arXiv:cond-mat/0312335v1 13 Dec 2003

Nanoscale Quantum Solvation of para- H_2 around the Linear OCS Molecule inside ⁴He Droplets

Yongkyung Kwon a,b and K. Birgitta Whaley^b

^a Department of Physics, Konkuk University, Seoul 143-701, Korea ^b Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

We present a microscopic analysis of the quantum solvation structures of para-H₂ around the OCS

molecule when embedded in low temperature ⁴He droplets. The structures of clusters containing M = 5 and 6 para-H₂ molecules are compared with corresponding structures for M = 1 (OCS-H₂ complex) and M = 17 (a full solvation shell), as well as with the clusters in the absence of helium. We find that the helium has negligible effect on the structures for the small and large OCS(H₂)_M clusters, but that it modifies the cluster structure for M = 6. We discuss implications of these results for the onset of superfluidity in the solvating hydrogen shell and for spectroscopic measurements.

PACS numbers: 36.40.-c, 36.40.Mr, 67.40.-w, 67.40.Yv

I. INTRODUCTION

Finite size clusters and droplets of helium and para-hydrogen provide unique opportunities to find new superfluid states and to probe these with molecular and atomic impurities. Helium clusters can be formed at temperatures of T=0.15-0.4 K, and can be doped with a range of molecular impurities, which are subsequently probed with a variety of spectroscopic techniques.¹ Spectroscopic features such as the observation of free molecular rotation in ⁴He droplets but not in ³He droplets, reduced rotational constants saturating at large droplet values already for small clusters, and energy gaps to phonon wings in electronic spectra have all been quantitatively explained in terms of the superfluid nature of a finite ⁴He cluster into which a molecule is doped.¹

Less progress has been made for the analogous clusters of molecular H₂ whose properties are also expected to be dominated by quantum effects, both zero-point delocalization and permutation symmetry, but which are considerably more strongly bound than helium clusters and in which there is therefore appreciable competition between structuring forces and quantum effects. Theoretical work has predicted finite superfluid response at low temperatures for very small hydrogen clusters², for doped two-dimensional hydrogen films³, and for hydrogen clusters solvating a small molecule⁴. This last system is accessible experimentally via the above-mentioned techniques of doping helium droplets and subsequently probing the embedded impurity species spectroscopically. The linear OCS molecule has provided a very useful probe for these studies and a series of spectroscopic experiments have recently been carried out with OCS wrapped by from M = 1 to M = 16 hydrogen molecules, embedded into cold helium droplets.^{5,6,7}

Here we present a series of theoretical studies of hydrogen-solvated OCS embedded inside pure ⁴He clusters, focusing on several sizes of hydrogen solvation that provide critical insight into the onset of molecular superfluidity for hydrogen. We treat the para-H₂ molecules as spherical particles like helium atoms and the OCS molecule as fixed and nonrotating. A sum of pair potentials consisting of H₂-H₂, H₂-He, He-He, H₂-OCS, and He-OCS terms is used to represent the interaction potential between the three different particles involved. As discussed in detail in Ref. 8, the OCS-H₂ interaction has a very similar topology to the OCS-He potential, but a much deeper global minimum. We employ the path-integral Monte Carlo (PIMC) method which allows us to make a quantitative estimate of the superfluidity of the helium and hydrogen components.⁹ The global superfluid fraction can be evaluated by an estimator written in terms of the projected area of the Feynman paths (see Eq. (5) of Ref. 8).

II. $OCS(H_2)_M$ COMPLEXES IN ⁴HE_N DROPLETS

We have previously characterized the structure and rotational spectroscopy of the both the M = 1 OCS-H₂ complex inside a helium cluster⁸ and the fully hydrogen-solvated M = 17 OCS(H₂)₁₇ cluster⁴. The M = 1 complex was seen to be well described by a rigidly attached H₂ molecule resulting in an asymmetric top, while the fully solvated M = 17cluster was seen to possess an anisotropic superfluid response that implies a decoupling of the hydrogen shell to rotations about the OCS molecular axis. The structure of this hydrogen solvation layer for M = 17 consists of multiple annular bands around OCS, while the single hydrogen molecule for M = 1 is located in the global minimum of the OCS-H₂ (and OCS-He) potential. Neither the M = 1 nor M = 17 clusters show significant change in their structure on adding helium. The superfluid response for M = 17 was seen to be characterized by permutation exchanges between different annular bands. The structure of the intermediate size clusters is thus critical for understanding the onset of this nanoscale molecular superfluidity of para-hydrogen.



FIG. 1: Azimuthal angular pair correlation function $g(\phi)$ in OCS(H₂)_M complexes with M = 5 and 6 inside a droplet of 39 ⁴He atoms at T=0.3125 K.

As noted earlier in Ref. 10, five hydrogen molecules in a $OCS(H_2)_5$ complex inside a cluster of ${}^{4}He_{39}$ can form a complete band of density encircling the linear OCS molecule, removing all helium atoms from the waist location of lowest OCS-He/OCS-H₂ potential energy. In order to quantify the angular distribution of these hydrogen molecules, we compute their azimuthal angular pair correlation function. Figure 1 shows that the five hydrogen molecules show a very structured angular pair correlation that is consistent with five approximately equally-spaced particles located in an annular band around the OCS axis. This can be understood as a result of the confinement in the waist region together with the hard-core repulsion between hydrogen molecules. These structural properties of the M = 5 complex differ very little from those computed for the free complex of the same size without surrounding helium.

Figure 2(a) shows the hydrogen and helium density distributions of the $OCS(H_2)_6$ complex inside a ⁴He₃₉ cluster at T=0.3125 K. All of the six hydrogen molecules are distributed at the global minimum of the OCS-H₂ potential and form an annular band, just like the M = 5 case. The corresponding azimuthal angular pair correlation function is shown in Figure 1. It is evident that the six H_2 molecules show a more structured angular pair correlation (solid line) than the five H_2 molecules in the $OCS(H_2)_5$ complex (dashed line). This implies that the azimuthal distribution in the six-hydrogen band is more compact than in the five-hydrogen band. For the M = 6 cluster there is now also a marked dependence of the structure on the presence of helium. Diffusion Monte Carlo calculations have shown that the hydrogen density distribution in the free $OCS(H_2)_6$ complex without any surrounding helium shows a fivehydrogen band located at the waist of the OCS, and one additional H₂ molecule at the oxygen side next to the band.¹¹ Our PIMC calculations confirm that this zero-temperature structure is also the most stable structure at T=0.625 K in the absence of helium. Hence we may conclude that the six-hydrogen band is not stable without the presence of additional helium atoms. This is understandable when considering that the hydrogen-hydrogen distance in the six-hydrogen band located at the global minimum of the $OCS-H_2$ potential is shorter than the minimum energy distance (~ 3.47 Å) between two H₂ molecules. We find that the azimuthally symmetric six-hydrogen band is broader in the z-direction than the five-hydrogen band formed in the $OCS(H_2)_5$ complex inside the ⁴He₃₉ droplet. This greater breadth in the axial direction can also be rationalized as a result of the shorter hydrogen-hydrogen distance in the six-hydrogen complex. Since these H_2 molecules are confined in a narrow band and effectively constitute a one-dimensional system (see Figure 1), the only possible exchanges among them are the cyclic ones involving all six molecules (five for the M = 5 complex). Finding a temperature regime in which such exchange-coupled paths are realized and characterization of a possible superfluid/supersolid state require more computational work.

Figure 2(b) shows the hydrogen and helium distributions for the M = 17 complex embedded in ⁴He₃₉. The hydrogen is seen to expel all ⁴He atoms from the immediate vicinity of the OCS molecule and to thus constitute exactly one solvation layer when the M = 17 complex is inside a helium cluster. This hydrogen solvation layer consists of four annular bands around OCS and changes very little upon addition of helium. We showed in Ref. 4 that this confined system of hydrogen molecules possesses a transition to an anisotropic superfluid state below T~ 0.3 K. The parallel component f_{\parallel}^s , which represents the superfluid response to rotation around an axis parallel to the OCS molecular axis, sharply increases to unity at T= 0.15 K from ~ 0.18 at T~ 0.3 K. The perpendicular component f_{\perp}^s shows a small increase as the temperature drops below T~ 0.3 K but remains much weaker than f_{\parallel}^s . Further analysis showed that a sudden increase in f_{\parallel}^s at the lower temperature is primarily due to the exchange coupling among H₂ molecules



FIG. 2: H₂ and ⁴He density distributions in OCS(H₂)_M complexes inside a droplet of 39 ⁴He atoms at T=0.3125 K. Upper panels, (a), correspond to M = 6 and lower panels, (b), to M = 17. The origin is set at the center of mass of the OCS, Z is the coordinate along the OCS molecular axis with +Z on the sulfur side, and R the radial distance from the axis.

in different bands.

III. SPECTROSCOPIC IMPLICATIONS

The different properties of the M = 1 and M = 17 clusters result in very different spectroscopic features in helium droplets. The M = 1 cluster behaves as a rigid complex and its spectroscopy can be quantitatively interpreted with the assumption of rigid coupling of the H₂ motion to the OCS motion, together with a contribution from rigidly coupled helium non-superfluid density in both the first and second solvation shells.⁸ In contrast, the M = 17 cluster, which should possess symmetric top symmetry and show a Q-branch (corresponding to $\Delta J = 0$ transitions) in its rotational spectrum if analogously rigidly coupled, actually shows no Q-branch in its infrared spectrum at T= 0.15 K.⁵ This is rationalized in terms of the high parallel superfluid response of the completed hydrogen solvation layer below $T \sim 0.3$ K, which implies that there can be no angular momentum excitation parallel to the OCS molecular axis in the hydrogen layer, and hence no possibility of a Q-branch.⁴

The M = 5 and 6 clusters lie inbetween these two extremes of minimal and complete hydrogen solvation. Prediction of their infrared spectroscopy in helium requires careful analysis of the extent of rigidity and the role of exchange permutations in the hydrogen band. Further work is required to determine whether the linear response quantification of rigidity for a superfluid is appropriate to a single annular density band, and how to evaluate the corresponding quantum response quantifier. We note that the high symmetry of the annular density bands for the M = 5 and 6 complexes of $OCS(H_2)_M$ seen here can be used to justify a simple molecular argument for absence of the Qbranch based on the symmetry of an equally-spaced ring of five or six H₂ molecules distributed at the waist of the OCS molecule.¹² A more complete analysis of the quantum response will provide critical insight into the onset of nanoscale superfluidity in these molecular hydrogen solvation clusters. Since the presence of H₂ molecules can induce a nonsuperfluid density in the second helium solvation shell as well as in the first shell,⁸ further calculations on bigger sizes of helium clusters are necessary for complete analysis of the local nonsuperfluid helium density and hence for quantitative estimation of the rotational constants of the OCS(H₂)_M complexes inside helium droplets.

IV. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work has been supported by Konkuk University (the 2002 research fund to YK) and by the Chemistry Division of the National Science Foundation (grant CHE-0107541 to KBW).

- J. P. Toennies, A. F. Vilesov, and K. B. Whaley, *Physics Today* 54, 31 (2001).
 P. Sindzingre, D. M. Ceperley, and M. L. Klein, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 67, 1871 (1991).
- ³ M. C. Gordillo and D. M. Ceperley, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 3010 (1997).
- ⁴ Y. Kwon and K. B. Whaley, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 273401 (2002).
- ⁵ S. Grebenev et al., *Science* **289**, 1532 (2000).
- ⁶ S. Grebenev et al., *Faraday Discussions* **118**, 19 (2001).
- ⁷ S. Grebenev et al., J. Chem. Phys. **114**, 617 (2001).
- ⁸ Y. Kwon and K. B. Whaley, J. Chem. Phys. 119, 1986 (2003).
 ⁹ D. M. Ceperley, Rev. Mod. Phys. 67, 279 (1995).
- ¹⁰ M. Patel, *Faraday Discussions* **118**, 47 (2001).
- ¹¹ F. Paesani, R. E. Zillich, and K. B. Whaley, to be published.
- ¹² S. Grebenev et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 225301 (2002).