Period change of Superhumps in the WZ Sge-Type Dwarf Nova, HV Virginis

Ryoko Ishioka,¹ Taichi Kato,¹ Makoto Uemura,¹ Jochen Pietz,² Tonny Vanmunster,³

Tom Krajci,⁴ Ken'ichi Torii,⁵ Kenji Tanabe,⁶ Seiichiro Kiyota,⁷ Kenzo Kinugasa,⁸

Gianluca MASI,⁹ Koichi MORIKAWA,¹⁰ Lewis M. COOK,¹¹ Patrick SCHMEER,¹² Hitoshi YAMAOKA,¹³

¹Department of Astronomy, Faculty of Science, Kyoto University, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

ishioka@kusastro.kyoto-u.ac.jp, tkato@kusastro.kyoto-u.ac.jp, uemura@kusastro.kyoto-u.ac.jp

²Rostocker Str. 62, 50374 Erftstadt, Germany

³Center for Backyard Astrophysics (Belgium), Walhostraat 1A, B-3401, Landen, Belgium

⁴1688 Cross Bow Circle, Clovis, New Mexico 88101, USA

⁵Cosmic Radiation Laboratory, Institute of Physical and Chemical Research (RIKEN),

2-1, Wako, Saitama, 351-0198, Japan

⁶Department of Biosphere-Geosphere Systems, Faculty of Informatics, Okayama University of Science,

Ridaicho 1-1, Okayama 700-0005, Japan

⁷ Variable Star Observers League in Japan (VSOLJ); Center for Balcony Astrophysics, 1-401-810 Azuma, Tsukuba 305-0031, Japan

⁸Gunma Astronomical Observatory, 6860-86 Nakayama, Takayama, Agatsuma, Gunma 377-0702, Japan

⁹Physics Department, University of Rome "Tor Vergata", Via della Ricerca Scientifica, 100133 Rome, Italy

¹⁰468-3 Satoyamada, Yakaqe-cho, Oda-qun, Okayama 714-1213, Japan

¹¹Center for Backyard Astrophysics (Concord), 1730 Helix Ct. Concord, California 94518, USA

¹²Bischmisheim, Am Probstbaum 10, 66132 Saarbrücken, Germany

¹³Faculty of Science, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 810-8560, Japan

(Received 2002 0; accepted 2002 0)

Abstract

After 10 years of quiescence, HV Vir underwent a superoutburst in January 2002. We report timeseries observations clearly revealing the period change of ordinary superhumps during the superoutburst. We derived a mean superhump period of 0.058260 d and a positive period derivative of 7×10^{-5} . These results are in good agreement with the value obtained from the 1992 superoutburst. We also detected early superhumps, which were not clearly recognized in the past outburst, and a possible rebrightening. Both of them are the common characteristics of WZ Sge-type stars.

Key words: accretion, accretion disks — stars: novae, cataclysmic variables — stars: dwarf novae — stars: individual (HV Vir)

1. Introduction

Dwarf novae are a class of cataclysmic variables (CVs), which are close binaries containing a white-dwarf primary with an accretion disk and a late-type main-sequence secondary star filling its Roche-lobe (e.g. Warner 1995). They are characterized by repetitive outbursts. SU UMa stars are a subclass of dwarf novae which show normal outbursts and superoutbursts. The presence of superhumps during superoutbursts is a diagnostic of SU UMa stars (Warner 1985). WZ Sge stars, showing very long recurrence cycle of superoutbursts (years-decades) and no or few normal outburst during one supercycle, are extreme SU UMa stars with shortest orbital periods among SU UMa stars (Bailey 1979, O'Donoghue et al. 1991). On the contrary, ER UMa stars or RZ LMi stars are another extreme SU UMa stars with short orbital periods, which show very short supercycle of a few ten days (e.g. Kato, Kunjaya 1995). About outburst mechanisms of SU UMa stars, Osaki, Meyer (2003) rejected a recent enhanced mass transfer model (see Lasota 2001) and proposed a

refined tidal-thermal instability model.

The superhump phenomenon with a period slightly longer than the orbital period are well explained by the beat of the precession of a tidally distorted disk with the orbital motion of the secondary (Whitehurst 1988, Hirose, Osaki 1990). The period of superhumps are known to decrease during a superoutburst in usual SU UMa stars, and the typical value of the period derivative is $\sim -5 \times 10^{-5}$ (e.g. Warner 1985, Patterson et al. 1993). This is attributed to decreasing apsidal motion due to a shrinking of disk during superoutburst (Osaki 1985). However, several systems are revealed to show positive period derivations by recent observations (see, Kato et al. 2001). Most of them are short period systems, such as WZ Sge stars.

HV Vir (for a historical review of this object and a recent review of WZ Sge stars, see Kato et al. 2001) is one of WZ Sge stars. Kato et al. (2001) revealed the presence of two superhump periods, the early superhump¹

¹ This feature is also referred to as *orbital superhumps* (Kato et al. 1996), *outburst orbital hump* (Patterson et al. 1998) or *early*

period of 0.057085(23) d and the superhump period of 0.05820(4) d during the 1992 outburst, and confirmed its WZ Sge nature. Kato et al. (2001) also showed that the period derivative of superhumps had a positive value of $5.7(6) \times 10^{-5}$, on contrary to the negative value obtained by Leibowitz et al. (1994).

Most recently, Szkody et al. (2002) reported a temperature of 13300 K for the white dwarf of HV Vir from the HST observation at 8 yr past the last outburst. This temperature is one of the coolest among the white dwarfs in disk-accreting close binaries, which indicates this system is an old CV as suggested by other properties (Howell et al. 1997).

After ten years of quiescence since 1992, a superoutburst of HV Vir was detected at 2002 January 4.228(UT) (vsnet-alert 6964). We started a CCD photometric campaign within 0.5 d of the outburst detection. We report here on the 2002 outburst of HV Vir and discuss the superoutburst period change of SU UMa stars.

2. Observation

We performed the photometric observations at 11 sites. The journal of the observations and the equipment used in each site are listed in table 1.

After dark subtraction and flat fielding, we performed aperture photometry or PSF photometry and obtained differential magnitudes of the object relative to the comparison stars. The magnitudes obtained from the unfiltered ST-7E CCD are almost equal to the Rc system. The magnitude scales of each observatory were adjusted to that of the Kyoto system (comparison star GSC 300.56). Heliocentric corrections to observation times were applied before the following analysis.

Our spectroscopy was performed on 2002 January 11 with Gunma Compact Spectrograph (GCS) attached to the classical-Cassegrain focus of the Gunma Astronomical Observatory (GAO) 65cm telescope (F/12). We obtained 10 spectra covering 3800-7670 Åwith a resolution of 500 with a 5 min exposure time. All of the raw frames were processed in the usual manner using the IRAF package².

3. Result

3.1. Photometry

The whole light curve of the superoutburst in 2002 is shown in figure 1. The outburst was detected at $m_{\rm vis}$ =13.3 on 2002 January 4.228 (UT, HJD 2452278.728). The last negative observation was reported on 2001 December 31.219 (UT, HJD 2452274.718). Our CCD observations started 0.5 day after the outburst detection. The object faded at a constant rate of 0.12 mag d⁻¹ for 11 days. During the following four days, the gradual decline stopped and the object remained at an almost constant magnitude around $R_c = 14.4$ mag. The main superoutburst lasted at least for 14 days until the



Fig. 1. Superoutburst in 2002 January. The open circles and filled triangles are the outburst detection and last negative visual observations reported to VSNET, respectively. The dots are our CCD observations. The bars on dots represent one sigma calculated using data on eah night. The dashed line represents the linear fit for the gradually declining plateau stage. The arrow indicated the time of spectroscopy. Upper panel: The whole light curve of the outburst. Lower panel: Enlarged light curve of the plateau stage.

rapid decline started on January 18. The object declined by 3 mag to 17.4 mag within 3 days. The light curve was constant within their one sigma, after the rapid decline ended. However the average magnitude on 2002 February 1 was brighter by ~ 1 mag than those of other nights. It may be a rebrightening, although it is a difficult thing to really know given the data in hand. One year later, the object has become 0.5 mag fainter than just after the outburst.

Figure 2 shows the nightly light curves of the first four days. The light curves on January 4 and 5 show complex modulation with small amplitudes. We performed a period analysis using the Phase Dispersion Minimization (PDM) method (Stellingwerf 1978) to the data on these two days, after removing the linear trend of the decline. The resultant period-theta diagram shows a very weak signal with a period of 0.0569(1) d (upper panel of figure 3). This period is close to the early superhump period of 0.057085(23) d obtained by Kato et al. 2001. The lower panel of figure 3 shows the averaged light curve of the January 4 and 5 data folded by the period of 0.057085 d. The averaged light curve has a double peaked feature with an amplitude of 0.04 mag.

On January 6, another variability with a large amplitude appeared (figure 2). We performed a PDM analy-

humps (Osaki, Meyer 2002)

² IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatories.

Table 1. Log of the photometric observations.

	Date		HJD-2452000	Exp. Time	Ν	Mean Mag.	Instr. & Comp.*
			$\operatorname{Start}-\operatorname{End}$	(s)		0	-
2002	January	4	279.194 - 279.394	30	623	13.02(0.04)	A, C_a, E
			279.568 - 279.720	15-30	661	13.09(0.06)	G,H
		5	280.163 - 280.382	30-60	556	13.21(0.05)	A, C_a, E
			280.973 - 281.059	30	218	13.27(0.04)	J
		6	281.171 - 281.382	20-60	678	13.28(0.07)	A, C_a, D, E
		7	282.282 - 282.399	10-60	1117	13.40(0.09)	$_{ m B,D,F}$
			282.957 - 283.059	30	255	13.46(0.06)	J
		8	283.168 - 283.382	20-60	664	13.50(0.08)	$\mathrm{A,C}_b,\mathrm{E}$
			283.597 - 283.780	40	296	13.55(0.06)	Ι
			283.953 - 284.059	30	268	13.58(0.06)	J
		9	284.170 - 284.389	10-60	2116	13.61(0.06)	A,B,D,E,F
		10	285.323 - 285.338	30	26	13.84(0.04)	А
		11	286.188 - 286.393	14-60	1957	13.90(0.06)	A, B, E, F
			286.546 - 286.672	45-60	114	13.97(0.05)	Н
			286.940 - 287.059	30	303	14.01(0.03)	J
		12	287.228 - 287.388		901	14.02(0.04)	A,B
			287.599 - 287.775	50	241	14.13(0.03)	Ι
		13	288.259 - 288.382	30	247	14.21(0.06)	C_a
			288.934 - 289.059	30	319	14.28(0.03)	J
		14	289.936 - 290.028	30	124	14.35(0.02)	J
		15	290.981 - 291.109	60	143	14.36(0.06)	К
		17	292.193 - 292.326	30	92	14.36(0.08)	А
		18	293.153 - 293.389	30-60	142	14.4(0.1)	A,E
			293.922 - 294.056	60	181	14.71(0.03)	J
		19	294.146 - 294.380	30	498	14.7(0.1)	A, C_c
		20	295.926 - 296.050	240	44	16.7(0.1)	J
		21	296.244 - 296.386	30	311	17.0(0.4)	A
		22	297.133 - 297.385	30	739	17.4(0.6)	A, C_c
		23	298.132 - 298.385	30	489	17.3(0.5)	A
		24	299.145 - 299.389	30	833	17.3(0.4)	A, C_c
			299.912 - 300.046	480	24	17.26(0.08)	J
		25	300.157 - 300.375	30	510	17.2(0.7)	A, C_c
			300.906 - 301.046	480	25	17.35(0.07)	J
		27	302.225 - 302.332	30	193	17.4(0.6)	А
		28	303.129 - 303.385	30	252	17.3(0.8)	А
		29	304.184 - 304.382	30	507	17.5(0.7)	A,C_c
		30	305.173 - 305.385	30	329	17.5(0.7)	А
		31	306.140 - 306.381	30	346	17.3(0.9)	A
	February	1	307.140 - 307.316	30	83	16.7(0.8)	А
		3	309.261 - 309.333	30	34	17.5(1.1)	A
		4	310.159 - 310.182	30	11	17.4(0.5)	А
		11	317.318 - 317.380	30	97	17.8(0.6)	A
2003	February	14	685.348 - 685.369	300	8	18.4(0.1)	B^{\dagger}

* A: 30cm tel. + no + SBIG ST-7E, star1 (Kyoto, Japan), B: 60cm tel. + R + PixelVision(SITe SI004AB), star1([†]star5) (Ouda, Japan), C_a : 20cm tel. + Apogee AP-7p, C_b : 25cm tel. + Apogee AP-6, C_c : 25cm tel. + Apogee AP-7p, star1 (Saitama, Japan), D: 30cm tel. + no + SBIG ST-9, star1 (Okayama1, Japan), E: 25cm tel. + V + Apogee AP-7, star4 (Tukuba, Japan), F: 25cm tel. + no + SBIG ST-7, star2 (Okayama2, Japan), G: 28cm tel. + no + SBIG ST-7, star1 (Ceccano, Italy), H: 20cm + no + ST-6B, star1 (Erftstadt, Germany), I: 35cm tel. + no + SBIG ST-7, star1 (Landen, Belgium), J: 28cm tel. + no + CB245, star* (New Mexico, USA), K: 44cm tel. + no + CB245 No.2, star3 (California, USA) star1: USNO B1.0 0919-0260839 B1=13.38 R1=12.23

star2: USNO B1.0 0918-0257674 B1=14.46 R1=13.23

star3: USNO B1.0 0918-0257733 B1=14.68 R1=13.54

star4: Tycho-2 300-948 B=11.299 V=10.140 (USNO R1=9.49)

star5: USNO B1.0 0918-0257808 B1=16.53 R1=15.67



Fig. 2. Enlarged light curves in first four days. Each point represents the average of 0.02 d bin with the typical standard error of 0.005–0.02 mag. Humps showing steeper rise and a slower decline appeared in the third day.

Table 2	2.	Timings	of	superhumps.
---------	----	---------	----	-------------

HJD-2452000	Е	HJD-2452000	Е
280.9980	-22	286.9965	81
281.2325	-18	287.0520	82
281.2905	-17	287.2860	86
281.3495	-16	287.3450	87
282.2880	0	287.6370	92
282.3449	1	287.6980	93
282.9820	12	287.7575	94
283.0410	13	288.2815	103
283.2145	16	288.3380	104
283.2740	17	288.9830	115
283.3290	18	289.0400	116
283.6200	23	289.9770	132
283.6800	24	291.0270	150
283.7370	25	291.0860	151
283.9670	29	293.1780	187
284.0255	30	293.2320	188
284.2020	33	293.2910	189
284.2580	34	293.9300	200
284.3185	35	293.9890	201
284.3760	36	294.0430	202
286.2370	68	294.2190	205
286.2950	69	294.2760	206
286.3505	70	294.3320	207



Fig. 3. (Upper) Period-theta diagram of the PDM period analysis on the data during the first two days. The abscissa and ordinate are the period in days and the theta, respectively. A weak signal appears around a period of 0.0569(1) d. This period is close to the early superhump period of 0.057085 d obtained for the 1992 superoutburst by Kato et al. 2001 (shown by an arrow in the figure). (Lower) Phase-averaged light curve with a period of 0.057085 d.

sis on the data during January 6-18, after subtraction of the decline trend. A strong signal exists at the period of 0.058217(12) d.

We measured the maximum times of the superhumps mainly by eye but when it was difficult to determine an accurate maximum, we used a cross-correlation method to obtain individual maxima. The errors of the maximum times are 0.001-0.005 d. All the peak times we obtained are listed in table 2. The values are given to 0.0001 d, though the error is larger than 0.001 d, in order to avoid any loss of significant digits in a later analysis. The cycle count (*E*) denotes the cycle number of humps counted from HJD 2452282.2880. A linear ephemeris for the peaks is represented by the following equation:

$$HJD_{max} = 2452282.2814(10) + 0.058238(8)E.$$
 (1)

The O-Cs calculated for Eq. 1 is displayed in figure 4. The diagram indicates that the superhumps were consisted by three types of humps with cycle counts of $E = -22 \sim 0, E = 0 \sim 151$, and $E = 170 \sim 220$.

The times of peaks with cycle counts of $E = -22 \sim 0$ (corresponding on January 6) were slightly earlier than expected from the quadratic ephemeris of following peaks.



Fig. 4. O-C diagram of superhumps. The parabolic solid line corresponds to the quadratic ephemeris represented by equation (2).



Fig. 5. (Upper) Period-theta diagram of the PDM period analysis on the data during HJD 2452282–2452291. The abscissa and ordinate are the period in days and the theta, respectively. (Lower) Phase-averaged light curve with a period of 0.0582034(6) d.

We made a PDM period analysis to the January 6 data, and obtained a period of 0.05844(24) d. Although the error is large, this period is significantly longer than the period of 0.058026(31) d for the next three days.

The O-Cs for superhumps during gradually declining part of the plateau stage (HJD 2452282–2452291) clearly show that the superhump period increased. The best fit quadratic ephemeris is represented by the following equation:

$$HJD_{max} = 2452882.2866(7) + 0.057949(26)E + 2.2(2) \times 10^{-6}E^2.$$
(2)

The rate of the period change is $P_{\text{dot}} = \dot{P}_{SH} / P_{\text{SH}} = 7.8(7) \times 10^{-5}$. From the PDM analysis to the data sets of this stage, a mean superhump period of 0.0581836(30) d was yielded. The theta diagram and the phase-averaged light curve with this period are exhibited in figure 5.

It is well known that humps called late superhumps, which have a period close to the superhump period but show a 0.5 phase jump from that of ordinary superhumps, appear during the very late stage of, or shortly after a superoutburst (Haefner et al. 1979; Vogt 1983; van der Woerd et al. 1988; Hessman et al. 1992).

The O-C diagram also shows that the timings of the humps during January 18–20 (E > 170) deviated from the expected line for ordinary superhumps during the plateau stage. From the linear regression to the peak times, we obtained a late superhump period of 0.057889(78) d corresponding to the fitted straight line in figure 4, and the PDM analysis for the January 17 and 20 data gave a period of 0.0578853(25) d.

The amplitude of superhumps reached a maximum (0.2 mag) at around January 7–8, and gradually decayed to 0.08 mag on January 17. On the contrary, secondary maxima around the phase 0.4, which appeared at January 11, kept an almost constant amplitude of ~ 0.05 mag.

After the rapid fading stage, we could not detect any periodic modulations due to the faintness of the object.

3.2. Spectroscopy

Figure 7 shows the flux-calibrated mean spectrum. Balmer lines in absorption superimposed on a blue continuum. The H β absorption line is clearly detected, however, H α is not seen. This spectrum is similar to the spectrum of AL Com during the outburst plateau stage (Szkody et al. 1996, Kilmartin et al. 1992).

4. Discussion

4.1. Astrometry and Identification

Using outburst images, we measure the position of HV Vir as R.A. = $13^{h} 21^{m} 03^{s}.174$, Decl. = $+01^{\circ} 53' 29''.63$ (J2000.0, USNO-A2.0 frame). The mean error is about 0".2 for each coordinate. It confirms the quiesient counterpart identification with an USNO-A2.0 star (position end figures $03^{s}.133$, 29''.65, red mag = 19.0, blue mag = 18.7, Epoch = 1956.270). From these values, we estimate the proper motion of HV Vir as about $13 \pm 8 \text{ mas/yr}$,

Table 3. Astrometry of HV Vir.



Fig. 6. (Upper) Period-theta diagram of the PDM period analysis on the data during HJD 2452293–2452296. The abscissa and ordinate are the period in days and the theta, respectively. (Lower) Phase-averaged light curve with a period of 0.0578853(25) d.



Fig. 7. Spectrum during the outburst. Balmer lines are in absorption. Flux units are erg cm⁻² c⁻¹ Å⁻¹.

Source	R. A.	Decl.	Epoch		
(J2000.0)					
USNO A2.0	$13\ 21\ 03.133$	$+01\ 53\ 29.65$	1956.270		
GSC 2.2	$13\ 21\ 03.174$	+01 53 29.29	1996.353		
USNO B1.0	$13\ 21\ 03.173$	+01 53 29.01	2000.0		

which is consistent with (though somewhat smaller than) the USNO-B1.0 value of 23 ± 9 mas/yr.

If we assume the distance of HV Vir (Szkody et al. 2002) six times as large as that of WZ Sge (Dhillon et al. 2000), the transversal velocity of HV Vir is estimated as 33 km/s. It is comparable with that of WZ Sge (40 km/s).

4.2. Supercycle

Three outbursts of HV Vir were detected on Sonneberg plates, respectively at 13.5 mag in 1939, 11.5 mag in 1970 and 14.0 mag in 1981 (Leibowitz et al. 1994). The 2002 superoutburst occurred after 10 years of quiescence from the 1992 superoutburst. Since 1992, no outburst was detected till the 2002 outburst.³ Leibowitz et al. (1994) and Kato et al. (2001) respectively implied the recurrence cycle to be ~ 10 years or shorter and to be ~ 10 years or even longer. Our detection of the 2002 superoutbursts was ~ 10 years. The long recurrence cycle of superoutbursts and the absence of normal outbursts are common properties of the WZ Sge-type dwarf novae.

4.3. Outburst Light Curve

During the 1992 superoutburst, the visual maximum was reached at V = 11.5 (Kilmartin et al. 1992). The superhumps appeared 7 to 9 d after the visual maximum and the main outburst lasted for 23 d. Our detection of the 2002 superoutburst was at $m_{\rm vis} = 13.3$. The delay of superhumps was < 6 d and the main outburst lasted for < 20 d. If we assume the superoutburst maximum was within 0.5 d after the last negative observation and the declining rate of the first stage was the same as that of the 1992 outburst, the visual maximum of the 2002 outburst is estimated to be ~ 12.0 mag. The declining rate during the first 10 d of the plateau stage was almost constant at 0.12 mag d^{-1} . During the last 4 d, the declining stopped and the light curve became flat. The declining rate of the outburst plateau of WZ Sge stars, decrease from 0.2 mag d^{-1} during the first few days to 0.13 mag d^{-1} , a typical value for SU UMa stars. We missed the first rapid declining stage.

The outburst maximum and the duration show that the 2002 superoutburst of HV Vir was of a slightly smaller scale than the 1992 outburst. This is consistent with the quiescence durations of 11 yr and 10 yr before respective

³ Before the 2002 outburst, two unconfirmed positive detections were reported to AAVSO at 14.2 mag on 52013 and 13 mag on 52055. However, no positive detection was reported to VSNET during this period.

 Table 4.
 Superhump period change.

Object*	$P_{\rm SH}^{\dagger}$	$P_{\rm dot}$ [‡]	Ref.
J2329	0.046271	11.9(2.4)	1
HV Vir	0.058203	7.8(7)	2
CC Cnc	0.0755135	-10.2(1.3)	3
V877 Ara	0.08411	-14.5(2.1)	4
EF Peg(1997)	0.0871	$0(3)^{8}$	7
BF Ara	0.08797	-0.8(1.4)	6
KK Tel	0.08801	-37(4)	8
V725 Aql	0.09909	$0(3)^{\dagger}$	5
	. 1	. 1	

*Year of the outburst in parentheses.

[†]Typical superhump period (d).

 $^{\ddagger}\dot{P}/P$ unit in 10⁻⁵.

Remarks: 1, 2. Zero or marginally positive P_{dot} is indicated, although definite value is not given.

References: 1. Uemura et al. (2002) ; 2. this paper ; 3. Kato et al. (2002) ; 4. Kato et al. (2002) ; 5. Uemura et al. (2001) ; 6. Kato et al. (2002) ; 7. K. Matsumot at al. inpreparation ; 8. Kato et al. (2002) ;

obtained is very close to the period of 0.05799(3) d which Leibowitz et al. (1994) obtained for the low state up to ~20 days after the rapid declining of the 1994 superoutburst of HV Vir and identified with the orbital period. This confirmes that the period of 0.05799(3) d is identified with late superhumps as seggested by Kato et al. (2001), and late superhumps in superoutbursts of HV Vir persist for a long time with a constant period as in other WZ Sge stars (Kato 1997; Patterson et al. 1998).

4.5. Superhump Period Change

As noted in section 1, the superhump periods in usual SU UMa stars decrease during a superoutburst and it is explained by the shrinking of the accretion disk. However, several systems with short periods, including WZ Sge stars, show positive period derivatives such as HV Vir. Kato et al. (2001) made a systematic survey of the P_{dot} in SU UMa stars and found that short-period or infrequently outbursting systems show an increase of the superhump periods. They suggest that low M is more reasonable, because some long period systems with low M also show positive P_{dot} . For the explanation of positive P_{dot} , it is proposed that the eccentricity can propagate outward and the superhump period increases when the system has an expanding disk beyond the 3:1 resonance radius because of a large amount of accumulated matter due to a small mass transfer rate and/or a small 3:1 resonance radius due to a small mass ratio.

We added some new points listed in table 4 to figure 10 of Kato et al. (2001) (figure 8). 1RXS J232953.9+062814 is one of the shortest period systems and shows a large superhump period increase. Two long-period SU UMa stars, V877 Ara and KK Tel show large decreases of the superhump period (Kato et al. 2002), however BF Ara with a similar period shows an almost zero or marginally positive period change (Kato et al. 2002). V725 Aql (Uemura et al. 2001) and EF Peg during the 1996 outburst (K.

superoutbursts, since the mass stored in the disk before the 1992 outburst was estimated to be slightly more than the 2002 outburst if the mass transfer rate was constant. Our observations also covered the two weeks after the

fading from the main outburst, which were not well observed in the 1992 outburst. The average magnitude on the 11th day after the end of the rapid decline was brighter than those of other nights. If it indicates a rebrightening, the 10-days quiescence before the rebrightening is rather long compared with other WZ Sge stars or SU UMa stars with rebrightening(s). For example, in EG Cnc this was one week, and in WZ Sge only 2 or 3 days. The mass amount stored in the accretion disk increase with the length of the quiescence period, so the amplitude of the rebrightening is expected to be larger as well. Unfortunately, the error bar in our observations is fairly large. and we were unable to obtain data on the following 2 nights. Therefore, we cannot know about the amplitude and can only state that the outburst duration of the rebrightening was shorter than 3 days.

4.4. Humps During the Superoutburst

As described in section 3.1, during the first two days of our observation, we obtained small-amplitude humps with a shorter period than the period of the following days, which is close to the early superhump period ($P_{\rm e} =$ 0.057085 d) derived by Kato et al. (2001). The averaged light curve folded by $P_{\rm e}$ shown in figure 3 has a doublepeaked profile that is common to early superhumps of WZ Sge stars. Thus we can conclude that the humps observed in the first two days are early superhumps just before decaying.

The large-amplitude humps on the third day of our observation shows something different on the O-C diagram (figure 4) from the following ordinary superhumps. The PDM analysis indicate that the period of four humps with cycle counts from -22 to -16 are longer than on following days. These humps may be a transition state of early superhumps and ordinary superhumps. The transition occurred within 2 days. However, due to the 1 d gap of our observations, the precise length of the transition state was not determined and it is not clear whether the phase jump existed or not. A similar behavior of O - C was also observed during the 2001 superoutburst of WZ Sge (Ishioka et al. 2002). The transition between early superhumps and ordinary superhumps occurred in 0.5 day and the period of humps during the transition was also longer than following days. In the case of WZ Sge, the phase jump did not exist.

The mean period of ordinary superhumps, 0.0581836(30) d, and the period derivative, $7(1) \times 10^{-1}$ in the 2002 outburst, are in good agreement with those of the 1992 outburst derived by Kato et al. (2001).

The humps with a period of 0.05788(7) d during the last stage of the superoutburst are very likely to be late superhumps. Due to the 2 days gap of peak timimngs, it is unclear whether the 0.5 phase jump really occured or not. We could not detect any modulation after the rapid declining. However, the late superhump period we



Fig. 8. $P_{dot} = \dot{P}_{SH}/P_{SH}$ versus P_{SH} diagram. The data of table 3 are added to the original figure (figure 10. in Kato et al. (2002)).

Matsumoto et al., in preparation) are also indicated zero or marginally positive P_{dot} .

Figure 8 shows that P_{dot} is positive in short-period systems, and a minimum exists around superhump periods of 0.07–0.08 d, except for V877 Ara and KK Tel.

This diagram cannot explain only through the above scenario, that a positive P_{dot} is the result of a small mass transfer rate. 1 RXS J232953.9+062814 shows a large P_{dot} , although a high \dot{M} is indicated for this stars (Uemura et al. 2002). BF Ara is another system which shows inconsistency with the above scenario. This object shows rather frequent outbursts indicating a large mass transfer rate, however a virtually zero P_{dot} is observed, in contrary to the similar systems of V877 Ara and KK Tel, which show a very large decrease of superhump periods.

These systems indicate that the values of $P_{\rm dot}$ cannot be explained by one parameter of period, mass ratio, or mass transfer rate, and that if the positive $P_{\rm dot}$ is a result of expansion of the disk beyond the 3:1 resonance radius, the cause of expansion is not only the low mass ratio, and/or low mass transfer rate, but another mechanism is needed.

In figure 8, the period derivatives of ER UMa stars, another extreme sub-group of SU UMa stars with a short period and a high mass transfer rate, are not included except for V1159 Ori which has a typical $P_{\rm dot}$. The examination of $P_{\rm dot}$ of other ER UMa stars, especially the systems with shorter periods such as RZ LMi and DI UMa, may give some constraint on the mechanism for variation of $P_{\rm dot}$ in SU UMa stars.

5. Conclusion

Our photometric observation of the 2001 outburst in HV Vir confirmed the presence of early superhumps and the positive derivative of the superhump period.

We revealed that the humps during the transition from

early superhumps to ordinary superhumps have a period sulightly longer than mean superhump period, as observed during the 2001 superoutburst in WZ Sge.

Our observations also revealed that at the late stage of the superoutburst, the late superhumps appeared and they persist more than 20 d after the main outburst terminates. After the main outburst, one possible rebrightening was detected. The long quiescent state before the rebrightening suggests that the rebrightening had a large amplitude but due to the lack of observations, this was not confirmed.

It is clear that the derivatives of superhump periods of WZ Sge stars are positive, but those of SU UMa stars, including WZ Sge stars, are not simply explained by their orbital periods or mass transfer rates. For the systematic study, more observations, especially of long period systems and systems with a short period and a large mass transfer rate, are needed.

We are grateful to many amateur observers for supplying their vital visual CCD estimates via VSNET and AAVSO. Part of this work is supported by a Research Fellowship of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science for Young Scientists (MU).

References

Bailey, J. 1979, MNRAS, 189, 41P

- Dhillon, V. S., Littlefair, S. P., Howell, S. B., Ciardi, D. R., Harrop-Allin, M. K., & Marsh, T. R. 2000, MNRAS, 314, 826
- Haefner, R., Schoembs, R., & Vogt, N. 1979, A&A, 77, 7
- Hessman, F. V., Mantel, K.-H., Barwig, H., & Schoembs, R. 1992, A&A, 263, 147
- Hirose, M., & Osaki, Y. 1990, PASJ, 42, 135
- Howell, S. B., Rappaport, S., & Politano, M. 1997, MNRAS, 287, 929
- Ishioka, R. et al. 2002, A&A, 381, L41
- Kato, T. 1997, PASJ, 49, 583
- Kato, T., Bolt, G., Nelson, P., Monard, B., Stubnbings, R., Pearce, A., Yamaoka, H., & Richards, T. 2002a, MNRAS, in press (astro-ph/0301565)
- Kato, T., & Kunjaya, C. 1995, PASJ, 47, 163
- Kato, T., Nogami, D., Baba, H., Matsumoto, K., Arimoto, J., Tanabe, K., & Ishikawa, K. 1996, PASJ, 48, L21
- Kato, T. et al. 2002b, MNRAS, in press (astro-ph/0210674)
- Kato, T., Sekine, Y., & Hirata, R. 2001, PASJ, 53, 1191
- Kato, T., Uemura, M., Ishioka, R., & Pietz, J. 2002c, PASJ, 54, 1017
- Kilmartin, P. M., Gilmore, A. C., della Valle, M., Duerbeck, H. W., Motch, C., & Poretti, E. 1992, IAU Circ., 5503
- Lasota, J.-P. 2001, New Astron. Rev., $45,\,449$
- Leibowitz, E. M., Mendelson, H., Bruch, A., Duerbeck, H. W., Seitter, W. C., & Richter, G. A. 1994, ApJ, 421, 771
- O'Donoghue, D., Chen, A., Marang, F., Mittaz, J. P. D., Winkler, H., & Warner, B. 1991, MNRAS, 250, 363 Osaki, Y. 1985, A&A, 144, 369
- Ocale: V $\ell_{\rm r}$ Morror E 2002 A $\ell_{\rm r}$ A 282
- Osaki, Y., & Meyer, F. 2002, A&A, 383, 574
- Osaki, Y., & Meyer, F. 2003, A&A, in press (astro-ph/0302140)
- Patterson, J., Bond, H. E., Grauer, A. D., Shafter, A. W., & Mattei, J. A. 1993, PASP, 105, 69

- Patterson, J. et al. 1998, PASP, 110, 1290
- Stellingwerf, R. F. 1978, ApJ, 224, 953
- Szkody, P., Gänsicke, B. T., Sion, E. M., & Howell, S. B. 2002, ApJ, 574, 950
- Szkody, P., Silber, A., Sion, E., Downes, R. A., Howell, S. B., Costa, E., & Moreno, H. 1996, AJ, 111, 2379
- Uemura, M. et al. 2002a, PASJ, 54, 599
- Uemura, M. et al. 2002b, PASJ, 54, L15
- Uemura, M., Kato, T., Pavlenko, E., Baklanov, A., & Pietz, J. 2001, PASJ, 53, 539
- van der Woerd, H., van der Klis, M., van Paradijs, J., Beuermann, K., & Motch, C. 1988, ApJ, 330, 911
- Vogt, N. 1983, A&A, 118, 95
- Warner, B. 1985, in Interacting Binaries, ed. P. P. Eggelton & J. E. Pringle (D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht), 367
- Warner, B. 1995, Cataclysmic Variable Stars (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge)
- Whitehurst, R. 1988, MNRAS, 232, 35