

# THE MONOGENICITY OF POWER-COMPOSITIONAL CHARACTERISTIC POLYNOMIALS

LENNY JONES

ABSTRACT. Let  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be monic with  $\deg(f) = N \geq 2$ . Suppose that  $f(x)$  is monogenic, and that  $f(x)$  is the characteristic polynomial of the  $N$ th order linear recurrence sequence  $\Upsilon_f := (U_n)_{n \geq 0}$  with initial conditions

$$U_0 = U_1 = \cdots = U_{N-2} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad U_{N-1} = 1.$$

Let  $p$  be a prime such that  $f(x)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and  $f(x^p)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . We prove that  $f(x^p)$  is monogenic if and only if  $\pi(p^2) \neq \pi(p)$ , where  $\pi(m)$  denotes the period of  $\Upsilon_f$  modulo  $m$ . These results extend previous work of the author, and provide a new and simple test for the monogenicity of  $f(x^p)$ . We also provide some infinite families of such polynomials. This article extends previous work of the author.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

For a monic polynomial  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  of degree  $N \geq 2$  that is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we say that  $f(x)$  is *monogenic* if  $\Theta = \{1, \theta, \theta^2, \dots, \theta^{N-1}\}$  is a basis for the ring of integers  $\mathbb{Z}_K$  of  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ , where  $f(\theta) = 0$ . Such a basis  $\Theta$  is called a *power basis*. Since [3]

$$(1.1) \quad \Delta(f) = [\mathbb{Z}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\theta]]^2 \Delta(K),$$

where  $\Delta(f)$  and  $\Delta(K)$  denote, respectively, the discriminants over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of  $f(x)$  and  $K$ , we see that  $f(x)$  is monogenic if and only if  $[\mathbb{Z}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\theta]] = 1$  or, equivalently,  $\Delta(f) = \Delta(K)$ . Thus, a sufficient, but not necessary, condition for the monogenicity of  $f(x)$  is that  $\Delta(f)$  is squarefree. Also, to be clear, it is certainly possible that there exists a power basis for  $\mathbb{Z}_K$  despite the fact that  $f(x)$  is not monogenic.

Let  $N$  and  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_N$  be integers with  $N \geq 2$ . Define  $\Upsilon_f := (U_n)_{n \geq 0}$  to be the  $N$ th order linear recurrence sequence such that

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} U_0 = U_1 = \cdots = U_{N-2} = 0, \quad U_{N-1} = 1 \quad \text{and} \\ U_n = a_1 U_{n-1} + a_2 U_{n-2} + \cdots + a_{N-1} U_{n-N+1} + a_N U_{n-N} \quad \text{for all } n \geq N, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(1.3) \quad f(x) := x^N - a_1 x^{N-1} - a_2 x^{N-2} - \cdots - a_{N-1} x - a_N,$$

is the characteristic polynomial of  $\Upsilon_f$ . It is well known that  $\Upsilon_f$  is periodic modulo any integer  $m \geq 2$  with  $\gcd(m, a_N) = 1$ , and we denote the length of the period as  $\pi(m)$ .

---

*Date:* June 3, 2024.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11R04, 11B39, Secondary 11R09, 12F05.

*Key words and phrases.* monogenic, power-compositional, characteristic polynomial.

**Definition 1.1.** Given a monic polynomial  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and a prime  $p$ , we say that  $f(x)$  is  $p$ -irreducible if

$$f(x) \text{ is irreducible over } \mathbb{F}_p \quad \text{and} \quad f(x^p) \text{ is irreducible over } \mathbb{Q}.$$

Note that if  $f(x)$  is  $p$ -irreducible, then  $\gcd(p, f(0)) = 1$ . Consequently, if  $f(x)$  is  $p$ -irreducible and  $f(x)$  is the characteristic polynomial for  $\Upsilon_f$ , as defined in (1.2), then  $\Upsilon_f$  is periodic modulo  $p$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $\Upsilon_f$  and  $f(x)$  be as defined in (1.2) and (1.3). Suppose that  $p$  is a prime such that  $f(x)$  is  $p$ -irreducible. We say that  $p$  is an  $\Upsilon_f$ -prime if  $\pi(p^2) = \pi(p)$ .

In this article, we prove the following:

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $\Upsilon_f$  and  $f(x)$  be as defined in (1.2) and (1.3), with  $f(x)$  monogenic. Let  $p$  be a prime such that  $f(x)$  is  $p$ -irreducible. Then  $f(x^p)$  is monogenic if and only if  $\pi(p^2) \neq \pi(p)$ .*

**Remark 1.4.** Theorem 1.3 extends previous work of the author [11–13].

Theorem 1.3 provides us with the following new and simple test for the monogenicity of  $f(x^p)$  when  $f(x)$  is monogenic and  $p$ -irreducible.

**Corollary 1.5.** *Let  $\Upsilon_f$  and  $f(x)$  be as defined in (1.2) and (1.3), with  $f(x)$  monogenic. Let  $p$  be a prime such that  $f(x)$  is  $p$ -irreducible. Then  $f(x^p)$  is monogenic if and only if  $\pi(p^2) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .*

As an example to illustrate the concepts presented here, suppose that  $\Upsilon_f$  is defined as in (1.2), such that

$$f(x) = x^4 + 21x^3 + 86x^2 + 21x + 1$$

is the characteristic polynomial of  $\Upsilon_f$ . Using Maple, we can easily confirm that  $f(x)$  is monogenic,  $f(x)$  is  $p$ -irreducible for  $p = 37$ , and that

$$\pi(37^2) = \pi(37) = 137 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{37}.$$

Thus,  $p = 37$  is an  $\Upsilon_f$ -prime and  $f(x^{37})$  is not monogenic by Corollary 1.5.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

The following theorem, known as *Dedekind's Index Criterion*, or simply *Dedekind's Criterion* if the context is clear, is a standard tool used in determining the monogenicity of a monic polynomial that is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Theorem 2.1** (Dedekind [3]). *Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$  be a number field,  $T(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  the monic minimal polynomial of  $\theta$ , and  $\mathbb{Z}_K$  the ring of integers of  $K$ . Let  $q$  be a prime number and let  $\bar{*}$  denote reduction of  $*$  modulo  $q$  (in  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  or  $\mathbb{Z}[\theta]$ ). Let*

$$\bar{T}(x) = \prod_i \bar{t}_i(x)^{e_i}$$

be the factorization of  $T(x)$  modulo  $q$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ , and set

$$g(x) = \prod_i t_i(x),$$

where the  $t_i(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  are arbitrary monic lifts of the  $\overline{t}_i(x)$ . Let  $h(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a monic lift of  $\overline{T}(x)/\overline{g}(x)$  and set

$$F(x) = \frac{g(x)h(x) - T(x)}{q} \in \mathbb{Z}[x].$$

Then

$$[\mathbb{Z}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\theta]] \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q} \iff \gcd(\overline{F}, \overline{g}, \overline{h}) = 1 \text{ in } \mathbb{F}_q[x].$$

The next two theorems are due to Capelli [17].

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $f(x)$  and  $h(x)$  be polynomials in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$  with  $f(x)$  irreducible. Suppose that  $f(\alpha) = 0$ . Then  $f(h(x))$  is reducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$  if and only if  $h(x) - \alpha$  is reducible over  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ .*

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $c \geq 2$ , and let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  be algebraic. Then  $x^c - \alpha$  is reducible over  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  if and only if either there is a prime  $p$  dividing  $c$  such that  $\alpha = \gamma^p$  for some  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  or  $4 \mid c$  and  $\alpha = -4\gamma^4$  for some  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ .*

The following theorem (due to John Cullinan [4]) gives the formula for the discriminant of the composition of two polynomials. For a proof, see [7].

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  be polynomials in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ , with respective leading coefficients  $a$  and  $b$ , and respective degrees  $m$  and  $n$ . Then*

$$\Delta(f \circ g) = (-1)^{m^2 n(n-1)/2} \cdot a^{n-1} b^{m(mn-n-1)} \Delta(f)^n \text{Res}(f \circ g, g'),$$

where  $\text{Res}$  is the resultant.

The next theorem follows from Corollary (2.10) in [15].

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $L$  and  $K$  be number fields with  $L \subset K$ . Then*

$$\Delta(L)^{[K:L]} \mid \Delta(K).$$

### 3. THE PROOFS OF THEOREM 1.3 AND COROLLARY 1.5

We first prove a lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\Upsilon_f$  and  $f(x)$  be as defined in (1.2) and (1.3). Let  $p$  be a prime such that  $f(x)$  is  $p$ -irreducible, and suppose that  $f(\alpha) = 0$  with  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{p^N}$ . Then,*

- (a) *the zeros of  $f(x)$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^N}$  are  $r_j := \alpha^{p^j}$  with  $j \in J := \{0, 1, 2, \dots, N-1\}$ , and  $\text{ord}_p(r_j) = \pi(p)$ ,*
- (b) *modulo  $p^2$ ,  $f(x)$  has exactly  $N$  distinct zeros  $s_j$  with  $j \in J$ , and  $\text{ord}_{p^2}(s_j) = \pi(p^2)$ ,*
- (c)  *$p^N \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi(p)}$ ,*
- (d)  *$\pi(p^2) \in \{\pi(p), p\pi(p)\}$ ,*
- (e) *furthermore, if  $\pi(p^2) = \pi(p)$ , then the distinct zeros  $s_j$  of  $f(x)$  modulo  $p^2$  are  $\alpha^{p^j} \pmod{p^2}$ .*

*Proof.* Since the Frobenius automorphism generates  $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(f)$ , we have that the zeros of  $f(x)$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p(\alpha)$  are  $\alpha^{p^j} \pmod{p}$  for  $j \in J$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha^{p^N-1} &\equiv \left(\alpha^{(p^N-1)/(p-1)}\right)^{p-1} \pmod{p} \\
&\equiv \left(\alpha^{p^{N-1}+p^{N-2}+\dots+p+1}\right)^{p-1} \pmod{p} \\
(3.1) \quad &\equiv \left(\prod_{j \in J} \alpha^{p^j}\right)^{p-1} \pmod{p} \\
&\equiv ((-1)^{N+1} a_N)^{p-1} \pmod{p} \\
&\equiv 1 \pmod{p}.
\end{aligned}$$

From [16], we have that the order, modulo  $m \in \{p, p^2\}$ , of the companion matrix  $\mathcal{C}$  for the characteristic polynomial  $f(x)$  of  $\Upsilon_f$  is  $\pi(m)$ . Thus, item (a) follows from the fact that the eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{C}$  are precisely  $\alpha^{p^j}$  for  $j \in J$ . Since  $f(x)$  is  $p$ -irreducible, the zeros of  $f(x)$  modulo  $p^2$  are precisely the unique Hensel lifts  $s_j$  of  $r_j$ . Hence, it follows from [16] that  $\text{ord}_{p^2}(s_j) = \pi(p^2)$ , which establishes item (b). Item (c) follows from item (a) and (3.1), while item (d) follows from [16]. If  $\pi(p^2) = \pi(p)$ , then  $\alpha^{\pi(p)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$ , and the zeros of  $f(x)$  modulo  $p^2$  are simply  $\alpha^{p^j} \pmod{p^2}$  with  $j \in J$ , which are the Hensel lifts of  $\alpha^{p^j} \pmod{p}$  in this case, and item (e) is established.  $\square$

We are now in a position to present the proof of Theorem 1.3.

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Let  $g(x) = x^p$  so that  $(f \circ g)(x) = f(x^p)$ . From Theorem 2.4, we have that

$$(3.2) \quad |\Delta(f \circ g)| = |p^{pN} \Delta(f)^p|.$$

Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta^p)$ , where  $f(\theta^p) = 0$ , and let  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ . Let  $\mathbb{Z}_L$  denote the ring of integers of  $L$ . Since  $f(x)$  is monogenic, we have that  $\Delta(K) = \Delta(f)$ . Thus, it follows from Theorem 2.5 that

$$\Delta(f)^p \text{ divides } |\Delta(L)| = \frac{|\Delta(f \circ g)|}{[\mathbb{Z}_L : \mathbb{Z}[\theta]]^2},$$

which implies, from (3.2), that

$$[\mathbb{Z}_L : \mathbb{Z}[\theta]]^2 \text{ divides } \left| \frac{\Delta(f \circ g)}{\Delta(f)^p} \right| = p^{pN}.$$

Hence, the monogenicity of  $f(x^p)$  is completely determined by the prime  $p$ .

We apply Theorem 2.1 to  $T(x) := f(x^p)$  using the prime  $p$ . Since  $f(x)$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ , we have that  $\bar{f}(x^p) = f(x)^p$ , and we can let

$$g(x) = f(x) \quad \text{and} \quad h(x) = f(x)^{p-1}.$$

Then

$$pF(x) = g(x)h(x) - T(x) = f(x)^p - f(x^p),$$

so that

$$(3.3) \quad pF(\alpha) \equiv f(\alpha)^p - f(\alpha^p) \equiv -f(\alpha^p) \pmod{p^2}.$$

Since  $f(x)$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ , we conclude that  $\gcd(\overline{f}, \overline{F}) \in \{1, \overline{f}\}$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ . Hence, it follows from Theorem 2.1 and (3.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbb{Z}_L : \mathbb{Z}[\theta]] \equiv 0 \pmod{p} &\iff \gcd(\overline{f}, \overline{F}) = \overline{f} \\ &\iff F(\alpha) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \\ &\iff f(\alpha^p) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(3.4) \quad f(x^p) \text{ is monogenic if and only if } f(\alpha^p) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.$$

As in Lemma 3.1, let  $r_j = \alpha^{p^j}$  with  $j \in J$ , be the zeros of  $f(x)$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^N}$ , and let  $s_j$  be the unique Hensel lift modulo  $p^2$  of  $r_j$ . Note that  $r_0 = s_0 = \alpha$ .

Suppose that  $\pi(p^2) \neq \pi(p)$ , so that  $\pi(p^2) = p\pi(p)$  by Lemma 3.1. Then  $\text{ord}_{p^2}(s_j) = p\pi(p)$  for all  $j \in J$  by Lemma 3.1. In particular, we have that  $\text{ord}_{p^2}(s_0) = \text{ord}_{p^2}(s_1) = p\pi(p)$ . If  $f(\alpha^p) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$ , then  $s_1 = r_1$ , and we arrive at the contradiction

$$\text{ord}_{p^2}(s_1) = \text{ord}_{p^2}(\alpha^p) = \text{ord}_{p^2}(\alpha)/p = \pi(p).$$

Hence,  $f(\alpha^p) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$ .

Conversely, if  $\pi(p^2) = \pi(p)$ , then  $f(\alpha^p) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$  by Lemma 3.1. Hence, we have that

$$(3.5) \quad \pi(p^2) \neq \pi(p) \text{ if and only if } f(\alpha^p) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.$$

The theorem then follows by combining (3.4) and (3.5).  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 1.5.* By item (c) of Lemma 3.1, we have that  $\pi(p) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Hence, the corollary follows from Theorem 1.3 and item (d) of Lemma 3.1.  $\square$

#### 4. INFINITE FAMILIES ILLUSTRATING THEOREM 1.3

Although we only require a special case of the following lemma, we provide a proof in more generality because the lemma is of some interest in its own right.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and let  $m \geq 1$  be an integer. Suppose that  $f(\varepsilon) = 0$  and that  $\varepsilon := \lambda_0$  is a fundamental unit of  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon)$ . Then  $f(x^m)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .*

*Proof.* Let

$$\Lambda = \{\varepsilon, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$$

be a system of fundamental units for  $\mathbb{Z}_K$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}_K$  is the ring of integers of  $K$ , so that the rank of the unit group in  $\mathbb{Z}_K$  is  $n+1$ . We let  $\mathcal{N}$  denote the algebraic norm  $\mathcal{N}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$ . Assume, by way of contradiction, that  $f(x^m)$  is reducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then, since  $f(x)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we have by Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3 that, for some  $\gamma \in K$ , either  $\varepsilon = \gamma^p$  for some prime  $p$  dividing  $m$ , or  $4 \mid m$  and  $\varepsilon = -4\gamma^4$ . We see easily that the case  $\varepsilon = -4\gamma^4$  is impossible since  $\mathcal{N}(-4\gamma^4) \neq \pm 1$ . So suppose that  $\varepsilon = \gamma^p$ . Then

$$\mathcal{N}(\gamma)^p = \mathcal{N}(\gamma^p) = \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon) = \pm 1,$$

which implies that  $\mathcal{N}(\gamma) = \pm 1$ . Therefore,  $\gamma$  is a unit and

$$\gamma = \pm \varepsilon^e \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^{e_i},$$

for some  $e, e_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Consequently,

$$\varepsilon = \gamma^p = (\pm 1)^p \varepsilon^{ep} \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^{e_i p},$$

which implies that

$$(\pm 1)^p \varepsilon^{ep-1} \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^{e_i p} = 1.$$

Hence, since the elements of  $\Lambda$  are multiplicatively independent, it follows that  $ep - 1 = 0$ , which is impossible.  $\square$

**Example 4.2.** Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer, and let  $f(x) = x^2 - kx - 1$ . We assume that  $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  and that  $\mathcal{D} := (k^2 + 4)/\gcd(2, k)^2$  is squarefree. Although these polynomials were investigated in [11], we provide a sketch here. It is easy to see that there are infinitely many values of  $k$  for which these conditions hold. Then  $f(x)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $f(\varepsilon) = 0$ , where  $\varepsilon = (a + \sqrt{a^2 + 4})/2$ . Since  $\mathcal{D}$  is squarefree, we conclude that  $f(x)$  is monogenic if  $k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ , and the monogenicity of  $f(x)$  when  $k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  is determined completely by the prime  $p = 2$ . Applying Theorem 2.1 with  $T(x) := f(x)$  and  $p = 2$  in the case when  $k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ , we can let

$$g(x) = (x - 1)(x + 1) \quad \text{and} \quad h(x) = 1.$$

Then

$$T(x) = \frac{g(x)h(x) - T(x)}{2} = \frac{(x^2 - 1) - (x^2 - kx - 1)}{2} = (k/2)x,$$

so that  $\gcd(\overline{T}, \overline{g}) = 1$  since  $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . Thus,  $f(x)$  is monogenic. It follows from [19] that  $\varepsilon = (a + \sqrt{a^2 + 4})/2$  is the fundamental unit of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\mathcal{D}})$ . Therefore, we deduce from Lemma 4.1 that  $f(x^p)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$  for all primes  $p$ . Since there are infinitely many primes  $p$  for which  $f(x)$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$  by the Chebotarev density theorem (or the simpler theorem of Frobenius) [8], there are infinitely many primes  $p$  for which  $f(x)$  is  $p$ -irreducible. It is then clear that Theorem 1.3 applies to these polynomials. To illustrate Theorem 1.3 for such polynomials, a computer search reveals that the only non-monogenic polynomials  $f(x^p)$  (so that  $\pi(p^2) = \pi(p)$ ), with  $1 \leq k \leq 50$  and  $p \leq 11$ , are given in the following table:

$k$	$p$	$\pi(p^2) = \pi(p)$
{5, 13, 22, 23, 24, 31, 32, 41, 49, 50}	3	8
{7, 8, 18, 43, 44}	5	12
{25}	7	16
{19, 20}	11	8
{5}	11	24

TABLE 1. Non-monogenic  $f(x^p)$  and the corresponding  $\Upsilon_f$ -primes  $p$

**Remark 4.3.** The  $\Upsilon_f$ -primes in Table 1 are also known as  $k$ -Wall-Sun-Sun primes, or simply Wall-Sun-Sun primes when  $k = 1$ . The existence of Wall-Sun-Sun primes is still an open question.

**Example 4.4.** Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer, and let  $f(x) = x^3 - kx^2 - (k+3)x - 1$ . These polynomials are known as the “simplest cubics”, and were studied extensively by Daniel Shanks [18]. We assume that  $k \not\equiv 3 \pmod{9}$  and  $(k^2 + 3k + 9)/\gcd(3, k)^2$  is squarefree. Power-compositional Shanks polynomials were investigated in [12], and we omit the details since they are similar to Example 4.2.

**Example 4.5.** Let

$$f(x) = x^4 - 2(2k+1)x^3 + ((2k+1)^2 - d + 2)x^2 - 2(2k+1)x + 1,$$

where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $d \in \{-2, 2\}$  such that

$$\mathcal{D} := (4k^2 - 4k - d + 1)(4k^2 + 12k - d + 9)$$

is squarefree. Note that, for each choice of  $d$ , there exist infinitely many values of  $k$  such that  $\mathcal{D}$  is squarefree [2] and  $\mathcal{D} \geq 1024$ . For such values of  $d$  and  $k$ , let  $\alpha = 2k + 1 + \sqrt{d}$ . Observe that  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$  so that  $\alpha^2 - 4$  is not a square in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Under these conditions, Aoki and Kishi [1] proved that  $f(x)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}(f) \simeq D_4$  and  $\varepsilon$  is a fundamental unit of  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon)$ , where  $f(\varepsilon) = 0$ . It then follows from Lemma 4.1 that  $f(x^m)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$  for any integer  $m \geq 1$ . Consequently,  $f(x)$  is  $p$ -irreducible for any prime  $p$  for which  $f(x)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ; and since  $D_4$  has an element of order 4, there exist infinitely many such primes  $p$  by the Chebotarev density theorem.

Next, we address the monogenicity of  $f(x)$ . Let  $\mathbb{Z}_K$  denote the ring of integers of  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon)$ . A computer calculation reveals that  $\Delta(f) = 64\mathcal{D}$ . Since  $\mathcal{D}$  is squarefree, it follows that the monogenicity of  $f(x)$  is completely determined by the prime  $p = 2$ . We use Theorem 2.1 with  $T(x) := f(x)$  and  $p = 2$ . Then  $\overline{T}(x) = (x^2 + x + 1)^2$ , and we can let  $g(x) = h(x) = x^2 + x + 1$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= \frac{g(x)h(x) - T(x)}{2} \\ &= \frac{(x^2 + x + 1)^2 - f(x)}{2} \\ &= (2k+2)x^3 - (2k^2 + 2k + d/2)x^2 + (2k+2)x, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\overline{F}(x) = x^2$ . Hence,  $\gcd(\overline{g}, \overline{F}) = 1$ , and we conclude from Theorem 2.1 that

$$(4.1) \quad [\mathbb{Z}_K : \mathbb{Z}(\varepsilon)] \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

Consequently,  $f(x)$  is monogenic.

Thus, we have shown that  $f(x)$  represents an infinite family of polynomials satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 1.3. For such polynomials, a computer search reveals that the only non-monogenic polynomials  $f(x^p)$ , with  $-100 \leq k \leq 100$  and  $p \leq 97$ , are indicated in the following table:

**Example 4.6.** Let

$$(4.2) \quad f(x) = x^4 - kx^3 + b(k-1)x^2 + 2b^2x - b^3,$$

where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $b \in \{-1, 1\}$ , with  $k \leq 3$  when  $b = 1$  and  $k \leq -5$  when  $b = -1$ , such that

$$\mathcal{D} := (k^2 + 4b)(4k - 16b + 1)$$

is squarefree (We note that, for each choice of  $b$ , there are infinitely many values of  $k$  such that  $\mathcal{D}$  is squarefree [2]). It is shown in [14] that  $f(x)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,

$k$	$d$	$p$	$\pi(p^2) = \pi(p)$
$\{-70, -21\}$	-2	7	25
$\{20, 69\}$	-2	7	50
$\{k \equiv 8 \pmod{25}\}$	2	5	13
$\{k \equiv 16 \pmod{25}\}$	2	5	26

TABLE 2. Non-monogenic  $f(x^p)$  and the corresponding  $\Upsilon_f$ -primes  $p$ 

$\varepsilon$  is a fundamental unit of  $K := \mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon)$  where  $f(\varepsilon) = 0$ , and that  $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}(f) \simeq D_4$ , with  $L := \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{k^2 + 4b})$  a quadratic subfield of  $K$ . Thus,  $f(x^p)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$  for every prime  $p$  by Lemma 4.1, and there exist infinitely many primes  $p$  for which  $f(x)$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$  by the Chebotarev density theorem. Consequently, for every prime  $p$  for which  $f(x)$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ , we have that  $f(x)$  is  $p$ -irreducible.

We show next that  $f(x)$  is monogenic. Let  $\mathbb{Z}_K$  denote the ring of integers of  $K$ . A computer calculation gives

$$\Delta(f) = b^6(k^2 + 4b)^2(4k - 16b + 1) = (k^2 + 4b)^2(4k - 16b + 1).$$

Since  $\mathcal{D}$  is squarefree, we see that  $k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ , and so  $\Delta(L) = k^2 + 4b$ . Therefore,  $(k^2 + 4b)^2$  divides  $\Delta(K)$  by Theorem 2.5. Since  $4k - 16b + 1$  is squarefree, it follows from (1.1) that  $\Delta(f) = \Delta(K)$  and  $f(x)$  is monogenic.

Therefore, Theorem 1.3 applies to the families in (4.2). A computer search shows that  $f(x^p)$  is monogenic when  $-5000 < k \leq -5$  and  $p \leq 17$ . That is, no situations were found such that  $\pi(p^2) = \pi(p)$ .

Each polynomial in any of the previous families has a zero that is a fundamental unit, and we were able to use Lemma 4.1 to facilitate our investigation. However, in general, it is usually difficult to determine whether  $f(x)$  has a zero that is a fundamental unit. Fortunately, in the situation when we have no knowledge of whether  $f(x)$  has a zero that is a fundamental unit, we can use the following lemma, which is a special case of [5, Corollary 5.2].

**Lemma 4.7.** *Let  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be monic and irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . If  $f(x)$  is not a cyclotomic polynomial, then there exist at most finitely many primes  $p$  such that  $f(x^p)$  is reducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .*

We use Lemma 4.7 to construct an infinite family for which Theorem 1.3 applies.

**Example 4.8.** It is shown in [9] that there exist infinitely many primes  $\rho$  such that

$$f(x) = x^6 + (180\rho - 1)x^3 + 1$$

is monogenic with  $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}(f) \simeq D_6$ , the dihedral group of order 12. Since  $D_6$  contains an element of order 6, it follows from the Chebotarev density theorem that there exist infinitely many primes  $p$  for which  $f(x)$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ . Since  $f(x)$  is clearly not a cyclotomic polynomial, we deduce from Lemma 4.7 that there exist infinitely many primes  $p$  such that  $f(x)$  is  $p$ -irreducible. We say a pair of primes  $(\rho, p)$  is *viable* if  $f(x)$  is monogenic and  $p$ -irreducible. Therefore, for such a viable pair  $(\rho, p)$ , we can apply Theorem 1.3 to  $f(x)$ . A computer search verifies that out of the 121 viable pairs  $(\rho, p)$ , with  $\rho, p \leq 97$ , exactly three viable pairs are such that  $f(x^p)$  is not monogenic and for which  $p$  is an  $\Upsilon_f$ -prime. That is, for these three



pairs, we have that  $\pi(p^2) = \pi(p)$ , while  $f(x)$  is monogenic with  $\pi(p^2) = p\pi(p)$  for the remaining 118 viable pairs. These three exceptions are:

$$(\rho, p, \pi(p^2)) \in \{(5, 5, 18), (13, 23, 36), (67, 47, 144)\}.$$

The following lemma is a special case of [6, Lemma 3.1].

**Lemma 4.9.** *Let  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be monic and irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with  $|f(0)| \geq 2$ . If  $f(0)$  is squarefree, then  $f(x^p)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$  for all primes  $p$ .*

**Example 4.10.** Under certain restrictions on positive integers  $a$  and  $b$ , quadratics of the form  $f(x) = x^2 - ax - b$  were investigated in [13]. However, the specific quadratics in Example 4.2 were addressed in more generality in [11] than they were in [13]. On the other hand, the techniques used in [11] and [13] are nevertheless similar, and so we omit a complete discussion here of the quadratics of the form  $f(x) = x^2 - ax - b$ . But we do provide a single example from [13]. Let

$$f(x) = x^2 - 11x - 43,$$

which is easily seen to be monogenic. Observe that  $f(x)$  is irreducible modulo 2. By Lemma 4.9,  $f(x^p)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$  for all primes  $p$ . Thus, it follows that  $f(x)$  is  $p$ -irreducible for infinitely many primes  $p$ . Hence, we can apply Theorem 1.3 to  $f(x)$ . A computer determines that  $f(x^p)$  is monogenic with  $\pi(p^2) = p\pi(p)$  in  $\Upsilon_f$  for all  $p$ -irreducible primes  $p \leq 313$ , except  $p \in \{2, 5\}$ . For these exceptions, we have that  $\pi(2^2) = \pi(2) = 3$  and  $\pi(5^2) = \pi(5) = 24$  in  $\Upsilon_f$ .

For the construction of the next family, we apply Lemma 4.9 to a quadrinomial taken from [10].

**Example 4.11.** Let

$$(4.3) \quad f(x) = x^5 + 34x^4 + 9x^3 + q,$$

where  $q$  is a prime such that  $q \nmid 1120$ ,  $q > 44$  and

$$\mathcal{D} := (q + 3542940)(3125q - 56)$$

is squarefree. Note that there exist infinitely many such primes  $q$  (see [6, Corollary 2.12]). It follows from [10, Theorem 1.1] (with  $n = 5$  and  $m = 1$ ) that  $f(x)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and that  $f(x)$  is monogenic. Since  $f(0)$  is squarefree with  $f(0) > 2$ , it follows from Lemma 4.9 that  $f(x^p)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$  for all primes  $p$ . Observe that

$$f(x) \equiv x^5 + x^3 + 1 \pmod{2},$$

which is irreducible in  $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$ , and consequently,  $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}(f)$  contains an element of order 5. Thus, by the Chebotarev density theorem, there exist infinitely many primes  $p$  for which  $f(x)$  is irreducible modulo  $p$ . Consequently, there exist infinitely many primes  $p$  such that  $f(x)$  is  $p$ -irreducible, and Theorem 1.3 applies in these situations. A computer search with  $47 \leq q \leq 97$  and  $p \leq 17$  reveals that  $f(x^p)$  is monogenic with  $\pi(p^2) = p\pi(p)$ , except when  $p = 2$  and  $q \in \{47, 59, 67, 71, 79, 83\}$ . In these exceptional cases, we have that  $\pi(2^2) = \pi(2) = 31$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aoki and Y. Kishi, *On systems of fundamental units of certain quartic fields*, Int. J. Number Theory **11** (2015), no. 7, 2019–2035.
- [2] A. Booker and T. D. Browning, *Square-free values of reducible polynomials*, Discrete Anal. 2016, Paper No. 8, 16 pp.

- [3] H. Cohen, *A Course in Computational Algebraic Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 2000.
- [4] <https://studylib.net/doc/8187082/the-discriminant-of-a-composition-of-two>
- [5] N. H. Guersenzvaig, *Elementary criteria for irreducibility of  $f(X^r)$* , Israel J. Math. **169** (2009), 109–123.
- [6] J. Harrington and L. Jones, *The irreducibility and monogenicity of power-compositional trinomials*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. (to appear) [arXiv:2204.07784v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.07784v1).
- [7] J. Harrington and L. Jones, *Monogenic cyclotomic compositions*, Kodai Math. J. **44** (2021), no. 1, 115–125.
- [8] G. J. Janusz, *Algebraic number fields*, Second edition, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, **7**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.
- [9] L. Jones, *Infinite families of reciprocal monogenic polynomials and their Galois groups*, New York J. Math. **27** (2021), 1465–1493.
- [10] L. Jones, *Infinite families of monogenic quadrinomials, quintinomials and sextinomials*, Colloq. Math. **169** (2022), no. 1, 1–10.
- [11] L. Jones, *A new condition for  $k$ -Wall-Sun-Sun primes*, Taiwanese J. Math. **28** (2024), no. 1, 17–28.
- [12] L. Jones, *On the monogenicity of power-compositional Shanks polynomials*, Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. **69** (2023), no. 1, 93–103.
- [13] L. Jones, *Generalized Wall-Sun-Sun primes and monogenic power-compositional trinomials*, Albanian J. Math. **17** (2023), no. 2, 3–17.
- [14] F. Leprévost, M. Pohst and A. Schöpp, *Units in some parametric families of quartic fields*, Acta Arith. **127** (2007), no. 3, 205–216.
- [15] J. Neukirch, *Algebraic Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [16] D. W. Robinson, *A note on linear recurrent sequences modulo  $m$* , Amer. Math. Monthly **73** (1966), 619–621.
- [17] A. Schinzel, *Polynomials with Special Regard to Reducibility*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, **77**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [18] D. Shanks, *The simplest cubic fields*, Math. Comp. **28** (1974), 1137–1152.
- [19] H. Yokoi, *On real quadratic fields containing units with norm  $-1$* , Nagoya Math. J. **33** (1968), 139–152.

PROFESSOR EMERITUS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHIPPENSBURG UNIVERSITY, SHIPPENSBURG, PENNSYLVANIA 17257, USA

*Email address*, Lenny Jones: [doctorlennyjones@gmail.com](mailto:doctorlennyjones@gmail.com)