Gravitational waves from axion domain walls in double level crossings

Hai-Jun Li^{1,*} and Yu-Feng Zhou^{1,2,3,4,†}

¹Key Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Institute of Theoretical Physics,

Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

²School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

³School of Fundamental Physics and Mathematical Sciences,

Hangzhou Institute for Advanced Study, UCAS, Hangzhou 310024, China

⁴International Centre for Theoretical Physics Asia-Pacific, Beijing/Hangzhou, China

(Dated: January 18, 2024)

We investigate the nano-Hertz gravitational waves emitted by axion domain walls annihilation from the double level crossings. The double level crossings exists in the mass mixing between two axion fields, one of which is the Z_N QCD axion. Here we consider a general mixing case that the heavy and light mass eigenvalues do not necessarily have to coincide with the axion masses. In order to form the domain walls, the axions should start to oscillate slightly before the first level crossing, and the initial oscillation energy density should be large to climb over the barrier of potential. In this case, the axion dynamics has a chaotic run-away behavior, which is considered to be accompanied by domain walls formation. Then we investigate the gravitational waves emitted by axion domain walls annihilation, which is determined by their peak frequency and peak amplitude. Finally, we show the predicted nano-Hertz gravitational waves spectra from the double level crossings, which can be tested by the current and future pulsar timing array projects.

I. INTRODUCTION

Gravitational wave is one of the most effective observational probes of the early Universe [1, 2]. The direct observations of the gravitational waves by LIGO [3, 4]have brought tremendous development to astrophysics and cosmology. Very recently, the pulsar timing array (PTA) projects (NANOGrav [5-7], EPTA [8-10], PPTA [11, 12], and CPTA [13]) released their latest data, which provides strong evidence for the presence of nano-Hertz stochastic gravitational waves. There are various cosmological sources of the gravitational waves, such as the primordial amplification of the vacuum fluctuations [14– 16], the cosmological phase transitions [17, 18], the cosmic strings [19-21] and domain walls [22-25], and the preheating after inflation [26-29], etc. Here we consider the domain walls as a cosmological source of the gravitational waves, see also Ref. [30] for a review.

The domain walls are two-dimensional topological defects that may arise in the early Universe involving the spontaneous breakdown of a discrete symmetry [31]. For the long-lived domain walls, their evolution with the cosmic expansion is slower than that of radiation or matter, and they will eventually dominate the total energy density of the Universe, which conflicts with the standard cosmology [32]. This is the so-called domain wall problem. To avoid such a cosmological catastrophe, one can make the domain walls disappear or prevent their formation before dominating the Universe [33–35]. Here we focus our attention on the gravitational waves emitted by domain walls annihilation, especially the axion domain walls [36–49]. The gravitational waves emitted by domain walls annihilation can be characterized by their peak frequency and peak amplitude, in which the former one is determined by the domain walls annihilation time, and the latter one is determined by the energy density of domain walls [50–52].

The axion was originally postulated by the Peccei-Quinn (PQ) mechanism [53, 54] with a spontaneously broken global U(1) symmetry to solve the strong CP problem [55, 56], also called the QCD axion [57–60]. It is the attractive dark matter (DM) candidate, which can be non-thermally produced in the early Universe [61-63]. The light Z_N QCD axion [64] with the reduced-mass can also solve the strong CP problem [65] and account for the DM [66]. Here the \mathcal{N} mirror and degenerate worlds are nonlinearly realized by the axion field under a Z_N symmetry, one of which is the Standard Model (SM) world. In order to solve the strong CP problem, \mathcal{N} needs to be an odd number and $\mathcal{N} \geq 3$. In addition, the $Z_{\mathcal{N}}$ axion can account for the DM through the trapped+kinetic misalignment mechanism [66, 67]. The axionlike particle (ALP), arising in several extensions of the SM [68, 69], is also the DM candidate [70-72], but not associated to the solution of the strong CP problem. Considering the interaction between two axion fields, e.g., the QCD axion and the ALP, the cosmological evolution called the level crossing will occur if there is a non-zero mass mixing between them [73–80]. Specifically, a novel single/double level crossings case (containing the first and second level crossings) between the Z_N axion and ALP was recently pointed out in Ref. [80]. In this scenario, the first level crossing occurs much earlier than the QCD phase transition, while the second one will take place exactly during the QCD phase transition, which is different from the canonical single level crossing case. The single and double level crossings can transform into each other, depending on the zero-temperature Z_N axion mass versus the

^{*} lihaijun@itp.ac.cn

[†] yfzhou@itp.ac.cn

ALP mass. Due to the presence of second level crossing, the cosmological evolution of axions will become significantly different. The Z_N axion relic density in this case is also modified, which can be significantly suppressed or enhanced. See Ref. [80] for more details.

In this paper, we investigate the axion domain walls formation from the double level crossings, and also the nano-Hertz gravitational waves emitted by domain walls annihilation. Here we will consider a more general case in the mixing, the heavy and light mass eigenvalues do not necessarily have to coincide with the axion masses, and there is a hierarchy between the two axion decay constants. In order to form the domain walls, the axions should start to oscillate slightly before the first level crossing, and the initial oscillation energy density should be large to climb over the barrier of potential. The axion dynamics in this case has a chaotic run-away behavior, which is considered to be accompanied by domain walls formation. The domain walls must annihilate before dominating the Universe. Then we investigate the gravitational waves emitted by axion domain walls annihilation and show the predicted gravitational waves spectra from the double level crossings.

The rest of this paper is structured as follows. In Sec. II, we discuss the axion domain walls formation from the double level crossings. In Sec. III, we investigate the gravitational waves emitted by axion domain walls annihilation. The conclusion is given in Sec. IV.

II. AXION DOMAIN WALLS FROM DOUBLE LEVEL CROSSINGS

In this section, we discuss the domain walls formation from the double level crossings. In the Z_N axion scenario [64–66], the N mirror and degenerate worlds that are nonlinearly realized by the axion field under a Z_N symmetry can coexist with the same coupling strengths as in the SM

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{k=0}^{\mathcal{N}-1} \left[\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SM}_k} + \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \left(\frac{a}{f_a} + \frac{2\pi k}{\mathcal{N}} \right) G_k \widetilde{G}_k \right] + \cdots, \quad (1)$$

where \mathcal{L}_{SM_k} represents the copies of the SM total Lagrangian excluding the topological term $G_k \tilde{G}_k$, α_s is the strong fine structure constant, a and f_a are the Z_N axion field and axion decay constant, respectively. In the large \mathcal{N} limit, the Z_N axion potential is given by

$$V_{\mathcal{N}}(a) \simeq -\frac{m_a^2(T)f_a^2}{\mathcal{N}^2} \cos\left(\mathcal{N}\frac{a}{f_a}\right),$$
 (2)

where $m_a(T)$ is the temperature-dependent Z_N axion mass [66]

$$m_a(T) \simeq \begin{cases} m_{a,0} , & \text{LT} \\ m_{a,\pi} , & \text{MT} \\ m_{a,\pi} \left(\frac{\gamma T}{T_{\text{QCD}}} \right)^{-b} . & \text{HT} \end{cases}$$
(3)



FIG. 1. Illustration of the double level crossings. The red and blue lines represent the heavy and light temperaturedependent mass eigenvalues $m_h(T)$ and $m_l(T)$, respectively. The "first" and "second" correspond to the first and second level crossings at T_{\times} and $T_{\rm QCD}$, respectively.

The zero-temperature $Z_{\mathcal{N}}$ axion mass $m_{a,0}$ and the defined mass $m_{a,\pi}$ are

$$m_{a,0} \simeq \frac{m_{\pi} f_{\pi}}{f_a} \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{\pi}} \sqrt[4]{\frac{1-z}{1+z}} \mathcal{N}^{3/4} z^{\mathcal{N}/2} , \qquad (4)$$

$$m_{a,\pi} = \frac{m_{\pi} f_{\pi}}{f_a} \sqrt{\frac{z}{1-z^2}}, \qquad (5)$$

where $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ is a temperature parameter, $T_{\rm QCD} \simeq 150 \,{\rm MeV}$ is the QCD phase transition critical temperature, $b \simeq 4.08$ is an index obtained from the dilute instanton gas approximation (DIGA), m_{π} and f_{π} are the mass and decay constant of the pion, respectively, and $z \equiv m_u/m_d \simeq 0.48$ is the ratio of up (m_u) to down (m_d) quark masses. The symbols "LT", "MT", and "HT" correspond to the low temperatures $T \leq T_{\rm QCD}$, the medium temperatures $T_{\rm QCD} < T \leq T_{\rm QCD}/\gamma$, and the high temperatures $T > T_{\rm QCD}/\gamma$, respectively.

In the double level crossings [80], one can consider the interaction between two axion fields (A and a), one of which is the Z_N axion a, with the potential

$$V(A, a) = V_1(A, a) + V_2(A, a)$$

= $\Lambda_1^4 \left[1 - \cos\left(n\frac{A}{f_A} + \mathcal{N}\frac{a}{f_a}\right) \right]$ (6)
+ $\Lambda_2^4 \left[1 - \cos\left(\mathcal{N}\frac{a}{f_a}\right) \right]$,

where Λ_1 and $\Lambda_2 = \sqrt{m_a(T)f_a/N}$ are the overall scales, f_A is the another axion (A) decay constant, n is a positive integer, n and \mathcal{N} are also the domain wall numbers. In the model, the scale Λ_2 corresponding to the $Z_{\mathcal{N}}$ axion is temperature-dependent, while the scale Λ_1 corresponding to the ALP or sterile axion is temperature-independent. Through the mass mixing matrix derived from Eq. (6)

$$\mathbf{M}^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{n^{2}\Lambda_{1}^{4}}{f_{A}^{2}} & \frac{n\mathcal{N}\Lambda_{1}^{4}}{f_{A}f_{a}}\\ \frac{n\mathcal{N}\Lambda_{1}^{4}}{f_{A}f_{a}} & \frac{\mathcal{N}^{2}\left(\Lambda_{1}^{4} + \Lambda_{2}^{4}\right)}{f_{a}^{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (7)$$

we can obtain the heavy and light mass eigenvalues m_h and m_l

$$m_{h,l}^{2} = \frac{1}{2f_{A}^{2}f_{a}^{2}} \left\{ n^{2}f_{a}^{2}\Lambda_{1}^{4} + \mathcal{N}^{2}f_{A}^{2}\left(\Lambda_{1}^{4} + \Lambda_{2}^{4}\right) \\ \pm \left[-4n^{2}\mathcal{N}^{2}f_{A}^{2}f_{a}^{2}\Lambda_{1}^{4}\Lambda_{2}^{4} \right.$$

$$\left. + \left(n^{2}f_{a}^{2}\Lambda_{1}^{4} + \mathcal{N}^{2}f_{A}^{2}\left(\Lambda_{1}^{4} + \Lambda_{2}^{4}\right)\right)^{2} \right]^{1/2} \right\},$$

$$\left. (8)$$

which are temperature-dependent. Since the $Z_{\mathcal{N}}$ axion mass $m_a(T)$ is exponentially suppressed ($\propto \mathcal{N}^{3/4} z^{\mathcal{N}/2}$) at $T_{\rm QCD}$ due to the Z_N symmetry, the single/double level crossings can therefore take place. In the double level crossings case, the first and second level crossings occur at the temperatures T_{\times} and T_{QCD} , respectively. See Fig. 1 for a simple illustration of the double level crossings. In Ref. [80], the conditions for the single and double level crossings to occur are discussed, and also the corresponding $Z_{\mathcal{N}}$ axion relic density. Note however that in this work the heavy and light mass eigenvalues do not necessarily have to coincide with the axion masses, which is somewhat different from the case in Ref. [80]. In general, there is a hierarchy between the two axion decay constants [81, 82]. In the case $\Lambda_1 \gg \Lambda_2$, we consider two physical fields ψ and ξ , in which the first one is the linear combination in the potential V_1 of Eq. (6) and the second one is the orthogonal combination, with

$$\psi = \frac{f_A f_a}{\sqrt{\mathcal{N}^2 f_A^2 + n^2 f_a^2}} \left(n \frac{A}{f_A} + \mathcal{N} \frac{a}{f_a} \right) , \qquad (9)$$

$$\xi = \frac{f_A f_a}{\sqrt{\mathcal{N}^2 f_A^2 + n^2 f_a^2}} \left(-\mathcal{N} \frac{A}{f_a} + n \frac{a}{f_A}\right) \,. \tag{10}$$

Then the potential in terms of the physical fields can be written as

$$V(\psi,\xi) = \Lambda_1^4 \left[1 - \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{N}^2 f_A^2 + n^2 f_a^2}}{f_A f_a}\psi\right) \right] + \Lambda_2^4 \left[1 - \cos\left(\frac{\mathcal{N}^2 f_A}{f_a \sqrt{\mathcal{N}^2 f_A^2 + n^2 f_a^2}}\psi\right) + \frac{n\mathcal{N}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{N}^2 f_A^2 + n^2 f_a^2}}\xi \right) \right],$$
(11)

with the effective axion decay constants f_{ψ} and f_{ξ}

$$f_{\psi} = \frac{f_A f_a}{\sqrt{N^2 f_A^2 + n^2 f_a^2}},$$
 (12)

$$f_{\xi} = \frac{\sqrt{N^2 f_A^2 + n^2 f_a^2}}{nN}.$$
 (13)

Then we discuss the domain walls formation from the axion level crossing, in which the canonical single level crossing case was studied in Refs. [75, 76]. It was shown that the formation of axion domain walls from the level crossing in the axiverse is a common phenomenon. The onset of axion oscillations is considered slightly before the level crossing temperature T'_{\times} . In the case the initial axion oscillation energy density is sufficiently large to climb over the barrier of potential, the axion dynamics has therefore a chaotic run-away behavior (also called the axion roulette), which is considered to be accompanied by the domain walls formation. Note that the axion domain walls from the level crossing are stable.

In the double level crossings scenario, we have a similar consideration as in Ref. [75] that the axions start to oscillate slightly before the first level crossing

$$T_1 \gtrsim T_{\times} ,$$
 (14)

where T_1 is the oscillation temperature given by m(T) = 3H(T), and H(T) is the Hubble parameter. Note that for the same scale Λ_1 , the first level crossing occurs earlier than the canonical single level crossing case with

$$T_{\times} \simeq 1/\gamma T_{\times}'$$
 (15)

Then the another condition for axion domain walls formation is that the oscillation energy density in the light mass eigenvalue m_l should be larger than the barrier of potential V_1

$$\rho_{l,1} \sim m_{l,1}^2 f_{\xi}^2 \gtrsim \Lambda_1^4 \sim m_{h,1}^2 f_{\psi}^2 \,, \tag{16}$$

where the subscript "1" corresponds to T_1 . Since no cosmic strings are formed, the domain walls without cosmic strings are stable in a cosmological time scale, which is different from the case associated with the spontaneous breakdown of an approximate U(1) symmetry.

In the following, we will focus our attention on the axion domain walls annihilation, and the emitted gravitational waves.

III. GRAVITATIONAL WAVES EMITTED BY AXION DOMAIN WALLS

In this section, we discuss the nano-Hertz gravitational waves emitted by axion domain walls annihilation from the double level crossings. Here we consider the dynamics of domain walls is dominated by the tension force. In the scaling regime, the evolution of domain walls can be described by the scaling solution [83–86]. In this case, the energy density of domain walls evolves as

$$\rho_{\text{wall}}(t) = \mathcal{A}\frac{\sigma_{\text{wall}}}{t} \,, \tag{17}$$

where $\mathcal{A} \simeq 0.8 \pm 0.1$ is a scaling parameter obtained from the numerical simulations [52], and σ_{wall} is the tension of domain walls

$$\sigma_{\text{wall}} = \frac{8}{\mathcal{N}^2} m_l f_{\xi}^2$$

$$\simeq \frac{8}{\mathcal{N}^2} \zeta \eta^2 m_{a,\pi} f_a^2 \,, \qquad (18)$$

where, for simplicity, we have defined $\zeta = m_l/m_{a,\pi} < 1$, and $\eta = f_{\xi}/f_a \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$. Due to the slower evolution of domain walls with the cosmic expansion compared to radiation or matter, the domain walls will eventually dominate the Universe, which conflicts with the standard cosmology. Therefore, the domain walls must annihilate before dominating the Universe, i.e., $T_{\rm ann} > T_{\rm dom}$, where $T_{\rm ann}$ and $T_{\rm dom}$ are the domain walls annihilation temperature and domination temperature, respectively. The domain walls annihilation becomes significant when the tension of domain walls is comparable to the volume pressure, indicating an approximated ($\sim T_{\rm QCD}$) annihilation temperature $T_{\rm ann} \simeq 0.1 \,{\rm GeV}$.

The gravitational waves emitted by domain walls annihilation can be characterized by their peak frequency and peak amplitude. The gravitational waves peak frequency corresponds to the Hubble parameter at the domain walls annihilation time $t_{\rm ann}$

$$f_{\text{peak}}(t_{\text{ann}}) = H(t_{\text{ann}}), \qquad (19)$$

where $f = \kappa/(2\pi)R(t)$ is the frequency with the comoving wavenumber κ , and R(t) is the scale factor of the Universe. Considering the redshift of the peak frequency due to the subsequent cosmic expansion, we have the peak frequency at the present time t_0

$$f_{\text{peak},0} = \left(\frac{R(t_{\text{ann}})}{R(t_0)}\right) H(t_{\text{ann}})$$

$$\simeq 1.1 \times 10^{-8} \operatorname{Hz} \left(\frac{g_{*\text{ann}}}{10}\right)^{1/6} \left(\frac{T_{\text{ann}}}{0.1 \,\text{GeV}}\right).$$
(20)

The gravitational waves spectrum at the cosmic time t can be described by

$$\Omega_{\rm gw}(t,f) = \frac{1}{\rho_c(t)} \frac{\mathrm{d}\rho_{\rm gw}(t)}{\mathrm{d}\ln f}, \qquad (21)$$

where $\rho_c(t)$ is the critical density. Then the gravitational waves peak amplitude at the domain walls annihilation time is given by [30]

$$\Omega_{\rm gw}(t_{\rm ann})_{\rm peak} = \frac{8\pi\tilde{\epsilon}_{\rm gw}G^2\mathcal{A}^2\sigma_{\rm wall}^2}{3H^2(t_{\rm ann})}\,,\qquad(22)$$

where $\tilde{\epsilon}_{gw} \simeq 0.7 \pm 0.4$ is an efficiency parameter [52], and G is the Newton's gravitational constant. We also have



FIG. 2. The gravitational waves spectrum $\Omega_{\rm gw}h^2$ as a function of the frequency f. The red and blue solid lines correspond to $f_a = 10^{17}$ GeV and 10^{16} GeV, respectively. The result of the NANOGrav 15-year dataset [5, 6] and other sensitivities (SKA [87], TianQin [88], Taiji [89], and LISA [90]) are also shown.

the approximated peak amplitude at present

$$\Omega_{\rm gw}(t_0)_{\rm peak}h^2 = \Omega_{\rm rad}h^2 \left(\frac{g_{*s0}^{4/3}/g_{*0}}{g_{*\rm ann}^{1/3}}\right)\Omega_{\rm gw}(t_{\rm ann})_{\rm peak}$$

$$\simeq 2.0 \times 10^{-10} \left(\frac{\mathcal{N}}{3}\right)^{-4} \left(\frac{g_{*\rm ann}}{10}\right)^{-4/3} \qquad (23)$$

$$\times \left(\frac{\tilde{\epsilon}_{\rm gw}}{0.7}\right) \left(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{0.8}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\zeta}{0.9}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\eta}{1}\right)^4 \qquad (23)$$

$$\times \left(\frac{T_{\rm ann}}{0.1\,{\rm GeV}}\right)^{-4} \left(\frac{f_a}{10^{17}\,{\rm GeV}}\right)^2,$$

where $\Omega_{\rm rad}h^2 \simeq 4.15 \times 10^{-5}$ is the density parameter of radiation at present, $h \simeq 0.68$ is the reduced Hubble parameter, g_* and g_{*s} are the numbers of effective degrees of freedom of the energy density and the entropy density, respectively.

Using Eqs. (20) and (23), the present gravitational waves spectrum finally can be described by a piecewise function, $\Omega_{\rm gw} \propto f^3$ for the low frequency and $\Omega_{\rm gw} \propto f^{-1}$ for the high frequency, with

$$\Omega_{\rm gw}h^2 = \begin{cases} \Omega_{\rm gw}(t_0)_{\rm peak}h^2 \left(\frac{f}{f_{\rm peak,0}}\right)^3, & f < f_{\rm peak,0}\\ \Omega_{\rm gw}(t_0)_{\rm peak}h^2 \left(\frac{f_{\rm peak,0}}{f}\right). & f \ge f_{\rm peak,0} \end{cases}$$
(24)

We show the predicted gravitational waves spectra emitted by axion domain walls annihilation from the double level crossings in Fig. 2. The red and blue solid lines correspond to the axion decay constant $f_a = 10^{17} \text{ GeV}$ and 10^{16} GeV , respectively. The result of the recently published NANOGrav 15-year dataset [5, 6] and other sensitivities [87–90] in this plot are also shown. We find that the predicted nano-Hertz gravitational waves spectra from the double level crossings can be tested by the current and future PTA projects.

IV. CONCLUSION

In summary, we have investigated the axion domain walls formation from the double level crossings, and also the nano-Hertz gravitational waves emitted by domain walls annihilation. The double level crossings exists in the mass mixing between two axion fields, one of which is the Z_N axion. In this work, we consider a more general case in the mixing, the heavy and light mass eigenvalues do not necessarily have to coincide with the axion masses, and there is a hierarchy between the two axion decay constants. The conditions for axion domain walls formation are that, 1) the axions should start to oscillate slightly before the first level crossing, 2) and the initial axion oscillation energy density should be large to climb over the barrier of potential. In this case, the axion dynamics

- M. Maggiore, Phys. Rept. **331**, 283 (2000), arXiv:grqc/9909001.
- [2] M. Maggiore, <u>Gravitational Waves. Vol. 1</u> (Oxford University Press, 2007).
- B. P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific, Virgo), Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 061102 (2016), arXiv:1602.03837 [gr-qc].
- [4] B. P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific, Virgo), Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 241103 (2016), arXiv:1606.04855 [gr-qc].
- [5] G. Agazie et al. (NANOGrav), Astrophys. J. Lett. 951, L8 (2023), arXiv:2306.16213 [astro-ph.HE].
- [6] G. Agazie et al. (NANOGrav), Astrophys. J. Lett. 952, L37 (2023), arXiv:2306.16220 [astro-ph.HE].
- [7] A. Afzal <u>et al.</u> (NANOGrav), Astrophys. J. Lett. **951**, L11 (2023), arXiv:2306.16219 [astro-ph.HE].
- [8] J. Antoniadis et al. (EPTA), Astron. Astrophys. 678, A48 (2023), arXiv:2306.16224 [astro-ph.HE].
- [9] J. Antoniadis <u>et al.</u> (EPTA, InPTA), Astron. Astrophys.
 678, A49 (2023), arXiv:2306.16225 [astro-ph.HE].
- [10] J. Antoniadis <u>et al.</u> (EPTA, InPTA:), Astron. Astrophys. 678, A50 (2023), arXiv:2306.16214 [astro-ph.HE].
- [11] D. J. Reardon <u>et al.</u>, Astrophys. J. Lett. **951**, L6 (2023), arXiv:2306.16215 [astro-ph.HE].
- [12] D. J. Reardon et al., Astrophys. J. Lett. 951, L7 (2023), arXiv:2306.16229 [astro-ph.HE].
- [13] H. Xu et al., Res. Astron. Astrophys. 23, 075024 (2023), arXiv:2306.16216 [astro-ph.HE].
- [14] L. P. Grishchuk, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 67, 825 (1974).
- [15] A. A. Starobinsky, JETP Lett. 30, 682 (1979).
- [16] T. L. Smith, M. Kamionkowski, and A. Cooray, Phys. Rev. D 73, 023504 (2006), arXiv:astro-ph/0506422.
 [17] E. Witten, Phys. Rev. D 30, 272 (1984).
- [18] M. Kamionkowski, A. Kosowsky, and M. S. Turner,
- Phys. Rev. D 49, 2837 (1994), arXiv:astro-ph/9310044.
 [19] A. Vilenkin, Phys. Lett. B 107, 47 (1981).
- [20] F. S. Accetta and L. M. Krauss, Nucl. Phys. B **319**, 747 (1989).

- [21] R. R. Caldwell and B. Allen, Phys. Rev. D 45, 3447 (1992).
- [22] A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. D 23, 852 (1981).
- [23] J. Preskill, S. P. Trivedi, F. Wilczek, and M. B. Wise, Nucl. Phys. B 363, 207 (1991).
- [24] S. Chang, C. Hagmann, and P. Sikivie, Phys. Rev. D 59, 023505 (1999), arXiv:hep-ph/9807374.
- [25] M. Gleiser and R. Roberts, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 5497 (1998), arXiv:astro-ph/9807260.
- [26] S. Y. Khlebnikov and I. I. Tkachev, Phys. Rev. D 56, 653 (1997), arXiv:hep-ph/9701423.
- [27] R. Easther and E. A. Lim, JCAP 04, 010 (2006), arXiv:astro-ph/0601617.
- [28] J. Garcia-Bellido, D. G. Figueroa, and A. Sastre, Phys. Rev. D 77, 043517 (2008), arXiv:0707.0839 [hep-ph].
- [29] J.-F. Dufaux, G. Felder, L. Kofman, and O. Navros, JCAP 03, 001 (2009), arXiv:0812.2917 [astro-ph].
- [30] K. Saikawa, Universe 3, 40 (2017), arXiv:1703.02576 [hep-ph].
- [31] T. W. B. Kibble, J. Phys. A 9, 1387 (1976).
- [32] Y. B. Zeldovich, I. Y. Kobzarev, and L. B. Okun, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 67, 3 (1974).
- [33] G. Lazarides and Q. Shafi, Phys. Lett. B 115, 21 (1982).
- [34] G. B. Gelmini, M. Gleiser, and E. W. Kolb, Phys. Rev. D 39, 1558 (1989).
- [35] S. E. Larsson, S. Sarkar, and P. L. White, Phys. Rev. D 55, 5129 (1997), arXiv:hep-ph/9608319.
- [36] T. Higaki, K. S. Jeong, N. Kitajima, T. Sekiguchi, and F. Takahashi, JHEP 08, 044 (2016), arXiv:1606.05552 [hep-ph].
- [37] A. Caputo and M. Reig, Phys. Rev. D 100, 063530 (2019), arXiv:1905.13116 [hep-ph].
- [38] C.-W. Chiang and B.-Q. Lu, JCAP 05, 049 (2021), arXiv:2012.14071 [hep-ph].
- [39] A. S. Sakharov, Y. N. Eroshenko, and S. G. Rubin, Phys. Rev. D 104, 043005 (2021), arXiv:2104.08750 [hep-ph].

has therefore a chaotic run-away behavior, which is considered to be accompanied by domain walls formation. To avoid the cosmological catastrophe, the domain walls must annihilate before dominating the Universe. The gravitational waves emitted by domain walls annihilation is determined by their peak frequency and peak amplitude. Finally, we show the predicted nano-Hertz gravitational waves spectra emitted by axion domain walls annihilation from the double level crossings. It can be tested by the current and future PTA projects.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (Grant No. 2017YFA0402204), the CAS Project for Young Scientists in Basic Research YSBR-006, and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) (Grants No. 11821505, No. 11825506, and No. 12047503).

- [40] Z. Chen, A. Kobakhidze, C. A. J. O'Hare, Z. S. C. Picker, and G. Pierobon, (2021), arXiv:2110.11014 [hep-ph].
- [41] R. Z. Ferreira, A. Notari, O. Pujolas, and F. Rompineve, JCAP 02, 001 (2023), arXiv:2204.04228 [astro-ph.CO].
- [42] G. B. Gelmini, A. Simpson, and E. Vitagliano, JCAP 02, 031 (2023), arXiv:2207.07126 [hep-ph].
- [43] S. Blasi, A. Mariotti, A. Rase, A. Sevrin, and K. Turbang, JCAP 04, 008 (2023), arXiv:2210.14246 [hep-ph].
- [44] S. Kanno, J. Soda, and A. Taniguchi, Phys. Rev. D 108, 083525 (2023), arXiv:2304.03944 [hep-th].
- [45] N. Kitajima, J. Lee, K. Murai, F. Takahashi, and W. Yin, (2023), arXiv:2306.17146 [hep-ph].
- [46] S. Blasi, A. Mariotti, A. Rase, and A. Sevrin, JHEP 11, 169 (2023), arXiv:2306.17830 [hep-ph].
- [47] B.-Q. Lu and C.-W. Chiang, (2023), arXiv:2307.00746 [hep-ph].
- [48] Y. Li, L. Bian, R.-G. Cai, and J. Shu, (2023), arXiv:2311.02011 [astro-ph.CO].
- [49] N. Kitajima, J. Lee, F. Takahashi, and W. Yin, (2023), arXiv:2311.14590 [hep-ph].
- [50] T. Hiramatsu, M. Kawasaki, and K. Saikawa, JCAP 05, 032 (2010), arXiv:1002.1555 [astro-ph.CO].
- [51] M. Kawasaki and K. Saikawa, JCAP 09, 008 (2011), arXiv:1102.5628 [astro-ph.CO].
- [52] T. Hiramatsu, M. Kawasaki, and K. Saikawa, JCAP 02, 031 (2014), arXiv:1309.5001 [astro-ph.CO].
- [53] R. Peccei and H. R. Quinn, Phys. Rev. D 16, 1791 (1977).
- [54] R. Peccei and H. R. Quinn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38, 1440 (1977).
- [55] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 40, 223 (1978).
- [56] F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 40, 279 (1978).
- [57] J. E. Kim, Phys. Rev. Lett. 43, 103 (1979).
- [58] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein, and V. I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B 166, 493 (1980).
- [59] M. Dine, W. Fischler, and M. Srednicki, Phys. Lett. B 104, 199 (1981).
- [60] A. R. Zhitnitsky, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **31**, 260 (1980).
- [61] J. Preskill, M. B. Wise, and F. Wilczek, Phys. Lett. B 120, 127 (1983).
- [62] L. Abbott and P. Sikivie, Phys. Lett. B **120**, 133 (1983).
- [63] M. Dine and W. Fischler, Phys. Lett. B **120**, 137 (1983).
- [64] A. Hook, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 261802 (2018), arXiv:1802.10093 [hep-ph].
- [65] L. Di Luzio, B. Gavela, P. Quilez, and A. Ringwald, JHEP 05, 184 (2021), arXiv:2102.00012 [hep-ph].
- [66] L. Di Luzio, B. Gavela, P. Quilez, and A. Ringwald, JCAP 10, 001 (2021), arXiv:2102.01082 [hep-ph].
- [67] R. T. Co, L. J. Hall, and K. Harigaya, Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, 251802 (2020), arXiv:1910.14152 [hep-ph].

- [68] M. B. Green and J. H. Schwarz, Phys. Lett. B 149, 117 (1984).
- [69] E. Witten, Phys. Lett. B 149, 351 (1984).
- [70] D. Cadamuro and J. Redondo, JCAP 02, 032 (2012), arXiv:1110.2895 [hep-ph].
- [71] P. Arias, D. Cadamuro, M. Goodsell, J. Jaeckel, J. Redondo, and A. Ringwald, JCAP 06, 013 (2012), arXiv:1201.5902 [hep-ph].
- [72] W. Chao, M. Jin, H.-J. Li, and Y.-Q. Peng, (2022), arXiv:2210.13233 [hep-ph].
- [73] C. T. Hill and G. G. Ross, Nucl. Phys. B **311**, 253 (1988).
- [74] N. Kitajima and F. Takahashi, JCAP **01**, 032 (2015), arXiv:1411.2011 [hep-ph].
- [75] R. Daido, N. Kitajima, and F. Takahashi, Phys. Rev. D 92, 063512 (2015), arXiv:1505.07670 [hep-ph].
- [76] R. Daido, N. Kitajima, and F. Takahashi, Phys. Rev. D 93, 075027 (2016), arXiv:1510.06675 [hep-ph].
- [77] S.-Y. Ho, K. Saikawa, and F. Takahashi, JCAP 10, 042 (2018), arXiv:1806.09551 [hep-ph].
- [78] D. Cyncynates and J. O. Thompson, Phys. Rev. D 108, L091703 (2023), arXiv:2306.04678 [hep-ph].
- [79] H.-J. Li, (2023), arXiv:2307.09245 [hep-ph].
- [80] H.-J. Li, Y.-Q. Peng, W. Chao, and Y.-F. Zhou, Phys. Lett. B 849, 138444 (2024), arXiv:2310.02126 [hep-ph].
- [81] J. E. Kim, H. P. Nilles, and M. Peloso, JCAP 01, 005 (2005), arXiv:hep-ph/0409138.
- [82] I. Ben-Dayan, F. G. Pedro, and A. Westphal, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 261301 (2014), arXiv:1404.7773 [hep-th].
- [83] W. H. Press, B. S. Ryden, and D. N. Spergel, Astrophys. J. 347, 590 (1989).
- [84] M. Hindmarsh, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 4495 (1996), arXiv:hep-ph/9605332.
- [85] T. Garagounis and M. Hindmarsh, Phys. Rev. D 68, 103506 (2003), arXiv:hep-ph/0212359.
- [86] J. C. R. E. Oliveira, C. J. A. P. Martins, and P. P. Avelino, Phys. Rev. D 71, 083509 (2005), arXiv:hepph/0410356.
- [87] C. L. Carilli and S. Rawlings, New Astron. Rev. 48, 979 (2004), arXiv:astro-ph/0409274.
- [88] J. Luo et al. (TianQin), Class. Quant. Grav. 33, 035010 (2016), arXiv:1512.02076 [astro-ph.IM].
- [89] W.-H. Ruan, Z.-K. Guo, R.-G. Cai, and Y.-Z. Zhang, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **35**, 2050075 (2020), arXiv:1807.09495 [gr-qc].
- [90] P. Amaro-Seoane et al. (LISA), (2017), arXiv:1702.00786 [astro-ph.IM].