

**$\tau\tau$  Fusion to SUSY Higgs Bosons at a Photon Collider:  
Measuring  $\tan\beta$**

S. Y. Choi

*Dept. Physics, Chonbuk National University, Chonju 561-756, Korea*

J. Kalinowski

*Inst. Theor. Physics, Warsaw University, PL-00681 Warsaw, Poland*

J. S. Lee

*Dept. Physics and Astronomy, Univ. Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, UK*

M. M. Mühlleitner, M. Spira

*Paul Scherrer Institut, CH-5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland*

P. M. Zerwas

*Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, D-22603 Hamburg, Germany*


$\tau\tau$  fusion to light  $h$  and heavy  $H, A$  Higgs bosons is investigated in the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM) at a photon collider as a promising channel for measuring large values of  $\tan\beta$ . For standard design parameters of a photon collider an error  $\Delta \tan\beta \sim 1$ , uniform for  $\tan\beta \gtrsim 10$ , may be expected, improving on complementary measurements at LHC and  $e^+e^-$  linear colliders.

1.) The measurement of the mixing parameter  $\tan\beta$ , one of the fundamental parameters in the Higgs sector of the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model [MSSM] and other supersymmetry scenarios, is a difficult task. Many of the observables, in the chargino/neutralino sector for instance, involve only  $\cos 2\beta$  and thus are quite insensitive to the parameter  $\tan\beta$  for large values. Remarkably different however are the heavy  $H/A$  Higgs couplings to down-type fermions which, for values of the pseudoscalar Higgs boson mass at the electroweak scale and beyond, both are directly proportional to  $\tan\beta$  if this parameter becomes large, see *e.g.* Ref.<sup>1</sup>, so that they are highly sensitive to its value. Also the down-type couplings of the light  $h$  Higgs boson in the MSSM are close to  $\tan\beta$  if the pseudoscalar mass is moderately small.

In this note we point out that  $\tau\tau$  fusion to Higgs bosons at a photon collider<sup>2</sup> can provide a valuable method for measuring  $\tan\beta$ , after searching for and exploring Higgs bosons in  $\gamma\gamma$  fusion<sup>3,4</sup>. The entire Higgs mass range up to the kinematical limit can be covered for large  $\tan\beta$  by this method.

2.) The formation of the light and heavy  $\Phi = h/H/A$  Higgs bosons in  $\tau\tau$  fusion at a photon collider proceeds as shown in Fig.1. For the large- $\tan\beta$  case

studied here, all the Higgs bosons  $\Phi$  decay almost exclusively [80 to 90%] to a pair of  $b$  quarks. Therefore the final state consists of a pair of  $\tau$ 's and a pair of resonant  $b$  quark jets.



**Figure 1:** The process of  $\tau\tau$  fusion to Higgs bosons in  $\gamma\gamma$  collisions.

In the equivalent-particle approximation the process can be decomposed into two consecutive steps: photon splittings to tau pairs,  $\gamma \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ , followed by the fusion process of two (almost on-shell) taus to the Higgs bosons,  $\tau^+\tau^- \rightarrow \Phi$ . The cross section is given by the convolution of the fusion cross section with the  $\tau\tau$  luminosity in the colliding photon beams:

$$\sigma[\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-\Phi] = \frac{\pi m_\tau^2}{2v^2 s} g_{\Phi\tau\tau}^2 \times 2 \int_\tau^1 \frac{dz}{z} D_\gamma^\tau(z) D_\gamma^\tau(\tau/z) \quad (1)$$

$v$  is the Higgs vacuum expectation value,  $v \simeq 246$  GeV;  $\sqrt{s} = E_{\gamma\gamma}$  is the c.m. energy of the photons, and  $\tau = M_\Phi^2/s$ . The couplings  $g_{\Phi\tau\tau}$  are normalized to the Standard Model Higgs coupling to a tau pair,  $m_\tau/v$ . For large  $\tan\beta$ , the couplings are given by

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\Phi\tau\tau} &= \tan\beta & \text{for } \Phi = A \\ g_{\Phi\tau\tau} &\simeq \tan\beta & \text{for } \Phi = h, H \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

if the pseudoscalar mass parameter  $M_A$  is sufficiently light in the case of  $h$ , and sufficiently heavy in the case of  $H$ , *cf.* Ref. <sup>1</sup> for details. From the  $\gamma \rightarrow \tau$  splitting function <sup>5</sup>  $D_\gamma^\tau(z)$  the  $\tau\tau$  luminosity

$$F_{LL}(\tau) = \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^2 \log^2 \frac{M_\Phi^2}{m_\tau^2} \times [2(1+2\tau)^2 \log \tau^{-1} - 4(1-\tau)(1+3\tau)] \quad (3)$$

in the second part of Eq.(1) can easily be derived.



A rough estimate, based on the equivalent-particle approximation introduced in Eq.(1), shows the size of the fusion cross section to be  $\sim 8$  fb for the  $\gamma\gamma$  c.m. energy  $E_{\gamma\gamma} = 600$  GeV and the Higgs parameters  $M_{H/A} = 400$  GeV and  $\tan\beta = 30$ . For an integrated luminosity of  $200 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ , about 3,000 events can be expected in both  $H$  and  $A$  decay channels. As a result, a statistical error of order 1% can be predicted that compares favorably well with other methods<sup>6,7</sup>. On the other hand, the light Higgs boson  $h$  and, for moderate mass values, the heavy Higgs bosons  $H, A$  can also be produced at lower energies, *e.g.*  $E_{\gamma\gamma} = 400$  GeV.

In the same way the size of the cross section for the main background channel can be estimated:  $\tau^+\tau^-$  annihilation into a pair of  $b$ -quarks, via  $s$ -channel  $\gamma/Z$  exchanges. As this mechanism is of higher order in the electroweak interactions, it is naturally small and strongly suppressed away from the  $Z$  resonance region. [The reverse process, annihilation of  $b$ 's to  $\tau$ 's, is very small due to the fractional  $b$  electric charge.] A second background channel is associated with diffractive  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow (\tau^+\tau^-)(b\bar{b})$  events, the pairs scattering off each other by Rutherford photon exchange. This diffractive background can be suppressed strongly by choosing proper cuts: the paired fermions in diffractive events travel preferentially parallel to the  $\gamma$  axes and they carry small invariant mass, a topology quite different from the signal events.

**3.)** For an  $e^\pm e^-$  collider c.m. energy of 800 GeV, the maximum of the  $\gamma\gamma$  energy spectrum is close to 600 GeV. Adopting the detailed TESLA parameters, an integrated  $\gamma\gamma$  luminosity of about  $200 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  *per annum* can be expected in the margin 20% below the maximum  $e^+e^-$  energy<sup>8</sup>. Similarly, about  $100 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  may be accumulated for a  $\gamma\gamma$  energy of 400 GeV at a 500 GeV  $e^\pm e^-$  collider.

In the numerical analysis the full set of diagrams for the signal processes  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^- + \Phi[\rightarrow b\bar{b}]$  and all diagrams for the background processes  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^- b\bar{b}$ , generated by means of CompHEP<sup>9</sup>, are taken into account. This set includes for the signal in particular the bremsstrahlung of the Higgs bosons off the external  $\tau$  legs.

The exact cross sections for the signals of  $H$  and  $A$  Higgs-boson production in the  $\tau\tau$  fusion process, together with all the background processes, are presented in the top panel of Fig. 2. The cuts on the final states have been chosen such that the diffractive  $\gamma$ -exchange mechanism is suppressed sufficiently well: the invariant  $b\bar{b}$  mass has been constrained to the bracket  $\Delta = 0.05M_\Phi$ , the taus are assumed visible and traveling in opposite directions to the beam axis with tau energies in excess of 5 GeV, and polar angles beyond 130 mrad to account for the shielding. From the complementary bottom panel of Figure 2



**Figure 2:** The cross sections for the production of the  $H/A$  (top) and  $h$  (bottom) Higgs bosons in the  $\tau\tau$  fusion process at a  $\gamma\gamma$  collider for  $\tan\beta = 30$ . Also shown is the background cross section for experimental cuts as specified in the text.  $\sqrt{s}$  denotes the  $\gamma\gamma$  collider c.m. energy, corresponding to approximately 80% of the  $e^\pm e^-$  linear collider energy.

it is clear that  $\tau\tau$  fusion to the light Higgs boson  $h$  can also be exploited to measure  $\tan\beta$  for large values if the pseudoscalar mass is moderately small.

	$E_{\gamma\gamma} = 400 \text{ GeV}$			$E_{\gamma\gamma} = 600 \text{ GeV}$				
$M_{\text{Higgs}}$ [GeV]	$A \oplus h$ 100	$A \oplus H$ 200 300		$A \oplus h$ 100	$A \oplus H$ 200 300 400 500			
$\tan\beta$	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII
10	8.4%	10.7%	13.9%	8.0%	9.0%	11.2%	13.2%	16.5%
30	2.6%	3.5%	4.6%	2.4%	3.0%	3.7%	4.4%	5.3%
50	1.5%	2.1%	2.7%	1.5%	1.8%	2.2%	2.6%	3.2%

**Table 1:** Relative errors  $\Delta \tan\beta / \tan\beta$  on  $\tan\beta$  in measurements for  $\tan\beta = 10, 30$  and  $50$ , based on: combined  $A \oplus h$  [I,IV] and  $A \oplus H$  [II,III,V-VIII] production, assuming [ $E_{\gamma\gamma} = 400 \text{ GeV}, \mathcal{L} = 100 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ ] and [ $E_{\gamma\gamma} = 600 \text{ GeV}, \mathcal{L} = 200 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ ]. Cuts and efficiencies are applied on the final-state  $\tau$ 's and  $b$  jets as specified in the text.

The statistical accuracy with which large  $\tan\beta$  values can be measured in  $\tau\tau$  fusion to Higgs bosons can be estimated from the predicted cross sections and the assumed integrated luminosities. Efficiencies for  $bb$  tagging,  $\epsilon_{bb}$ , and  $\tau\tau$  tagging,  $\epsilon_{\tau\tau}$ , reduce the accuracy. For  $\epsilon_{bb} \sim 0.7$  and  $\epsilon_{\tau\tau} \sim 0.5$ , for example<sup>10</sup>, the errors grow by a factor  $1/\sqrt{\epsilon_{bb}\epsilon_{\tau\tau}} \sim 1.7$ . The expected errors for  $h/H/A$  production are exemplified for three  $\tan\beta$  values,  $\tan\beta = 10, 30$  and  $50$ , in Table 1. The integrated luminosities are chosen to be  $200 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  for the high energy option and  $100 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  for the low energy option<sup>8</sup>. For  $h$  production, the mass parameters are set to  $M_A \sim 100 \text{ GeV}$  and  $M_h = 100 \text{ GeV}$ ; for the production of the heavy pseudoscalar  $A$  the mass is varied between 100 and 500 GeV. Results for scalar  $H$  production are identical to pseudoscalar  $A$  in the mass range above 120 GeV. The two channels  $h$  and  $A$ , and  $H$  and  $A$  are combined in the overlapping mass ranges in which the respective two states cannot be discriminated. In Table 1 we have presented the relative errors  $\Delta \tan\beta / \tan\beta$ . Since in the region of interest the  $\tau\tau$  fusion cross sections are proportional to  $\tan^2\beta$  and the background is small, the absolute errors  $\Delta \tan\beta$  are nearly independent of  $\tan\beta$ , varying between

$$\Delta \tan\beta \simeq 0.9 \quad \text{and} \quad 1.3 \quad (4)$$

for Higgs mass values away from the kinematical limits.

It should be noted that away from the kinematical limits, the Higgs fusion cross sections vary little with the  $\gamma\gamma$  energy since the suppression of the

parton subprocess with rising energy is almost compensated by the luminosity function. As a result, the smearing of the  $\gamma\gamma$  energy has a mild effect on the analysis presented here. Moreover, since the  $\gamma\gamma$  machine-luminosity rises with the collider energy, the errors on  $\tan\beta$  decrease correspondingly.

4.)  $\tau\tau$  fusion to the heavy Higgs bosons  $H/A$  of the MSSM at a photon collider is a promising channel for measuring the Higgs mixing parameter  $\tan\beta$  at large values. Complemented by  $\tau\tau$  fusion to the light Higgs boson  $h$  for moderately small values of the pseudoscalar Higgs boson mass  $M_A$ , the MSSM parameter range can nicely be covered in all scenarios. This analysis compares favorably well with the corresponding  $b$ -quark fusion process at the LHC <sup>7</sup>. Moreover, the method can be applied readily for a large range of Higgs mass values and thus is competitive with complementary methods in the  $e^+e^-$  mode of a linear collider <sup>6</sup>.

#### References

1. M. Spira and P. M. Zerwas, Lectures at the Schladming Winter School 1997 [arXiv:hep-ph/9803257]; E. Boos, A. Djouadi, M. Mühlleitner and A. Vologdin, *Phys. Rev. D* **66** (2002) 055004 [arXiv:hep-ph/0205160].
2. S.Y. Choi, J. Kalinowski, J.S. Lee, M.M. Mühlleitner, M. Spira and P.M. Zerwas, arXiv:hep-ph/0404119 [to be published in *Phys. Lett. B*].
3. M. M. Mühlleitner, M. Krämer, M. Spira and P. M. Zerwas, *Phys. Lett. B* **508** (2001) 311 [arXiv:hep-ph/0101083]; M. M. Velasco *et al.*, in *Proc. of the APS/DPF/DPB Summer Study on the Future of Particle Physics (Snowmass 2001)*, [arXiv:hep-ex/0111055]; D. M. Asner, J. B. Gronberg and J. F. Gunion, *Phys. Rev. D* **67** (2003) 035009.
4. P. Nieżurawski, A. F. Żarnecki and M. Krawczyk, arXiv:hep-ph/0307180, hep-ph/0307183, and hep-ph/0403138.
5. M. S. Chen and P. M. Zerwas, *Phys. Rev. D* **12** (1975) 187.
6. J. F. Gunion, *et al.*, in “LHC/LC Physics Document”, 2004.
7. R. Kinnunen, S. Lehti, F. Moortgat, S. Nikitenko and M. Spira, CMS–Note CMS AN 2003/014.
8. B. Badelek *et al.* [ECFA/DESY Photon Collider Group], TESLA-TDR, Part VI, DESY 02-011 [arXiv:hep-ex/0108012]; E. Boos *et al.*, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods A* **472** (2001) 100 [arXiv:hep-ph/0103090].
9. A. Pukhov *et al.*, CompHEP Collaboration, arXiv:hep-ph/9908288.
10. K. Desch, *private communication*.