

# On the Ramsey Numbers for Bipartite Multigraphs\*

Ming-Yang Chen<sup>†</sup>      Hsueh-I Lu<sup>‡</sup>      Hsu-Chun Yen<sup>§</sup>

May 12, 2003

## Abstract

A coloring of a complete bipartite graph is shuffle-preserved if it is the case that assigning a color  $c$  to edges  $(u, v)$  and  $(u', v')$  enforces the same color assignment for edges  $(u, v')$  and  $(u', v)$ . (In words, the induced subgraph with respect to color  $c$  is complete.) In this paper, we investigate a variant of the Ramsey problem for the class of complete bipartite multigraphs. (By a multigraph we mean a graph in which multiple edges, but no loops, are allowed.) Unlike the conventional  $m$ -coloring scheme in Ramsey theory which imposes a constraint (i.e.,  $m$ ) on the total number of colors allowed in a graph, we introduce a relaxed version called  $m$ -local coloring which only requires that, for every vertex  $v$ , the number of colors associated with  $v$ 's incident edges is bounded by  $m$ . Note that the number of colors found in a graph under  $m$ -local coloring may exceed  $m$ . We prove that given any  $n \times n$  complete bipartite multigraph  $G$ , every shuffle-preserved  $m$ -local coloring displays a monochromatic copy of  $K_{p,p}$  provided that  $2(p-1)(m-1) < n$ . Moreover, the above bound is tight when (i)  $m = 2$ , or (ii)  $n = 2^k$  and  $m = 3 \cdot 2^{k-2}$  for every integer  $k \geq 2$ . As for the lower bound of  $p$ , we show that the existence of a monochromatic  $K_{p,p}$  is not guaranteed if  $p > \lceil \frac{n}{m} \rceil$ . Finally, we give a generalization for  $k$ -partite graphs and a method applicable to general graphs. Many conclusions found in  $m$ -local coloring can be inferred to similar results of  $m$ -coloring.

## 1 Introduction

*Ramsey theory*, originated in a seminal paper by Ramsey [11] in 1930, has emerged as a fast growing and fascinating research topic in mathematics and theoretical computer science in recent years. Ramsey theory deals with the investigation of the conditions under which a

---

\*Research supported in part by NSC grants NSC-91-2815-C-002-092-E.

<sup>†</sup>Department of Electrical Engineering, National Taiwan University, Taipei 106, Taiwan, Republic of China. Email: roc3.chen@msa.hinet.net.

<sup>‡</sup>Corresponding author: Institute of Information Science, Academia Sinica, Taipei 115, Taiwan, Republic of China. Email: hil@iis.sinica.edu.tw. URL: [www.iis.sinica.edu.tw/~hil/](http://www.iis.sinica.edu.tw/~hil/)

<sup>§</sup>Department of Electrical Engineering, National Taiwan University Taipei 106, Taiwan, Republic of China. Email: yen@cc.ee.ntu.edu.tw. URL: [www.ee.ntu.edu.tw/~yen/](http://www.ee.ntu.edu.tw/~yen/)

sufficiently large complete graph always includes a certain substructure [7]. Among various graphs for which Ramsey-type problems have been investigated, bipartite graphs constitute a class for which several deep results have been obtained for a variety of Ramsey numbers (see, e.g., [2, 5, 6, 3]). For example, in [6], Erdős and Rouseau proved that in every 2-coloring of  $K_{n,n}$ , there is a monochromatic copy of  $K_{p,p}$  if

$$n \binom{n/2}{p} > (p-1) \binom{n}{p}.$$

In a more recent article [3], Carnielli and Carmelo showed that in any 2-coloring of a bipartite complete graph  $K_{n,n}$ , one can always find a monochromatic subgraph isomorphic to  $K_{p,q}$  if  $n \geq 2^p(q-1) + 2^{p-1} - 1$ . As in asymptotic versions [4, 9], Caro and Rouseau achieved that there are constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  such that

$$c_1 \left( \frac{p}{\log p} \right)^{(q+1)/2} < b(p, q) < c_2 \left( \frac{p}{\log p} \right)^q,$$

holds as  $p$  tends to infinity, where  $b(p, q)$  is the smallest integer  $n$  such that every 2-coloring (say red and green) of the edges of  $K_{n,n}$  contains either a red  $K_{p,p}$  or a green  $K_{q,q}$ .

Some recent research focuses turn to seek other types of Ramsey theory which give tighter bounds or stronger relations with other well-known combinatorial numbers, but usually require additional constraints on the graph. For example, Alon, Erdős, Gunderson, and Molloy [1] proved that the corresponding largest integer  $m$  is asymptotically equal to the Turán number  $t(n, \lfloor \binom{n}{2}/k \rfloor)$  if the smallest Ramsey number  $n$  satisfies that, for any  $k$ -coloring of complete graph  $K_n$ , there exists a copy of  $K_m$  whose edges receive at most  $k-1$  colors. Similarly, we address how to find a monochromatic copy of biclique in a bipartite multigraph with a *shuffle-preserved* coloring, i.e., a coloring  $\eta$  such that for all  $u, u' \in U$  and  $v, v' \in V$ , the condition  $(u, v)_c \wedge (u', v')_c$  implies the condition  $(u, v')_c \wedge (u', v)_c$ , where  $(u, v)_c$  denotes the existence of an edge colored  $c$  between vertices  $u$  and  $v$ . (See Figure 1.) In the present paper, we prove that given any  $n \times n$  complete bipartite multigraph  $G$ , every shuffle-preserved  $m$ -local coloring displays a monochromatic copy of  $K_{p,p}$  provided that  $2(p-1)(m-1) < n$ . Moreover, the above bound is tight when (i)  $m = 2$ , or (ii)  $n = 2^k$  and  $m = 3 \cdot 2^{k-2}$  for every integer  $k \geq 2$ . As for the lower bound of  $p$ , we show that the existence of a monochromatic  $K_{p,p}$  is not guaranteed if  $p > \lceil \frac{n}{m} \rceil$ . Finally, we give a generalization for  $k$ -partite graphs and a method applicable to general graphs.

It is worthy of pointing out that the constraint of shuffle-preserving, to a certain extent, resembles the requirement found in the notion of *induced Ramsey numbers* (see, e.g., [8]) which deals with conditions under which the existence of a monochromatic induced subgraph is guaranteed. Note that what shuffle-preserved means is that for every color  $c$ , the subgraph induced by  $c$  is complete. (A subgraph  $(V', E')$  of  $(V, E)$  (where  $V' \subseteq V$  and  $E' \subseteq E$ ) is said to be *induced* if  $E' = \{(u, v) : u, v \in V', (u, v) \in E\}$ .) As we shall see later, the underlying coloring being shuffle-preserved plays a critical role in the existence of a much smaller Ramsey number, in comparison with those reported in the literature for bipartite




Figure 1: A monochromatic  $K_{3,3}$  in a coloring of a  $4 \times 4$  bipartite multigraph. Notice that here  $m$  is bounded by 3, although the total number of colors used in the graph is 5.

graphs. For more about Ramsey numbers for a variety of graphs, the interested reader is referred to [10].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the key theorem of this paper, whose tightness is addressed in Section 3. Section 4 shows our results for  $k$ -partite graphs and a generalization to general graphs. Section 5 concludes the paper with some open questions.

## 2 Ramsey theory for bipartite multigraphs

An  $n \times n$  bipartite multigraph  $G$  is said to be *complete* if every two vertices of different bipartitions are adjacent. Given a vertex  $u$ , we write  $C(u)$  to denote the set  $\{c \in C : \text{an incident edge of } u \text{ is colored } c\}$ . For an  $n \times n$  bipartite multigraph  $G = (V, E)$  and a color set  $C$ , a coloring  $\eta$  is said to be an  $m$ -local coloring if for every vertex  $u$ ,  $|C(u)| \leq m$  (i.e., the number of colors assigned to  $u$ 's incident edges is at most  $m$ ). Notice that for any  $m$ -local coloring, the total number of colors used in the entire graph may exceed  $m$ . Now the following main theorem gives Ramsey theory for bipartite multigraphs.

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $G$  be an  $n \times n$  complete bipartite multigraph. If  $p$  and  $m$  are positive integers such that  $2(p-1)(m-1) < n$ , then any shuffle-preserved  $m$ -local coloring has a monochromatic copy of  $K_{p,p}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that the vertex set of  $G$  is partitioned as  $U \cup V$ . Let  $\eta$  be an arbitrary shuffle-preserved  $m$ -local coloring on  $G$  using color set  $C$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that all the multiple edges between each pair of vertices have distinct colors under

$\eta$ . We define  $U(c)$  (respectively,  $V(c)$ ) to be the set of vertices in  $U$  (respectively,  $V$ ) each of which has at least one incident edge colored  $c$ . To prove our theorem, it suffices to show that there exists a  $c \in C$  such that  $|U(c)| \geq p$  and  $|V(c)| \geq p$ . (In this case, the existence of a monochromatic  $K_{p,p}$  follows immediately from  $\eta$  being shuffle-preserved.)

We prove the theorem by contradiction. Suppose, on the contrary, that there were no  $c \in C$  satisfying  $|U(c)| \geq p$  and  $|V(c)| \geq p$ . For convenience, we write the ordered triple  $(u, v, c)$  to represent the relation  $c \in C(u) \cap C(v)$ , and let  $T$  be the set of all such triples. By  $K_{n,n} \subseteq G$ , it is reasonably easy to observe

$$|T| = \sum_{u \in U} \sum_{v \in V} |C(u) \cap C(v)| \geq |U| \cdot |V| = n^2. \quad (1)$$

Furthermore, by changing order in double summation, the following also hold.

$$\sum_{c \in C} |U(c)| = \sum_{u \in U} |C(u)| \leq m |U| \quad (2)$$

$$\sum_{c \in C} |V(c)| = \sum_{v \in V} |C(v)| \leq m |V|. \quad (3)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= \{c \in C : |U(c)| \geq p\}; \\ C_2 &= \{c \in C : |U(c)| < p\}. \end{aligned}$$

For a vertex  $u$  and a color  $c$ , we write  $u \xrightarrow{c}$  to represent the set of  $u$ 's adjacent vertices each of which is connected to  $u$  through some edge of color  $c$ . For every  $u \in U$ , by the Pigeonhole Principle there must be a color  $c \in C$  such that  $|u \xrightarrow{c}| \geq \lceil n/m \rceil$  (i.e., at least  $\lceil n/m \rceil$  incident edges of  $u$  are colored  $c$ ). Note that  $p - 1 < \frac{n}{2(m-1)}$  implies

$$\left\lceil \frac{n}{2(m-1)} \right\rceil \geq p.$$

By  $m \geq 2$  we have

$$|u \xrightarrow{c}| \geq \left\lceil \frac{n}{m} \right\rceil \geq p.$$

Hence,  $|V(c)| \geq |u \xrightarrow{c}| \geq p$  (at least  $p$  of vertex  $u$ 's neighbors are in  $V(c)$ ). Due to our assumption that no  $c \in C$  satisfies  $|U(c)| \geq p$  and  $|V(c)| \geq p$  simultaneously,  $c$  must be in  $C_2$ . For every vertex  $u \in U$ , there exists a  $c \in C(u)$  such that  $c \in C_2$ . Hence,

$$\sum_{c \in C_2} |U(c)| \geq |U|$$

and from Equations (2) and (3) we have

$$\sum_{c \in C_1} |U(c)| \leq (m-1) |U|.$$

Similarly for each  $v \in V$ , there must be a color  $c$  such that  $|v \xrightarrow{c}| \geq p$  (i.e., at least  $p$  vertices in  $U$  are adjacent to  $v$  through edges colored  $c$ ). Thus  $c$  will be in  $C_1$  and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{c \in C_1} |V(c)| &\geq |V|; \\ \sum_{c \in C_2} |V(c)| &\leq (m-1)|V|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using the above inequalities, we find

$$\begin{aligned} |T| &= \sum_{c \in C} |U(c)| \cdot |V(c)| \\ &= \sum_{c \in C_1} |U(c)| \cdot |V(c)| + \sum_{c \in C_2} |U(c)| \cdot |V(c)| \\ &\leq (p-1) \sum_{c \in C_1} |U(c)| + (p-1) \sum_{c \in C_2} |V(c)| \\ &\leq (p-1)(m-1)|U| + (p-1)(m-1)|V| \\ &= 2(p-1)(m-1)n \\ &< n^2, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts Equation (1). Our theorem follows.  $\square$

Since every  $m$ -coloring (i.e., coloring a graph with at most  $m$  distinct colors) is clearly an  $m$ -local coloring, the following is straightforward.

**Corollary 2.2** *In every shuffle-preserved  $m$ -coloring of an  $n \times n$  complete bipartite multi-graph  $G$ , there is a monochromatic copy of  $K_{p,p}$  if*

$$2(p-1)(m-1) < n.$$

For  $m = 2$ , Corollary 2.2 suggests a sufficient condition of  $n > 2(p-1)$  for the existence of a monochromatic  $K_{p,p}$ . The interested reader should contrast this result with a much larger bound (i.e.,  $n > 2^p p$ ) in [6] in which the shuffle-preserved constraint is lifted.

### 3 Necessary and sufficient conditions

In this section we provide necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a monochromatic copy of  $K_{p,p}$  for some special cases.

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $G$  be a complete  $n \times n$  bipartite graph without multiple edges.*

1. *If  $p > \left\lceil \frac{n}{m} \right\rceil$ , then there exists a shuffle-preserved  $m$ -coloring (and thus a shuffle-preserved  $m$ -local coloring) of  $G$  such that  $G$  does not contain any monochromatic copy of  $K_{p,p}$ .*




Figure 2: An example which does not contain any monochromatic copy of  $K_{3,3}$  for  $(n, m, p) = (5, 3, 3)$  in Lemma 3.1(1).

2. If  $n = 2^k$ ,  $m = 3 \times 2^{k-2}$ , and  $p = 2$  for some  $k \geq 2$ , then there exists a shuffle-preserved  $m$ -local coloring of  $G$  such that  $G$  does not contain any monochromatic copy of  $K_{p,p}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u_i$  (respectively,  $v_i$ ) be the  $i$ -th node of  $U$  (respectively,  $V$ ).

Statement 1. We color the edge between  $u_i$  and  $v_j$  by color  $(i \bmod m)$ . One can easily verify that such a coloring is indeed a shuffle-preserved  $m$ -coloring. By  $p > \lceil \frac{n}{m} \rceil$ , each  $v_i$  has at most  $p - 1$  incident edges with the same color. Therefore,  $G$  contains no monochromatic copy of  $K_{p,p}$ . (See Figure 2.)

Statement 2. For each  $\ell = 2, 3, \dots, k$ , let  $M_\ell$  denote the  $2^\ell \times 2^\ell$  color matrix whose the  $(i, j)$ -entry specifies the color of the edge between  $u_i$  and  $v_j$ . We construct  $M_k$  recursively by letting

$$M_2 = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 5 & 2 & 2 \\ \hline 1 & 4 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline 8 & 5 & 8 & 7 \\ \hline 6 & 6 & 3 & 7 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

(see Figure 3) and

$$M_{\ell+1} = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline M_\ell & \mu_\ell + M_\ell \\ \hline 2\mu_\ell + M_\ell & 3\mu_\ell + M_\ell \\ \hline \end{array}$$

for each  $\ell = 3, 4, \dots, k$ , where  $t\mu_\ell + M_\ell$  denotes the matrix obtained by adding  $t$  times of the maximum of  $M_\ell$  to each entry of  $M_\ell$ . Clearly,  $M_2$  is 3-local coloring since each row




Figure 3: The edge coloring of the matrix  $M_2$  in Lemma 3.1(2) shows that no monochromatic subgraph of  $K_{2,2}$  in the case  $(n, m) = (4, 3)$ .

(and column) consists of three colors. The lack of a monochromatic  $K_{2,2}$  in  $M_2$  is also straightforward. Likewise, it is reasonably easy to verify that the constructed  $M_k$  gives a shuffle-preserved  $3 \times 2^{k-2}$ -local coloring of  $G$  such that no monochromatic copy of  $K_{p,p}$  can be found in  $G$ .  $\square$

Combining Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 3.1, we have the following results.

**Theorem 3.2** *Every shuffle-preserved 2-local coloring of an  $n \times n$  complete bipartite graph  $G$  gives a monochromatic copy of  $K_{p,p}$  if and only if*

$$2(p-1) < n.$$

*Proof.* Since  $(p-1) \geq \frac{n}{2}$  and  $p > \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$  are equivalent, the theorem follows from Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 3.1(1) with  $m = 2$ .<sup>†</sup>  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3** *Let  $n = 2^k$  and  $m = 3 \times 2^{k-2}$  for some integer  $k \geq 2$ . Any shuffle-preserved  $m$ -local coloring of an  $n \times n$  complete bipartite graph gives a monochromatic copy of  $K_{p,p}$  if and only if*

$$2(p-1)(m-1) < n.$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 3.1(1), the theorem holds when  $2(p-1)(m-1) < n$  or  $p > \lceil \frac{n}{m} \rceil$ . When  $2(p-1)(m-1) \geq n$  and  $p \leq \lceil \frac{n}{m} \rceil$ , by  $n = 2^k$ ,  $m = 3 \times 2^{k-2}$ , and  $k \geq 2$ , one can obtain  $p = 2$ . Thus, the theorem follows from Lemma 3.1(2).  $\square$

<sup>†</sup>Observe that Theorem 3.2 also holds even if changing 2-local coloring to 2-coloring due to the nature of Lemma 3.1(1).

## 4 Generalization

In this section, we give a generalization of the results in Section 2 for  $k$ -partite graphs as well as for general graphs. For complete  $k$ -partite graphs, the following results generalize Corollary 2.2 and Lemma 3.1(1).

**Corollary 4.1** *In every shuffle-preserved 2-coloring of an  $n \times n \times \cdots \times n$  complete  $k$ -partite multigraph, there is a monochromatic copy of a complete  $p \times p \times \cdots \times p$   $k$ -partite graph  $G$  if*

$$2(p-1) < n.$$

*Proof.* Let the  $k$ -partitions of the vertex set be

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \{v_{1,1}, v_{1,2}, \dots, v_{1,n}\}; \\ S_2 &= \{v_{2,1}, v_{2,2}, \dots, v_{2,n}\}; \\ &\vdots \\ S_k &= \{v_{k,1}, v_{k,2}, \dots, v_{k,n}\}. \end{aligned}$$

The case  $k = 2$  follows from Corollary 2.2. When  $k = 3$ , we can find one monochromatic  $K_{p,p}$  in each bipartite subgraph of  $G$  induced by  $S_i$  and  $S_j$  with  $i \neq j$ . Since the graph is two-colored, from the Pigeonhole Principle at least two monochromatic copies of  $K_{p,p}$  have the same color, say  $c$ . This tells us that for every set  $S_i$ , there are at least  $p$  vertices, each of which has some incident edge colored  $c$ , and thus from the coloring being shuffle-preserved, we have a monochromatic  $p \times p$  tripartite graph.

Suppose now  $k > 3$ . Let  $G'_i$  denote the  $p \times p \times \cdots \times p$   $(k-1)$ -partite subgraph of  $G$  induced by all but the nodes in  $S_i$ . By the inductive hypothesis, there are  $k$  monochromatic  $p \times p \times \cdots \times p$   $(k-1)$ -partite graphs  $G'_1, G'_2, \dots, G'_k$ . By the Pigeonhole Principle, at least two of them have the same color. By the same argument, a monochromatic  $p \times p \times \cdots \times p$   $k$ -partite graph is obtained.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2** *If  $p > \lceil \frac{n}{m} \rceil$ , then there exists an  $m$ -colored complete  $n \times n \times \cdots \times n$   $k$ -partite multigraph  $G$  that does not contain any monochromatic complete  $p \times p \times \cdots \times p$   $k$ -partite subgraph.*

*Proof.* The proof is a natural generalization of that for Lemma 3.1(1). Let  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k$  be the  $k$ -partitions of the vertex set of  $G$ . The edges of  $G$  are constructed and colored as follows. Let the subgraph of  $G$  induced by  $S_1$  and  $S_j$  for any  $j$  with  $2 \leq j \leq k$  contain no multiple edges. We color all the incident edges of the  $i$ -th node of  $S_1$  by color  $(i \bmod m)$ . For any indices  $i$  and  $j$  with  $2 \leq i < j \leq k$ , let the subgraph of  $G$  induced by  $S_i$  and  $S_j$  be  $m$  superimposed copies of  $K_{n,n}$ , each with a distinct color. It is not difficult to verify that the resulting coloring is shuffle-preserved. By  $p > \lceil \frac{m}{n} \rceil$ , any node in  $S_2 \cup S_3 \cup \cdots \cup S_k$  is adjacent to at most  $p-1$  nodes in  $S_1$  through edges with the same color. Therefore,  $G$  does not contain any complete  $p \times p \times \cdots \times p$   $k$ -partite subgraph.  $\square$

Hence, we get the following theorem immediately.



**Theorem 4.3** *In every shuffle-preserved 2-coloring of an  $n \times n \times \cdots \times n$  complete  $k$ -partite multigraph, there is a monochromatic copy of a complete  $p \times p \times \cdots \times p$   $k$ -partite graph  $G$  if and only if*

$$2(p - 1) < n.$$

Now we consider general graphs. Clearly, we have to generalize the definition of shuffle-preserved coloring as follows: a coloring  $\eta$  is *shuffle-preserved* if the induced subgraph with respect to every color  $c$  is complete. Recall that the classical Ramsey theory focuses on the situation that the particular subgraph is required to be monochromatic. In the next theorem we give a sufficient condition for a more general situation that the shuffle-preserved coloring guarantees the existence of a complete subgraph whose edges receive at most some number of colors. (See [1] for a similar theorem on complete graphs.)

**Theorem 4.4** *Let  $G$  be a graph with  $n$  vertices and shuffle-preserved  $m$ -coloring. Suppose that  $d_i$  represents the number of vertices, each of which has exactly  $i$  different colors among its incident edges. Then  $G$  has a  $t$ -superimposed copy of  $K_p$  if*

$$p \leq \left\lceil \frac{\sum_{i=t}^m d_i \binom{i}{t}}{\binom{m}{t}} \right\rceil.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{P}_t(S)$  be the set of all  $t$ -element subsets of  $S$  and  $V_i$  consist of the vertices of the complete subgraph induced by the  $i$ -th color. Then by two-way counting we have  $\sum_{\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t\} \in \mathcal{P}_t(\{1, 2, \dots, m\})} |V_{i_1} \cap V_{i_2} \cap \cdots \cap V_{i_t}| = \sum_{i=t}^m d_i \binom{i}{t}$  since both sides give the number of all vertices in any  $t$ -element combination among  $\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_m\}$ . Let

$$S_t = \max_{\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t\} \in \mathcal{P}_t(\{1, 2, \dots, m\})} |V_{i_1} \cap V_{i_2} \cap \cdots \cap V_{i_t}|.$$

Combining the above expressions we have

$$\sum_{i=t}^m d_i \binom{i}{t} \leq S_t \binom{m}{t},$$

proving the theorem.  $\square$

## 5 Concluding remarks

When  $m(p - 1) < n \leq 2(m - 1)(p - 1)$ , the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of monochromatic  $K_{p,p}$ 's in bipartite graphs remain open. It would be interesting to see tighter results for  $k$ -partite graphs.

## Acknowledgments

We thank Gerard Jennhwa Chang for very helpful comments on a preliminary version of this paper.

## References

- [1] N. Alon, P. Erdős, D. S. Gunderson, and M. Molloy. A Ramsey-type problem and the Turán numbers. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 40(2):120–129, 2002.
- [2] M. Axenovich, Z. Füredi, and D. Mubayi. On generalized Ramsey theory: the bipartite case. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 79:66–86, 2000.
- [3] W. Carnielli and E. Carmelo. On the Ramsey problem for multicolor bipartite graphs. *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, 22:48–59, 1999.
- [4] Y. Caro and C. Rousseau. Asymptotic bounds for bipartite Ramsey numbers. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 8(1):Research Paper 17, 5 pp. (electronic), 2001.
- [5] F. Chung and R. Graham. On multicolor Ramsey numbers for bipartite graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 18:164–169, 1975.
- [6] P. Erdős and C. Rousseau. The size Ramsey number of a complete bipartite graph. *Discrete Mathematics*, 113:259–262, 1993.
- [7] R. Graham, B. Rothschild, and J. Spencer. *Ramsey Theory*. Wiley, New York, 1980.
- [8] Y. Kohayakawa, H. Prömel, and V. Rödl. Induced Ramsey numbers. *Combinatorica*, 18(3):373–404, 1998.
- [9] Y. Li, C. C. Rousseau, and W. Zang. Asymptotic upper bounds for Ramsey functions. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 17(1):123–128, 2001.
- [10] S. Radziszowski. Small Ramsey numbers. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics, Dynamic Survey*, 1, 2002. <http://www.combinatorics.org/Surveys/>.
- [11] F. Ramsey. On a problem of formal logic. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 30:264–286, 1930.