

Extremal digraphs containing at most t paths of length 2 with the same endpoints

Zejun Huang^{*}, Zhenhua Lyu[†]

Abstract

Given a positive integer t , let $P_{t,2}$ be the digraph consisting of t directed paths of length 2 with the same initial and terminal vertices. In this paper, we study the maximum size of $P_{t+1,2}$ -free digraphs of order n , which is denoted by $ex(n, P_{t+1,2})$. For sufficiently large n , we prove that $ex(n, P_{t+1,2}) = g(n, t)$ when $\lfloor (n-t)/2 \rfloor$ is odd and $ex(n, P_{t+1,2}) \in \{g(n, t) - 1, g(n, t)\}$ when $\lfloor (n-t)/2 \rfloor$ is even, where $g(n, t) = \lceil (n+t)/2 \rceil \lfloor (n-t)/2 \rfloor + tn + 1$.

Key words: digraph, path, Turán number

AMS subject classifications: 05C35, 05C20

1 Introduction and main results

For a digraph D with vertex set V and arc set A , we call $|V|$ its *order* and $|A|$ its *size*. We denote by uv the arc from u to v . A sequence of consecutive arcs $v_1v_2, v_2v_3, \dots, v_{t-1}v_t$ is called a *directed walk* from v_1 to v_t , denoted $v_1v_2v_3 \cdots v_{t-1}v_t$. A *directed path* is a directed walk whose vertices are distinct. A *directed cycle* is a closed directed walk $v_1v_2 \cdots v_tv_1$ with v_1, v_2, \dots, v_t being distinct. A directed walk (or cycle, path) of length k is called a *k -walk* (or *k -cycle*, *k -path*). If two arcs between a pair of vertices have the same direction, we say they are *parallel*. Digraphs in this paper are strict, i.e., they do not allow loops or parallel arcs.

The Turán type problem plays an important role in graph theory. It concerns the largest possible number of edges in graphs without given subgraphs and the extremal graphs achieving that maximum number of edges. The study of these problems can be dated back to 1907, when Mantel [18] characterized triangle-free graphs attaining the maximum size. In [20, 21],

^{*}School of Mathematical Sciences, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen 518060, P.R. China. (mathzejun@gmail.com)

[†]School of Science, Shenyang Aerospace University, Shenyang, 110136, China. (lyuzhh@outlook.com)

Turán initiated this kind of problems by generalizing Mantel’s theorem to graphs containing no complete subgraph of a fixed order. Most results on classical Turán type problems concern undirected graphs and only a few Turán type problems on digraphs have been investigated.

The systematic investigation of extremal problems on digraphs was initiated by Brown and Harary [4]. They determined the precise maximum size and extremal digraphs for digraphs avoiding a complete digraph of a given order. They also studied digraphs avoiding a tournament, a direct sum of two tournaments, or a digraph on at most 4 vertices where every two vertices are joined by at least one arc. Häggkvist and Thomassen [5], Zhou and Li [24] characterized the extremal digraphs avoiding a directed cycle. By using dense matrices, Brown, Erdős and Simonovits [1–3] presented asymptotic results on extremal digraphs avoiding a family of digraphs. Tuite, Erskine and Salia [22] investigated the digraphs with a unique walk of length at most k between any pair of vertices (not necessarily distinct). Extremal digraphs avoiding certain distinct walks or paths with the same initial vertex and terminal vertex were studied in [6–13, 15–17, 19, 23]. Especially, following the direction of Brown and Harary, the authors of [10] studied a Turán problem on an orientation of the 4-cycle. They characterized the extremal digraphs avoiding two directed 2-paths with the same initial vertex and the same terminal vertex. Lyu [14] determined the extremal digraphs avoiding an orientation of the diamond graph.

In this paper, we study the maximum size of digraphs avoiding a given number of 2-paths with the same initial vertex and terminal vertex. Denote by $P_{k,2}$ the digraph that consists of k paths of length 2 with the same initial vertex and terminal vertex; see Figure 1.




Figure 1: $P_{k,2}$

Let $ex(n, P_{k,2})$ be the Turán number of $P_{k,2}$, i.e., the maximum size of $P_{k,2}$ -free digraphs of order n , and let $EX(n, P_{k,2})$ be the set of $P_{k,2}$ -free digraphs attaining the size $ex(n, P_{k,2})$. Our problem can be formulated as follows.

Problem 1. *Given positive integers n and k . Determine $ex(n, P_{k,2})$.*

Notice that when $k = 2$, the problem was solved in [10]. We consider the cases $k \geq 3$ in this paper. Denote by

$$g(n, t) = \left\lceil \frac{n+t}{2} \right\rceil \left\lfloor \frac{n-t}{2} \right\rfloor + tn + 1.$$

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 2. Let n, t be positive integers such that $t \geq 2$ and $n \geq \max\{t^3 + 4t^2 + 3t + 4, 17t^2/2 + 30t + 27\}$.

(i) If $\lfloor (n-t)/2 \rfloor$ is odd, then $ex(n, P_{t+1,2}) = g(n, t)$;

(ii) if $\lfloor (n-t)/2 \rfloor$ is even, then $ex(n, P_{t+1,2}) \in \{g(n, t) - 1, g(n, t)\}$.

2 Proofs

Let $D = (V, A)$ be a digraph with vertex set V and arc set A . For $u, v \in V$, if there is an arc from u to v , then we say v is a *successor* of u , and u is a *predecessor* of v . Given $S, T \subseteq V$, the following notations will be used repeatedly.

$D[S]$: the subgraph of D induced by S ;

$S \Rightarrow T$: uv is an arc for all $u \in S$ and $v \in T$;

$E(S, T)$: the set of arcs from S to T ;

$E(S)$: the set of arcs from S to S ;

$e(S, T)$: the cardinality of $E(S, T)$;

$e(D)$: the size of the digraph D ;

$N^+(u)$: the successor set of a vertex u , which is $\{x \in V(D) | ux \in A\}$;

$N^-(u)$: the predecessor set of a vertex u , which is $\{x \in V(D) | xu \in A\}$;

$N_S^+(u)$: the local successor set of u in S , which is $\{x \in S | ux \in A\}$;

$N_S^-(u)$: the local predecessor set of u in S , which is $\{x \in S | xu \in A\}$;

$N^+(T)$: the union of the successor sets of all vertices from T ;

$N_S^+(T)$: the union of the local successor sets of all vertices from T in S ;

$d^+(u)$: the *outdegree* of u , which is equal to $|N^+(u)|$;

$d^-(u)$: the *indegree* of u , which is equal to $|N^-(u)|$;

$\Delta^+(D)$: the maximum out-degree of D ;

$\Delta^-(D)$: the maximum in-degree of D ;

$\Delta(D)$: the maximum degree of D , i.e., $\Delta(D) = \max\{\Delta^+(D), \Delta^-(D)\}$;

$\tau(u)$: the number of vertices in D which are both successors and predecessors of u , i.e.,

$$\tau(u) = |N^+(u) \cap N^-(u)| = e(N^+(u), \{u\});$$

$\alpha(u)$: the maximum number of local successors in $V \setminus N^+(u)$ of all vertices, i.e.,

$$\alpha(u) = \max_{w \in V} e(\{w\}, V \setminus N^+(u)).$$

Given a vertex $u \in V$, we will also use $V_1(u)$ and $V_2(u)$ to denote $N^+(u)$ and $V \setminus V_1(u)$, respectively. Then

$$\alpha(u) = \max_{w \in V} e(\{w\}, V_2(u)).$$

$V_1(u)$, $V_2(u)$, $\alpha(u)$, $\tau(u)$ and $\Delta(D)$ will be abbreviated as V_1 , V_2 , α , τ and Δ if no confusion arises.

For convenience, we denote by

$$\phi(n, t) = \begin{cases} g(n, t) - 1, & \text{if } \lfloor \frac{n-t}{2} \rfloor \text{ is even;} \\ g(n, t), & \text{if } \lfloor \frac{n-t}{2} \rfloor \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\phi(n, t) \geq \frac{n^2 - t^2}{4} + tn - t. \quad (2.1)$$

Now we present a lower bound on $ex(n, P_{t+1,2})$.

Lemma 3. *Let $t \geq 2$ and $n \geq t + 6$ be integers. Then*

$$ex(n, P_{t+1,2}) \geq \phi(n, t). \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. It is sufficient to construct a $P_{t+1,2}$ -free digraph on n vertices with size $\phi(n, t)$. Let D_1 be a set of digraphs on n vertices whose vertex set can be divided into four parts, say U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4 , with

$$|U_1| = \left\lfloor \frac{n-t}{2} \right\rfloor, |U_2| = \left\lfloor \frac{n-t-1}{2} \right\rfloor, |U_3| = t, |U_4| = 1.$$

Moreover, the arc set of digraphs in D_1 consists of the following arcs:

- (i) $U_1 \Rightarrow U_2 \cup U_3 \cup U_4, U_3 \Rightarrow U_2$;
- (ii) $D[U_3 \cup U_4]$ is a complete digraph on $t + 1$ vertices;
- (iii) each vertex in U_1 has exactly t predecessors from $U_2 \cup U_3$ such that $d_{U_1}^+(u) \leq t$ for all $u \in U_2$ and $d_{U_1}^+(u) \leq 1$ for all $u \in U_3$.

If $\lfloor (n-t)/2 \rfloor$ is odd and $\lfloor (n-t-1)/2 \rfloor$ is even, let D_2 be a set of digraphs whose vertex set can be divided into 6 parts, say $U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4, U_5, U_6$, with

$$|U_1| = \left\lfloor \frac{n-t}{2} \right\rfloor - 1, |U_2| = \left\lfloor \frac{n-t-1}{2} \right\rfloor, |U_3| = t - 2, |U_4| = |U_5| = 1, |U_6| = 2.$$

Moreover, the arc set of digraphs in D_2 consists of the following arcs.

- (i) $U_1 \Rightarrow U_2 \cup U_3 \cup U_6, U_4 \Rightarrow U_2 \cup U_3 \cup U_5 \cup U_6, U_3 \cup U_5 \Rightarrow U_2 \cup U_4, U_6 \Rightarrow U_4$;

- (ii) $D[U_3 \cup U_5 \cup U_6]$ is a complete digraph on $t + 1$ vertices,
- (iii) $D[U_1]$ consists of $\lfloor \lfloor (n - t)/2 \rfloor - 1 \rfloor / 2$ disjoint 2-cycles and $D[U_2]$ consists of $\lfloor (n - t - 1)/2 \rfloor / 2$ disjoint 2-cycles;
- (iv) each vertex in U_1 has exactly t predecessors from U_2 such that each vertex in U_2 has at most t successors from U_1 .

If $\lfloor (n - t)/2 \rfloor$ and $\lfloor (n - t - 1)/2 \rfloor$ are both odd, i.e., $\lfloor (n - t)/2 \rfloor = \lfloor (n - t - 1)/2 \rfloor$, let D_3 be a set of digraphs whose vertex set can be divided into 6 parts, say $U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4, U_5, U_6$, with

$$|U_1| = \left\lfloor \frac{n - t}{2} \right\rfloor - 1, \quad |U_2| = \left\lfloor \frac{n - t}{2} \right\rfloor, \quad |U_3| = t - 1, \quad |U_4| = |U_5| = |U_6| = 1.$$

Moreover, the arc set of digraphs in D_3 consists of the following arcs.

- (i) $U_1 \Rightarrow U_2 \cup U_3 \cup U_5, U_4 \Rightarrow U_2 \cup U_3 \cup U_5 \cup U_6, U_3 \cup U_6 \Rightarrow U_2 \cup U_4$;
- (ii) $D[U_3 \cup U_5 \cup U_6]$ is a complete digraph on $t + 1$ vertices;
- (iii) $D[U_1]$ consists of $\lfloor \lfloor (n - t)/2 \rfloor - 1 \rfloor / 2$ disjoint 2-cycles and $D[U_2]$ is empty;
- (iv) the vertex in U_4 has exactly one predecessor from U_2 and each vertex in U_1 has exactly t predecessors from U_2 such that each vertex in U_2 has at most t successors from $U_1 \cup U_4$.

One may check case by case that all the digraphs in $D_1 \cup D_2 \cup D_3$ are $P_{t+1,2}$ -free. By direct computation, when $\lfloor (n - t)/2 \rfloor$ is odd, each digraph in $D_2 \cup D_3$ contains $\phi(n, t)$ arcs; when $\lfloor (n - t)/2 \rfloor$ is even, each digraph in D_1 contains $\phi(n, t)$ arcs. Hence, we obtain the lower bound $ex(n, P_{t+1,2}) \geq \phi(n, t)$. \square

Next we present some lemmas needed in the proof of Theorem 2.

Lemma 4. *Let $D = (V, A)$ be a $P_{t+1,2}$ -free digraph and let $u \notin S \subset V$. Then*

$$e(W, S) \leq t|S| \quad \text{for all } W \subseteq N^+(u). \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. Suppose $e(W, S) \geq t|S| + 1$. Then there are $t + 1$ vertices in W sharing a common successor in S , which implies that D is not $P_{t+1,2}$ -free, a contradiction. Therefore, we have (2.3). \square

Lemma 5. *Let $D = (V, A)$ be a $P_{t+1,2}$ -free digraph and let $v \in V$. Then each $u \in V_2(v) \setminus \{v\}$ has at most $d^+(v) - \tau(v) + t$ successors from $V_1(v)$.*

Proof. Suppose that there exists a vertex $u \in V_2(v) \setminus \{v\}$ with $d^+(v) - \tau(v) + t + 1$ successors from $V_1(v)$. By the definition of $\tau(v)$, u has at least $t + 1$ distinct successors v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{t+1} from $N_{V_1(v)}^+(u) \cap N_{V_1(v)}^-(v)$. So we have the following $t + 1$ paths of length 2:

$$u \rightarrow v_i \rightarrow v \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, t + 1.$$

Hence, D contains a copy of $P_{t+1,2}$, a contradiction. \square

The following lemma is crucial.

Lemma 6. *Let $t \geq 2$ and $n \geq \max\{t^3 + 4t^2 + 3t + 4, 17t^2/2 + 30t + 27\}$ be integers. Suppose $D \in EX(n, P_{t+1,2})$ with $\Delta^+ \geq \Delta^-$. Then*

(1) *for every vertex v with out-degree Δ , we have $\alpha(v) \leq t$;*

(2) $\Delta = \lceil \frac{n+t}{2} \rceil$;

(3) $\min_{d^+(u)=\Delta} \tau(u) \leq t + 1$.

Proof. Let v be a vertex with out-degree Δ such that $\tau(v) = \min_{d^+(u)=\Delta} \tau(u)$. Denote by

$$\tau = \tau(v), \alpha_1 = \max_{u \in V_1} e(u, V_2), \alpha_2 = \max_{u \in V_2} e(u, V_2), \text{ and } \beta = \max_{u \in V_2 \setminus \{v\}} d^+(u).$$

Then we have $\alpha = \max\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$.

The values of these parameters are entangled with each other so that we can not obtain each of their bounds by one step. We follow a strategy as follows. At first we give a rough bound on Δ . Using it, we get a bound on τ , which can improve the bound on Δ in return. Then we derive upper bounds on α_1 and α_2 , which may be used as new conditions to provide sharper bounds on Δ and τ .

It is obvious that

$$e(D) = e(V_2, V) + e(V_1, V) = \sum_{u \in V_2} d^+(u) + \sum_{u \in V} e(V_1, \{u\}). \quad (2.4)$$

We assert that

$$\tau \leq \Delta - \beta + \alpha_2 + t. \quad (2.5)$$

In fact, if $\beta \leq \alpha_2 + t$, (2.5) holds trivially. If $\beta > \alpha_2 + t$, choose a vertex $u \in V_2 \setminus \{v\}$ with $d^+(u) = \beta$. Then u has at least $\beta - \alpha_2$ successors in V_1 . Applying Lemma 5 we get $\beta - \alpha_2 \leq \Delta - \tau + t$, which leads to (2.5).

Firstly we present lower and upper bounds on Δ . By the definition of τ , we have

$$\tau \leq \Delta. \quad (2.6)$$

Since D is $P_{t+1,2}$ -free, every vertex in $V \setminus \{v\}$ has at most t predecessors from $V_1(v)$. Hence,

$$\sum_{u \in V} e(V_1, \{u\}) \leq t(n-1) + \tau. \quad (2.7)$$

Combining this with (2.1), (2.2), (2.4) and (2.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \Delta(n - \Delta) + t(n - 1) + \tau - \frac{n^2 - t^2}{4} - tn + t \\ &\leq \Delta(n - \Delta) + t(n - 1) + \Delta - \frac{n^2 - t^2}{4} - t(n - 1) \\ &= -\left(\Delta - \frac{n+1}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{(n+1)^2}{4} - \frac{n^2 - t^2}{4}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

It follows that

$$\frac{2n+1+t^2}{4} \geq \left(\Delta - \frac{n+1}{2}\right)^2, \quad (2.9)$$

which implies

$$\frac{n+1 - \sqrt{2n+1+t^2}}{2} \leq \Delta \leq \frac{n+1 + \sqrt{2n+1+t^2}}{2}. \quad (2.10)$$

Recalling the bound on n , we have

$$\frac{2}{5}n + \frac{1}{2} \leq \Delta \leq \frac{3}{5}n + \frac{1}{2}. \quad (2.11)$$

Let $u_0 \in V_2$ be a vertex with α_2 successors in V_2 , i.e., $|N_{V_2}^+(u_0)| = \alpha_2$. By (2.3) and (2.7), we have

$$e(N_{V_2}^+(u_0), V) = e(N_{V_2}^+(u_0), V \setminus \{u_0\}) + e(N_{V_2}^+(u_0), \{u_0\}) \leq t(n-1) + \alpha_2,$$

and

$$e(V_1, V) \leq tn - t + \tau \leq tn - t + \Delta.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} e(D) &= e(V_2 \setminus N_{V_2}^+(u_0), V) + e(N_{V_2}^+(u_0), V) + e(V_1, V) \\ &\leq (n - \Delta - \alpha_2)\Delta + t(n-1) + \alpha_2 + tn - t + \Delta \\ &\leq (n - \Delta - \alpha_2 + 2)\Delta + 2t(n-1) && \text{(since } \alpha_2 \leq \Delta) \\ &= -\left(\Delta - \frac{n+2-\alpha_2}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{(n+2-\alpha_2)^2}{4} + 2t(n-1) \\ &\leq \frac{(n+2-\alpha_2)^2}{4} + 2t(n-1). \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

It follows from (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$\frac{n^2 - t^2}{4} + t(n-1) \leq \frac{(n+2-\alpha_2)^2}{4} + 2t(n-1). \quad (2.13)$$

Solving the above inequality, we get

$$\alpha_2 \leq n + 2 - \sqrt{n^2 - t^2 - 4t(n-1)} \leq 2t + 3. \quad (2.14)$$

Now we show that

$$\beta \geq \Delta - 1. \quad (2.15)$$

Otherwise, if $\beta \leq \Delta - 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} e(D) &= \sum_{u \in V_2 \setminus \{v\}} d^+(u) + d^+(v) + e(V_1, V \setminus \{v\}) + e(V_1, \{v\}) \\ &\leq (n - \Delta - 1)(\Delta - 2) + \Delta + t(n - 1) + \tau \\ &\leq (n - \Delta - 1)(\Delta - 2) + \Delta + t(n - 1) + \Delta \\ &= -\left(\Delta - \frac{n+3}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{n^2 - 2n + 117}{4} + t(n - 1) \\ &< \phi(n, t), \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

a contradiction.

Combining (2.5), (2.14) and (2.15), we get an upper bound on the value of τ :

$$\tau \leq 3t + 4. \quad (2.17)$$

We assert that

$$\beta = \Delta. \quad (2.15a)$$

Suppose $\beta = \Delta - 1$. Using (2.17) and applying the same counting as in (2.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} e(D) &= \sum_{u \in V_2 \setminus \{v\}} d^+(u) + d^+(v) + e(V_1, V \setminus \{v\}) + e(V_1, \{v\}) \\ &\leq (n - \Delta - 1)(\Delta - 1) + \Delta + t(n - 1) + \tau \\ &\leq (n - \Delta - 1)(\Delta - 1) + \Delta + t(n - 1) + 3t + 4 \\ &= -\left(\Delta - \frac{n+1}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{n^2 - 2n + 21}{4} + tn + 2t \\ &< \phi(n, t), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Hence, we get (2.15a).

Next we improve (2.11). Substituting (2.17) into (2.8), we obtain

$$\frac{n-t}{2} - 3 < \Delta < \frac{n+t}{2} + 3. \quad (2.11a)$$

We claim that

$$\alpha_2 \leq t. \quad (2.14a)$$

Recall that $u_0 \in V_2$ is a vertex with α_2 successors from V_2 . By (2.3), we give a new estimate of $e(N_{V_2}^+(u_0), V)$:

$$\begin{aligned} e(N_{V_2}^+(u_0), V) &\leq e(N_{V_2}^+(u_0), V_1) + e(N_{V_2}^+(u_0), V_2) \\ &\leq t\Delta + \alpha_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the same counting as in (2.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} e(D) &= e(V_2 \setminus N_{V_2}^+(u_0), V) + e(N_{V_2}^+(u_0), V) + e(V_1, V) \\ &\leq (n - \Delta - \alpha_2)\Delta + t\Delta + \alpha_2^2 + tn - t + \tau \\ &\leq -\Delta^2 + (n - \alpha_2 + t)\Delta + tn + \alpha_2^2 - t + \tau \\ &= -\left(\Delta - \frac{n+t-\alpha_2}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{(n+t-\alpha_2)^2}{4} + tn + \alpha_2^2 - t + \tau \\ &\leq \frac{(n+t-\alpha_2)^2}{4} + tn + \alpha_2^2 - t + \tau. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $\alpha_2 \geq t + 1$. Since $n \geq 17t^2/2 + 30t + 27$, by (2.14) and (2.17) we have

$$n^2 - (n+t-\alpha_2)^2 \geq 2n - 1 > 4\alpha_2^2 + t^2 + 4\tau,$$

which leads to

$$\frac{n^2 - t^2}{4} + tn - tn > \frac{(n+t-\alpha_2)^2}{4} + tn + \alpha_2^2 - t + \tau,$$

a contradiction. Hence, we get (2.14a).

By (2.14a) and (2.15a), it follows immediately from (2.5) that

$$\tau \leq 2t. \tag{2.17a}$$

Now we consider the precise value of Δ . Since D is $P_{t+1,2}$ -free, we have

$$e(V_2, V) \leq \Delta(n - \Delta), \quad e(V_1) \leq t\Delta, \quad e(V_1, V_2 \setminus \{v\}) \leq t(n - \Delta - 1). \tag{2.18}$$

Let $a = \Delta(n - \Delta) - e(V_2, V)$, $b = t\Delta - e(V_1)$ and $c = t(n - \Delta - 1) - e(V_1, V_2 \setminus \{v\})$. It is clear that $a, b, c \geq 0$. By (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a + b + c &\leq \Delta(n - \Delta) + t(n - 1) + \tau - \phi(n, t) \\ &\leq \Delta(n - \Delta) + t(n - 1) + \tau - \frac{n^2 - t^2}{4} - t(n - 1) \\ &\leq \frac{t^2}{4} + 2t. \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

We assert that

$$\alpha_1 \leq t. \tag{2.20}$$

Otherwise, suppose $u \in V_1$ has $t + 1$ successors from V_2 , say $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{t+1}\}$. By (2.19), we have

$$e(V_2, V) = \Delta(n - \Delta) - a \geq \Delta(n - \Delta) - \frac{t^2}{4} - 2t,$$

which implies

$$e(\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{t+1}\}, V) \geq (t + 1)\Delta - \frac{t^2}{4} - 2t.$$

By (2.11a) and (2.14a), we get

$$e(\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{t+1}\}, V_1) \geq (t + 1)\Delta - \frac{t^2}{4} - 2t - t(t + 1) > t\Delta.$$

It follows that u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{t+1} share a common successor from V_1 , say w . Then D contains $t + 1$ paths of length 2 from u to w , a contradiction.

Let $V' := \{w \in V_1 \mid d_{V_1}^+(w) \geq \Delta - 2t - t^2/4\}$. Since $e(V_1) \leq t\Delta$ and $n > t^3/2 + 9t^2/2 + 5t + 7$, by (2.11a) we have $|V'| \leq t$.

We Claim that

$$e(V, V_1 \setminus V') \geq (n - \Delta + t)(\Delta - |V'|) - \frac{t^3}{4} - \frac{9}{4}t^2 - 2t. \quad (2.21)$$

Let $U = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_i\}$ be the set of vertices in V_2 with out-degrees less than Δ . It is clear that $i \leq a \leq t^2/4 + 2t$. By (2.14a) and (2.18), we have $e(U, V_2) \leq i\alpha_2 \leq it \leq at$ and

$$\begin{aligned} e(U, V) &= e(V_2, V) - e(V_2 \setminus U, V) = \Delta(n - \Delta) - a - e(V_2 \setminus U, V) \\ &\geq \Delta(n - \Delta) - a - \Delta(n - \Delta - i) = i\Delta - a, \end{aligned}$$

which lead to

$$e(U, V_1 \setminus V') = e(U, V) - e(U, V_2) - e(U, V') \geq i\Delta - a - at - i|V'|. \quad (2.22)$$

For each vertex $u \in V_2$ with $d^+(u) = \Delta$, we have

$$d^+(w) \geq \Delta - 2t - t^2/4 \quad \text{for all } w \notin N^+(u). \quad (2.23)$$

Otherwise, suppose $u_1 \notin N^+(u)$ with $d^+(u_1) < \Delta - 2t - t^2/4$. Using the same arguments as for $\tau(v) \leq 2t$, we get $\tau(u) \leq 2t$. Then we count the size of D as

$$\begin{aligned} e(D) &= e(N^+(u), V) + e(V \setminus N^+(u), V) \\ &= e(N^+(u), V \setminus \{u\}) + \tau(u) + \sum_{w \notin N^+(u) \cup \{u_1\}} d^+(w) + d^+(u_1) \\ &< t(n - 1) + 2t + \Delta(n - \Delta) - 2t - \frac{t^2}{4} \\ &\leq \phi(n, t), \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

which contradicts $e(D) \geq \phi(n, t)$. Hence, we have (2.23), which leads to $V_1 \setminus V' \subseteq N^+(u)$ for all $u \in V_2 \setminus U$ and

$$e(V_2 \setminus U, V_1 \setminus V') = (n - \Delta - i)|V_1 \setminus V'|. \quad (2.25)$$

Since $e(V_1) = t\Delta - b$, we have

$$e(V_1, V_1 \setminus V') \geq t|V_1 \setminus V'| - b = t(|V_1| - |V'|) - b. \quad (2.26)$$

Combining (2.22), (2.25), (2.26) and $a + b \leq t^2/4 + 2t$, we obtain (2.21) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} e(V, V_1 \setminus V') &= e(U, V_1 \setminus V') + e(V_2 \setminus U, V_1 \setminus V') + e(V_1, V_1 \setminus V') \\ &\geq i\Delta - at - a - i|V'| + (n - \Delta - i)(|V_1| - |V'|) + t(|V_1| - |V'|) - b \\ &= (n - \Delta + t)(|V_1| - |V'|) - at - (a + b) \\ &\geq (n - \Delta + t)(|V_1| - |V'|) - t(t^2/4 + 2t) - (t^2/4 + 2t) \\ &= (n - \Delta + t)(\Delta - |V'|) - \frac{t^3}{4} - \frac{9}{4}t^2 - 2t. \end{aligned}$$

Since $n > t^3/2 + 9t^2/2 + 7t + 6$, by (2.11a) we have

$$\Delta - |V'| > \frac{t^3}{4} + \frac{9}{4}t^2 + 2t.$$

By the pigeonhole principal, there is a vertex $w \in V_1$ with $d^-(w) = n - \Delta + t$. Since $\Delta^+ \geq \Delta^-$, we have $\Delta \geq n - \Delta + t$, which implies

$$\Delta \geq \left\lceil \frac{n+t}{2} \right\rceil. \quad (2.11b)$$

On the other hand, by (2.17a), we have

$$\begin{aligned} e(D) &= e(V_2, V) + e(V_1, V \setminus \{v\}) + \tau(v) \\ &\leq \Delta(n - \Delta) + t(n - 1) + \tau \\ &\leq \Delta(n - \Delta) + t(n + 1) \\ &= -\left(\Delta - \frac{n}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{n^2}{4} + t(n + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (2.2) and (2.11b), we obtain the exact value of Δ :

$$\Delta = \left\lceil \frac{n+t}{2} \right\rceil. \quad (2.11c)$$

Recalling the definitions of a, b, c , by (2.17a) and (2.11c), we can modify (2.19) to get

$$a + b + c \leq \tau - t \leq t. \quad (2.19a)$$

Finally, we show the last result of this lemma

$$\min_{d^+(u)=\Delta} \tau(u) \leq t + 1. \quad (2.27)$$

To the contrary, we assume

$$\min_{d^+(u)=\Delta} \tau(u) \geq t + 2. \quad (2.28)$$

Then for each vertex u with $d^+(u) = \Delta$, we have $|N^-(u) \cap N^+(u)| \geq t + 2$. Define

$$S := \{u \in V_1 \mid d^+(u) \geq \Delta - t\} \quad \text{and} \quad V_1^* = V_1 \setminus S.$$

Since $\alpha_1 \leq t$, we have

$$d_{V_1}^+(u) = d^+(u) - d_{V_2}^+(u) \geq \Delta - 2t \quad \text{for all } u \in S,$$

which implies $S \subseteq V'$ and $|S| \leq t$.

Define

$$F := \{u \in V_2 \mid d^+(u) = \Delta\}.$$

We claim that

$$F \subseteq N^-(u) \quad \text{for all } u \in V_1^*. \quad (2.29)$$

Otherwise, suppose there exist $u_1 \in V_1^*$ and $u_2 \in F$ such that $u_2 \notin N^-(u_1)$. Then $d^+(u_1) < \Delta - t$. Similarly as in (2.24), by (2.17a), we have

$$\begin{aligned} e(D) &= e(N^+(u_2), V \setminus \{u_2\}) + \tau(u_2) + \sum_{w \notin N^+(u_2) \cup \{u_1\}} d^+(w) + d^+(u_1) \\ &< t(n-1) + \tau(u_2) + \Delta(n-\Delta) - t \\ &\leq tn + \Delta(n-\Delta) \\ &\leq \phi(n, t), \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts $e(D) \geq \phi(n, t)$.

By the definition of a and (2.19a), we obtain

$$|V_2 \setminus F| = |V_2| - |F| \leq a \leq t, \quad (2.30)$$

and hence $|F| \geq n - \Delta - t \geq 2$.

We assert that $v \in N^+(S)$. Otherwise, suppose $v \notin N^+(S)$. Since $\tau(v) \geq t + 2$, v has at least $t + 2$ successors from V_1^* . By (2.29), we can find a $P_{t+1,2}$ in D , a contradiction.

Moreover, by (2.28), each vertex u in $F \setminus \{v\}$ has at least 2 predecessors from V_2 as $e(V_1, u) \leq t$, i.e.,

$$d_{V_2}^-(u) \geq 2 \quad \text{for all } u \in F \setminus \{v\}. \quad (2.31)$$

Let

$$V_2^* = \{u \in F \setminus \{v\} \mid d_{V_1^*}^-(u) = t\}.$$

By the definition of c , there are at most c vertices in F satisfying $d_{V_1}^-(u) < t$. Note that v is the only vertex in F with more than t predecessors in V_1 . By (2.19a), we have

$$|\{u \in F \mid d_{V_1}^-(u) = t\}| \geq |F| - c - 1 \geq |V_2| - a - c - 1 \geq |V_2| - t - 1.$$

Since $\alpha_1 \leq t$ and $|S| \leq t$, we have $N_{V_2}^+(S) \leq t^2$. Recall that $d_{V_1}^-(u) \leq t$ for all $u \in V \setminus \{v\}$. We get

$$|V_2^*| \geq |\{u \in F \mid d_{V_1}^-(u) = t\}| - |N_{V_2}^+(S)| \geq |V_2| - t - 1 - t^2 \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n-t}{2} \right\rfloor - t^2 - t - 1.$$

Let

$$V_3 := N_{V_2}^-(V_2^*) \quad \text{and} \quad V_4 := N_{V_2}^-(V_3).$$

By (2.31), we have $e(V_3, V_2^*) \geq 2|V_2^*|$, which implies $|V_3| \geq 2|V_2^*|/t$, as $\alpha_2 \leq t$. It follows from (2.30) that

$$|F \cap V_3| \geq 2|V_2^*|/t - t.$$

Similarly, we have

$$e(V_4, V_3) \geq e(V_4, V_3 \cap F) \geq 4|V_2^*|/t - 2t,$$

and hence

$$|V_4| \geq 4|V_2^*|/t^2 - 2.$$

Since $\alpha_2 \leq t$, by (2.30), we have $e(V_2 \setminus F, V_2) \leq t^2$, which implies

$$|\{u \in V_2^* \mid d_F^-(u) \geq 2\}| \geq |V_2^*| - t^2.$$

Since (2.31) guarantees that each vertex in $\{u \in V_2^* \mid d_F^-(u) \geq 2\}$ is the terminal vertex of at least two paths of length 2 in $D[V_2]$, there are at least $2|V_2^*| - 2t^2$ paths of length 2 from V_4 to V_2^* . On the other hand, since $\alpha_2 \leq t$ and $|V_2 \setminus F| \leq t$, $D[V_2]$ contains at most $|V_2 \setminus F|\alpha_2^2 \leq t^3$ paths of length 2 with the initial vertices from $V_2 \setminus F$. Hence, the number of 2-paths from F to V_2^* is at least $2|V_2^*| - 2t^2 - t^3 > 0$, since $n \geq t^3 + 4t^2 + 3t + 4$.

Therefore, there exists a path $u_1u_2u_3$ in $D[V_2]$ with $u_1 \in F$ and $u_3 \in V_2^*$. By the definition of V_2^* , u_3 has t predecessors w_1, w_2, \dots, w_t from V_1^* . By (2.29), u_1 is a common predecessor of w_1, w_2, \dots, w_t . Combining with the path $u_1u_2u_3$, we find $t + 1$ paths of length 2 from u_1 to u_3 , a contradiction. Hence, we obtain (2.27). \square

Now we are ready to present the proof of Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let D be a $P_{t+1,2}$ -free digraphs of order n with $ex(n, P_{t+1,2})$ arcs. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\Delta(D) = \Delta^+(D)$. Let $v \in V$ with $d^+(v) = \Delta$ and

$\tau(v) = \min_{d^+(u)=\Delta} \tau(u)$. By Lemma 6, we have $\tau(v) \leq t + 1$ and $\Delta = \lceil (n + t)/2 \rceil$. By Lemma 4, we have $e(V_1, V \setminus \{v\}) \leq t(n - 1)$.

Now we count the size of D as

$$\begin{aligned}
e(D) &= e(V_1, V) + e(V_2, V) \\
&= e(V_1, V \setminus \{v\}) + \tau(v) + e(V_2, V) \\
&\leq t(n - 1) + t + 1 + |V_2|\Delta \\
&= t(n - 1) + t + 1 + \left(n - \left\lceil \frac{n + t}{2} \right\rceil \right) \left\lceil \frac{n + t}{2} \right\rceil \\
&= \left\lceil \frac{n + t}{2} \right\rceil \left\lfloor \frac{n - t}{2} \right\rfloor + tn + 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (2.2), we obtain Theorem 2. This completes the proof. \square

Remark. When $\lfloor (n - t)/2 \rfloor$ is even, there is a gap between the upper bound and the lower bound on $ex(n, P_{t+1,2})$. We are inclined to conjecture that $ex(n, P_{t+1,2}) = g(n, t) - 1$.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.12171323), Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (No. 2022A1515011995).

References

- [1] W.G. Brown, P. Erdős, M. Simonovits, Extremal problems for directed graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 15 (1973) 77-93.
- [2] W.G. Brown, P. Erdős, M. Simonovits, Inverse extremal digraph problems, Finite and infinite sets, Vol. I, II (Eger, 1981), 119-156, Colloq. Math. Soc. Jnos Bolyai, 37, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.
- [3] W.G. Brown, P. Erdős, M. Simonovits, Algorithmic solution of extremal digraph problems, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 292 (1985) 421-449.
- [4] W.G. Brown and F. Harary, Extremal digraphs, Combinatorial theory and its applications, I (Proc. Colloq., Balatonfred, 1969), pp. 135-198. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1970.
- [5] R. Häggkvist, C. Thomassen, On pancyclic digraphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 20 (1976) 20-40.

- [6] K. Howalla, A. N. Dabboucy, R. Tout, On the maximum number of arcs in some classes of graphs, *Časopis Pěst. Mat.* 107(1982) 388-392.
- [7] K. Howalla, A. N. Dabboucy, R. Tout, An extremal problem for some classes of oriented graphs, *Časopis Pěst. Mat.* 108(1983) 53-69.
- [8] Z. Huang, Z. Lyu, 0-1 matrices with zero trace whose squares are 0-1 matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 565 (2019) 156-176.
- [9] Z. Huang, Z. Lyu, 0-1 matrices whose k -th powers have bounded entries, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 68 (2020) 1972-1982.
- [10] Z. Huang, Z. Lyu, Extremal digraphs avoiding an orientation of C_4 , *Discrete Math.* 343 (2020) 111827.
- [11] Z. Huang, Z. Lyu, Extremal digraphs avoiding distinct walks of length 3 with the same endpoints, *Discrete Math.* 345 (2022) 112996.
- [12] Z. Huang, Z. Lyu, P. Qiao, A Turán problem on digraphs avoiding distinct walks of a given length with the same endpoints, *Discrete Math.* 342 (2019) 1703-1717.
- [13] Z. Huang, X. Zhan, Digraphs that have at most one walk of a given length with the same endpoints, *Discrete Math.* 311 (2011) 70-79.
- [14] Z. Lyu, Extremal digraphs avoiding an orientation of the diamond, *Graphs Comb.* 37 (2021) 1373-1383.
- [15] Z. Lyu, Digraphs that contain at most t distinct walks of a given length with the same endpoints, *J. Combin. Optim.* 41 (2021) 762-779.
- [16] Z. Lyu, A note on extremal digraphs containing at most t walks of length k with the same endpoints, *Graphs Combin.* 38 (2022) 33.
- [17] Z. Lyu, Extremal digraphs avoiding distinct walks of length 4 with the same endpoints, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* 42 (2022) 985-1004.
- [18] W. Mantel, Problem 28, *Wiskundige Opgaven* 10 (1907) 60-61.
- [19] S.B. Maurer, I. Rabinovitch, W.T. Trotter, Jr., A generalization of Turán's theorem to directed graphs, *Discrete Math.* 32 (1980) 167-189.
- [20] P. Turán, Eine Extremalaufgabe aus der Graphentheorie. (Hungarian) *Mat. Fiz. Lapok* 48 (1941) 436-452.
- [21] P. Turán, On the theory of graphs, *Colloq. Math.* 3 (1954) 19-30.

- [22] J. Tuite, G. Erskine, N. Salia, Turán problems for k -geodetic digraphs, *Graphs Combin.* 39 (2023) 25.
- [23] H. Wu, On the 0-1 matrices whose squares are 0-1 matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 432 (2010) 2909-2924.
- [24] W. Zhou, B. Li, The Turán number of directed paths and oriented cycles, *Graphs Combin.* 39 (2023) 47.