

Fibre homogenisation for time-dependent problems

Shane Cooper¹, Imane Essadeq², and Marcus Waurick³

¹Department of Mathematics, University College London, 25 Gordon Street, London, WC1H 0AY.

²Mathematical Modeling and Numerics (MoNum), EHTP - Hassania School of Public Works, Km 7 El Jadida Road, Casablanca.

³Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, TU Bergakademie Freiberg, Freiberg, Germany

July 15, 2024

Abstract

In this article we provide a method for establishing operator-type error estimates between solutions to rapidly oscillating evolutionary equations and their homogenised counter parts. This method is exemplified by applications to the wave, heat and finally thermoelastic evolutionary systems.

1 Introduction

This article is concerned with the quantitative homogenisation of evolutionary equations with rapidly oscillating periodic spatial coefficients

$$(\partial_t M(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) + N(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) + A)U_\varepsilon = F,$$

where M and N are bounded and positivity coefficient matrices, the small parameter ε is the spatial period and A is some first order differential operator. The usual, now classical, ‘qualitative’ homogenisation theory states that the solution U_ε converges (in some function space), as ε tends to zero, to U_0 the solution to a evolutionary equations with constant coefficients

$$(\partial_t M_0 + N_0 + A)U_\varepsilon = F.$$

where M_0 and N_0 are the appropriate homogenisation limits of $M(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon})$ and $N(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon})$. The qualitative homogenisation literature needs no introduction as it is now long standing, rich and ubiquitous. That said, for an example of qualitative homogenisation of evolutionary systems, see [7]. Recent developments, in homogenisation theory, have included establishing error estimates between solutions and their homogenised limits in various function spaces; with a priority focused on establishing so-called ‘operator-type’ estimates; that is, estimates

uniform with respect to the right-hand-side is certain natural norms. Such activity has come to be coined ‘quantitative’ homogenisation.

In [2], [10] the so-called spectral method was developed to establish quantitative homogenisation results for differential problems with rapidly oscillating periodic coefficients defined in the whole space. This method relies on utilising the Gelfand transform to replace the differential operator with a family of operators, i.e. to represent the operator as a fibre decomposition, and then performing an asymptotic analysis on each fibre operator that is uniform with respect to the fibre decomposition parameter. In [3], the spectral method was extended to the context of ‘stationary’ evolutionary problems that admitted a fibre decomposition via the Gelfand transform. Here, we developed the spectral method further to cover the case of time-dependent evolutionary problems. The key distinction is that the time-dependent operators admit a two parameter fibre decomposition, a spatial decomposition (due to the Gelfand transform) and a temporal decomposition (due to the Laplace transform); we shall perform an asymptotic analysis of the decomposed operators that is uniform in the both the Gelfand and Laplace transform to arrive at operator-type homogenisation error estimates in temporally-weighted function spaces. We shall apply this method to the quintessential hyperbolic and parabolic systems, i.e. the wave and heat system respectively, then demonstrate the method on a thermo-elastic system of equations, which is an example of a coupled wave-heat system.

Structure of the article

In Section 2, we study the wave equation

$$\partial_t^2 u_\varepsilon - \operatorname{div}(a(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})\nabla u_\varepsilon) = f, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

which is reformulated as the evolutionary wave system

$$\left(\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & a(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon})^{-1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{div}_x \\ -\nabla_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) U^\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)^{1+d}),$$

for $U^\varepsilon(t, x) = (\partial_t u_\varepsilon(t, x), a(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})\nabla u_\varepsilon(t, x))^\top$. By the spectral method, we establish the following estimate

$$\left(\|U_1^\varepsilon - U_1^0\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}^2 + \|U_2^\varepsilon - U_2^0\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d))}^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq C\varepsilon \|\partial_t^2 f\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))},$$

for some constant $C > 0$ independent of ε and f . Here, U_0 is the solution to the homogenised evolutionary wave system

$$\left(\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_0^{-1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{div}_x \\ -\nabla_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) U^0 = \begin{pmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)^{1+d}),$$

for a_0 the homogenised matrix associated to a . As $U^0 = (\partial_t u_0, a_0 \nabla u_0)^\top$, for u_0 the solution to the homogenised wave equation

$$\partial_t^2 u_0 - \operatorname{div}(a_0 \nabla u_0) = f, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

these estimates can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t u_\varepsilon - \partial_t u_0\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} &\leq C\varepsilon \|\partial_t^2 f\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}, \\ \|a(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon})\nabla u_\varepsilon - a_0\nabla u_0\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d))} &\leq C\varepsilon \|\partial_t^2 f\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}. \end{aligned}$$

However, a closer look at our approach shows that we additionally establish a corrector estimate

$$\|a(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon})\nabla u_\varepsilon - a(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon})\nabla(u_0 + \varepsilon N_0(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \cdot \nabla u_0)\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d))} \leq C\varepsilon \|\partial_t^2 f\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))},$$

for some constant $C > 0$ independent of ε and f ; here, N_0 is the classical homogenised corrector.

In Section 3, we study the heat equation

$$\partial_t u_\varepsilon - \operatorname{div}_x(b(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})\nabla_x u_\varepsilon) = f, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

which is reformulated as the evolutionary heat system

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} \partial_t & 0 \\ 0 & b^{-1}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{div}_x \\ -\nabla_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) U^\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

for $U^\varepsilon(t, x) = (u_\varepsilon(t, x), b(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})\nabla u_\varepsilon(t, x))^\top$. We establish the following estimate

$$\left(\|U_1^\varepsilon - U_1^0\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}^2 + \|U_2^\varepsilon - U_2^0\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d))}^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq C\varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))},$$

for U_0 the solution to the homogenised evolutionary wave system

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} \partial_t & 0 \\ 0 & b_0^{-1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{div}_x \\ -\nabla_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) U^0 = \begin{pmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

for b_0 the homogenised matrix associated to b . Again, as $U^0 = (u_0, b_0\nabla u_0)^\top$, these estimates can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t^{1/2} u_\varepsilon - \partial_t^{1/2} u_0\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} &\leq C\varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}, \\ \|b(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon})\nabla u_\varepsilon - b_0\nabla u_0\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d))} &\leq C\varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}, \end{aligned}$$

for u_0 the solution to the homogenised heat equation

$$\partial_t u_0 - \operatorname{div}(b_0\nabla u_0) = f, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where b_0 is the homogenised matrix (associated to a). As in Section 2, we additionally have the corrector estimate

$$\|b(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon})\nabla u_\varepsilon - b(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon})\nabla(u_0 + \varepsilon N_0(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \cdot \nabla u_0)\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d))} \leq C\varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}.$$

Finally, in Section 4, we study a coupled wave-heat type equation; namely the thermo-elastic system of equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u_\varepsilon - \operatorname{div}_x (a(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \nabla_x u_\varepsilon) + \gamma(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) v_\varepsilon = f, & t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ \partial_t v_\varepsilon - \operatorname{div}_x (b(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \nabla_x v_\varepsilon) - \overline{\gamma}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \partial_t u_\varepsilon = g, & t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases}$$

Here, we rewrite the thermo-elastic system as the following evolutionary system

$$(\partial_t M_\varepsilon + N_\varepsilon + A)U^\varepsilon = F, \quad (1.1)$$

where $U^\varepsilon = (\partial_t u_\varepsilon, a(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \nabla_x u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon, b(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \nabla_x v_\varepsilon)^\top$, $F = (f, 0, g, 0)^\top$,

$$M_\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad N_\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \gamma(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\overline{\gamma}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & b^{-1}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and}$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{div}_x & 0 & 0 \\ -\nabla_x & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\operatorname{div}_x \\ 0 & 0 & -\nabla_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Utilising the results of Section 2 and 3, we establish evolutionary system homogenisation estimates and corrector estimates, which we combine as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \partial_t u_\varepsilon - \partial_t u_0 \|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} + \| \partial_t^{1/2} v_\varepsilon - \partial_t^{1/2} v_0 \|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \\ & + \| a(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \nabla u_\varepsilon - a(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \nabla (u_0 + \varepsilon N_0(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \cdot \nabla u_0) \|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d))} \\ & + \| b(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \nabla v_\varepsilon - b(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \nabla (v_0 + \varepsilon N_0(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \cdot \nabla v_0) \|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d))} + \| a(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \nabla u_\varepsilon - a_0 \nabla u_0 \|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d))} \\ & + \| b(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \nabla v_\varepsilon - b_0 \nabla v_0 \|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d))} \leq C\varepsilon (\| \partial_t^2 f \|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} + \| \partial_t g \|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}), \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C > 0$ independent of ε and f , and u_0, v_0 solving the coupled homogenised thermo-elastic system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u_0 - \operatorname{div}_x a_0 \nabla_x u_0 + \langle \gamma \rangle v_0 = f, & t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ \partial_t v_0 - \operatorname{div}_x b_0 \nabla_x v_0 - \overline{\langle \gamma \rangle} \partial_t u_0 = g, & t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases}$$

for $\langle h \rangle$ denoting the integral of a periodic function h over its period.

Notation

For a given Hilbert space H with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H$ and norm $\| \cdot \|_H := \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H^{1/2}$, we denote: $L(H)$ to be the space of bounded linear operators in H ,

$$L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; H) := \{ f \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}; H); \int_{\mathbb{R}} \| f(t) \|_H^2 \exp(-2\nu t) dt < \infty \}, \quad \nu > 0,$$

and $H_\nu^1(\mathbb{R}; H)$ is the space of H -valued, weakly differentiable $L_{2,\nu}(\mathbb{R}; H)$ -functions with distributional derivative representable as an $L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; H)$ -function. $L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; H)$ and $H_\nu^1(\mathbb{R}; H)$ are Hilbert spaces with the standard inner products. For a given function space X , the X_{per} denotes the space of periodic functions that locally belong to X , for example: $W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}(\square) := \{f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d) : f \text{ is periodic with periodicity cell } \square\}$.

Throughout \lesssim denotes less than or equal to up to some constant that is independent of the underlying parameters.

2 Wave equation

Consider the heterogeneous wave equation with rapidly oscillating coefficients:

$$\partial_t^2 u_\varepsilon - \operatorname{div}_x(a(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})\nabla_x u_\varepsilon) = f, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a fixed parameter (the small period), a is a periodic function with periodicity cell $\square := [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^d$, $f \in L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ is given for some $\nu > 0$ and u_ε is the unknown; div and ∇ are the usual divergence and gradient differential operators (with respect to the spatial variable).

We shall determine the leading-order asymptotics of u^ε by using an appropriate modification, given in [3], of the so-called spectral method (c.f. [10, 2]) to ‘evolutionary equations’ of Picard, see [4] for the seminal research article and [6] for an (easily) accessible monograph on the subject matter.

We begin by following [6, pp 92] and noting that if u_ε satisfies (2.1) then the vector $U^\varepsilon(t, x) = (\partial_t u_\varepsilon(t, x), a(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})\nabla u_\varepsilon(t, x))^\top$ solves the evolutionary wave system

$$\left(\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & a(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})^{-1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{div}_x \\ -\nabla_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) U^\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)^{1+d}). \quad (2.2)$$

Conversely, if U^ε solves (2.2) then $u_\varepsilon := \partial_t^{-1} U_1^\varepsilon \in H_\nu^1(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ solves the wave equation (2.1) in a distributional sense.

Considering solutions of (2.2), in the Bochner space $L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$, for $\nu > 0$, with time t being the Bochner variable, we apply Picard’s theorem as in [6, Theorem 6.2.6] and obtain the following result.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\nu > 0$, $f \in L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Assume $a \in L^\infty(\square; L(\mathbb{C}^d))$ with $a = a^*$ pointwise a.e. and that there exists $\kappa > 0$ such that*

$$a(y)\xi \cdot \bar{\xi} \geq \kappa|\xi|^2, \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, y \in \square)$$

Then there exists a unique solution $U^\varepsilon \in L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))^{1+d}$ of (2.2). If, in addition, $f \in H_\nu^1(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$, then

$$U^\varepsilon \in H_\nu^1(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))^{d+1} \cap L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; \operatorname{dom} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{div} \\ -\nabla & 0 \end{pmatrix}).$$

Remark 2.2. It is clear that (cf. [6, Proposition 6.2.3 (b)]), $a \in L^\infty(\square; L(\mathbb{C}^d))$ with

$$\operatorname{Re} a(y)\xi \cdot \bar{\xi} \geq \kappa|\xi|^2, \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, y \in \square)$$

for some $\kappa > 0$ is equivalent to

$$a^{-1} \in L^\infty(\square; L(\mathbb{C}^d)) \text{ and } \exists \kappa > 0 \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, y \in \square: \operatorname{Re} a^{-1}(y)\xi \cdot \bar{\xi} \geq \kappa|\xi|^2. \quad (2.3)$$

To apply the spectral method for determining the quantitative asymptotics of U^ε we apply a series of invertible transformations. First, an application of the (bilateral) Laplace transform

$$\mathcal{L}_\nu : L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^d)) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^d))$$

given as the (unitary) continuous extension of the operator defined by

$$(\mathcal{L}_\nu \phi)(k) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(t) e^{-\lambda t} dt, \quad \phi \in C_c(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^d)), \lambda = \nu + ik, k \in \mathbb{R},$$

and the fact (cf. [6, Theorem 5.2.3]) that

$$(\mathcal{L}_\nu \partial_t g)(k) = \lambda (\mathcal{L}_\nu g)(k) \quad (g \in H^1_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)^{d+1}), \lambda = \nu + ik, k \in \mathbb{R}) \quad (2.4)$$

determines that $U_\lambda^\varepsilon := \mathcal{L}_\nu U^\varepsilon(k)$, $\lambda = \nu + ik$, solves

$$\left(\lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & a(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon})^{-1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{div} \\ -\nabla & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) U_\lambda^\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_\nu f(k) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda = \nu + ik, k \in \mathbb{R}, \varepsilon > 0.$$

Then, upon an application of the (spatial) re-scaling

$$\Gamma_\varepsilon : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^d),$$

given by the action $\Gamma_\varepsilon u(x) := u(\varepsilon x)$, and using the fact that

$$\nabla \Gamma_\varepsilon = \varepsilon \Gamma_\varepsilon \nabla, \quad (2.5)$$

we find that $W_\lambda^\varepsilon := \Gamma_\varepsilon U_\lambda^\varepsilon$ solves

$$\left(\lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} + \varepsilon^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{div} \\ -\nabla & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) W_\lambda^\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_\varepsilon \mathcal{L}_\nu f(k) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda = \nu + ik, k \in \mathbb{R}, \varepsilon > 0.$$

Finally, upon an application of the Gelfand transform

$$\mathcal{G} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\square^* \times \square; \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^d), \quad \square^* := [-\pi, \pi]^d,$$

given as the continuous extension of

$$(\mathcal{G}\phi)(\theta, y) := (2\pi)^{-d/2} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \phi(y+z) e^{-i\theta \cdot (y+z)}, \quad \phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^d),$$

with its inverse given by

$$(\mathcal{G}^{-1}\Phi)(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int_{\square^*} \Phi(\theta, x) e^{i\theta \cdot x} d\theta \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^d),$$

it follows from the properties

$$\mathcal{G}\nabla = (\nabla_y + i\theta)\mathcal{G}; \tag{2.6}$$

$$\mathcal{G}p = p\mathcal{G}, \text{ where } p \text{ is multiplication by a periodic function.} \tag{2.7}$$

that $U_{\lambda, \theta}^\varepsilon := (\mathcal{G}W_\lambda^\varepsilon)(\theta, \cdot)$ is the \square -periodic solution to

$$\left(\lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} + \varepsilon^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(\operatorname{div} + i\theta \cdot) \\ -(\nabla + i\theta) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) U_{\lambda, \theta}^\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} F \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.8}$$

for $F := (\mathcal{G}\Gamma_\varepsilon \mathcal{L}_\nu f(k))(\theta, \cdot) \in L^2_{\text{per}}(\square; \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^d)$.¹ Here $i\theta$ and $i\theta \cdot$ are understood as (constant) multiplication operators.

To identify the ε -leading-order asymptotics for $U_{\lambda, \theta}^\varepsilon$, with error estimates that are uniform in θ, λ and f , we build upon the method of [3], in Section 2.1. For this, we introduce N_0 , the so-called *homogenised corrector*, whose j -th component is the unique solution $N_0^j \in H^1_{\text{per}, 0}(\square) := \{p \in H^1_{\text{per}}(\square) \mid \langle p, 1 \rangle_{L^2(\square)} = 0\}$ to

$$\langle a\nabla N_0^j, \nabla \phi \rangle_{L^2(\square)} = -\langle ae_j, \nabla \phi \rangle_{L^2(\square)}, \quad (\phi \in H^1_{\text{per}}(\square)), \tag{2.9}$$

for e_j the j -th Euclidean basis vector. Moreover, let the matrix a_0 be the *homogenised matrix corresponding to a* , that is, a_0 is given by

$$(a_0)_{jk} = \langle a(e_j + \nabla N_0^j), e_k \rangle_{L^2(\square)}.$$

The main result for the wave equation reads as follows.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\varepsilon > 0, \theta \in \square^*, \lambda = \nu + ik, k \in \mathbb{R}$, and $F \in L^2_{\text{per}}(\square; \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^d)$. Consider the solution $U_{\lambda, \theta}^\varepsilon$ to (2.8) and*

$$W_{\lambda, \xi} = c_0(\lambda, \xi) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ a(I + \nabla N_0) i\xi \end{pmatrix}, \quad c_0(\lambda, \xi) := (\lambda^2 + a_0 \xi \cdot \xi)^{-1} \langle F, 1 \rangle_{L^2(\square)}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{2.10}$$

Then, there exists $C > 0$ uniformly in $\varepsilon, \lambda, \theta$ and F such that

$$\|U_{\lambda, \theta}^\varepsilon - W_{\lambda, \theta/\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\square; \mathbb{C}^{d+1})} \leq C\varepsilon |\lambda|^2 \|F\|_{L^2(\square)}. \tag{2.11}$$

¹Whilst F formally depends on ε, λ and θ , the right-hand-side will be fixed throughout and for this reason we drop explicit reference to this dependence.

This theorem allows us to invert the transformations, applied above, to find error estimates between the solutions to inhomogeneous and homogenised equations. Indeed, by the unitary properties of \mathcal{L}_ν , \mathcal{G} , the fact Γ^ε is a change of variables, (2.4) and (2.11) implies

$$\|U^\varepsilon - W^\varepsilon\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\square; \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^d))} \leq C\varepsilon \|\partial_t^2 f\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \quad (2.12)$$

for the same C as in (2.11) and $W^\varepsilon := \mathcal{L}_\nu^{-1} \Gamma_\varepsilon^{-1} \mathcal{G}^{-1} W_{\lambda, \theta/\varepsilon}$. Now by (2.4), it is clear that

$$W_1^\varepsilon = \mathcal{L}_\nu^{-1} \Gamma_\varepsilon^{-1} \mathcal{G}^{-1} (\lambda c_0(\lambda, \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon})) = \partial_t \mathbf{W} \quad \text{where } \mathbf{W} := \mathcal{L}_\nu^{-1} \Gamma_\varepsilon^{-1} \mathcal{G}^{-1} c_0.$$

We emphasise that Γ_ε and \mathcal{G} are transformations with respect to the *spatial* variables, whereas \mathcal{L}_ν acts on the *temporal* variable and \mathcal{L}_ν^{-1} on the *frequency* variable $\lambda = \nu + k$, $k \in \mathbb{R}$. Let us determine

$$W_2^\varepsilon = \mathcal{L}_\nu^{-1} \Gamma_\varepsilon^{-1} \mathcal{G}^{-1} \left(a(y) (I + \nabla N_0(y)) i_{\frac{\theta}{\varepsilon}} c_0(\lambda, \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon}) \right)$$

in terms of \mathbf{W} ; by (2.7), (2.6) and the fact that $\nabla_y c_0 = 0$ one has

$$\mathcal{G}^{-1} \left(a(y) (I + \nabla N_0(y)) i_{\frac{\theta}{\varepsilon}} c_0(\lambda, \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon}) \right) = a(I + \nabla N_0) \varepsilon^{-1} \nabla \mathcal{G}^{-1} c_0;$$

and then, by (2.5), we get

$$\Gamma_\varepsilon^{-1} (a(I + \nabla N_0) \varepsilon^{-1} \nabla \mathcal{G}^{-1} c_0) = a(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) (I + \nabla N_0(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon})) \nabla (\Gamma_\varepsilon^{-1} \mathcal{G}^{-1} c_0).$$

That is,

$$W_2^\varepsilon = a(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) (I + \nabla N_0(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})) \nabla \mathbf{W}.$$

Therefore, $w^\varepsilon = (\partial_t \mathbf{W}, a(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) (I + \nabla N_0(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})) \nabla \mathbf{W})^\top$ and in the spirit of homogenisation theory, it is instructive to determine the equation that \mathbf{W} solves. For this, we shall use the following known (and readily verifiable) relations between the Gelfand transform, \mathcal{G} , and Fourier transform F :

$$F h = \langle \mathcal{G} h, 1 \rangle_{L^2(\square)}, \quad (2.13)$$

and

$$\text{for } g \in L^2(\square^*), \text{ i.e. independent of } y, \text{ then } \mathcal{G}^{-1} g = F^{-1}(\chi g), \quad (2.14)$$

where χ is the characteristic function of \square^* . With these properties, we revisit (2.10) to establish the following:

As c_0 satisfies

$$\lambda^2 c_0(\lambda, \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon}) + a_0 \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} c_0(\lambda, \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon}) = \int_{\square} F(\theta, y) dy, \quad F = \mathcal{G} \Gamma_\varepsilon \mathcal{L}_\nu f,$$

then $\mathbf{W} = \mathcal{L}_\nu^{-1} \Gamma_\varepsilon^{-1} \mathcal{G}^{-1} c_0$ satisfies

$$\partial_t^2 \mathbf{W} - \text{div } a_0 \nabla \mathbf{W} = \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon f, \quad \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon := F^{-1} \chi \Gamma_\varepsilon^{-1} F \Gamma_\varepsilon \quad (2.15)$$

Indeed, the left-hand-side used (2.4), (2.6) with $\nabla_y c_0 = 0$ and (2.5); the right-hand-side used (2.13) and then (2.14).

Remark 2.4. Direct calculation shows $\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon = F^{-1}(\Gamma_\varepsilon \chi) F$; that is \mathcal{P}_ε is a self-adjoint smoothing operator, given by truncating a function to the region $\varepsilon^{-1}\square^* = [\varepsilon^{-1}\pi, \varepsilon^{-1}\pi]^d$ in Fourier space, that also appears in error estimates in homogenisation theory in the work [2].

As (2.15) is a constant coefficient equation then

$$\mathbf{W} = \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon u_0,$$

where u_0 solves

$$\partial_t^2 u_0 - \operatorname{div} a_0 \nabla u_0 = f. \quad (2.16)$$

That is $W^\varepsilon = (\partial_t \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon u_0, a(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})(I + \nabla N_0(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})) \nabla \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon u_0)^\top$ and (2.12) reads

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\|U_1^\varepsilon - \partial_t \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon u_0\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\square))}^2 + \|U_2^\varepsilon - a(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})(I + \nabla N_0(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})) \nabla \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon u_0\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\square; \mathbb{C}^d))}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq C\varepsilon \|\partial_t^2 f\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

It is desirable to remove the smoothing operator \mathcal{P}_ε in the inequality (2.17) and arrive at estimates between $U^\varepsilon = (\partial_t u_\varepsilon, a(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \nabla u_\varepsilon)^\top$ and $U^0 = (\partial_t u_0, a_0 \nabla u_0)^\top$ the solution to the homogenised evolutionary wave equation

$$\left(\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_0^{-1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{div}_x \\ -\nabla_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) U^0 = \begin{pmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (2.18)$$

For this, we rely on the properties of \mathcal{P}_ε , the properties of the corrector N_0 and the following standard regularity results for u_0 :

$$\|u_0\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; H^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} + \|\partial_t^2 u_0\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} + \|\nabla_x \partial_t u_0\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; H^1(\square; \mathbb{C}^d))} \lesssim \|\partial_t^2 f\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\square; \mathbb{C}^d))} \quad (2.19)$$

Indeed, the following result, proved in Section 2.2, holds:

Theorem 2.5. *Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and $f \in H_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$, and suppose (2.3) holds. Let u_ε solve (2.1), $U^\varepsilon = (\partial_t u_\varepsilon, a(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \nabla u_\varepsilon)^\top$ solve (2.2), u_0 solve (2.16) and $U^0 = (\partial_t u_0, a_0 \nabla u_0)^\top$ solve (2.18). Then, the following inequalities hold:*

$$\|\partial_t u_\varepsilon - \partial_t u_0\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leq C\varepsilon \|\partial_t^2 f\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}, \quad (2.20)$$

$$\|a(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \nabla u_\varepsilon - a(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \nabla (u_0 + \varepsilon N_0(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \cdot \nabla u_0)\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d))} \leq C\varepsilon \|\partial_t^2 f\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}, \quad (2.21)$$

$$\|a(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \nabla u_\varepsilon - a_0 \nabla u_0\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d))} \leq C\varepsilon \|\partial_t^2 f\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}, \quad (2.22)$$

for some $C > 0$ independent of ε and f .

Notice that, since ∂_t is boundedly invertible in $L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}^d; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ for $\nu > 0$, (2.20) implies an error estimate for the homogenisation of the wave equation. In turn, the uniform positivity of $a(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon})$ along with (2.21) imply the so-called corrector estimate. The estimates (2.20) and (2.22) provide an error estimate for the homogenisation of the evolutionary wave system. That is, the following inequalities hold:

Corollary 2.6. *Under the assumptions in Theorem 2.5, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\varepsilon - u_0\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} &\leq C\varepsilon \|\partial_t f\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \\ \|u_\varepsilon - (u_0 + \varepsilon N_0(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \cdot \nabla u_0)\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; H^1(\mathbb{R}^d))} &\leq C\varepsilon \|\partial_t^2 f\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \\ \left(\|U_1^\varepsilon - U_1^0\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}^2 + \|U_2^\varepsilon - U_2^0\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d))}^2 \right)^{1/2} &\leq C\varepsilon \|\partial_t^2 f\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}. \end{aligned}$$

2.1 Proof of Theorem 2.3

The main step is to identify a reducing subspace E_θ of (the closed linear skew-self-adjoint operator in $L^2(\square; \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^d)$) $A_\theta := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(\operatorname{div} + i\theta \cdot) \\ -(\nabla + i\theta) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ that has the additional property that A_θ restricted to the complement of E_θ is uniformly invertible. In this example, it is easy to determine such an E_θ . Indeed, one readily has the orthogonal decompositions:

$$L^2(\square) = \mathbb{C} \oplus \{f \in L^2(\square) \mid \langle f, 1 \rangle_{L^2(\square)} = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad L^2(\square; \mathbb{C}^d) = L^2_{\text{pot}, \theta}(\square) \oplus L^2_{\text{sol}, \theta}(\square) \quad (2.23)$$

where

$$L^2_{\text{pot}, \theta}(\square) := \begin{cases} \{(\nabla + i\theta)p \mid p \in H^1_{\text{per}}(\square)\} & \theta \neq 0, \\ \{c + \nabla p \mid c \in \mathbb{C}^d \text{ and } p \in H^1_{\text{per}}(\square), \langle p, 1 \rangle_{L^2(\square)} = 0\} & \theta = 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$L^2_{\text{sol}, \theta}(\square) := \begin{cases} \{s \in L^2(\square; \mathbb{C}^d) \mid \operatorname{div} s + i\theta \cdot s = 0 \text{ in } (H^1_{\text{per}}(\square))^*\} & \theta \neq 0, \\ \{s \in L^2(\square; \mathbb{C}^d) \mid \operatorname{div} s = 0 \text{ in } (H^1_{\text{per}}(\square))^* \text{ and } \langle s, 1 \rangle_{L^2(\square)} = 0\} & \theta = 0. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, it is clear from (2.23)₁ that

$$L^2_{\text{pot}, \theta}(\square) \subseteq \{\xi + (\nabla + i\theta)p \mid \xi \in \mathbb{C}^d, p \in H^1_{\text{per}, 0}(\square)\}, \quad (2.24)$$

where

$$H^1_{\text{per}, 0}(\square) := \{p \in H^1_{\text{per}}(\square) \mid \langle p, 1 \rangle_{L^2(\square)} = 0\}.$$

This identification along with another application of (2.23) gives the following orthogonal decomposition:

$$L^2(\square; \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^d) = E_\theta \oplus E_\theta^\perp, \quad \theta \in \square^*, \quad (2.25)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E_\theta &:= \{c + (0, s)^\top \mid c \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^d, s \in L^2_{\text{sol}, \theta}(\square)\}; \\ E_\theta^\perp &:= \{(p_1, (\nabla + i\theta)p_2)^\top \mid p_1 \in L^2(\square), p_2 \in H^1_{\text{per}}(\square), \langle p_i, 1 \rangle_{L^2(\square)} = 0, i \in \{1, 2\}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

Remark 2.7. Notice that for $P_\theta : L^2(\square; \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^d) \rightarrow E_\theta$ the orthogonal projection, one has $P_\theta(u_1, u_2)^\top = (P_1 u_1, P_2 u_2)^\top$ where $P_1 : L^2(\square) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $P_2 : L^2(\square; \mathbb{C}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^d \oplus L^2_{\text{sol}, \theta}(\square)$ are the orthogonal projections. Observe that P_1 is independent of θ .

The following result was proved in [3, Section 3]:

Proposition 2.8. *The closed subspace E_θ reduces A_θ ; namely,*

$$P_\theta A_\theta \subseteq A_\theta P_\theta.$$

Moreover, $A_\theta P_\theta^\perp$ is uniformly invertible; i.e.,

$$\sup_{\theta \in \square^*} \|(A_\theta P_\theta^\perp)^{-1}\|_{L(E_\theta^\perp)} < \infty \quad (2.27)$$

Equipped with the above diagonalisation of A_θ we can readily provide our first approximation for $U_{\lambda,\theta}^\varepsilon$.

Proposition 2.9. *Consider $U_{\lambda,\theta}^\varepsilon$ the solution to (2.8) and $V_{\lambda,\theta}^\varepsilon \in E_\theta$ the solution to*

$$\left(\lambda P_\theta \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} + \varepsilon^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(\operatorname{div} + i\theta \cdot) \\ -(\nabla + i\theta) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) V_{\lambda,\theta}^\varepsilon = P_\theta \begin{pmatrix} F \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.28)$$

Then, the following estimate holds: there exists $C > 0$ independent of $\varepsilon, \lambda, \theta$ and F such that

$$\|U_{\lambda,\theta}^\varepsilon - V_{\lambda,\theta}^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\square; \mathbb{C}^{d+1})} \leq C\varepsilon|\lambda|^2 \|F\|_{L^2(\square)}. \quad (2.29)$$

Proof. Let us drop super and subscripts and $\|\cdot\|$ shall denote the appropriate L^2 norm. Since A_θ and P_θ commute (cf. Proposition 2.8), then it is known (see for example [1, Chapter 3, Section 6]) that $A_\theta = A_\theta^{(1)} + A_\theta^{(2)}$, for the linear operators $A_\theta^{(1)} := A_\theta P_\theta$ and $A_\theta^{(2)} := A_\theta P_\theta^\perp$. Consequently, it follows from (2.8) that

$$(\lambda P_\theta M + \varepsilon^{-1} A_\theta^{(1)}) U^{(1)} = P_\theta (F, 0)^\top - P_\theta \lambda M U^{(2)}, \quad (2.30)$$

$$(\lambda P_\theta^\perp M + \varepsilon^{-1} A_\theta^{(2)}) U^{(2)} = P_\theta^\perp (F, 0)^\top - P_\theta^\perp \lambda M U^{(1)}, \quad (2.31)$$

where $M = \operatorname{diag}(1, a^{-1})$ and $U = U^{(1)} + U^{(2)}$ is decomposed according to $I = P_\theta + P_\theta^\perp$. Now, from (2.28) and (2.30) (see Remark 2.7) we find

$$(\lambda P_\theta M + \varepsilon^{-1} A_\theta^{(1)})(U^{(1)} - V) = -P_\theta \lambda M U^{(2)} = P_\theta (0, -\lambda a^{-1} U_2^{(2)})^\top.$$

By noting that $U^{(1)} - V \in E_\theta$ and $A_\theta^{(1)}$ is skew-adjoint, one arrives at

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \langle \lambda M (U^{(1)} - V), U^{(1)} - V \rangle \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left(\langle \lambda P_\theta M (U^{(1)} - V), U^{(1)} - V \rangle + \varepsilon^{-1} \langle A_\theta^{(1)} (U^{(1)} - V), U^{(1)} - V \rangle \right) \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \langle P_\theta (0, -\lambda a^{-1} U_2^{(2)})^\top, U^{(1)} - V \rangle \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \langle (0, -\lambda a^{-1} U_2^{(2)})^\top, U^{(1)} - V \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which along with the positivity of the real part of $M = \text{diag}(1, a^{-1})$ and the boundedness of a^{-1} (see (2.3)) implies

$$\|U^{(1)} - V\| \lesssim \|P_\theta(0, -\lambda a^{-1}U_2^{(2)})^\top\| \lesssim |\lambda| \|U_2^{(2)}\|.$$

To prove (2.29) it remains to show

$$\|U^{(2)}\| \lesssim \varepsilon |\lambda| \|F\|. \quad (2.32)$$

From (2.31) one has $U^{(2)} = \varepsilon(A_\theta^{(2)})^{-1}(P_\theta^\perp(F, 0)^\top - P_\theta^\perp \lambda M U)$ which along with (2.27) and the bound $\|U\| \lesssim \|F\|$ (which readily follows from considering the real part of (2.8), also cf. (2.3)) implies (2.32). The proof is complete. \square

The next step in determining the leading-order asymptotics for $U_{\lambda, \theta}^\varepsilon$ is to simplify the θ -dependence of $V_{\lambda, \theta}^\varepsilon$ further. For this, we shall utilise the following alternative representation.

Proposition 2.10. *The solution $V_{\lambda, \theta}^\varepsilon$ to (2.28) has the form*

$$V_{\lambda, \theta}^\varepsilon = c \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ a(I + \nabla N_\theta + \mathbf{i}\theta \otimes N_\theta) \frac{\mathbf{i}\theta}{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix}, \quad c = (\lambda^2 + a_\theta \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon})^{-1} \langle F, 1 \rangle_{L^2(\square)}, \quad (2.33)$$

where a_θ is the constant coefficient $d \times d$ -matrix given by

$$(a_\theta)_{pq} := \langle a(I + \nabla N_\theta + \mathbf{i}\theta \otimes N_\theta) e_p, e_q \rangle_{L^2(\square)}, \quad p, q \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}, \quad (2.34)$$

for e_j the j -th Euclidean basis vector and N_θ the vector whose j -th component is the solution $N_\theta^j \in H_{\text{per}, 0}^1(\square)$ to

$$\langle a(\nabla + \mathbf{i}\theta) N_\theta^j, (\nabla + \mathbf{i}\theta) \phi \rangle_{L^2(\square)} = -\langle a e_j, (\nabla + \mathbf{i}\theta) \phi \rangle_{L^2(\square)}, \quad (\phi \in H_{\text{per}, 0}^1(\square)). \quad (2.35)$$

Remark 2.11. Notice that N_0 and a_0 are respectively the classical corrector and homogenised matrix for a .

Proof of Proposition 2.10. From the definition of E_θ , see (2.26), one has

$$V_{\lambda, \theta}^\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 + s \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $c_1 \in \mathbb{C}$, $c_2 \in \mathbb{C}^d$ and $s \in L_{\text{sol}, \theta}^2(\square)$. Moreover, (2.28) (cf. Remark 2.7) states c_1, c_2 and s solve the system

$$\lambda c_1 - \varepsilon^{-1} \mathbf{i}\theta \cdot c_2 = \langle F, 1 \rangle_{L^2(\square)}, \quad (2.36)$$

$$\lambda \langle a^{-1}(c_2 + s), \tilde{c} \rangle_{L^2(\square; \mathbb{C}^d)} - \mathbf{i} \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} \langle c_1, \tilde{c} \rangle_{L^2(\square; \mathbb{C}^d)} = 0, \quad (\tilde{c} \in \mathbb{C}^d) \quad (2.37)$$

$$\langle a^{-1}(c_2 + s), \tilde{s} \rangle_{L^2(\square)} = 0, \quad (\tilde{s} \in L_{\text{sol}, \theta}^2), \quad (2.38)$$

where the third equation used the fact that $\langle i\theta c_1, \tilde{s} \rangle_{L^2(\square)} = 0$ (since $\operatorname{div} \tilde{s} + i\theta \cdot \tilde{s} = 0$ in $(H_{\text{per}}^1(\square))^*$). From (2.38), (2.23)₂ and (2.24), it follows that

$$a^{-1}(c_2 + s) = \xi + (\nabla + i\theta)p_2 \quad (2.39)$$

for some $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^d$, $p_2 \in H_{\text{per},0}^1(\square)$. Now, from (2.39), one has

$$\langle \xi, \tilde{c} \rangle_{L^2(\square; \mathbb{C}^d)} = \langle a^{-1}(c_2 + s), \tilde{c} \rangle_{L^2(\square; \mathbb{C}^d)}, \quad (\tilde{c} \in \mathbb{C}^d),$$

which, along with (2.37), gives $\xi = i\frac{\theta}{\varepsilon\lambda}c_1$. Furthermore, from (2.39), one has

$$\langle a\xi + a(\nabla + i\theta)p_2, (\nabla + i\theta)\phi_0 \rangle_{L^2(\square; \mathbb{C}^d)} = 0, \quad (\phi_0 \in H_{\text{per},0}^1(\square)),$$

that is $p_2 = N_\theta \cdot \xi$ (cf. (2.35)). Thus, the above considerations show that

$$V_{\lambda,\theta}^\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 + s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ \xi + (\nabla + i\theta)p_2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{c_1}{\lambda} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ i\frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} + (\nabla + i\theta)N_\theta \cdot i\frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix}$$

It remains to show that $c = c_1/\lambda$ satisfies

$$(\lambda^2 + a_\theta \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon})c = \langle F, 1 \rangle_{L^2(\square)}. \quad (2.40)$$

To that end, note that (2.39) implies

$$\langle a\xi + a(\nabla + i\theta)p_2, i\theta\tilde{c} \rangle_{L^2(\square; \mathbb{C}^d)} = \langle c_2, i\theta\tilde{c} \rangle_{L^2(\square; \mathbb{C}^d)}, \quad (\tilde{c} \in \mathbb{C}^d).$$

This equation and (2.34) imply that

$$c_2 \cdot i\theta = a_\theta \xi \cdot i\theta,$$

which, in turn, along with (2.36), and the identity $\xi = i\frac{\theta}{\varepsilon\lambda}c_1$, gives (2.40). \square

The dependence of $V_{\lambda,\theta}^\varepsilon$ on θ can be further simplified, for small ε , by utilising the following properties of a_θ and N_θ (established in [3, Section 4]):

Proposition 2.12. *Assume a satisfies (2.3). Then, there exists $K > 0$ such that*

$$K|\xi|^2 \leq a_\theta \xi \cdot \bar{\xi} \leq K^{-1}|\xi|^2, \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, \theta \in \square^*).$$

Furthermore, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\|N_\theta \xi\| \leq C|\xi|, \quad \|N_\theta \cdot \xi - N_0 \cdot \xi\|_{H^1(\square)} \leq C|\theta||\xi|, \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{C}^d, \theta \in \square^*),$$

and

$$|a_\theta \xi \cdot \bar{\eta} - a_0 \xi \cdot \bar{\eta}| \leq C|\theta||\xi||\eta|, \quad (\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{C}^d, \theta \in \square^*).$$

Now, we are ready for the final step.

Proposition 2.13. For fixed $\varepsilon, \theta, \lambda$ and F , consider the solution $V_{\lambda, \theta}^\varepsilon$ to (2.28) and

$$W_{\lambda, \xi} = c_0 \left(a(I + \nabla N_0) i \xi \right), \quad c_0 = (\lambda^2 + a_0 \xi \cdot \xi)^{-1} \langle F, 1 \rangle_{L^2(\square)}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (2.41)$$

Then, there is $C > 0$ independent of $\varepsilon, \lambda, \theta$ and F such that

$$\|V_{\lambda, \theta}^\varepsilon - W_{\lambda, \theta/\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\square; \mathbb{C}^{d+1})} \leq C \varepsilon |\lambda|^2 \|F\|_{L^2(\square)}. \quad (2.42)$$

Proof. By (2.33) and (2.41) one has

$$(V_{\lambda, \theta}^\varepsilon)_1 - (W_{\lambda, \theta/\varepsilon})_1 = \lambda(c - c_0),$$

and

$$(V_{\lambda, \theta}^\varepsilon)_2 - (W_{\lambda, \theta/\varepsilon})_2 = a i \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} (c - c_0) + a \nabla N_0 i \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} (c - c_0) + \left(a \nabla N_\theta i \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} c - a \nabla N_0 i \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} c \right) + i \theta \otimes N_\theta i \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} c.$$

The above identities and Proposition 2.12 imply that

tilhere

$$\|(V_{\lambda, \theta}^\varepsilon)_1 - (W_{\lambda, \theta/\varepsilon})_1\|_{L^2(\square)} \leq |\lambda| |c - c_0|, \quad \text{and} \quad \|(V_{\lambda, \theta}^\varepsilon)_2 - (W_{\lambda, \theta/\varepsilon})_2\|_{L^2(\square; \mathbb{C}^d)} \lesssim \frac{|\theta|}{\varepsilon} |c - c_0| + \frac{|\theta|^2}{\varepsilon} |c|.$$

By the definitions of c , (2.33), and c_0 , (2.41), and Proposition 2.12 we compute

$$\frac{|\theta|^2}{\varepsilon} |c| \lesssim \varepsilon \frac{a_\theta \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon}}{|\lambda^2 + a_\theta \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon}|} \|F\|_{L^2(\square)} = \varepsilon d(a_\theta \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon}) \|F\|_{L^2(\square)},$$

$$\begin{aligned} |c - c_0| &= \frac{|a_\theta \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} - a_0 \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon}|}{|\lambda^2 + a_\theta \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon}| |\lambda^2 + a_0 \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon}|} |\langle F, 1 \rangle_{L^2(\square)}| \lesssim \frac{\frac{|\theta|^3}{\varepsilon^2}}{|\lambda^2 + a_\theta \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon}| |\lambda^2 + a_0 \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon}|} \|F\|_{L^2(\square)} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon d(a_\theta \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon}) e\left(\sqrt{a_0 \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon}}\right) \|F\|_{L^2(\square)}, \end{aligned}$$

and, similarly,

$$\frac{|\theta|}{\varepsilon} |c - c_0| \lesssim \varepsilon d(a_\theta \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon}) d(a_0 \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon}) \|F\|_{L^2(\square)},$$

where $d(t) := \frac{t}{|\lambda^2 + t|}$, and $e(t) := \frac{t}{|\lambda^2 + t^2|}$, for $t \in [0, \infty)$. Direct calculation shows that if $\text{Re}(\lambda^2) > 0$ then for $t \in [0, \infty)$, we obtain

$$d(t) = \frac{t}{\sqrt{(\text{Re}(\lambda^2) + t)^2 + (\text{Im} \lambda^2)^2}} \leq 1$$

and, if $\text{Re}(\lambda^2) < 0$ then d has a positive maximum at $t^* = \frac{-|\lambda^2|^2}{\text{Re}(\lambda^2)}$ and, so for all $t \in [0, \infty)$, $d(t) \leq d(t^*) = \frac{|\lambda|^2}{|\text{Im} \lambda^2|} \lesssim |\lambda|$. Similarly, one can show that

$$e(t) \leq e(|\lambda|) = \frac{1}{2\nu} \quad (t \in [0, \infty)).$$

The above results put together yield

$$\|(V_{\lambda, \theta}^\varepsilon)_1 - (W_{\lambda, \theta/\varepsilon})_1\|_{L^2(\square)} \leq \varepsilon |\lambda|^2 \|F\|_{L^2(\square)}, \quad \text{and} \quad \|(V_{\lambda, \theta}^\varepsilon)_2 - (W_{\lambda, \theta/\varepsilon})_2\|_{L^2(\square; \mathbb{C}^d)} \lesssim \varepsilon |\lambda|^2 \|F\|_{L^2(\square)}. \quad \square$$

Now, the proof of Theorem 2.3 follows from the triangle inequality and Propositions 2.9 and 2.13.

2.2 Proof of Theorem 2.5

Proof of (2.20). For this, we simply use the following elementary estimate for \mathcal{P}_ε : there exists $C \geq 0$ such that

$$\|h - \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C\varepsilon \|\nabla h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad (2.43)$$

for all $h \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and all $\varepsilon > 0$.

Now, the identity $\partial_t \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon u_0 = \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon \partial_t u_0$, the above estimate and (2.19) gives

$$\|\partial_t \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon u_0 - \partial_t u_0\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim \varepsilon \|\nabla_x \partial_t u_0\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}$$

which, along with (2.17), implies (2.20).

Proof of (2.21). First note that

$$\varepsilon \nabla(N_0(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \cdot \nabla \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon u_0) = \nabla N_0(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \nabla \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon u_0 + \varepsilon N_0(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \nabla^2 \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon u_0.$$

Thus,

$$a(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})(I + \nabla N_0(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})) \nabla \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon u_0 = a(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \nabla(\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon u_0 + \varepsilon N_0(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \cdot \nabla \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon u_0) - a(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \varepsilon N_0(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \nabla^2 \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon u_0,$$

which, along with the boundedness of a and N_0 (due to the De Giorgi-Nash elliptic regularity theorem) gives

$$\|a(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})(I + \nabla N_0(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})) \nabla \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon u_0 - a(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \nabla(u_0 + \varepsilon N_0(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \cdot \nabla \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon u_0)\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim \varepsilon \|\nabla^2 \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon u_0\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d))}.$$

Furthermore more, by the properties of the Fourier transform

$$\|\nabla^2 \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon u_0\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} = \|\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon \nabla^2 u_0\| \leq \|\nabla^2 u_0\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}.$$

These above observations, along with (2.17) and (2.19), imply

$$\|a(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \nabla u_\varepsilon - a(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \nabla(\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon u_0 + \varepsilon N_0(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \cdot \nabla \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon u_0)\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim \varepsilon \|\partial_t^2 f\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}.$$

Arguing in the same manner as above, we can readily replace $\nabla \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon u_0$ with ∇u_0 in the above inequality (cf. (2.43) and (2.19)) to get

$$\|a(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \nabla u_\varepsilon - a(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \nabla(u_0 + \varepsilon N_0(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \cdot \nabla \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon u_0)\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim \varepsilon \|\partial_t^2 f\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}. \quad (2.44)$$

In the same manner, changing $\varepsilon \nabla^2 \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon u_0$ by $\varepsilon \nabla^2 u_0$ creates a controlled error of order ε . However, to replace $\nabla N_0(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \nabla \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon u_0$ with $\nabla N_0(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \nabla u_0$ is more challenging and relies on the fact that the corrector N_0 is a multiplier in H^1 (first shown in [8, Proposition 8.2]):

Lemma 2.14 (Lemma 1.2 of [11]). *There is $C \geq 0$ such that, for each $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla N_0^j(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \phi(x)|^2 dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|\phi(x)|^2 + \varepsilon^2 |\nabla \phi(x)|^2) dx, \quad (\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)). \quad (2.45)$$

Thus,

$$\|\nabla N_0(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon})\nabla\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon u_0 - \nabla N_0(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon})\nabla u_0\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R};L^2(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim \varepsilon\|u_0\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R};H^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim \varepsilon\|\partial_t^2 f\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R};L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}.$$

Hence, (2.44) yields

$$\|a(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon})\nabla u_\varepsilon - a(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon})\nabla(u_0 + \varepsilon N_0(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \cdot \nabla u_0)\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R};L^2(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim \varepsilon\|\partial_t^2 f\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R};L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}, \quad (2.46)$$

i.e. (2.21) holds.

Proof of (2.22). For this we utilise the following result.

Proposition 2.15. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $\gamma \in L^2_{\text{per}}(\square)$, $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and assume $\gamma(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon})\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon(\gamma(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon})\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon\phi) = \langle\gamma\rangle\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon\phi,$$

where $\langle\phi\rangle = \int_\square \phi(y) dy$. In particular, if, in addition, $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}\phi \subseteq \varepsilon^{-1}\square^*$, then

$$\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon(\gamma(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon})\phi) = \langle\gamma\rangle\phi.$$

Proof. By the Fourier series representation for $L^2_{\text{per}}(\square)$ functions, $\gamma = \langle\gamma\rangle + \sum_{n \neq 0} \gamma_n e^{i2\pi n \cdot y}$ for some constants γ_n . Now, since $\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon\phi =: \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\gamma(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon})\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then $\Psi \sum_{n \neq 0} \gamma_n e^{i2\pi n \cdot \frac{x}{\varepsilon}} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and, consequently,

$$\mathcal{F}(\gamma(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon})\psi) = \langle\gamma\rangle\mathcal{F}\psi + \mathcal{F}\left(\psi \sum_{n \neq 0} \gamma_n e^{i2\pi n \cdot \frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}}\right).$$

Furthermore, by the properties of the Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F}\left(\psi \sum_{n \neq 0} \gamma_n e^{i2\pi n \cdot \frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}}\right) = \sum_{n \neq 0} \gamma_n \mathcal{F}(\psi e^{i2\pi n \cdot \frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}}) = \sum_{n \neq 0} \gamma_n \mathcal{F}(\psi)(\cdot - 2\pi n/\varepsilon).$$

Now, since $\mathcal{F}\psi$ has support in $\varepsilon^{-1}\square^*$ then $(\mathcal{F}\psi)(\cdot - 2\pi n/\varepsilon) \equiv 0$ in $\varepsilon^{-1}\square^*$, for all $n \neq 0$, and one has

$$\chi(\varepsilon \cdot) \mathcal{F}\left(\psi \sum_{n \neq 0} \gamma_n e^{i2\pi n \cdot \frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}}\right) \equiv 0,$$

where we recall that χ is the characteristic function of \square^* . Additionally,

$$\chi(\varepsilon \cdot) \mathcal{F}\psi = \mathcal{F}\psi.$$

Hence,

$$\chi(\varepsilon \cdot) \mathcal{F}(\gamma(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon})\psi) = \langle\gamma\rangle\mathcal{F}\psi = \mathcal{F}(\langle\gamma\rangle\psi),$$

and (recalling that $\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\chi(\varepsilon \cdot)\mathcal{F}$) taking the inverse Fourier transform completes the proof. \square

For each $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, the function $\gamma = a(e_j + \nabla N_0^j)$ gives $\langle \gamma \rangle = a_0 e_j$ and consequently, by Proposition 2.15 with $\phi = \partial_{x_j} u_0$, gives

$$\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon(a(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon})(I + \nabla N_0(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}))\nabla\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon u_0) = a_0 \nabla\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon u_0.$$

Furthermore, (2.43) and (2.19) imply

$$\|a_0 \nabla u_0 - a_0 \nabla\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon u_0\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2((\mathbb{R}^d); \mathbb{C}^d))} \lesssim \|\partial_t^2 f\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))},$$

thus

$$\|\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon(a(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon})(I + \nabla N_0(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}))\nabla\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon u_0) - a_0 \nabla u_0\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2((\mathbb{R}^d); \mathbb{C}^d))} \lesssim \|\partial_t^2 f\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}. \quad (2.47)$$

Since $a(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon})(I + \nabla N_0(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}))\nabla\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon u_0$ only belongs to L^2 with the bound

$$\|a(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon})(I + \nabla N_0(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}))\nabla\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon u_0\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2((\mathbb{R}^d); \mathbb{C}^d))} \lesssim \varepsilon \|\partial_t^2 f\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \quad (2.48)$$

(see (2.45) and (2.19)), we must estimate $I - \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon$ in a weaker norm. Indeed, using the Fourier transform and (2.43) one readily has

$$\|h - \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon h\|_{H^{-1}((\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim \varepsilon \|h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad (h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)),$$

which, along with (2.48), gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (a(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon})(I + \nabla N_0(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}))\nabla\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon u_0 - \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon(a(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon})(I + \nabla N_0(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}))\nabla\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon u_0)) \|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^d))} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon \|\partial_t^2 f\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.49)$$

Now, (2.17), (2.49) and (2.47) imply (2.22).

3 Heat equation

In this section, we explore the techniques just developed in the context of the heat equation. Thus, we consider the heterogeneous heat equation with rapidly oscillating coefficients:

$$\partial_t u_\varepsilon - \operatorname{div}_x(b(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})\nabla_x u_\varepsilon) = f, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a fixed parameter (the small period), b is a periodic function with periodicity cell $\square := [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^d$, f is given and u_ε is the unknown.

Similar to Section 2, we follow [6, p 91], to observe that if u_ε satisfies (3.1) the vector $U^\varepsilon = (u_\varepsilon, b(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})\nabla_x u_\varepsilon)^\top$ equivalently solves the evolutionary heat system

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} \partial_t & 0 \\ 0 & b^{-1}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{div}_x \\ -\nabla_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) U^\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (3.2)$$

Also, as in Section 2, we assume for the rapidly oscillating coefficient $b \in L^\infty(\square; L(\mathbb{C}^d))$ with $\operatorname{Re} b(y)\xi \cdot \bar{\xi} \geq \kappa|\xi|^2$ for some $\kappa > 0$ and all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and (almost all) $y \in \square$ or, equivalently, the same estimates for $b(\cdot)^{-1}$, see Remark 2.2.

If $f \in L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ then by [6, Theorem 6.2.4] for each $\nu > 0$, there exists a unique solution² $U^\varepsilon \in H^1_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; \operatorname{dom} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{div} \\ -\nabla & 0 \end{pmatrix})$ to the evolutionary heat system (3.2).

We shall argue as in Section 2.1 to prove, below, the following homogenisation theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\varepsilon > 0, \theta \in \square^*, \lambda = \nu + ik, k \in \mathbb{R}$, and $F \in L^2_{\text{per}}(\square; \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^d)$. Consider the solution $U_{\lambda, \theta}^\varepsilon$ to*

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & b^{-1} \end{pmatrix} + \varepsilon^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(\operatorname{div} + i\theta \cdot) \\ -(\nabla + i\theta) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) U_{\lambda, \theta}^\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} F \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$W_{\lambda, \xi} = c_0 \left(b(I + \nabla N_0) i \xi \right), \quad c_0 = (\lambda + b_0 \xi \cdot \xi)^{-1} \langle F, 1 \rangle_{L^2(\square)}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.4)$$

Then, there exists $C > 0$ uniformly in $\varepsilon, \lambda, \theta$ and F such that

$$\|\lambda^{1/2}(U_{\lambda, \theta}^\varepsilon)_1 - \lambda^{1/2}(W_{\lambda, \theta/\varepsilon})_1\|_{L^2(\square)} + \|(U_{\lambda, \theta}^\varepsilon)_2 - (W_{\lambda, \theta/\varepsilon})_2\|_{L^2(\square; \mathbb{C}^d)} \leq C\varepsilon \|F\|_{L^2(\square)}. \quad (3.5)$$

Upon setting $F := \mathcal{G}\Gamma_\varepsilon \mathcal{L}_\nu f$ in Theorem 3.1, one has $U^\varepsilon := \mathcal{L}_\nu^{-1} \Gamma_\varepsilon^{-1} \mathcal{G}^{-1} U_{\lambda, \theta}^\varepsilon$ (see Section 2 for details) and, arguing exactly as in Section 2.2, we establish that

$$\mathcal{L}_\nu^{-1} \Gamma_\varepsilon^{-1} \mathcal{G}^{-1} W_{\lambda, \theta/\varepsilon} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon u_0 \\ b(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon})(I + \nabla N_0(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon})) \nabla \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon u_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for u_0 being the solution to the homogenised heat equation

$$\partial_t u_0 - \operatorname{div}_x b_0 \nabla_x u_0 = f, \quad (3.6)$$

where b_0 is the homogenised coefficients for b . Then, via the properties of $\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon, N_0$ and u_0 we readily establish Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 3.2. *Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and $f \in L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$, and suppose b satisfies (2.3) replacing a . Then u_ε the solution to (3.1) and u_0 the solution to (3.6) satisfy:*

$$\|\partial_t^{1/2} u_\varepsilon - \partial_t^{1/2} u_0\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leq C\varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\|b(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \nabla u_\varepsilon - b(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \nabla (u_0 + \varepsilon N_0(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \cdot \nabla u_0)\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d))} \leq C\varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\|b(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \nabla u_\varepsilon - b_0 \nabla u_0\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d))} \leq C\varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}, \quad (3.9)$$

for some $C > 0$ independent of ε and f .

²In fact, U^ε is known to have additional regularity, see Lemma 3.4 below.

Similarly to the case of the wave equation, we employ that ∂_t is boundedly invertible in $L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}^d; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ for $\nu > 0$, (3.7), that b is uniformly positivity with (3.8) as well as (3.7) and (3.9) to deduce the following set of estimates.

Corollary 3.3. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.2,*

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\varepsilon - u_0\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} &\leq C\varepsilon \|\partial_t^{-1/2} f\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}, \\ \|u_\varepsilon - (u_0 + \varepsilon N_0(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \cdot \nabla u_0)\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; H^1(\mathbb{R}^d))} &\leq C\varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \\ \left(\|U_1^\varepsilon - U_1^0\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}^2 + \|U_2^\varepsilon - U_2^0\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d))}^2 \right)^{1/2} &\leq C\varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2_\nu(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}, \end{aligned}$$

with $C \geq 0$ independent of ε and f .

Next, we turn to the proofs of the main results of this section.

3.1 Proof of Theorem 3.1

The proof of Theorem 3.1, in essence, goes as in Section 2.1 with the only difference being that one has better estimates in λ due to the improved regularity of the solution to the evolutionary heat system compared to that of the evolutionary wave system. Indeed, these better estimates will rely on the following maximal regularity result for evolutionary parabolic equations, first established and proved in [9, Section 3.1]; see also [6, Example 15.24.4] or [5] for a first account on maximal regularity for evolutionary equations in the sense of [6].

Lemma 3.4. *Let H_1, H_2 be Hilbert spaces, suppose $C : \text{dom } C \subseteq H_2 \rightarrow H_1$ be a densely defined linear operator, and $\mathbf{n} \in L(H_2)$ satisfy*

$$\text{Re} \langle \mathbf{n}\phi, \phi \rangle_{H_2} \geq \kappa \|\phi\|_{H_2}^2, \quad (\phi \in H_2), \quad (3.10)$$

for some $\kappa > 0$. Then, for fixed $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, f_2) \in H_1 \times H_2$, $\lambda = \nu + ik, k \in \mathbb{R}$, the solution $U = (u_1, u_2)$ to

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{n} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C \\ -C^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) U = \mathbf{f},$$

satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda u_1\|_{H_1} + \|\lambda^{1/2} u_2\|_{H_2} + \|\lambda^{1/2} C^* u_1\|_{H_2} + \|C u_2\|_{H_1} \\ \lesssim \|f_1\|_{H_1} + \|\lambda^{1/2} f_2\|_{H_2}, \quad (\lambda = \nu + ik, k \in \mathbb{R}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Let us prove Theorem 3.1. For this recall E_θ from (2.26). The analogue of Proposition 2.9 is as follows:

Proposition 3.5. *Consider $U_{\lambda, \theta}^\varepsilon$ the solution to (3.3) and $V_{\lambda, \theta}^\varepsilon$ the solution to*

$$\left(P_\theta \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & b^{-1} \end{pmatrix} + \varepsilon^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(\text{div} + i\theta \cdot) \\ -(\nabla + i\theta) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) V_{\lambda, \theta}^\varepsilon = P_\theta \begin{pmatrix} F \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.12)$$

for $P_\theta : L^2(\square; \mathbb{C}^{d+1}) \rightarrow E_\theta$ the orthogonal projection. Then, the following estimate holds:

$$\|\lambda^{1/2}(U_{\lambda,\theta}^\varepsilon)_1 - \lambda^{1/2}(V_{\lambda,\theta}^\varepsilon)_1\|_{L^2(\square)} + \|(U_{\lambda,\theta}^\varepsilon)_2 - (V_{\lambda,\theta}^\varepsilon)_2\|_{L^2(\square; \mathbb{C}^d)} \lesssim \varepsilon \|F\|_{L^2(\square)}. \quad (3.13)$$

Proof. Dropping super and subscripts; from the assumptions on b , it follows from Lemma 3.4 that the solution U to (3.3) satisfies

$$\|\lambda U_1\|_{L^2(\square)} + \|\lambda^{1/2} U_2\|_{L^2(\square; \mathbb{C}^d)} \lesssim \|F\|_{L^2(\square)}. \quad (3.14)$$

As in the proof of Proposition 2.9, $U^{(1)} := P_\theta U \in E_\theta$, $U^{(2)} := P_\theta^\perp U \in E_\theta^\perp$ are readily seen to solve

$$(P_\theta M + \varepsilon^{-1} P_\theta A_\theta) U^{(1)} = P_\theta (F, 0)^\top - P_\theta M U^{(2)}, \quad (3.15)$$

and

$$(P_\theta^\perp M + \varepsilon^{-1} P_\theta^\perp A_\theta) U^{(2)} = P_\theta^\perp (F, 0)^\top - P_\theta^\perp M U^{(1)}, \quad (3.16)$$

where $M = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & b^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$. Now, from (3.12) and (3.15) it follows that

$$(P_\theta M + \varepsilon^{-1} P_\theta A_\theta)(U^{(1)} - V) = -P_\theta M U^{(2)} = P_\theta (0, -b^{-1} U_2^{(2)})^\top. \quad (3.17)$$

Notice that (3.17) is a well-posed evolutionary problem on E_θ and an application of Lemma 3.4 (for $H^1 = \mathbb{C}$, $H^2 = \mathbb{C}^d \oplus L_{\text{sol},\theta}^2(\square)$, $\mathbf{n} = P_\theta b^{-1}$ and $C = -\varepsilon^{-1}(\text{div} + i\theta)P_1$ with $C^* = -\varepsilon^{-1}(\text{grad} + i\theta)P_2$, see Remark 2.7) gives

$$\|\lambda U_1^{(1)} - \lambda V_1\|_{L^2(\square)} + \|\lambda^{1/2} U_2^{(1)} - \lambda^{1/2} V_2\|_{L^2(\square; \mathbb{C}^d)} \lesssim \|\lambda^{1/2} U_2^{(2)}\|_{L^2(\square)}. \quad (3.18)$$

Similarly, (3.16) is a well-posed evolutionary problem on E_θ^\perp and Lemma 3.4, along with the bound (3.14) and the fact $P_\theta^\perp M U^{(1)} = P_\theta^\perp (0, b^{-1} U_2^{(1)})^\top$, gives

$$\|\lambda^{1/2} \varepsilon^{-1} (\nabla + i\theta) U_1^{(2)}\|_{L^2(\square)} + \|\varepsilon^{-1} (\text{div} + i\theta) U_2^{(2)}\|_{L^2(\square; \mathbb{C}^d)} \lesssim \|F\|_{L^2(\square)} + \|\lambda^{1/2} U_2\|_{L^2(\square; \mathbb{C}^d)} \lesssim \|F\|_{L^2(\square)}.$$

Consequently, by utilising (2.27) and the above inequality, it follows that

$$\|\lambda^{1/2} U_1^{(2)}\|_{L^2(\square)} + \|U_2^{(2)}\|_{L^2(\square; \mathbb{C}^d)} \lesssim \varepsilon \|F\|_{L^2(\square)}. \quad (3.19)$$

It is straight-forward to deduce that (3.13) follows from (3.18) and (3.19). The proof is complete. \square

Next, we provide the analogue of Proposition 2.10 (with the proof being essentially the same).

Proposition 3.6. *The solution $V_{\lambda,\theta}^\varepsilon$ to (3.12) has the form*

$$V_{\lambda,\theta}^\varepsilon = c \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ b(I + \nabla N_\theta + i\theta \otimes N_\theta) \frac{i\theta}{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix}, \quad c = (\lambda + b_\theta \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon})^{-1} \langle F, 1 \rangle_{L^2(\square)}.$$

The final step in the proof of Theorem 3.1 is to provide the analogue of Proposition 2.13.

Proposition 3.7. *For fixed $\varepsilon, \theta, \lambda$, consider the solution $V_{\lambda, \theta}^\varepsilon$ to (3.12) and*

$$W_{\lambda, \xi} = c_0 \left(b(I + \nabla N_0) i \xi \right), \quad c_0 = (\lambda + b_0 \xi \cdot \xi)^{-1} \langle F, 1 \rangle_{L^2(\square)}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then, there exists $C > 0$ independent of $\varepsilon, \lambda, \theta$ and f such that

$$\|\lambda^{1/2}(V_{\lambda, \theta}^\varepsilon)_1 - \lambda^{1/2}(W_{\lambda, \theta/\varepsilon})_1\|_{L^2(\square)} + \|(V_{\lambda, \theta}^\varepsilon)_2 - (W_{\lambda, \theta/\varepsilon})_2\|_{L^2(\square; \mathbb{C}^d)} \leq C\varepsilon \|F\|_{L^2(\square)}. \quad (3.20)$$

Proof. One has

$$(V_{\lambda, \theta}^\varepsilon)_1 - (W_{\lambda, \theta/\varepsilon})_1 = c - c_0,$$

and

$$(V_{\lambda, \theta}^\varepsilon)_2 - (W_{\lambda, \theta/\varepsilon})_2 = b i \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} (c - c_0) + b \nabla N_0 i \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} (c - c_0) + b(\nabla N_\theta - \nabla N_0) i \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} c + i \theta \otimes N_\theta \frac{i \theta}{\varepsilon} c.$$

The above identities and (2.3) imply that

$$\|(V_{\lambda, \theta}^\varepsilon)_1 - (W_{\lambda, \theta/\varepsilon})_1\|_{L^2(\square)} \leq |c - c_0|, \quad \text{and} \quad \|(V_{\lambda, \theta}^\varepsilon)_2 - (W_{\lambda, \theta/\varepsilon})_2\|_{L^2(\square; \mathbb{C}^d)} \lesssim \frac{|\theta|}{\varepsilon} |c - c_0| + \frac{|\theta|^2}{\varepsilon} |c|.$$

By the definitions of c and c_0 , and Proposition 2.12 we compute

$$\frac{|\theta|^2}{\varepsilon} |c| \lesssim \varepsilon \frac{b_\theta \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon}}{|\lambda + b_\theta \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon}|} \|F\|_{L^2(\square)} = \varepsilon d(b_\theta \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon}) \|F\|_{L^2(\square)},$$

$$\begin{aligned} |c - c_0| &= \frac{|b_\theta \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} - b_0 \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon}|}{|\lambda + b_\theta \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon}| |\lambda + b_0 \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon}|} |\langle F, 1 \rangle_{L^2(\square)}| \lesssim \frac{\frac{|\theta|^3}{\varepsilon^2}}{|\lambda + b_\theta \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon}| |\lambda + b_0 \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon}|} \|F\|_{L^2(\square)} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon d(b_\theta \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon}) e\left(\sqrt{b_0 \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon}}\right) \|F\|_{L^2(\square)}, \end{aligned}$$

and, similarly,

$$\frac{|\theta|}{\varepsilon} |c - c_0| \lesssim \varepsilon d(b_\theta \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon}) d(b_0 \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon}) \|F\|_{L^2(\square)},$$

where $d(t) := \frac{t}{|\lambda+t|}$, and $e(t) := \frac{t}{|\lambda+t^2|}$, for $t \in [0, \infty)$. With $\lambda = it + k$ it is straightforward to see that $d(t) = t((\nu + t)^2 + k^2)^{-1/2} \leq 1$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$. One can readily show that $e(t) = t((\nu + t^2)^2 + k^2)^{-1/2} \leq e(|\lambda|^{-1/2}) \lesssim |\lambda|^{-1/2}$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$. Putting the above results together gives

$$\|(V_{\lambda, \theta}^\varepsilon)_1 - (W_{\lambda, \theta/\varepsilon})_1\|_{L^2(\square)} \leq \varepsilon |\lambda|^{-1/2} \|F\|_{L^2(\square)}, \quad \text{and} \quad \|(V_{\lambda, \theta}^\varepsilon)_2 - (W_{\lambda, \theta/\varepsilon})_2\|_{L^2(\square; \mathbb{C}^d)} \lesssim \varepsilon \|F\|_{L^2(\square)},$$

which implies (3.20) and completes the proof. \square

The remaining claims of Section 3 can be shown in a completely analogous manner as the respective ones for the wave system.

4 Thermo-elastic system of equations

The final application of our methods to show estimates in homogenisation employing the framework of evolutionary equations concerns thermo-elasticity. In fact, we combine our results for the wave system and the heat system provided earlier.

Consider the system of thermo-elastic equations with rapidly oscillating coefficients

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u_\varepsilon - \operatorname{div}_x (a(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \nabla_x u_\varepsilon) + \gamma(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) v_\varepsilon = f, & t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ \partial_t v_\varepsilon - \operatorname{div}_x (b(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \nabla_x v_\varepsilon) - \overline{\gamma}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \partial_t u_\varepsilon = g, & t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

here, $\varepsilon > 0$, $u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon$ are the unknown solutions to be determined, f, g are given, the coefficients a, b , are matrix-value periodic functions and γ is a scalar-valued periodic function; all with fundamental periodicity cell $\square := [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^d$. We additionally assume $x \mapsto \gamma(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})$ (and therefore $x \mapsto \overline{\gamma}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})$) is a multiplier in H^1 , i.e., there is $C \geq 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |(\nabla \gamma)(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \phi(x)|^2 dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|\phi(x)|^2 + |\nabla \phi(x)|^2) dx, \quad (\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)). \quad (4.2)$$

We shall compare (4.1) to the homogenised system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u_0 - \operatorname{div}_x a_0 \nabla_x u_0 + \langle \gamma \rangle v_0 = f, & t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ \partial_t v_0 - \operatorname{div}_x b_0 \nabla_x v_0 - \overline{\langle \gamma \rangle} \partial_t u_0 = g, & t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

We begin by rewriting (4.1) and (4.3) as a system of evolutionary equations as follows: for $\varepsilon > 0$ the unknown $U^\varepsilon = (\partial_t u_\varepsilon, a(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \nabla_x u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon, b(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \nabla_x v_\varepsilon)^\top$ solves

$$(\partial_t M_\varepsilon + N_\varepsilon + A) U^\varepsilon = F, \quad (4.4)$$

where $F = (f, 0, g, 0)^\top$ and

$$M_\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad N_\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \gamma(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\overline{\gamma}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & b^{-1}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and}$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{div}_x & 0 & 0 \\ -\nabla_x & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\operatorname{div}_x \\ 0 & 0 & -\nabla_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $\varepsilon = 0$, $U^0 = (\partial_t u_0, a_0 \nabla_x u_0, v_0, b_0 \nabla_x v_0)^\top$ solves (4.4) with

$$M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_0^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad N_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \langle \gamma \rangle & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\overline{\langle \gamma \rangle} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & b_0^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The following well-posedness result for (4.4) is well-known (see [4] or [6, 7]).

Theorem 4.1. Fix $\varepsilon \geq 0$. Suppose, $a, b, \gamma \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; L(\mathbb{C}^d))$ be such that

$$a(x) = a(x)^* \text{ and } a(x) \geq \kappa_1 \text{ and } \operatorname{Re} b(x) \geq \kappa_2$$

in the sense of positive definiteness for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ for some $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 > 0$.

Then, for all $\nu > 0$ and for all $f \in H_\nu^1(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and $g \in L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ there exists a unique solution U^ε to (4.4) with $U_1^\varepsilon, U_3^\varepsilon \in H_\nu^1(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; H^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$; $U_2^\varepsilon \in H_\nu^1(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^d)) \cap L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; H_{\operatorname{div}}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and $U_4^\varepsilon \in L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; H_{\operatorname{div}}(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Furthermore, the following inequalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|U_1^\varepsilon\|_{H_\nu^1(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} + \|U_2^\varepsilon\|_{H_\nu^1(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^d)) \cap L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; H_{\operatorname{div}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} + \|U_3^\varepsilon\|_{H_\nu^1(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} + \|U_4^\varepsilon\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; H_{\operatorname{div}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \\ & \lesssim \|f\|_{H_\nu^1(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} + \|g\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

In this section, we shall prove the following homogenisation theorem:

Theorem 4.2. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and $f \in H_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and $g \in H_\nu^1(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$. suppose (4.1) holds. Then, the following inequalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_t u_\varepsilon - \partial_t u_0\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} + \|\partial_t^{1/2} v_\varepsilon - \partial_t^{1/2} v_0\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \\ & + \|a(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \nabla u_\varepsilon - a(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \nabla (u_0 + \varepsilon N_0(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \cdot \nabla u_0)\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d))} \\ & + \|b(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \nabla v_\varepsilon - b(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \nabla (v_0 + \varepsilon N_0(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \cdot \nabla v_0)\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d))} + \|a(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \nabla u_\varepsilon - a_0 \nabla u_0\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d))} \\ & + \|b(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \nabla v_\varepsilon - b_0 \nabla v_0\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d))} \leq C\varepsilon (\|\partial_t^2 f\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} + \|\partial_t g\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

for some $C > 0$ independent of ε , f and g .

Proof of Theorem 4.2. Note that u_ε solves the wave equation

$$\partial_{tt} u_\varepsilon - \operatorname{div}_x (a(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \nabla_x u_\varepsilon) = f - \gamma(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) v_\varepsilon, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (4.7)$$

By Theorem 4.1, one has

$$\|\partial_t^2 v_\varepsilon\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim \|\partial_t^2 f\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} + \|\partial_t g\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}$$

which, along with the boundedness of γ , implies

$$\|\partial_t^2 (f - \gamma(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) v_\varepsilon)\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim \|\partial_t^2 f\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} + \|\partial_t g\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}.$$

From this observation, along with Theorem 2.5, one has

$$\|\partial_t u_\varepsilon - \partial_t u_0^\varepsilon\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leq C\varepsilon (\|\partial_t^2 f\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} + \|\partial_t g\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}) \quad (4.8)$$

$$\|a(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \nabla u_\varepsilon - a(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \nabla (u_0^\varepsilon + \varepsilon N_0(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \cdot \nabla u_0^\varepsilon)\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d))} \leq C\varepsilon (\|\partial_t^2 f\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} + \|\partial_t g\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}) \quad (4.9)$$

$$\|a(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}) \nabla u_\varepsilon - a_0 \nabla u_0^\varepsilon\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d))} \leq C\varepsilon (\|\partial_t^2 f\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} + \|\partial_t g\|_{L_\nu^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}) \quad (4.10)$$

for u_0^ε the solution to

$$\partial_{tt}u_0^\varepsilon + \operatorname{div}_x a_0 \nabla_x u_0^\varepsilon = f - \gamma\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)v_\varepsilon, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (4.11)$$

Similarly, v_ε solves the heat equation

$$\partial_t v_\varepsilon - \operatorname{div}_x \left(b\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla_x v_\varepsilon \right) = g + \bar{\gamma}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \partial_t u_\varepsilon, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (4.12)$$

and Theorem 4.1 gives

$$\|\partial_t u_\varepsilon\|_{L_v^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim \|\partial_t f\|_{L_v^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} + \|g\|_{L_v^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}.$$

So, by Theorem 3.2, one has

$$\|\partial_t^{1/2} v_\varepsilon - \partial_t^{1/2} v_0^\varepsilon\|_{L_v^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leq C\varepsilon \left(\|\partial_t f\|_{L_v^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} + \|g\|_{L_v^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \right) \quad (4.13)$$

$$\|b\left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla v_\varepsilon - b\left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla (v_0^\varepsilon + \varepsilon N_0\left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}\right) \cdot \nabla v_0^\varepsilon)\|_{L_v^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d))} \leq C\varepsilon \left(\|\partial_t f\|_{L_v^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} + \|g\|_{L_v^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \right) \quad (4.14)$$

$$\|b\left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla v_\varepsilon - b_0 \nabla v_0^\varepsilon\|_{L_v^2(\mathbb{R}; H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d))} \leq C\varepsilon \left(\|\partial_t f\|_{L_v^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} + \|g\|_{L_v^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \right) \quad (4.15)$$

for v_0^ε the solution to

$$\partial_t v_0^\varepsilon - \operatorname{div}_x b_0 \nabla_x v_0^\varepsilon = g + \bar{\gamma}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \partial_t u_\varepsilon, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (4.16)$$

We see that $U_0^\varepsilon := (\partial_t u_0^\varepsilon, a_0 \nabla u_0^\varepsilon, v_0^\varepsilon, b_0 \nabla v_0^\varepsilon)^\top$ solves the homogenised evolutionary thermo-elastic system

$$(\partial_t M_0 + N_0 + A)U_0^\varepsilon = F + R_\varepsilon, \quad \text{where } R_\varepsilon := (-\gamma\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)v_\varepsilon + \langle \gamma \rangle v_0^\varepsilon, 0, \bar{\gamma}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \partial_t u_\varepsilon - \overline{\langle \gamma \rangle} \partial_t u_0^\varepsilon, 0)^\top.$$

We shall prove below that

$$\|(R_\varepsilon)_1\|_{H_v^1(\mathbb{R}^d; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} + \|(R_\varepsilon)_2\|_{L_v^2(\mathbb{R}^d; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim \varepsilon \left(\|\partial_t^2 f\|_{L_v^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} + \|\partial_t g\|_{L_v^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \right). \quad (4.17)$$

This informs us that $U^\varepsilon - U^0$ solves a the homogenised evolutionary system with ε -small right-hand-side. Indeed, Theorem 4.1 implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_t u_0^\varepsilon - \partial_t u_0\|_{H_v^1(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} + \|a_0 \nabla_x u_\varepsilon - a_0 \nabla_x u_0\|_{H_v^1(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^d)) \cap L_v^2(\mathbb{R}; H_{\operatorname{div}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \\ & + \|v_\varepsilon - v_0\|_{H_v^1(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} + \|b_0 \nabla_x v_\varepsilon - b_0 \nabla_x v_0\|_{L_v^2(\mathbb{R}; H_{\operatorname{div}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon \left(\|\partial_t^2 f\|_{L_v^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} + \|\partial_t g\|_{L_v^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

Inequalities (4.8)-(4.10), (4.13)-(4.15) and (4.18) prove the desired result (4.6).

The proof is complete, subject to establishing (4.17). Let us prove this inequality here. Clearly, $(R_\varepsilon)_1 = -\gamma\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)v_0^\varepsilon$ up to a term of order ε that we have the desired control over (see (4.13)). Now, by Proposition 2.15

$$\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon \gamma\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon v_0^\varepsilon = \langle \gamma \rangle \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon v_0^\varepsilon,$$

and we compute

$$\langle \gamma \rangle v_0^\varepsilon = \gamma\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)v_0^\varepsilon + r_\varepsilon; \quad r_\varepsilon := -(I - \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon)\gamma\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)v_0^\varepsilon - \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon\gamma\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)(I - \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon)v_0^\varepsilon + \langle \gamma \rangle(I - \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon)v_0^\varepsilon.$$

Inequality (2.43), the assumption that $\gamma\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)$ is uniformly bounded and a uniform H^1 -multiplier, see (4.2), and Theorem 4.1 give

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)v_0^\varepsilon - \langle \gamma \rangle v_0^\varepsilon\|_{L_v^2(\mathbb{R}^d; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} &= \|r_\varepsilon\|_{L_v^2(\mathbb{R}^d; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon \|v_0^\varepsilon\|_{L_v^2(\mathbb{R}^d; H^1(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim \varepsilon (\|\partial_t f\|_{L_v^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} + \|g\|_{L_v^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}). \end{aligned}$$

Then the desired inequality for $(R_\varepsilon)_1$, in (4.17), follows. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\overline{\gamma}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \partial_t u_0^\varepsilon - \overline{\langle \gamma \rangle} \partial_t u_0^\varepsilon\|_{L_v^2(\mathbb{R}^d; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \\ \lesssim \varepsilon \|\partial_t u_0^\varepsilon\|_{L_v^2(\mathbb{R}^d; H^1(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim \varepsilon (\|\partial_t f\|_{L_v^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} + \|g\|_{L_v^2(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}), \end{aligned}$$

which along with (4.8) provides the desired inequality for $(R_\varepsilon)_2$. That is, (4.17) holds and the proof is complete. \square

References

- [1] Birman, Michael Sh. and Solomjak, M.Z. *Spectral Theory of self-adjoint operators in Hilbert spaces*, first edition. Published by D. Reidel Publishing Company P.O. Box 17,3300 AA Dordrecht, Holland, 1987.
- [2] Birman, M. Sh., and Suslina, T. A., 2004. Second order periodic differential operators. Threshold properties and homogenisation. *St. Petersburg. Math. J.* 15(5), pp. 639-714.
- [3] Cooper, S. and Waurick. M. *Fibre Homogenisation*. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, (2019) Volume 276, Issue 11 Pages 3363-3405.
- [4] Picard, R. *A structural observation for linear material laws in classical mathematical physics* *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, 32(14):1768–1803,2009
- [5] Picard, Rainer; Trostorff, Sascha; Waurick, Marcus *On maximal regularity for a class of evolutionary equations*. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 449, No. 2, 1368-1381 (2017).
- [6] C. Seifert, S. Trostorff, and M. Waurick *Evolutionary Equations* Birkhäuser, Cham, 2022
- [7] Waurick M. *Homogenization of a class of linear partial differential equations* *Asymptotic Analysis* 82: 271-294, 2013
- [8] Suslina, T.A. *Homogenization of a stationary periodic Maxwell system*, *St. Petersburg Math. J.* 16:5 (2005), 863–922

- [9] Trostorff, S., Waurick, M. *Maximal Regularity for Non-autonomous Evolutionary Equations*. Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory 93, 30 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00020-021-02645-5>
- [10] Zhikov, V. V., 1989. Spectral approach to asymptotic problems in diffusion. Differ. Equ. 25, pp. 33-39.
- [11] Zhikov, V. V., Pastukhova, S. E., 2016. Operator estimates in homogenization theory. Russian Mathematical Surveys, 71 417.