

Torsion-free nilpotent groups of small Hirsch length with isomorphic finite quotients

Alexander Cant and Bettina Eick

May 5, 2023

Abstract

Let \mathcal{T} denote the class of finitely generated torsion-free nilpotent groups. For a group G let $F(G)$ be the set of isomorphism classes of finite quotients of G . Pickel proved that if $G \in \mathcal{T}$, then the set $\mathfrak{g}(G)$ of isomorphism classes of groups $H \in \mathcal{T}$ with $F(G) = F(H)$ is finite. We give an explicit description of the sets $\mathfrak{g}(G)$ for the \mathcal{T} -groups G of Hirsch length at most 5. Based on this, we show that for each Hirsch length $n \geq 4$ and for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a \mathcal{T} -group G of Hirsch length n with $|\mathfrak{g}(G)| \geq m$.

1 Introduction

Let G be a finitely generated torsion-free nilpotent group, \mathcal{T} -group for short, and write $F(G)$ for the set of isomorphism classes of finite quotients of G . The *genus* $\mathfrak{g}(G)$ of G (in the class of all \mathcal{T} -groups) is the set of isomorphism classes of \mathcal{T} -groups H with $F(G) = F(H)$. A purpose of this paper is to determine explicitly the genus $\mathfrak{g}(G)$ for each \mathcal{T} -group G of Hirsch length at most 5. This addresses the “Basic Problems” as introduced by Grunewald & Zalesskii [6] for \mathcal{T} -groups.

Pickel [15, 16] proved the interesting theorem that if G is a \mathcal{T} -group, then its genus $\mathfrak{g}(G)$ is finite. He obtains this result by showing that the elements in $\mathfrak{g}(G)$ correspond to a subset of the double cosets in a subgroup of $\prod_{p \text{ prime}} \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}_p G)$, where $\mathbb{Q}_p G$ is the rational p -adic completion of G . While this allows to prove the desired finiteness, it does not yield many insights into the nature of the groups in $\mathfrak{g}(G)$.

Our results here yield an explicit description of the genera of the \mathcal{T} -groups of Hirsch length at most 5. This provides an illustration of Pickel’s theorem [15, 16] and gives some insight into the nature of the groups in $\mathfrak{g}(G)$ for a \mathcal{T} -group G of Hirsch length at most 5. Our methods rely on the classification up to isomorphisms of the \mathcal{T} -groups of Hirsch length at most 5 by Eick & Engel [4].

Grunewald & Scharlau [8] proved that the genus of a \mathcal{T} -group of Hirsch length at most 5 and class at most 2 is trivial; that is, it consists of a single isomorphism class only. Thus the genus of a \mathcal{T} -group of Hirsch length at most 3 is trivial. Our results here imply the following, see Corollary 3 and Section 4.1 below.

1 Theorem: *For each $n \geq 4$ and each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a \mathcal{T} -group G of Hirsch length n whose genus $\mathfrak{g}(G)$ has at least m elements.*

Grunewald & Scharlau [8] proved that for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a \mathcal{T} -group G of Hirsch length 6 whose genus $\mathfrak{g}(G)$ has more than m elements. Theorem 1 extends this result to all possible Hirsch lengths. We also note that the groups used in the proof of Theorem 1 have class 3, while the groups in [8] have class 2.

Based on work by Pickel [17], Grunewald, Pickel & Segal [7] proved that the genus in the class of polycyclic-by-finite groups is finite. In contrast, the work by Nekrashevych [13] as well as by Nikolov & Segal [14] shows that there are classes of groups that allow uncountably large genera.

2 Results

Let G be a \mathcal{T} -group of Hirsch length n and class c . We denote with $G = \gamma_1(G) > \dots > \gamma_c(G) > \gamma_{c+1}(G) = \{1\}$ the lower central series of G and for $1 \leq i \leq c+1$ we write $I_i(G)/\gamma_i(G)$ for the torsion subgroup of $G/\gamma_i(G)$. Then

$$G = I_1(G) > I_2(G) > \dots > I_c(G) > I_{c+1}(G) = \{1\}$$

is the *isolator series* of G . It is a central series with torsion-free abelian quotients. The sequence (d_1, \dots, d_c) of ranks of these quotients is the *type* of G .

We refine the isolator series of G to a central series $G = G_1 > G_2 > \dots > G_n > G_{n+1} = \{1\}$ whose quotients are infinite cyclic. For all i , let $g_i G_{i+1}$ be a generator of G_i/G_{i+1} . Then (g_1, \dots, g_n) is known as a *basis* of G . Note that every group element has a unique representation of the form $g_1^{x_1} \cdot \dots \cdot g_n^{x_n}$ with integer exponents x_1, \dots, x_n . Throughout the article let $\mathbb{Z}(n)$ denote the set of all sequences $(t_{i,j})_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n}$. Each basis of G induces a finite presentation for G of the form

$$\langle g_1, \dots, g_n \mid [g_j, g_i] = 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i < j \leq n \rangle$$

(see [13, §2.99]). We refer to [13, §2.99] as the *standard presentation* of G .

Let $(t_{i,j})_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} \in \mathbb{Z}(n)$. We define the *presentation* of G associated to $(t_{i,j})_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} \in \mathbb{Z}(n)$ as

$$\langle g_1, \dots, g_n \mid [g_j, g_i] = t_{i,j} \text{ for } 1 \leq i < j \leq n \rangle$$

and denote it by $P(G, (t_{i,j})_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n})$. We refer to [13, §2.99] as the *standard presentation* of G .

Let $(t_{i,j})_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} \in \mathbb{Z}(n)$. We define the *presentation* of G associated to $(t_{i,j})_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} \in \mathbb{Z}(n)$ as

$$\langle g_1, \dots, g_n \mid [g_j, g_i] = t_{i,j} \text{ for } 1 \leq i < j \leq n \rangle$$

and denote it by $P(G, (t_{i,j})_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n})$. We refer to [13, §2.99] as the *standard presentation* of G .

Let $(t_{i,j})_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} \in \mathbb{Z}(n)$. We define the *presentation* of G associated to $(t_{i,j})_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} \in \mathbb{Z}(n)$ as

$$\langle g_1, \dots, g_n \mid [g_j, g_i] = t_{i,j} \text{ for } 1 \leq i < j \leq n \rangle$$

and denote it by $P(G, (t_{i,j})_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n})$. We refer to [13, §2.99] as the *standard presentation* of G .

Let $(t_{i,j})_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} \in \mathbb{Z}(n)$. We define the *presentation* of G associated to $(t_{i,j})_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} \in \mathbb{Z}(n)$ as

$$\langle g_1, \dots, g_n \mid [g_j, g_i] = t_{i,j} \text{ for } 1 \leq i < j \leq n \rangle$$

and denote it by $P(G, (t_{i,j})_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n})$. We refer to [13, §2.99] as the *standard presentation* of G .

Let $(t_{i,j})_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} \in \mathbb{Z}(n)$. We define the *presentation* of G associated to $(t_{i,j})_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} \in \mathbb{Z}(n)$ as

$$\langle g_1, \dots, g_n \mid [g_j, g_i] = t_{i,j} \text{ for } 1 \leq i < j \leq n \rangle$$

and denote it by $P(G, (t_{i,j})_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n})$. We refer to [13, §2.99] as the *standard presentation* of G .

Let $(t_{i,j})_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} \in \mathbb{Z}(n)$. We define the *presentation* of G associated to $(t_{i,j})_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} \in \mathbb{Z}(n)$ as

$$\langle g_1, \dots, g_n \mid [g_j, g_i] = t_{i,j} \text{ for } 1 \leq i < j \leq n \rangle$$

and denote it by $P(G, (t_{i,j})_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n})$. We refer to [13, §2.99] as the *standard presentation* of G .

Let $(t_{i,j})_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} \in \mathbb{Z}(n)$. We define the *presentation* of G associated to $(t_{i,j})_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} \in \mathbb{Z}(n)$ as

$$\langle g_1, \dots, g_n \mid [g_j, g_i] = t_{i,j} \text{ for } 1 \leq i < j \leq n \rangle$$

and denote it by $P(G, (t_{i,j})_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n})$. We refer to [13, §2.99] as the *standard presentation* of G .

Let $(t_{i,j})_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} \in \mathbb{Z}(n)$. We define the *presentation* of G associated to $(t_{i,j})_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} \in \mathbb{Z}(n)$ as

$$\langle g_1, \dots, g_n \mid [g_j, g_i] = t_{i,j} \text{ for } 1 \leq i < j \leq n \rangle$$

and denote it by $P(G, (t_{i,j})_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n})$. We refer to [13, §2.99] as the *standard presentation* of G .

Let $(t_{i,j})_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} \in \mathbb{Z}(n)$. We define the *presentation* of G associated to $(t_{i,j})_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} \in \mathbb{Z}(n)$ as

$$\langle g_1, \dots, g_n \mid [g_j, g_i] = t_{i,j} \text{ for } 1 \leq i < j \leq n \rangle$$

For a prime p let $t_i \in T(2, 1, 1)$ be defined by $t_{i,123} = t_{i,134} = p$ and $t_{i,124} = i$. Then $\{G(t_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor\}$ consists of pairwise non-isomorphic groups with the same finite quotients and hence $|\mathfrak{g}(G(t_i))| \geq \lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor$. In Section 4 we observe that if G is of type $(2, 1, 1)$ and A is free abelian of finite rank, then $|\mathfrak{g}(G \times A)| \geq |\mathfrak{g}(G)|$ follows. Hence we obtain the following which, in turn, proves Theorem 1.

3 Corollary: For each $n \geq 2$ there is no finite upper bound to the size of the elements of a \mathcal{T} -group of type $(n, 1, 1)$

2.2 Type $(3, 1, 1)$

For $t \in \mathbb{Z}(5)$ define $d_1(t) = \gcd(t_{145}, t_{235})$ and $d_2(t) = \gcd(t_{124}, t_{135}, d_1(t))$. Further, we write

$$T(3, 1, 1) = \{t \in \mathbb{Z}(5) \mid 0 < t_{124}, t_{145}; 0 \leq t_{235}; 0 \leq t_{135} \leq \frac{d_1(t)}{2}; 0 \leq t_{125} \leq \frac{d_2(t)}{2}; \\ t_{ijk} = 0 \text{ otherwise}\}.$$

Part (a) of the following theorem follows from the work of Eick & Engel [4] and part (b) is our second main result here.

4 Theorem:

- (a) For each \mathcal{T} -group G of type $(3, 1, 1)$ there exists a unique $t \in T(3, 1, 1)$ with $G \cong G(t)$
(b) Let $s, t \in T(3, 1, 1)$ and $f: F(G(s)) = F(G(t))$ and on y f
- $s_{ijk} = t_{ijk}$ for $(ijk) \in \{(124), (145), (235)\}$
 - for each ax a prime power p^k dividing $d_1(s)$ there exists $w_p \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $p \nmid w_p$ and $p^k \mid (t_{135}w_p - s_{135})$ and
 - for each ax a prime power p^k dividing $d_2(s)$ there exists $v_p \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $p \nmid v_p$ and $p^k \mid (t_{125}v_p - s_{125})$

2.3 Type $(2, 1, 1, 1)$

For $t \in \mathbb{Z}(5)$ define $d_3(t) = \gcd(t_{123}, t_{145}, t_{235})$. Consider the set

$$T_0(2, 1, 1, 1) = \{t \in \mathbb{Z}(5) \mid 0 < t_{123}, t_{134}, t_{145}; 0 \leq t_{124}, t_{125}, t_{135}, t_{235}; \\ t_{ijk} = 0 \text{ otherwise}\}.$$

We define a relation on $T_0(2, 1, 1, 1)$ over a ring R that is either \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{Z}_p via $s \sim_R t$ if $s_{ijk} = t_{ijk}$ for $(ijk) \in \{(123), (134), (145), (235)\}$ and there exist $u \in R^*$ and $v, w, x, y, z \in R$ so that

$$t_{124}u = s_{124} - s_{123}w + s_{134}v, \\ t_{135}u = s_{135} + s_{145}w + s_{134}x + s_{235}y, \text{ and} \\ t_{125}u^2 = s_{125} + s_{135}v + s_{124}x + s_{134}vx + d_3(s)z.$$

We note that this is an equivalence relation that corresponds to an action of the group $R^5 \rtimes R^*$ on $T_0(2, 1, 1, 1)$. Let $T(2, 1, 1, 1)$ be a set of representatives for $\sim_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Part (a) of

the following theorem follows from the work of Eick & Engel [4] and part (b) is our third main result here.

5 Theorem:

- (a) For each \mathcal{T} -group G of type $(2, 1, 1, 1)$ there exists a unique $t \in T(2, 1, 1, 1)$ with $G \cong G(t)$
- (b) Let $s, t \in T(2, 1, 1, 1)$ such that $F(G(s)) = F(G(t))$ and on y $f s \sim_{\mathbb{Z}_p} t$ for a prime p or equivalent y f and on y f
- $s_{ijk} = t_{ijk}$ for $(ijk) \in \{(123), (134), (145), (235)\}$ and
 - for each prime p there are $u, v, w, x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $p \nmid u$ so that with p^k the following congruences hold

$$\begin{aligned} t_{124}u &\equiv s_{124} - s_{123}w + s_{134}v && \pmod{p^k}, \\ t_{135}u &\equiv s_{135} + s_{145}w + s_{134}x + s_{235}y && \pmod{p^k}, \text{ and} \\ t_{125}u^2 &\equiv s_{125} + s_{135}v + s_{124}x + s_{134}vx + d_3(s)z && \pmod{p^k}. \end{aligned}$$

2.4 Type $(2, 1, 2)$

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $R \in \{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_p\}$ let $D_k(R)$ denote the congruence subgroup

$$D_k(R) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \mid k \mid a_{12} \right\} \leq \text{GL}(2, R).$$

For $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$ with $a \mid b$ define $L(a, b, c) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \mid x < \gcd(a, c), y < \gcd(b, c)\}$. Then $L(a, b, c)$ is a finite set and the group $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ acts on this set via

$$(x, y) \mapsto (x, y)M \pmod{(\gcd(a, c), \gcd(b, c))},$$

where the mod-reduction is carried out independently in both components. Let $O(a, b, c)$ denote a set of orbit representatives for the action of $D_{b/a}(\mathbb{Z})$ on $L(a, b, c)$. We write

$$\begin{aligned} T(2, 1, 2) = \{ t \in \mathbb{Z}(5) \mid 0 < t_{123}, t_{134}, t_{235}; t_{134} \mid t_{235}; (t_{124}, t_{125}) \in O(t_{134}, t_{235}, t_{123}); \\ t_{ijk} = 0 \text{ otherwise} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Part (a) of the following theorem follows from the work of Eick & Engel [4] and part (b) is our fourth main result here.

6 Theorem:

- (a) For each \mathcal{T} -group G of type $(2, 1, 2)$ there exists a unique $t \in T(2, 1, 2)$ with $G \cong G(t)$
- (b) Let $s, t \in T(2, 1, 2)$ and $k = s_{235}/s_{134}$ such that $F(G(t)) = F(G(s))$ and on y f
- $t_{ijk} = s_{ijk}$ for $(ijk) \in \{(123), (134), (235)\}$ and
 - for every prime p the tuples (s_{124}, s_{125}) and (t_{124}, t_{125}) are not the same orbit under the action of $D_k(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ on $L(t_{134}, t_{235}, t_{123})$

An explicit description of the orbits in Theorem 6(b) is given in Remark 14.

3 Methods

This section describes the principal ideas used to prove the above results. Let G be a \mathcal{T} -group with basis (g_1, \dots, g_n) . For $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ we write g^x as a short form of $g_1^{x_1} \dots g_n^{x_n}$. The multiplication, inversion and powering in G can be represented by functions $f_j: \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and $k_j: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ defined via

$$g_1^{f_1(x,y)} \dots g_n^{f_n(x,y)} = g^x g^y \quad \text{and} \quad g_1^{k_1(\ell,x)} \dots g_n^{k_n(\ell,x)} = (g^x)^\ell.$$

Hall [9] proved that the functions f_1, \dots, f_n and k_1, \dots, k_n can be described by rational polynomials, nowadays called Hall polynomials. We note the following.

7 Lemma: *↪ The Hall polynomials of a \mathcal{T} -group with respect to a fixed basis are unique*

Proof: This follows directly from the observation that a multivariate rational polynomial $h \in \mathbb{Q}[z_1, \dots, z_m]$ with $h(z) = 0$ for all $z = (z_1, \dots, z_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ is the zero polynomial. •

As a next step we extend the ring of exponents of group elements from \mathbb{Z} to the rational numbers \mathbb{Q} , the p -adic integers \mathbb{Z}_p or the p -adic rationals \mathbb{Q}_p . The following lemma provides a starting point for this.

8 Lemma: *Let $f \in \mathbb{Q}[z_1, \dots, z_m]$ so that $f(a) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $a = (a_1, \dots, a_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ and let $R = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p$ or \mathbb{Q}_p . ↪ Then $f(a) \in R$ for all $a \in R^m$*

Proof: The cases $R = \mathbb{Q}$ and $R = \mathbb{Q}_p$ are obvious, since f has rational coefficients. It remains to consider the case $R = \mathbb{Z}_p$. It is well-known that a rational polynomial which takes integer values on integer inputs is a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of polynomials of the form

$$f_{s_1, \dots, s_m}(z_1, \dots, z_m) := \binom{z_1}{s_1} \dots \binom{z_m}{s_m},$$

where $s_1, \dots, s_m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, z_1, \dots, z_m are indeterminates and

$$\binom{z_i}{s_i} = \frac{z_i(z_i - 1) \dots (z_i - s_i + 1)}{s_i!}.$$

Clearly, $\binom{a}{s} \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ holds for $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$. By definition, a is the limit of a Cauchy sequence $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of non-negative integers with respect to the p -adic absolute value. Further $\binom{a_i}{s} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all i . As polynomial functions are continuous and \mathbb{Z}_p is closed and therefore complete, we obtain that $\binom{a}{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. •

3.1 Completions

Let G be a \mathcal{T} -group with basis (g_1, \dots, g_n) . Then $G = \{g^a \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$. For $R = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p$ or \mathbb{Q}_p we define the set RG via

$$RG = \{g^a \mid a \in R^n\}.$$

The Hall polynomials f_1, \dots, f_n of G facilitate a multiplication on RG : For $g^a, g^b \in RG$ we define $g^a \cdot g^b := g^{f(a,b)} \in RG$, where $f(a,b) = (f_1(a,b), \dots, f_n(a,b))$.

9 Lemma: *Let G be a \mathcal{T} -group and $R = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}_p$ or \mathbb{Q}_p*

- (a) *RG equipped with the multiplication is a group*
- (b) *The structure type of the group RG is independent of the chosen basis*

Proof: (a) The multiplication is well-defined by Lemmas 7 and 8. It is not difficult to observe that an identity element and inverses are contained in RG . The proof of Lemma 7 implies that the multiplication is associative, as the multiplication in G is associative.

(b) Let (g_1, \dots, g_n) be a basis for G and let (h_1, \dots, h_n) be a basis for another \mathcal{T} -group H . Suppose that $\varphi: G \rightarrow H$ is an isomorphism. We show that φ extends to an isomorphism $RG \rightarrow RH$. Applying this with $G = H$ and two different bases then yields the desired result.

For $1 \leq i \leq n$ let $w_i = \varphi(g_i) = h^{r_i}$ with $r_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. The Hall polynomials $\bar{f}_1, \dots, \bar{f}_n$ of H and the values r_1, \dots, r_n can be used to determine rational polynomials m_1, \dots, m_n satisfying that $\varphi(g^x) = w_1^{x_1} \dots w_n^{x_n} = h_1^{m_1(x)} \dots h_n^{m_n(x)}$ holds for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Since φ is a group homomorphism, it follows that

$$h^{m(f(x,y))} = \varphi(g^{f(x,y)}) = \varphi(g^x g^y) = \varphi(g^x) \varphi(g^y) = h^{m(x)} h^{m(y)} = h^{\bar{f}(m(x), m(y))}$$

and thus $m(f(x,y)) = \bar{f}(m(x), m(y))$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. This equation extends to $x, y \in R^n$ and thus φ extends to a group homomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}: RG \rightarrow RH$. Similarly, the inverse of φ extends to a homomorphism $RH \rightarrow RG$, and this extension inverts $\tilde{\varphi}$. Thus $\tilde{\varphi}$ is an isomorphism. •

A group H is called \mathbb{Q} -powered if for every $h \in H$ and every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a unique $k \in H$ with $k^m = h$. A \mathbb{Q} -powered hull of a \mathcal{T} -group G is a \mathbb{Q} -powered group H containing G with the property that for every $h \in H$ there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $h^m \in G$. Malcev [12] observed that a \mathbb{Q} -powered hull of a \mathcal{T} -group exists and that it is unique up to isomorphism. The construction of $\mathbb{Q}G$ implies the following; its elementary proof is left to the reader.

10 Theorem: *Let G be a \mathcal{T} -group then $\mathbb{Q}G$ is a \mathbb{Q} -powered hull of G*

The following theorem summarizes the relationship between properties of pairs of \mathcal{T} -groups and isomorphisms of their completions. Recall that groups G and H are *co-enumerable* if there are subgroups of finite index $\tilde{G} \leq G$ and $\tilde{H} \leq H$ with $\tilde{G} \cong \tilde{H}$. The Malcev-correspondence associates a rational Lie algebra $\Lambda(G)$ with a \mathcal{T} -group G . This can be constructed explicitly via the inverse Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula, see [15, Sec. 2] or [1] for details.

11 Theorem: *Let G and H be \mathcal{T} -groups*

- (a) $F(G) = F(H)$ if and only if $\mathbb{Z}_p G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p H$ for a prime p
- (b) G and H are commensurable if and only if $\mathbb{Q}G \cong \mathbb{Q}H$ or equivalently $\Lambda(G) \cong \Lambda(H)$
- (c) If G and H are commensurable then $\mathbb{Z}_p G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p H$ for a prime p
- (d) If $\mathbb{Z}_p G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p H$ for a prime p then G and H have the same type

Proof: Pickel [15, 16] proved similar theorems, but using a different definition for $\mathbb{Z}_p G$: For a finitely generated nilpotent group G , Pickel defines $\mathbb{Z}_p G$ as the completion of G with respect to the uniform topology whose neighborhood basis of the identity consists of the groups $\{G^{p^i} \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. This completion coincides with the inverse limit of the quotients $\{G/G^{p^i} \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. If G is a \mathcal{T} -group, then Pickel's definition for $\mathbb{Z}_p G$ coincides with our definition, see Lemma 1.3 in [15]. Hence Pickel's results apply in our setting.

- (a) This is Lemma 1.2 in [15] and it is attributed to Borel.
- (b) This is often attributed to Malcev [12]. Alternatively, see [15, p. 330 & Sec. 2].
- (c) Suppose that G and H are commensurable and let $\tilde{G} \leq G$ and $\tilde{H} \leq H$ both of finite index in G and H , respectively. If p is a prime with $p \nmid [G : \tilde{G}]$ and $p \nmid [H : \tilde{H}]$, then Lemma 1.8 in [15] implies that $\mathbb{Z}_p G = \mathbb{Z}_p \tilde{G} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \tilde{H} = \mathbb{Z}_p H$.
- (d) Suppose that $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}_p G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p H$ is an isomorphism. Then $\varphi(\mathbb{Z}_p I_i(G)) = \mathbb{Z}_p I_i(H)$, since $\mathbb{Z}_p \gamma_i(G) = \gamma_i(\mathbb{Z}_p G)$ is invariant under isomorphisms and further $\mathbb{Z}_p I_i(G)/\mathbb{Z}_p \gamma_i(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p(I_i(G)/\gamma_i(G))$ is the torsion subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_p(G/\gamma_i(G))$. Hence $\mathbb{Z}_p(I_i(G)/I_{i+1}(G)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p(I_i(H)/I_{i+1}(H))$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and G and H have the same type. •

3.2 Isomorphisms

The following result is the foundation to our approach towards testing for isomorphic finite quotients. Eick & Engel [4, Lemma 7] used a similar result to test isomorphisms of \mathcal{T} -groups.

12 Theorem: *Let $t, s \in \mathbb{Z}(n)$ so that $G(t)$ and $G(s)$ are \mathcal{T} -groups of the same type (d_1, \dots, d_c) with bases (g_1, \dots, g_n) and (h_1, \dots, h_n) respectively*

- (a) Let p be a prime and $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}_p G(t) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p G(s)$ an isomorphism. Then $\varphi(\mathbb{Z}_p I_i(G(t))) = \mathbb{Z}_p I_i(G(s))$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$
- (b) Let p be a prime and $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}_p G(t) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p G(s)$ an isomorphism. Then for $1 \leq j \leq n$ it follows that $\varphi(g_j) = h^{m_j}$ for some $m_j = (m_{11}, \dots, m_{1n}) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ and there exists a block upper triangular matrix $M = (m_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ with diagonal blocks M_1, \dots, M_c satisfying $M_i \in \text{GL}(d_i, \mathbb{Z}_p)$
- (c) $F(G(t)) = F(G(s))$ if and only if for a prime p there are $m_1, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ so that the elements $h^{m_1}, \dots, h^{m_n} \in G(s)$ satisfy the relations of $G(t)$ and there exists $M = (m_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ invertible over \mathbb{Z}_p

Proof: (a) This is part of the proof of Theorem 11 (d).

(b) Let $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}_p G(t) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p G(s)$ be an isomorphism. If $g_j \in I_i(G(t))$, then $\varphi(g_j) \in \mathbb{Z}_p I_i(G(s))$

by (a). Hence M is in block upper triangular form and the blocks on the diagonal correspond to the isomorphisms $\varphi_i: \mathbb{Z}_p I_i(G(t))/\mathbb{Z}_p I_{i+1}(G(t)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p I_i(G(s))/\mathbb{Z}_p I_{i+1}(G(s))$ induced by φ . Since $\mathbb{Z}_p I_i(G(t))/\mathbb{Z}_p I_{i+1}(G(t)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{d_i} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p I_i(G(s))/\mathbb{Z}_p I_{i+1}(G(s))$, each isomorphism φ_i corresponds to an invertible $d_i \times d_i$ -matrix over \mathbb{Z}_p .

(c) By (b) and Theorem 11 (a) it suffices to prove the following for an arbitrary prime p : If there are $m_1, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ so that the elements h^{m_1}, \dots, h^{m_n} satisfy the relations of $G(t)$ and the matrix of exponents $M = (m_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is invertible over \mathbb{Z}_p , then $\mathbb{Z}_p G(t) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p G(s)$ follows.

Fix a prime p and assume that $m_1, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ exist. Let $\varphi: \{g_1, \dots, g_n\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p G(s)$, $g_i \mapsto h^{m_i}$. As the images $\varphi(g_i)$ satisfy the relations of $G(t)$, it follows that φ extends to an epimorphism $\tilde{\varphi}: G(t) \rightarrow H \leq \mathbb{Z}_p G(s)$, where H is the subgroup generated by $h_1^{m_1}, \dots, h_n^{m_n}$. As M is invertible, it induces isomorphisms $I_i(G(t))/I_{i+1}(G(t))$ onto $I_i(H)/I_{i+1}(H)$. This implies that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is injective. Lemma 9(b) now yields that $\tilde{\varphi}$ extends to an isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_p G(t) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p H$. Finally, M is invertible, hence $\mathbb{Z}_p H = \mathbb{Z}_p G(s)$. •

Our general approach to classify \mathcal{T} -groups up to isomorphic finite quotients is the following: Fix a type and consider indeterminates for s and t . Theorem 12(c) translates the condition $F(G(s)) = F(G(t))$ into a system of equations in s, t and the entries of a block upper triangular matrix M . It now remains to determine for which integral values of s and t this system of equations is solvable over \mathbb{Z}_p for all primes p .

13 Lemma: *Let G be a \mathcal{T} group and let $C_i(G) = C_G(I_i(G)/I_{i+2}(G))$ be a two step centralizer in $C_i(G)$ and $Z_p C_i(G)$ its characteristic subgroup.*

Proof: We note that $Z_p C_i(G) = C_{Z_p G}(Z_p I_i(G)/Z_p I_{i+2}(G))$. Now the result follows, since centralizers of characteristic subgroups are characteristic, see also Theorem 12(a). •

4 Proofs

This section contains the proofs for our results in Section 2. We use the parameterized Hall polynomials for \mathcal{T} -groups of Hirsch length at most 5, see [2], the solution to the isomorphism problem for these groups as described in [4] and the computer algebra system GAP [5] as starting points. Our GAP code is publically available at [3]. Further, for a prime p let $\nu_p: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ denote the p -adic valuation.

4.1 Proof of Theorem 1 and Corollary 3

Let G be a \mathcal{T} -group of type $(2, 1, 1)$ so that the genus $\mathfrak{g}(G)$ contains m pairwise non-isomorphic groups H_1, \dots, H_m of type $(2, 1, 1)$, see Section 2.1, and let A be free abelian of rank ℓ . We show that $H_1 \times A, \dots, H_m \times A$ are pairwise non-isomorphic groups in $\mathfrak{g}(G \times A)$.

Consider $H \in \mathfrak{g}(G)$. Then $Z_p(H \times A) = Z_p H \times Z_p A$ by construction for all primes p . This implies that $F(H \times A) = F(G \times A)$ by Theorem 11. Hence $H \times A \in \mathfrak{g}(G \times A)$.

The result that $H_i \times A \not\cong H_j \times A$ for $i \neq j$ follows from Hirshon [10], since H_i and H_j both are of type $(2, 1, 1)$ and thus have cyclic centers. •

4.2 \mathcal{T} -groups of type (2, 1, 1)

Proof of \blackleftarrow eore .b Let $s, t \in T(2, 1, 1)$ and write (g_1, \dots, g_4) for a basis of $G(t)$ and (h_1, \dots, h_4) for a basis of $G(s)$. Then $C_2(G(t))$ satisfies $G(t) > C_2(G(t)) > I_2(G(t))$ with $G(t)/C_2(G(t))$ infinite cyclic and $C_2(G(t))/I_2(G(t))$ infinite cyclic, see [4, Lemma 8]. Using Theorem 12 and Lemma 13, we assume that an isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_p G(t) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p G(s)$ has the form

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &\mapsto h_1^{m_{11}} h_2^{m_{12}} h_3^{m_{13}} h_4^{m_{14}} \\ g_2 &\mapsto h_2^{m_{22}} h_3^{m_{23}} h_4^{m_{24}} \\ g_3 &\mapsto h_3^{m_{33}} h_4^{m_{34}} \\ g_4 &\mapsto h_4^{m_{44}} \end{aligned}$$

where m_{ii} are invertible over \mathbb{Z}_p and $m_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Evaluating the relations of $G(t)$ in $G(s)$ translates to the following equations. (This translation can best be done by computer, see [3] for GAP code for this purpose.)

- (1) $t_{123} m_{11}^{-1} m_{22}^{-1} m_{33} - s_{123} = 0$
- (2) $t_{134} m_{11}^{-1} m_{33}^{-1} m_{44} - s_{134} = 0$
- (3) $t_{124} m_{11}^{-1} m_{22}^{-1} m_{44} - s_{124} = s_{123}(s_{134}(m_{11} - 1)/2 - m_{33}^{-1} m_{34}) + s_{134} m_{22}^{-1} m_{23}$

Equations (1–2) have solutions over \mathbb{Z}_p for all primes p if and only if $s_{123} = t_{123}$ and $s_{134} = t_{134}$, since $m_{ii} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$. In this case $m_{33} = m_{11} m_{22}$ and $m_{44} = m_{11}^2 m_{22}$ follow and it remains to consider Equation (3). This has a solution over \mathbb{Z}_p if and only if there are $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ with

$$t_{124} u - s_{124} = s_{123} x + s_{134} y.$$

We note that if x and y range over \mathbb{Z}_p , then $s_{123} x + s_{134} y$ ranges precisely over the \mathbb{Z}_p -multiples of $d(s) = \gcd(s_{123}, s_{134})$. Let $k = \nu_p(d(s))$. Then the \mathbb{Z}_p -multiples of $d(s)$ coincide with the \mathbb{Z}_p -multiples of p^k , since $d(s)/p^k$ is a unit in \mathbb{Z}_p .

Hence a solution of Equation (3) implies the existence of a $w_p \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $p \nmid w_p$ so that

$$t_{124} w_p - s_{124} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}.$$

Vice versa, such an integer w_p is a unit in \mathbb{Z}_p and its existence shows that Equation (3) can be solved. •

4.3 \mathcal{T} -groups of type (3, 1, 1)

Proof of \blackleftarrow eore .b Let $s, t \in T(3, 1, 1)$ and write (g_1, \dots, g_5) for the basis of $G(t)$ and (h_1, \dots, h_5) for the basis of $G(s)$. The presentation of $G(t)$ implies $C_1(G(t)) = \langle g_3, \dots, g_5 \rangle$ and $C_2(G(t)) = \langle g_2, \dots, g_5 \rangle$. Theorem 12 and Lemma 13 show that an isomorphism

$\mathbb{Z}_p G(t) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p G(s)$ has the form

$$\begin{aligned}
g_1 &\mapsto h_1^{m_{11}} h_2^{m_{12}} h_3^{m_{13}} h_4^{m_{14}} h_5^{m_{15}} \\
g_2 &\mapsto h_2^{m_{22}} h_3^{m_{23}} h_4^{m_{24}} h_5^{m_{25}} \\
g_3 &\mapsto h_3^{m_{33}} h_4^{m_{34}} h_5^{m_{35}} \\
g_4 &\mapsto h_4^{m_{44}} h_5^{m_{45}} \\
g_5 &\mapsto h_5^{m_{55}}
\end{aligned}$$

where m_{ii} are invertible over \mathbb{Z}_p and $m_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Evaluating the relations of $G(t)$ in $G(s)$ translates to the following equations.

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) \quad & t_{124} m_{11}^{-1} m_{22}^{-1} m_{44} - s_{124} = 0 \\
(2) \quad & t_{145} m_{11}^{-1} m_{44}^{-1} m_{55} - s_{145} = 0 \\
(3) \quad & t_{235} m_{22}^{-1} m_{33}^{-1} m_{55} - s_{235} = 0 \\
(4) \quad & t_{135} m_{11}^{-1} m_{33}^{-1} m_{55} - s_{135} = s_{145} m_{33}^{-1} m_{34} + s_{235} m_{11}^{-1} m_{12} \\
(5) \quad & t_{125} m_{11}^{-1} m_{22}^{-1} m_{55} - s_{125} = s_{124} (s_{145} (m_{11} - 1) / 2 - m_{44}^{-1} m_{45}) + s_{135} m_{22}^{-1} m_{23} \\
& \quad \quad \quad + s_{145} m_{22}^{-1} m_{24} + s_{235} (m_{11}^{-1} m_{22}^{-1} m_{12} m_{23} - m_{11}^{-1} m_{13})
\end{aligned}$$

Equations (1–3) have solutions over \mathbb{Z}_p for all primes p if and only if $s_{ijk} = t_{ijk}$ for $(ijk) \in \{(124), (145), (235)\}$. In this case $m_{44} = m_{11} m_{22}$ and $m_{55} = m_{11}^2 m_{22}$ follow. If $s_{235} > 0$, then $m_{33} = m_{11}^2$ follows additionally.

It remains to consider Equations (4–5). Note that $m_{11}^{-1} m_{33}^{-1} m_{55} = m_{11} m_{22} m_{33}^{-1}$ and $m_{11}^{-1} m_{22}^{-1} m_{55} = m_{11}$ can take arbitrary values in \mathbb{Z}_p^* independently. Further note that the right hand sides of Equations (4–5) independently range over all \mathbb{Z}_p -multiples of $d_1(s) = \gcd(s_{145}, s_{235})$ and $d_2(s) = \gcd(s_{124}, s_{135}, d_1(s))$, respectively.

Fix a prime p and let $k_1 = \nu_p(d_1(s))$ and $k_2 = \nu_p(d_2(s))$. Similar to our proof of Theorem 2 (b), we deduce that Equations (4–5) have a solution if and only if there are $w_p, v_p \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus p\mathbb{Z}$ so that $p^{k_1} \mid (t_{135} w_p - s_{135})$ and $p^{k_2} \mid (t_{125} v_p - s_{125})$ hold. \bullet

4.4 \mathcal{T} -groups of type $(2, 1, 1, 1)$

Proof of \blackleftarrow{eore} .b Let $s, t \in T(2, 1, 1, 1)$ and write (g_1, \dots, g_5) for the basis of $G(t)$ and (h_1, \dots, h_5) for the basis of $G(s)$. The presentation of $G(t)$ implies $C_3(G(t)) = \langle g_2, \dots, g_5 \rangle$. Thus an isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_p G(t) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p G(s)$ has the same general form as for type $(3, 1, 1)$, see also Theorem 12 and Lemma 13. Evaluating the relations of $G(t)$ in the images of an

isomorphism translates to 7 equations. We define

$$\begin{aligned}
v &= s_{123}(m_{11} - 1) + m_{22}^{-1}m_{23} \\
w &= s_{134}(m_{11} - 1)/2 + m_{33}^{-1}m_{34} \\
x &= -m_{44}^{-1}m_{45} \\
y &= m_{11}^{-1}m_{12} \\
z_1 &= s_{134}s_{145}(m_{11} - 1)(m_{11} - 2)/6 + s_{134}m_{44}^{-1}m_{45}(m_{11} - 1)/2 - s_{135}(m_{11} - 1)/2 \\
&\quad + s_{235}m_{12} + s_{235}(m_{22} - 1)/2 + m_{33}^{-1}(m_{44}^{-1}m_{34}m_{45} - m_{35}) \\
z_2 &= s_{124}(m_{11} - 1)/2 + s_{134}m_{22}^{-1}m_{23}(m_{11} - 1)/2 + m_{22}^{-1}m_{24} \\
z_3 &= m_{11}^{-1}(m_{22}^{-1}m_{12}m_{23} - m_{13})
\end{aligned}$$

and, based on this, obtain the equations

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) \quad & t_{123}m_{11}^{-1}m_{22}^{-1}m_{33} - s_{123} = 0 \\
(2) \quad & t_{134}m_{11}^{-1}m_{33}^{-1}m_{44} - s_{134} = 0 \\
(3) \quad & t_{145}m_{11}^{-1}m_{44}^{-1}m_{55} - s_{145} = 0 \\
(4) \quad & t_{235}m_{22}^{-1}m_{33}^{-1}m_{55} - s_{235} = 0 \\
(5) \quad & t_{124}m_{11}^{-1}m_{22}^{-1}m_{44} - s_{124} = s_{134}v - s_{123}w \\
(6) \quad & t_{135}m_{11}^{-1}m_{33}^{-1}m_{55} - s_{135} = s_{134}x + s_{145}w + s_{235}y \\
(7) \quad & t_{125}m_{11}^{-1}m_{22}^{-1}m_{55} - s_{125} = s_{124}x + s_{134}vx + s_{135}v + s_{123}z_1 + s_{145}z_2 + s_{235}z_3
\end{aligned}$$

Equations (1–4) have solutions over \mathbb{Z}_p for all primes p if and only if $s_{ijk} = t_{ijk}$ holds for $(ijk) \in \{(123), (134), (145), (235)\}$. In this case $m_{33} = m_{11}m_{22}$, $m_{44} = m_{11}^2m_{22}$ and $m_{55} = m_{11}^3m_{22}$ follow. If $s_{235} > 0$, then $m_{22} = m_{11}^2$ follows additionally.

It remains to consider Equations (5–7). Write $u = m_{11}$ to shorten notation and note that $u = m_{11}^{-1}m_{22}^{-1}m_{44} = m_{11}^{-1}m_{33}^{-1}m_{55}$ and $u^2 = m_{11}^{-1}m_{22}^{-1}m_{55}$. Next, note that if all m_{ij} range independently over \mathbb{Z}_p , then so do v, w, x, y, z_1, z_2 and z_3 . Further, $s_{123}z_1 + s_{145}z_2 + s_{235}z_3$ ranges over all \mathbb{Z}_p -multiples of $d_3(s) = \gcd(s_{123}, s_{145}, s_{235})$.

Fix a prime p . Then Equations (5–7) have a solution over \mathbb{Z}_p if and only if there are $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ and $v, w, x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with

$$\begin{aligned}
(i) \quad & s_{134}v = t_{124}u - s_{124} + s_{123}w, \\
(ii) \quad & s_{134}x = t_{135}u - s_{135} - s_{145}w - s_{235}y, \quad \text{and} \\
(iii) \quad & d_3(s)z = t_{125}u^2 - s_{125} - s_{124}x - s_{134}vx - s_{135}v.
\end{aligned}$$

If Equations (i–iii) have a solution, then they can be solved modulo any power of p by $u, v, w, x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $p \nmid u$. Vice versa, assume that $u, v, w, x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $p \nmid u$ solve Equations (i–iii) modulo $p^k = p^{\alpha+\beta}$, where $\alpha = \nu_p(d_3(s))$ and $\beta = \nu_p(s_{134})$. Then $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ and there are $A, B, C, D \in \mathbb{Z}$ with

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{134}v + Ap^{\alpha+\beta} &= t_{124}u - s_{124} + s_{123}w, \\
s_{134}x + Bp^{\alpha+\beta} &= t_{135}u - s_{135} - s_{145}w - s_{235}y \quad \text{and} \\
Dp^\alpha = d_3(s)z + Cp^{\alpha+\beta} &= t_{125}u^2 - s_{125} - s_{124}x - s_{134}vx - s_{135}v.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\tilde{u} = u$, $\tilde{w} = w$, $\tilde{y} = y$, $\tilde{v} = v + Ap^\alpha(s_{134}/p^\beta)^{-1}$ and $\tilde{x} = x + Bp^\alpha(s_{134}/p^\beta)^{-1}$ solve Equations (i-ii). If we insert these values in Equation (iii), then the right hand side translates to

$$\left(D - (s_{124}B + s_{134}(vB + xA + ABp^\alpha(s_{134}/p^\beta)^{-1}) + s_{135}A)(s_{134}/p^\beta)^{-1}\right)p^\alpha =: Ep^\alpha,$$

so $\tilde{z} = E(d_3(s)/p^\alpha)^{-1}$ yields a solution to Equation (iii). •

4.5 \mathcal{T} -groups of type (2, 1, 2)

Proof of core .b Let $s, t \in T(2, 1, 2)$ and $k = s_{235}/s_{134} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Write (g_1, \dots, g_5) for the basis of $G(t)$ and (h_1, \dots, h_5) for the basis of $G(s)$. Theorem 12 and Lemma 13 show that an isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_p G(t) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p G(s)$ has the form

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &\mapsto h_1^{m_{11}} h_2^{m_{12}} h_3^{m_{13}} h_4^{m_{14}} h_5^{m_{15}} \\ g_2 &\mapsto h_1^{m_{21}} h_2^{m_{22}} h_3^{m_{23}} h_4^{m_{24}} h_5^{m_{25}} \\ g_3 &\mapsto h_3^{m_{33}} h_4^{m_{34}} h_5^{m_{35}} \\ g_4 &\mapsto h_4^{m_{44}} h_5^{m_{45}} \\ g_5 &\mapsto h_4^{m_{54}} h_5^{m_{55}} \end{aligned}$$

where $m_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $m_{33} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ and

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} \\ m_{21} & m_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_3 = \begin{pmatrix} m_{44} & m_{45} \\ m_{54} & m_{55} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

Evaluating the relations of $G(t)$ in $G(s)$ translates to the following (matrix) equations.

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & t_{123}m_{33} = s_{123} \det(M_1) \\ (2) \quad & \text{diag}(t_{134}, t_{235})M_3 = m_{33}M_1 \text{diag}(s_{134}, s_{235}) \\ (3) \quad & (t_{124}, t_{125})M_3 - (s_{124}, s_{125}) \det(M_1) = (m_{23}, -m_{13})M_1 \text{diag}(s_{134}, s_{235}) + s_{123}(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

In Equation (3) we used the following definitions.

$$\begin{aligned} x &= s_{134}(m_{11}^2 m_{22} - m_{12} m_{21}^2 - \det(M_1))/2 - \det(M_1) m_{33}^{-1} m_{34} \\ y &= s_{235}(m_{11} m_{22}^2 - m_{12}^2 m_{21} - \det(M_1) + 2m_{12} m_{22}(m_{11} - m_{21}))/2 - \det(M_1) m_{33}^{-1} m_{35} \end{aligned}$$

Equation (1) implies that $s_{123} = t_{123}$ and $m_{33} = \det(M_1)$. If Equation (2) has a solution over \mathbb{Z}_p for a prime p , then the diagonal matrices $\text{diag}(s_{134}, s_{235})$ and $\text{diag}(t_{134}, t_{235})$ have the same elementary divisors over \mathbb{Z}_p . Thus $\nu_p(s_{134}) = \nu_p(t_{134})$ and $\nu_p(s_{235}) = \nu_p(t_{235})$; see also [11, Sec. IV, §7]. As a consequence, Equation (2) has a solution for all primes p if and only if $s_{134} = t_{134}$ and $s_{235} = t_{235}$. In this case, Equation (2) implies $m_{45} = km_{12}m_{33}$ and thus $M_3 \in D_k(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. We now fix a prime p for the remainder of the proof.

If all m_{ij} range independently over \mathbb{Z}_p , then so do x and y . Further $(m_{23}, -m_{13})M_1$ ranges over all of \mathbb{Z}_p^2 , since we assume that M_1 is invertible. It follows that the right hand side of Equation (3) takes arbitrary values of the form $(a \gcd(s_{123}, s_{134}), b \gcd(s_{123}, s_{235}))$

with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, and thus (s_{124}, s_{125}) and (t_{124}, t_{125}) are in the same orbit under the action of $D_k(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ on $L(s_{134}, s_{235}, s_{123})$.

Vice versa, assume that $(t_{124}, t_{125})A \equiv (s_{124}, s_{125}) \pmod{(\gcd(s_{123}, s_{134}), \gcd(s_{123}, s_{235}))}$ for some $A \in D_k(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. It suffices to determine $M_1, M_3 \in \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ with $\det(M_1)^{-1}M_3 = A$ that solve Equation (2). Note that if M_1 and M_3 solve Equation (2), then $\det(M_3) = \det(M_1)^3$ holds, and thus $\det(M_1) = \det(A)$ and $M_3 = \det(A)A$. This yields a solution to Equations (1–3) over \mathbb{Z}_p . •

14 *Lemma* Fix integers $a, b, c > 0$ with $a \mid b$ and a prime p , and let $k = b/a$. Let us give an explicit description of the orbits of $D_k(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ on $L(a, b, c)$. Let $(d, e), (f, g) \in L(a, b, c)$ and write $\ell = \nu_p(\gcd(a, c))$ and $m = \nu_p(\gcd(b, c))$.

If there is a matrix $A \in D_k(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ with $(d, e)A \equiv (f, g) \pmod{(\gcd(a, c), \gcd(b, c))}$, then there are $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{Z}$ with

$$p^\ell \mid (d\alpha + e\gamma - f), \quad p^m \mid (dk\beta + e\delta - g) \quad \text{and} \quad p \nmid (\alpha\delta - k\beta\gamma).$$

The other way round, such integers $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ immediately yield a matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & k\beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in D_k(\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

with $(d, e)A \equiv (f, g) \pmod{(\gcd(a, c), \gcd(b, c))}$.

References

- [1] B. Assmann and S. Linton. Using the Malcev correspondence for collection in polycyclic groups. *J Algebra*, 316(2):828–848, 2007.
- [2] A. Cant and B. Eick. Polynomials describing the multiplication in finitely generated torsion-free nilpotent groups. *J Group Theory*, 92:203–210, 2019.
- [3] A. Cant and B. Eick. GAP code for the computation of equations related to isomorphisms between p -adic completions of finitely generated torsion-free nilpotent groups. <https://www.tu-braunschweig.de/en/iaa/personal/cant>, 2023.
- [4] B. Eick and A.-K. Engel. The isomorphism problem for torsion free nilpotent groups of Hirsch length at most 5. *Groups Complex Crypto*, 9(1):55–75, 2017.
- [5] The GAP Group. *GAP. Groups Algorithms and Programming*, 2022.
- [6] F. Grunewald and P. Zalesskii. Genus for groups. *J Algebra*, 326(1):130–168, 2011.
- [7] F. J. Grunewald, P. F. Pickel, and D. Segal. Polycyclic groups with isomorphic finite quotients. *Ann Math*, 111:155–195, 1980.
- [8] F. J. Grunewald and R. Scharlau. A note on finitely generated torsion-free nilpotent groups of class 2. *J Algebra*, 58(1):162–175, 1979.

- [9] P. Hall. *The Edinburgh notes on n potent groups*. Queen Mary College Mathematics Notes. Queen Mary College, Mathematics Department, London, 1969.
- [10] R. Hirshon. Some cancellation theorems with applications to nilpotent groups. *J Austral Math Soc Ser A*, 23(2):147–165, 1977.
- [11] H. Lombardi and C. Quitté. *Constructive Methods in Algebra*. Springer Netherlands, 2015.
- [12] A. I. Malcev. On a class of homogeneous spaces. *Amer Math Monthly*, 1951(39):33, 1951.
- [13] V. Nekrashevych. An uncountable family of 3-generated groups with isomorphic profinite completions. *Internat J Algebra Comput*, 24(1):33–46, 2014.
- [14] N. Nikolov and D. Segal. Constructing uncountably many groups with the same profinite completion. *New Zealand J Math*, 52:765–771, 2021 [2021–2022].
- [15] P. F. Pickel. Finitely generated nilpotent groups with isomorphic finite quotients. *Trans Amer Math Soc*, 160:327–341, 1971.
- [16] P. F. Pickel. Finitely generated nilpotent groups with isomorphic finite quotients. *Bull Amer Math Soc*, 77:216–219, 1971.
- [17] P. F. Pickel. Nilpotent-by-finite groups with isomorphic finite quotients. *Trans Amer Math Soc*, 183:313–325, 1973.