  $\phi_i(x, \xi) = x \cdot \xi$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , the bilinear Fourier integral operator is called a bilinear pseudo-differential operator, which is the operator originated by Coifman–Meyer [CM], and now vastly wide study has been done (see, *e.g.*, [BBMNT, BT, GT]).

Now, in order to state the boundedness result for the bilinear operator  $T_\sigma^{\phi_1, \phi_2}$ , we define the bilinear Hörmander class as follows.

**Definition 1.3.** For  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ , the class  $BS_{1,0}^m$  is defined to be the set of all  $C^\infty$  functions  $\sigma = \sigma(x, \xi, \eta)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{3n}$  that satisfy the estimate

$$\left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \partial_\eta^\gamma \sigma(x, \xi, \eta) \right| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} (1 + |\xi| + |\eta|)^{m-|\beta|-|\gamma|}$$

for all multi-indices  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in (\mathbb{N}_0)^n$ .

For this class, the following theorem holds.

**Theorem B.** *Let  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ ,  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ , and  $1/p + 1/q = 1/r$ . Suppose that  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are non-degenerate phase functions and an amplitude  $\sigma \in BS_{1,0}^m$  is compactly supported in the spatial variable. Then, if*

$$m = m_0(p, q) := -(n-1) \left( \left| \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right| + \left| \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right),$$

there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$\|T_\sigma^{\phi_1, \phi_2}\|_{H^p \times H^q \rightarrow L^r} \leq C,$$

where  $L^r$  should be replaced by  $BMO$  when  $r = \infty$ .

The boundedness property for the bilinear operator  $T_\sigma^{\phi_1, \phi_2}$  was first investigated by Grafakos–Peloso [GP], where the above-mentioned boundedness for  $1 < p, q < 2$  and  $m < m_0(p, q)$  was obtained. After that, Rodríguez-López–Rule–Staubach [RRS1] generalized it to the full range of  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ , and gave Theorem B. Also, we remark that, in [RS], Rodríguez-López–Staubach considered the bilinear operator  $T_\sigma^{\phi_1, \phi_2}$  for an amplitude without compactness of the spatial support and for phase functions with a bit stronger condition, and gave a global boundedness from  $L^p \times L^q$  to  $L^r$  when  $m < m_0(p, q)$ . In [RRS2], Rodríguez-López–Rule–Staubach obtained a global boundedness from  $L^2 \times L^2$  to  $L^1$  for  $m = m_0(2, 2) = 0$ .

Quite recently, for a typical case of phase functions derived from the wave operators and for an  $x$ -independent amplitude  $\sigma = \sigma(\xi, \eta)$ , the authors [KMT] improved the order  $m$  stated in Theorem B. To describe the result obtained there, we define

$$m_1(p, q) = \begin{cases} -(n-1) \left( \left| \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right| + \left| \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right) & \text{if } 1 \leq p, q \leq 2 \text{ or if } 2 \leq p, q \leq \infty, \\ -\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right) - (n-1) \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}\right) & \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq 2 \leq q \leq \infty \text{ and } \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \leq 1, \\ -(n-1) \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}\right) & \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq 2 \leq q \leq \infty \text{ and } \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \geq 1, \\ -(n-1) \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2}\right) & \text{if } 1 \leq q \leq 2 \leq p \leq \infty \text{ and } \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \leq 1, \\ -\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right) - (n-1) \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2}\right) & \text{if } 1 \leq q \leq 2 \leq p \leq \infty \text{ and } \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

With this notation, the following global boundedness holds.

**Theorem C.** *Let  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ ,  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ , and  $1/p + 1/q = 1/r$ . Suppose that  $\phi_i(x, \xi) = x \cdot \xi + |\xi|$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and  $\sigma = \sigma(\xi, \eta) \in BS_{1,0}^m$ . Then, if  $m = m_1(p, q)$ , there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that*

$$\|T_\sigma^{\phi_1, \phi_2}\|_{H^p \times H^q \rightarrow L^r} \leq C,$$

where  $L^r$  should be replaced by  $BMO$  when  $r = \infty$ .

Since  $m_0(p, q) \leq m_1(p, q)$  for  $n \geq 2$  and  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ , we see that Theorem C is an improvement of Theorem B. Also, it should be remarked that the number  $m_1(p, q)$  is optimal when  $1 \leq p, q \leq 2$ ,  $2 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ , or  $1/p + 1/q = 1$  (see [KMT, Theorem 1.5]).

The purpose of this paper is to improve Theorem B and to generalize Theorem C to the boundedness for bilinear Fourier integral operators. The main theorem reads as follows.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ ,  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ , and  $1/p + 1/q = 1/r$ . Suppose that  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are non-degenerate phase functions and an amplitude  $\sigma \in BS_{1,0}^m$  is compactly supported in the spatial variable. Then, if  $m = m_1(p, q)$ , there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that*

$$\|T_\sigma^{\phi_1, \phi_2}\|_{H^p \times H^q \rightarrow L^r} \leq C,$$

where  $L^r$  should be replaced by  $BMO$  when  $r = \infty$ .

The contents of the rest of the paper are as follows. In Section 2, we present and prove Proposition 2.1 concerning the boundedness from  $h^1 \times L^\infty$  to  $L^1$ , which is an endpoint case of Theorem 1.4 and implies Theorem 1.4 with the aid of complex interpolation. In Appendix A, we show Lemma 2.2 to be used for proving Proposition 2.1.

We end this section by introducing some notations used throughout this paper.

**Notation 1.5.** The Fourier transform and the inverse Fourier transform on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{f}(\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\xi \cdot x} f(x) dx, \\ (g)^\vee(x) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\xi \cdot x} g(\xi) d\xi.\end{aligned}$$

We take  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfying that  $\varphi = 1$  on  $\{|\xi| \leq 1\}$ ,  $\text{supp } \varphi \subset \{|\xi| \leq 2\}$ ,  $\text{supp } \psi \subset \{1/2 \leq |\xi| \leq 2\}$ , and  $\varphi + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \psi(2^{-j}\cdot) = 1$ . In what follows, we will write  $\psi_0 = \varphi$ ,  $\psi_j = \psi(2^{-j}\cdot)$  for  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\varphi_j = \varphi(2^{-j}\cdot)$  for  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then, we see that  $\varphi_0 = \psi_0 = \varphi$  and

$$\sum_{j=0}^k \psi_j = \varphi_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

We define  $C^\infty$  function  $\zeta = 1 - \varphi$ . Then we have  $\partial^\alpha \zeta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $|\alpha| \geq 1$ ,  $\zeta = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \psi_j$ , and

$$\zeta = 0 \text{ on } \{|\xi| \leq 1\}, \quad \zeta = 1 \text{ on } \{|\xi| \geq 2\}.$$

For a smooth function  $\theta$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and for  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we write  $\|\theta\|_{C^N} = \max_{|\alpha| \leq N} \sup_\xi |\partial_\xi^\alpha \theta(\xi)|$ .

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

By complex interpolation, Theorem 1.4 follows from Theorem B and Proposition 2.1 below, and thus, this section is only devoted to proving the following statement.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $n \geq 2$ . Suppose that  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are non-degenerate phase functions and an amplitude  $\sigma \in BS_{1,0}^{-n/2}$  is compactly supported in the spatial variable. Then, there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that*

$$\|T_\sigma^{\phi_1, \phi_2}\|_{h^1 \times L^\infty \rightarrow L^1} \leq C.$$

*Proof.* Before starting the proof, we give a remark on the non-degeneracy conditions of  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$ . Let  $K$  be the closure of the set  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sigma(x, \cdot, \cdot) \neq 0\}$ . Then, since  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  satisfy the non-degeneracy conditions for  $x \in K$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ , we see from continuity that there exists a neighborhood  $\tilde{K}$  of the set  $K$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad \det \left( \frac{\partial^2 \phi_i(x, \xi)}{\partial x \partial \xi} \right) \neq 0 \text{ for } x \in \tilde{K}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

In the following argument,  $\tilde{K}$  always denotes such a neighborhood of  $K$ .

Now, we shall begin with the proof of the proposition. We first decompose  $\sigma$  as

$$\sigma(x, \xi, \eta) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma(x, \xi, \eta) \psi_j(\xi) \psi_k(\eta)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sigma(x, \xi, \eta) \varphi(\xi) \varphi(\eta) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^j \sigma(x, \xi, \eta) \psi_j(\xi) \psi_k(\eta) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \sigma(x, \xi, \eta) \psi_j(\xi) \psi_k(\eta) \\
 &= \sigma(x, \xi, \eta) \varphi(\xi) \varphi(\eta) + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \sigma(x, \xi, \eta) \psi_j(\xi) \varphi_j(\eta) + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \sigma(x, \xi, \eta) \varphi_{k-1}(\xi) \psi_k(\eta) \\
 &= \sigma_0(x, \xi, \eta) + \sigma_I(x, \xi, \eta) + \sigma_{II}(x, \xi, \eta).
 \end{aligned}$$

We consider the amplitude  $\sigma_I$ . Take a function  $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$\chi = 1 \text{ on } K, \quad \text{supp } \chi \subset \tilde{K},$$

and functions  $\tilde{\psi}, \tilde{\varphi} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\psi} &= 1 \text{ on } \{2^{-1} \leq |\xi| \leq 2\}, & \text{supp } \tilde{\psi} &\subset \{3^{-1} \leq |\xi| \leq 3\}, \\
 \tilde{\varphi} &= 1 \text{ on } \{|\xi| \leq 2\}, & \text{supp } \tilde{\varphi} &\subset \{|\xi| \leq 3\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then we can write

$$\sigma_I(x, \xi, \eta) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \sigma(x, \xi, \eta) \tilde{\psi}(2^{-j}\xi) \tilde{\varphi}(2^{-j}\eta) \chi(x) \psi_j(\xi) \chi(x) \varphi_j(\eta).$$

Since  $\sigma \in BS_{1,0}^{-n/2}$  and

$$\text{supp } \sigma(x, 2^j\xi, 2^j\eta) \tilde{\psi}(\xi) \tilde{\varphi}(\eta) \subset K \times \{3^{-1} \leq |\xi| \leq 3\} \times \{|\eta| \leq 3\},$$

the following estimate holds:

$$\left| \partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_\eta^\beta (\sigma(x, 2^j\xi, 2^j\eta) \tilde{\psi}(\xi) \tilde{\varphi}(\eta)) \right| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta} 2^{-jn/2}$$

with  $C_{\alpha,\beta}$  independent of  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Hence, by the Fourier series expansion with respect to the variables  $\xi$  and  $\eta$ , we can write

$$\sigma(x, 2^j\xi, 2^j\eta) \tilde{\psi}(\xi) \tilde{\varphi}(\eta) = \sum_{a,b \in \mathbb{Z}^n} c_{1,j}^{(a,b)}(x) e^{ia \cdot \xi} e^{ib \cdot \eta}, \quad |\xi| < \pi, \quad |\eta| < \pi,$$

with the coefficient satisfying that for  $L > 0$

$$(2.2) \quad |c_{1,j}^{(a,b)}(x)| \lesssim 2^{-jn/2} (1 + |a|)^{-L} (1 + |b|)^{-L}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Changing variables  $\xi \rightarrow 2^{-j}\xi$  and  $\eta \rightarrow 2^{-j}\eta$  and multiplying  $(\chi(x))^2 \psi_j(\xi) \varphi_j(\eta)$ , we obtain

$$\sigma(x, \xi, \eta) \psi_j(\xi) \varphi_j(\eta) = \sum_{a,b \in \mathbb{Z}^n} c_{1,j}^{(a,b)}(x) e^{ia \cdot 2^{-j}\xi} e^{ib \cdot 2^{-j}\eta} \chi(x) \psi_j(\xi) \chi(x) \varphi_j(\eta).$$

Hence, by the definitions of  $\psi_j$  and  $\varphi_j$  in Notation 1.5, the amplitude  $\sigma_I$  is written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma_I(x, \xi, \eta) &= \sum_{a,b \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} c_{1,j}^{(a,b)}(x) e^{ia \cdot 2^{-j}\xi} e^{ib \cdot 2^{-j}\eta} \chi(x) \psi(2^{-j}\xi) \chi(x) \varphi(2^{-j}\eta) \\
 &= \sum_{a,b \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sigma_I^{(a,b)}(x, \xi, \eta),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\sigma_I^{(a,b)}(x, \xi, \eta) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} c_{1,j}^{(a,b)}(x) \Psi^{(a)}(x, 2^{-j}\xi) \Phi^{(b)}(x, 2^{-j}\eta)$$

and

$$\Psi^{(\nu)}(x, \xi) = \chi(x) e^{i\nu \cdot \xi} \psi(\xi), \quad \Phi^{(\nu)}(x, \eta) = \chi(x) e^{i\nu \cdot \eta} \varphi(\eta), \quad \nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

By similar arguments,  $\sigma_0$  can be written as

$$\sigma_0(x, \xi, \eta) = \sum_{a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^n} c_0^{(a, b)}(x) \Phi^{(a)}(x, \xi) \Phi^{(b)}(x, \eta),$$

where the coefficient  $c_0^{(a, b)}$  satisfies the same condition as in (2.2) with  $j = 0$ , and  $\sigma_{\text{II}}$  can be written as

$$\sigma_{\text{II}}(x, \xi, \eta) = \sum_{a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} c_{\text{II}, j}^{(a, b)}(x) \Phi^{(a)}(x, 2^{-(j-1)}\xi) \Psi^{(b)}(x, 2^{-j}\eta),$$

where the coefficient  $c_{\text{II}, j}^{(a, b)}$  satisfies the same condition as in (2.2).

Hereafter we shall consider the amplitudes  $\tilde{\sigma}_0$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}$  of the forms

$$(2.3) \quad \tilde{\sigma}_0(x, \xi, \eta) = c_0(x) \Theta_1(x, \xi) \Theta_2(x, \eta),$$

$$(2.4) \quad \tilde{\sigma}(x, \xi, \eta) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} c_j(x) \Theta_1(x, 2^{-j}\xi) \Theta_2(x, 2^{-j}\eta),$$

where  $(c_j(x))_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is a sequence of complex-valued functions satisfying

$$(2.5) \quad |c_j(x)| \leq 2^{-jn/2} A, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

with some  $A \in (0, \infty)$ , and  $\Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_2$  are smooth functions on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  given by

$$(2.6) \quad \Theta_1(x, \xi) = \chi(x)\theta_1(\xi), \quad \Theta_2(x, \eta) = \chi(x)\theta_2(\eta)$$

with  $\chi, \theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfying that

$$(2.7) \quad \text{supp } \chi \subset \tilde{K}, \quad \text{supp } \theta_1, \text{supp } \theta_2 \subset \{|\xi| \leq 2\}$$

(for the set  $\tilde{K}$ , see the argument around (2.1)). For such  $\tilde{\sigma}_0$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}$ , we shall prove that there exist constants  $c \in (0, \infty)$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$(2.8) \quad \|T_{\tilde{\sigma}_0}^{\phi_1, \phi_2}\|_{h^1 \times L^\infty \rightarrow L^1}, \|T_{\tilde{\sigma}}^{\phi_1, \phi_2}\|_{h^1 \times L^\infty \rightarrow L^1} \leq cA \|\theta_1\|_{C^N} \|\theta_2\|_{C^N}.$$

If this is proved, by applying (2.8) for  $\tilde{\sigma}$  to  $c_j = c_{\text{I}, j}^{(a, b)}$ ,  $\Theta_1 = \Psi^{(a)}$ , and  $\Theta_2 = \Phi^{(b)}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{\sigma_{\text{I}}^{(a, b)}}^{\phi_1, \phi_2}\|_{h^1 \times L^\infty \rightarrow L^1} &\lesssim (1 + |a|)^{-L} (1 + |b|)^{-L} \|e^{ia \cdot \xi} \psi(\xi)\|_{C_\xi^N} \|e^{ib \cdot \eta} \varphi(\eta)\|_{C_\eta^N} \\ &\lesssim (1 + |a|)^{-L+N} (1 + |b|)^{-L+N}, \end{aligned}$$

and, thus, taking  $L$  sufficiently large and taking sum over  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , we obtain

$$\|T_{\sigma_{\text{I}}}^{\phi_1, \phi_2}\|_{h^1 \times L^\infty \rightarrow L^1} \lesssim 1.$$

In the same way, we obtain

$$\|T_{\sigma_0}^{\phi_1, \phi_2}\|_{h^1 \times L^\infty \rightarrow L^1}, \|T_{\sigma_{\text{II}}}^{\phi_1, \phi_2}\|_{h^1 \times L^\infty \rightarrow L^1} \lesssim 1.$$

The above three estimates complete the proof of the proposition.

Thus the proof is reduced to showing (2.8) for  $\tilde{\sigma}_0$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}$  given by (2.3)–(2.7). Using the smooth functions  $\varphi$  and  $\zeta$  of Notation 1.5, we decompose the amplitude  $\tilde{\sigma}$  into two parts:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma}(x, \xi, \eta) &= \tau_1(x, \xi, \eta) + \tau_2(x, \xi, \eta), \\ \tau_1(x, \xi, \eta) &= \varphi(\eta) \tilde{\sigma}(x, \xi, \eta), \quad \tau_2(x, \xi, \eta) = \zeta(\eta) \tilde{\sigma}(x, \xi, \eta). \end{aligned}$$

Then, bilinear Fourier integral operators with the amplitudes  $\tilde{\sigma}_0, \tau_1, \tau_2$  are expressed, by using linear ones, as

$$(2.9) \quad T_{\tilde{\sigma}_0}^{\phi_1, \phi_2}(f, g)(x) = c_0(x) T_{\Theta_1}^{\phi_1} f(x) T_{\Theta_2}^{\phi_2} g(x),$$

$$(2.10) \quad T_{\tau_1}^{\phi_1, \phi_2}(f, g)(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} c_j(x) T_{\Theta_1(x, 2^{-j}\xi)}^{\phi_1} f(x) T_{\Theta_2(x, 2^{-j}\eta)\varphi(\eta)}^{\phi_2} g(x),$$

$$(2.11) \quad T_{\tau_2}^{\phi_1, \phi_2}(f, g)(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} c_j(x) T_{\Theta_1(x, 2^{-j}\xi)}^{\phi_1} f(x) T_{\Theta_2(x, 2^{-j}\eta)\zeta(\eta)}^{\phi_2} g(x).$$

We shall consider the amplitudes and the phase functions of the linear Fourier integral operators appearing above. For the amplitudes, we observe that, if  $m \leq 0$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then

$$\|\Theta_i(x, 2^{-j}\xi)\|_{S_{1,0}^m(N)} = \|\chi(x) \theta_i(2^{-j}\xi)\|_{S_{1,0}^m(N)} \lesssim 2^{-jm} \|\theta_i\|_{C^N}, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$\|\Theta_2(x, 2^{-j}\eta)\varphi(\eta)\|_{S_{1,0}^m(N)} = \|\chi(x) \theta_2(2^{-j}\eta)\varphi(\eta)\|_{S_{1,0}^m(N)} \lesssim \|\theta_2\|_{C^N},$$

$$\|\Theta_2(x, 2^{-j}\eta)\zeta(\eta)\|_{S_{1,0}^m(N)} = \|\chi(x) \theta_2(2^{-j}\eta)\zeta(\eta)\|_{S_{1,0}^m(N)} \lesssim 2^{-jm} \|\theta_2\|_{C^N}$$

hold for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . In fact, since  $|\xi| \leq 2^{j+1}$  holds on the support of  $\theta_i(2^{-j}\cdot)$ , if  $m \leq 0$  and  $\beta \in (\mathbb{N}_0)^n$ , then we have

$$(1 + |\xi|)^{-(m-|\beta|)} \lesssim 2^{-j(m-|\beta|)}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

which implies the first inequality. By using the facts that  $\varphi$  and  $\partial^\alpha \zeta$ ,  $|\alpha| \geq 1$ , belong to  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , the remaining two estimates can be obtained. For the phase functions, we see from (2.1), (2.6), and (2.7) that  $\phi_i(x, \xi)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , satisfies the non-degeneracy condition for  $x$  in the spatial support of  $\Theta_i(x, 2^{-j}\xi)$  and for  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ . Moreover, from the assumption, they are real-valued and positively homogeneous of degree one in the frequency variable.

Hence, we can apply Theorem A to the linear Fourier integral operators in (2.9), (2.10), and (2.11): if

$$m_\epsilon = -\frac{n-1}{2} - \epsilon, \quad 0 < \epsilon < 1/2,$$

then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$(2.12) \quad \|T_{\Theta_1(x, 2^{-j}\xi)}^{\phi_1}\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^1} \lesssim 2^{-jm_\epsilon} \|\theta_1\|_{C^N},$$

$$(2.13) \quad \|T_{\Theta_1(x, 2^{-j}\xi)}^{\phi_1}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \lesssim \|\theta_1\|_{C^N},$$

$$(2.14) \quad \|T_{\Theta_2(x, 2^{-j}\eta)}^{\phi_2}\|_{L^\infty \rightarrow L^\infty} \lesssim 2^{-jm_\epsilon} \|\theta_2\|_{C^N},$$

$$(2.15) \quad \|T_{\Theta_2(x, 2^{-j}\eta)\varphi(\eta)}^{\phi_2}\|_{L^\infty \rightarrow L^\infty} \lesssim \|\theta_2\|_{C^N},$$

$$(2.16) \quad \|T_{\Theta_2(x, 2^{-j}\eta)\zeta(\eta)}^{\phi_2}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \lesssim \|\theta_2\|_{C^N},$$

$$(2.17) \quad \|T_{\Theta_2(x, 2^{-j}\eta)\zeta(\eta)}^{\phi_2}\|_{L^\infty \rightarrow L^\infty} \lesssim 2^{-jm_\epsilon} \|\theta_2\|_{C^N}$$

hold for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Now, we shall actually estimate the bilinear operators in (2.9), (2.10), and (2.11). Estimates for  $\tilde{\sigma}_0$  and  $\tau_1$  are simple. In fact, we have by (2.9), (2.12), and (2.14) for  $j = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{\tilde{\sigma}_0}^{\phi_1, \phi_2}(f, g)\|_{L^1} &\leq A \|T_{\Theta_1}^{\phi_1} f\|_{L^1} \|T_{\Theta_2}^{\phi_2} g\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim A \|\theta_1\|_{C^N} \|\theta_2\|_{C^N} \|f\|_{L^1} \|g\|_{L^\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

and by (2.10), (2.12), and (2.15)

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{\tau_1}^{\phi_1, \phi_2}(f, g)\|_{L^1} &\leq A \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-jn/2} \|T_{\Theta_1(x, 2^{-j}\xi)}^{\phi_1} f\|_{L^1} \|T_{\Theta_2(x, 2^{-j}\eta)\varphi(\eta)}^{\phi_2} g\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim A \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-jn/2} 2^{j(\frac{n-1}{2} + \epsilon)} \|\theta_1\|_{C^N} \|f\|_{L^1} \|\theta_2\|_{C^N} \|g\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim A \|\theta_1\|_{C^N} \|\theta_2\|_{C^N} \|f\|_{L^1} \|g\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

These imply the desired estimates for  $\tilde{\sigma}_0$  and  $\tau_1$  with the embedding  $h^1 \hookrightarrow L^1$ .

Next, we consider the amplitude  $\tau_2$ . To obtain the desired inequality, it suffices to prove

$$\|T_{\tau_2}^{\phi_1, \phi_2}(f, g)\|_{L^1} \lesssim A \|\theta_1\|_{C^N} \|\theta_2\|_{C^N} \|g\|_{L^\infty}$$

for  $h^1$ -atoms  $f$ , which satisfy that

$$\text{supp } f \subset \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |y - \bar{y}| \leq r\}, \quad \|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq r^{-n},$$

and, in addition, if  $r \leq 1$

$$\int f(y) dy = 0.$$

For the case  $r \geq 1$ , since  $\|f\|_{L^2} \lesssim r^{-n/2} \leq 1$ , we have by (2.11), (2.13), and (2.17)

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{\tau_2}^{\phi_1, \phi_2}(f, g)\|_{L^1} &\leq |\tilde{K}|^{1/2} \|T_{\tau_2}^{\phi_1, \phi_2}(f, g)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim A \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-jn/2} \|T_{\Theta_1(x, 2^{-j}\xi)}^{\phi_1} f\|_{L^2} \|T_{\Theta_2(x, 2^{-j}\eta)\zeta(\eta)}^{\phi_2} g\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim A \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-jn/2} 2^{j(\frac{n-1}{2} + \epsilon)} \|\theta_1\|_{C^N} \|f\|_{L^2} \|\theta_2\|_{C^N} \|g\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim A \|\theta_1\|_{C^N} \|\theta_2\|_{C^N} \|g\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

For the case  $r \leq 1$ , we will use the following lemma. This lemma is essentially proved in [KMT, Lemma 4.4], but we will give its proof in Appendix A for the reader's convenience.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $n \geq 2$  and  $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a compact set, and let  $\zeta$  be the smooth function given in Notation 1.5 and let  $\theta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfy  $\text{supp } \theta \subset \{|\xi| \leq 2\}$ . Suppose that  $\phi$  is a non-degenerate phase function and set  $R = 1 + \sup\{|\nabla_\xi \phi(x, \xi)| \mid x \in \mathcal{K}, |\xi| = 1\}$ . Then for each positive integer  $N > n$ , there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that*

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-iy \cdot \xi + i\phi(x, \xi)} \zeta(\xi) \theta(2^{-j}\xi) d\xi \right| \leq C \|\theta\|_{C^N} |y|^{-N}$$

for  $x \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $|y| \geq 2R$ , and  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Now, we shall prove the case  $r \leq 1$ . We set

$$R = 1 + \sup\{|\nabla_\xi \phi_2(x, \xi)| \mid x \in \tilde{K}, |\xi| = 1\}$$

and decompose  $g$  as  $g = g\mathbf{1}_B + g\mathbf{1}_{B^c}$ , where  $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |x| \leq 2R\}$ . We first consider the estimate for  $T_{\tau_2}^{\phi_1, \phi_2}(f, g\mathbf{1}_{B^c})$ . By the kernel representation of (linear) Fourier integral operators, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &T_{\Theta_2(x, 2^{-j}\eta)\zeta(\eta)}^{\phi_2}(g\mathbf{1}_{B^c})(x) \\ &= \int_{|y| \geq 2R} g(y) \left\{ \chi(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-iy \cdot \eta + i\phi_2(x, \eta)} \zeta(\eta) \theta_2(2^{-j}\eta) d\eta \right\} dy. \end{aligned}$$



Then, Lemma 2.2 yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in \tilde{K}} |T_{\Theta_2(x, 2^{-j}\eta)\zeta(\eta)}^{\phi_2}(g\mathbf{1}_{B^c})(x)| &\lesssim \int_{|y| \geq 2R} |g(y)| \|\theta_2\|_{C^N} |y|^{-N} dy \\ &\lesssim \|\theta_2\|_{C^N} \|g\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since  $\|f\|_{L^1} \lesssim 1$ , we have by (2.11), (2.12), and the above estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{\tau_2}^{\phi_1, \phi_2}(f, g\mathbf{1}_{B^c})\|_{L^1} &\leq A \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-jn/2} \|T_{\Theta_1(x, 2^{-j}\xi)}^{\phi_1} f\|_{L^1} \|T_{\Theta_2(x, 2^{-j}\eta)\zeta(\eta)}^{\phi_2}(g\mathbf{1}_{B^c})\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{K})} \\ &\lesssim A \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-jn/2} 2^{j(\frac{n-1}{2} + \epsilon)} \|\theta_1\|_{C^N} \|f\|_{L^1} \|\theta_2\|_{C^N} \|g\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim A \|\theta_1\|_{C^N} \|\theta_2\|_{C^N} \|g\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

We finally consider the estimate for  $T_{\tau_2}^{\phi_1, \phi_2}(f, g\mathbf{1}_B)$ . We notice from (2.13) that

$$(2.18) \quad \|T_{\Theta_1(x, 2^{-j}\xi)}^{\phi_1} f\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\theta_1\|_{C^N} \|f\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\theta_1\|_{C^N} 2^{jn/2} (2^j r)^{-n/2}.$$

Moreover, we have by the kernel representation

$$T_{\Theta_1(x, 2^{-j}\xi)}^{\phi_1} f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) K_j(x, y) dy,$$

where

$$K_j(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-iy \cdot \xi + i\phi_1(x, \xi)} \chi(x) \theta_1(2^{-j}\xi) d\xi.$$

Here, by the moment condition of  $h^1$ -atoms, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\Theta_1(x, 2^{-j}\xi)}^{\phi_1} f(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) \{K_j(x, y) - K_j(x, \bar{y})\} dy \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha|=1} \iint_{\substack{|y-\bar{y}| \leq r, \\ 0 < t < 1}} f(y) (y - \bar{y})^\alpha (\partial_y^\alpha K_j)(x, \bar{y} + t(y - \bar{y})) dt dy, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the center of the ball containing  $\text{supp } f$ . By taking a function  $\tilde{\theta} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $\tilde{\theta} = 1$  on  $\text{supp } \theta_1$ , the kernel  $\partial_y^\alpha K_j$  can be regarded as

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_y^\alpha K_j)(x, y) &= (-i)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\phi_1(x, \xi)} \chi(x) \theta_1(2^{-j}\xi) \left\{ e^{-iy \cdot \xi} \xi^\alpha \tilde{\theta}(2^{-j}\xi) \right\} d\xi \\ &= (-i)^{|\alpha|} T_{\Theta_1(x, 2^{-j}\xi)}^{\phi_1} ([e^{-iy \cdot \xi} \xi^\alpha \tilde{\theta}(2^{-j}\xi)]^\vee)(x), \end{aligned}$$

which implies from (2.13) and Plancherel's theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\partial_y^\alpha K_j)(x, y)\|_{L_x^2} &= \|T_{\Theta_1(x, 2^{-j}\xi)}^{\phi_1} ([e^{-iy \cdot \xi} \xi^\alpha \tilde{\theta}(2^{-j}\xi)]^\vee)(x)\|_{L_x^2} \\ &\lesssim \|\theta_1\|_{C^N} \| [e^{-iy \cdot \xi} \xi^\alpha \tilde{\theta}(2^{-j}\xi)]^\vee \|_{L^2} \\ &\approx 2^{jn/2} 2^{j|\alpha|} \|\theta_1\|_{C^N} \end{aligned}$$

for any  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.19) \quad & \|T_{\Theta_1(x, 2^{-j}\xi)}^{\phi_1} f\|_{L^2} \\
& \leq \sum_{|\alpha|=1} \iint_{\substack{|y-\bar{y}|\leq r, \\ 0<t<1}} |f(y)| |y-\bar{y}| \left\| (\partial_y^\alpha K_j)(x, \bar{y} + t(y-\bar{y})) \right\|_{L_x^2} dt dy \\
& \lesssim 2^{jn/2} (2^j r) \|\theta_1\|_{C^N}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.18) and (2.19), we obtain

$$(2.20) \quad \|T_{\Theta_1(x, 2^{-j}\xi)}^{\phi_1} f\|_{L^2} \lesssim 2^{jn/2} \min\{(2^j r)^{-n/2}, (2^j r)\} \|\theta_1\|_{C^N}.$$

Therefore, since  $\|g\mathbf{1}_B\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|g\|_{L^\infty}$ , we have by (2.11), (2.20), and (2.16)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|T_{\tau_2}^{\phi_1, \phi_2}(f, g\mathbf{1}_B)\|_{L^1} \\
& \leq A \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-jn/2} \|T_{\Theta_1(x, 2^{-j}\xi)}^{\phi_1} f\|_{L^2} \|T_{\Theta_2(x, 2^{-j}\eta)\zeta(\eta)}^{\phi_2}(g\mathbf{1}_B)\|_{L^2} \\
& \lesssim A \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-jn/2} 2^{jn/2} \min\{(2^j r)^{-n/2}, (2^j r)\} \|\theta_1\|_{C^N} \|\theta_2\|_{C^N} \|g\mathbf{1}_B\|_{L^2} \\
& \lesssim A \|\theta_1\|_{C^N} \|\theta_2\|_{C^N} \|g\|_{L^\infty}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 2.1.  $\square$

## APPENDIX A.

In this appendix, we prove Lemma 2.2. To this end, we will use the lemma below, which is essentially proved in [KMT, Lemma 4.3].

**Lemma A.1.** *Let  $n \geq 2$  and  $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a compact set, and let  $\psi$  be a  $C^\infty$  function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying  $\text{supp } \psi \subset \{2^{-1} \leq |\xi| \leq 2\}$ . Suppose that  $\phi$  is a non-degenerate phase function and set  $R = 1 + \sup\{|\nabla_\xi \phi(x, \xi)| \mid x \in \mathcal{K}, |\xi| = 1\}$ . Then for each positive integer  $N$ , there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that*

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-iy \cdot \xi + i\phi(x, \xi)} \psi(2^{-j}\xi) d\xi \right| \leq C \|\psi\|_{C^N} (2^j)^{n-N} |y|^{-N}$$

for  $x \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $|y| \geq 2R$ , and  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* In this proof,  $I_j(x, y)$  denotes the integral of the left hand side. By changing variables,

$$I_j(x, y) = 2^{jn} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i2^j(y \cdot \xi - \phi(x, \xi))} \psi(\xi) d\xi.$$

For  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\ell = 1, \dots, n$ , we write

$$F_j = 2^j (y \cdot \xi - \phi(x, \xi)), \quad G_{j, \ell} = \frac{\partial_{\xi_\ell} F_j}{|\nabla_\xi F_j|^2}.$$

Then, since

$$e^{-iF_j} = i \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{\partial_{\xi_\ell} F_j}{|\nabla_\xi F_j|^2} \partial_{\xi_\ell} e^{-iF_j} = i \sum_{\ell=1}^n G_{j, \ell} \partial_{\xi_\ell} e^{-iF_j},$$

we have by integration by parts

$$\begin{aligned} I_j(x, y) &= 2^{jn} \int e^{-iF_j} \psi \, d\xi = 2^{jn} \int \left( i \sum_{\ell=1}^n G_{j,\ell} \partial_{\xi_\ell} e^{-iF_j} \right) \psi \, d\xi \\ &= 2^{jn} \sum_{\ell=1}^n (-i) \int e^{-iF_j} \partial_{\xi_\ell} (G_{j,\ell} \psi) \, d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Repeating integration by parts  $N$ -times, we have

$$I_j(x, y) = 2^{jn} \sum_{\substack{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_N \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \\ |\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_N + \beta| = N}} c \int e^{-iF_j} (\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha_1} G_{j,\ell_1}) \dots (\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha_N} G_{j,\ell_N}) (\partial_{\xi}^{\beta} \psi) \, d\xi.$$

Now, we observe that, for  $|\alpha| \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_{\xi} F_j| &= 2^j |y - \nabla_{\xi} \phi(x, \xi)| \approx 2^j |y|, \\ |\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} F_j| &= 2^j |\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \phi(x, \xi)| \lesssim 2^j \leq 2^j |y|, \end{aligned}$$

for  $x \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $|y| \geq 2R$ , and  $1/2 \leq |\xi| \leq 2$ . Then, we see that for any  $\alpha \in (\mathbb{N}_0)^n$

$$|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} G_{j,\ell}| \lesssim (2^j |y|)^{-1}$$

holds. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |I_j(x, y)| &\lesssim 2^{jn} \int_{1/2 \leq |\xi| \leq 2} (2^j |y|)^{-N} \|\psi\|_{C^N} \, d\xi \\ &\approx \|\psi\|_{C^N} (2^j)^{n-N} |y|^{-N}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 2.2.* From the property of  $\zeta$  stated in Notation 1.5, we have

$$\zeta(\xi) \theta(2^{-j} \xi) = \sum_{k=1}^{j+1} \psi(2^{-k} \xi) \theta(2^{-j} \xi).$$

If  $|y| \geq 2R$  and  $1 \leq k \leq j+1$ , then Lemma A.1 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-iy \cdot \xi + i\phi(x, \xi)} \psi(2^{-k} \xi) \theta(2^{-j} \xi) \, d\xi \right| &\lesssim (2^k)^{n-N} |y|^{-N} \|\psi(\cdot) \theta(2^{k-j} \cdot)\|_{C^N} \\ &\lesssim (2^k)^{n-N} |y|^{-N} \|\theta\|_{C^N}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if  $N > n$ , then taking sum over  $k$ , we complete the proof.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [BBMNT] Á Bényi, F. Bernicot, D. Maldonado, V. Naibo, and R.H. Torres, On the Hörmander classes of bilinear pseudodifferential operators II., *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **62** (2013), 1733–1764.
- [BT] Á. Bényi and R.H. Torres, Symbolic calculus and the transposes of bilinear pseudodifferential operators, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **28** (2003), 1161–1181.
- [CM] R. Coifman and Y. Meyer, Au delà des opérateurs pseudo-différentiels, *Astérisque* **57** (1978), 1–185.
- [DS] D. Dos Santos Ferreira and W. Staubach, Global and local regularity for Fourier integral operators on weighted and unweighted spaces, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **229** (2014).
- [GP] L. Grafakos and M. M. Peloso, Bilinear Fourier integral operators, *J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl.* **1** (2010), 161–182.
- [GT] L. Grafakos and R. Torres, Multilinear Calderón-Zygmund theory, *Adv. Math.* **165** (2002), 124–164.

- [Gol] D. Goldberg, A local version of real Hardy spaces, *Duke Math. J.* **46** (1979), 27–42.
- [Hör] L. Hörmander, Fourier integral operators. I, *Acta Math.* **127** (1971), 79–183.
- [KMT] T. Kato, A. Miyachi, and N. Tomita, Estimates for some bilinear wave operators, arXiv:2305.17870.
- [M] A. Miyachi, On some estimates for wave equations in  $L^p$  and in  $H^p$ , *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA Math.* **27** (1980), 331–354.
- [RRS1] S. Rodríguez-López, D. Rule, and W. Staubach, A Seeger–Sogge–Stein theorem for bilinear Fourier integral operators, *Adv. Math.* **264** (2014), 1–54.
- [RRS2] S. Rodríguez-López, D. Rule, and W. Staubach, On the boundedness of certain bilinear oscillatory integral operators, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **367** (2015), 6971–6995.
- [RS] S. Rodríguez-López and W. Staubach, Estimates for rough Fourier integral and pseudodifferential operators and applications to the boundedness of multilinear operators, *J. Funct. Anal.* **10** (2013), 2356–2385.
- [SSS] A. Seeger, C. D. Sogge, and E. M. Stein, Regularity properties of Fourier integral operators, *Ann. of Math.* **134** (1991), 231–251.
- [S] E. M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis, Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillating Integrals*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.

(T. Kato) DIVISION OF PURE AND APPLIED SCIENCE, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY,  
GUNMA UNIVERSITY, KIRYU, GUNMA 376-8515, JAPAN

(A. Miyachi) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO WOMAN’S CHRISTIAN UNIVERSITY, ZEMPUKUJI,  
SUGINAMI-KU, TOKYO 167-8585, JAPAN

(N. Tomita) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, OSAKA UNIVERSITY,  
TOYONAKA, OSAKA 560-0043, JAPAN

*Email address*, T. Kato: [t.katou@gunma-u.ac.jp](mailto:t.katou@gunma-u.ac.jp)

*Email address*, A. Miyachi: [miyachi@lab.twcu.ac.jp](mailto:miyachi@lab.twcu.ac.jp)

*Email address*, N. Tomita: [tomita@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp](mailto:tomita@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp)