Jet Quenching

R. Baier a ∗ †

^aFakultät für Physik, Universität Bielefeld Postfach 10 01 31, D-33501 Bielefeld, Germany

A short summary of the physics underlying jet quenching is given.

1. Introduction

In August 1982 J. D. Bjorken published a preprint[[1\]](#page-8-0) on "Energy Loss of Energetic Partons in Quark-Gluon Plasma: Possible Extinction of High p_{\perp} Jets in Hadron-Hadron Collisions", in which he discussed that high energy quarks and gluons propagating through quark-gluon plasma (QGP) suffer differential energy loss, and where he further pointed out that as an interesting signature events may be observed in which the hard collisions may occur such that one jet is escaping without absorption and the other is fully absorbed.

The arguments in this work have been based on elastic scattering of high momentum partons from quanta in the QGP, with a resulting ("ionization") loss $-dE/dz \simeq \alpha_s^2 \sqrt{\epsilon}$, with ϵ the energy density of the QGP. The loss turns out to be less than the string tension of $O(1 \text{ GeV}/\text{fm})$ [[2\]](#page-8-0).

However, as in QED, bremsstrahlung is another important source of energy loss [\[3](#page-8-0)]. Due to multiple (inelastic) scatterings and induced gluon radiation high momentum jets and leadinglarge p_{\perp} hadrons become depleted, quenched [[4\]](#page-8-0) or may even become extinct. In [[5\]](#page-8-0) it has been shown that a genuine pQCD process (Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0) is responsible for the dominant loss: after the gluon is radiated off the energetic parton it suffers multiple scatterings in themedium. Indeed, further studies by $[6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13]$ $[6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13]$ $[6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13]$ $[6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13]$ support this observation [[14\]](#page-8-0).

In the following I mainly concentrate on the influence of the medium-induced energy loss on the large p_{\perp} leading hadron spectrum. More about the recent theoretical developments can be learned from the contributions to the "High Transverse Momentum" session at this conference[[15\]](#page-8-0).

It is important to mention that for the first time large p_{\perp} leading hadron data from $Au - Au$ collisions have been measured by the PHENIX and STAR Collaborations at RHIC[[16,17,18\]](#page-8-0).

2. pQCD medium-induced radiative energy loss

After its production in a hard collision the energetic parton radiates a gluon which both traverse a finite size L medium. Due to its non-abelian nature and its interaction with

[∗]Talk given at "Quark Matter 2002", Nantes, France, July 18-24, 2002

[†]Supported, in part, by DFG, contract FOR 339/2-1.

the medium this gluon follows a zig-zag path (Fig. 1), with a mean free path $\lambda > 1/\mu$, which is the range of screened multiple gluon interactions.

It can be shown that the average energy loss of the parton (in the limit $E_{parton} \rightarrow \infty$) due to gluon radiation with a spectrum $\frac{\omega dI}{d\omega}$ is determined by the characteristic gluon energy ω_c as follows,

$$
\Delta E = \int^{\omega_c} \frac{\omega dI}{d\omega} d\omega \simeq \alpha_s \omega_c , \qquad (1)
$$

where

$$
\omega_c = \frac{1}{2}\hat{q}L^2\,. \tag{2}
$$

The medium dependence is controlled by the transport coefficient

$$
\hat{q} \simeq \mu^2/\lambda \simeq \rho \int d^2q_{\perp} q_{\perp}^2 d\sigma/d^2q_{\perp},\tag{3}
$$

where ρ is the density of the medium (a nucleus, or partons) and σ the cross section of the gluon-medium interaction. In order to understand (1) the coherent pattern of the induced gluon radiation is important.

Figure 1. Typical gluon radiation diagram

Figure 2. Medium-induced soft gluon spectrum

The following semiquantitative arguments are crucial:

• number of coherent scattering centers, which act as a single source of gluon radiation: $N_{coh}\simeq t_{coh}/\lambda\simeq\sqrt{\omega/\mu^2\lambda}>>1$

• coherence/formation time: $t_{coh} \simeq \omega/k_{\perp}^2 \simeq \sqrt{\omega/\hat{q}}$

• random walk of emitted gluon (Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0): accumulated average transverse momentum $k_{\perp}^2 \simeq N_{coh} \mu^2$

• medium-induced soft gluon spectrum in relation to the Gunion-Bertsch (GB) spectrum [\[19\]](#page-8-0):

$$
\frac{\omega dI}{d\omega dz} \simeq \frac{1}{N_{coh}} \frac{\omega dI}{d\omega dz} |_{GB} \simeq \frac{1}{N_{coh}} \frac{\alpha_s}{\lambda} \simeq \alpha_s \sqrt{\hat{q}/\omega}.
$$
\n(4)

The medium-induced (BDMPS [\[6,8](#page-8-0)]) gluon spectrum (valid for finite size $L \gg \lambda$ and for soft gluon energies $\omega_{GB} = \hat{q}\lambda^2 < \omega < E_{parton} \rightarrow \infty$) with the characteristic behaviour: $\frac{\omega dI}{d\omega} \simeq \alpha_s \sqrt{\frac{\omega_c}{\omega}}$, $\omega < \omega_c$, is shown in Fig. [2](#page-1-0). It is suppressed by $1/N_{coh}$ for $\omega > \omega_{GB}$ with respect to the incoherent Gunion-Bertsch spectrum (cf. Eq. 4). For comparison with QED the LPM suppressed photon spectrum behaves as $\frac{\omega dI}{d\omega} \simeq$ $\sqrt{\omega}$ [\[20\]](#page-8-0).

3. Transport coefficient \hat{q}

The coefficient $\hat{q}(3)$ $\hat{q}(3)$ $\hat{q}(3)$ can be calculated in terms of the gluon structure function, i.e. for nuclear matter,

$$
\hat{q} = \frac{4\pi^2 \alpha_s N_c}{N_c^2 - 1} \rho \left[xG(x, \hat{q}L) \right],\tag{5}
$$

where $xG(x, Q^2)$ is the gluon distribution for a nucleon and ρ the nuclear density.

Gluon dynamics is responsible for the following important relations:

• relation to q_{\perp} broadening

Due to multiple scatterings off nucleons there is transverse momentum broadening of the gluon,

$$
\langle q_{\perp}^2 \rangle = \hat{q} L, \tag{6}
$$

such that the differential energy loss is expressed by[[6](#page-8-0)]

$$
-\frac{dE}{dz} \simeq \alpha_s < q_\perp^2 > \tag{7}
$$

• relation to "saturation scale" Q_s [\[21\]](#page-9-0)

The saturation momentum of gluons for central gluon-nucleus (radius R_A) collisions at smallx is given by $[22]$ $[22]$ $[22]$,

$$
Q_s^2 = \frac{4\pi^2 \alpha_s(Q_s) N_c}{N_c^2 - 1} \rho \left[xG(x, Q_s^2) \right] 2R_A = 2R_A \hat{q}.
$$
 (8)

• medium dependence of \hat{q}

In Fig. [3](#page-3-0) the dependence of \hat{q} as a function of the energy density of (equilibrated) media is shown; e.g. for QGP the number density is translated into ϵ as $\rho(T) \sim T^3 \sim \epsilon^{3/4}$. A "smooth" increase of \hat{q} with increasing ϵ is observed, such that

$$
\hat{q}|_{\text{QGP}} \gg \hat{q}|_{\text{nuclear matter}}.
$$
\n(9)

Figure 3. Transport coefficient as a function of energy density for different media: cold, massless hot pion gas (dotted) and (ideal) QGP (solid curve)

However, it is difficult to deduce from the behaviour indicated in Fig. 3, how the QCD phase transition near $\epsilon \simeq 1 \text{ GeV}/\text{fm}^3$ [\[23\]](#page-9-0) may be observed by measuring jet quenching.

• expanding medium $[24,25,26,27]$

In case of a dynamically expanding collision region the gluon radiation spectrum, and the resulting energy loss depend on an effective $\hat{q}|_{\text{eff}}$, equivalent to a static coefficient, which is obtained by

$$
\hat{q}(L)|_{\text{eff}} = \frac{2}{L^2} \int_{\tau_0}^{L} d\tau (\tau - \tau_0) \hat{q}(\tau)
$$
\n
$$
\approx \frac{2}{2 - \alpha} \hat{q}(L) \text{ for } \tau_0 \to 0,
$$
\n(10)

when $\hat{q}(\tau) = \hat{q}(\tau_0) \left(\frac{\tau_0}{\tau}\right)^{\alpha}$, where τ_0 is the starting time of the expansion, which, however, maynot be very small. $\alpha = 1$ corresponds to Bjorken's longitudinal expansion [[28\]](#page-9-0).

Which medium is actually probed by quenching? According to the possible time behaviour of the hadronic system produced in heavy ion collisions: Colour Glass Condensate in the initial state - (non-equilibrated) quark gluon matter - QGP - mixed phase [\[29\]](#page-9-0), it is most likely that the hard probes propagate through different expanding, not necessarily thermalized, but dense gluonic media.

4. How to "measure" $\Delta E(L)$?

4.1. Quenching of leading hadron spectra in media [\[30\]](#page-9-0)

The yield of inclusive large p_{\perp} hadrons in $A - A$ collisions is essentially modified due to the radiative medium induced energy loss, leading to significant jet quenching, i.e. to

Figure 4. Gluon radiation probability [\[31\]](#page-9-0)

Figure 5. The quenching factor $Q(p_{\perp})$ [[30\]](#page-9-0)

a shift of the leading particle/pion spectrum

$$
\frac{d\sigma^{\text{medium}}(p_{\perp})}{dp_{\perp}^2} \simeq \frac{d\sigma^{\text{vacuum}}(p_{\perp} + S(p_{\perp}))}{dp_{\perp}^2},\tag{11}
$$

or, by introducing the medium dependent quenching factor $Q(p_{\perp}),$

$$
\frac{d\sigma^{\text{medium}}(p_{\perp})}{dp_{\perp}^2} = \frac{d\sigma^{\text{vacuum}}(p_{\perp})}{dp_{\perp}^2} \cdot Q(p_{\perp}),\tag{12}
$$

which is related to the *shift* $S(p_{\perp})$ by

$$
Q(p_{\perp}) = \exp\left\{-\frac{n}{p_{\perp}} \cdot S(p_{\perp})\right\}.
$$
\n(13)

The vacuum leading hadron distribution is a steeply falling spectrum with increasing p_{\perp} , in terms of an effective power n ,

$$
\frac{d\sigma^{\text{vacuum}}(p_{\perp})}{dp_{\perp}^2} \propto \frac{1}{p_{\perp}^n}, \qquad n = n(p_{\perp}) \equiv -\frac{d}{d\ln p_{\perp}} \ln \frac{d\sigma^{\text{vacuum}}(p_{\perp})}{dp_{\perp}^2}, \qquad (14)
$$

i.e. at RHIC: $n \approx 8 - 10$. This behaviour causes a strong bias leading to an additional suppression of real gluon emission, with the important result that the shift is p_\perp dependent and that

$$
S(p_{\perp}) < \text{average loss } \Delta E \tag{15}
$$

The *additional* energy loss due to medium induced gluon radiation in the final state is characterized by the probability $D(\epsilon)$ that radiated gluons carry away the energy ϵ by independent emission of soft primary gluons,

$$
D(\epsilon) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} \int d\omega_i \frac{dI(\omega_i)}{d\omega} \right] \delta\left(\epsilon - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_i\right) \cdot \exp\left[-\int d\omega \frac{dI}{d\omega}\right],
$$
 (16)

where $\frac{dI(\omega)}{d\omega}$ is the inclusive soft LPM gluon spectrum given above (Fig. [2](#page-1-0)). The last factor in (16) accounts for virtual corrections. The distribution $D(\epsilon)$ peaks at small gluon energies $\epsilon_{peak} < \omega_c$, as illustrated in Fig. [4](#page-4-0). To obtain the inclusive hadron spectrum the vacuum production cross section at energy $(p_{\perp} + \epsilon)$ has to be convoluted with the distribution $D(\epsilon)$,

$$
\frac{d\sigma^{\text{medium}}(p_{\perp})}{dp_{\perp}^2} = \int d\epsilon \, D(\epsilon) \, \frac{d\sigma^{\text{vacuum}}(p_{\perp} + \epsilon)}{dp_{\perp}^2} \,. \tag{17}
$$

The resulting quenching factor $Q(p_{\perp})$ scales in the dimensionless variable $X = p_{\perp}/(n\omega_c)$. Fig. [5](#page-4-0) shows a significant suppression for small X, i.e. for a hot medium $Q \ll 1$. Typical X values are for $L = 5$ fm, $p_{\perp} = 10$ GeV, $n \simeq 10$: cold matter $(\omega_c = 3$ GeV) $X \simeq 0.3$, hot matter ($\omega_c = 25 \text{ GeV}, \epsilon = 2 \text{ GeV/fm}^3$) $X \simeq 0.04$.

In the region of interest for RHIC data the shift is plotted in Fig. 6, supporting the statement [\(15](#page-4-0)), and showing that effectively $S(p_{\perp}) \simeq \alpha_s L \sqrt{\hat{q} p_{\perp}/n}$ [\[30\]](#page-9-0).

Although $Q(p_{\perp})$ is formally an infrared-safe quantity, the soft LPM spectrum $\propto 1/\sqrt{\omega}$ (Fig. [2\)](#page-1-0) causes a serious instability for the range p_{\perp} < 10 GeV. At the same time in the large $p_{\perp} \geq 20$ GeV range (where the characteristic gluon energies are much larger than ω_{GB}) the perturbative treatment for quenching is applicable, meaning that the "infrared" sensitivity" is not large. For an illustration this sensitivity is shown in Fig. 7; the curves from bottom to top correspond to gluon energies ω cut by $\omega \geq \omega_{cut} = 0, 100, 300, 500$ MeV, respectively.

Figure 6. Shift normalized to ΔE as a function of X for $n = 4$ (lower) and $n = 10$ (upper curve)[[30\]](#page-9-0)

Figure 7. "Infrared sensitivity" of Q as a function of p_\perp [[30](#page-9-0)]

4.2. Medium-modified fragmentation functions

Instead of [\(17](#page-5-0)) medium modifications may be studied more directly in the fragmentation of partons \rightarrow hadrons.

• model with gluon radiation probability $D(\epsilon)$ [\[27](#page-9-0)]

With $D(\epsilon)$ [\(16](#page-5-0)) the hadronic cross section for high p_{\perp} hadrons produced in heavy ion collisions is calculated by convoluting with the vacuum fragmentation function

$$
D_{h/p}^{\text{medium}}(z, Q^2) = \int d\epsilon \, D(\epsilon = \hat{\epsilon} p_{\perp, jet}) \, \frac{1}{1 - \hat{\epsilon}} \, D_{h/p}^{\text{vacuum}}(\frac{z}{1 - \hat{\epsilon}}, Q^2) \,. \tag{18}
$$

As an example the modified fragmentation of u quark $\rightarrow \pi$ is plotted in Fig. 8 [\[27\]](#page-9-0). To $O(\epsilon_{peak}/p_{\perp})$ this ansatz - after convolution with the jet cross section - is equivalent to $(17).$ $(17).$

• effective models

Because of the peaked behaviour of $D(\epsilon)$ (Fig. [4\)](#page-4-0) one may approximate: $D(\epsilon) \simeq \delta(\hat{\epsilon}-\hat{\epsilon}_{peak})/p_{\perp,jet}$ and simplify (18) by

$$
D_{h/p}^{\text{medium}}(z, Q^2) \simeq \frac{1}{1 - \hat{\epsilon}_{peak}} D_{h/p}^{\text{vacuum}}(\frac{z}{1 - \hat{\epsilon}_{peak}}, Q^2)
$$
 (19)

with $\hat{\epsilon}_{peak} = \epsilon_{peak}/p_{\perp,jet}$. Instead, in most cases the fractional average loss $\Delta\hat{\epsilon}$, which is largerthan $\hat{\epsilon}_{peak}$, is used in (19) for the phenomenological analysis, e.g. in [[25,26\]](#page-9-0).

The study of fragmentation functions and their nuclear modifications allows a comparison with data in DIS; an example is shown in Fig. 9[[26](#page-9-0)].

Figure 8. Modified fragmentation function for different gluon densities [\[27](#page-9-0)]

Figure 9. Fragmentation function compared with DIS data [\[26\]](#page-9-0)

Figure 10. Ratio of gluon emission spectra off charm and light quarks with momenta $p_{\perp} =$ 10 GeV (solid) and $p_{\perp} = 100$ GeV (dashed line) as a function of $x = \omega/p_{\perp}$ [\[32](#page-9-0)]

Figure 11. Ratio of Q for heavy versus light quarks in hot matter; dashed curve with $\omega_{cut} = 500$ MeV [\[32](#page-9-0)]

4.3. Heavy quark radiative energy loss and quenching[[32](#page-9-0)]

The pattern of medium induced gluon radiation is qualitatively different for heavy and light quarks, due to the "dead cone" phenomena (Fig. 10): gluon radiation is suppressed at angles $\theta < m_q/E$, where m_q is the heavy quark mass and E its energy,

$$
1/\theta^2 \to \frac{\theta^2}{[\theta^2 + m_q^2/E^2]^2}.
$$
\n(20)

As a consequence the ratio of quenching factors is $Q_H(p_\perp)/Q_L(p_\perp) \geq 1$ as shown in Fig. 11, i.e. there is less energy loss and less suppression for heavy quarks than for pions. Thiseffect could be studied experimentally in the D/π ratio in heavy ion collisions [[32](#page-9-0)].

5. Summary and conclusions

After 20 years the studies of hard/large p_{\perp} phenomena in nucleus-nucleus collisions in the context of (p)QCD are now becoming very exciting, since more and more detailed information is available. The importance of multiple gluon scatterings and gluon radiation is theoretically confirmed. Gluon dynamics in $A-A$ collisions enforces quenching of large p_{\perp} leading hadrons and jets. The magnitude is sensitive to the density of the surrounding medium. Rather stable predictions are possible for $p_{\perp} > 10-20$ GeV, where the quenching factor $Q(p_{\perp})$ is significantly less than 1. The most recent observations at RHIC [\[18\]](#page-8-0) are indeed encouraging (although the measured ratio $R_{AA}(p_{\perp}) \sim Q(p_{\perp})$, for not yet large values of $p_{\perp} \leq 8$ GeV, does not quite show the expected/predicted p_{\perp} dependence shown in Fig. [5\)](#page-4-0).

Remaining open questions are related e.g. to the determination of the precise properties of the dense medium (cold hadronic, Colour Glas Condensate, QGP, ..), and to the relevant time scales: non-equilibrium versus thermal and chemical equilibrium.

Detailed quantitative predictions, including more complete treatments of the collision geometry[[33](#page-9-0)], have still to be worked out. As reference and comparison $p - p$ and $p - A$ data have to be taken.

The first indications of the presence of parton energy loss form a promising start for the further studies of jet physics, especially for LHC, where jets with energies of $O(100 \text{ GeV})$ will be measured and where, due to the medium induced gluon radiation, a characteristic dependence on the finite angular jet cone is predicted [12[,34,35,36\]](#page-9-0).

I am grateful for the very pleasant collaboration with Yu. L. Dokshitzer, A. H. Mueller, S. Peigné and D. Schiff during the past few years. I thank U. A. Wiedemann for useful discussions.

REFERENCES

- 1. J. D. Bjorken, Fermilab-Pub-82/59-THY, Batavia (1982); Erratum, unpublished.
- 2. M. H. Thoma, Quark-Gluon Plasma 2, ed. R. C. Hwa, p. 51, World Sci., Singapore (1995).
- 3. M. Gyulassy and X.-N. Wang, Nucl. Phys. B420 (1994) 583; X.-N. Wang, M. Gyulassy and M. Plümer, Phys. Rev. D51 (1995) 3436.
- 4. M. Gyulassy and M. Plümer, Phys. Lett. B243 (1990) 432.
- 5. R. Baier, Yu. L. Dokshitzer, S. Peign´e and D. Schiff, Phys. Lett. B345 (1995) 277.
- 6. R. Baier, Yu. L. Dokshitzer, A. H. Mueller, S. Peigné and D. Schiff, Nucl. Phys. B483 (1997) 291; ibidem B484 (1997) 265.
- 7. B. G. Zakharov, JETP Letters 63 (1996) 952; ibidem 65 (1997) 615.
- 8. R. Baier, Yu. L. Dokshitzer, A. H. Mueller and D. Schiff, Nucl. Phys. B531 (1998) 403.
- 9. B. G. Zakharov, Phys. Atom. Nucl. 61 (1998) 838 [Yad. Fiz. 61 (1998) 924]; JETP Lett. 70 (1999) 176; ibidem 73 (2001) 49.
- 10. U. A. Wiedemann and M. Gyulassy, Nucl. Phys. B560 (1999) 345.
- 11. U. A. Wiedemann, Nucl. Phys. B582 (2000) 409; ibidem B588 (2000) 303.
- 12. U. A. Wiedemann, Nucl. Phys. A690 (2001) 731.
- 13. M. Gyulassy, P. Lévai and I. Vitev, Nucl. Phys. B571 (2000) 197; Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 (2000) 5535; Nucl. Phys. B594 (2001) 371.
- 14. Theoretical reviews: R. Baier, D. Schiff and B. G. Zakharov, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 50 (2000) 37;
	- A. Kovner and U. A. Wiedemann, to appear.
- 15. Talks by X.-N. Wang, I. Vitev, C. A. Salgado, E. Wang and I. Sarcevic.
- 16. K. Adcox et al. (PHENIX Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002) 022301; [hep-ex/0207009.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ex/0207009)
- 17. C. Adler et al. (STAR Collaboration), [hep-ex/0206011.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ex/0206011)
- 18. Plenary talks at this conference by G. Kunde (STAR Collaboration) and S. Mioduszewski (PHENIX Collaboration).
- 19. J. F. Gunion and G. Bertsch, Phys. Rev. D25 (1982) 746.
- 20. L. D. Landau and I. Y. Pomeranchuk, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 92 (1953) 535, 735; A. B. Migdal, Phys. Rev. 103 (1956) 1811; see: S. Klein, Rev. Mod. Phys. 71 (1999) 1501.
- 21. Plenary talks at this conference by E. Iancu and A. H. Mueller; Yu. V. Kovchegov and A. H. Mueller, Nucl. Phys. B529 (1998) 451.
- 22. A. H. Mueller, Nucl. Phys. B558 (1999) 285.
- 23. F. Karsch, E. Laermann and A. Peikert, Phys. Lett. B478 (2000) 447.
- 24. R. Baier, Yu. L. Dokshitzer, A. H. Mueller and D. Schiff, Phys. Rev. C58 (1998) 1706.
- 25. I. Vitev, M. Gyulassy and P. Lévai, [nucl-th/0204019;](http://arxiv.org/abs/nucl-th/0204019) and references therein.
- 26. E. Wang and X.-N. Wang, [hep-ph/0202105](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0202105).
- 27. C. A. Salgado and U.A. Wiedemann, [hep-ph/0204221.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0204221)
- 28. J. D.Bjorken, Phys. Rev. D27 (1983) 140.
- 29. L. McLerran, [hep-ph/0202025](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0202025); and references therein.
- 30. R. Baier, Y.L. Dokshitzer, A. H. Mueller and D. Schiff, JHEP 0109 (2001) 033.
- 31. F. Arleo, [http://wwwth.cern.ch/lhcworkshop/lhcworkshop01.html.](http://wwwth.cern.ch/lhcworkshop/lhcworkshop01.html)
- 32. Yu. L. Dokshitzer and D. E. Kharzeev, Phys. Lett. B519 (2001) 199.
- 33. e.g.: I. P. Lokhtin and A. M. Snigirev, Phys. Lett. B440 (1998) 163; Eur. Phys. J. C16 (2000) 527.
- 34. Yu. L. Dokshitzer, Nucl. Phys. A638 (1998) 291c.
- 35. B. G. Zakharov, [hep-ph/9906373](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9906373) (1999).
- 36. R. Baier, Yu.L. Dokshitzer, A. H. Mueller and D. Schiff, Phys. Rev. C60 (1999) 064902; ibidem C64 (2001) 057902.