

TOP CELL ATTACHMENT FOR A POINCARÉ DUALITY COMPLEX

STEPHEN THERIAULT

ABSTRACT. Let M be a simply-connected closed Poincaré Duality complex of dimension n . Then M is obtained by attaching a cell of highest dimension to its $(n-1)$ -skeleton \overline{M} . Conditions are given for when the skeletal inclusion $\overline{M} \xrightarrow{i} M$ has the property that Ωi has a right homotopy inverse. This is an integral version of the rational statement that such a right homotopy inverse always exists provided the rational cohomology of M is not generated by a single element. New methods are developed in order to do the integral case. These lead to p -local versions and recover the full rational statement. Families for which the integral statement holds include moment-angle manifolds and quasi-toric manifolds.

1. INTRODUCTION

An important problem in homotopy theory is to determine the effect on homotopy groups of a cell attachment. Let X be a simply-connected CW -complex and suppose that there is a homotopy cofibration $S^{n-1} \xrightarrow{f} X \xrightarrow{i} Y$ where f attaches a cell to X to obtain Y . It is natural to ask how $\pi_*(X)$ and $\pi_*(Y)$ are related.

In rational homotopy theory the attaching map f is called *rationally inert* if $\pi_*(i)$ is a surjection. This is equivalent to saying that, rationally, the loop map $\Omega X \xrightarrow{\Omega i} \Omega Y$ has a right homotopy inverse. The equivalence between the rational homology of a loop space and the universal enveloping algebra of the rational homotopy Lie algebra of the space also allows for a description of rational inertness in Lie theoretic terms. Rationally inert maps have been studied in depth [FT, HL1, HL2], along with their variants [B, HeL], and play an important role in the growth of rational homotopy groups [FHT] and a solution to a higher dimensional version of Whitehead's asphericity problem [A].

The notion of inertness was generalized in [T] to an integral context. The attaching map f is called *inert* if the loop map $\Omega X \xrightarrow{\Omega i} \Omega Y$ has a right homotopy inverse. This implies that the homotopy groups of Y retract off the homotopy groups of X . This is interesting because it says that the cell attachment has the special property of killing off homotopy groups but not creating any new ones. It was shown that the attaching map for the top dimensional cell of several families of $(n-1)$ -connected $2n$ -dimensional and $(n-1)$ -connected $(2n+1)$ -dimensional Poincaré duality complexes is inert. This integral version of inertness has been developed further in recent work [Ch1, Ch2, H, HT].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 55P35, 57N65.

Key words and phrases. Poincaré Duality complex, loop space decomposition, cell attachment.

Halperin and Lemaire [HL1] proved the dramatic statement that the attaching map for the top cell of any Poincaré Duality complex M is rationally inert provided the rational cohomology of M is not generated by a single element. In this paper we give conditions for when an analogous integral statement is true. It should be emphasized that in the rational case the argument depends on using the Sullivan algebra as a model for rational homotopy theory. No such model exists integrally, so new methods had to be developed. These build on work in [BT2, T] that develops a framework for constructing loop space decompositions in the context of homotopy fibrations with a section after looping. The key is to enhance this setup with a homotopy co-action that allows for a splitting, thereby identifying certain fibres. This should have further applications.

To state our results, let M be a simply-connected, closed n -dimensional Poincaré Duality complex. Let \overline{M} be the $(n - 1)$ -skeleton of M . Then there is a homotopy cofibration

$$S^{n-1} \xrightarrow{f} \overline{M} \xrightarrow{i} M$$

where f attaches the top cell to M and i is the skeletal inclusion.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be an $(m - 1)$ -connected, closed Poincaré Duality complex of dimension n , where $2 \leq m < n$. If there is a map $M \rightarrow S^m$ having a right homotopy inverse, then the attaching map for the top cell of M is inert.*

Note that the hypothesis that $2 \leq m$ implies that M is at least simply-connected and $m < n$ implies that M is not a sphere. The condition that there is a map $M \rightarrow S^m$ having a right homotopy inverse is demanding but many examples of interesting Poincaré Duality complexes with this property are given. One family of examples is moment-angle manifolds, which arise in toric topology. If K is a simplicial complex on ℓ vertices then the moment-angle complex associated to K is constructed by gluing together ℓ -fold products of factors D^2 and S^1 in a manner governed by the faces of K . As will be discussed in Examples 5.3 and 5.4, if K is the triangulation of a sphere then the moment-angle complex is a manifold, and a missing face of least dimension, say dimension k , implies that this moment-angle manifold M is $(2k - 2)$ -connected and there is a map $M \rightarrow S^{2k-1}$ that has a right homotopy inverse. So Theorem 1.1 implies that the attaching map for the top cell of M is inert.

A p -local version of Theorem 1.1 also holds, which introduces more opportunities for producing a map $M \rightarrow S^m$ having a right homotopy inverse. More is true rationally. If M is rationally $(m - 1)$ -connected and m is odd then such a map always exists rationally, since m being odd implies that S^m is rationally homotopy equivalent to the Eilenberg-Mac Lane space $K(\mathbb{Q}, m)$, in which case producing a map $M \rightarrow S^m$ is the same as identifying a generator in $H^m(M; \mathbb{Q})$.

This discussion indicates that finding examples for Theorem 1.1 in which m is even may be more delicate than when m is odd, partly because the rational version of the statement runs into the problem that S^m is not rationally homotopy equivalent to an Eilenberg-Mac Lane space. However,

when $m = 2$ there is an alternative statement that is based on using a map $M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^\infty$ instead of a map $M \rightarrow S^2$. Write BS^1 for the classifying space $\mathbb{C}P^\infty$ of S^1 .

Theorem 1.2. *Let M be a simply-connected closed Poincaré Duality complex of dimension n . Suppose that there is a homotopy fibration*

$$N \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{h} BS^1$$

where Ωh has a right homotopy inverse. If the attaching map for the top cell of N is inert then the attaching map for the top cell of M is inert.

Theorem 1.2 may be iterated and combined with Theorem 1.1. For example, let M be a quasi-toric manifold. These are fundamental objects in toric topology associated to simple polytopes and whose construction and properties are thoroughly described in [BP]. One property is that, for an appropriate ℓ , there is a homotopy fibration $N \rightarrow M \rightarrow BT^\ell$ where T^ℓ is the torus formed by taking the product of ℓ copies of S^1 , BT^ℓ is its classifying space, and N is the moment-angle manifold associated to the simple polytope P . Since we have seen that the attaching map for the top cell of N is inert provided that $K = (\partial P)^*$ is not a simplex, by iterating Theorem 1.2 and then applying Theorem 1.1 (for details, see Theorem 8.6), we obtain that the attaching map for the top cell of M is also inert.

Finally, the methods behind Theorem 1.2 may be generalized in the rational context when the connectivity of M is even, and combined with the earlier statement about when the connectivity of M is odd, to reproduce Halperin and Lemaire's result that the attaching map for the top cell of any simply-connected, closed Poincaré Duality complex is rationally inert provided that its rational cohomology is not generated by a single element. It is notable that this is done without using Sullivan algebras.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 a theorem from [BT2] is recalled that sets up the context for the later sections. In particular, given the homotopy cofibration $S^{n-1} \rightarrow \overline{M} \rightarrow M$ that attaches the top cell of M and a map $\overline{M} \xrightarrow{h} S^m$ such that Ωh has a right homotopy inverse and h extends to a map $M \xrightarrow{h'} S^m$, there is a homotopy cofibration $S^{n-1} \times \Omega S^m \rightarrow E \rightarrow E'$ where E and E' are the homotopy fibres of h and h' respectively. Section 3 enhances such a setup with a homotopy co-action, and in Section 4 this is used to produce a splitting of the homotopy cofibration involving E and E' . This is then applied in Section 5 to prove Theorem 1.1 and discuss the moment-angle manifold examples. Section 6 gives a p -local version of Theorem 1.1 when m is odd, and Section 7 gives a p -local version assuming an extra cohomological condition when m is even. We return to the integral setting in Section 8 where Theorem 1.2 is proved and the quasi-toric manifold case is discussed. Section 9 generalizes Theorem 1.2 in the rational setting in order to recover the result of Halperin and Lemaire. Finally, in Section 10 two applications are given, one of which identifies the homotopy fibre of the map $\overline{M} \rightarrow M$ when the attaching map for the top

cell is inert, and the other produces more examples of inert attaching maps via the connected sum operation.

2. A DECOMPOSITION THEOREM

This section briefly states a result from [BT2]. Suppose that there is a homotopy cofibration $A \xrightarrow{f} X \rightarrow X'$ and a homotopy fibration $E \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{h} Z$. Suppose that $h \circ f$ is null homotopic so that h extends across $X \rightarrow X'$ to a map $h': X' \rightarrow Z$. Let E' be the homotopy fibre of h' . This data is arranged in a diagram

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & E & \longrightarrow & E' \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A & \xrightarrow{f} & X & \longrightarrow & X' \\ & & \downarrow h & & \downarrow h' \\ & & Z & \xlongequal{\quad} & Z \end{array}$$

where the two columns form a homotopy fibration diagram.

For pointed spaces A and B , the *right half-smash* is defined as the quotient space

$$A \rtimes B = (A \times B) / \sim$$

where $(*, b) \sim (*, *)$. It is well known that if A is a co- H -space then there is a homotopy equivalence $A \rtimes B \simeq A \vee (A \wedge B)$.

Theorem 2.1. *Given a diagram of data (1). If Ωh has a right homotopy inverse $s: \Omega Z \rightarrow \Omega X$ then there is a homotopy cofibration*

$$A \rtimes \Omega Z \xrightarrow{\theta} E \rightarrow E'$$

for some map θ whose restriction to A is a lift of f . □

The point of Theorem 2.1 is that the map θ can sometimes be used to determine the homotopy type of E' . The right homotopy inverse for Ωh implies there is a right homotopy inverse for $\Omega h'$, resulting in a homotopy equivalence $\Omega X' \simeq \Omega Z \times \Omega E'$. Knowing the homotopy type of E' then informs on the homotopy type of $\Omega X'$.

3. HOMOTOPY FIBRATIONS AND HOMOTOPY CO-ACTIONS

The purpose of this section is to prove Proposition 3.7. This says that if $E \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{h} Z$ is a homotopy fibration where Ωh has a right homotopy inverse and there is a homotopy co-action $X \rightarrow X \vee Y$ (defined later), then there is an induced homotopy co-action $E \rightarrow E \vee (Y \rtimes \Omega Z)$. We begin with a version of Mather's Cube Lemma [Ma].

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that there is a homotopy commutative diagram of spaces and maps*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 E & \longrightarrow & F & & \\
 \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\
 & & G & \longrightarrow & H \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 A & \longrightarrow & B & & \\
 \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\
 & & C & \longrightarrow & D
 \end{array}$$

where all four vertical maps are obtained by taking fibres over a common base space, implying that the four sides are homotopy pullbacks. If the bottom face is a homotopy pushout then the top face is a homotopy pushout. \square

Technically, Mather proved a more general result without the hypothesis that the vertical maps are obtained by taking fibres over a common base space, for which he needed a different definition of a “homotopy commutative cube”. In our case the stronger hypothesis lets one use [PT, Lemma 3.1], for example, to establish Lemma 3.1.

In general, for path-connected spaces X and Y , let $i_1: X \rightarrow X \vee Y$ and $i_2: Y \rightarrow X \vee Y$ be the inclusions of the left and right wedge summands respectively and let $p_1: X \vee Y \rightarrow X$ and $p_2: X \vee Y \rightarrow Y$ be the pinch maps to the left and right wedge summands respectively. Let $j_1: X \rightarrow X \times Y$ and $j_2: Y \rightarrow X \times Y$ be the inclusions of the left and right factors respectively and let $\pi_1: X \times Y \rightarrow X$ and $\pi_2: X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ be the projections onto the left and right factors respectively. Let $q: X \times Y \rightarrow X \rtimes Y$ be the quotient map.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that there is a homotopy fibration $E \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{h} Z$ where X and Z are path-connected and Ωh has a right homotopy inverse. Let Y be another path-connected space and let \bar{h} be the composite $\bar{h}: X \vee Y \xrightarrow{p_1} X \xrightarrow{h} Z$. Then there is a homotopy fibration*

$$E \vee (Y \rtimes \Omega Z) \rightarrow X \vee Y \xrightarrow{\bar{h}} Z$$

and a homotopy commutative cube

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \Omega Z & \xrightarrow{*} & E & & \\
 \downarrow & \searrow^{j_2} & \downarrow & \searrow^{i_1} & \\
 & & Y \times \Omega Z & \xrightarrow{i_2 \circ q} & E \vee (Y \rtimes \Omega Z) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 * & \longrightarrow & X & & \\
 \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\
 & & Y & \longrightarrow & X \vee Y
 \end{array}$$

where the bottom and top faces are homotopy pushouts, the four sides are homotopy pullbacks, and the map labelled $*$ is null homotopic.

Proof. Consider the homotopy pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} * & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y & \longrightarrow & X \vee Y. \end{array}$$

Compose the maps in the pushout with \bar{h} and take homotopy fibres. Noting that the restriction of \bar{h} to X is h while its restriction to Y is null homotopic, we obtain homotopy fibrations

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega Z & \longrightarrow & * \longrightarrow Z \\ E & \longrightarrow & X \xrightarrow{h} Z \\ Y \times \Omega Z & \longrightarrow & Y \longrightarrow Z \\ F & \longrightarrow & X \vee Y \xrightarrow{\bar{h}} Z \end{array}$$

where F is defined as the homotopy fibre of \bar{h} . By Lemma 3.1, there is a homotopy commutative cube

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \Omega Z & \xrightarrow{a} & E & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow b & \downarrow & \searrow c & \\ & Y \times \Omega Z & \xrightarrow{d} & F & \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \\ * & \longrightarrow & X & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ & Y & \longrightarrow & X \vee Y & \end{array}$$

where the bottom and top faces are homotopy pushouts and the four sides are homotopy pullbacks. The maps a , b , c and d are induced maps of fibres.

First, the homotopy classes of a and b are identified. The rear face of the cube fits in a homotopy fibration diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Omega Z & \xlongequal{\quad} & \Omega Z & \longrightarrow & * & \longrightarrow & Z \\ \parallel & & \downarrow a & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ \Omega Z & \xrightarrow{\quad \partial \quad} & E & \longrightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{h} & Z \end{array}$$

where ∂ is the fibration connecting map. The homotopy commutativity of the left square implies that $a \simeq \partial$. Further, by hypothesis, Ωh has a right homotopy inverse, implying that ∂ is null homotopic. Hence a is null homotopic. Next, the left face of the cube fits in a homotopy fibration diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Omega Z & \xlongequal{\quad} & \Omega Z & \longrightarrow & * & \longrightarrow & Z \\ \parallel & & \downarrow b & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ \Omega Z & \xrightarrow{j_2} & Y \times \Omega Z & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & Z \end{array}$$

where j_2 is the inclusion of the second factor. The homotopy commutativity of the left square implies that $b \simeq j_2$.

The null homotopy for a implies that the top face in (2) can be expanded to an iterated homotopy pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Omega Z & \longrightarrow & * & \longrightarrow & E \\ \downarrow i_2 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow c \\ Y \times \Omega Z & \xrightarrow{q} & Y \rtimes \Omega Z & \longrightarrow & F. \end{array}$$

Note the bottom row is homotopic to d . The right homotopy pushout implies that $F \simeq E \vee (Y \rtimes \Omega Z)$ and, under this homotopy equivalence, the maps c and d become i_1 and $i_2 \circ q$ respectively. Substituting the identifications of a, b, F, c and d into (2) completes the proof. \square

In the special case when $Z = X$ and h is the identity map, Lemma 3.2 recovers the following well known result.

Lemma 3.3. *If X and Y are path-connected spaces then there is a homotopy fibration*

$$Y \rtimes \Omega X \longrightarrow X \vee Y \xrightarrow{p_1} X. \quad \square$$

Next, Lemma 3.2 is pushed further given an additional hypothesis on X .

Definition 3.4. Let X and Y be path-connected spaces and suppose there is a map $\delta: X \rightarrow Y$. A map

$$\psi: X \rightarrow X \vee Y$$

is called a *homotopy co-action* (with respect to δ) if $p_1 \circ \psi$ is homotopic to the identity map on X and $p_2 \circ \psi \simeq \delta$.

For example, a homotopy cofibration sequence $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \xrightarrow{\delta} \Sigma A$ has a canonical homotopy co-action $C \rightarrow C \vee \Sigma A$ with respect to δ .

Suppose as in Lemma 3.2 that there is a homotopy fibration $E \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{h} Z$ and the map \bar{h} is defined by the composite $\bar{h}: X \vee Y \xrightarrow{p_1} X \xrightarrow{h} Z$. Suppose that there are maps $X \xrightarrow{\delta} Y$ and $X \xrightarrow{\psi} X \vee Y$ where ψ is a homotopy co-action with respect to δ . As ψ is a homotopy co-action, $p_1 \circ \psi$ is homotopic to the identity map on X , implying that $\bar{h} \circ \psi = h \circ p_1 \circ \psi \simeq h$. Observe that the naturality of the pinch map p_1 implies that \bar{h} is also equal to the composite $X \vee Y \xrightarrow{h \vee 1} Z \vee Y \xrightarrow{p_1} Z$. Thus, writing h as $p_1 \circ (h \vee 1) \circ \psi$ we obtain an iterated homotopy pullback diagram

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} E & \xrightarrow{\psi_E} & E \vee (Y \rtimes \Omega Z) & \xrightarrow{t} & Y \rtimes \Omega Z \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{\psi} & X \vee Y & \xrightarrow{h \vee 1} & Z \vee Y \\ \downarrow h & & \downarrow \bar{h} & & \downarrow p_1 \\ Z & \xlongequal{\quad} & Z & \xlongequal{\quad} & Z \end{array}$$

that defines the maps ψ_E and t . Here, the homotopy fibre of \bar{h} was identified by Lemma 3.2 and the homotopy fibre of p_1 was identified by Lemma 3.3. We now proceed to better identify the maps ψ_E and t .

Lemma 3.5. *The map t in (3) is homotopic to the pinch map p_2 .*

Proof. Consider the iterated homotopy pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} * & \longrightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{h} & Z \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow i_1 & & \downarrow i_1 \\ Y & \xrightarrow{i_2} & X \vee Y & \xrightarrow{h \vee 1} & Z \vee Y. \end{array}$$

Compose all maps in the pushout with the pinch map $Z \vee Y \xrightarrow{p_1} Z$ and take homotopy fibres. Note that $p_1 \circ (h \vee 1) = \bar{h}$, which recovers the context of Lemma 3.2. By Lemma 3.1 applied to each homotopy pushout, and using Lemma 3.2 to identify spaces and maps in the cube for the left pushout, we obtain a diagram of juxtaposed homotopy commutative cubes

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \Omega Z & \xrightarrow{*} & E & \xrightarrow{\quad} & * & & \\ \downarrow j_2 & \searrow & \downarrow i_1 & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ Y \times \Omega Z & \xrightarrow{i_2 \circ q} & E \vee (Y \times \Omega Z) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Y \times \Omega Z & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ * & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X & \xrightarrow{h} & Z & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X \vee Y & \xrightarrow{h \vee 1} & Z \vee Y & & \end{array}$$

where the bottom and top faces in the left and right cubes are homotopy pushouts and the four sides in each cube are homotopy pullbacks. The homotopy pushout in the top face of the right cube immediately implies that the map $E \vee (Y \times \Omega Z) \rightarrow Y \times \Omega Z$ is homotopic to p_2 . Note that the front face of the right cube is exactly the homotopy pullback in the upper right square of (3). Thus the map t in (3) is homotopic to p_2 , as asserted. \square

The map ψ_E covering the homotopy co-action ψ has another property. By definition, $\bar{h} = h \circ p_1$, so we obtain an iterated homotopy pullback diagram

$$(5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} E & \xrightarrow{\psi_E} & E \vee (Y \times \Omega Z) & \xrightarrow{r} & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{\psi} & X \vee Y & \xrightarrow{p_1} & X \\ \downarrow h & & \downarrow \bar{h} & & \downarrow h \\ Z & \xlongequal{\quad} & Z & \xlongequal{\quad} & Z \end{array}$$

that defines the map r .

Lemma 3.6. *The map r in (5) is homotopic to the pinch map p_1 .*

Proof. Consider the iterated homotopy pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 * & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & * \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow i_2 & & \downarrow i_1 \\
 X & \xrightarrow{i_1} & X \vee Y & \xrightarrow{p_1} & X.
 \end{array}$$

Compose the maps in the pushout with $X \xrightarrow{h} Z$ and take homotopy fibres. Note that $p_1 \circ h = \bar{h}$, which recovers the context of Lemma 3.2. By Lemma 3.1 applied to each homotopy pushout, and using Lemma 3.2 to identify spaces and maps in the cube for the left pushout, we obtain a diagram of juxtaposed homotopy commutative cubes

$$(6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \Omega Z & \xrightarrow{j_2} & Y \times \Omega Z & \xrightarrow{a} & \Omega Z & & \\
 \downarrow & \searrow * & \downarrow & \searrow i_2 \circ q & \downarrow & \searrow & \\
 E & \xrightarrow{i_1} & E \vee (Y \times \Omega Z) & \xrightarrow{r} & E & & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 * & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & * & & \\
 \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\
 X & \longrightarrow & X \vee Y & \xrightarrow{p_1} & X & &
 \end{array}$$

where the bottom and top faces in the left and right cubes are homotopy pushouts, the four sides in each cube are homotopy pullbacks, and the map a is an induced map of homotopy fibres. Notice the front face in the right cube is the homotopy pullback in the upper right square of (5), thereby identifying r .

We begin by identifying the map a . Since the composite $Y \xrightarrow{i_2} X \vee Y \xrightarrow{p_1} X \xrightarrow{h} Z$ is null homotopic, the rear face of the right cube fits in a homotopy fibration diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \Omega Z & \xrightarrow{j_2} & Y \times \Omega Z & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & Y & \xrightarrow{*} & Z \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow a & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 \Omega Z & \xlongequal{\quad} & \Omega Z & \longrightarrow & * & \longrightarrow & Z.
 \end{array}$$

The middle square is a homotopy pullback, implying that $a \simeq \pi_2$. With a identified, the fact that $i_2 \circ q$ is a composite implies that the right top face in (6) expands to an iterated homotopy pushout

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
 Y \times \Omega Z & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & \Omega Z \\
 \downarrow q & & \downarrow \\
 Y \times \Omega Z & \longrightarrow & Q \\
 \downarrow i_2 & & \downarrow \\
 E \vee (Y \times \Omega Z) & \xrightarrow{r} & E.
 \end{array}$$

that defines the space Q . Consider the homotopy pushout in the top square of (7). Since q is obtained by taking the homotopy cofibre of the inclusion $\Omega Z \xrightarrow{j_2} Y \times \Omega Z$, the pushout implies that there is a homotopy cofibration $\Omega Z \xrightarrow{\pi_2 \circ j_2} \Omega Z \longrightarrow Q$. As $\pi_2 \circ q$ is homotopic to the identity map

on ΩZ , the space Q is contractible. The bottom homotopy pushout in (7) then implies that there is a homotopy cofibration $Y \rtimes \Omega Z \xrightarrow{i_2} E \vee (Y \rtimes \Omega Z) \xrightarrow{r} E$, implying that r is homotopic to p_1 . \square

Define the map δ_E by the homotopy pullback diagram

$$(8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\delta_E} & Y \rtimes \Omega Z \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{(h \vee 1) \circ \psi} & Z \vee Y \\ \downarrow h & & \downarrow p_1 \\ Z & \xlongequal{\quad} & Z. \end{array}$$

Proposition 3.7. *Given the hypotheses of Lemma 3.2, suppose as well that there is a map $X \xrightarrow{\delta} Y$ and a homotopy co-action $X \xrightarrow{\psi} X \vee Y$ with respect to δ . Then there is a homotopy fibration diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\psi_E} & E \vee (Y \rtimes \Omega Z) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{\psi} & X \vee Y \\ \downarrow h & & \downarrow \bar{h} \\ Z & \xlongequal{\quad} & Z \end{array}$$

where ψ_E is a homotopy co-action with respect to δ_E .

Proof. First consider the iterated homotopy pullback in (5). Since ψ is a homotopy co-action, $p_1 \circ \psi$ is homotopic to the identity map on X . Therefore $r \circ \psi_E$ is homotopic to the identity map on E . But by Lemma 3.6, $r \simeq p_1$, so $p_1 \circ \psi_E$ is homotopic to the identity map on E . Next, observe that the homotopy pullback defining δ_E is the iterated homotopy pullback in (3). Thus $t \circ \psi_E \simeq \delta_E$. Lemma 3.5 then implies that $p_2 \circ \psi_E \simeq \delta_E$. Hence ψ_E is a homotopy co-action with respect to δ_E . \square

4. A SPLITTING CRITERION

Given data as in (1) having the property that Ωh has a right homotopy inverse, Theorem 2.1 states that there is a homotopy cofibration

$$A \rtimes \Omega Z \xrightarrow{\theta} E \longrightarrow E'.$$

Ideally, properties of θ can be determined that inform on the homotopy type of E or E' . In this section a criterion is proved that implies θ has a left homotopy inverse under certain hypotheses and there is a homotopy equivalence $E \simeq (A \rtimes \Omega Z) \vee E'$.

Suppose that there is a map $\delta: X \longrightarrow \Sigma Y$ and a homotopy co-action

$$\psi: X \longrightarrow X \vee \Sigma Y$$

with respect to δ . Suppose as well that there is a diagram of data

$$(9) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & E & \longrightarrow & E' \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Sigma Z \wedge Y & \xrightarrow{f} & X & \longrightarrow & X' \\ & & \downarrow h & & \downarrow h' \\ & & \Sigma Z & \equiv & \Sigma Z \end{array}$$

where the middle row is a homotopy cofibration and the two columns form a homotopy fibration diagram. Let γ be the composite

$$(10) \quad \gamma: X \xrightarrow{\psi} X \vee \Sigma Y \xrightarrow{h \vee 1} \Sigma Z \vee \Sigma Y.$$

Since ψ is a co-action, the composite $p_1 \circ \gamma$ is homotopic to h . Define the space D by the homotopy cofibration diagram

$$(11) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \Sigma Z \wedge Y & \xrightarrow{f} & X & \longrightarrow & X' \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \gamma & & \downarrow \\ \Sigma Z \wedge Y & \xrightarrow{\gamma \circ f} & \Sigma Z \vee \Sigma Y & \longrightarrow & D. \end{array}$$

The prototype to think of is when $\gamma \circ f$ is homotopic to the Whitehead product of the inclusions of ΣZ and ΣY into $\Sigma Z \vee \Sigma Y$, in which case $D \simeq \Sigma Z \times \Sigma Y$. However, we wish to allow for more flexibility in terms of the homotopy class of $\gamma \circ f$. To get this, observe that as $p_1 \circ \gamma \simeq h$ and $h \circ f$ is null homotopic, there is a null homotopy for $p_1 \circ \gamma \circ f$, implying that the pinch map $\Sigma Z \vee \Sigma Y \xrightarrow{p_1} \Sigma Z$ extends to a map $D \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{h}} \Sigma Z$. Let g be the composite

$$g: \Sigma Y \xrightarrow{i_2} \Sigma Z \vee \Sigma Y \longrightarrow D.$$

Then in place of $\gamma \circ f$ being a Whitehead product, giving $D \simeq \Sigma Z \times \Sigma Y$ and a homotopy fibration $\Sigma Y \xrightarrow{g} D \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{h}} \Sigma Z$, we only assume the existence of the homotopy fibration.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that there is a map $\delta: X \rightarrow \Sigma Y$ and a homotopy co-action $\psi: X \rightarrow X \vee \Sigma Y$ with respect to δ , and data as in (9). If:*

- (a) Ωh has a right homotopy inverse and
- (b) there is a homotopy fibration $\Sigma Y \xrightarrow{g} D \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{h}} \Sigma Z$,

then the homotopy cofibration $(\Sigma Z \wedge Y) \rtimes \Omega \Sigma Z \xrightarrow{\theta} E \rightarrow E'$ obtained by applying Theorem 2.1 to (9) splits: the map θ has a left homotopy inverse and there is a homotopy equivalence

$$E \simeq ((\Sigma Z \wedge Y) \rtimes \Omega \Sigma Z) \vee E'.$$

Proof. Start with the homotopy pushout in the right square of (11). Compose the maps in the pushout with $D \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{h}} \Sigma Z$ and take homotopy fibres. Note that, by definition, \mathfrak{h} extends the pinch map $\Sigma Z \vee \Sigma Y \xrightarrow{p_1} \Sigma Z$, and as mentioned just before (11), $p_1 \circ \gamma \simeq h$. The map $X' \xrightarrow{h'} \Sigma Z$

was defined as an extension of h and its homotopy fibre was named E' ; this involved a choice of extension, and one choice is the composite $X' \rightarrow D \xrightarrow{h} \Sigma Z$. Taking this choice of h' we obtain homotopy fibrations

$$\begin{aligned} E &\longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{h} \Sigma Z \\ E' &\longrightarrow X' \xrightarrow{h'} \Sigma Z \\ \Sigma Y \times \Omega \Sigma Z &\longrightarrow \Sigma Z \vee \Sigma Y \xrightarrow{p_1} \Sigma Z \\ \Sigma B &\longrightarrow D \xrightarrow{h} \Sigma Z \end{aligned}$$

where the last homotopy fibration holds by hypothesis (b). By Lemma 3.1, there is a homotopy commutative cube

$$(12) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} E & \xrightarrow{\quad} & E' & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow \alpha & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ & \Sigma Y \times \Omega \Sigma Z & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Sigma Y & \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \\ X & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X' & & \\ & \searrow \gamma & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ & \Sigma Z \vee \Sigma Y & \xrightarrow{\quad} & D & \end{array}$$

where the bottom and top faces are homotopy pushouts, the top face is a homotopy pullback, and the homotopy pullback in the left face defines α .

Step 1: Identifying α . Consider the iterated homotopy fibration diagram

$$(13) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} E & \xrightarrow{\psi_E} & E \vee (\Sigma Y \times \Omega \Sigma Z) & \xrightarrow{p_2} & \Sigma Y \times \Omega \Sigma Z \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{\psi} & X \vee \Sigma Y & \xrightarrow{h \vee 1} & \Sigma Z \vee \Sigma Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{h} & & \downarrow p_1 \\ \Sigma Z & \xlongequal{\quad} & \Sigma Z & \xlongequal{\quad} & \Sigma Z \end{array}$$

where $\bar{h} = p_1 \circ (h \vee 1)$ and the induced map of fibres in the right rectangle was identified as p_2 in Lemma 3.6. By Proposition 3.7, ψ_E is a homotopy co-action with respect to δ_E , where $\delta_E = p_2 \circ \psi_E$. By definition, $\gamma = (h \vee 1) \circ \psi$ is the composite along the middle row of (13), so the iterated homotopy pullbacks in the upper squares of (13) show that pulling γ back with $\Sigma Y \times \Omega \Sigma Z \rightarrow \Sigma Z \vee \Sigma Y$ determines the homotopy class of $E \rightarrow \Sigma Y \times \Omega \Sigma Z$. On the one hand, this is δ_E , and on the other hand, this is the map α in (12). Therefore $\alpha \simeq \delta_E$.

Step 2: An expanded homotopy pushout. The rear face in the cube (12) fits in the diagram of data (9). Thus by Theorem 2.1 there is a homotopy cofibration

$$(\Sigma Z \wedge Y) \times \Omega \Sigma Z \xrightarrow{\theta} E \longrightarrow E'$$

for some map θ . The homotopy pushout in the top face of the cube (12) can therefore be expanded to a homotopy cofibration diagram

$$(14) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} (\Sigma Z \wedge Y) \rtimes \Omega \Sigma Z & \xrightarrow{\theta} & E & \longrightarrow & E' \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \\ (\Sigma Z \wedge Y) \rtimes \Omega \Sigma Z & \xrightarrow{\alpha \circ \theta} & \Sigma Y \rtimes \Omega \Sigma Z & \longrightarrow & \Sigma Y. \end{array}$$

Step 3: a left homotopy inverse for θ : The homotopy pullback in the front face of the cube implies that there is a pullback map t making the following diagram homotopy commute

$$(15) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma Y & \xrightarrow{=} & \Sigma Y \\ \downarrow i_2 & \swarrow t & \downarrow \\ \Sigma Y \rtimes \Omega \Sigma Z & \longrightarrow & \Sigma Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Sigma Z \vee \Sigma Y & \longrightarrow & D. \end{array}$$

Consequently, t is a section for the homotopy cofibration $(\Sigma Z \wedge Y) \rtimes \Omega \Sigma Z \xrightarrow{\alpha \circ \theta} \Sigma Y \rtimes \Omega \Sigma Z \longrightarrow \Sigma Y$, implying that the map

$$\Sigma Y \vee ((\Sigma Y \wedge Z) \rtimes \Omega \Sigma Z) \xrightarrow{t \perp (\alpha \circ \theta)} \Sigma Y \rtimes \Omega \Sigma Z$$

induces an isomorphism in homology and so is a homotopy equivalence by Whitehead's theorem. This implies that $\alpha \circ \theta$ has a left homotopy inverse $r: \Sigma Y \rtimes \Omega \Sigma Z \longrightarrow (\Sigma Z \wedge Y) \rtimes \Omega \Sigma Z$. The homotopy commutativity of the left square in (14) then implies that $r \circ \alpha$ is a left homotopy inverse for θ .

Step 4: a wedge decomposition for E . Finally, we wish to show that the left homotopy inverse for θ implies a splitting for E . In general, a homotopy cofibration $A \xrightarrow{a} B \longrightarrow C$ with a left homotopy inverse for a does not result in a homotopy equivalence $B \simeq A \vee C$ unless there is a way to “add” the maps $B \longrightarrow A$ and $B \longrightarrow C$ to produce a map $B \longrightarrow A \vee C$. This works if B is a co- H -space but in our case, E may not be a co- H -space. However, by Lemma 3.7 there is a homotopy co-action $E \xrightarrow{\psi_E} E \vee (\Sigma Y \rtimes \Omega \Sigma Z)$ and this will be used as the means to “add”.

Consider again the homotopy cofibration $(\Sigma Z \wedge Y) \rtimes \Omega \Sigma Z \xrightarrow{\theta} E \xrightarrow{g} E'$, where g is simply a name for the map from E to E' . By Step 1, $\alpha \simeq \delta_E$. Substituting this into Step 3 implies that $r \circ \delta_E$ is a left homotopy inverse for θ . Now consider the composite

$$e: E \xrightarrow{\psi_E} E \vee (\Sigma Y \rtimes \Omega \Sigma Z) \xrightarrow{g \vee r} E' \vee ((\Sigma Z \wedge Y) \rtimes \Omega \Sigma Z)$$

where r is the map from Step 3. Since ψ_E is a co-action, $p_1 \circ \psi_E$ is homotopic to the identity map on E and $p_2 \circ \psi_E$ is homotopic to δ_E . The naturality of p_1 and p_2 then imply that $p_1 \circ e \simeq g$ and

$p_2 \circ e \simeq r \circ \delta_E$. As $r \circ \delta_E$ is a left homotopy inverse for θ , the map e induces an isomorphism in homology and so is a homotopy equivalence by Whitehead's theorem. \square

5. INERT ATTACHING MAPS I

Theorem 4.1 will be applied in the context of Poincaré Duality complexes. This will take a bit of setting up. Let M be a simply-connected closed Poincaré Duality complex of dimension n , where $n \geq 4$. Let \overline{M} be the $(n-1)$ -skeleton of M . Then there is a homotopy cofibration

$$S^{n-1} \xrightarrow{f} \overline{M} \xrightarrow{i} M$$

where f attaches the top cell to M and i is the skeletal inclusion.

Suppose that M is $(m-1)$ -connected, for $m \geq 2$. Note that Poincaré Duality implies that $n \geq 2m$, so $n-m \geq m$. Suppose that there is a map

$$h': M \longrightarrow S^m$$

with a right homotopy inverse. If $\iota \in H^m(S^m) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ represents a generator, let $x = (h')^*(\iota) \in H^m(M)$. Since h' has a right homotopy inverse, x generates a \mathbb{Z} -summand in $H^m(M)$. By Poincaré Duality, there is a class $y \in H^{n-m}(M)$ generating a \mathbb{Z} -summand and such that $x \cup y = z$, where z is a generator of $H^n(M)$ (for example, see [H, Corollary 3.39]). It may be worth noting that as h' has a right homotopy inverse, $x^2 = 0$, so $y \neq x$.

Let h be the composite

$$h: \overline{M} \xrightarrow{i} M \xrightarrow{h'} S^m.$$

As s factors through the $(n-1)$ -skeleton of M , the map h also has a right homotopy inverse. As in (1), we obtain a diagram of data

$$(16) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & E & \longrightarrow & E' \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{f} & \overline{M} & \xrightarrow{i} & M \\ & & \downarrow h & & \downarrow h' \\ & & S^m & \xlongequal{\quad} & S^m \end{array}$$

that defines the spaces E and E' . (As a reminder, the middle row in this diagram is a homotopy cofibration and the two columns form a homotopy fibration diagram.) Since h has a right homotopy inverse, by Theorem 2.1 there is a homotopy cofibration

$$(17) \quad S^{n-1} \rtimes \Omega S^m \xrightarrow{\theta} E \longrightarrow E'$$

for some map θ . In Proposition 5.1 we will find conditions that guarantee that this homotopy cofibration splits.

For $k \geq 1$, let M_k be the k -skeleton of M . Since M is $(m-1)$ -connected, we have $H_i(M) \cong 0$ for $0 < i < m$. Poincaré Duality then implies that $H^i(M) \cong 0$ for $m-n < i < n$, and the universal coefficient theorem therefore implies that $H_i(M) \cong 0$ for $m-n < i < n$. Thus $\overline{M} \simeq M_{n-m}$. Further, we are assuming that $H_m(M)$ has a \mathbb{Z} -summand, so Poincaré Duality implies that $H^{n-m}(M)$ has a \mathbb{Z} -summand, and the universal coefficient theorem then implies that $H_{n-m}(M)$ has a \mathbb{Z} -summand. Thus \overline{M} has at least one cell in dimension $n-m$.

There is a homotopy cofibration that attaches the $(n-m)$ -cells to \overline{M} of the form

$$\bigvee_{i=1}^d S^{n-m-1} \longrightarrow M_{n-m-1} \longrightarrow \overline{M}.$$

As \overline{M} has at least one $(n-m)$ -cell, we have $d \geq 1$. This homotopy cofibration has a connecting map

$$\overline{M} \xrightarrow{\delta'} \bigvee_{i=1}^d S^{n-m}$$

and a homotopy co-action

$$\psi': \overline{M} \longrightarrow \overline{M} \vee \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^d S^{n-m} \right)$$

with respect to δ' . Identify $y \in H^{n-m}(M)$ with the corresponding class in $H^{n-m}(\overline{M})$. Since δ' collapses out the M_{n-m-1} -skeleton, y is in the image of $(\delta')^*$. Changing $\bigvee_{i=1}^d S^{n-m-1}$ by a self-equivalence if necessary, we may assume that the restriction of $(\delta')^*$ to the $i=1$ summand has image y . Let δ be the composite

$$\delta: \overline{M} \xrightarrow{\delta'} \bigvee_{i=1}^d S^{n-m} \xrightarrow{p_1} S^{n-m}$$

where p_1 is the pinch map to the first wedge summand. Then δ^* has image y . Let ψ be the composite

$$\psi: \overline{M} \xrightarrow{\psi'} \overline{M} \vee \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^d S^{n-m} \right) \xrightarrow{1 \vee p_1} \overline{M} \vee S^{n-m}.$$

It is straightforward to check that ψ' being a homotopy co-action with respect to δ' implies that ψ is a homotopy co-action with respect to δ .

Proposition 5.1. *Let M be an $(m-1)$ -connected closed Poincaré Duality complex of dimension n , where $2 \leq m < n$. If there is a map $M \xrightarrow{h'} S^m$ having a right homotopy inverse, then the homotopy cofibration (17) splits and there is a homotopy equivalence $E \simeq (S^{n-1} \rtimes \Omega S^m) \vee E'$.*

Proof. In the context of Theorem 4.1, we start with the map $\overline{M} \xrightarrow{\delta} S^{n-m}$ and the homotopy co-action $\overline{M} \xrightarrow{\psi} \overline{M} \vee S^{n-m}$. The diagram of data (16) corresponds to (9) with $X = \overline{M}$, $X' = M$, $\Sigma Z = S^m$ and $\Sigma Y = S^{n-m}$. The map γ in (10) is the composite

$$\gamma: \overline{M} \xrightarrow{\psi} \overline{M} \vee S^{n-m} \xrightarrow{h \vee 1} S^m \vee S^{n-m}$$

and there is a homotopy cofibration diagram:

$$(18) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} S^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{f} & \overline{M} & \xrightarrow{i} & M \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \gamma & & \downarrow \gamma' \\ S^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\gamma \circ f} & S^m \vee S^{n-m} & \longrightarrow & D \end{array}$$

that defines the space D and the map γ' . The aim is to show that conditions (a) and (b) of Theorem 4.1 hold in order to obtain a splitting of the homotopy cofibration (17).

First, we describe $H^*(D)$. The homotopy cofibration in the bottom row of (18) implies that $H^*(D)$ is isomorphic as a \mathbb{Z} -module to $\mathbb{Z}\{a, b, c\}$ where $|a| = m$, $|b| = n - m$ and $|c| = n$. Since the map $S^m \vee S^{n-m} \rightarrow D$ is the inclusion of the $(n - 1)$ -skeleton, it induces an isomorphism on H^m and H^{n-m} . So we may write $H^*(S^m \vee S^{n-m})$ as $\mathbb{Z}\{a, b\}$. By definition, $\gamma = (h \vee 1) \circ \psi$. As h is the restriction of h' to \overline{M} and $(h')^*$ has image x , we obtain $h^*(a) = x$ implying that $\gamma^*(a) = x$. The co-action ψ is with respect to δ and the image of δ^* is y , therefore $\gamma^*(b) = y$. The homotopy commutativity of the right square in (18) then implies that $(\gamma')^*(a) = x$ and $(\gamma')^*(b) = y$. The homotopy cofibration diagram (18) induces a map of long exact sequences in cohomology which, in degree n , shows that $(\gamma')^*$ is an isomorphism on H^n , implying that $(\gamma')^*(c) = z$. Finally, since $x \cup y = z$, where z generates $H^n(M)$, and $(\gamma')^*$ is an algebra map, we must have $a \cup b = c$ in $H^*(D)$. Thus there is an algebra isomorphism $H^*(D) \cong H^*(S^m \times S^{n-m})$.

Next, we show that D is a sphere bundle over a sphere. Since $p_1 \circ \gamma \simeq h$ and h extends across i to $M \xrightarrow{h'} S^m$, there is a pushout map $\mathfrak{h}: D \rightarrow S^m$ making the following diagram homotopy commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{M} & \xrightarrow{i} & M \\ \downarrow \gamma & & \downarrow h' \\ S^m \vee S^{n-m} & \longrightarrow & D \\ & \searrow p_1 & \downarrow \mathfrak{h} \\ & & S^m \end{array}$$

As \mathfrak{h} extends h' and $(h')^*$ has image x , the image of \mathfrak{h}^* is a . Let F be the homotopy fibre of \mathfrak{h} . As $H^*(D) \cong H^*(S^m \times S^{n-m}) \cong \Lambda(a, b)$ and \mathfrak{h}^* induces the inclusion of $\Lambda(a)$, the Serre spectral sequence implies that $H^*(F) \cong \Lambda(b) \cong H^*(S^{n-m})$. Dualizing, $H_*(F) \cong H_*(S^{n-m})$, so the inclusion $S^{n-m} \rightarrow F$ of the bottom cell induces an isomorphism in homology and is therefore a homotopy equivalence by Whitehead's Theorem. Hence there is a homotopy fibration $S^{n-m} \rightarrow D \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{h}} S^m$.

Finally, by hypothesis, $M \xrightarrow{h'} S^m$ has a right homotopy inverse $S^m \rightarrow M$. For skeletal reasons, the latter map factors as $S^m \rightarrow \overline{M} \xrightarrow{i} M$, implying that $h = h' \circ i$ has a right homotopy inverse. Thus both hypotheses (a) and (b) of Theorem 4.1 are satisfied. The theorem states that the homotopy cofibration (17) splits and there is a homotopy equivalence $E \simeq (S^{n-1} \times \Omega S^m) \vee E'$. \square

Recall that, for the homotopy cofibration $S^{n-1} \xrightarrow{f} \overline{M} \xrightarrow{i} M$, the attaching map f for the top cell is *inert* if Ωi has a right homotopy inverse.

Theorem 5.2 (Theorem 1.1 in the Introduction). *Let M be an $(m-1)$ -connected, closed Poincaré Duality complex of dimension n , where $2 \leq m < n$. If there is a map $M \rightarrow S^m$ having a right homotopy inverse, then the attaching map for the top cell of M is inert.*

Proof. Note that the hypothesis that $2 \leq m$ implies that M is at least simply-connected and $m < n$ implies that M is not a sphere. The inertness property is equivalent to showing that the map $\Omega \overline{M} \xrightarrow{\Omega i} \Omega M$ has a right homotopy inverse. Consider the homotopy fibration diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \longrightarrow & E' \\ \downarrow e & & \downarrow e' \\ \overline{M} & \xrightarrow{i} & M \\ \downarrow h & & \downarrow h' \\ S^m & \xlongequal{\quad} & S^m \end{array}$$

where e and e' are names for the maps from the homotopy fibres of h and h' respectively. Since h' has a right homotopy inverse $s': S^m \rightarrow M$, after looping in order to multiply, the composite

$$\epsilon: \Omega S^m \times \Omega E \xrightarrow{\Omega s' \times \Omega e'} \Omega M \times \Omega M \xrightarrow{\mu} \Omega M$$

is a homotopy equivalence, where μ is the canonical loop multiplication.

For degree reasons, the left homotopy inverse $s': S^m \rightarrow M$ for h' lifts through i to a map $s: S^m \rightarrow \overline{M}$. By Proposition 5.1, the map $E \rightarrow E'$ has a right homotopy inverse $t: E' \rightarrow E$. Thus $e \circ t$ is a lift of e' through i . The lifts of s' and e' through i , together with Ωi being an H -map, imply that there is a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Omega S^m \times \Omega E' & \xrightarrow{\Omega s \times \Omega(e \circ t)} & \Omega \overline{M} \times \Omega \overline{M} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \Omega \overline{M} \\ & \searrow \Omega s' \times \Omega e' & \downarrow \Omega i \times \Omega i & & \downarrow \Omega i \\ & & \Omega M \times \Omega M & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \Omega M. \end{array}$$

The lower direction around the diagram is the definition of ϵ . The upper direction around the diagram then shows that ϵ lifts through Ωi . As ϵ is a homotopy equivalence, it follows that Ωi has a right homotopy inverse. \square

Example 5.3. *Moment-angle manifolds I.* One family of examples for Theorem 5.2 comes from toric topology. Let K be a simplicial complex on m vertices. For $\sigma \in K$ define

$$(D^2, S^1)^\sigma = \prod_{i=1}^m Y_i \text{ where } Y_i = \begin{cases} D^2 & \text{if } i \in \sigma \\ S^1 & \text{if } i \notin \sigma \end{cases}$$

and define

$$\mathcal{Z}_K = \bigcup_{\sigma \in K} (D^2, S^1)^\sigma.$$

The space \mathcal{Z}_K is called a *moment-angle complex* and by [BP, Proposition 4.3.5 (a)] it is always 2-connected. If K is the triangulation of a sphere then \mathcal{Z}_K is a manifold [BP, Theorem 4.1.4], called a *moment-angle manifold*. Suppose that K has a missing edge on vertices $\{i, j\}$. Let L be the (full) subcomplex of K consisting of the two vertices $\{i, j\}$. By definition, $\mathcal{Z}_L = D^2 \times S^1 \cup_{S^1 \times S^1} S^1 \times D^2 \simeq S^3$ and, by [DS], there is a retraction of \mathcal{Z}_L off \mathcal{Z}_K . Thus if K is the triangulation of a sphere then \mathcal{Z}_K is a 2-connected manifold and there is a map $\mathcal{Z}_K \rightarrow S^3$ with a right homotopy inverse. Theorem 5.2 therefore implies that the attaching map for the top cell of the manifold \mathcal{Z}_K is inert.

Example 5.4. *Moment-angle manifolds II.* Generalizing the previous example, suppose that K is not a simplex and τ is a missing face of K of least dimension. If $\tau = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ then \mathcal{Z}_K is $(2k-2)$ -connected. Let L be the (full) subcomplex of K on the vertices $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$. Then $L = \partial\tau$ and as in [BP, Example 4.1.2 (4)], $\mathcal{Z}_L \simeq S^{2k-1}$. As before, by [DS] the full subcomplex property implies that \mathcal{Z}_L retracts off \mathcal{Z}_K . So if K is a triangulation of a sphere then \mathcal{Z}_K is a $(2k-2)$ -connected manifold and there is a map $\mathcal{Z}_K \rightarrow S^{2k-1}$ with a right homotopy inverse. Theorem 5.2 therefore implies that the attaching map for the top cell of the manifold \mathcal{Z}_K is inert.

Example 5.5. *Certain manifolds with nontrivial \hat{A} -genus.* Casson's theory of pre-fibrations [Ca] was used by Hanke, Schick and Steimle [HSS, Theorem 1.4] in their study of the space of Riemannian metrics of positive sectional curvature on a closed manifold to construct explicit smooth fibre bundles $F \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{h'} S^m$ for any $m, \ell \geq 0$, where M is a smooth closed spin manifold with non-vanishing \hat{A} -genus, F is ℓ -connected and h' has a right homotopy inverse. If $\ell+1 \geq m \geq 2$ then the long exact sequence of homotopy groups associated to the fibre bundle implies that M is $(m-1)$ -connected. Thus, as h' has a right homotopy inverse, if M has dimension ≥ 4 then Theorem 5.2 implies that the attaching map for its top cell is inert. Subsequently, to prove a conjecture of Schick, a smooth fibre bundle $\mathbb{H}P^2 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{h'} S^4$ was constructed by Krannich, Kupers and Randal-Williams [KKR] where M has dimension 12 and h' has a right homotopy inverse. Note that M is 3-connected. As h' has a right homotopy inverse, Theorem 5.2 implies that the attaching map for its top cell is inert.

6. A LOCALIZED VERSION OF THEOREM 5.2 WHEN m IS ODD

The hypothesis in Theorem 5.2 that there is a map $M \rightarrow S^m$ with a right homotopy inverse may be strong. In this section and the next we show that in a local context this assumption is not so strong. As M is $(m-1)$ -connected, if $H_m(M)$ has a \mathbb{Z} -summand with generator \bar{x} , then the Hurewicz isomorphism implies that there is a map $s': S^m \rightarrow M$ whose Hurewicz image is \bar{x} . If $x \in H^m(M)$ is the dual of \bar{x} then x is represented by a map $r: M \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, m)$. The composite

$$S^m \xrightarrow{s'} M \xrightarrow{r} K(\mathbb{Z}, m)$$

is homotopic to the inclusion of the bottom cell.

As $m \geq 2$, the Eilenberg-Mac Lane space $K(\mathbb{Z}, m)$ is not a sphere. In particular, the inclusion $S^m \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, m)$ is not a homotopy equivalence. However, if m is odd then rationally this inclusion is a homotopy equivalence. Aiming for something in between, let \mathcal{P} be the set of primes p such that $p \leq \frac{n-m+3}{2}$. Observe that, for a given p , the p -torsion homotopy group of least dimension in $\pi_*(S^m)$ occurs in dimension $m + 2p - 3$. Therefore, if $p \notin \mathcal{P}$ then this torsion class occurs in a dimension larger than n . Thus, if m is odd, the inclusion $S^m \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, m)$ is a homotopy equivalence in dimensions $\leq n$ after localization away from \mathcal{P} .

Lemma 6.1. *If m is odd then, localized away from \mathcal{P} , there is a lift*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & S^m \\ & \nearrow h' & \downarrow \\ M & \xrightarrow{r} & K(\mathbb{Z}, m) \end{array}$$

for some map h' , and any choice of h' has the property that $h' \circ s'$ is homotopic to the identity map.

Proof. Since the inclusion $S^m \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, m)$ is a homotopy equivalence in dimensions $\leq n$ after localization away from \mathcal{P} , and M has dimension n , the existence of the a lift h' for r follows immediately. Since $r \circ s'$ is homotopic to the inclusion of the bottom cell, $h' \circ s'$ is a degree 1 self-map of S^m and so is homotopic to the identity map. \square

Remark 6.2. If m is even then $\pi_*(S^m)$ has another \mathbb{Z} -summand in dimension $2m - 1$, which results in a qualitatively different case that will be partially dealt with in the next section.

The existence of a map $M \rightarrow S^m$ with a right homotopy inverse in Lemma 6.1 allows for Proposition 5.1 and Theorem 5.2 to be applied in a local setting.

Theorem 6.3. *Let M be an $(m - 1)$ -connected, closed Poincaré Duality complex of dimension n , where $2 \leq m < n$. If $H_m(M)$ has a \mathbb{Z} -summand and m is odd then, localized away from \mathcal{P} , there is a homotopy equivalence $E \simeq (S^{n-1} \rtimes \Omega S^m) \vee E'$ and the attaching map for the top cell of M is inert.* \square

Example 6.4. *2-connected Poincaré Duality complexes.* Let M be a 2-connected, closed, n -dimensional Poincaré Duality complex. Suppose that $H_3(M)$ has a \mathbb{Z} -summand. The Hurewicz homomorphism implies that there is a map $S^3 \rightarrow M$ whose Hurewicz image is the given \mathbb{Z} -summand in $H_3(M)$. If this map has a left homotopy inverse $M \rightarrow S^3$ then Theorem 5.2 applies to show that the attaching map for the top cell of M is inert. Otherwise, if \mathcal{P} is the set of primes p such that $p \leq \frac{n}{2}$ then, after localizing away from \mathcal{P} , Theorem 6.3 implies that the attaching map for the top cell of M is inert. For example, if M is a 2-connected 8 or 9-manifold then this holds after inverting 2 and 3 and if M is a 2-connected 10-manifold then this holds after inverting 2, 3 and 5.

7. A LOCALIZED VERSION OF THEOREM 5.2 WHEN m IS EVEN

This begins as in Section 6. As M is $(m - 1)$ -connected, if $H_m(M)$ has a \mathbb{Z} -summand with generator \bar{x} then the Hurewicz isomorphism implies that there is a map $s': S^m \rightarrow M$ whose Hurewicz image is \bar{x} . If $x \in H^m(M)$ is the dual of \bar{x} then x is represented by a map $r: M \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, m)$. The composite

$$S^m \xrightarrow{s'} M \xrightarrow{r} K(\mathbb{Z}, m)$$

is homotopic to the inclusion of the bottom cell. If m is even, say $m = 2m'$, then the inclusion $S^m \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, m)$ is not a rational homotopy equivalence since S^m has two nontrivial rational homotopy groups while $K(\mathbb{Z}, m)$ only has one. In fact, there is a rational homotopy equivalence $K(\mathbb{Z}, m) \simeq \Omega S^{2m'+1}$. So a direct analogue of the lift in Lemma 6.1 will not hold. In Lemma 7.4 a variation will be established assuming that $x^2 = 0$.

To do so requires some preliminary lemmas. Let

$$H: \Omega S^{2m'+1} \rightarrow \Omega S^{4m'+1}$$

be the second James-Hopf invariant.

Lemma 7.1. *There is a rational homotopy fibration*

$$S^{2m'} \xrightarrow{E} \Omega S^{2m'+1} \xrightarrow{H} \Omega S^{4m'+1}$$

where E is the suspension map (equivalently, the inclusion of the bottom cell).

Proof. Recall that in integral homology, there are algebra isomorphisms $H_*(\Omega S^{2m'+1}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\bar{x}]$, $H_*(\Omega S^{4m'+1}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\bar{y}]$ where $|\bar{x}| = 2m'$ and $|\bar{y}| = 4m'$, and $H_*(\bar{x}^2) = \bar{y}$. Dually, in integral cohomology there are algebra isomorphisms $H^*(\Omega S^{2m'+1}) \cong \Gamma(x)$ and $H^*(\Omega S^{4m'+1}) \cong \Gamma(y)$ where x and y are the duals of \bar{x} and \bar{y} respectively, $\Gamma(\)$ is the divided power algebra, and $H^*(y) = \gamma_2 = 2x^2$. Rationally, $\Gamma(x) \cong \mathbb{Q}[x]$ and the generator of $\Gamma(y) \cong \mathbb{Q}[y]$ may be adjusted to $y' = \frac{1}{2}y$ in order to obtain $H^*(y') = x^2$. As H^* is an algebra map, the cohomology of the homotopy fibre F of H must be isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^2) \cong \Lambda(x)$. Thus the inclusion $S^{2m'} \rightarrow F$ of the bottom cell induces an isomorphism in rational cohomology, and hence in rational homology by the universal coefficient theorem, and so is a homotopy equivalence by Whitehead's Theorem. \square

Remark 7.2. It will be useful to note that in the proof of Lemma 7.1 it was shown that the image of H^* is twice the squaring map in degree $4m'$ integral cohomology.

Let $u \in H^{2m'}(K(\mathbb{Z}, 2m')) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ be a generator. Then $u^2 \neq 0$. Let

$$S: K(\mathbb{Z}, 2m') \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, 4m')$$

represent u^2 . We think of S as a squaring map. There is a compatibility with the second James-Hopf invariant H .

Lemma 7.3. *Localized away from 2 there is a homotopy commutative square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega S^{2m'+1} & \xrightarrow{a} & K(\mathbb{Z}, 2m') \\ \downarrow H & & \downarrow S \\ \Omega S^{4m'+1} & \xrightarrow{b/2} & K(\mathbb{Z}, 4m') \end{array}$$

where a represents a generator of $H^{2m'}(\Omega S^{2m'+1}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and b represents the $+1$ generator of $H^{4m'}(\Omega S^{4m'+1}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. As maps into Eilenberg-Mac Lane spaces represent cohomology classes, it suffices to show that the diagram commutes in cohomology. In integral cohomology, the definitions of a and S imply that $S \circ a$ represents x^2 , while by Remark 7.2, $b \circ H$ represents $2x^2$. Thus, localized away from 2, $S \circ a \simeq (b/2) \circ H$. \square

Let \mathcal{Q} be the set of primes p such that $p \leq \frac{n-m+4}{2}$. Then if $p \notin \mathcal{Q}$, the p -torsion homotopy group of least dimension in $\pi_*(S^m)$ occurs in a dimension larger than $n+1$. Consequently, localized away from \mathcal{Q} , any CW -complex X is homotopy equivalent to its rationalization in dimensions $\leq n+1$. Note that the set \mathcal{Q} differs from the set \mathcal{P} in Lemma 6.1 if and only if $n+1$ is prime.

Lemma 7.4. *Suppose that m is even and $M \xrightarrow{r} K(\mathbb{Z}, m)$ represents a cohomology class $x \in H^m(M)$ with the property that $x^2 = 0$. Then, localized away from \mathcal{Q} , there is a lift*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & S^m \\ & \nearrow h' & \downarrow \\ M & \xrightarrow{r} & K(\mathbb{Z}, m) \end{array}$$

for some map h' , and any choice of h' has the property that $h' \circ s'$ is homotopic to the identity map.

Proof. Write $m = 2m'$ and consider the composite $M \xrightarrow{r} K(\mathbb{Z}, 2m') \xrightarrow{S} K(\mathbb{Z}, 4m')$. Since r represents the cohomology class x , by definition of S , the composite $S \circ r$ represents x^2 . By hypothesis, $x^2 = 0$, so $S \circ r$ is null homotopic.

Since we are localized away from \mathcal{Q} , any space X is homotopy equivalent to its rationalization in dimensions $\leq n+1$. Therefore the maps $\Omega S^{2m'+1} \xrightarrow{a} K(\mathbb{Z}, 2m')$ and $\Omega S^{4m'+1} \xrightarrow{b} K(\mathbb{Z}, 4m')$ in Lemma 7.3 are homotopy equivalences in dimensions $\leq n+1$ and Lemma 7.1 implies that the homotopy fibre of H is $S^{2m'}$ in dimensions $\leq n$. Thus the homotopy commutative square in Lemma 7.3 implies that in dimensions $\leq n$ the homotopy fibre of S is the same as the homotopy fibre of H , which is $S^{2m'}$. The null homotopy for $S \circ r$ therefore results in a lift $h': M \rightarrow S^{2m'}$ of r through the inclusion $S^{2m'} \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, 2m')$ of the bottom cell. Since $r \circ s'$ is homotopic to the inclusion of the bottom cell, $h' \circ s'$ is a degree 1 self-map of $S^{2m'}$ and so is homotopic to the identity map. \square

The existence of a map $M \rightarrow S^m$ with a right homotopy inverse in Lemma 7.4 allows for Proposition 5.1 and Theorem 5.2 to be applied localized away from \mathcal{Q} and gives an analogue to Theorem 6.3, provided $x^2 = 0$.

Theorem 7.5. *Let M be an $(m - 1)$ -connected, closed Poincaré Duality complex of dimension n , where $2 \leq m < n$. Suppose that $H^m(M)$ has a \mathbb{Z} -summand and m is even. If the \mathbb{Z} -summand is generated by $x \in H^m(M)$ and $x^2 = 0$, then localized away from \mathcal{Q} there is a homotopy equivalence $E \simeq (S^{n-1} \times \Omega S^m) \vee E'$ and the attaching map for the top cell of M is inert. \square*

Remark 7.6. In the case when $m = 2$ there is an improvement. In the Hopf fibration $S^1 \rightarrow S^3 \rightarrow S^2$ the left map is a group homomorphism (induced by the inclusion of \mathbb{C} into \mathbb{H}) and therefore classifies, resulting in a homotopy fibration $S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^\infty \rightarrow BS^3$. Using this fibration in place of Lemmas 7.1 and 7.3, then instead of inverting sufficiently many primes to have ΩS^3 approximate $K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)$ we only need to invert sufficiently many primes to have BS^3 approximate $K(\mathbb{Z}, 4)$. More precisely, consider the map $BS^3 \xrightarrow{a} K(\mathbb{Z}, 4)$ representing the $+1$ generator of $H^4(BS^3) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. As the least nontrivial p -torsion homotopy group of BS^3 occurs in dimension $2p + 1$, the map a is a p -local homotopy equivalence in dimensions $\leq 2p$. Thus if \mathcal{Q}' is the set of primes p such that $p < \frac{n-1}{2}$ then, after localizing away from \mathcal{Q}' , a is a homotopy equivalence, implying that if $x \in H^2(M)$ and $x^2 = 0$ then the map $M \xrightarrow{r} \mathbb{C}P^\infty$ representing x lifts to S^2 . Using this in place of Lemma 7.1 allows for the statement of Theorem 7.5 to hold with respect to \mathcal{Q}' .

Example 7.7. *Certain simply-connected 6 and 8-manifolds.* Let M be a simply-connected closed n -dimensional Poincaré Duality complex. Suppose that $H^2(M)$ has a \mathbb{Z} -summand generated by a class x satisfying $x^2 = 0$. Dualizing, $H_2(M)$ has a \mathbb{Z} -summand generated by a dual class \bar{x} and the Hurewicz homomorphism implies that there is a map $S^2 \rightarrow M$ whose Hurewicz image is \bar{x} . If this map has a left homotopy inverse $M \rightarrow S^2$ then Theorem 5.2 applies to show that the attaching map for the top cell of M is inert. Otherwise, if \mathcal{Q}' is the set of primes p such that $p < \frac{n-1}{2}$ then, after localizing away from \mathcal{P} , the modification of Theorem 7.5 in Remark 7.6 implies that the attaching map for the top cell of M is inert. For example, if M is a simply-connected 6-manifold with a generator $x \in H^2(M)$ satisfying $x^2 = 0$ then after inverting 2 the attaching map for the top cell of M is inert; if M is a simply-connected 8-manifold with a generator $x \in H^2(M)$ satisfying $x^2 = 0$ then after inverting 2 and 3 the attaching map for the top cell of M is inert.

8. INERT ATTACHING MAPS II

In this section we prove an inertness result for simply-connected Poincaré Duality complexes having \mathbb{Z} -summands in degree 2 cohomology. This depends on the fact that S^1 has a classifying space. The main statement is Theorem 8.5, which will then be iterated to give Theorem 8.6. First, some background information is needed. Let BS^1 be the classifying space of S^1 .

Lemma 8.1. *Let M be a simply-connected closed Poincaré Duality complex of dimension n . Suppose that there is a homotopy fibration*

$$N \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{h} BS^1$$

where Ωh has a right homotopy inverse. Then N is a simply-connected closed Poincaré Duality complex of dimension $n + 1$.

Proof. It was claimed by Quinn [Q], with an explicit argument given by Gottlieb [Go], that if $F \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow B$ is a homotopy fibration of finite CW -complexes and both B and F are Poincaré Duality complexes then so is E . In our case, extending the homotopy fibration $N \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{h} BS^1$ one step to the left gives a principle fibration $S^1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow M$. As both M and S^1 are Poincaré Duality complexes, the Quinn-Gottlieb result implies that N is too.

Consider the cohomology Serre spectral sequence applied to the homotopy fibration $S^1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow M$. If $z \in H^n(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $\iota \in H^1(S^1) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ are generators then $z \otimes \iota$ is on the E_2 -page of the spectral sequence and survives the spectral sequence since it is neither the source nor target of a nontrivial differential for degree reasons. This results in a \mathbb{Z} class in $H^{n+1}(N)$ of highest dimension, implying that N is $(n + 1)$ -dimensional.

The fact that Ωh has a right homotopy inverse implies that the map $S^1 \longrightarrow N$ is null homotopic, implying in turn that the map $N \longrightarrow M$ induces an injection on homotopy groups. In particular, as M is simply-connected, so is N . \square

Now suppose that M is a simply-connected closed Poincaré Duality complex of dimension n and there is a homotopy fibration $N \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{h} BS^1$ where Ωh has a right homotopy inverse. By Lemma 8.1, N is a simply-connected closed Poincaré Duality complex of dimension $n + 1$. Recall that \overline{M} is the $(n - 1)$ -skeleton of M and $\overline{M} \xrightarrow{i} M$ is the skeletal inclusion. Define the space Q and the maps a, b and c by the homotopy fibration diagram

$$(19) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} S^1 & \longrightarrow & Q & \xrightarrow{a} & \overline{M} & \xrightarrow{h \circ i} & BS^1 \\ \parallel & & \downarrow b & & \downarrow i & & \parallel \\ S^1 & \longrightarrow & N & \xrightarrow{c} & M & \xrightarrow{h} & BS^1. \end{array}$$

The space Q will be a useful intermediate space so some of its properties are recorded.

Lemma 8.2. *The map $\Omega \overline{M} \xrightarrow{\Omega h \circ \Omega i} S^1$ has a right homotopy inverse and there is a homotopy commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^1 \times \Omega Q & \xrightarrow{\bar{e}} & \Omega \overline{M} \\ \downarrow 1 \times \Omega b & & \downarrow \Omega i \\ S^1 \times \Omega N & \xrightarrow{e} & \Omega M \end{array}$$

where e and \bar{e} are homotopy equivalences.

Proof. By hypothesis, Ωh has a right homotopy inverse

$$s: S^1 \longrightarrow \Omega M.$$

For skeletal reasons, s lifts through Ωi to a map

$$\bar{s}: S^1 \longrightarrow \Omega \overline{M}.$$

Then \bar{s} is a right homotopy inverse for $\Omega h \circ \Omega i$ since $(\Omega h \circ \Omega i) \circ \bar{s} \simeq \Omega h \circ (\Omega i \circ \bar{s}) = \Omega h \circ s$.

Next, consider the diagram

$$(20) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} S^1 \times \Omega Q & \xrightarrow{\bar{s} \times \Omega a} & \Omega \overline{M} \times \Omega \overline{M} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \Omega \overline{M} \\ \downarrow 1 \times \Omega b & & \downarrow \Omega i \times \Omega i & & \downarrow \Omega i \\ S^1 \times \Omega N & \xrightarrow{s \times \Omega c} & \Omega M \times \Omega M & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \Omega M \end{array}$$

where μ is the loop multiplication. The left square homotopy commutes since $\Omega i \circ \bar{s} \simeq s$ and by the middle square in (19). The right square homotopy commutes since Ωi is a loop map. The top row is a homotopy equivalence since it realizes a splitting of the homotopy fibration $\Omega Q \xrightarrow{\Omega a} \Omega \overline{M} \xrightarrow{\Omega h \circ \Omega i} S^1$ and the bottom row is a homotopy equivalence since it realizes a splitting of the homotopy fibration $\Omega N \xrightarrow{\Omega c} \Omega M \xrightarrow{\Omega h} S^1$. \square

Now consider the diagram

$$(21) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & Q & \xrightarrow{b} N \\ & \downarrow a & \downarrow c \\ S^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{f} \overline{M} & \xrightarrow{i} M \\ & \downarrow h \circ i & \downarrow h \\ & BS^1 & \xlongequal{\quad} BS^1. \end{array}$$

The middle row is the homotopy cofibration that attaches the top cell to M and the columns form a homotopy fibration diagram by (19). Thus this is a diagram of data in the sense of (1). By Lemma 8.2, $\Omega h \circ \Omega i$ has a right homotopy inverse. Therefore Theorem 2.1 implies that there is a homotopy cofibration

$$(22) \quad S^{n-1} \times S^1 \xrightarrow{\theta} Q \xrightarrow{b} N$$

for a map θ with the property that the restriction of θ to S^{n-1} is a lift of $S^{n-1} \xrightarrow{f} \overline{M}$ through a .

We will modify the homotopy cofibration (22) to get better control over the space Q . By Lemma 8.1, N is an $(n+1)$ -dimensional simply-connected closed Poincaré Duality complex. Therefore there is a homotopy cofibration

$$S^n \xrightarrow{f'} \overline{N} \xrightarrow{i'} N$$

where \bar{N} is the n -skeleton of N , i' is the skeletal inclusion and f' is the attaching map for the top cell. Define j by the composite

$$j: S^{n-1} \xrightarrow{i_1} S^{n-1} \times S^1 \xrightarrow{\theta} Q$$

where i_1 is the inclusion.

Lemma 8.3. *There is a homotopy cofibration $S^{n-1} \xrightarrow{j} Q \xrightarrow{\bar{b}} \bar{N}$ for a map \bar{b} with the property that the composite $Q \xrightarrow{\bar{b}} \bar{N} \xrightarrow{i'} N$ is homotopic to b .*

Proof. Consider the homotopy cofibration $S^{n-1} \times S^1 \xrightarrow{\theta} Q \xrightarrow{b} N$. Regard $S^{n-1} \times S^1$ as $S^{n-1} \vee S^n$. Attaching one cell at a time gives homotopy cofibrations

$$\begin{aligned} S^{n-1} &\xrightarrow{j} Q \longrightarrow Q' \\ S^n &\longrightarrow Q' \longrightarrow N, \end{aligned}$$

where the first of these defines the space Q' and the composite $Q \longrightarrow Q' \longrightarrow N$ is homotopic to b . Observe that the homotopy fibration $S^1 \longrightarrow Q \xrightarrow{a} \bar{M}$ implies that Q is at most $(n-1)$ -dimensional since \bar{M} is $(n-2)$ -dimensional. Thus the homotopy cofibration defining Q' implies that it is at most n -dimensional. Therefore, as N is $(n+1)$ -dimensional, the homotopy cofibration $S^n \longrightarrow Q' \longrightarrow N$ is attaching the $(n+1)$ -cell to N . This implies that Q' must account for all the cells of N in dimensions $\leq n$, that is, $Q' \simeq \bar{N}$. Thus, substituting this into the statements above, there is a homotopy cofibration $S^{n-1} \xrightarrow{j} Q \xrightarrow{\bar{b}} \bar{N}$, where \bar{b} is the map to the cofibre, and the composite $Q \xrightarrow{\bar{b}} \bar{N} \xrightarrow{i'} N$ is homotopic to b . \square

The key property of Q is the following splitting, whose proof is lengthy.

Proposition 8.4. *The homotopy cofibration in Lemma 8.3 splits to give a homotopy equivalence $Q \simeq S^{n-1} \vee \bar{N}$.*

Proof. We proceed in steps.

Step 1: Setting up. Consider the map $M \xrightarrow{h} BS^1$. Since $BS^1 \simeq K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)$, h represents a class $x \in H^2(M)$. Since Ωh has a right homotopy inverse, x must be the generator of a \mathbb{Z} -summand. By Poincaré Duality, there is a class $y \in H^{n-2}(M)$ such that $x \cup y = z$, where $z \in H^n(M)$ is the fundamental class. Note that y generates a \mathbb{Z} -summand in $H^{n-2}(M)$. For dimensional reasons, since \bar{M} is the $(n-1)$ -skeleton of M , both x and y correspond to classes in $H^*(\bar{M})$; the same names will be used for the corresponding generators. Since M is simply-connected, $H_1(M) \cong 0$ so Poincaré Duality implies that $H^{n-1}(M) \cong 0$. Therefore \bar{M} is actually $(n-2)$ -dimensional. The attaching map for the $(n-2)$ -cells in \bar{M} has a connecting map $\bar{M} \xrightarrow{\delta'} \bigvee_{i=1}^d S^{n-2}$ that collapses out the $(n-3)$ -skeleton, and this connecting map has a homotopy co-action $\bar{M} \xrightarrow{\psi'} \bar{M} \vee (\bigvee_{i=1}^d S^{n-2})$ with respect to δ' . Observe that y is in the image of $(\delta')^*$. Changing $\bigvee_{i=1}^d S^{n-2}$ by a self-equivalence if

necessary, we may assume that the restriction of $(\delta')^*$ to the $i = 1$ summand has image y . Let δ be the composite

$$\delta: \overline{M} \xrightarrow{\delta'} \bigvee_{i=1}^d S^{n-2} \xrightarrow{p_1} S^{n-2}$$

where p_1 is the pinch map to the first wedge summand, and let ψ be the composite

$$\psi: \overline{M} \xrightarrow{\psi'} \overline{M} \vee \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^d S^{n-2} \right) \xrightarrow{1 \vee p_1} \overline{M} \vee S^{n-2}.$$

Then δ^* has image y and ψ is a homotopy co-action with respect to δ .

Step 2: A homotopy co-action for Q . Apply Proposition 3.7 with the homotopy fibration $E \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{h} Z$ and the homotopy co-action $X \xrightarrow{\psi} X \vee Y$ being $Q \rightarrow \overline{M} \xrightarrow{h} BS^1$ and $\overline{M} \xrightarrow{\psi} \overline{M} \vee S^{n-2}$ respectively. Then there are homotopy fibration diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q & \xrightarrow{\psi_Q} & Q \vee (S^{n-2} \times S^1) \\ \downarrow a & & \downarrow \\ \overline{M} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \overline{M} \vee S^{n-2} \\ \downarrow h & & \downarrow \bar{h} \\ BS^1 & \xlongequal{\quad} & BS^1 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} Q & \xrightarrow{\delta_Q} & S^{n-2} \times S^1 \\ \downarrow a & & \downarrow \\ \overline{M} & \xrightarrow{(h \vee 1) \circ \psi} & BS^1 \vee S^{n-2} \\ \downarrow h & & \downarrow \\ BS^1 & \xlongequal{\quad} & BS^1 \end{array}$$

where ψ_Q is a homotopy co-action with respect to δ_Q .

Step 3: A left homotopy inverse for $S^{n-1} \xrightarrow{j} Q$. Consider the diagram

$$(23) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} S^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{i_1} & S^{n-1} \times S^1 & \xrightarrow{\theta} & Q & \xrightarrow{\delta_Q} & S^{n-2} \times S^1 \\ & \searrow f & & & \downarrow a & & \downarrow \\ & & & & \overline{M} & \xrightarrow{(h \vee 1) \circ \psi} & BS^1 \vee S^{n-2}. \end{array}$$

Since the restriction of θ is a lift of f through a , the left triangle homotopy commutes. The right square homotopy commutes since it is the top square of the right diagram in Step 2. By definition, $j = \theta \circ i_1$. We will show that the composite $Q \xrightarrow{\delta_Q} S^{n-2} \times S^1 \xrightarrow{q} S^{n-1}$, where q is the quotient map to $S^{n-2} \wedge S^1 \simeq S^{n-1}$, is a left homotopy inverse for j .

By [Ga], there is a homotopy fibration

$$\Sigma \Omega BS^1 \wedge \Omega S^{n-2} \xrightarrow{W} BS^1 \vee S^{n-2} \rightarrow BS^1 \times S^{n-2}$$

where the right map is the inclusion of the wedge into the product and W is the Whitehead product of the maps $\Sigma \Omega BS^1 \xrightarrow{ev} BS^1 \xrightarrow{i_1} BS^1 \vee S^{n-2}$ and $\Sigma \Omega S^{n-2} \xrightarrow{ev} S^{n-2} \xrightarrow{i_2} BS^1 \vee S^{n-2}$, with ev being the evaluation map and i_1 and i_2 being the inclusions of the left and right wedge summands respectively. Further, this homotopy fibration splits after looping to give a homotopy equivalence

$$\Omega(BS^1 \vee S^{n-2}) \simeq S^1 \times \Omega S^{n-2} \times \Omega(\Sigma S^1 \wedge \Omega S^{n-2}),$$

where ΩBS^1 has been simplified to S^1 . Note that $\Sigma S^1 \wedge \Omega S^{n-2} \simeq S^{n-1} \vee R$ where R is $(n-1)$ -connected and the restriction of W to S^{n-1} is the composite $w: S^{n-1} \rightarrow S^2 \vee S^{n-2} \hookrightarrow BS^1 \vee S^{n-2}$, where the left map is the Whitehead product whose cofibre is $S^2 \times S^{n-2}$.

The decomposition of $\Omega(BS^1 \vee S^{n-2})$ may be used to identify a map $S^m \rightarrow BS^1 \vee S^{n-2}$ by taking its adjoint, identifying the maps to the factors in $\Omega(BS^1 \vee S^{n-2})$, and then taking adjoints to get back to the original map. Doing this for the composite $(h \vee 1) \circ \psi \circ f$ in (23) gives $(h \vee 1) \circ \psi \circ f \simeq s \cdot \tilde{\eta} + t \cdot w$ for some $s \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\tilde{\eta}$ is the composite $S^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\eta} S^{n-2} \xrightarrow{i_2} BS^1 \vee S^{n-2}$, with η representing a generator of $\pi_{n-1}(S^{n-2}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

We claim that $t = 1$. Since the Whitehead product $S^{n-1} \rightarrow S^2 \vee S^{n-2}$ is detected by the cup product in cohomology, so is w . Consider the homotopy cofibration diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{f} & \overline{M} & \xrightarrow{i} & M \\ \parallel & & \downarrow (h \vee 1) \circ \psi & & \downarrow \varphi \\ S^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{(h \vee 1) \circ \psi \circ f} & BS^1 \vee S^{n-2} & \longrightarrow & C \end{array}$$

that defines the space C and the map φ . In cohomology, the definitions of h and ψ imply that $((h \vee 1) \circ \psi)^*$ sends the degree 2 generator $x' \in H^2(BS^1)$ to $x \in H^2(\overline{M})$ and sends the degree $2n-2$ generator $y' \in H^{2n-2}(S^{2n-2})$ to $y \in H^{n-2}(\overline{M})$. Since $x \cup y = z$ in $H^*(M)$, we obtain $x' \cup y' = z'$ in $H^*(C)$ with $\varphi^*(z') = z$. As w detects the cup product, we must have $t = 1$, implying that $(h \vee 1) \circ \psi \circ f \simeq s \cdot \tilde{\eta} + w$.

On the other hand, the homotopy commutativity of (23) implies that $(h \vee 1) \circ \psi \circ f$ is homotopic to the composite $S^{n-1} \xrightarrow{i_1} S^{n-1} \times S^1 \xrightarrow{\theta} Q \xrightarrow{\delta_Q} S^{n-2} \times S^1 \xrightarrow{\gamma} BS^1 \vee S^{n-2}$, where γ is simply a name for the map appearing in (23). Regard $S^{n-2} \times S^1$ as $S^{n-2} \vee S^{n-1}$. Then the restriction of γ to S^{n-2} is the inclusion i_2 of the second wedge summand and the restriction to S^{n-1} is w . The composite $\delta_Q \circ \theta \circ i_1$ equals $s' \cdot \eta + t' \cdot \iota$, where $s' \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, ι is the identity map on S^{n-1} , and $t' \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $\gamma \circ \delta_Q \circ \theta \circ i_1 \simeq s' \cdot \tilde{\eta} + t' \cdot w$. But $\gamma \circ \delta_Q \circ \theta \circ i_1 \simeq (h \vee 1) \circ \psi \circ f \simeq s \cdot \tilde{\eta} + w$, so it must be the case that $t' = 1$. Thus, $\delta_Q \circ \theta \circ i_1 \simeq s' \cdot \tilde{\eta} + \iota$. Composing with the quotient map $S^{n-2} \times S^1 \xrightarrow{q} S^{n-1}$ then gives $q \circ \delta_Q \circ \theta \circ i_1 \simeq \iota$. Hence $q \circ \delta_Q$ is a left homotopy inverse for $\theta \circ i_1 = j$.

Step 4: The splitting of Q . By Lemma 8.3 there is a homotopy cofibration $S^{n-1} \xrightarrow{j} Q \xrightarrow{\bar{b}} \overline{N}$. By Step 3, a left homotopy inverse for j is $Q \xrightarrow{q \circ \delta_Q} S^{n-1}$. By Step 2, Q has a homotopy co-action $Q \xrightarrow{\psi_Q} Q \vee (S^{2n-2} \times S^1)$ with respect to δ_Q , implying that $p_2 \circ \psi_Q \simeq \delta_Q$. Therefore the composite

$$\Psi: Q \xrightarrow{\psi_Q} Q \vee (S^{2n-1} \times S^1) \xrightarrow{\bar{b} \vee q} \overline{N} \vee S^{n-1}$$

has the property that $p_1 \circ \Psi \simeq \bar{b}$ and $p_2 \circ \Psi \simeq q \circ \delta_Q$ (the left homotopy inverse for j). Thus Ψ splits the homotopy cofibration $S^{n-1} \xrightarrow{j} Q \xrightarrow{\bar{b}} \overline{N}$, implying that it induces an isomorphism in homology and so is a homotopy equivalence by Whitehead's Theorem. \square

Theorem 8.5 (Theorem 1.2 in the Introduction). *Let M be a simply-connected closed Poincaré Duality complex of dimension n . Suppose that there is a homotopy fibration*

$$N \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{h} BS^1$$

where Ωh has a right homotopy inverse. If the attaching map for the top cell of N is inert then the attaching map for the top cell of M is inert.

Proof. By hypothesis, the attaching map for the top cell of N is inert, which by definition of inertness, means that the skeletal inclusion $\bar{N} \xrightarrow{i'} N$ has the property that $\Omega i'$ has a right homotopy inverse $\mathfrak{s}: \Omega N \longrightarrow \Omega \bar{N}$. By definition, $Q \xrightarrow{\bar{b}} \bar{N}$ is a lift of $Q \xrightarrow{b} N$ through i' . By Proposition 8.4, \bar{b} has a right homotopy inverse $t: \bar{N} \longrightarrow Q$. Therefore the composite

$$\Omega N \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{s}} \Omega \bar{N} \xrightarrow{\Omega t} \Omega Q \xrightarrow{\Omega b} \Omega N$$

satisfies $\Omega b \circ \Omega t \circ \mathfrak{s} \simeq \Omega i \circ \Omega \bar{b} \circ \Omega t \circ \mathfrak{s} \simeq \Omega i \circ \mathfrak{s} \simeq 1$, where 1 refers to the identity map on ΩN . Now consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} S^1 \times \Omega M & \xrightarrow{e^{-1}} & S^1 \times \Omega N & \xrightarrow{1 \times (\Omega t \circ \mathfrak{s})} & S^1 \times \Omega Q & \xrightarrow{\bar{e}} & \Omega \bar{M} \\ & & \searrow & & \downarrow 1 \times \Omega b & & \downarrow \Omega i \\ & & & & S^1 \times \Omega N & \xrightarrow{e} & \Omega M \end{array}$$

where \bar{e} and e are the homotopy equivalences in (20). We have just seen that the left triangle homotopy commutes. The right square homotopy commutes by (20). The homotopy commutativity of the diagram therefore implies that $\bar{e} \circ (1 \times (\Omega t \circ \mathfrak{s})) \circ e^{-1}$ is a right homotopy inverse for Ωi . Thus, by definition of inertness, the attaching map for the top cell of M is inert. \square

Theorem 8.5 can be iterated and combined with Theorem 5.2. Let T^ℓ be the torus with ℓ circle factors and let BT^ℓ be its classifying space.

Theorem 8.6. *Let M be a simply-connected closed Poincaré Duality complex of dimension n . Suppose that there is a homotopy fibration*

$$N \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{h} BT^\ell$$

where Ωh has a right homotopy inverse, N is an $(m-1)$ -connected, closed Poincaré Duality complex for $m \geq 3$ odd, and there is a map $N \longrightarrow S^m$ that has a right homotopy inverse. Then the attaching map for the top cell of M is inert.

Proof. Since Ωh has a right homotopy inverse and N is at least 2-connected, h^* induces an isomorphism on degree 2 cohomology. Therefore $H^2(M) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbb{Z}$. As this is a free group, the universal coefficient theorem implies that $H_2(M) \cong H^2(M)$. Since M is simply-connected, the Hurewicz isomorphism implies that $\pi_2(M) \cong H_2(M)$. Therefore there is a map $j: \bigvee_{i=1}^{\ell} S^2 \longrightarrow M$

such that the composite $\bigvee_{i=1}^{\ell} S^2 \xrightarrow{j} M \xrightarrow{h} BT^{\ell}$ induces an isomorphism on H^2 . Changing $\bigvee_{i=1}^{\ell} S^2$ by a self-equivalence if necessary, we may assume that $h \circ j$ is the composite of inclusions $\bigvee_{i=1}^{\ell} S^2 \hookrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} S^2 \hookrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} BS^1 \simeq BT^{\ell}$.

Let $M_1 = M$, $N_1 = N$, $j_1 = j$ and $h_1 = h$, so there is a homotopy fibration $N_1 \longrightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{h_1} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} BS^1$ and $h_1 \circ j_1$ is the inclusion $\bigvee_{i=1}^{\ell} S^2 \hookrightarrow BT^{\ell}$. Let \mathfrak{h}_1 be the composite $M_1 \xrightarrow{h_1} BT^{\ell} \xrightarrow{\pi_1} BS^1$ where π_1 is the projection to the first factor. Define the space M_2 by the homotopy fibration

$$M_2 \longrightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{h}_1} BS^1.$$

Since Ωh has a right homotopy inverse, so does $\Omega \mathfrak{h}_1$. Therefore Theorem 8.5 states that if the attaching map for the top cell of M_2 is inert then so is that for M_1 . We now show that M_2 is of a form similar to M_1 . Let h_2 be the composite

$$h_2: M_2 \longrightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{h_1} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} BS^1 \xrightarrow{\pi} \prod_{i=2}^{\ell} BS^1,$$

where π is the projection. Since $h_1 \circ j_1$ is a composite of inclusions, by definition of M_2 as the homotopy fibre of \mathfrak{h}_1 there is a lift

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigvee_{i=2}^{\ell} S^2 & \longrightarrow & \bigvee_{i=1}^{\ell} S^2 \\ \downarrow j_2 & & \downarrow j_1 \\ M_2 & \longrightarrow & M_1 \end{array}$$

for a map j_2 that induces an isomorphism on π_2 . Notice that $h_2 \circ j_2$ is the composite of inclusions $\bigvee_{i=2}^{\ell} S^2 \hookrightarrow \prod_{i=2}^{\ell} S^2 \hookrightarrow \prod_{i=2}^{\ell} BS^1 \simeq BT^{\ell}$. Note also that this description of $h_2 \circ j_2$ also implies that Ωh_2 has a right homotopy inverse via the composite $\prod_{i=2}^{\ell} S^1 \xrightarrow{s_1} \Omega(\bigvee_{i=2}^{\ell} S^2) \xrightarrow{\Omega j_2 \circ \Omega h_2} \prod_{i=2}^{\ell} S^1$, where s_1 exists by the Hilton-Milnor Theorem and the fact that $\Omega S^2 \simeq S^1 \times \Omega S^3$. Thus we may form a homotopy fibration

$$N_2 \longrightarrow M_2 \xrightarrow{h_2} \prod_{i=2}^{\ell} BS^1$$

that defines N_2 , with Ωh_2 having a right homotopy inverse. Now the argument may be iterated.

After ℓ iterations, the homotopy fibre N_{ℓ} is homotopic to the given space N that is the homotopy fibre of $M \xrightarrow{h} BT^{\ell}$. Let $M_{\ell+1} = N$. We have obtained a chain of Poincaré Duality complexes $M_{\ell+1}, M_{\ell}, \dots, M_1$ with the property that, for each $2 \leq k \leq \ell + 1$, if the attaching map for the top cell of M_k is inert then the attaching map for the top cell of M_{k-1} is inert. Thus, collectively, if the attaching map for the top cell of $N = M_{\ell+1}$ is inert then the attaching map for the top cell of $M = M_1$ is inert. By hypothesis, N is $(m-1)$ -connected for $m \geq 3$ odd and there is a map $N \longrightarrow S^m$ that has a right homotopy inverse. Thus the attaching map for the top cell of N is inert by Theorem 5.2, and hence the attaching map for the top cell of M is inert. \square

An interesting application of Theorem 8.6 is to quasi-toric manifolds.

Example 8.7. A $2n$ -dimensional manifold has a *locally standard* T^n -action if locally it is the standard action of T^n on \mathbb{C}^n . An n -dimensional convex polytope P is *simple* if it has exactly n facets intersecting at each vertex. A *quasi-toric manifold* over P is a closed, smooth $2n$ -dimensional manifold M^{2n} that has a smooth locally standard T^n -action for which the orbit space M^{2n}/T^n is homeomorphic to P . These were first constructed in [DJ] and they exist in abundance. Let P be a simple polytope of dimension n and having m facets. Let K be the simplicial complex that is Alexander dual to the boundary of P . By [BP, Proposition 7.3.12], a quasi-toric manifold M^{2n} arises as a quotient $M^{2n} \cong \mathcal{Z}_K/T^{m-n}$ for some subtorus $T^{m-n} \subseteq T^m$ that acts freely on the corresponding moment-angle complex \mathcal{Z}_K . This implies that there is a principle T^{m-n} -fibration

$$T^{m-n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_K \longrightarrow M^{2n}.$$

As this is principle, it is classified by a map $M^{2n} \longrightarrow BT^{m-n}$ and there is a homotopy fibration

$$(24) \quad \mathcal{Z}_K \longrightarrow M^{2n} \xrightarrow{h} BT^{m-n}.$$

As in Examples 5.3 and 5.4, \mathcal{Z}_K is $(m-1)$ -connected for $m \geq 3$ odd and if K is not a simplex then there is a map $\mathcal{Z}_K \longrightarrow S^m$ with a right homotopy inverse. The connectivity of \mathcal{Z}_K implies that h induces an isomorphism on π_2 and therefore Ωh induces an isomorphism on π_1 . Using the loop space structure to multiply Hurewicz images then gives a map $\prod_{i=1}^{m-n} S^1 \longrightarrow \Omega M^{2n}$ that is a right homotopy inverse for Ωh . Thus Theorem 8.6 applied to (24) implies that the attaching map for the top cell of M^{2n} is inert.

9. THE RATIONAL CASE

In this section we show that our methods recover Halperin and Lemaire's result that any simply-connected closed Poincaré Duality complex M has the attaching map for its top cell being inert provided the rational cohomology of M is not generated by a single element. This involves a generalization of Theorem 8.5.

Throughout this section all spaces will be rationalized. In particular, for any $m \geq 1$ this implies that S^{2m-1} is an Eilenberg-Mac Lane space $K(\mathbb{Q}, 2m-1)$. Write BS^{2m-1} for its classifying space.

Theorem 9.1. *Let M be a $(2m-1)$ -connected, closed Poincaré Duality complex of dimension n , where $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 4$. Suppose that M is not a sphere and there is a homotopy fibration*

$$N \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{h} BS^{2m-1}$$

where Ωh has a right homotopy inverse. If the attaching map for the top cell of N is inert then the attaching map for the top cell of M is inert.

Proof. The proof is similar to that for Theorem 8.5. Note that Lemmas 8.1, 8.2, and 8.3 all hold in the rational case with BS^1 simply replaced by BS^{2m-1} . Steps 1, 2 and 4 in the proof of Proposition 8.4

also hold with the same replacement. For Step 3 of Proposition 8.4, Diagram (23) becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 S^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{i_1} & S^{n-1} \times S^{2m-1} & \xrightarrow{\theta} & Q & \xrightarrow{\delta_Q} & S^{n-2m} \times S^{2m-1} \\
 & \searrow f & & & \downarrow a & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & \overline{M} & \xrightarrow{(h \vee 1) \circ \psi} & BS^{2m-1} \vee S^{n-2m}.
 \end{array}$$

As M is not a sphere, Poincaré Duality implies that the bottom cell of M occurs in a degree no more than half the dimension of M . Therefore $4m \leq n$.

There are two cases. First, if $4m = n$ then M is a $(2m - 1)$ -connected $4m$ -dimensional Poincaré Duality complex and $n - 2m = 2m$. In this case the Hilton-Milnor Theorem implies that the composite $(h \vee 1) \circ \psi \circ f$ is homotopic to $s \cdot \omega + t \cdot w$ where ω generates $\pi_{4m-1}(S^{2m}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$, w is the composite $S^{4m-1} \rightarrow S^{2m} \vee S^{2m} \hookrightarrow BS^{2m-1} \vee S^{2m}$ in which the left map is the Whitehead product whose cofibre is $S^{2m} \times S^{2m}$, and $s, t \in \mathbb{Q}$. The key to the remainder of the argument in the proof of Step 3 in Proposition 8.4 is that $t = 1$, and the same argument works in this case as well. Second, if $4m < n$ then the cell of least dimension in M is in a degree strictly less than half the dimension of M . Thus $2m < n - 2m$, in which case the composite $(h \vee 1) \circ \psi \circ f$ is homotopic to $t \cdot w$, and the argument as before in Step 3 of Proposition 8.4 again implies that $t = 1$. In both cases we obtain $t = 1$ which then allows for the argument that $q \circ \delta_Q$ is a left homotopy inverse for $\theta \circ i_i = j$.

The proof now proceeds exactly as in that for Theorem 8.5 with BS^1 replaced by BS^{2m-1} . \square

Theorem 9.2. *Let M be a simply-connected closed Poincaré Duality complex of dimension n , where $n \geq 4$. If the rational cohomology of M is not generated by a single element then the attaching map for the top cell of M is inert.*

Proof. Suppose that M is $(m - 1)$ -connected. As $H^*(M; \mathbb{Q})$ is not generated by a single element, M is not a sphere, so Poincaré Duality implies that $2m \leq n$. Let $x \in H^m(M; \mathbb{Q})$ be a generator. Then x is represented by a map $r: M \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Q}, m)$. Let $\bar{x} \in H^m(M; \mathbb{Q})$ be the dual of x . The Hurewicz isomorphism implies that there is a map $s: S^m \rightarrow M$ with Hurewicz image \bar{x} . The duality between \bar{x} and x implies that the composite $S^m \xrightarrow{s} M \xrightarrow{r} K(\mathbb{Q}, m)$ is homotopic to the inclusion of the bottom cell.

Case 1: m is odd. Then $K(\mathbb{Q}, m) \simeq S^m$, so we may regard r as a map $M \xrightarrow{r} S^m$. As $r \circ s$ has degree one, $r \circ s$ is homotopic to the identity map. Thus r has a right homotopy inverse. The rational version of either Theorem 5.2 or Theorem 6.3 then implies that the attaching map for the top cell of M is inert.

Case 2: m is even. Then we may write $K(\mathbb{Q}, 2m)$ as BS^{2m-1} and regard r as a map $M \xrightarrow{r} BS^{2m-1}$. After looping the composite $S^{2m-1} \xrightarrow{E} \Omega S^{2m} \xrightarrow{\Omega(r \circ s)} S^{2m-1}$ is a homotopy equivalence, where E is the suspension. Thus Ωr has a right homotopy inverse. If M_1 is the homotopy fibre of r , then by [Go, Q] M_1 is a closed Poincaré Duality complex, so Theorem 9.1 implies that if the attaching

map for the top cell of M_1 is inert then the attaching map for the top cell of M is inert. Therefore we are reduced to considering M_1 . The argument may now be iterated for M_1 , giving a sequence of Poincaré Duality complexes $M_k \rightarrow M_{k-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_0 = M$, where, for $1 \leq i \leq k$, M_i is the homotopy fibre of a map $M_{i-1} \xrightarrow{r_{i-1}} BS^{2m_{i-1}-1}$ with Ωr_{i-1} having a right homotopy inverse, and the attaching map for the top cell of M_{i-1} being inert provided the attaching map for the top cell of M_i is inert. The iteration stops if M_k is $(m' - 1)$ -connected for m' odd or if M_k is a sphere. For if M_k is $(m' - 1)$ -connected for m' odd then M_k satisfies Case 1, in which case the attaching map for the top cell of M_k is inert and hence the attaching map for the top cell of M is inert. Otherwise, M_k is a sphere.

To go further, return to M_1 and consider rational cohomology. The map r represents a class $x \in H^{2m}(M; \mathbb{Q})$. Fibering r , there is an associated principal fibration $S^{2m-1} \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M$. Choose a generator $\iota \in H^{2m-1}(S^{2m-1}; \mathbb{Q})$ so that ι transgresses to x in the cohomology Serre spectral sequence. Then $d^{2m}(\iota) = x$. Suppose that $x^\ell \neq 0$ but $x^{\ell+1} = 0$ for some $\ell \geq 1$. As the differential is a derivation we obtain $d^{2m}(x^{\ell-1} \otimes \iota) = x^\ell \neq 0$ and $d^{2m}(x^\ell \otimes \iota) = x^{\ell+1} = 0$. Thus $x^\ell \otimes \iota$ survives to the E_{2m+1} -page, and for degree reasons all other differentials are zero so $x^\ell \otimes \iota$ survives to the E_∞ -page. Thus $x^\ell \otimes \iota$ represents an odd degree element $y_1 \in H^*(M_1; \mathbb{Q})$. At the next step in the iteration, the map $M_1 \xrightarrow{r_1} BS^{2m_1-1}$ represents a class $x_1 \in H^{2m_1}(M_1; \mathbb{Q})$, and in the cohomology Serre spectral sequence for the associated principal fibration $S^{2m_1-1} \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_1$, a generator $\iota_1 \in H^{2m_1-1}(S^{2m_1-1}; \mathbb{Q})$ may be chosen to transgress to x_1 . The image of d^{2m_1} lies in even degrees in $H^*(M_1; \mathbb{Q})$, so as y_1 is of odd degree it cannot be in the image of d^{2m_1} . All other differentials are zero for degree reasons, so y_1 must survive the spectral sequence, and therefore it represents an element in $H^*(M_2; \mathbb{Q})$. The same argument applied iteratively implies that y_1 represents an element in $H^*(M_k; \mathbb{Q})$. Further, the same argument applied to $x_1 \in H^{2m_1}(M_1; \mathbb{Q})$ implies that there is an odd degree element $y_2 \in H^*(M_2; \mathbb{Q})$ that also represents an element in $H^*(M_k; \mathbb{Q})$. Thus if $k \geq 2$ then $H^*(M_k; \mathbb{Q})$ has two distinct odd degree elements so M_k cannot be a sphere, in which case we must be in Case 1, implying - as above - that the attaching map for the top cell of M is inert. The one remaining option is when $k = 1$, which implies that M_1 is a sphere, and as $y \in H^*(M_1; \mathbb{Q})$ is of degree $2m(\ell+1) - 1$, we must have $M_1 \simeq S^{2m(\ell+1)-1}$. But then the spectral sequence argument that produced y_1 now implies that $H^*(M; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^{\ell+1})$. By hypothesis, the rational cohomology of M is not generated by a single element, so this case cannot occur. \square

10. TWO APPLICATIONS

We consider two applications of the inertness property. The first is to identify the homotopy fibre of the inclusion $\overline{M} \xrightarrow{i} M$, and the second is to generate more examples via connected sums and determine the homotopy type of their loop spaces.

10.1. **The homotopy fibre of the inclusion $\overline{M} \xrightarrow{i} M$.** The inertness property of the attaching map f of the top cell for M says that the map $\Omega\overline{M} \xrightarrow{\Omega i} \Omega M$ has a right homotopy inverse. The complementary factor can be identified; more strongly, the homotopy fibre of i can be identified.

Given maps $f: \Sigma A \rightarrow X$ and $g: \Sigma B \rightarrow X$ their *Whitehead product* is denoted by

$$[f, g]: \Sigma A \wedge B \rightarrow X.$$

Let $ev: \Sigma\Omega X \rightarrow X$ be the canonical evaluation map. The following was proved in [BT2].

Lemma 10.1. *Suppose there is a homotopy cofibration $\Sigma A \xrightarrow{f} X \xrightarrow{i} Y$ and Ωi has a right homotopy inverse $s: \Omega Y \rightarrow \Omega X$. Then there is a homotopy fibration*

$$\Sigma A \vee (\Sigma A \wedge \Omega Y) \xrightarrow{f \perp [f, \gamma]} X \xrightarrow{i} Y$$

where γ is the composite $\Sigma\Omega Y \xrightarrow{\Sigma s} \Sigma\Omega X \xrightarrow{ev} X$. Further, this fibration splits after looping to give a homotopy equivalence

$$\Omega X \simeq \Omega Y \times \Omega(\Sigma A \vee (\Sigma A \wedge \Omega Y)). \quad \square$$

In our case, for the Poincaré Duality complexes in Theorem 5.2, there is a homotopy cofibration $S^{n-1} \xrightarrow{f} \overline{M} \rightarrow M$ that attaches the top cell and Ωi has a right homotopy inverse. Lemma 10.1 then immediately implies the following.

Proposition 10.2. *Let M be a simply-connected closed Poincaré Duality complex satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 5.2. Then there is a homotopy fibration*

$$S^{n-1} \vee (S^{n-1} \wedge \Omega M) \xrightarrow{f \perp [f, \gamma]} \overline{M} \xrightarrow{i} M$$

that splits after looping to give a homotopy equivalence $\Omega\overline{M} \simeq \Omega M \times \Omega(S^{n-1} \vee \Sigma^{n-1}\Omega M)$.

If instead M satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 6.3 then this homotopy fibration and homotopy equivalence for $\Omega\overline{M}$ hold after localization away from \mathcal{P} . \square

For example, rationally, any suspension is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spheres. Thus the homotopy fibre of i in Proposition 10.2 is rationally a wedge of spheres. More generally, if ΩM was known to be homotopy equivalent to a product of spheres and loops on spheres, either in the integral or local case, then the homotopy fibre of i in Proposition 10.2 would correspondingly be homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spheres. An explicit example is the following.

Example 10.3. *An elaboration on certain quasi-toric manifolds.* By Example 8.7, the attaching map for the top cell of a quasi-toric manifold M^{2n} is inert. As noted in that example, there is a homotopy fibration $\mathcal{Z}_K \rightarrow M^{2n} \xrightarrow{h} BT^{m-n}$ where Ωh has a right homotopy inverse and \mathcal{Z}_K is a moment-angle manifold. Suppose that the associated polytope $P = M^{2n}/T^n$ is a product

of simplices, $P = \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \Delta^{m_j}$. Then, by combining [BP, Example 2.2.9 (4), Proposition 4.1.3 and Example 4.1.2 (4)], there are homeomorphisms

$$\mathcal{Z}_K \cong \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \mathcal{Z}_{\partial \Delta^{m_j}} \cong \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} S^{2m_j+1}.$$

Using the right homotopy inverse for Ωh we therefore obtain homotopy equivalences

$$\Omega M^{2n} \simeq \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m-n} S^1 \right) \times \Omega \mathcal{Z}_K \simeq \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m-n} S^1 \right) \times \left(\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \Omega S^{2m_j+1} \right).$$

Consequently, $\Sigma^{2n-1} \Omega M^{2n}$ is homotopy equivalent to a wedge W of spheres. Therefore, by Proposition 10.2 there is a homotopy fibration

$$S^{2n-1} \vee W \longrightarrow \overline{M^{2n}} \xrightarrow{i} M^{2n}$$

that splits after looping to give a homotopy equivalence $\Omega \overline{M^{2n}} \simeq \Omega M^{2n} \times \Omega(S^{2n-1} \vee W)$. Note that all this happens without any localization.

10.2. Connected sums. Theorems 5.2, 6.3 and 7.5 give many examples of Poincaré Duality complexes with the property that the attaching map for the top cell is inert. These can be used to generate more examples due to the following result [T, Theorem 9.1]. Note that if M and N are simply-connected closed n -dimensional Poincaré Duality complexes with $(n-1)$ -skeletons \overline{M} and \overline{N} respectively, then the $(n-1)$ -skeleton of $M \# N$ is $\overline{M} \vee \overline{N}$.

Theorem 10.4. *Let M and N be simply-connected closed n -dimensional Poincaré Duality complexes, where $n \geq 2$. Let \overline{M} and \overline{N} be the $(n-1)$ -skeletons of M and N respectively. If the attaching map f for the top cell of M is inert then the following hold:*

- (a) *there is a homotopy equivalence $\Omega(M \# N) \simeq \Omega M \times \Omega(\Omega M \times \overline{N})$;*
- (b) *the attaching map for the top cell of $M \# N$ is inert: the loop map $\Omega(\overline{M} \vee \overline{N}) \longrightarrow \Omega(M \# N)$ has a right homotopy inverse.*

Theorem 10.4 (b) says that if the attaching map for the top cell of M is inert then so is the attaching map for the top cell of $M \# N$ for any N , regardless of the behaviour of the attaching map for the top cell of N . This lets us generate new examples freely. For instance, if M^{2n} is a quasi-toric manifold of dimension $2n$ then, by Example 8.7, the attaching map for its top cell is inert. So if N is any $2n$ -dimensional, simply-connected closed Poincaré Duality complex then the attaching map for the top cell of $M^{2n} \# N$ is inert.

Further, Theorem 10.4 (a) gives a homotopy decomposition for $\Omega(M \# N)$ in terms of ΩM and \overline{N} . For instance, continuing Example 10.3, for any quasi-toric manifold M^{2n} associated to a simply polytope that is a product of simplices and for any N as in the previous paragraph, there is a

homotopy equivalence $\Omega(M^{2n} \# N) \simeq \Omega M^{2n} \times \Omega(\Omega M^{2n} \times \overline{N})$, which may be refined by substituting in the homotopy equivalence $\Omega M^{2n} \simeq \prod_{i=1}^{m-n} S^1 \times \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \Omega S^{2m_j+1}$ from Example 10.3.

Theorem 10.4 also works equally well in a local setting, in which case the connected sum is given a topological interpretation as the sum of the attaching maps for the top cells.

REFERENCES

- [A] D.J. Anick, A rational homotopy analog of Whitehead’s problem, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1183**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986, 28-31.
- [BT1] P. Beben and S. Theriault, The loop space homotopy type of simply-connected four-manifolds and their generalizations, *Adv. Math.* **262** (2014), 213-238.
- [BT2] P. Beben and S. Theriault, The loop space homotopy type of highly connected Poincaré Duality complexes, *Doc. Math.* **27** (2022), 183-211.
- [B] P. Bubenik, Free and semi-inert cell attachments, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **357** (2005), 4533-4553.
- [BP] V.M. Buchstaber and T.E. Panov, *Toric topology*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **204**, American Mathematical Society, 2015.
- [Ca] A.J. Casson, Fibrations over spheres, *Topology* **6** (1967), 489-499.
- [Ch1] S. Chenery, The rational homotopy type of homotopy fibrations over connected sums, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* **66** (2023), 133-142.
- [Ch2] S. Chenery, Loop space decompositions of connected sums and applications to the Vigué-Poirrier conjecture, arXiv:2304.12726.
- [DJ] M.W. Davis and T. Januszkiewicz, Convex polytopes, Coxeter orbifolds and torus actions, *Duke Math. J.* **62** (1991), 417-452.
- [DS] G. Denham and A.I. Suciu, Moment-angle complex, monomial ideals, and Massey products, *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* **3** (2007), 25-60.
- [FHT] Y. Félix, S. Halperin and J.-C. Thomas, The ranks of the homotopy groups of a space of finite LS category, *Expo. Math.* **25** (2007), 67-76.
- [FT] Y. Félix and J.-C. Thomas, Effet d’un attachement cellulaire dans l’homologie de l’espace des lacets, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **39** (1989), 207-224.
- [Ga] T. Ganea, A generalization of the homology and homotopy suspension, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **39** (1965), 295-322.
- [Go] D.H. Gottlieb, Poincaré Duality and fibrations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **76** (1979), 148-150.
- [HL1] S. Halperin and J.-M. Lemaire, Suites inertes dans les algèbres de Lie graduées (“Autopsie d’un meurtre II”), *Math. Scand.* **61** (1987), 39-67.
- [HL2] S. Halperin and J.-M. Lemaire, The fibre of a cell attachment, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **38** (1995), 295-311.
- [HSS] B. Hanke, T. Schick and W. Steimle, The space of metrics of positive scalar curvature, *Publ. Math. IHES* **120** (2014), 335-367.
- [HeL] K. Hess and J.-M. Lemaire, Nice and lazy cell attachments, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **112** (2006), 29-39.
- [H] R. Huang, Coformality around fibrations and cofibrations, *Homology Homotopy Appl.* **25** (2023), 235-248.
- [HT] R. Huang and S. Theriault, Exponential growth in the rational homology of free loop spaces and in torsion homotopy groups, to appear in *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, arXiv:2105.04426.
- [KKR] M. Krannich, A. Kupers and O. Randal-Williams, An HP^2 -bundle over S^4 with nontrivial \hat{A} -genus, *Comptes Rendus. Mathématique* **359** (2021), 149-154.

- [Ma] M. Mather, Pull-backs in homotopy theory, *Canad. J. Math.* **28** (1976), 225-263.
- [PT] T. Panov and S. Theriault, The homotopy theory of polyhedral products associated with flag complexes, *Compositio* **155** (2019), 206-228.
- [Q] F. Quinn, Surgery on Poincaré and normal spaces, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **78** (1972), 262-267.
- [T] S. Theriault, Homotopy fibrations with a section after looping, to appear in *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, arXiv:2005.11570.

MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHAMPTON, SOUTHAMPTON SO17 1BJ, UNITED KINGDOM

Email address: S.D.Theriault@soton.ac.uk