

**CUMULANT TO FACTORIAL MOMENT RATIO
AND MULTIPLICITY DATA****I.M.Dremin¹***P.N.Lebedev Institute of Physics,
Academy of Sciences of the Russia, Moscow, Russia***V.Arena, G.Boca, G.Gianini,
S.Malvezzi, M.Merlo, S.P.Ratti, C.Riccardi,
G.Salvadori, L.Viola, P.Vitulo***Department of Nuclear and Theoretical Physics
University of Pavia and Sezione INFN, Pavia, Italy***Abstract**

The ratio of cumulant to factorial moments of experimental multiplicity distributions has been calculated for e^+e^- and hh interactions in a wide range of energies. As a function of the rank it exhibits an initial steep decrease and a series of oscillations around zero. Those features cannot be reproduced by the Negative Binomial Distribution. A comparable behaviour is instead predicted in high-energy perturbative QCD. The presence of a qualitatively similar behaviour for different processes and in wide energy intervals suggests speaking of an approximate scaling of the cumulant to factorial moment ratio.

¹Work partially supported by the Russian Fund for Fundamental Research, grant 93-02-3815 and by the NATO grant CRG 930025.

1 Introduction

In this paper we show that the ratios of cumulant to factorial moments of the multiplicity distributions measured in e^+e^- -collisions (in the energy range from 22 to 91 GeV) and those given in hh -collisions (from about 24 to 900 GeV) exhibit, as a function of the rank, a peculiar behaviour which reproduces surprisingly well the qualitative features predicted by QCD calculations [1],[2] for hard processes at asymptotic energies.

The set of moments of the multiplicity distribution for particles produced in high energy collisions is as representative as the distribution itself. We shall use the normalized factorial moments F_q defined as

$$F_q = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(n-1)\dots(n-q+1)P_n / \langle n \rangle^q \quad (1)$$

(where P_n is the probability of n -particle events, $\langle n \rangle = \sum n P_n$ is the mean multiplicity) and the cumulant moments K_q related to factorial moments by

$$F_q = \sum_{m=0}^{q-1} C_{q-1}^m K_{q-m} F_m \quad (2)$$

with $F_0 = F_1 = K_1 = 1, K_0 = 0$ and $C_q^m = q!/m!(q-m)!$. For the Poisson distribution, factorial moments are identically equal to 1 and all cumulants vanish for $q > 1$ (but $K_1 = 1$). Experimental distributions are usually much wider and moments increase with their rank quite fast. For this reason it is useful to consider the ratio

$$H_q = K_q / F_q \quad (3)$$

whose asymptotic behaviour must be flatter.

The minimum of that ratio has been predicted at $q \approx 5$ in next-to-next-to leading perturbative gluodynamics [1] and later it has been shown [2] that this ratio should reveal a sequence of negative minima and positive maxima, as the ever higher order solution of the non-linear equation for the generating functions of multiplicity distributions in gluodynamics. The role of quark degrees of freedom has been shown [3], [4] to be not very important for the qualitative features. They persist in the exact solution of the QCD equations in case of fixed coupling constant as well [4].

We point out that neither a lower order QCD approximation such as Double Logarithmic Approximation (DLA) nor Negative Binomial Distribution (NBD) favour such a peculiar behaviour [4],[5]. On the contrary both of them imply that H_q is a decreasing positive function tending at large q asymptotically to zero as a power-like function. Such decrease is monotonous both in NBD and in DLA QCD; however, some additional wiggles could be imposed on it if preasymptotic terms are added to the asymptotic DLA formulae [5] (this effect is related to the narrowing of multiplicity in higher order QCD noticed in [6]). Thus the existence of the minima, their positions and the value of H_q at those points indicate the importance of non-leading terms in the QCD cascade development.

Let us note that the sets of numbers P_n, F_q, K_q, H_q are, formally speaking, equivalent. In practice, however, the patterns reflecting different dynamics could be easier revealed by plotting the most suitable of them on the corresponding scale. One knows, e.g., that the tail of P_n is better reproduced on log-scale while its behaviour near maximum is clearly seen on linear scale. Even more sensitive to that tail is the behaviour of factorial and cumulant moments at high ranks. Nevertheless there is no clear qualitative distinction between the ever increasing factorial moments in higher order perturbative QCD results and NBD with properly chosen parameters even though the rate of increase and numerical values differ [3]. The cumulant moments are much more sensitive to the tiny details of distributions and reveal qualitative difference between QCD and NBD predictions. Their fast increase with the rank is somewhat damped if one uses their ratio to factorial moments. That is why it seems to be much more convenient to re-map the multiplicity distributions to the ratio H_q . This ratio first appeared [1] quite naturally as a solution of the equation for the generating function in gluodynamics. It can be easily calculated from experimental data on multiplicity distribution P_n by using (1), (2). Therefore, we choose the ratio of cumulant to factorial moments H_q as a more sensitive measure of multiplicity distributions and show its advantages in what follows.

In this paper the values of the ratios K_q/F_q for multiplicity data from inelastic collisions covering e^+e^- annihilation and hadron-hadron interaction at various energies are analyzed.

2 Experimental Results

A large representative sample of multiplicity data [6-16] has been collected from the wide available literature: due to the precision required to see the investigated features, the low statistics samples, yielding large error bars, have been disregarded and only papers reporting a detailed separation between elastic and inelastic data for low multiplicities have been taken into account. A list of the considered samples is given in Table I. We do not attempt here the quantitative comparison with QCD predictions but focus our attention on the main qualitative features. For all the considered experimental multiplicity distributions, the ratio K_q/F_q has been computed up to the 16-th order. Comparisons with the NBD predictions have been done using the following relation obtained in ref. [5] :

$$H_q^{NBD} = \frac{\Gamma(q)\Gamma(k+1)}{\Gamma(k+q)} . \quad (4)$$

Though the involved orders of magnitude of H_q are interaction and energy dependent, the multiplicity distributions of both hadron-hadron collisions and e^+e^- annihilations give a qualitatively similar behaviour.

As a first example one may consider the outcomes of the e^+e^- 91 GeV DELPHI multiplicity distribution [10], plotted in fig.1. Due to the different order of magnitude

involved here, the low- q and high- q regions are plotted using different scales. The polyline in the upper right box displays, up to the fourth order, the abrupt descent of H_q (the scale is logarithmic). In the main reference frame two negative minima, (at $q \approx 5$ and 12) and two positive maxima (at $q \approx 8$ and 15) are shown.

The H_q value at the first minimum is $H_{q_1} = -7.6 \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$. The NBD predictions are given by the dashed curve shown in fig. 1: the value used for the NBD parameter k has been taken from ref. [10] ($k^{-1} = 0.0411 \pm 0.0012$).

Fig. 2 refers to the $\bar{p}p$ 546 GeV interaction outcomes from the UA5 collaboration [17]. The main difference with respect to the previous case is the order of magnitude of minima and maxima, their absolute values are more than ten times larger; for instance the value at the first minimum is $H_{q_1} = -8.3 \pm 2.7 \times 10^{-3}$. Nonetheless, the same main characteristics found for the e^+e^- annihilation, i.e. the abrupt descent and the subsequent oscillations can be observed (here minima are at $q \approx 6$ and 12 , while maxima are at $q \approx 9$ and 15). Again superimposed (dashed curve) are the NBD yields, here corresponding to the k parameter given in reference [17] ($k = 3.69 \pm 0.09$).

The behaviour of the H_q ratio vs. q for the other e^+e^- and hadron-hadron experiments examined is reported in fig.s 3 and 4 respectively. In both the hh and e^+e^- outcomes, a steep descent, taking place at the lower moment orders and showing positive values (K_q positive), is followed by a negative minimum (for $q = 4, 5$ or 6), then a sequence of oscillations begins. In all the cases at least two negative minima are shown. In all the outcomes with the exception of fig. 3b, referring to the HRS collaboration data, the oscillations are slow and the distance between two subsequent minima is usually 5 to 8 ranks of moment. The close but not equal behaviour of the four LEP experiments (fig.s 3e-3h) indicates the extreme sensitivity of the H_q to slight differences among multiplicity distributions. Though the features at different energies and for hh and e^+e^- interactions are qualitatively very similar, the order of magnitude of the oscillations differ of about a factor ten, being larger for the hadronic interactions.

3 Discussion and Conclusions

We performed this investigation prompted by the QCD prediction [1] of the specific behaviour of the ratio H_q of cumulant to factorial moments for multiplicity distributions, with a minimum at a well defined position which is uniquely determined by the QCD anomalous dimension [1]. Early experimental outcomes, though not directly comparable with theory, raised the problem of the role in perturbative equations of higher order terms. In ref. [2] it was proved that they could actually give rise to an oscillating behaviour. This peculiarity only appears in higher order approximations of QCD and is determined solely by the gauge theory parameters (the value of the coupling constant and the number of active flavours) and by the kernels of the equation for the generating functions. It has been confirmed by the exact solution of QCD equations for fixed coupling constant [4].

We could expect such qualitative features to be appropriate for e^+e^- -annihilation since the above prediction is valid for hard processes at high energies. Our expectations have been confirmed. It is a surprise to observe similar features in soft hh -collisions which are out of the scope of theoretical approximations of perturbative QCD for hard processes. We do not speculate whether this indicates the similarity of s - and t -channel cascading in both types of events and/or the early onset of the perturbative QCD regime but, at the same time, we are not able to conceal our thoughts along these lines. The quantitative difference between e^+e^- and hh distributions, however, should be studied more carefully. Preliminary analysis of hA -data (which we do not discuss here) implies new possibilities too.

Thus we must state that it is an initial stage of approach to the whole problem but the data presented here seem to suggest the following remarks: the proposed ratio H_q is a sensitive measure of multiplicity distributions; besides the observed minima in e^+e^- and hh processes it reveals some other peculiarities at higher q which should be studied and helps distinguishing clearly various distributions which are hardly separated by considering factorial moments only. In particular NBD predictions for H_q are found to disagree from experimental data: to some degree such a failure was expected in full-phase space inclusive data; to the comparison of the H_q behaviour with NBD predictions in restricted phase-space regions and fixed jet multiplicity samples a dedicated work will be devoted [18].

Furthermore the qualitative behaviour of H_q is conserved for different processes in wide energy intervals so that one can think of an approximate scaling of H_q ; nonetheless quantitative differences in the size of minima are observed and the problem of asymptotics should be considered in more details. Experimental data on H_q in various processes seems to provide a guide for further theoretical investigations and developments.

Table 1: Investigated data.

e^+e^-	\sqrt{s}	hh	\sqrt{s}
TASSO [7]	22 GeV	pp 30" B.C. at FNAL [13]	23.8 GeV
HRS [8]	29 GeV	pp SMF at CERN [15]	30.4 GeV
TASSO [7]	34.8 GeV	pp 30" B.C. at FNAL [14]	38.8 GeV
TASSO [7]	43.6 GeV	pp SMF at CERN [15]	52.6 GeV
ALEPH [9]	91 GeV	pp SMF at CERN [15]	62.2 GeV
DELPHI[10]	91 GeV	$\bar{p}p$ UA5 [16]	200 GeV
L3 [11]	91 GeV	$\bar{p}p$ UA5 [17]	546 GeV
OPAL [12]	91 GeV	$\bar{p}p$ UA5 [16]	900 GeV

References

- [1] I.M.Dremin, Phys.Lett. B 313 (1993) 209.
- [2] I.M.Dremin and V.A.Nechitailo, JETP Lett. 58 (1993) 945.
- [3] I.M.Dremin, B.B.Levtchenko and V.A.Nechitailo,
Sov.J.Nucl.Phys 57 (1994) 1091.
- [4] I.M.Dremin and R.C.Hwa,
Quark and Gluon Jets in QCD: Factorial and Cumulant Moments,
Preprint OITS 531, FIAN TD-27/93, December 1993, to be published in
Phys.Rev.D.
- [5] I.M.Dremin, Mod.Phys.Lett. A 8 (1993) 2747.
- [6] Yu.L.Dokshitzer, Phys.Lett. B 305 (1993) 295.
- [7] TASSO Coll.: W.Braunschweig et al., Z.Phys. C 45 (1989) 193.
- [8] HRS Coll.: M.Derrick et al., Phys.Rev. D 34 (1986) 3304.
- [9] ALEPH Coll.: D.Decamp et al., Phys.Lett. B 273 (1991) 181.
- [10] DELPHI Coll.: P.Abreu et al., Z.Phys. C 50 (1991) 185.
- [11] L3 Coll.: B.Adeva et al., Z.Phys. C 55 (1992) 39.
- [12] OPAL Coll.: P.D.Acton et al., Z.Phys. C 53 (1992) 539.
- [13] A.Firestone et al., Phys.Rev. D 29 (1974) 2080.
- [14] E743 R.Ammar et al., Phys.Lett. B 178 (1986) 124.
- [15] A.Breakstone et al., Phys.Rev. D 30 (528) 30.
- [16] UA5 Coll., R.E.Ansorge et al., Z.Phys. C 43 (1989) 357.
- [17] UA5 Coll., G.J.Alner et al., Phys.Rep. 154 (1987) 247.
- [18] V.Arena et al.,
Cumulant to Factorial Moment Ratio and Negative Binomial Regularity,
Preprint FNT/AE 93/44.




Figure 1: Example of H_q vs. q in e^+e^- data, the DELPHI experiment (CMS energy 91 GeV). Into the upper right box are the lower q orders, in logarithmic scale. The markers in the main frame report the experimental value for the q orders 3 to 16; the NBD predictions are represented by the dashed line.




Figure 2: Example of H_q vs. q in hh data, the UA5 experiment (CMS energy 546 GeV). In logarithmic scale, the first four q orders are drawn in the upper box. The values from $q = 3$ to $q = 16$ are plotted into the main frame. The dashed curve represents the NBD predictions. Notice that the scale has been changed of one order of magnitude with respect to the previous figure.




Figure 3: H_q vs. q for various e^+e^- experiments. On the left the first H_q orders in logarithmic scale, on the right the orders from $q=3,4$ to 16. The solid line is just meant to guide the eye and does not represent any theoretical prediction. The experimental outcomes are ordered according to their energy, that increases from top to bottom: (a) e^+e^- 22 GeV TASSO Coll.; (b) e^+e^- 29 GeV, HRS Coll.; (c) e^+e^- 34.8 GeV TASSO Coll.; (d) e^+e^- 43.6 GeV TASSO Coll.; (e) e^+e^- 91 GeV, ALEPH Coll.; (f) e^+e^- 91 GeV DELPHI Coll.; (g) e^+e^- 91 GeV OPAL Coll.; (h) e^+e^- 91 GeV, L3 Coll. (here the scale has been changed by a factor 2., with respect to the other experiments).




Figure 4: H_q vs. q for various hh experiments. On the left the first H_q orders in logarithmic scale, on the right the orders from $q=3/4$ to 16 (notice that the scale on the right is different from the one used in Figs 3); the solid line is just meant to guide the eye. The points associated to exceedingly large error bars have not been plotted for clearness and only the corresponding portion of spline has been left. The experimental outcomes are ordered according to their energy, that increases from top to bottom: (a) pp 300 GeV/c (C.M.S. energy 23.8 GeV) FNAL 30 in. bubble chamber; (b) pp 30.4 GeV SMF det. at the CERN ISR; (c) pp 800 GeV/c (C.M.S. energy 38.8 GeV) E743 FNAL exp.; (d) pp 52.6 GeV SMF det. at the CERN ISR; (e) pp 62.2 GeV SMF det. at the CERN ISR; (f) $\bar{p}p$ 200 GeV UA5 Coll.; (g) $\bar{p}p$ 546 GeV UA5 Coll.; (h) $\bar{p}p$ 900 GeV UA5 Coll. .

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ex/9405007v1>

This figure "fig2-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ex/9405007v1>

This figure "fig1-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ex/9405007v1>

This figure "fig2-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ex/9405007v1>