A dilute atomic Fermi system with a large positive scattering length

Aurel Bulgac

Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195-1560, USA

Paulo F Bedaque

Lawrence-Berkeley Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Road, MS 70R0319, Berkeley, CA 94720-8169, USA

Antonio C Fonseca

Centro de Física Nuclear da Universidade de Lisboa, Av. Prof. Gama Pinto 2, 1649-003, Lisboa, PORTUGAL (Dated: September 9, 2018)

We show that a dilute atomic Fermi system at sufficiently low temperatures, can display fermionic superfluidity, even in the case of a repulsive atom-atom interaction, when the scattering length is positive. The attraction leading to the formation of Cooper pairs is provided by the exchange of Bogoliubov phonons if a fraction of the atoms form a BEC of weakly bound molecules.

PACS numbers: PACS numbers: 03.75.Ss, 03.75.Mn, 03.75.Hh

Ever since the pioneering experiment of DeMarco and there has been an ongoing search for the super-Jin uidity in a dilute fermionic atomic system A recent experimental result from Jin's group seem to point to the possible creation of an even more intriguing sys. temS a dilute atomic Bose systemS subsequently shown to be in a BEC state 4. Scoexisting with a dilute atomic fermionic uidS perhaps even a super uid itself as we will show hereSboth super uids composed from one single atomic species Taking advantage of a Feshbach res onance between given hyper ne states all these authors ²S4 have manipulated the scattering length and made it positive Starting with an almost equal mixture of these hyper ne spin sub states and a relatively small and negative scattering lengthSby ramping the magnetic eld at various rates these authors have created a mixture of weakly bound fermionic atoms and unbound fermions all of them interacting with positive scattering lengths as we shall show here The weakly bound state formed is bosonic in characterS with a binding energy typically larger than the temperature of the atomic cloud 4 This binding energy is approximately given by \hbar^2/ma_s^2 Swhen the scattering length is large We shall often refer to these weakly bound molecule as dimers The fraction of such molecules depends on the rate at which the magnetic eld is ramped across the Feshbach resonanceS ranging from essentially zero in the sudden limit and up to about 8 %in the adiabatic limit At T = and in the adiabatic limit one would expect a full conversion of all fermions into such weakly bound moleculesS the so called BCS \rightarrow BEC crossover 5S 6S 7S 8S 9 Unless the magnetic eld is very close to the Feshbach resonance Sthe size of these molecules is smaller than the average inter particle separation If the weakly bound molecules form a BEC stateSthen the induced fermion fermion interactionSdue to the exchange of Bogoliubov bosonic sound wavesSis at tractive Very close to the Feshbach resonance when the

atomic scattering length is much larger than the e_ective rangeSthe full fermion fermion interaction can then become weakly attractive Under these circumstances the BEC may coexist with a weakly coupled BCS super uid

Over the last two decades many authors Sstarting with Leggett 5S 6S 7S 8S 9S $_{*}$ S studied the BCS BEC crossover in Fermi systems when the pairing coupling constant is varied from very small to relatively large values If the pairing coupling constant is so weaks that in vacuum two fermions do not have a bound states one can expect a relatively small pairing gap at a nite fermion density. Sdue to the Cooper instability When $k_F|a| \ll$ and a < the fermion kinetic energy dominates over the interaction energy and the Fermi system has a positive internal pressure In the dilute limit (when $k_F|a| \ll$ and where k_F is the Fermi wave vector and a < the scattering length Sthe pairing gap is given by

$$\Delta \approx \left(\frac{1}{e}\right)^{7/3} \frac{\hbar^2 k_F^2}{m} \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{k_F a}\right). \tag{1}$$

Even in the dilute limit the determination of the preexponential factor requires the evaluation of many body diagrams beyond the naive expected mean $~~{\rm eld}~{\rm e}_{{\bf p}}{\rm ects}$ S 4 At least theoretically S one can contem. alone plate the following question: "How do the properties of a Fermi system evolve if one were to increase the pair. ing coupling constant until two fermions in vacuum could form a bound state?" The arguments presented in Refs 556575859 lead us to believe that the many fermion system will most likely undergo a smooth crossover from a weak coupling BCS state to a BEC stateSmade of rel atively tightly bound fermion pairs The prospects of observing such a crossover were quite dim until the recent series of experiments S_{4} While for both positive and negative values of the scattering length when $k_F|a| \ll$ the properties of a many fermion system can be described perturbatively. S in the intermediate regionS when the scattering length is comparable or larger than the average inter particle separation the entire system is in non perturbative regime The basic properties of a Fermi system with $|a| = \infty$ have been re-ned recently in a quantum Monte Carlo variational calculation

In a Fermi Bose mixture the interaction responsible for the formation of Cooper pairs could be an induced interaction 4S 5S 6S 7S 8 A moving fermion can excite a sound wave in the Bose gasSwhich will perturb at a relatively large distance another fermion This situation is similar to the electron phonon model of BCS superconductivity The boson induced interaction between fermions is in momentum frequency representation given by

$$U_{fbf}(q,\omega) = U_{fb}^2 \frac{n_b \varepsilon_q}{\hbar^2 \omega^2 - \varepsilon_q (\varepsilon_q - n_b U_{bb})}, \qquad ($$

where U_{fb} is the fermion boson coupling constantSq and ω are the wave vector and the frequency exchanged between the two interacting fermions $\Sigma \varepsilon_q = \hbar^2 q^2 / m_b S m_b$ is the boson mass N_b the boson number density $SU_{bb} = 4\pi \hbar^2 a_{bb}/m_b$ and a_{bb} the boson boson scattering length In the weak coupling limit the two paired fermions exchange a small energy $\hbar\omega = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon_F)$ and a large linear momentum $q = \mathcal{O}(k_F)$ and then the induced interaction is predominantly static and attractive It is straightforward to show that in coordinate representation the induced interaction is of Yukawa type 4S 5S 6S 7S 8

$$U_{fbf}(r) = -\frac{U_{fb}^2}{U_{bb}} \frac{1}{4\pi\xi_b^2 r} \exp\left(-\frac{r}{\xi_b}\right), \qquad ($$

where

$$\xi_b = \frac{\hbar}{m_b s_b} = \frac{a_{bb}}{\sqrt{6\pi n_b a_{bb}^3}}, \quad s_b^2 = \frac{n_b U_{bb}}{m_b}, \qquad (4$$

 ξ_b is the healing or coherence length and s_b is the speed of the Bogoliubov sound waves in the Bose gas It is notable that the strength of the induced interaction in momentum representation at q = - is independent of the boson density The radius ξ_b of this interaction could exceed signi cantly a_{bb} in a very dilute Bose gas Swhere $n_b a_{bb}^3 \ll sSee$ Eq. (4

Using a very simple semiclassical criterion suggested by Calogero 9. Sone can show that the strength of this induced interaction is typically weak Neglecting for the moment the role of U_{ff} Calogero's condition that the potential $U_{fbf}(r)$ can sustain at least one two particle bound state is

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty dr \sqrt{\frac{-m_f U_{fbf}(r)}{\hbar^2}} = \sqrt{\frac{8}{\pi} \frac{U_{fb}^2 m_f}{U_{bb} 4\pi \hbar^2 \xi_b}} \ge \quad . \tag{5}$$

This criterion is saturated in the case of a simple square well potential and thus cannot be improved If one assumes that all scattering lengths and masses are comparable in magnitudeSit is easy to see that this condition can hardly be satisfield in the dilute limit. Swhen the healing-coherence length is large $(\xi_b \gg a_{bb})$ and the right hand side of Eq (5 is $\propto \sqrt{a_{bb}/\xi_b} \ll$ We have thus naturally arrived at the conclusion that the net eject of a repulsive fermion fermion interaction U_{ff} and of the attractive boson induced fermion fermion interaction U_{fbf} could lead to at most a weak coupling pairing gap in the fermion sector Even in the absence of U_{ff} the induced interaction can lead to a weak coupling BCS gap only

An extremely interesting system to consider consists of fermionic atoms mixed with diatomic molecules of the same atom species as in the recent experiment of Jin's One can change the fermion fermion scatter. group ing length to a large and positive value $a_{ff} := a \gg r_0$ $(r_0 \text{ is the radius of the interaction} S which is also typized.$ cally of the order of the $e_{\mathbf{r}}$ ective range by means of a Feshbach resonance For the sake of simplicity of the argument we shall assume that there are equal amounts of two identical fermionic spin subspecies S which we shall formally identify with the two spin states of a spin In such a system one can observe the BCS BEC crossover predicted two decades ago 5S 6S 7S 8S 9 What was not appreciated until recently is that because not only $a_{ff} = a > S$ but also $a_{fb} = .79 a >$ and $a_{bb} > S$ one can manufacture a metastable mixed Fermi Bose system composed of such fermion atoms and weakly bound fermion pairs The size of the fermion pair is $\mathcal{O}(a \gg r_0$ and a dilute system of such pairs alone is metastableSsince $a_{bb} = .6 \ a >$ see below and Ref

This is due to the act that the transitions between atoms and molecules is a relatively slow processSsee Refs $_{2}$ S4 and in particular the discussion of the latest experiment in Jin's group 4 In fact Sat temperatures smaller than the binding energy of a pair $T \ll \hbar^2/m_f a_s^2 S$ the pair pair collision is mostly elastic Inelastic collisions with the formation a tightly bound state and one or two fast atoms with kinetic energies of the order $\hbar^2/m_f r_0^2 \gg$ $\hbar^2/m_f a^2 \gg T$ is possible The branching ratio for such a channel is small ($\propto (r_0/a^{\alpha}, \alpha \approx$ MoreoverSsince the nal products will carry a large kinetic energy Sthey will interact with the rest of the slow constituents with cross sections of the order $r_0^2 \ll a^2 \ll n^{-2/3} {\rm S}$ where nis either the fermion or the pair number density A fast atom will not interact with a pair with a cross section of the order of $a_{\pm}^2 S$ as one might naively expect S for similar reasons why cosmic neutrinos do not have a cross section of the order of the Earth radius squared when they impinge on our planet Therefore S such process could not lead to a noticeable heating of the system Three body recombination rates can be suppressed by choosing appropriate low densities One should recognize however that due to the dimerent dependence on the scattering length a of the three body recombination and of the the rate of formation of tightly bound moleculesSthe optimal conditions for the formation of an atomic molecular sys. tem correspond to such values of the scattering length

for which these rates are approximately equal The lifetimes of such a mixed atomic molecular system could be estimated as ranging from ^{-2}s to several seconds Sdepending on the atom species Speak number density and speci c value of the scattering length

Th total energy density of such a system of spin fermions is given by the following expression $(m_f := m, m_b := m na^3 \ll , n = n_f - n_b$

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{\hbar^2 k_F^2}{5} n_f - \frac{\pi \hbar^2 a}{m} n_f^2$$
$$- \frac{.5}{m} \frac{7\pi \hbar^2 a}{m} n_f n_b - \frac{.6\pi \hbar^2 a}{m} n_b^2 - \varepsilon_2 n_b, \qquad (6)$$

where $n_f = k_F^3 / \pi_2^2 S k_F$ is the Fermi wave vector and $\varepsilon_2 = -\hbar^2 / ma^2$ is the ground state energy of the pair The speci c numerical values quoted here were obtained from a detailed calculation of the atom. dimer and dimer. dimer scattering lengths described in the next paragraph There are well known corrections of higher order in $na^3 \ll$ to this expressionS which we neglect here The chemiz cal potentials for the fermions and bosons in this case can be speci ed independently Chemical equilibrium between fermions and pairs can be established only after a very long timeS as at these small temperatures $|\varepsilon_2| = \hbar^2/ma^2 \gg TS$ see the discussion of recent results from Jin's group 4 The energy density in Eq (6 has to be supplemented with a contribution arising from the weak coupling pairing correlations (condensar tion energy S due to induced interactions if there is a Cooper instability Since the Fermi subsystem is always in the weak coupling BCS limitS this correction to the energy is small

In the extreme limit when $a \gg r_{0}$ Swhere r_{0} is the energy ec. tive range appearing in the low energy expansion of the swave scattering phase shift $k \cot \delta(k) = -\frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{2} r_0 k^2 - \dots$, the fermion pair scattering length is $a_{fb}\approx~$. 79 aSa result rst established by Skorniakov and Ter Martirosian Since the value of the atom atom scatter. in 957 ing length can be manipulated by means of the Feshbach resonance Sso can the ratio r_0/a Sas r_0 (unlike a should have a small variation with the applied magnetic eld in the narrow region of the resonance The dependence of a_{fb} as a function of $a = a_{ff}$ for xed r_0 is determined Sup to corrections of order $(r_0/a \overset{3}{\sim} Sby a simple generalization$ of the Skorniakov and Ter Martirosian equation It turns out that the ratio a_{fb}/a varies by less than % as r_0 varies from $r_0 = to r_0 = . a$ and the likelized hood of Cooper pair formation is not much a ected by nite range e_ects We have performed as well calcular tions of the dimer dimer scattering length using a well established four body scattering formalism The four body equations we solve involve four identical spin fermions (two with spin up and two with spin down, Sinteracting through a short range potential in ${}^{1}S_{0}$ alone The formalism we use is identical to the one developed 5 to study the four nucleon system at low in Refs

energies and is based on the solution of the AltS Grass. berger and Sandhas (AGS equations 6 in the form that was proposed by Fonseca and Shanley 7 Since this method requires the solution of the underlying two. and three-body T_matrix for all relevant channelsSit is appropriate to mention that we include in the calculation three-body sub-amplitudes with total angular momenta ranging from $/\pm$ to $9/\pm$ S since we distinct that PAwave atom. dimer scattering contributes as much as % to the dimer_dimer scattering length The results of the calculation indicate that $a_{bb} = .6 \ a$ Calculations were performed using both a nite and a zero range two.body interaction In the case of a nite range we have varied the ratio a/r_0 from $\mathcal{O}($ to \approx The calculations of Petrov *et al.* of the atom.dimer and dimer.dimer scattering lengthsSbased on a novel theoretical approachS whose validity we were unable to assess though S agree with our result

It is remarkable that the interaction properties of this mixed system of atoms and weakly bound molecules can be described in terms of a single parameter. Sthe fermion fermion scattering length a From the previous analysis 4S 5S 6S 7S 8 and the arguments given above we know now that the tightly bound Cooper pairs which form a BECS can lead to an additional attraction among the fermions The full fermion fermion interaction in momentum space (and zero frequency is therefore

$$U_{ff}(q) = \frac{-\pi\hbar^2 a}{m} \left[-\frac{5}{-\pi} \frac{2\xi_b^2}{q^2\xi_b^2} \right], \qquad (7)$$

where $\hbar q$ is the momentum exchanged by two incoming fermions with momenta $\hbar k_{1,2}$ The *s* wave pairing gap is determined by the l = partial wave of this interaction (if attractive S when both fermion momenta are at the Fermi surface $(k_1 = k_2 = k_F)$

$$\Delta = \left(\frac{1}{e}\right)^{7/3} \frac{\hbar^2 k_F^2}{m} \times \exp\left[\frac{\pi}{k_F a} \left(-5. \frac{\ln(-4k_F^2\xi^2)}{4k_F^2\xi^2}\right)^{-1}\right], (8)$$

otherwise $\Delta \equiv$ The value of the pre_exponential factor requires further analysis The induced interaction has a relatively large radius and typically in an extremely dilute system $k_F \xi = ... 7(n_f/n_b \ ^{1/3}(n_b a^3 \ ^{-1/6} \gg .S)$ if the densities of the fermion and boson subsystems are comparable Pairing in the *s* wave is not likely then since in this case the *s* wave full fermion fermion interaction is repulsive Stills for appropriate values of the parameters *s* wave pairing is possible. See Fig For other values of the parameters *p* wave pairing should be considered 8. Sbut the size of the *p* wave gap will typically be smaller

The spectrum of sound waves in such a system has two branches The bosonic pairs will naturally have a



FIG. 1: The ratio Δ/ε_F ($\varepsilon_F = \hbar^2 k_F^2/2m$) as a function of n_f/n_b , for a fixed boson number density $n_b = 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and $n_b a^3 = 0.064$ (solid line) and $n_b a^3 = 0.037$ (dashed line) respectively. The dots show the value of the gap in the case of *p*-wave paring for $n_b a^3 = 0.064$.

Bogoliubov sound branch with the speed s_k See Eq. (4.5) while in the fermion sector the sound waves will have the speed $9_{s}S_{f} = v_{F}/\sqrt{} = \hbar(\pi^{2}n_{f})^{1/3}/\sqrt{}m_{s}Sun_{f}$ like in a normal Fermi gasSwhere the speed of the Landau's zero sound waves is larger then v_F The ra. tio of these two sound speeds could in principle have any values depending on the speci c values of the scat tering length and fermion and boson number densities $s_f/s_b \propto (n_f/n_b^{-1/3}/(n_b a^{3-1/6})$ For dilute systems with comparable amounts of bosons and fermions the slowest mode is the bosonic Bogoliubov sound wave There are relatively small corrections to the values of s_b and s_k due to interactions and also due to some mixing between the two types of sound waves We simply mention that for these systems (in both normal and super uid fermion phases there is no long wave instability of the type suggested in Ref Even though the present analysis was limited to the homogeneous cases the properties of a system in a trap can be easily inferred and asSit was San atom_molecule mixture of the kind shown in Ref considered here acquires a complex and quite unexpected spatial structure

Discussions with G F BertschS D S PetrovS G V ShlyapnikovS B SpivakS S Stringari and L Viverit are greatly appreciated AB and PFB acknowledge DOE support and ACF was supported in part by grant POCTI-FNU=7 8 =---

- [1] B. DeMarco and D.S. Jin, Science, **285**, 1703 (1999).
- [2] K.M.O'Hara *et al.*, Science **298**, 2179 (2002);see also comment by L. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, Science, **298**, 2144 (2002).
- [3] C. A. Regal *et al.*, Nature **424**, 47 (2003), see also K.E.
 Strecker *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 080406 (2003); J.

Cubizolles *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**,240401 (2003); S. Jochim *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 240402 (2003).

- M. Greiner *et al.*, Nature **426**, 537 (2003); M.W. Zwierlein *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 250401 (2003); S. Jochim *et al.*, Science **302**, 2101 (2003).
- [5] A.J. Leggett, in Modern Trends in the Theory of Condensed Matter, eds. A. Pekalski and R. Przystawa, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980; J. Phys. (Paris) Colloq. 41, C7–19 (1980).
- [6] P. Nozières and S. Schmitt-Rink, J. Low Temp. Phys. 59, 195 (1985).
- [7] C.A.R. Sá de Mello *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 3202 (1993).
- [8] M. Randeria, in *Bose–Einstein Condensation*, eds. A. Griffin, D.W. Snoke and S. Stringari, Cambridge University Press (1995), pp 355–392.
- [9] Y. Ohashi and A. Griffin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 130402 (2002) and earlier references therein.
- [10] J. Carlson *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 050401 (2003).
- [11] A. Bulgac and Y. Yu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 190404 (2003).
- [12] L.N. Cooper, Phys. Rev. **104**, 1189 (1956); J. Bardeen, et al., Phys. Rev. **108**, 1175 (1957).
- [13] L.P. Gorkov and T.K. Melik–Barkhudarov, Sov. Phys. JETP 13, 1018 (1961).
- [14] H. Heiselberg, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 2418 (2000).
- [15] J. Bardeen et al., Phys. Rev. 156, 207 (1967).
- [16] L. Viverit *et al.*, Phys. Rev. A **61**, 053605 (2000); L.
 Viverit, Phys. Rev. A **66**, 023605 (2002); L. Viverit and
 S. Giorgini, Phys. Rev. A **66**, 063604 (2002).
- [17] M.J. Bijlsma et al., Phys. Rev. A 61, 053601 (2000).
- [18] C.J. Pethick and H. Smith, Bose–Einstein Condensation in Dilute Gases, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge UK (2002).
- [19] F. Calogero Variable phase approach to potential scattering, New York, Academic Press, 1967.
- [20] A. Bulgac and P.F. Bedaque, cond-mat/0210217.
- [21] G.V. Skorniakov and K.A. Ter-Martirosian, Sov. Phys. JETP 4, 648 (1957).
- [22] P.F. Bedaque and U. van Kolck, Phys.. Lett. B 428, 221 (1998); P.F. Bedaque, et al., Phys. Rev. C 58, R641 (1998); Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 52 339 (2002); P.F. Bedaque and H.W Griesshammer, Nucl. Phys. A 671, 357 (2000).
- [23] D.S. Petrov *et al.*, cond-mat/0309010.
- [24] D.S. Petrov, Phys. Rev. A 67, 010703(R) (2003).
- [25] A.C.Fonseca, Phys. Rev. C 40, 1390 (1989) and Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 4021(1999).
- [26] P. Grassberger and W. Sandhas, Nucl. Phys.B2, 181 (1967); E.O. Alt, et al., Phys.Rev. C 1, 85 (1970).
- [27] A.C. Fonseca and P.E. Shanley, Phys.Rev. C 14 ,1343 (1976).
- [28] D.V. Efremov and L. Viverit, Phys. Rev. B 65, 134519 (2002).
- [29] N.N. Bogoliubov, V.V. Tolmachev and D.V. Shirkov, A New Method in the Theory of Superconductivity, Translated from Russian New York, Consultants Bureau, 1959;
 V.M. Galitskii, Sov. Phys. JETP 34 (7), 698 (1958);
 P.W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. 112, 1900 (1958); D.T. Son, hep-ph/0204199.
- [30] L.D. Landau, Sov. Phys. JETP, 5, 101 (1957).
- [31] H. Pu *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 070408 (2002); C.P. Search *et al.*, cond-mat/0112393.
- [32] A. Bulgac, cond-mat/0309358.