

# Finite Field Multiple Access for Sourced Massive Random Access with Finite Blocklength

Qi-yue Yu, *Senior Member, IEEE*, Shi-wen Lin, Shu Lin, *Life Fellow, IEEE*

**Abstract**—For binary source transmission, this paper proposes an element-pair (EP) coding scheme for supporting sourced massive random access, which is used to solve the finite blocklength (FBL) of multiuser reliability transmission problem. In this paper, we first give the definition of an EP, which is used as a virtual resource. If the Cartesian product of  $J$  distinct EPs satisfies the unique sum-pattern mapping (USPM) structural property, the  $J$  distinct EPs can form an uniquely-decodable EP (UD-EP) code. Then, we introduce a type of orthogonal EP code  $\Psi_{\circ, \text{B}}$  constructed over an extension field  $\text{GF}(2^m)$ . Based on the proposed EP code, we present finite-field multiple-access (FFMA) systems, including both the sparse-form-based and diagonal-form-based forms. Simulation results show that, for the massive random access scenario, the error performance of the proposed FFMA systems over a Gaussian multiple-access channel can provide much better error performance than that of a slotted ALOHA system.

**Index Terms**—Massive multiple access, sourced random access, slotted ALOHA, binary source transmission, element pair (EP), finite-field multi-access (FFMA), finite blocklength (FBL), Gaussian multiple-access channel (GMAC).

## I. INTRODUCTION

For the next generation of wireless communication, ultra massive machine type communication (um-MTC) is required to serve a huge number of users (or devices) simultaneously, e.g., the connection density of an um-MTC system is around  $10^6 \sim 10^8$  devices/km<sup>2</sup> [1]–[3]. The required multiple-access (MA) technique should simultaneously support massive users with short packet traffic, and achieve an acceptable *per-user probability of error (PUPE)* [4].

In general, grant-free random access (RA) is considered as a promising MA scheme for supporting um-MTC communications [5], [6]. A grant-free random access can be classified into sourced and unsourced RA schemes, which can be applied in different scenarios [7]–[9]. In this paper, we focus on the sourced RA, which is used to serve device-oriented scenarios, e.g., monitoring the status of sensors, enabling the base station (BS) to be aware of both the messages and users (or devices) identities [5]. For a sourced RA system, each user is assigned a unique signature to identify the active user(s) at the BS. Typically, we can use different resources, sequences and/or codebooks to identify different users. The classical sourced RA system utilizes time slots to distinguish users, operating in a *slotted ALOHA* scheme, which simplifies both the active user detection and multiuser detection algorithms [10].

Nevertheless, for the massive random access scenario, the reliable performance of the slotted ALOHA scheme is limited

by the blocklength of each time slot [11]–[13]. Let the blocklength (or the number of degrees of freedom) be a constant denoted by  $N$ , which is also called finite blocklength (FBL) constraint. Suppose there are totally  $J$  users. To separate users without ambiguity, the number of time slots is set to be equal to the number of users  $J$ . Thus, the blocklength of each time slot is equal to  $N/J$ . According to [12], we know that the maximal rate of each time slot is equal to

$$C(P) - \sqrt{\frac{V(P)}{(N/J)}} Q^{-1}(\epsilon) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\log(N/J)}{(N/J)} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{(N/J)}\right)$$

where  $C(P)$  is the channel capacity,  $P$  is the maximal power constraint and the noise variance is set to be 1.  $Q(\cdot)$  is the  $Q$ -function, and  $\epsilon$  is the average error probability.  $V(P) = \frac{P(P+2)}{2(1+P)^2}$  is the dispersion of the Gaussian channel. Obviously, the maximal rate of each time slot decreases with the increased number of users  $J$ , especially  $J$  is a large value. Hence, it is challenging to design suitable schemes for solving the FBL of massive users reliability transmission problem.

In this paper, an MA coding is devised based on the *element-pair (EP) code* constructed over finite fields (FFs) [15]. We refer the proposed MA technique based on EP-coding as *finite-field MA (FFMA)* technique (or coding). An MA communication system using FFMA-coding is referred to as an FFMA system for simplicity. Unlike the slotted ALOHA scheme whose blocklength is  $N/J$ , the proposed FFMA system can achieve error correction ability from all the degrees of freedom (DoF), i.e., using the entire blocklength  $N$ . At the receiving end, we can recover the superposition multiuser information by a simple detector. In addition, for the proposed *sparse-form-based* FFMA system, it can naturally obtain the active users' information from the finite-field, thereby avoiding the need to address the active user detection issue.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section II introduces the concept of EP-coding and presents an orthogonal EP code constructed over  $\text{GF}(2^m)$ . The encoding of the orthogonal EP code is introduced in Section III. Section IV presents an FFMA system for a massive MA transmission. In Section V, simulations of the error performances of the proposed FFMA systems are given. Section VI concludes the paper with some remarks.

In this paper, the symbol  $\mathbb{B} = \{0, 1\}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  are used to denote the binary-field and complex-field. The notation  $(a)_q$  stands for modulo- $q$ , and/or an element in  $\text{GF}(q)$ .

## II. ORTHOGONAL EP CODE OVER $\text{GF}(2^m)$

Suppose  $\text{GF}(q)$  is a finite-field with  $q$  elements, where  $q$  is a prime number or a power of the prime number. Let  $\alpha$  denote a

primitive element of  $\text{GF}(q)$ , and the powers of  $\alpha$ , i.e.,  $\alpha^{-\infty} = 0, \alpha^0 = 1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{(q-2)}$ , give all the  $q$  elements of  $\text{GF}(q)$ . For binary source transmission, a transmit bit is either  $(0)_2$  or  $(1)_2$ . We utilize two different elements in a finite-field  $\text{GF}(q)$  to express the bit information, i.e.,  $(0)_2 \mapsto \alpha^{l_{j,0}}$  and  $(1)_2 \mapsto \alpha^{l_{j,1}}$ , where  $\alpha^{l_{j,0}}, \alpha^{l_{j,1}} \in \text{GF}(q)$  and  $l_{j,0} \neq l_{j,1}$ . We define the selected two elements  $(\alpha^{l_{j,0}}, \alpha^{l_{j,1}})$  as an *element pair (EP)*.

In this paper, we construct *orthogonal element pair (EP) codes* over  $\text{GF}(2^m)$ , where  $q = 2^m$  and  $m$  is a positive integer with  $m \geq 2$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a primitive element in  $\text{GF}(2^m)$ . Then, each element  $\alpha^{l_j}$ , with  $l_j = -\infty, 0, \dots, 2^m - 2$ , in  $\text{GF}(2^m)$  can be expressed as a linear sum of  $\alpha^0 = 1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{(m-1)}$  with coefficients from  $\text{GF}(2)$  as follows:

$$\alpha^{l_j} = a_{j,0} + a_{j,1}\alpha + a_{j,2}\alpha^2 + \dots + a_{j,m-1}\alpha^{(m-1)}. \quad (1)$$

From (1), we see that the element  $\alpha^{l_j}$  can be uniquely represented by the  $m$ -tuple  $(a_{j,0}, a_{j,1}, \dots, a_{j,m-1})$  over  $\text{GF}(2)$ , which is a linear combination of  $\alpha^0, \alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^{m-1}$ , i.e.,  $\alpha^{l_j} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} a_{j,i}\alpha^i$ . For  $0 \leq i < m$ , it is known that  $\alpha^i = (0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$  is an  $m$ -tuple with a 1-component at the  $i$ -th location and 0s elsewhere.

Based on the finite-field  $\text{GF}(2^m)$ , we define  $m$  EPs as

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= (0, \alpha^0) &= \alpha^0 \cdot C_B = \{\mathbf{0}, (1, 0, \dots, 0)\}, \\ C_2 &= (0, \alpha^1) &= \alpha^1 \cdot C_B = \{\mathbf{0}, (0, 1, \dots, 0)\}, \\ &\vdots \\ C_m &= (0, \alpha^{m-1}) &= \alpha^{m-1} \cdot C_B = \{\mathbf{0}, (0, 0, \dots, 1)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{0}$  is an  $m$ -tuple of zeros, and  $C_B = (0, 1)$ . The subscript ‘‘B’’ stands for ‘‘binary’’. Hence, for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , the  $j$ -th EP  $C_j$  is given as  $C_j = \alpha^{j-1} \cdot C_B$ . Then, the  $m$  EPs together can form an EP set, defined by  $\Psi_{\circ,B}$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\circ,B} &= \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m\}, \\ &= \{\alpha^0 \cdot C_B, \alpha^1 \cdot C_B, \dots, \alpha^{m-1} \cdot C_B\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

With  $0 \leq i < m$ , it is found that  $\alpha^0, \alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^{m-1}$  are *mutually disjoint*, i.e.,  $\alpha^i \cap \alpha^k = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq k$  and  $0 \leq i, k < m$ . In this case, any two different EPs in  $\Psi_{\circ,B}$ , e.g.,  $C_j$  and  $C_{j'}$  where  $j \neq j'$ , are *orthogonal* to each other.

Let  $(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_j, \dots, u_J)$  be a  $J$ -tuple over  $\text{GF}(2^m)$  in which the  $j$ -th component  $u_j$  is an element from the EP  $C_j$ , where  $1 \leq j \leq J$  and  $J \leq m$ . The  $J$ -tuple  $(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_J)$  is an element in the *Cartesian product*  $C_1 \times C_2 \times \dots \times C_J$  of the EPs in the EP set  $\Psi_{\circ,B}$ . We view each  $J$ -tuple  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_j, \dots, u_J)$  in  $C_1 \times C_2 \times \dots \times C_J$  as a  $J$ -user EP codeword. In our paper,  $\Psi_{\circ,B}$  stands for an EP set and also an EP code. Hence, the Cartesian product

$$\Psi_{\circ,B} \triangleq (\alpha^0 \cdot C_B) \times (\alpha^1 \cdot C_B) \times \dots \times (\alpha^{m-1} \cdot C_B),$$

of the  $m$  EPs in  $\Psi_{\circ,B}$  can form an  $m$ -user *EP code* over  $\text{GF}(2^m)$  with  $2^m$  codewords.

Let  $(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_J)$  and  $(u'_1, u'_2, \dots, u'_J)$  be any two  $J$ -tuples in  $C_1 \times C_2 \times \dots \times C_J$  of the EP code  $\Psi_{\circ,B}$ . If  $\bigoplus_{j=1}^J u_j \neq \bigoplus_{j=1}^J u'_j$ , then an *finite-field sum-pattern (FFSP)*  $w$  uniquely specifies a  $J$ -tuple in  $C_1 \times C_2 \times \dots \times C_J$ . That is to say the mapping

$$(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_J) \iff w = \bigoplus_{j=1}^J u_j, \quad (3)$$

is a one-to-one mapping. In this case, given the FFSP  $w = \bigoplus_{j=1}^J u_j$ , we can uniquely recover the  $J$ -tuple  $(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_J)$  *without ambiguity*. We say that the Cartesian product  $C_1 \times C_2 \times \dots \times C_J$  has a *unique sum-pattern mapping (USPM) structural property*, and form an *uniquely-decodable EP (UD-EP) code*.

Because of the orthogonality between any two EPs in  $\Psi_{\circ,B}$ , it is easy to know the EP codeword in  $\Psi_{\circ,B}$  has USPM structural property. We call  $\Psi_{\circ,B}$  a  $J$ -user *orthogonal uniquely decodable EP (UD-EP) code* over  $\text{GF}(2^m)$ , simply a  $J$ -user orthogonal UD-EP code. When this code is used for an MA communication system with  $J$  users, the  $j$ -th component  $u_j$  in a codeword  $(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_J)$  is the element to be transmitted by the  $j$ -th user.

### III. ENCODING OF ORTHOGONAL EP CODES

In this section, we first introduce the encoder of an EP code  $\Psi_{\circ,B}$ . Then, we investigate the orthogonal UD-EP codes  $\Psi_{\circ,B}$  encoded by a channel encoder  $C_{gc}$ . The subscript ‘‘gc’’ of  $C_{gc}$  stands for ‘‘globe code’’, since the channel code  $C_{gc}$  is used through the MA transmission.

#### A. Binary to Finite-field $\text{GF}(q)$ Transform Function

Let the bit-sequence at the output of the  $j$ -th user be  $\mathbf{b}_j = (b_{j,0}, b_{j,1}, \dots, b_{j,k}, \dots, b_{j,K-1})$ , where  $K$  is a positive integer,  $1 \leq j \leq J$  and  $0 \leq k < K$ . The EP encoder is to map each bit-sequence  $\mathbf{b}_j$  uniquely into an element-sequence  $\mathbf{u}_j = (u_{j,0}, u_{j,1}, \dots, u_{j,k}, \dots, u_{j,K-1})$  by a *binary to finite-field  $\text{GF}(q)$  transform function*  $F_{B2q}$ , i.e.,  $u_{j,k} = F_{B2q}(b_{j,k})$ .

Assume each user is assigned an EP, e.g., the EP of  $C_j = (\alpha^{l_{j,0}}, \alpha^{l_{j,1}})$  is assigned to the  $j$ -th user for  $1 \leq j \leq J$ . The subscript ‘‘ $j$ ’’ of ‘‘ $l_{j,0}$ ’’ and ‘‘ $l_{j,1}$ ’’ stands for the  $j$ -th EP, and the subscripts ‘‘0’’ and ‘‘1’’ of ‘‘ $l_{j,0}$ ’’ and ‘‘ $l_{j,1}$ ’’ represent the input bits are  $(0)_2$  and  $(1)_2$ , respectively. For  $1 \leq j \leq J$ , we can set the  $k$ -th component  $u_{j,k}$  of  $\mathbf{u}_j$  as

$$u_{j,k} = F_{B2q}(b_{j,k}) \triangleq b_{j,k} \odot C_j = \begin{cases} \alpha^{l_{j,0}}, & b_{j,k} = 0 \\ \alpha^{l_{j,1}}, & b_{j,k} = 1 \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where  $b_{j,k} \odot C_j$  is defined as a *switching function*. If the input bit is  $b_{j,k} = 0$ , the transformed element is  $u_{j,k} = \alpha^{l_{j,0}}$ ; otherwise,  $u_{j,k}$  is equal to  $u_{j,k} = \alpha^{l_{j,1}}$ .

Let the input *bit-block* of  $J$  users at the  $k$ -th component denote by  $\mathbf{b}[k]$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{b}[k] = (b_{1,k}, b_{2,k}, \dots, b_{J,k})$ , where  $0 \leq k < K$ . We also call the EP codeword  $(u_{1,k}, u_{2,k}, \dots, u_{J,k})$  in  $\Psi_{\circ,B}$  as the output *element-block* of the  $k$ -th component of  $J$  users, i.e.,  $\mathbf{u}[k] = (u_{1,k}, u_{2,k}, \dots, u_{J,k})$ .

We assign the UD-EP  $C_j$  in  $\Psi_{\circ,B}$  to the  $j$ -th user for  $1 \leq j \leq J = m$ . Then, the multiplexing of the  $k$ -th components of  $m$  users, i.e.,  $u_{1,k}, u_{2,k}, \dots, u_{m,k}$ , is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} w_k &= u_{1,k} + u_{2,k} + \dots + u_{m,k} \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{=} b_{1,k}\alpha^0 + b_{2,k}\alpha^1 + \dots + b_{m,k}\alpha^{m-1} = \mathbf{b}[k] \cdot \mathbf{G}_M^1, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where (a) is deduced based on the orthogonal UD-EP code  $\Psi_{\circ,B}$  given by (2) and Eq. (4). We call  $\mathbf{G}_M^1$  the *generator matrix*, given as




Fig. 1. A block diagram of an FFMA system in a GMAC, where  $F_{B2q}$  and  $F_{q2B}$  stand for “binary to finite-field  $GF(q)$  transform” and “finite-field  $GF(q)$  to binary transform”;  $F_{F2C}$  and  $F_{C2F}$  stand for “finite-field to complex-field transform” and “complex-field to finite-field transform”.

$$\mathbf{G}_M^1 = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha^0 \\ \alpha^1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha^{m-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

which is an  $m \times m$  identity matrix. Thus, based on the orthogonal UD-EP code  $\Psi_{o,B}$ , the proposed system is a *type of time division multiple-access in finite-field (FF-TDMA)*, in which the outputs of the  $m$  users completely occupy  $m$  locations in an  $m$ -tuple.

### B. Orthogonal Encoding of an Error-Correcting Code

For  $0 \leq j < m$ , we form the following element-sequence  $\mathbf{u}_j = (u_{j,0}, u_{j,1}, \dots, u_{j,k}, \dots, u_{j,K-1})$ , which is then multiplied  $\alpha^j$ , given by

$$\mathbf{u}_j \cdot \alpha^j \triangleq (u_{j,0}\alpha^j, u_{j,1}\alpha^j, \dots, u_{j,k}\alpha^j, \dots, u_{j,K-1}\alpha^j). \quad (6)$$

Next, the  $m$  element-sequences, i.e.,  $\mathbf{u}_0 \cdot \alpha^0, \mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \alpha^1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{m-1} \cdot \alpha^{m-1}$ , can form an FFSP sequence as follows:

$$\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{u}_0 \cdot \alpha^0 + \mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \alpha^1 + \dots + \mathbf{u}_j \cdot \alpha^j + \dots + \mathbf{u}_{m-1} \cdot \alpha^{m-1}, \quad (7)$$

where  $\mathbf{w} = (w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{K-1})$ , and  $w_k$  is an  $m$ -tuple. It indicates that a sequence  $\mathbf{w}$  over  $GF(2^m)$  can be decomposed into  $m$  element-sequences  $\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{m-1}$ .

Let  $\mathbf{G}_{gc}$  be the generator matrix of a binary  $(N, Km)$  linear block code  $\mathcal{C}_{gc}$ . Then, we encode  $\mathbf{w}$  into a codeword  $\mathbf{v}$  in  $\mathcal{C}_{gc}$  using the generator  $\mathbf{G}_{gc}$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{G}_{gc} = (v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{N-1})$ . It is able to derive that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v} &= \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{G}_{gc} \\ &= (\mathbf{u}_0 \cdot \mathbf{G}_{gc})\alpha^0 \oplus (\mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \mathbf{G}_{gc})\alpha^1 \oplus \dots \oplus (\mathbf{u}_{m-1} \cdot \mathbf{G}_{gc})\alpha^{m-1} \\ &= \mathbf{v}_0\alpha^0 \oplus \mathbf{v}_1\alpha^1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{v}_{m-1}\alpha^{m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{v}_j = \mathbf{u}_j \mathbf{G}_{gc}$  is the codeword of  $\mathbf{u}_j$  for  $0 \leq j < m$ . The codeword  $\mathbf{v}$  in orthogonal form is referred to as *orthogonal encoding* of the message  $\mathbf{w}$ , indicating the codeword  $\mathbf{v}$  is the FFSP of the codewords  $\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{m-1}$ .

## IV. AN FFMA SYSTEM IN A GMAC

This section presents an FFMA system over a GMAC, based on the orthogonal UD-EP code  $\Psi_{o,B}$ , i.e.,  $\Psi_{o,B} = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_j, \dots, C_J\}$ , where  $C_j = \alpha^{j-1} \cdot C_B$  for  $1 \leq j \leq J \leq m$ . The UD-EP  $C_j$  is assigned to the  $j$ -th user.

The block diagram for such a system is shown in Fig. 1. At the transmitter, there are three modules, which are the EP code encoder denoted by  $F_{B2q}$ , the channel code encoder, and the modulation denoted by  $F_{F2C}$ , where  $F_{F2C}$  stands for finite-field to complex-field transform function. In fact, the combination of EP code and channel code can be regarded

as a *cascade code*, which is used to implement *multuser channel code*. At the receiving end, it explores the reverse operations, which are demodulation denoted by  $F_{C2F}$ , channel code decoding, and EP code decoding denoted by  $F_{q2B}$ .

### A. Transmitter of a Sparse-form-based FFMA System

Let  $\mathbf{b}_j = (b_{j,0}, b_{j,1}, \dots, b_{j,k}, \dots, b_{j,K-1})$  be the bit-sequence of the  $j$ -th user, where  $1 \leq j \leq J$  and  $0 \leq k < K$ . The transmitter maps each bit-sequence  $\mathbf{b}_j$  uniquely into an element-sequence  $\mathbf{u}_j = (u_{j,0}, u_{j,1}, \dots, u_{j,k}, \dots, u_{j,K-1})$  by  $F_{B2q}$ , i.e.,  $u_{j,k} = F_{B2q}(b_{j,k})$ , which is determined by the EP  $C_j = \alpha^{j-1} \cdot C_B$ . For  $0 \leq k < K$ , the  $k$ -th element  $u_{j,k}$  of  $\mathbf{u}_j$  is represented by its corresponding  $m$ -tuple representation over  $GF(2)$ , i.e.,  $u_{j,k} = (u_{j,k,0}, u_{j,k,1}, \dots, u_{j,k,i}, \dots, u_{j,k,m-1})$ , where  $0 \leq i < m$ . Then, the  $m$ -tuple form of  $u_{j,k}$  is

$$u_{j,k} = F_{B2q}(b_{j,k}) = (0, \dots, 0, b_{j,k}, 0, \dots, 0), \quad (14)$$

and the  $i$ -th component of  $u_{j,k}$  is

$$u_{j,k,i} = \begin{cases} b_{j,k}, & i = j - 1 \\ 0, & i \neq j - 1 \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

For a large  $m$ ,  $u_{j,k}$  is a sparse vector with only one element at the  $(j-1)$ -th location, and the other locations are all 0s. For the binary form of the element-sequence  $\mathbf{u}$ , its length is equal to  $Km$ .

Next, the element-sequence  $\mathbf{u}_j$  of the  $j$ -th user is encoded into a codeword  $\mathbf{v}_j$  of a binary  $(N, Km)$  linear block code  $\mathcal{C}_{gc}$ . Suppose the  $Km \times N$  generator matrix of  $\mathcal{C}_{gc}$  is in systematic form, defined by  $\mathbf{G}_{gc, sym}$ . Then, the codeword  $\mathbf{v}_j$  is of the following form

$$\mathbf{v}_j = \mathbf{u}_j \cdot \mathbf{G}_{gc, sym} = (\mathbf{u}_j, \mathbf{v}_{j, red}),$$

where  $\mathbf{v}_{j, red}$  is the parity-check (or called redundancy) block, and the subscript “red” stands for “redundancy”. In the systematic form, the codewords of  $m$  users can be arranged in an  $m \times N$  codeword matrix  $\mathbf{V} = [\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_j, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m]^T$ , where  $1 \leq j \leq m$  and  $J = m$ . The codeword matrix  $\mathbf{V}$  can be divided into two sub-matrices,  $\mathbf{U}$  and  $\mathbf{E}$ , given as

$$\mathbf{V} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 \\ \mathbf{v}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{v}_J \end{bmatrix} = [\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{E}] = \begin{bmatrix} u_{1,0} & u_{1,1} & \dots & u_{1,K-1} & \mathbf{v}_{1, red} \\ u_{2,0} & u_{2,1} & \dots & u_{2,K-1} & \mathbf{v}_{2, red} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ u_{J,0} & u_{J,1} & \dots & u_{J,K-1} & \mathbf{v}_{J, red} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (16)$$

The sub-matrix  $\mathbf{U}$  of  $\mathbf{V}$  is a  $1 \times K$  information array, i.e.,  $\mathbf{U} = [\mathbf{U}_0, \mathbf{U}_1, \dots, \mathbf{U}_k, \dots, \mathbf{U}_{K-1}]$ , and  $\mathbf{U}_k$  for  $0 \leq k < K$  is an  $m \times m$  matrix. For the sub-matrix  $\mathbf{U}$ ,  $J$  information bits  $b_{1,k}, b_{2,k}, \dots, b_{J,k}$  from the  $J$  users are lying on the main diagonal of  $\mathbf{U}_k$ . The sub-matrix  $\mathbf{E}$  of  $\mathbf{V}$  is a  $J \times (N - Km)$  matrix which consists of all the parity-check bits formed based on the generator matrix.

To support massive users with short packet transmission scenario,  $m$  may be very large. In this case,  $\mathbf{V}$  is a sparse matrix, and we call  $\mathbf{V}$  a *sparse codeword matrix*.

Then, each codeword  $\mathbf{v}_j$  is modulated by BPSK signaling and mapped to a complex-field signal sequence  $\mathbf{x}_j \in \mathbb{C}^{1 \times N}$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{x}_j = (x_{j,1}, x_{j,2}, \dots, x_{j,n}, \dots, x_{j,N-1})$ . For  $0 \leq n < N$ , the  $n$ -th component  $x_{j,n}$  is given by

$$x_{j,n} = 2v_{j,n} - 1, \quad (17)$$

where  $x_{j,n} \in \{-1, +1\}$ . The mapping from  $\mathbf{v}_j$  to  $\mathbf{x}_j$  is regarded as *finite-field to complex-field transform*, denoted by  $F_{\text{F2C}}$ , i.e.,  $x_{j,n} = F_{\text{F2C}}(v_{j,n})$ . Then  $\mathbf{x}_j$  is sent to a GMAC.

### B. Receiver of a Sparse-form-based FFMA System

At the receiving end, the received signal sequence  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^{1 \times N}$  is the combined outputs of the  $J$  users plus noise, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{y} = \sum_{j=1}^J \mathbf{x}_j + \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{z}, \quad (18)$$

where  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^{1 \times N}$  is an AWGN vector with  $\mathcal{N}(0, N_0/2)$ . The sum in  $\mathbf{y}$  is called *complex-field sum-pattern (CFSP)* signal sequence, i.e.,  $\mathbf{r} = (r_0, r_1, \dots, r_n, \dots, r_{N-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{1 \times N}$ , which is the sum of the  $J$  modulated signal sequences  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_J$ , i.e.,  $r_n = \sum_{j=1}^J x_{j,n}$ .

To separate the superposition signals, the classical method is to utilize *multiuser interference cancellation* algorithms, e.g., successive interference cancellation (SIC). In our paper, we present another solution based on the USPM structural property of the orthogonal UD-EP code  $\Psi_{\text{o,B}}$ . We only need to map each CFSP signal  $r_n$  to an FFSP symbol  $v_n$ , i.e.,  $r_n \mapsto v_n$ , and then the bit-sequences of  $J$ -user can be recovered by decoding the EP codeword.

Thus, the first step of the detection process is to transform the received CFSP signal sequence  $\mathbf{r} = (r_0, r_1, \dots, r_n, \dots, r_{N-1})$  into its corresponding FFSP codeword sequence  $\mathbf{v} = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n, \dots, v_{N-1})$  by a *complex-field to finite-field (C2F)* transform function  $F_{\text{C2F}}$ , i.e.,  $v_n = F_{\text{C2F}}(r_n)$  for  $0 \leq n < N$ . We find the following facts of the CFSP  $r_n$  and FFSP  $v_n$ .

- 1) The value of CFSP  $r_n$  is determined by the number of users who send “+1” and the number of users who send “−1”. Thus, the set of  $r_n$ ’s values in ascendant order is  $\Omega_r = \{-J, -J+2, \dots, J-2, J\}$ , in which the difference between two adjacent values is 2. The total number of  $\Omega_r$  is equal to  $|\Omega_r| = J+1$ .
- 2) The value of  $v_n$  is uniquely determined by the number of  $(1)_2$  bits coming from the  $J$  users. If there are odd number of bits  $(1)_2$  from the  $J$  users, then  $v_n = (1)_2$ ; otherwise,  $v_n = (0)_2$ . The corresponding FFSP set  $\Omega_v$  of  $\Omega_r$  is  $\Omega_v = \{0, 1, 0, 1, \dots\}$ , in which  $(0)_2$  and  $(1)_2$  appear alternatively.
- 3) Let  $C_j^\iota$  denote the number of users which send “+1”. The values of  $\iota$  are from 0 to  $J$ . When  $\iota = 0$ , it indicates that all the  $J$  users send  $(0)_2$ . If  $\iota$  increases by one, the number of  $(1)_2$  bits coming from  $J$  users increases by one accordingly.

Assume that  $v_n = (0)_2$  and  $v_n = (1)_2$  are equally likely, i.e.,  $\Pr(v_n = 0) = \Pr(v_n = 1) = 0.5$ . Then, the probabilities of the elements in  $\Omega_r$  are

$$\mathcal{P}_r = \{C_J^0/2^J, C_J^1/2^J, \dots, C_J^{J-1}/2^J, C_J^J/2^J\}.$$

Based on the relationship between  $r_n$  and  $v_n$ , we can calculate the posterior probabilities  $\Pr(v_n = 0|y_n)$  and  $\Pr(v_n = 1|y_n)$  for decoding  $\mathbf{y}$  [15].

When the generator matrix  $\mathbf{G}_{gc, sym}$  in systematic form is utilized, the decoded FFSP codeword sequence  $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$  can be expressed as  $\hat{\mathbf{v}} = (\hat{\mathbf{w}}, \hat{\mathbf{v}}_{red})$ , where  $\hat{\mathbf{w}}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{red}$  are the detected FFSP sequence and parity-check block, respectively.

After removing the parity-check block  $\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{red}$  from  $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ , the detected FFSP sequence  $\hat{\mathbf{w}}$  can be divided into  $K$  FFSP blocks, and each block consists of  $m$  bits formed an  $m$ -tuple, i.e.,  $\hat{\mathbf{w}} = (\hat{w}_0, \hat{w}_1, \dots, \hat{w}_k, \dots, \hat{w}_{K-1})$ , where  $\hat{w}_k = (\hat{w}_{k,0}, \hat{w}_{k,1}, \dots, \hat{w}_{k,i}, \dots, \hat{w}_{k,m-1})$ , with  $0 \leq k < K$  and  $0 \leq i < m$ . Finally, we separate the detected FFSP block  $\hat{w}_k$  into  $J$  bits as  $\hat{b}_{1,k}, \hat{b}_{2,k}, \dots, \hat{b}_{j,k}, \dots, \hat{b}_{J,k}$  by using an inverse transform function  $F_{q2B}$ . According to (5), we know that the  $j$ -th user is assigned the EP  $C_j = \alpha^{j-1} \cdot C_B$ . Thus, to recover the transmit bit information of the  $j$ -th user, the inverse transform function  $F_{q2B}$  is given as

$$\hat{b}_{j,k} = F_{q2B}(\hat{w}_k) = \hat{w}_{k,j-1}, \quad (19)$$

where  $\hat{w}_{k,j-1}$  is the  $(j-1)$ -th component of  $\hat{w}_k$ .

After recovering the transmit bit information, we can directly obtain the active users’ information from the field-field. For instance, if  $\hat{b}_{j,k} = 0$  for  $0 \leq k < K$ , it can be inferred that the  $j$ -th user is not active. This phenomenon is appealing for the sourced massive RA, since it avoids the necessity of addressing the active user detection issue.

### C. A Diagonal-form-based FFMA System

Recall the *sparse form codeword matrix*  $\mathbf{V}$  given by (16), for the  $1 \times K$  information array  $\mathbf{U}$  of  $\mathbf{V}$ , each entry  $\mathbf{U}_k$  of  $\mathbf{U}$  is an  $m \times m$  matrix, and the  $m$  information bits  $b_{1,k}, b_{2,k}, \dots, b_{j,k}, \dots, b_{m,k}$  are located on the main diagonal of  $\mathbf{U}_k$ , and the other locations are all 0s, where  $0 \leq k < K$  and  $1 \leq j \leq J \leq m$ .

Permuting the columns of the  $1 \times K$  information array  $\mathbf{U}$  of  $\mathbf{V}$  and rearranging the bit sequences, we can obtain an  $m \times m$  information array  $\mathbf{U}_D$ , in which  $\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_j, \dots, \mathbf{b}_m$  are lying on the main diagonal of  $\mathbf{U}_D$  and the other entries are all 0s, given by

$$\mathbf{U}_D = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{1,D} \\ \mathbf{u}_{2,D} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{u}_{m,D} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b}_1 & & & \\ & \mathbf{b}_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \mathbf{b}_m \end{bmatrix}, \quad (20)$$

where  $\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_j, \dots, \mathbf{b}_m$  are  $1 \times K$  vectors, and the subscript “D” stands for “diagonal”. Let  $\mathbf{u}_{j,D}$  denote as a  $1 \times mK$  information sequence of the  $j$ -th user, i.e.,  $\mathbf{u}_{j,D} = (\mathbf{0}, \dots, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{b}_j, \mathbf{0}, \dots, \mathbf{0})$ , in which the  $1 \times K$  bit-sequence of the  $j$ -th user  $\mathbf{b}_j$  is located at the  $(j-1)$ -th entry of  $\mathbf{u}_{j,D}$  and  $\mathbf{0}$  is a  $1 \times K$  zero vector. Note that, if  $J < m$ , the  $(m-J)$  rightmost entries of  $\mathbf{U}_D$  are all zeros, forming an  $(m-J) \times (m-J)K$  zero matrix.

Then, we encode  $\mathbf{u}_{j,D}$  by the generator matrix  $\mathbf{G}_{gc, sym}$  in systemic form, and obtain the codeword  $\mathbf{v}_{j,D}$  of  $\mathbf{u}_{j,D}$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{v}_{j,D} = (\mathbf{u}_{j,D}, \mathbf{v}_{j,red,D})$ , where  $\mathbf{v}_{j,red,D}$  is the parity-check block of  $\mathbf{v}_{j,D}$ , which is a  $(N - mK)$ -tuple. The codewords of  $\mathbf{v}_{1,D}, \mathbf{v}_{2,D}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{J,D}$  together can form a *diagonal form* codeword matrix  $\mathbf{V}_D$ , given as follows:

$$\mathbf{V}_D = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_{1,D} \\ \mathbf{v}_{2,D} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{v}_{m,D} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b}_1 & & & \mathbf{v}_{1,red,D} \\ & \mathbf{b}_2 & & \mathbf{v}_{2,red,D} \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & \mathbf{b}_m & \mathbf{v}_{m,red,D} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (21)$$

consisting of an  $m \times m$  information array  $\mathbf{U}_D$  and an  $m \times 1$  parity-check array  $\mathbf{E}_D$ , where  $\mathbf{E}_D = [\mathbf{v}_{1,red,D}, \mathbf{v}_{2,red,D}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{m,red,D}]^T$ .

From the codeword  $\mathbf{v}_{j,D}$ , it is found that the useful vectors (i.e.,  $\mathbf{b}_j$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{j,red,D}$ ) are only located at the  $(j - 1)$ -th entry of  $\mathbf{u}_{j,D}$  and the parity-check entry  $\mathbf{v}_{j,red,D}$ , and the other entries are all zeros. Thus, for the diagonal-form-based codeword  $\mathbf{v}_{j,D}$ , we can directly modulate and transmit the shorten codeword, defined by  $\mathbf{v}_{j,D,S} = [\mathbf{b}_j, \mathbf{v}_{j,red,D}]$ , instead of  $\mathbf{v}_{j,D}$ , to reduce the transmit power. The subscript ‘‘S’’ indicates ‘‘shorten’’. The codeword length of  $\mathbf{v}_{j,D,S}$  is equal to  $N - (m - 1)K$ . For a short packet transmission, e.g.,  $K$  is an extremely small number, the length of  $\mathbf{v}_{j,D,S}$  is approximately equal to the length of parity-check block  $\mathbf{v}_{j,red,D}$ .

The permuting and rearranging operations do not affect the properties of FFMA system. Hence, the diagonal-form-based FFMA system can be decoded like the aforementioned sparse-form-based FFMA system. For an FFMA system in diagonal form, the bit-sequences of  $J$  users are transmitted in orthogonal mode and the  $J$  parity-check sequences are appended to them. Obviously, the diagonal-form-based FFMA system is appealing for the massive users with short data packet transmission scenario, which can obtain a large coding gain from all the massive users with a low-complexity decoder.

## V. SIMULATION RESULTS

In the following, we show the error performances of different systems over a GMAC, where the horizontal coordinate-axis is set to be signal-to-noise power ratio (SNR). Suppose the frame size (or DoF) is  $N = 6000$ , each user transmits  $K = 10$  bits, and the numbers of total users are  $J = 1, 100$  and  $300$ , respectively.

We construct a binary (6000, 3000) LDPC code  $\mathcal{C}_{gc}$  for the proposed FFMA systems, and decoding is carried out with 50 iterations of the MSA (min-sum algorithm). For the sparse-form-based form FFMA system, we construct an orthogonal UD-EP code  $\Psi_{o,B}$  over  $\text{GF}(2^J)$ . After the cascading of EP encoder and channel encoder, each user will occupy the entire frame (or DoF). For the diagonal-form-based form FFMA system, the frame structure is the same as  $\mathbf{V}_D$  given by (21), consisting of  $J$  *information blocks* and one *parity-check block*.

For the slotted ALOHA scheme, we assume that the number of time slots is equal to the number of total users, and repetition code is used for error control. Hence, the blocklength of each time slot is  $\frac{N}{J}$ , and each bit is repeated  $\frac{N}{JK}$  times.

In fact, the structure of information blocks in the diagonal-form-based FFMA is similarly to the frame structure of slotted




Fig. 2. PUPE performances of different systems over a GMAC. The sparse-form-based and diagonal-form-based FFMA systems are used a binary (6000, 3000) LDPC code  $\mathcal{C}_{gc}$  for error control, and the slotted ALOHA utilizes repetition code for error control, where  $N = 6000$ ,  $K = 10$  bits, and  $J = 1, 100, 300$  for comparisons.

ALOHA. Thus, the numbers of active users of the slotted ALOHA and diagonal-form-based FFMA systems can be achieved by using the algorithm proposed in [7].

From Fig. 2, we see that the PUPE of the system goes down as the number of users increases. For the sparse-form-based FFMA systems, the PUPEs of the  $J = 100$  and  $300$  cases are almost the same. When the PUPE is  $P_b = 10^{-5}$ , the gap between the PUPEs of  $J = 1$  and  $300$  is only 1.5 dB, verifying the efficiency of the proposed FFMA system. Then, we investigate the PUPE between sparse-form-based and diagonal-form-based FFMA systems. Under the same simulation conditions, the diagonal-form-based FFMA provides better PUPE than that of the sparse-form-based FFMA, since the default bits are 0s which are available at the receiving end. However, with the increased number of users, the differences between the sparse-form-based and diagonal-form-based FFMA systems become smaller. When  $J = 300$ , both the sparse-form-based and diagonal-form-based FFMA systems have the same PUPE.

Finally, we compare our proposed FFMA systems with the slotted ALOHA system. When the number of users is small, e.g.,  $J = 1$ , the slotted ALOHA with repetition code can provide much better PUPE performance, since the coding gain is around  $10 \log_{10}(\frac{N}{JK}) \approx 27.78$  dB. However, for a large number of users, e.g.,  $J \geq 100$ , our proposed FFMA systems provide much better PUPE performance. When  $P_b = 10^{-5}$  and  $J = 300$ , the FFMA systems can provide about 6 dB coding gain than the slotted ALOHA system, verifying the proposed FFMA system can improve the PUPE performance for a massive users scenario.

## VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we proposed an FFMA technique to support sourced massive random access, for solving the FBL of multi-user reliability transmission problem. We presented a type of orthogonal UD-EP codes  $\Psi_{o,B}$  constructed over  $\text{GF}(2^m)$ , and introduced their encoding in conjunction with a channel error-control code. Based on the orthogonal UD-EP code  $\Psi_{o,B}$ , we presented both sparse-form-based and diagonal-form-based FFMA systems. Compared to the slotted ALOHA scheme, our proposed FFMA system can support massive users with well-behaved PUPE performance.

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. -X. Wang et al., "On the Road to 6G: Visions, Requirements, Key Technologies, and Testbeds," *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 905-974, Secondquarter 2023.
- [2] P. Fan et al., "Random access for massive Internet of things: current status, challenges and opportunities," *Journal on Communications*, vol. 42, no. 4, pp. 1-21, April 2021.
- [3] Y. Li et al., "Un sourced multiple access for 6G massive machine type communications," *China Communications*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 70-87, March 2022.
- [4] Y. Polyanskiy, "A perspective on massive random-access," *IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory-Proceedings*, 2017, pp. 2523-2527.
- [5] M. Ke, Z. Gao, M. Zhou, D. Zheng, D. W. K. Ng and H. V. Poor, "Next-Generation URLLC With Massive Devices: A Unified Semi-Blind Detection Framework for Sourced and Un sourced Random Access," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 41, no. 7, pp. 2223-2244, July 2023.
- [6] Qi-yue Yu, and Ke-xun Song, "Uniquely Decodable Multi-Amplitude Sequence for Grant-Free Multiple-Access Adder Channels," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless communications*, vol. 22, no. 12, pp. 8999-9012, Dec. 2023.
- [7] X. Shao, X. Chen, D. W. K. Ng, C. Zhong and Z. Zhang, "Cooperative Activity Detection: Sourced and Un sourced Massive Random Access Paradigms," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 68, pp. 6578-6593, 2020.
- [8] P. Agostini, Z. Utkovski, A. Decurninge, M. Guillaud and S. Stańczak, "Constant Weight Codes With Gabor Dictionaries and Bayesian Decoding for Massive Random Access," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 2917-2931, May 2023.
- [9] Z. Liang and J. Zheng, "Mixed Massive Random Access," *IEEE 20th International Conference on Communication Technology (ICCT)*, Nanning, China, 2020, pp. 311-316.
- [10] F. Schoute, "Dynamic Frame Length ALOHA," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 565-568, April 1983.
- [11] X. Chen, T.-Y. Chen and D. Guo, "Capacity of Gaussian many-access channels," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 63, no. 6, pp. 3516-3539, Jun. 2017.
- [12] R. C. Yavas, V. Kostina and M. Effros, "Gaussian Multiple and Random Access Channels: Finite-Block length Analysis," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 67, no. 11, pp. 6983-7009, Nov. 2021.
- [13] S. S. Kowshik and Y. Polyanskiy, "Fundamental Limits of Many-User MAC With Finite Payloads and Fading," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 67, no. 9, pp. 5853-5884, Sept. 2021.
- [14] J. Li, S. Lin, K. Abdel-Ghaffar, W. E. Ryan, and D. J. Costello, "LDPC Code Designs, Constructions, and Unification," Cambridge University Press, 2017.
- [15] Qi-yue Yu, Jiang-xuan Li, and Shu Lin, "Finite Field Multiple Access," <https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.14086v2>.