

**THE VALUE RING OF GEOMETRIC MOTIVIC INTEGRATION, AND
THE IWAHORI HECKE ALGEBRA OF SL_2**

EHUD HRUSHOVSKI, DAVID KAZHDAN

(with an appendix by Nir Avni)

1. INTRODUCTION

In [1], an integration theory for valued fields was developed with a Grothendieck group approach. Two types of categories were studied. The first was of semi-algebraic sets over a valued field, with all semi-algebraic morphisms. The Grothendieck ring of this category was shown to admit two natural homomorphisms, essentially into the Grothendieck ring of varieties over the residue field. These can be viewed as generalized Euler characteristics. The objects of the second category are semi-algebraic sets with volume forms; the morphisms are semi-algebraic bijections preserving the absolute value of the volume form. (Some finer variants were also studied.) The Grothendieck ring of bounded objects in this category can be viewed as a universal integration theory.

Even before the restriction to bounded sets, an isomorphism was shown between the semiring of semi-algebraic sets with measure preserving morphisms, and certain semirings formed out of twisted varieties over the residue field, and rational polytopes over the value group. Though this description is very precise, the target remains complicated. With a view to representation-theoretic applications, we require a simpler description of the possible values of the integration, and in particular natural homomorphisms into fields. In the present paper we obtain such results after tensoring with \mathbb{Q} , in particular introducing additive inverses. Since this operation trivializes the full semiring, we restrict to bounded sets. We show that the resulting \mathbb{Q} -algebra is generated by its one-dimensional part. In the “geometric” case, i.e. working over an elementary submodel as a base, we determine the structure precisely. As a corollary we obtain useful canonical homomorphisms in the general case.

Let F be a valued field of residue characteristic 0. Let V be an F -variety. A *semialgebraic subset* of V is a Boolean combination of subvarieties and of sets defined by valuation inequalities $\{x \in U : \text{val}f(x) \leq \text{val}g(x)\}$, where U is a relatively closed F -subvariety of V , and f, g are regular functions on U . (It is possible to think of the F^a -points defined by these equalities, but better to think of K -points where K is an undetermined valued field extension of F .)

Let Vol_F be the category of semi-algebraic sets with bounded semi-algebraic volume forms; see 3.21 for a precise definition. The Jacobian of any semi-algebraic map between such objects can then be defined, outside a lower dimensional variety; morphisms are semi-algebraic bijections whose Jacobian has valuation zero (outside a lower dimensional variety.) The Grothendieck ring $K(\text{Vol}_F)$ of this category can be viewed as a universal integration theory for semialgebraic sets and volume forms over F . This ring is graded by dimension, but one can form out of it a ring $K^{df}(\text{Vol}_F)$ of “pure numbers”, ratios of integrals of equal dimension (see §1.1). We state there a version of Theorem 3.24 in the case of a higher dimensional local field.

Let $\text{Var}_{\mathbf{F}}$ be the category of algebraic varieties over the residue field of F . $K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\text{Var}_{\mathbf{F}})$ is the dimension-free Grothendieck ring with rational coefficients this category. There exists a natural

homomorphism $L_F^{Var} : K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\text{Var}_{\mathbf{F}}) \rightarrow K^{df}(\text{Vol}_F)$, induced by taking the full pullback of a variety $V \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n(\mathbf{F})$ to the valuation ring, with the standard form $dx_1 \dots dx_n$.

Assume F has value group generated by n elements $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$. Extend L_F^{Var} to a homomorphism

$$L_F : K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\text{Var}_{\mathbf{F}})[t_1, \dots, t_n, q_1, \dots, q_n] \rightarrow K^{df}(\text{Vol}_F)$$

by mapping q_i to the ratio of the annulus of valuative radius γ_i to the unit annulus U_0 ; and t_i to the logarithmic quantity $L_F(t_i) = [(\{x : 0 \leq \text{val}(x) < \gamma_i\}), dx/x] / [(U_0, dx)]$.

Localizations by certain elements will be needed. They are explained in the text before the statement of Theorem 3.24. Here we will just denote them with a subscript *loc*. We denote by L_F the homomorphism induced on localizations also.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume F has value group \mathbb{Z}^n . Let \mathbf{F} denote the residue field of F .*

There exists a canonical homomorphism

$$I_F : K^{df}(\text{Vol}_F)_{loc} \rightarrow K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\text{Var}_{\mathbf{F}})[t_1, \dots, t_n, q_1, \dots, q_n]_{loc}$$

with $I_F J_F = Id$.

The ring $K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\text{Var}_{\mathbf{F}})$ is a subring of the usual Grothendieck ring $K_{\mathbb{Q}}(\text{Var})$ of varieties over F , localized at $[G_m]$; we have $K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\text{Var}_{\mathbf{F}}) \cong \{a/[G_m]^k : k \in \mathbb{N}, a \in K_{\mathbb{Q}}(\text{Var}), \dim(a) \leq k\}$. It includes an element $L = 1 + \frac{[pt]}{[G_m]}$, corresponding on the left to the ratio of the volume of a closed and an open ball of the same radius. On the other hand, q_i corresponds to the ratio of the ball $\text{val}(x) > \text{val}(t_i)$, to the unit ball $\text{val}(x) > 0$.

The quantities L, q_1, \dots, q_n are \mathbb{Q} -algebraically independent. This contrasts with the p -adic integration theories, and those of Denef, Denef-Loeser, Cluckers-Loeser, where one has ($n = 1$ and) $L^{-1} = q_1$. The reason for the additional degree of freedom is that we chose the ‘‘geometric’’ realization of the universal integral. It can already be seen via the the following functoriality in ramified extensions:

If $F \leq F'$ is a finite ramified field extension, whose value group is generated (for simplicity) by $\gamma_1/m_1, \dots, \gamma_n/m_n$, then we have:

$$I_{F'} : K^{df}(\text{Vol}_{F'})_{loc} \rightarrow K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\text{Var}_{\mathbf{F}'})_{loc}[t'_1, \dots, t'_n, q'_1, \dots, q'_n]_{loc}$$

With $m_i t'_i = t_i$ and $(q'_i)^{m_i} = q_i$. At the limit over all ramified extensions, or just a family whose value groups approach \mathbb{Q}^n , the homomorphisms $I_{F'}$ become an *isomorphism*. In fact the fundamental case here is really the case of divisible value group.

Viewed as an integral, I_F satisfies Fubini and the usual change of variable formula, with respect to arbitrary semi-algebraic maps. It is also additive with respect to definable maps into the value group or residue field.

In the case of value group \mathbb{Z}^n described above, the theorem should be compared to earlier integration theories of Fesenko and Parshin ; see [3].

The above statements are all special cases of the results in [1], with improvement only in the description of the target ring. This depends on a closer study of the Grothendieck ring of bounded piecewise linear polytopes. We express in closed form the motivic volume of any bounded polytope over an ordered Abelian group, in terms of quantities $\iota(b)$ referring to the length of a one-dimensional segment $[0, b)$, and Boolean quantities $e(b)$ that can be viewed as referring to the existence or not of b as a rational point. Note that $\frac{1}{m}\iota(x) \neq \iota(\frac{x}{m})$ in general. The formulas specialize (in their graded version) to standard integration formulas, and on the other hand formulas giving the number of integer points in bounded polytopes. But since they must also be valid in groups such as \mathbb{Z}^n , nothing can be assumed about the index of arithmetic

sequences. Nevertheless when sufficient care is taken with arithmetic issues, it turns out that the formulas can be proved using integration by parts.

In [1], a parallel theory without volume forms, and without ignoring lower dimensional sets, was also developed. On the one hand, a universal invariant was found, with values in a Grothendieck ring formed out of $K(\text{Var}_{\mathbf{F}})$ and $K(\Gamma)$. (Theorem 1.1) On the other hand, two homomorphisms were found, essentially into $K(\text{Var}_{\mathbf{F}})$; they were deduced from the universal invariant and two ‘‘Euler characteristic’’ homomorphisms $K(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, found earlier by [6] and [4]. (Theorem 10.5) However, no universality property was shown for the latter. The two Euler characteristics are known to be universal with respect to $GL_n(\mathbb{Q})$ transformations, but it is $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ transformations that are relevant here; since it is these (along with translations by values of rational points) that lift to the valued field. Theorem 3.13 fills this gap in the rational coefficient case, by showing that even with respect to integral transformations alone, $K^{df}(\Gamma) \cong \mathbb{Q}^2$.

In the appendix we define the Iwahori Hecke algebra of SL_2 over an algebraically closed valued field. Iwahori Hecke algebras are usually defined for (quasi-)split algebraic groups over non archimedean local fields as convolution algebras with respect to the Haar measure. Here, instead, we use motivic integration. We give an analogue of the Bernstein presentation for the algebra and find its center. In [5], a construction of the Iwahori Hecke algebra of SL_2 over a two dimensional local field is given. We think this construction is unrelated to ours.

Acknowledgment The authors were partially supported by ISF grants # 244/03 and 1461/05.

2. THE GROTHENDIECK RING OF BOUNDED POLYTOPES OVER AN ORDERED ABELIAN GROUP

2.1. The dimension-free part of a graded ring. While we are ultimately interested in \mathbb{Q} -algebras, in the interest of simpler proofs we will also use semirings for the basic lemmas. Elements of the Grothendieck semiring are represented by definable sets, and equality corresponds to definable bijections. For the corresponding ring representing an element $[X] - [Y]$ requires two definable sets, and equality $[X] - [Y] = [X'] - [Y']$ invokes a third definable set Z and an isomorphism $X \dot{\cup} Y' \dot{\cup} Z \rightarrow X' \dot{\cup} Y \dot{\cup} Z$. Thus a canonical isomorphism between semirings, when available, is not only stronger but easier to prove than the isomorphism of rings it implies.

All semigroups in this paper will be commutative, with addition denoted by $+$, and a distinguished element 0 (perhaps the term *commutative monoid* is more standard.)

Given a graded semiring $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$, and an element $a_1 \in R_1$, $R[a_1^{-1}]$ is naturally \mathbb{Z} -graded; let $R_{a_1}^{df} = R[a_1^{-1}]_0$ be the zero'th homogeneous component. When a_1 is fixed we will just write R^{df} . We think of the elements of R^{df} as ratios or pure numbers, whereas the elements of R may have ‘‘units’’.

As a semigroup, R_a^{df} can also be described as the direct limit of the semigroups R_d under the maps $R_d \rightarrow R_{d+1}$ given by $x \mapsto a_1 x$. In some cases that will be encountered, e.g. when R_d is the Grothendieck group of varieties of dimension $\leq d$, R^{df} can be thought of as a stabilized version of the Grothendieck group of varieties (of all dimensions at once.)

Define a semiring homomorphism $f : R \rightarrow R[a^{-1}]_0$ by $f(r) = \frac{r}{a_1^n}$ for $r \in R_n$. $R[a_1^{-1}]_0$ has the universal property for semiring homomorphisms $g : R \rightarrow S$ such that $g(a_1) = 1$.

The Laurent polynomial semiring $R^{df}[t, t^{-1}]$ is isomorphic, as a \mathbb{Z} -graded semiring, to the localization $R[a_1^{-1}]$ (with $t \mapsto a_1$.)

If $f_i : A \rightarrow B_i$ is a semiring homomorphism, $B_1 \otimes_A B_2$ is defined to be the universal semiring B with maps $g_i : B_i \rightarrow B$ such that $g_1 f_1 = g_2 f_2$. If $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{B}_i$ are the ring canonically obtained from A, B, B_i by introducing additive inverses, one verifies immediately that the natural map $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow (\mathbf{B}_1 \otimes_A \mathbf{B}_2)$ is an isomorphism.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\phi : R_1 \otimes R_2 \rightarrow R_3$ be a surjective homomorphism of graded semirings with $\phi(e_1 \otimes 1) = \phi(1 \otimes e_2) = e_3$, with kernel \sim . Let $S_i = R_i[e_i^{-1}]_0$.*

If \sim is generated by the single relation $1 \otimes e_2 \sim e_1 \otimes 1$, then ϕ induces an isomorphism $S_1 \otimes S_2 \rightarrow S_3$.

More generally, if \sim is generated by $1 \otimes e_2 = e_1 \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes f_2 = f_1 \otimes 1$, with $e_i, f_i \in R_i[1]$, then ϕ induces a surjective homomorphism $S_1 \otimes S_2 \rightarrow S_3$, with kernel generated by $\frac{f_2}{e_2} \otimes 1 \sim 1 \otimes \frac{f_1}{e_1}$.

Proof. We pass to the localizations, and obtain a homomorphism of Laurent polynomial semirings

$$S_1[t_1, t_1^{-1}] \otimes S_2[t_2, t_2^{-1}] \rightarrow S_3[t_3, t_3^{-1}]$$

restricting to a homomorphism $S_1 \otimes S_2 \rightarrow S_3$, with kernel generated by $1 \otimes t_2 \sim t_1 \otimes 1$ and (in the second case) an additional relation, that may be written $t_2 \frac{f_2}{e_2} \otimes 1 \sim 1 \otimes \frac{f_1}{e_1} t_1$. But $S_1[t_1, t_1^{-1}] \otimes S_2[t_2, t_2^{-1}] / (1 \otimes t_2 = t_1 \otimes 1) = (S_1 \otimes S_2)(t, t^{-1})$. We thus have a surjective homomorphism $(S_1 \otimes S_2)[t, t^{-1}] \rightarrow S_3[t, t^{-1}]$ with kernel generated by $(\frac{f_2}{e_2} \otimes 1)t \sim (1 \otimes \frac{f_1}{e_1})t$, or equivalently by $\frac{f_2}{e_2} \otimes 1 \sim 1 \otimes \frac{f_1}{e_1}$. Restricting to $S_1 \otimes S_2$ we find a homomorphism into S_3 ; it is easy to see that it must be surjective, with kernel generated by the same relation. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let R be a graded ring, $a_1 \in R_1$, $R^{df} = R_{a_1}^{df}$. Let $b \in R_1$, $I = Rb$, $\mathbb{R} = R/I$, $\mathbf{a}_1 = a_1/I \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $I^{df} = R^{df} \frac{b}{a_1}$. Then $R^{df}/I^{df} \cong \mathbb{R}^{df}$.*

Proof. The homomorphism $R \rightarrow R/I$ extends to a homomorphism $h : R[a_1^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{a}_1^{-1}]$ of \mathbb{Z} -graded rings. h is surjective on every homogeneous component. In particular h restricts to a surjective ring homomorphism $h_0 : R[a_1^{-1}]_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{a}_1^{-1}]_0$. Any element of $R[a_1^{-1}]_0$ can be written as $\frac{r}{a_1^n}$ for some $r \in R_n$. If $h_0(\frac{r}{a_1^n}) = 0$ then $h(r)\mathbf{a}_1^n = 0$ for some $m \geq 0$. So $h(ra_1^m) = 0$, i.e. $ra_1^m = bs$ for some s . Since r, a_1, b are homogeneous of respective degrees $n, 1, 1$, we can take s to be homogeneous of degree $n + m - 1$. But then in $R[a_1^{-1}]_0$ we have $\frac{r}{a_1^n} = \frac{b}{a_1} \frac{s}{a_1^{n+m-1}} \in I^{df}$. This shows that $\ker(h_0) = I^{df}$, proving the lemma. \square

We also have:

Lemma 2.3. *Let R, S be graded semirings, $e \in R_1, e' \in S_1$, and let $f : R \rightarrow S$ be an injective homomorphism, $f(e) = e'$. If for any $r' \in S$, for some n , $r'(e')^n \in f(R)$, then f induces an isomorphism $R_e^{df} \rightarrow S_{e'}^{df}$.*

Proof. Clear. \square

2.2. Two categories of bounded definable subsets of Γ^n . Throughout the text, A denotes an ordered Abelian group, seen as a base subset of a model of the theory *DOAG* of divisible ordered Abelian groups.

Definition 2.4. (1) *An object of $\Gamma_A[n]$ is a subset of Γ^n defined by linear equalities and inequalities with \mathbb{Z} -coefficients and parameters in A . When A is fixed, we write $\Gamma[n] = \Gamma_A[n]$. Given $X, Y \in \text{Ob } \Gamma[n]$, $f \in \text{Mor}_\Gamma(X, Y)$ iff f is a bijection, and there exists a partition $X = \cup_{i=1}^n X_i$, $M_i \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, $a_i \in A^n$, such that for $x \in X_i$,*

$$f(x) = M_i x + a_i$$

(2) $\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}[*]$ *is the full subcategory of $\Gamma[*]$ consisting of bounded sets, i.e. an element of $\text{Ob } \Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}[n]$ is a definable subset of $[-\gamma, \gamma]^n$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$.*

(3) $\text{Ob vol } \Gamma_A[n] = \text{Ob } \Gamma_A[n]$ *Given $X, Y \in \text{Ob vol } \Gamma_A[n]$, $f \in \text{Mor vol } \Gamma_A[n](X, Y)$ iff $f \in \text{Mor } \Gamma[n]$ and for any $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X$, if $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) = f(x)$ then $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$.*

(4) $\text{vol}\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}[n] = \text{Ob}\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}[n]$ is the full subcategory of $\text{vol}\Gamma[n]$ with objects $X \subseteq [\gamma, \infty)^n$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$. (Such objects will be called semi-bounded.)

(5) $\text{vol}\Gamma_A[*]$ is the direct sum of the categories $\text{vol}\Gamma[n]$ over $n \geq 0$; similarly for the other categories.

$K_+[\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}][n]$ denotes the Grothendieck semigroup of $\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}[n]$. By definition, it is the free semigroup generated by the objects of $\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}[n]$, subject to the relations: $[X_1] + [X_2] = [Z]$ when there exists a partition $Z = Z_1 \dot{\cup} Z_2$ of Z , $Z_i \in \Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}[n]$, with X_i, Z_i isomorphic in $\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}[n]$. The zero object is defined to be the class of \emptyset . It is easy to see (using boundedness) that for $n \geq 1$, $K_+\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}[n]$ has finite direct sums (represented by disjoint unions). Hence any element of $K_+\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}[n]$ is represented by an object of $\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}[n]$.

The semigroup $K_+\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}[0]$ is \mathbb{N} ; in this case only 0 and 1 are represented by an object of $\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}[0]$.

$K_+\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}$ is the graded semiring $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_+\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}[n]$. Here $K_+\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}[0] = \mathbb{N}$. $K\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}$ is the corresponding ring. Similar notation is used for the measured categories.

Observe that a disjoint union of $\text{vol}\Gamma[n]$ isomorphisms is again a $\text{vol}\Gamma$ isomorphism, provided that it is a $\Gamma[n]$ isomorphism.

Here we will be interested in dimension-free quantities, i.e. ratios of elements of $\Gamma[n]$ for each n , taking their direct limit over n . We will normalize $K_+[\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}]$ using the element $[0]_1$. Let

$$K_{+0}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}) = (K_+[\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}][[0]_1^{-1}])_0$$

Let $K^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})$ be the corresponding ring, and

$$K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}) = \mathbb{Q} \otimes K^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})$$

Remark 2.5. We defined the dimension free ring using a dehomogenizing element $a = [0]_1$, but could define a variant $K_+^{df(b)}$ using $b = [X]_1$, for any nonempty definable $X \subseteq \Gamma$. The choice $a = [0]_1$ has the following universality property: $K_+^{df(b)}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})$ embeds into a localization $K_+^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})[(\frac{[X]}{[0]_1})^{-1}]$ of $K_+^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})$, $\frac{c}{b^n} \mapsto \frac{c}{a^n}(\frac{b}{a})^{-n}$. This requires a lemma: if $[Y \times \{0\}^m] = [Y' \times \{0\}^m]$ then $[Y \times X^m] = [Y' \times X^m]$.

For $a \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes A$, let $e(a) = [a]_1/[0]_1$. We have $[a]_1[0]_1 = [(a, 0)]_2 = [(a, a)]_2 = [a]_1^2$, using the $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ map $(x, y) \mapsto (x + y, y)$. Hence $e(a)$ is idempotent. For $a \in A$, we have $e(a) = 1$. We also have an element $\iota(a) = [0, a]_1/[0]_1$, in $K^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})$. (Here $[0, a]$ is the closed-open interval, for $a > 0$; if $a < 0$ we let $\iota(a) = -\iota(-a)$, and $\iota(0) = o$.) We will sometimes write $[a, b]$ to denote the class $\iota(b) - \iota(a)$. If $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a formula, we will sometimes write $[\phi]$ for the class of $\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) : \phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)\}$.

We define the dimension-free Grothendieck ring as in the unmeasured case:

$$K_+^{df}(\text{vol}\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}) = (K_+[\text{vol}\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}][*][[0]_1^{-1}])_0$$

Let $K^{df}(\text{vol}\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})$ be the corresponding ring, and

$$K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\text{vol}\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}) = \mathbb{Q} \otimes K^{df}(\text{vol}\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})$$

It turns out that the measured ring can be constructed from the unmeasured one; we thus begin by studying the latter.

2.3. Definable functions. Recall the semigroup of functions $F_n(\Gamma, K_+(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}))$. An element of this semiring is represented by a definable set $F \subseteq \Gamma \times \Gamma^m$, such that $F(x) = \{y : (x, y) \in F\}$ is bounded for any x . F represents a function in the following sense: given any ordered Abelian group extension $A(t)$ of A , generated over A by a single element t , we obtain an element $[F(t)]$ of $K_+(\Gamma_{A(t)}^{\text{bdd}})$.

Similarly we define $F_n(\Gamma, K_{+0}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}))$. An element is again represented by a definable set $F \subseteq \Gamma \times \Gamma^m$, such that $F(x) = \{y : (a, y) \in F\}$ is bounded for any x . Two such sets F, F' represent the same function if for any A' extending A and $b \in A'$, $[F(b)]/[0]_1^m = [F'(b)]/[0]_1^m$ as elements of $K^{\text{df}}(\Gamma_{A'}^{\text{bdd}})$, i.e. if $[F(b)]_{m+m'} = [F'(b)]_{m+m'}$ for some m' . Note that $e(t)$ represents the function 1 in this formalism, since $[b]_1 = [0]_1$ in $K^{\text{df}}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})$, using the translation $x \mapsto x - b$. Hence $F(t)$, $e(t)F(t)$ represent the same function. Since all A' are at issue, we may take $A' = A(b)$. Addition is defined pointwise on representatives. There is more than one option for multiplication; at present we will use pointwise multiplication, yielding a semiring. The ring of functions $F_n(\Gamma, K^{\text{df}}(\Gamma_{A(t)}^{\text{bdd}})) = \mathbb{Z} \otimes F_n(\Gamma, K_{+0}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}))$ is the ring of formal differences; an element $[F_1] - [F_2]$ is represented by a pair (F_1, F_2) , with the obvious rules for equivalence, sum and product.

If $F(x)$ represents an element of $F_n(\Gamma, K_{+0}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}))$, and $h : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ is any definable function, consider $[F \circ h] \in F_n(\Gamma, K_{+0}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}))$. If $[F] = [F']$ then $[e(h(x))][F \circ h] = [e(h(x))][F' \circ h]$. In particular, if $h(x) = nx + a$, with $a \in A$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $[F] = [F']$ implies $[F \circ h] = [F' \circ h]$. But if h has non-integral coefficients, this need not be the case.

2.4. Integral notation. Let f be a function represented by F . If $a < b \in \Gamma$, write $\int_a^b f(x)dx$ for the class of $\{(t, y) : a \leq t < b, (t, y) \in F\}$. Note that $\int_a^b f(x)dx = \int_a^b f(x)e(x)dx$.

One can think of the element “dx” as denoting the idempotent $e(x)$.

If $a > b$, we let $\int_a^b dt = -\int_b^a dt$.

If $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $c \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes A$, we have a term $e(\alpha t - c) \in F_n(\Gamma, K(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}))$, mapping b to the idempotent $e(\alpha b - c)$ of $K(\Gamma_{A(t)}^{\text{bdd}})$.

We also use the notation of indefinite integrals¹. We write:

$$\int f(x)dx = g(x)$$

to mean: for any a, b , $\int_a^b f(x)dx = g(b) - g(a)$.

Thus only $g|_y^x = g(x) - g(y)$ is defined, not $g(x)$. Nevertheless addition and composition on the right with a function make sense: $(g \circ h)|_y^x = g|_{h(y)}^{h(x)}$, $(g + g')|_y^x = g|_y^x + (g')|_y^x$. Moreover, if f, f' represent the same element of $F_n(\Gamma, K(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}))$, then for any $h : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$, $(\int f(x)dx) \circ h = (\int f'(x)dx) \circ h$. In particular, $\int_0^x f(t)dt$ induces a well-defined functional $F_n(\Gamma, K^{\text{df}}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})) \rightarrow F_n(\Gamma, K^{\text{df}}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}))$.

2.5. Dimension filtration. The ring $K^{\text{df}}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})$, unlike $K(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})$, no longer keeps track of ambient dimension; but we still have a filtration based on intrinsic dimension:

$$F_n(K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{df}}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})) = \{\alpha[X]/[0]_1^{-m} - \beta[Y]/[0]_1^{-m} : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Q}, X, Y \in \Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}[m], \dim(X), \dim(Y) \leq n\}$$

Let $Gr_n K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{df}}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}) = F_n(K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{df}}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}))/F_{n-1}(K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{df}}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}))$.

The graded version is not needed at the level of results; but it will simplify the proofs inasmuch as without it the integration by parts formulas become more complicated.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $a < b$ be definable points. There exists a unique linear map*

$$gr \int_a^b dt : F_n(\Gamma, Gr_{n-1} K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{df}}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})) \rightarrow Gr_n K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{df}}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})$$

¹ The useful notational element dx , along with the conventions of indefinite integration, led us to adopt integral rather than summation notation.

such that for any bounded, definable $X \subseteq \Gamma \times \Gamma^n$, if $\dim X_t \leq n-1$, and $f(t)$ is the class of X_t in $Gr_{n-1}K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_{A(t)}^{bdd})$, then

$$gr \int_{t=a}^b f(t)dt = [X]$$

where $[X]$ is the class of X in $Gr_n K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_{A(t)}^{bdd})$.

2.6. Integration by parts. Let C be one of the categories: $\Gamma_A, \Gamma_A^{bdd}, \text{vol}\Gamma_A^{bdd}$. Let K be the Grothendieck ring of C .

The category and the ring K are then \mathbb{N} -graded, with a canonical homogeneous element $[0]_1$ of grade 1, and we can form the dimension free ring K^{df} . We also have canonical maps $K[n] \rightarrow K[n+1]$, multiplication by $[0]_1$. Integrals over Γ of objects in $\Gamma[n]$ do not in general exist in $\Gamma[n]$, but if the objects come from $\Gamma[n-1]$ they do; thus integration over Γ (or a definable interval in Γ) gives an operator $\Gamma[n-1] \rightarrow \Gamma[n]$. The integral notation extends formally to K^{df} .

For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $f_i \in Fn(\Gamma, K^{df})$, $F_i(x) = \int_0^{l_i(x)} f_i(t)dt$, where l_i is a monotone increasing definable function $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$. Also let $\mathbf{F}_i(x) = F_i(x) + f_i(l_i(x))$.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $b \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes A$. We have equality of classes in K :*

$$\prod_i F_i(b) = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^{l_i(b)} f_i(t) \prod_{j<i} F_j(l_i^{-1}(t)) \prod_{j>i} \mathbf{F}_j(l_i^{-1}(t)) dt$$

Proof. It suffices to prove the same statement for $f_i \in Fn(\Gamma, K_+)$, since it is linear in each f_i and hence formally extends to K , and thence to K^{df} by division.

For $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \Gamma^n$, let $i(t)$ be an index $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $l_i^{-1}(t_i)$ having the maximal value. In case there are several such indices, let $i(t)$ be the smallest possible one. $\prod_i F_i$ is the class of

$$\sum \{f(t_1) \cdot \dots \cdot f(t_n) : t \in X\}$$

where $X = \{(t_1, \dots, t_n) : 0 \leq t_i < l_i(b)\}$. Let $X_i = \{(t_1, \dots, t_n) : i(t) = i\}$. Then X is the disjoint union of the X_i , and

$$X_i = \{t : 0 \leq t_i < l_i(b), l_j^{-1}(t_j) < l_i^{-1}(t_i) (j < i), l_j^{-1}(t_j) \leq l_i^{-1}(t_i) (j \leq i)\}$$

The formula follows. \square

Now assume in addition that $g \in Fn(\Gamma, K(\Gamma_A^{bdd}))$, $G(x) = \int_0^x g(x)$.

Corollary 2.8.

$$\int_0^b g(t) \cdot \prod_i \mathbf{F}_i(t) dt = G(b) \cdot \prod_i F_i(b) - \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^{l_j(b)} G(l_j^{-1}(t)) f_j \prod_{1 \leq k < j} F_k(l_j^{-1}) \prod_{j < k \leq n} \mathbf{F}_k(l_j^{-1}) dt$$

$$\int_0^b g(t) \cdot \prod_i F_i(t) dt = G(b) \cdot \prod_i F_i(b) - \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^{l_j(b)} \mathbf{G}(l_j^{-1}(t)) f_j \prod_{1 \leq k < j} F_k(l_j^{-1}) \prod_{j < k \leq n} \mathbf{F}_k(l_j^{-1}) dt$$

Proof. Obtained by subtraction from Lemma 2.7 in the case of $n+1$ functions, with $G = F_0$ and $l_0(x) = x$ for the first equation, $G = F_{n+1}, l_{n+1} = x$ for the second. \square

We will often look at highest homogenous terms. The degree will be clear from the context, so we will write $=$ for equality in the graded ring. In the graded ring there is no distinction between F_i, \mathbf{F}_i and the formula simplifies to:

$$(1) \quad \int_0^b g \cdot \prod_i F_i(t) dt \stackrel{gr}{=} G(b) \cdot \prod_i F_i(b) - \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^{l_j(b)} G(l_j^{-1}(t)) f_j \prod_{1 \leq k \neq j} F_k(l_j^{-1}(t)) dt$$

The variable limits of integration are needed because of the expression below for $\iota(\alpha x + c)$; it cannot be written as an integral with limits $0, x$ of a function.

Claim 2.9. *Let $\alpha = q/p \in \mathbb{Q}$ be a reduced fraction. Then*

$$[0, \alpha x + c) = \int_0^{qx+pc} e\left(\frac{x}{p}\right) dx$$

□

Now in (1) we take, for $i \geq 1$:

$$f_i(x) = e\left(\frac{x}{p_i}\right) \quad (1 \leq p_i \in \mathbb{N})$$

$$l_i(x) = q_i x + p_i c_i \quad (c_i \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes A, 1 \leq q_i \in \mathbb{N}).$$

$$\alpha_i = q_i/p_i$$

By Lemma 2.9 we have $F_i(x) = \int_0^{l_i(x)} f_i(x) = \iota(\alpha_i x + c_i)$. Hence (1) gives:

$$\int_0^b g(t) \prod_{j=1}^n \iota(\alpha_j t + c_j) dt \stackrel{gr}{=} G(b) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n \iota(\alpha_j b + c_j) - \sum_{j=1}^n H_j$$

where $H_j = \int_0^{l_j(b)} G(l_j^{-1}(t)) e(t/p_j) \prod_{1 \leq k \neq j} F_k(l_j^{-1}(t)) dt$. Now the change of variable $s = t/p_j$ gives:

$$H_j = \int_0^{\alpha_j b + c_j} G(\alpha_j^{-1}(s - c_j)) \prod_{1 \leq k \neq j} \iota(\alpha_k(\alpha_j^{-1}(s - c_j)) + c_k) ds$$

From this we retain:

Lemma 2.10.

$$\int_0^b g(t) \prod_{j=1}^n \iota(\alpha_j t + c_j) dt \stackrel{gr}{=} G(b) \cdot \prod_i \iota(\alpha_i b + c_i) - \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^{b_j} G\left(\frac{s - c_j}{\alpha_j}\right) \prod_{1 \leq k \neq j} \iota\left(\frac{\alpha_k}{\alpha_j} s - c_{jk}\right) ds$$

where $b_j = \alpha_j b + c_j$, $c_{jk} = c_k - \alpha_j^{-1} \alpha_k c_j$. Note that $c_{jk} = c_k$ if $c_j = 0$.

Corollary 2.11. $\int_0^b \iota(t)^n dt \stackrel{gr}{=} \frac{\iota(b)^{n+1}}{n+1}$

Proof. Let $g(t) = 1, \alpha_j = 1, c_j = 0$. Then $G(b) = \iota(b)$, and Lemma 2.10 gives:

$$\int_0^b \iota(t)^n \stackrel{gr}{=} \iota(b) \iota(b)^n dt - n \int_0^b \iota(s) \iota(s)^{n-1} ds = \iota(b)^{n+1} - n \iota(s)^n$$

Changing sides, we obtain $(n+1) \int_0^b \iota(t)^n dt = \iota(b)^{n+1}$, whence the corollary. □

We will need a more precise version later. In any \mathbb{Q} -algebra, one can define $c_n(x) := \binom{x}{n} = \frac{x(x-1)\dots(x-n)}{n!}$. Note:

$$(2) \quad c_{n-1}(t)(t - (n-1)) = n c_n(t)$$

Let $C_n(x) = c_n(\iota(x))$. Thus $C_0(x) = 1, C_1(x) = \iota(x)$.

Lemma 2.12. *For $b \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes A$, $\int_0^b C_n(t) dt = C_{n+1}(b)$.*

Proof. For $n = 0$ this is clear; we proceed by induction. By Lemma 2.8 with $g(x) = 1$, $\mathbf{G}(x) = x + 1$, $l_0(x) = l_1(x) = x$, $f_1 = C_{n-1}$, $F_1 = C_n$, we have:

$$\int_0^b C_n(t)dt = \int_0^b 1 \cdot C_n(t)dt = \iota(b)C_n(b) - \int_0^b (1+t)C_{n-1}(t)dt$$

Now $(1+t)C_{n-1}(t) = (t - (n-1))C_{n-1}(t) + nC_{n-1}(t) = nC_n(t) + nC_{n-1}(t)$. Thus using the induction hypothesis and (2) for $n+1$,

$$(n+1) \int_0^b C_n(t)dt = \iota(b)C_n(b) - n \int_0^b C_{n-1}(t)dt = \iota(b)C_n(b) - nC_n(b) = (n+1)C_{n+1}(b)$$

□

2.7. Zero-dimensional functions. Consider elements of $F_n(\Gamma, \mathbf{K}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}))$ of the form $e(\alpha x + \beta a)$, with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Q}$, $a \in A$. By definition, two such terms e_1, e_2 are equal iff for all $M \models DOAG_A$ and $c \in M$, the idempotents $e_1(c), e_2(c)$ are equal elements of $\mathbf{K}^{df}(\Gamma_{A(c)}^{\text{bdd}})$. According to [1] Proposition 9.2, this in turn holds iff for all subgroups T of $\mathbb{Q} \otimes A(c)$ containing $A(c)$, $e_1(c) \in T$ iff $e_2(c) \in T$; In other words, iff $A(c, e_1(c)) = A(c, e_2(c))$. More generally,

$$(3) \quad \prod_{i=1}^l e(\alpha_i x + \beta_i a_i) = \prod_{i=1}^{l'} e(\alpha'_i x + \beta'_i a'_i) \in F_n(\Gamma, \mathbf{K}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}))$$

iff for any $c \in M \models DOAG_A$,

$$A(c, \alpha_1 c + \beta_1 a_1, \dots, \alpha_l c + \beta_l a_l) = A(c, \alpha'_1 c + \beta'_1 a'_1, \dots, \alpha'_l c + \beta'_l a'_l)$$

As an application, note the equalities, for m, m' relatively prime integers, $k \in \mathbb{Z}, b \in A$:

$$(4) \quad e\left(\frac{kx+b}{m}\right)e\left(\frac{kx+b}{m'}\right) = e\left(\frac{kx+b}{mm'}\right)$$

$$(5) \quad e\left(\frac{kx+b}{m}\right) = e\left(\frac{m'(kx+b)}{m}\right)$$

The term “piecewise” will refer to partitions of Γ into definable points and open intervals, including all of Γ or half-infinite intervals. By a *constant term* we mean a piecewise constant function, whose values on each piece are of the form $e(\frac{b}{m})$ with $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $b \in A$. By a *standard divisibility term* we mean a term $e(\frac{x+b}{m})e(b)$, with $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $b \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes A$. The integer m is referred to as the denominator.

Lemma 2.13. *Any term $e(\alpha x + \beta b) \in F_n(\Gamma, \mathbf{K}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}))$ is equivalent to a product of a constant term with a standard divisibility term. The denominator of the latter is equal to the denominator of α as a reduced fraction.*

Proof. The term can be written as $e(mx + nb)/p$, with $b \in A$, $m, n, p \in \mathbb{Z}, p \neq 0$. Write $m = m_1 m_2, p = m_1 m_3$, with m_2, m_3 relatively prime. As in (4), we have:

$$(6) \quad e(x)e\left(\frac{mx+nb}{m_1 m_3}\right) = e(x)e\left(\frac{nb}{m_1}\right)e\left(\frac{m_2 x + nb/m_1}{m_3}\right)$$

Now since m_2, m_3 are relatively prime, there exists $m' \geq 1$ with $m_2 m' = 1 \pmod{m_3}$. In particular, m', m_3 are relatively prime. As in (5),

$$(7) \quad e\left(\frac{nb}{m_1}\right)e\left(\frac{m_2 x + nb/m_1}{m_3}\right) = e\left(\frac{nb}{m_1}\right)e\left(\frac{m'(m_2 x + nb/m_1)}{m_3}\right) = e(m'nb/m'_1 m_1)e\left(\frac{x + m'nb/m_1}{m_3}\right)$$

This is the product of the constant term $e(m'nb/m'm_1)$ with the standard term $e(m'nb/m_1)e(\frac{x+m'nb/m_1}{m_3})$. Moreover, $\alpha = m/(m_1m_3) = m_2/m_3$ has denominator m_3 . \square

Lemma 2.14. *Any finite product of terms $e(\alpha x + \beta b) \in Fn(\Gamma, K^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}))$ equals a product of one standard divisibility term and a number of constant terms.*

Proof. Using Lemma 2.13 and (4) (with $k = 1$), it suffices to consider products of terms $e(\frac{x+b}{m})e(b)$ with m a prime power, $b \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes A$.

If $m|m'$, we have, using Criterion (3):

$$(8) \quad e(b)e(b')e(\frac{x+b}{m})e(\frac{x+b'}{m'}) = e(b)e(b')e(\frac{x+b'}{m'})e(\frac{b-b'}{m})$$

Thus for each prime p , it suffices to consider one term $e(\frac{x+b}{p^k})e(b)$, i.e. the highest occurring power can be used to reduce the others to constant terms. So we need only consider products of terms $e(\frac{x+b_i}{m_i})e(b_i)$ with the m_i relatively prime.

Now if m_1, \dots, m_k are relatively prime, find integers l_j with $l_j = \delta_{ij} \pmod{m_i}$ (Where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta.) Given $b_1, \dots, b_k \in A$, let $b^* = \sum l_i b_i$; then

$$(9) \quad \prod_{i=1}^k e(b_i)e(\frac{x+b_i}{m_i}) = \prod_{i=1}^k e(b_i)e(b^*)e(\frac{x+b^*}{\prod_{i=1}^k m_i})$$

This finishes the proof. \square

Corollary 2.15. *Any element of $Fn(\Gamma, F_0K^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}))$ is equivalent to a \mathbb{Q} -linear combination of products of the form of Lemma 2.14*

Proof. $F_0K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})$ is generated by the classes of definable points $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$. Each p_i has the form c_i/m_i with $c_i \in A$, and the class $[\{p\}] = e(p_1) \cdot \dots \cdot e(p_n)$. Thus any $f \in Fn(\Gamma, F_0K^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}))$ is piecewise of the form of Lemma 2.14; i.e. there exists a partition $I_1 \dot{\cup} \dots \dot{\cup} I_k$ of Γ such that $f|_{I_j} = e_j$, with e_j a \mathbb{Q} -linear combination of a finite product of terms $e(\alpha x + \beta b)$. Now the characteristic functions of the I_k are also constant terms, and using them it is clear that f itself is of the stated form. \square

Zero-dimensional terms inside integrals can now be eliminated as follows.

Lemma 2.16. $e(b) \int e(\frac{x+b}{m})h(x)dx = e(b)(\int h(mx-b)dx) \circ (\frac{x+b}{m})$

Proof. It suffices to consider standard divisibility terms $e(\frac{x+b}{m})$, with $b \in A, m \in \mathbb{N}$. The substitution $y = (x+b)/m$ leads to:

$$(10) \quad e(b) \int_{x=u}^v e(\frac{x+b}{m})h(x)dx = e(b) \int_{y=\frac{u+b}{m}}^{\frac{v+b}{m}} h(my-b)dy$$

\square

Note that the analogous formula with rational m would *not* be valid; in effect we used the fact that $e(x)e(b)e(\frac{x+b}{m}) = e(b)e(\frac{x+b}{m})$.

We note in passing a more direct approach to the computation of the length of a segment on lines through the origin; but this method, that ignores the arithmetic of the inhomogeneous part, does not work for other segments.

Lemma 2.17. *Let p, q be relatively prime integers. Then there exists $M \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with $M \cdot \begin{pmatrix} p/q \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/q \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$.*

Proof. $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ acts transitively on primitive integer vectors, since they may be completed to a lattice basis. Hence some $M \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ takes $(p, q)^t$ to $(1, q)^t$. Thus M takes a planar line of slope p/q to one of slope $1/q$. For lines through the origin, the length is now just the length of a projection. \square

2.8. One-dimensional functions.

Lemma 2.18. *$F_n(\Gamma, F_1 K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}))$ is generated as a $F_n(\Gamma, F_0 K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}))$ -module by the terms $\iota(\alpha x + b)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$, $c \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes A$.*

Proof. A bounded, definable, one-dimensional subset of Γ^n is a finite union of points and bounded segments on lines in Γ^n , i.e. additive translates of 1-dimensional definable subspaces $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)\Gamma$, with $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Q}^n$.

We can take α to be a primitive element of \mathbb{Z}^n . All such elements are $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ -conjugate, so in fact we can take $\alpha = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. In this case the translate has the form $\Gamma \times \{p\}$, with $p = (p_2, \dots, p_n)$ a definable point of Γ^{n-1} . So the segment has the form $(a, b) \times \{p\}$, with $a, b \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes A$. Hence the class of the segment is $[(a, b) \times \{p\}] = (\iota(b) - \iota(a) - e(a))e(p_2) \cdots e(p_n)$. So $F_1 K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})$ is generated as an $F_0 K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})$ -module by the elements $\iota(b)$, $b \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes A$. The lemma follows. \square

For later use, if $\alpha = p/d$ with $p, d \in \mathbb{N}$, and $b \in A$, we will say that $\iota(\alpha x + b)$ admits internal denominator d . A product of terms, each admitting internal denominator d , will also be said to admit this denominator. Note that in general $\iota((1/d)a) \neq (1/d)\iota(a)$ (even modulo F_0 .)

2.9. Integration of higher dimensional functions. Recall the dimension filtration $(F_n)_A = F_n(K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}))$. Let $F'_n(K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}))$ be the \mathbb{Q} -subspace of $F_n(K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}))$ generated by products of elements of $F_0(K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}))$ with $\leq n$ elements of $F_1(K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}))$. We seek to show (cf. Proposition 3.8) that $F_n = F'_n$, i.e. $F_n(K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})) = F'_n(K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}))$ for all A and n .

Let $\mathcal{F}_n = F_n(\Gamma, F'_n(K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})))$. We will also use an arithmetic refinement: let $\mathcal{F}_{n,d}$ be the \mathcal{F}_0 -submodule of \mathcal{F}_n generated by \mathcal{F}_{n-1} along with n -fold products of basic one-dimensional terms with internal denominator dividing d , i.e. terms $\iota(\frac{p}{d}x + b)$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $b \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes A$.

Lemma 2.19. *Let $d, d', p_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $c_i, c \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes A$, $\alpha_i = p_i/d$, $\gamma = d/d'$,*

$$f(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n \iota(\alpha_i t + c_i)$$

Then $\int_0^{\gamma x + c} f(t) dt \in \mathcal{F}_{n+1, d'}$

Proof. We use induction on d . Since $\iota((\alpha + 1)t + c_i) = \iota(t) + \iota(\alpha t + c_i)$ as functions of t in $F_n(\Gamma, K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}))$, and using additivity of the integral, we may assume $p_i \leq d$. Similarly, $\iota(t + c_i) = [[t, t + c_i] + [0, t]]/[0]_1 = [[0, c_i] + [0, t]]/[0]_1 = \iota(c_i) + \iota(t)$; so we may assume that if $\alpha_i = 1$ then $c_i = 0$.

In case $d = 1$, we have $p_i = \alpha_i = 1$, so $c_i = 0$ and $\iota(\alpha_i t + c_i) = \iota(t)$. By Lemma 2.11, $\int_0^{\frac{x}{d} + c} \iota(t)^n = \frac{1}{n+1} \iota(\frac{x}{d} + c)^{n+1}$. Clearly this expression lies in $\mathcal{F}_{n+1, d'}$.

In general, let $J_1 = \{j \leq n : \alpha_j = 1\}$, $J_2 = J \setminus J_1$. For $j \in J_1$ we have $c_j = 0$.

Using Lemma 2.10 with $g = 1$, we have:

$$\int_0^{\gamma x+c} \prod_{j=1}^n \iota(\alpha_j t + c_j) dt = \iota(\gamma x + c) \cdot \prod_i \iota(\alpha_i(\gamma x + c) + c_i) - \sum_{j=1}^n h_j(\alpha_j(\gamma x + c) + c_j)$$

where

$$h_j(y) = \int_0^y \iota\left(\frac{s-c_j}{\alpha_j}\right) \prod_{1 \leq k \neq j} \iota\left(\frac{\alpha_k}{\alpha_j} s - c_{jk}\right) ds = \int_0^y \iota\left(\frac{d}{p_j}(s-c_j)\right) \prod_{1 \leq k \neq j} \iota\left(\frac{p_k}{p_j} s - c_{jk}\right) ds$$

Now if $\alpha_j = 1$ and $c_j = 0$, then $c_{jk} = c_k$. Thus (using also $p_j = d$) each of the terms $h_j(\alpha_j(\gamma x + c) + c_j)$ is identical with $\int_0^{(\gamma x+c)} \prod_{j=1}^n \iota(\alpha_j t + c_j) dt$. Moving these terms to the left we have, with $\nu = |J_1| + 1$, $c'_j = c_j/\alpha_j$:

$$\nu \int_0^{\gamma x+c} \prod_{j=1}^n \iota(\alpha_j t + c_j) dt = \iota(\gamma x + c) \cdot \prod_i \iota(\alpha_i(\gamma x + c) + c_i) - \sum_{j \in J_2} h_j(\alpha_j(\gamma x + c) + c_j)$$

For $j \in J_2$ we have $p_j < d$, so the induction hypothesis applies. Since $\alpha_j \gamma = \frac{p_j}{d}$, we have $h_j(\alpha_j \gamma x + \alpha_j c) \in \mathcal{F}_{n+1, d'}$. The remaining terms $\iota(\gamma x + c)$, $\iota(\alpha_i \gamma x + \alpha_i c + c_i)$ clearly have internal denominator d' . This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 2.20. *Assume $F_n = F'_n$ for all ordered Abelian groups A . Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_n$. Then $\int_0^x f(t) dt \in \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$.*

Proof. It follows from the hypothesis, applied to the structure generated by an element b , that $f(b) \in F'_n$; it follows by compactness that f itself is a product of 0- and 1-dimensional generators. By Lemma 2.14, any product of 0-dimensional generators equals a product of one standard divisibility term $e(\frac{x+b}{m})e(b')$ and constant terms. The constants commute with integration and may be ignored. So we may assume $f = e(\frac{x+b}{m})e(b')g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_n$ with g_i a basic one-dimensional term. Now with the change of variable $s = \frac{t+b'}{m}$ we have $e(\frac{t+b'}{m})dt = e(s)ds = ds$, i.e.

$$\int_0^b f(t) dt = \int_0^{\frac{b+b'}{m}} g_1(ms - b') \cdot \dots \cdot g_n(ms - b') ds$$

Since $g_i(ms - b)$ is again a basic one-dimensional term, we may assume:

$$f(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n \iota(\alpha_i t + c_i)$$

in order to show: $\int_0^x f(t) dt \in \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$. This follows from Lemma 2.19. \square

Proposition 2.21. $K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})$ is generated as a \mathbb{Q} -algebra by the elements $e(a), \iota(a)$, $a \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes A$.

Proof. We have seen that F'_0, F'_1 are contained in the algebra generated by these terms. Hence it suffices to show that $F_n = F'_n$ for each n . For $n = 0, 1$ this is true by definition; we proceed by induction. Assume $F_n = F'_n$, and let $X \subseteq \Gamma^{n'}$ be definable and bounded, of dimension $\leq n + 1$. After a finite definable partition we may assume the first projection has fibers of dimension $\leq n$. By induction, for any t , $[X_t] \in F_n(K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}(t)))$. It follows that there exists a definable partition $\Gamma = \cup_j I_j$ and $f_j \in F_n(\Gamma, F_n)$ such that for $t \in I_j$, $[X_t] = f_j(t)$. We may take I_j to

be an interval (a_j, b_j) ($j \in J_0$) or a singleton $\{c_j\}$ ($j \in J_1$), or $f_j = 0$. Then X is the disjoint union of the pullbacks of the I_j ; so we may assume

$$[X] = \sum_{j \in J_0} \int_{a_j}^{b_j} f_j + \sum_{j \in J_1} f_j(c_j)$$

By Lemma 2.20, $\int_{a_j}^{b_j} f_j = \int_0^{b_j} f_j - \int_0^{a_j} f_j - f_j(a_j) \in F'_{n+1}(\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}))$. Thus $[X] \in F'_{n+1}(\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}))$. \square

In fact we have obtained a somewhat stronger statement. The semiring $K_{+0}(\Gamma)$ was defined below Definition 2.4. Let $K_{+0}(\Gamma)'$ be the subsemiring generated by the elements $e(a), \iota(a)$. Let $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})'$ be the corresponding ring, and

$$\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})' = \mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathbb{K}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})'$$

Let $K_{+0}(\Gamma)''$ be the semiring obtained from $K_{+0}(\Gamma)$ by adding additive inverses to the elements of $K_{+0}(\Gamma)'$, and $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})''$ the result of formally dividing by integers $n > 0$.

We have natural homomorphisms

$$\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})' \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})'' \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})$$

Lemma 2.22. *The natural homomorphism $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})' \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})$ is an isomorphism*

Explicitly, for any $a \in K_{+0}(\Gamma)$ there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b, c \in K_{+0}(\Gamma)'$ such that $ma + b = c$ in $K_{+0}(\Gamma)$.

Proof. All our integral equalities are valid in $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})'$. Hence the proof of Proposition 2.21 shows that $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})' \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})''$ is surjective. Since the same elements are inverted in these semirings, the homomorphism is also injective, hence bijective, and $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})''$ is in fact a ring. Since $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})$ is obtained from $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})''$ by additively inverting elements, the homomorphism $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})'' \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})$ is also an isomorphism. \square

2.10. Subrings and quotients of $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})$. Let A be an ordered Abelian group, and let T_A denote the symmetric algebra $\mathbb{Q} \oplus (\mathbb{Q} \otimes A) \oplus \text{Sym}^2(\mathbb{Q} \otimes A) \oplus \dots$. If $A = \mathbb{Z}^n$, this is a polynomial ring in n variables.

We have a homomorphism $\phi_A : T_A \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})$, $a \mapsto \iota(a)$. The image contains the classes of points of A (all equivalent to 1) and segments with endpoints in A .

Lemma 2.23. *The natural homomorphism $\phi_A : T_A \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})$ is injective.*

If A is divisible, ϕ_A is an isomorphism.

Proof. We may assume A is finitely generated. First consider the case $A \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$. So $A \cong \mathbb{Z}$, and we may take $A = \mathbb{Z}$. The symmetric algebra T_A can be identified with the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Q}[T]$. Given a nonzero polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$, we must show that $f(\iota(1)) \neq 0 \in \mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})$. Now for any m , we have a homomorphism

$$\text{count}_m : K_+[\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} : [X] \mapsto \#(X \cap ((1/m)\mathbb{Z})^n)$$

counting points of a bounded definable set $X \subset \Gamma^n$ with coordinates in $(1/m)\mathbb{Z}$. This is clearly $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ -invariant, and induces a ring homomorphism $\text{count}_m : \mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$. Composing with $f \mapsto f(\iota(1))$ we have a homomorphism $c_m : \mathbb{Q}[T] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$. Now $c_m(T) = \#(\iota([0, 1] \cap (1/m)\mathbb{Z}) = m$. So $c_m(f) = f(m)$. Since \mathbb{Z} is Zariski dense in the affine line, $c_m(f) \neq 0$ for some m . It follows that $f(\iota(1)) \neq 0$.

For the general case we will use a statement of Van den Dries, Ealy, and Marikova. The proof is included in [1] Proposition 9.10, with \mathbb{R} in place of \mathbb{Q} , but this does not matter.

Claim Let $Q \in \mathbb{Q}[u_1, \dots, u_n]$, $B \subset \Gamma^n$ a DOAG-definable set, and Q vanishes on $B(\mathbb{Q})$, then Q vanishes on B .

An element of T_A can be written as $G(a)$, with $G \in \mathbb{Q}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A$. Suppose $\phi_A(G(a)) = 0$. This is due to a finite number of $GL_k(\mathbb{Z})$ -isomorphisms and A -translations between finite unions of products of the intervals $[0, a_i)$ and points, and possibly some auxiliary intervals and points with endpoints $a'_1, \dots, a'_{n'}$, that cancel out. Hence there exists DOAG-definable set $B \subseteq \Gamma^{n+n'}$ such that $(a, a') \in B$, and for any ordered Abelian group A' , $\phi_{A'}(G(c)) = 0$ whenever $(c, c') \in B(A')$. Now suppose in addition that $G(a) \neq 0$. Then by the Claim, there exist $(c, c') \in \Gamma(\mathbb{Q})^{n+n'}$ with $G(c) \neq 0$. But $\phi_{\mathbb{Q}}(G(c)) = 0$. This contradicts the case $A = \mathbb{Q}$ proved above.

If A is divisible, the homomorphism ϕ_A is surjective. This follows from Proposition 2.21: all $e(a) = 1$, while $\iota(a) = \phi_A(a)$. \square

Denote $\mathbf{T}_A = \phi_A(T_A)$. This is always a split subalgebra of $K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})$, equal to it if A is divisible. To clarify the full structure, we ask:

Question 2.24. For $n = 2$ we have $2\iota(a/2) = [[0, a/2) \cup (a/2, a]] = \iota(a) + 1 - e(a/2)$; so $\iota(a/2) = (1/2)(\iota(a) + 1 - e(a/2))$. Is this the first term of a sequence of polynomial relations?

The proof of Lemma 2.23 may give the impression that specializations of finitely generated subgroups of Γ into \mathbb{Z} , followed by the maps count_m , resolve points on $K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})$ and thus give decisive information. This is not the case, as the example below shows.

Example 2.25.

$$\int \int (e(\frac{s}{2}) - 1)(e(\frac{t}{2}) - 1)(e(\frac{s-t}{2}) - 1) ds dt$$

evaluates to 0 under any count_m , for any choice of $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$, but is not identically 0.

Let L_A be the field of fractions of $T_{\mathbf{A}}$, where $\mathbf{A} = \mathbb{Q} \otimes A$.

Corollary 2.26. There exists a natural homomorphism $\psi_A : K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}) \rightarrow L_A$, injective on the image of T_A . The kernel is generated by the relations $e(a) = 1, n\iota(\frac{a}{n}) = \iota(a)$.

Proof. If A is divisible, the homomorphism ϕ_A of Proposition 2.23 has an inverse $\psi : K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}) \simeq T_A$. It suffices to view ψ as a homomorphism into the field of fractions L_A of T_A .

In general, let $\mathbf{A} = \mathbb{Q} \otimes A$. We have a natural surjective homomorphism $\nu : K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{A}}^{\text{bdd}}) \rightarrow K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{A}}^{\text{bdd}})$, $[X] \mapsto [X]$. Composing with $\psi_{\mathbf{A}}$ we obtain a homomorphism $\psi_A : K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}) \rightarrow L_A$ where $L_A = L_{\mathbf{A}}$. Since $\nu\phi_A = \phi_{\mathbf{A}}|T_A$, $\psi_A\phi_A = \psi_{\mathbf{A}}\phi_{\mathbf{A}}|T_A = Id_{T_A}$. This proves the injectivity on T_A .

The relations $e(a/n) = 1, n\iota(\frac{a}{n}) = \iota(a)$ ($a \in A$) are already in the kernel of ν ; both are seen using the translation $x \mapsto x + a/n$. These relations suffice (using Proposition 2.21) to reduce any element of $K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})$ to an element of the image of T_A . By the injectivity on T_A no further relations intervene. \square

3. THE MEASURED GROTHENDIECK RING

We turn to the dimension-free Grothendieck ring of the category $\text{vol}\Gamma_A[*]$ of Definition 2.4 (3-5). When possible we omit A from the notation.

We begin by representing this Grothendieck ring as a ring of functions under convolution.

Recall the semigroup of definable functions $\Gamma \rightarrow K_+(\Gamma[n])$ of §2.3. Define a convolution product

$$Fn(\Gamma, K_+(\Gamma[n-1])) \times Fn(\Gamma, K_+(\Gamma[m-1])) \rightarrow Fn(\Gamma, K_+(\Gamma[n+m-1]))$$

as follows: if f is represented by a definable $F \subseteq \Gamma \times \Gamma^m$, in the sense that $f(\gamma) = [F(\gamma)]$, and g by a definable $G \subseteq \Gamma \times \Gamma^n$, let

$$f * g(\gamma) = [\{(\alpha, b, c) : \alpha \in \Gamma, b \in F(\alpha), c \in G(\gamma - \alpha)\}]$$

To distinguish this semiring from the semiring $Fn(\Gamma, K_+(\Gamma))$ with pointwise multiplication, we denote it $Fn_*(\Gamma, K_+(\Gamma))$.

Let $Fn_*(\Gamma, K_+(\Gamma))[*] = \bigoplus_m Fn_*(\Gamma, K_+(\Gamma))[m]$, a graded semiring.

$Fn_*^{\text{bdd}}(\Gamma, *)$ are the functions with semi-bounded domain and pointwise bounded range:

Notation 3.1. $Fn_*^{\text{bdd}}(\Gamma, *) = \{f \in Fn_*(\Gamma, *) : (\exists \gamma_0)(\forall \gamma < \gamma_0)(f(\gamma) = 0)\}$

Lemma 3.2. (1) $K_+ \text{vol}\Gamma[n] \simeq Fn(\Gamma, K_+(\Gamma[n-1]))$

(2) For $n \geq 1$, $K_+ \text{vol}\Gamma[n]^{\text{bdd}} \simeq Fn_*^{\text{bdd}}(\Gamma, K_+(\Gamma[n-1]^{\text{bdd}}))$ (Functions into the dimension-free Grothendieck semigroup of two-sided bounded subsets of Γ^{n-1} , whose support is bounded below.)

(3) $K_+ \text{vol}\Gamma[*]^{\text{bdd}} \simeq \mathbb{N} \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} Fn_*^{\text{bdd}}(\Gamma, K_+(\Gamma[n-1]^{\text{bdd}}))$

Proof. (Compare Lemma 9.12 of [1]; we include a proof for completeness.)

Note first that the linear map $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ is $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ -conjugate to the map $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow x_1$. Therefore $\text{vol}\Gamma$ is isomorphic to the category $\text{vol}\Gamma'$ defined in the same way, except with maps preserving the form x_1 in place of $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i$. Moreover we relabel the variables as (t, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) . Given $X \subseteq \Gamma^n$, and $t \in \Gamma$, let $X_t = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) : (t, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in X\}$.

Given a semi-bounded definable $X \subseteq \Gamma^n$, let $\alpha(X)$ be the definable function: $t \mapsto [X_t]$. If $h : X \rightarrow Y$ is a $\text{vol}\Gamma'$ -isomorphism, then clearly h restricts to bijections $h_t : X_t \rightarrow Y_t$ which are in fact $\text{vol}\Gamma_{A(t)}[n-1]$ -isomorphisms. Hence $\alpha(X)$ depends only on $[X]$, and a homomorphism

$$\alpha : K_+ \text{vol}\Gamma[n] \rightarrow Fn(\Gamma, K_+(\Gamma[n-1]))$$

is induced.

Conversely given $F \subseteq \Gamma \times \Gamma^{n-1}$ representing an element of $Fn(\Gamma, K_+(\Gamma[n-1]))$, let $\beta(F) = [F]$, the class in $\text{vol}\Gamma[n]$ of the graph of F . If F, F' represent the same element of $Fn(\Gamma, K_+(\Gamma[n-1]))$, then for any t , F_t, F'_t are $\Gamma_{A(t)}[n-1]$ -isomorphic. The isomorphism is given by a definable bijection $g_t : F_t \rightarrow F'_t$. By a standard compactness argument (cf. [1] Lemma 2.3) we can take g_t definable uniformly in t , and define $g(t, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = (t, g_t(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}))$; then $g : F \rightarrow F'$ is a definable bijection. Moreover for any t , there is a finite set of matrices $M_1(t), \dots, M_{k(t)}(t) \in GL_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z})$ and elements $c_i(t) \in A(t)$ such that for any $x \in \Gamma^{n-1}$, for some $i \leq k(t)$, $g_t(x) = M_i(t)x + c_i(t)$. By compactness, $M_1(t), \dots, M_k(t)$ can be chosen from a finite set M_1, \dots, M_k of matrices. So for any $t \in \Gamma$ and $x \in \Gamma^{n-1}$, for some $i \leq k$, $g_t(x) - M_i(t)x \in A(t)$. Now $A(t)$ is the group generated by t over A , so any element of $A(t)$ has the form $a + mt$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. By compactness, there exist finite subset A_0 of A and Z_0 of \mathbb{Z} such that for any $t \in \Gamma$ and $x \in \Gamma^{n-1}$, for some $i \leq k$, some $a \in A_0$ and $m \in Z_0$, $g_t(x) = M_i(t)x + a + mt$. Partition X into finitely many pieces, such that M_i, m, a are constant on each piece; then on each piece g is given by $(t, x) \mapsto (t, Mx + a + mt)$ for some $a \in A^{n-1}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$. But this is clearly an affine $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ -transformation. Thus g is a $\text{vol}\Gamma'$ -isomorphism. So $[F] = [F']$ in $\text{vol}\Gamma[n]$. This allows us to define $\beta : Fn(\Gamma, K_+(\Gamma[n-1])) \rightarrow K_+ \text{vol}\Gamma[n]$.

It is clear that α, β are inverse homomorphisms. So α is an isomorphism and shows (1).

Restricting α to bounded sets yields an isomorphism yields (2).

$$\mathbb{K}_+ \text{vol}\Gamma[n]^{\text{bdd}} \rightarrow \{f \in \text{Fn}(\Gamma, \mathbb{K}_+(\Gamma[n-1]^{\text{bdd}})) : (\exists \gamma_0)(\forall \gamma < \gamma_0)(f(\gamma) = 0)\}$$

The direct sum of these isomorphisms over all $n \geq 1$ gives (3); in grade 0 we have \mathbb{N} on both sides. The verification that product goes to convolution product is straightforward. \square

Let $q_0 \in \text{Fn}_*^{\text{bdd}}(\Gamma, \mathbb{K}_+(\Gamma[0]^{\text{bdd}}))$ be the function with support at $\{0\}$ and value 1. Note that for $f \in \text{Fn}_*^{\text{bdd}}(\Gamma, \mathbb{K}_+(\Gamma[n]^{\text{bdd}}))$, $f * q_0$ is the element of $\text{Fn}_*^{\text{bdd}}(\Gamma, \mathbb{K}_+(\Gamma[n]^{\text{bdd}}))$ satisfying $(f * q_0)(t) = f(t) \times \{0\}_1$.

We can also define a convolution product on the semigroup $\text{Fn}^{\text{bdd}}(\Gamma, \mathbb{K}_+^{\text{df}}(\Gamma^{\text{bdd}}))$. An element of this semigroup is represented by a pair (f, n) , where $f \in \text{Fn}^{\text{bdd}}(\Gamma, \mathbb{K}_+(\Gamma[n]^{\text{bdd}}))$, and (f, n) is identified with $(f * q_0^n, n+m)$. The pair (f, n) is intended to represent the function $t \mapsto f(t)[0]_1^{-n}$. We let $(f, n) * (g, m) = (f * g, n + m + 1)$. This makes $\text{Fn}(\Gamma, \mathbb{K}_+^{\text{df}}(\Gamma^{\text{bdd}}))$ into a semiring $\text{Fn}_*(\Gamma, \mathbb{K}_+^{\text{df}}(\Gamma^{\text{bdd}}))$.

Lemma 3.3. $\mathbb{K}_+^{\text{df}}(\text{vol}\Gamma^{\text{bdd}})$ is canonically isomorphic to $\text{Fn}_*^{\text{bdd}}(\Gamma, \mathbb{K}_+^{\text{df}}(\Gamma^{\text{bdd}}))$

Proof. By Lemma 3.2 (3),

$$\mathbb{K}_+^{\text{df}}(\text{vol}\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})[*] \cong (\oplus_n \text{Fn}_*^{\text{bdd}}(\Gamma, \mathbb{K}_+(\Gamma[n-1]^{\text{bdd}})))[q_0^{-1}]_0$$

Let (f, n) represent an element of $\text{Fn}_*^{\text{bdd}}(\Gamma, \mathbb{K}_+^{\text{df}}(\Gamma^{\text{bdd}}))$. Let

$$[(f, n)] \mapsto f q_0^{-(n+1)}$$

This defines an injective semiring homomorphism

$$\text{Fn}_*^{\text{bdd}}(\Gamma, \mathbb{K}_+^{\text{df}}(\Gamma^{\text{bdd}})) \rightarrow (\oplus_n \text{Fn}_*^{\text{bdd}}(\Gamma, \mathbb{K}_+(\Gamma[n-1]^{\text{bdd}})))[q_0^{-1}]_0$$

which is clearly also surjective. \square

Given a definable function $h : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Fn}_*(\Gamma, \mathbb{K}_+(\Gamma))$, and a definable $Y \subseteq \Gamma$, we define $\int_Y h \in \text{Fn}_*(\Gamma, \mathbb{K}_+(\Gamma))$ pointwise, i.e. $(\int_Y h)(\gamma) = \int_{t \in Y} \text{ev}_\gamma(h)(dt)$ where $\text{ev}_\gamma(h)(t) = h(t)(\gamma)$. This carries over to the groups and rings considered below.

Let $\mathcal{R}^\Gamma = \mathbb{Q} \otimes \text{Fn}_*^{\text{bdd}}(\Gamma, \mathbb{K}_+^{\text{df}}(\Gamma^{\text{bdd}})) = \text{Fn}_*^{\text{bdd}}(\Gamma, \mathbb{K}_\mathbb{Q}^{\text{df}}(\Gamma^{\text{bdd}}))$ be \mathbb{Q} -algebra of functions represented by elements whose support is bounded below. Then \mathcal{R}^Γ also has a natural convolution structure, and forms a ring. We begin by developing some identities in \mathcal{R}^Γ . We denote convolution of functions f, g by fg ; we will not consider the pointwise product except when one of the functions is supported on $\{0\}$, in which case the two products are equal.

Let \mathcal{R}_0^Γ be the subring of \mathcal{R}^Γ consisting of elements with support $\{0\}$ (and 0.) The map $a \mapsto aq(0)$ gives an homomorphism of rings $\mathbb{K}_\mathbb{Q}^{\text{df}}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_0^\Gamma$. In fact, since equality of functions in $\text{Fn}(\Gamma, \mathbb{K}_+^{\text{df}}(\Gamma^{\text{bdd}}))$ is defined pointwise, and implies equality of the value at 0, it is easy to see that this is an isomorphism.

$$(11) \quad \mathbb{K}_\mathbb{Q}^{\text{df}}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}) \cong \mathcal{R}_0^\Gamma$$

Let $q(\gamma)$ denote the element supported on $\{\gamma\}$, with $q(\gamma) = 1$.

Then $e(\gamma)q(\gamma + \gamma') = q(\gamma)q(\gamma')$. We have

$$(12) \quad f = \int_{t \in \Gamma} f(t)q(t)dt$$

$$\int f(t)q(mt)dt = \int f(t/m)e(t/m)q(t)dt$$

The elements of $K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma^{\text{bdd}})$ can be identified with constant functions with support $\{0\}$.

For $m \geq 1$, and $b \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes A$, let

$$\theta_{m,b} = \int_{t \geq b} q(mt)dt \text{ and } \theta_m = \theta_{m,0}, \theta = \theta_1. \text{ Let } Q_m(b) = \int_0^b q(mt)dt. \text{ So } Q_m(b) = \theta_m - \theta_{m,b}.$$

The filtration on $K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma^{\text{bdd}})$ induces a filtration $F_n \mathcal{R}^{\Gamma}$ on \mathcal{R}^{Γ} . $F_0 \mathcal{R}^{\Gamma}$ consists of ‘‘purely exponential’’ sums; it has as a \mathbb{Q} -basis the elements $\theta_m, q(b), Q_m(b)$. Let $F_n \mathcal{R}_0^{\Gamma} = F_n \mathcal{R}^{\Gamma} \cap \mathcal{R}_0^{\Gamma}$.

Let $F'_n \mathcal{R}^{\Gamma}$ be the \mathbb{Q} -space generated by products $q(b')a_1 \dots a_n$, where $a_i \in F_1 \mathcal{R}_0^{\Gamma}$ or $a_i = \theta_{m,b}$ for some m and some $b \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes A$.

As above we will write some of the identities in graded form.

Note that $e(b)\theta_{m,b} = e(b) \int_b^{\infty} q(mt)dt = e(b) \int_{mb}^{\infty} e(\frac{s}{m})q(s)ds$. Since $e(b)e(\frac{s+mb}{m}) = e(b)e(\frac{s}{m})$, we have: $e(b)\theta_{m,b} = e(b) \int_0^{\infty} e(\frac{s+mb}{m})q(s+mb)ds = e(b)q(mb) \int_0^{\infty} e(\frac{s}{m})q(s)ds = e(b)q(mb)\theta_m$. Hence

$$(13) \quad e(b)Q_m(b) = e(b) \int_0^b q(mt)dt = e(b)(1 - q(mb))\theta_m$$

Note that while $\int_0^{\infty} q(t)f(t)$ is defined, $\int_0^{\infty} f(t)$ is not. Thus integration by parts does not directly apply. To compute unbounded integrals (when $A \neq (0)$) we will use:

Lemma 3.4. *Let $f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n \iota(\alpha_i x + c_i)$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $m\alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $a \in mA, a \neq 0$. Then $f(t-a) = f(t) - f_1(t)$ for some $f_1 \in F_{n-1} K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma_{A(t)}^{\text{bdd}})$; and we have:*

$$(1 - q(a)) \int_0^{\infty} f(t)q(t)dt = \int_0^{\infty} f_1(t)q(t)dt - \int_0^a f_1(t)q(t)dt + \int_0^a f(t)q(t)dt$$

Proof. Let $\alpha_i = p_i/m, a = mb$. We have $\iota(\alpha_i(x-a) + c_i) = \iota(\alpha_i x + c_i - p_i b) = \iota(\alpha_i x + c_i) - p_i \iota(b)$. From this the existence of f_1 is clear. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned} q(a) \int_0^{\infty} f(t)q(t)dt &= \int_0^{\infty} f(t)q(t+a)dt = \int_a^{\infty} f(s-a)q(s)ds = \\ &= \int_a^{\infty} f(s)q(s)ds - \int_a^{\infty} f_1(s)q(s)ds = \int_0^{\infty} f(t)q(t)dt - \int_0^a f(t)q(t)dt + \int_0^a f_1(t)q(t)dt + \int_0^a f_1(t)q(t)dt \end{aligned}$$

and the lemma follows. \square

Assuming $A \neq 0$, fix an element $0 < a_0 \in A$. Define $\mathcal{R}_l^{\Gamma} = \mathcal{R}^{\Gamma}[(1 - q(ma_0))^{-1} : m \in \mathbb{N}]$. Since the elements inverted are from $F_0 \mathcal{R}^{\Gamma}$, the filtration carries through to \mathcal{R}_l^{Γ} . Let $\mathcal{R}^{\Gamma^{\text{bdd}}}$ be the subring of \mathcal{R}^{Γ} consisting of elements with two-sided bounded support:

$$\mathcal{R}^{\Gamma^{\text{bdd}}} = \left\{ \int_{-b}^b f(t)q(t)dt : f \in \mathcal{R}^{\Gamma}, b \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes A \right\}$$

and $\mathcal{R}_{b,l}^{\Gamma}$ be the localization of $\mathcal{R}^{\Gamma^{\text{bdd}}}$ obtained by inverting the elements $(1 - q(ma_0))$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Corollary 3.5. *Assume $A \neq (0)$. Then the inclusion $\mathcal{R}^{\Gamma^{\text{bdd}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^{\Gamma}$ induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{R}_{b,l}^{\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_l^{\Gamma}$.*

Proof. The surjectivity is clear from Lemma 3.4, and induction. Moreover, inspection of the proof shows that additive inverses are used only for elements in the image of \mathcal{R}_l^{Γ} . Thus if A is the subsemiring of \mathcal{R}_l^{Γ} , generated by $\text{Fn}_*^{\text{bdd}}(\Gamma, K_+^{df}(\Gamma^{\text{bdd}}))$ and by the image of $\mathcal{R}_{b,l}^{\Gamma}$, then the

same induction shows that $\mathcal{R}_{b,l}^\Gamma \rightarrow A$ is surjective; and in this case injectivity is evident. Hence $\mathcal{R}_{b,l}^\Gamma \cong A = \mathcal{R}_{b,l}^\Gamma$. \square

Now an analog of Lemma 2.10. We use integration by parts in $K(\text{vol}\Gamma_A)$. Products refer to the Grothendieck ring of these categories, or equivalently to convolution from the point of view of Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\alpha = \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{Q}^{>0}, c = c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes A, b_j = \alpha_j b + c_j, c_{jk} = c_k - \alpha_j^{-1} \alpha_k c_j$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^b Q_m(\alpha t + c) \prod_{j=1}^n \iota(\alpha_j t + c_j) dt &= \iota(b) Q_m(\alpha b + c) \prod_{i=1}^n \iota(\alpha_i b + c_i) + \\ &\quad - \int_0^{b_0} q(ms) \iota\left(\frac{s-c_0}{\alpha_0}\right) \prod_{j=1}^n \iota\left(\frac{\alpha_j}{\alpha_0} s - c_{0k}\right) ds + \\ &\quad \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^{b_j} \iota\left(\frac{s-c_j}{\alpha_j}\right) Q_m\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_j} s - c_{j0}\right) \prod_{1 \leq k \neq j} \iota\left(\frac{\alpha_k}{\alpha_j} s - c_{jk}\right) ds \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $F_0(t) = Q(\alpha t + c), l_0(t) = \alpha t + c, f_0(t) = q(mt)$. We have by definition $F_0(t) = \int_0^{\alpha t + c} q(ms) ds$. We apply (1) (for indices $0, \dots, n$) with $g = 1, G = \iota, f_0, F_1$ as above, and for $i \geq 1$, writing $\alpha_i = q_i/p_i, f_i(x) = e(\frac{x}{p_i}) l_i(x) = q_i x + p_i c_i, F_i(x) = \iota(\alpha_i x + c_i)$ as in the proof of Lemma 2.10. Thus:

$$\int_0^b Q_m(\alpha t + c) \prod_{j=1}^n \iota(\alpha_j t + c_j) dt = \iota(b) Q_m(\alpha b + c) \prod_i \iota(\alpha_i b + c_i) - H_0 - \sum_{j=1}^n H_j$$

where

$$H_0 = \int_0^{\alpha b + c} \iota(l_0^{-1}(t)) q(mt) \prod_{k=1}^n F_k(l_0^{-1}(t)) dt$$

while for $j \geq 1, H_j = \int_0^{l_j(b)} \iota(l_j^{-1}(t)) e(t/p_j) Q_m(\alpha l_j^{-1}(t) + c) \prod_{1 \leq k \neq j} F_k(l_j^{-1}(t)) dt$. Now $Q_m(\alpha l_j^{-1}(p_j s) + c) = Q_m(\alpha \alpha_j^{-1}(s - c))$, so the change of variable $s = t/p_j$ gives:

$$H_j = \int_0^{b_j} \iota(\alpha_j^{-1}(s - c_j)) Q_m(\alpha \alpha_j^{-1}(s - c) + c) \prod_{1 \leq k \neq j} \iota(\alpha_k(\alpha_j^{-1}(s - c_j)) + c_k) ds$$

\square

Lemma 3.7. *$b, c_i, c \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes A, \alpha, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{Q}^{>0}, b \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes A$. Then*

- (1) $\int_0^b q(mt) \prod_{i=1}^n \iota(\alpha_i t + c_i) dt \in F'_n \mathcal{R}^\Gamma$.
- (2) $\int_0^b Q_m(\alpha t + c) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \iota(\alpha_i t + c_i) dt \in F'_n \mathcal{R}^\Gamma$.

Proof. Let $d, p, p_i \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_i = p_i/d, \alpha = p/d$. We use induction on n and on d .

If M_i is the largest integer $\leq \alpha_i$, we have: $\iota(\alpha_i t + c_i) = M_i \iota(t) + \iota((\alpha_i - m)t + c_i)$. Using this relation, we immediately reduce to the case $p_i \leq d$.

(2.1) We begin with (2) in the case: $\alpha = 1$.

We have

$$Q_m(t + c) = \int_0^{t+c} q(ms) ds = Q_m(t) + \int_t^{t+c} q(ms) ds$$

Now $e(t)e(mt) = e(t)$, and $e(mt)q(m(t+s)) = q(mt)q(ms)$. Thus

$$e(t) \int_t^{t+c} q(ms)ds = e(t) \int_0^c q(m(t+s))ds = e(t)q(mt) \int_0^c q(ms)ds = e(t)q(mt)Q_m(c)$$

Recall (13): $e(x)Q_m(x) = e(x)(1 - q(mx))\theta_m$. So

$$Q_m(t+c)e(t) = Q_m(t)e(t) + e(t)q(mt)Q_m(c) = e(t)(1 - q(mt))\theta_m + e(t)q(mt)Q_m(c)$$

Thus the integral (2) equals:

$$\theta_m \int_0^b (1 - q(mt)) \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \iota(\alpha_k t - c'_k) dt - Q_m(-c) \int_0^b q(mt) \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \iota(\alpha_k s - c'_k) ds$$

Both summands lie in $F'_n \mathcal{R}^\Gamma$, by induction on n , and using Proposition 2.21. This finishes (2) in the case $\alpha = 1$.

(1) Let $b_j = \alpha_j b + c_j$, $f(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n \iota(\alpha_i t + c_i)$. By Lemma 2.10 with $g(t) = q(mt)$,

$$\int_0^b f(t)q(mt)e(t)dt = Q_m(b) \cdot \prod_i \iota(\alpha_i b + c_i) - \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^{b_j} Q_m\left(\frac{s-c_j}{\alpha_j}\right) \prod_{1 \leq k \neq j} \iota\left(\frac{\alpha_k}{\alpha_j} s - c_{jk}\right) ds$$

The first summand on the right is evidently in $F'_n \mathcal{R}^\Gamma$. If $\alpha_j = 1$, so is the second, by the case (2.1). If $\alpha_j < 1$, then $\alpha_k/\alpha_j = p_k/p_j$ have denominators $< d$, so induction on d applies and (2) can be quoted. Hence $\int_0^b f(t)q(mt)e(t)dt \in F'_n \mathcal{R}^\Gamma$.

(2) in the general case. We use Lemma 3.6 (for $n-1$). The first summand on the right is clearly in $F'_n \mathcal{R}^\Gamma$. By (1), so is the second. The remaining $n-1$ summands are

$$E_j = \int_0^{b_j} Q_m\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_j} s - c_{j0}\right) \iota\left(\frac{s-c_j}{\alpha_j}\right) \prod_{1 \leq k \neq j} \iota\left(\frac{\alpha_k}{\alpha_j} s - c_{jk}\right) ds$$

If $\alpha_j \neq 1$ then again the denominators are $< d$, and by induction $E_j \in F'_n \mathcal{R}^\Gamma$. If $\alpha_j = 1$ then E_j has the form (2), and so can be moved to the left as in Lemma 2.19. \square

Proposition 3.8. (1) $\mathcal{R}^{\Gamma^{\text{bdd}}}[\theta_m : m = 1, 2, \dots]$ is generated as a $\mathcal{R}_0^\Gamma = K_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})$ -algebra by the elements $q(b)$, θ_m and $Q_m(b)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $b \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes A$.

(2) If $A \neq (0)$, \mathcal{R}_1^Γ is generated over $\mathcal{R}_0^\Gamma = K_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})$ by the elements $q(b)$, $(1 - q(ma_0))^{-1}$ and $\theta_{m,b}$.

Proof. (1) By Corollary 3.5, an element of $\mathcal{R}^{\Gamma^{\text{bdd}}}$ can be written as a difference of elements $\int_0^b f(t)q(t)dt$, with $f \in \text{Fn}_*(\Gamma, K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{df}}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}))$. By the proof of Proposition 2.21 we can take f to be a product of zero-dimensional terms and basic one-dimensional terms, restricted to a point or an interval. Multiplying by an appropriate $q(c)$, we may assume the point is 0, or the interval is of the form $[0, c]$. If $b \leq c$ then the interval may be ignored; if $c < b$ we replace the integral by $\int_0^b f(t)q(t)dt$, so that f is defined on $[0, b)$. Moreover the 0-dimensional terms can be collected together to form one basic term $e(\frac{t+b}{m})e(b)$. But

$$\int e\left(\frac{t+b}{m}\right)e(b)f(t)q(t)dt = \int e\left(\frac{s}{m}\right)f(s)q(s)ds = \int f(t)q(mt)e(t)dt$$

So it suffices to show that for $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Q}$, $c_i \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes A$,

$$\int_0^b \prod_{i=1}^n \iota(\alpha_i t + c_i) q(mt) e(t) dt$$

lies in the \mathbb{Q} -algebra generated by the elements $q(b)$ and $\theta_{m,b}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $b \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes A$. This follows from Lemma 3.7.

(2) Follows from Lemma 3.4. \square

The next lemma suggests a way to look at unbounded functions; it will not be used further on. Let $\mathcal{R}^{\Gamma\infty} = \mathbb{Q} \otimes \text{Fn}(\Gamma, \mathbb{K}_+^{df}(\Gamma^{\text{bdd}})) \cong \text{Fn}(\Gamma, \mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma^{\text{bdd}}))$. $\mathcal{R}^{\Gamma\infty}$ is a $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma^{\text{bdd}})$ -module, under pointwise multiplication, and more generally an \mathcal{R}^{Γ} -module, under convolution. Thus we can define $\mathcal{R}_i^{\Gamma\infty} := \mathcal{R}^{\Gamma\infty}[(1 - q(ma_0))^{-1} : m \in \mathbb{N}]$. Note that \mathcal{R}^{Γ} is no a priori a ring. However, it can be made into one using:

Lemma 3.9. *Let $0 \neq a \in A$. The natural inclusion $\mathcal{R}_i^{\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_i^{\Gamma\infty}$ is an \mathcal{R}^{Γ} -module isomorphism.*

Proof. Using Proposition 3.8 together with the automorphism $\gamma \mapsto -\gamma$, the elements with negative support are generated by the $q(\gamma)$ together with the elements $\theta_{m,b}^- := \sum_{\gamma < b} e(\frac{\gamma}{m})q(\gamma)$. But $\theta_{m,b}^- + \theta_{m,b} = \sum_{\gamma} e(\frac{\gamma}{m})q(\gamma) = 0$. Since $q(ma) \sum_{\gamma} e(\frac{\gamma}{m})q(\gamma) = \sum_{\gamma} e(\frac{\gamma}{m})q(\gamma + ma) = \sum_{\gamma} e(\frac{\gamma+ma}{m})q(\gamma + ma) = \sum_{\gamma} e(\frac{\gamma}{m})q(\gamma)$, we have $(1 - q(ma))(\sum_{\gamma} e(\frac{\gamma}{m})q(\gamma)) = 0$, so in the localized ring we have $\theta_{m,b}^- + \theta_{m,b} = 0$. Thus $\theta_{m,b}^- = -\theta_{m,b}$ lies in the image of the localization of \mathcal{R}^{Γ} . \square

3.1. The elements θ_m . We will see later (Lemma 3.14) that θ is transcendental over the elements $q(a)$ and $i(a)$. But the various θ_m are rational over θ :

Lemma 3.10. *The identity $\theta_{n+1}(\theta + \theta_n - 1) = \theta_n\theta$ is valid in \mathcal{R}^{Γ} . Hence θ_n is invertible in $\mathcal{R}^{\Gamma}[\theta^{-1}]$, and we have*

$$(1 - \theta_n^{-1}) = (1 - \theta^{-1})^n$$

Proof. We have:

$$(14) \quad \theta_n \theta_{n+1} = \theta_n + \int_{t=0}^{\infty} q(t) \int_0^t e(\frac{s}{n}) e(\frac{t-s}{n+1}) ds dt$$

$$(15) \quad \theta_n \theta = \theta_n + \int_{t=0}^{\infty} q(t) \int_0^t e(\frac{s}{n}) ds = \theta_n + \int_{t=0}^{\infty} q(t) \iota(\frac{t}{n}) dt$$

$$(16) \quad \theta_{n+1} \theta = \theta_{n+1} + \int_{t=0}^{\infty} q(t) \iota(\frac{t}{n+1}) dt$$

Now by (8),

$$e(s)e(t)e(\frac{s}{n})e(\frac{s-t}{n+1}) = e(s)e(t)e(\frac{s+nt}{n(n+1)})$$

With the change of variables $s' = s + nt$ we obtain $e(s)e(t) = e(s')e(t)$, and

$$\int_0^t e(t)e(\frac{s}{n})e(\frac{t-s}{n+1}) ds = e(t) \int_{nt}^{(n+1)t} e(\frac{s}{n(n+1)}) ds$$

With a further change of variable $s'' = \frac{s}{n(n+1)}$,

$$\int_0^t e(t)e(\frac{s}{n})e(\frac{t-s}{n+1}) ds = e(t) \int_{\frac{t}{n+1}}^{\frac{t}{n}} e(s) ds = \iota(\frac{t}{n}) - \iota(\frac{t}{n+1})$$

so by (14),

$$\theta_{n+1} \theta_n = \theta_n + \int_{t=0}^{\infty} q(t) [\iota(\frac{t}{n}) - \iota(\frac{t}{n+1})] dt$$

By (15), (16),

$$\theta_{n+1}\theta_n - \theta_n\theta + \theta_{n+1}\theta = \theta_{n+1}$$

This identity is equivalent to the one in the statement of the lemma. From this we see that $\theta_{n+1}^{-1} \in \mathbb{Q}[\theta, \theta_n, \theta^{-1}, \theta_n^{-1}]$ and

$$1 - \theta_{n+1}^{-1} = (1 - \theta_n^{-1})(1 - \theta^{-1})$$

The lemma follows by induction. \square

Remark 3.11. Lemma 3.10 will be used to show, after an appropriate localization, that elements of finite support generate the entire value ring of Γ . This will go over to RV and to VF. It is a generalization of the *rationality of Poincaré series* and similar rationality results for generating series, in the integration theory of Denef, Denef-Loeser, and Cluckers-Loeser (polynomials are power series with bounded support.)

Remark 3.12. Another aspect of Lemma 3.10 is that a certain localization of the elements of bounded support is forced geometrically. The element θ_m can be written, according to the lemma, as $\frac{\theta^m}{\theta^m - (\theta - 1)^m}$, or again as $\frac{(\Gamma^{\geq 0})^m}{(\Gamma^{\geq 0})^m - (\Gamma^{> 0})^m}$.

Now (say over an algebraically closed field) the value ring of VF can be obtained as a tensor product of value rings of **RES** and of Γ , modulo two linear homogeneous relations of degree one: the equality of the point $0 \in \Gamma$ with the class of the variety $[G_m]$ over the residue field, and the equality of the point 1_k of the residue field with the class $[\Gamma^{> 0}]$. Using these relations, we find in $K(\text{vol}VF^{\text{bdd}})[*][[G_m]_1^{-1}]$ an element whose volume is: $\frac{[\mathbb{A}^1]^m}{[(\mathbb{A}^1)^m - [1_k]^m]}$, or $\frac{L^m}{L^m - 1}$ in common notation. Subtracting 1 we find an inverse of $L^m - 1$. It follows that for any cyclotomic polynomial $c_m(z) = (z - 1)^{-1}(z^m - 1)$ with $m > 1$, $h_m([\mathbb{A}_1]_1)$ is invertible in $K_{\mathbb{Q}}(\text{vol}VF^{\text{bdd}})[*]$; though it is not invertible in $K_{\mathbb{Q}}(\text{Var}_k)$.

3.2. Unbounded sets. We briefly pause to describe the dimension-free Grothendieck ring of Γ . The resulting homomorphisms on $K(VF)$ were already described in [1]; the present results confirms their uniqueness. Compare [6], [4].

We denote $e(a) = [\{a\}_1]/[\{0\}]_1$, $\iota(a) = [0, a]_1/[0]_1$, $\iota(\infty) = [0, \infty]_1/[0]_1$.

Theorem 3.13. $K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{df}}(\Gamma_A)$ is generated as a \mathbb{Q} -algebra by the elements $e(a), \iota(a)$ ($a \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes A$) and $\iota(\infty)$.

For $a \in A$, we have $\iota(a) = 0$. Also $\iota(\infty)^2 = -\iota(\infty)$.

If A is divisible, then $K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{df}}(\Gamma_A) \cong \mathbb{Q}^2$.

Proof. The proof of Lemma 2.7 remains valid for $K(\Gamma)$ with $b = \infty$, letting $F_i(\infty) = \mathbf{F}_i(\infty) = \int_0^{\infty} f_i(t)dt$; and the subsequent lemmas through Proposition 2.21 go through verbatim. This shows that $K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{df}}(\Gamma_A)$ is generated by the elements $e(a), \iota(a)$ and $\iota(\infty)$.

The translation $x \mapsto x + a$ shows that $[0, \infty) = [a, \infty)$. Hence $[0, a) = 0$ in $K(\Gamma[1])$, so $\iota(a) = 0$. See [1] Proposition 9.4 for the relation $\iota(\infty) = 0$.

Thus if $A = \mathbb{Q} \otimes A$, $K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{df}}(\Gamma_A)$ is generated by the element $\iota(\infty)$. The relation $\iota(\infty)^2 = -\iota(\infty)$ shows that the \mathbb{Q} -algebra is a quotient of \mathbb{Q}^2 ; the two Euler characteristics in [1] show that it is in fact \mathbb{Q}^2 . \square

3.3. Subrings and quotients of $K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{df}}(\text{vol}\Gamma^{\text{bdd}})$. Recall Lemma 3.3: $K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{df}}(\text{vol}\Gamma^{\text{bdd}}) := \mathbb{Q} \otimes K_+^{\text{df}}(\text{vol}\Gamma^{\text{bdd}}) = \text{Fn}_*^{\text{bdd}}(\Gamma, K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{df}}(\Gamma^{\text{bdd}})) =: \mathcal{R}^{\Gamma}$.

Let L_A be the field of Corollary 2.26. Let $\mathbf{A} = \mathbb{Q} \otimes A$, and let $L_A[q^{\mathbf{A}}]$ be the formal Puiseux polynomial ring over L_A (i.e. the group ring of $(\mathbf{A}, +)$ over L_A). Let $L_A(q^{\mathbf{A}})$ be the field of

fractions. Also form the polynomial ring $L_A(q^{\mathbf{A}})[\theta]$, and rational function field $L_A(q^{\mathbf{A}})(\theta)$ (with θ viewed as an indeterminate.)

Lemma 3.14. *θ is transcendental over $L_A(q^{\mathbf{A}})$.*

Proof. $\theta^n = \int_0^\infty j(t)q(t)dt$ with j of degree n . Convolving by an element of $L_A(q^{\mathbf{A}})(\theta)$ still leaves an expression of the same form, with $j(t) \in F_n \setminus F_{n-1}$. The lemma follows from the linear independence of polynomials of distinct degrees over the functions with finite support. \square

Proposition 3.15. *Assume $A \neq 0$. There is a natural homomorphism*

$$\psi_A^* : \mathcal{R}^\Gamma = \mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\text{vol}\Gamma^{\text{bdd}}) \rightarrow L_A(q^{\mathbf{A}})(\theta)$$

as well as a homomorphism $\psi_A : T_A[q^{\mathbf{A}}][\theta] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^\Gamma$, with $\psi_A^* \psi_A = \text{Id}$.

If A is divisible, ψ_A^* induces an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{R}_l^\Gamma[\theta^{-1}] \rightarrow T_A[q^{\mathbf{A}}][\theta, \theta^{-1}, (1 - q(ma_0))^{-1}, (1 - (1 - \theta^{-1})^m)^{-1}]_{m=1,2,\dots}$$

Proof. Composing the map $\phi_A : T_A \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma^{\text{bdd}})$ with the homomorphism $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma^{\text{bdd}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_0^\Gamma$ of (11), we obtain a map $\psi_A : T_A \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_0^\Gamma$. We have $\mathcal{R}^\Gamma = \text{Fn}_*^{\text{bdd}}(\Gamma, \mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\Gamma^{\text{bdd}}))$. Extend ψ_A to a homomorphism $\psi_A : T_A[q^{\mathbf{A}}] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^\Gamma$ with $q^a \mapsto q(a)$. It is clear by support considerations, and using Lemma 2.23, that ψ_A is injective on $T_A[q^{\mathbf{A}}]$. Extend ψ_A further to the polynomial ring $T_A[q^{\mathbf{A}}][\theta]$ mapping $\theta \rightarrow \theta$. By Lemma 3.14, ψ_A remains injective.

Next using Lemma 3.10, extend ψ_A to

$$\psi'_A : T_A[q^{\mathbf{A}}][\theta, \theta^{-1}, (1 - (1 - \theta^{-1})^n)^{-1}]_{n=1,2,\dots} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^\Gamma[\theta^{-1}]$$

It is still injective, by Lemma 3.14. By Lemma 3.10, the image of ψ' contains θ_n for each n . By (13), for any $a \in A$, since $e(a) = 1 \in \mathcal{R}^\Gamma$, $Q_m(a) = (1 - q(ma))\theta_m$ is also in the image of ψ' . Hence so is $\theta_{m,a}$.

Assume now that A is divisible. By Proposition 3.8, $\mathcal{R}_{\text{bdd}}^\Gamma[\theta_m : m = 1, 2, \dots]$ is contained in the image of ψ' . Moreover if we let

$$\psi'' : T_A[q^{\mathbf{A}}][\theta, \theta^{-1}, (1 - q(ma_0))^{-1}, (1 - (1 - \theta^{-1})^m)^{-1}]_{m=1,2,\dots} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_l^\Gamma$$

be the induced homomorphism, then ψ'' is surjective. It follows that ψ'' is an isomorphism. Let ψ^* be the inverse; restricting back to \mathcal{R}^Γ we obtain the lemma in the divisible case.

In general, define ψ_A^* to be the composition of the natural homomorphism

$$\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathbb{K}_+^{df}(\text{vol}\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathbb{K}_+^{df}(\text{vol}\Gamma_{\mathbf{A}}^{\text{bdd}})$$

with $\psi_{\mathbf{A}}^*$. \square

3.4. The Grothendieck ring of RV. As a step towards the valued field, we consider the theory of extensions

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^* \rightarrow \text{RV} \rightarrow_{\text{val}_{\text{rv}}} \Gamma \rightarrow 0$$

of an ordered divisible Abelian group Γ (written additively) by the multiplicative group of an algebraically closed field. This is a complete theory; in a saturated model M , the sequence is split, though of course the set of points in a given substructure need not be. See [1] for details.

We work over a base structure A_{RV} , which as above is left out of the notation. Let A be the image of A_{RV} in Γ . Let $A_{\text{RES}} = A \cap \text{RES}$ where $\text{RES} = \cup_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes A} \text{val}_{\text{rv}}^{-1}(\gamma)$.

The following specializes Definitions 3.66 and 5.21 of [1]². Define $\Sigma : \Gamma^n \rightarrow \Gamma$ by $\Sigma((x_1, \dots, x_n)) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$.

Definition 3.16. 1) $\mathbf{RV}[n]$ is the category of pairs (U, f) , with U a definable subset of \mathbf{RV}^m for some m , and $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : U \rightarrow \mathbf{RV}^n$ a finite-to-one map. A morphism $U \rightarrow V$ is a definable bijection $U \rightarrow V$.

2) $\text{Ob vol}\mathbf{RV}[n] = \text{Ob}\mathbf{RV}[n]$. A morphism $U \rightarrow V$ is a definable bijection $h : U \rightarrow V$ such that for any u we have $\Sigma(f(u)) = \Sigma(u)$.

3) $\text{vol}\mathbf{RV}^{\text{bdd}}[m]$ is the full subcategory of $\text{vol}\mathbf{RV}[m]$ consisting of objects whose Γ -image is contained in $[\gamma, \infty]^m$, for some definable $\gamma \in \Gamma$. These will again be referred to as semi-bounded.

4) $\mathbf{RES}[n]$ (respectively $\text{vol}\mathbf{RES}[n]$) is the full subcategory of $\mathbf{RV}[n]$ (respectively $\text{vol}\mathbf{RV}[n]$) whose objects U are contained in \mathbf{RES}^m for some m . Equivalently, such that $\text{val}_{\text{rv}}(U)$ is finite.

The map $\text{val}_{\text{rv}} : \mathbf{RV} \rightarrow \Gamma$ induces maps $\mathbf{RV}^n \rightarrow \Gamma^n$. If X, Y are $\Gamma[n]$ -isomorphic definable subsets of Γ^n , then $\text{val}_{\text{rv}}^{-1}X, \text{val}_{\text{rv}}^{-1}Y$ are definably isomorphic: both $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ transformations and A -translations obviously lift. The definition of the category $\Gamma[n]$ was indeed engineered for this. Hence the pullback $X \mapsto \text{rv}^{-1}X$ induces a map

$$(17) \quad \mathbf{K}_+\Gamma[n] \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_+\mathbf{RV}[n], [X] \mapsto [\text{val}_{\text{rv}}^{-1}X]$$

Semi-boundedness is preserved by the pullback ; and also, again by definition, a $\text{vol}\Gamma[n]$ -isomorphism lifts to a $\text{vol}\mathbf{RV}[n]$ isomorphism. Thus we also have

$$(18) \quad \mathbf{K}_+\text{vol}\Gamma^{\text{bdd}}[n] \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_+\text{vol}\mathbf{RV}^{\text{bdd}}[n], [X] \mapsto [\text{val}_{\text{rv}}^{-1}X]$$

On the other hand the inclusion induces an obvious map

$$(19) \quad \mathbf{K}_+\mathbf{RES}[n] \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_+\mathbf{RV}[n]$$

and

$$(20) \quad \mathbf{K}_+\text{vol}\mathbf{RES}[n] \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_+\text{vol}\mathbf{RV}[n]$$

We obtain homomorphisms

$$(21) \quad \mathbf{K}_+(\mathbf{RES}[*]) \otimes \mathbf{K}_+(\Gamma[*]) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_+(\mathbf{RV}[*])$$

$$(22) \quad \mathbf{K}_+(\text{vol}\mathbf{RES}[*]) \otimes \mathbf{K}_+(\text{vol}\Gamma^{\text{bdd}}[*]) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_+(\text{vol}\mathbf{RV}^{\text{bdd}}[*])$$

These are shown in [?] to be surjective. If $\gamma \in \Gamma[1]$ is a definable point, then $[\text{val}_{\text{rv}}^{-1}(\gamma)] \in \mathbf{K}_+\mathbf{RES}[1]$ has the same image under (19) as $\{\gamma\}_1$ has under (17); and similarly in the measured case. Thus in both cases the kernel contains the elements $1 \otimes [\text{val}_{\text{rv}}^{-1}(\gamma)]_1 - [\gamma]_1 \otimes 1$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$ definable. By Corollary 10.3 and Proposition 10.10 of [1], *these elements generate the kernel* in both cases, (19) and (17).

²The definitions in [1] are more general in several respects. In particular several kinds of resolution on volume forms are considered; here we consider the type denoted $\text{vol}\Gamma$ in [1]. Since no other volumes are considered, the subscript becomes unnecessary. Similar results are possible for the other variants.

3.5. Bounded definable subsets of RV. We begin with a description of the Grothendieck ring of two-sided bounded definable subsets of RV in the divisible case, using Lemma 2.23. This does not immediately translate to a statement for VF, since the notion of boundedness is not preserved under arbitrary definable maps. The results of this subsection will not be used further on.

(21) induces a homomorphism:

$$(23) \quad K_+(\mathbf{RES}[*])([G_m(k)]_1^{-1}) \otimes K_+(\Gamma[*])([0]_1^{-1}) \rightarrow K(\text{RV}[*])([G_m(\mathbf{k})]_1^{-1})$$

whose kernel is again generated by the elements $1 \otimes [\text{val}_{\text{rv}}^{-1}(\gamma)]_1 - [\gamma]_1 \otimes 1$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$ definable, as one can see by multiplying an element of the kernel by a high enough power of $[G_m(\mathbf{k})]$.

Hence we have a surjective homomorphism

$$(24) \quad K_+(\mathbf{RES}[*])([G_m(k)]_1^{-1})_0 \otimes K_+(\Gamma[*])([0]_1^{-1})_0 \rightarrow K_+(\text{RV}[*])([G_m(\mathbf{k})]_1^{-1})_0$$

whose kernel is generated by the relations $\text{val}_{\text{rv}}^{-1}(\gamma)/[G_m(\mathbf{k})] = e(\gamma)$ (where $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes A$, and $e(\gamma) = [\gamma]_1/[0]_1$).

Note that $K(\mathbf{RES}[*])([G_m(k)]_1^{-1})_0$ is naturally isomorphic to the direct limit of the $K(\mathbf{RES}[n])$, where $K(\mathbf{RES}[n])$ is mapped to $K(\mathbf{RES}[n+1])$ by the map $[X] \mapsto [X \times G_m(\mathbf{k})]$.

Definition 3.17. $K^{\text{df}}(\mathbf{RES}) := K(\mathbf{RES}[*])([G_m(k)]_1^{-1})_0$ will be called the *stabilized Grothendieck ring of RES*. Similarly $K^{\text{df}}(\text{Var}_F) = K(\mathbf{k}[*])([G_m(k)]_1^{-1})_0$ and $K^{\text{df}}(\text{RV}) = K(\text{RV}[*])([G_m(k)]_1^{-1})_0$, and similarly for the semirings.

Proposition 3.18. $(K^{\text{df}}(\mathbf{RES}_{A_{\text{res}}}) \otimes K^{\text{df}}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}})/I \cong K^{\text{df}}(\text{RV}_A^{\text{bdd}})$ where I is the ideal generated by $(\{ \frac{\text{val}_{\text{rv}}^{-1}(\gamma)}{[G_m(\mathbf{k})]} - e(\gamma) : \gamma \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes A \})$

Proof. The homomorphism (21) is compatible with restriction to semi-bounded sets: $K_+(\mathbf{RES}[*]) \otimes K_+(\Gamma^{\text{bdd}}[*]) \rightarrow K_+(\text{RV}^{\text{bdd}}[*])$ is surjective and has kernel generated by the elements $1 \otimes [\gamma] - [\text{val}_{\text{rv}}^{-1}(\gamma)] \otimes 1$. Equations (23), (24) for semi-bounded sets follow in the same way. The Proposition follows upon taking additive inverses. \square

Let T_A denote the symmetric algebra $\mathbb{Q} \oplus (\mathbb{Q} \otimes A) \oplus \text{Sym}^2(\mathbb{Q} \otimes A) \oplus \dots$

Corollary 3.19. *Assume A is divisible, and let $F = A_{\text{RV}} \cap \mathbf{k}$. Then*

$$K^{\text{df}}(\text{RV}_A^{\text{bdd}}) \cong K^{\text{df}}(\text{Var}_F) \otimes T_A$$

Proof. Assume A is divisible. In this case every definable set $X \subseteq \mathbf{RES}^m$ is definably isomorphic to a definable subset of a Cartesian power of \mathbf{k} , where \mathbf{k} is the residue field. So $K(\text{RES}[n])$ reduces to $K(\mathbf{k})$, the Grothendieck ring of F -varieties. Moreover for any definable $\gamma \in G$, $\text{val}_{\text{rv}}^{-1}(\gamma)$ is definably isomorphic to $G_m(\mathbf{k})$. Hence in this case the relations in Proposition 3.18 are redundant, and the tensor product is valid over \mathbb{Q} . By Proposition 2.23, $K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{df}}(\Gamma_A^{\text{bdd}}) \cong T_A$. The corollary follows. \square

3.6. The measured Grothendieck ring of RV. The connection between varieties with forms over the valued field, and the category $\text{vol}\Gamma[n]$, is mediated by $\text{volRV}[n]$. We now study the dimension-free Grothendieck ring of this category, incorporating in particular both Γ and the residue field.

Let $F = A_{\text{RV}} \cap \mathbf{k}$ be the base residue field, and $\text{Var}_F[n]$ the category of F -varieties of dimension $\leq n$. (22) can be used to describe $K^{\text{df}}(\text{volRV}^{\text{bdd}})$. We do this now in the case: A is divisible. Recall that the rings $K^{\text{df}}(\text{Var}_F)$, $K^{\text{df}}(\text{vol}\Gamma^{\text{bdd}})$, $K^{\text{df}}(\text{volRV}^{\text{bdd}})$ are defined with respect to dehomogenizing elements $[G_m]_1$, $[0]_1$ and $[G_m]_1 \otimes 1 = 1 \otimes [0]_1$ respectively.

Proposition 3.20. *Assume A is divisible . Then*

$$K^{df}(\text{volRV}^{\text{bdd}}) \simeq K^{df}(\text{Var}_F) \otimes K^{df}(\text{vol}\Gamma^{\text{bdd}})$$

Proof. Let $K(\text{Var}_F[*]) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} K(\text{Var}_F[n])$. In this case the natural map

$$K_+(\text{Var}_F[*]) \otimes K_+(\text{vol}\Gamma^{\text{fin}}[*]) \rightarrow K_+(\text{volRES}[*])$$

is a surjective homomorphism, with kernel generated by the single relation

$$R : [G_m]_1 \otimes 1 = 1 \otimes [0]_1$$

(22) simplifies to:

$$K(\text{volRV}^{\text{bdd}}[*]) \simeq K(\text{Var}_F[*]) \otimes K(\text{vol}\Gamma^{\text{bdd}}[*]) / R$$

The proposition follows using Lemma 2.1. \square

3.7. The Grothendieck ring of bounded volume forms over valued fields. Let T be a V -minimal theory; to simplify notation we will assume T is effective. See [1] for the definitions of these notions. The principal example are the theory $ACVF_F$ of algebraically closed valued fields, over a base valued field F with residue field \mathbf{F} of characteristic 0. The reader may take T to be $ACVF_F$; in this case “definable” is the same as “ F -semi-algebraic”, and the category Vol_T described below is Vol_F of the introduction. Other examples are analytic expansions of L. Lipshitz and Z. Robinson.

If V is a smooth n -dimensional variety, let $\Omega V = \bigwedge^n TV$, considered as a variety rather than a vector bundle. The notion of a *bounded* subset of V and in the same way as in [7], §6.1. If $X \subseteq V$ is bounded, we consider definable sections $\omega : X \rightarrow \Omega V$ over X ; we say ω is bounded if the graph in ΩV is bounded.

Definition 3.21. $\text{Vol}_T[n]$ is the category whose objects are pairs (X, ω) , with X either empty or a definable bounded Zariski dense subset of a smooth F -variety V of dimension n , and $\omega : X \rightarrow \Omega V$ a definable bounded section. A morphism $(X, \omega) \rightarrow (X', \omega')$ is a definable bijection g between subsets of X, X' whose complement has dimension $< \dim(V)$, such that (away from a set of dimension $< \dim(V)$) $\omega = cg^*\omega'$ for some definable function c on X with $\text{val}(c) = 0$.

For $b \in \Gamma$, let $U_b = \{x : \text{val}(x) = b\}$. In particular $U_0 = \{x : \text{val}(x) = 0\} = \mathcal{O} \setminus \mathcal{M}$. $\mathcal{M} = \{x : \text{val}(x) > 0\}$.

Vol_T is an \mathbb{N} -graded category, and yields a graded Grothendieck semiring $K_+(\text{Vol}_T)$. We take $e_1 = [(U_0, dx)]$, and form the dimension free semiring $K_+^{df}(\text{Vol}_T) = K_{+e_1}^{df}(\text{Vol}_T)$. Let $K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\text{Vol}_T) = \mathbb{Q} \otimes K_+^{df}(\text{Vol}_T)$.

To facilitate the comparison to Definition 3.16, we need to compare Vol_T to a more elementary version.

Definition 3.22. 1) $\text{VF}[n]$ is the category of pairs (X, f) , with X a definable subset of VF^m for some m , and $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : X \rightarrow \text{VF}^n$ a finite-to-one map. A morphism $X \rightarrow Y$ is a definable bijection $X \rightarrow Y$.

2) $\text{Ob volVF}[n] = \text{Ob VF}[n]$. A morphism $(X, f) \rightarrow (Y, g)$ is a definable bijection $h : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $h^*g^*dx = f^*dx$ away from a variety of dimension $< n$, where $dx = dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$ is the standard volume form on VF^n .

3) $\text{volVF}^{\text{bdd}}[m]$ is the full subcategory of $\text{volVF}[m]$ consisting of objects (X, f) with $f(X)$ bounded.

volVF is dimension-graded, with distinguished element $([U_0], Id)$, and we form $\mathbf{K}_+^{\text{df}} \text{volVF}$ using the dehomogenizing element $[U_0]$; similarly $\mathbf{K}_+^{\text{df}} \text{volVF}^{\text{bdd}}$ and $\mathbf{K}_+^{\text{df}} \text{volVF}^{\text{bdd}} = K(\text{volVF}^{\text{bdd}})_{[U_0]}^{\text{df}}$.

Lemma 3.23. $\mathbf{K}_+^{\text{df}} \text{volVF} \cong \mathbf{K}_+^{\text{df}} \text{Vol}_T$ canonically; the isomorphism takes $\mathbf{K}_+^{\text{df}} \text{volVF}^{\text{bdd}}$ to $\mathbf{K}_+^{\text{df}} \text{Vol}_T^{\text{bdd}}$, and induces an isomorphism $\mathbf{K}^{\text{df}} \text{volVF} \cong \mathbf{K}^{\text{df}} \text{Vol}_F$.

Proof. Let $(X, f) \in \text{Ob volVF}[n]$. Let V be the Zariski closure of X , and $\omega = f^* dx$; this is defined away from a subvariety of V of dimension $< n$. $(X, f) \mapsto (X, \omega)$ is a functor $\text{VF}[n] \rightarrow \text{Vol}_T[n]$, inducing an injective graded semiring homomorphism $\mathbf{K}_+ \text{volVF} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_+ \text{Vol}_T$.

An element of $\mathbf{K}_+ \text{Vol}_T[n]$ has the form $[(X, \omega)]$ with X a definable subset of a smooth affine variety $V \subseteq \text{VF}^{n+l}$, admitting a finite-to-one projection $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$, and $\omega(v) = c(v)f^* dx$ for some definable $c : V \rightarrow \text{VF}$. Let $Y = \{(x, t) \in V \times \mathbb{A}^1 : \text{val}(t) = \text{val}(c(x))\}$, $g(x, t) = (f(x), t)$. Then $(X, \omega) \times ([U_0], dx) \cong_{\text{vol}_T} (Y, g^*(dx \wedge dt))$ and hence lies in the image of volVF . Hence by Lemma 2.3 $\mathbf{K}_+^{\text{df}} \text{volVF} \cong \mathbf{K}_+^{\text{df}} \text{Vol}_T$ canonically, and so $\mathbf{K}^{\text{df}} \text{volVF} \cong \mathbf{K}^{\text{df}} \text{Vol}_F$. \square

We write $\theta_{VF} = 1 + \frac{[M]}{[U_0]}$, and for a definable $b \in \Gamma$ we write $q_{VF}(b) = \frac{[Ub]}{[U_0]}$. These correspond under the canonical isomorphisms below to the classes θ and $q(b)$ of $\mathbf{K}^{\text{df}}(\text{vol}\Gamma^{\text{bdd}})$, and when no confusion can be caused we will omit the subscript. We assume Γ has at least one definable element $a_0 > 0$, and write \mathfrak{q}^{-m} for $q_{VF}(ma_0)$. Note that $\mathfrak{q}^{-m} = (\mathfrak{q}^{-1})^m$.

Write \dot{q}^{-1} for $1 - \theta_{VF}^{-1} \in \mathbf{K}^{\text{df}}(\text{Vol}_T)[\theta_{VF}^{-1}]$. So $1 - \dot{q}^{-1} = \theta_{VF}^{-1}$.

When no confusion can arise, we also write \mathfrak{q}^{-m} for $q(ma_0)$ and \dot{q}^{-1} for $1 - \theta^{-1}$.

Recall T_A denotes the symmetric algebra $\mathbb{Q} \oplus (\mathbb{Q} \otimes A) \oplus \text{Sym}^2(\mathbb{Q} \otimes A) \oplus \dots$

Theorem 3.24. *Let T be an effective V-minimal theory. Let F be the field of VF-definable points of T , $A = \text{val}(F)$, $\mathbf{A} = \mathbb{Q} \otimes A$, and let $0 < a_0 \in \mathbf{A}$. Then there exists a canonical homomorphism*

$$\mathbf{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{df}}(\text{Vol}_T) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{df}}(\text{Var}_{F^a})[\dot{q}^{-1}, (1 - \dot{q}^{-m})^{-1}]_{m=1,2,\dots} \otimes T_{\mathbf{A}}[q^{\mathbf{A}}][(1 - q(ma_0))^{-1}]_{m=1,2,\dots}$$

If A is divisible, this induces an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{df}}(\text{Vol}_T)[\dot{q}^{-1}, (1 - \mathfrak{q}^{-m})^{-1}]_m \cong \mathbf{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{df}}(\text{Var}_F)[\dot{q}^{-1}, (1 - \dot{q}^{-m})^{-1}]_m \otimes T_{\mathbf{A}}[q^{\mathbf{A}}][(1 - \mathfrak{q}^{-m})^{-1}]_m$$

Remark 3.25. (1) *The inverted $1 - \dot{q}^{-m}$ on the Var_F seems to correspond to nothing on the Vol_T -side; see Lemma 3.10 and Remark 3.11 for an explanation.*

(2) *We took $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{df}}(\text{Var}_F)\{[V]/[G_m^n] : V \in \text{Var}_F, \dim(V) \leq n\}$. The localization is by $[G_a]/[G_m]$ and $[G_a^k - [1]_k]/G_m^k, k = 1, 2, \dots$*

Proof of Theorem 3.24. Let \mathfrak{sp} be the semiring congruence on $\mathbf{K}_+ \text{volRV}$ generated by $([1_{\mathbf{k}}]_1 = [\text{RV}^{>0}]_1)$, with the constant Γ -form $0 \in \Gamma$. The restriction to $\mathbf{K}_+ \text{volRV}^{\text{bdd}}$ is denoted by the same letter, as is the corresponding ideal of $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{volRV}^{\text{bdd}}$. (The proof of Lemma 8.20 never goes out of the semi-bounded category.)

By [1] Theorem 8.29,

$$\mathbf{K}_+(\text{volVF}^{\text{bdd}}[n]) \cong \mathbf{K}_+(\text{volRV}^{\text{bdd}})/\mathfrak{sp}$$

Restricting to Γ -valued measures as in (8.5), we obtain an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{K}_+(\text{volVF}^{\text{bdd}}[*]) \cong \mathbf{K}_+(\text{volRV}^{\text{bdd}}[*])/\mathfrak{sp}$$

If $b = [1_{\mathbf{k}}]_1 - [\text{RV}^{>0}]_1$, this induces a ring isomorphism

$$\mathbf{K}(\text{volVF}^{\text{bdd}}[*]) \cong \mathbf{K}(\text{volRV}^{\text{bdd}}[*])/b$$

We take $[G_m(\mathbf{k})]_1$ as the distinguished element of $K(\text{volRV}^{\text{bdd}})[1]$, and correspondingly the class $[U_0]$ of the annulus $U_0 = \{x : \text{val}(x) = 0 \text{ in } K(\text{Vol}_T[1])\}$; i.e. $K^{\text{df}}(\text{volRV}^{\text{bdd}}) = K_{[G_m(\mathbf{k})]_1}^{\text{df}}(\text{volRV}^{\text{bdd}})$, $K^{\text{df}}(\text{Vol}_T) = K_{[U_0]}^{\text{df}}(\text{Vol}_T)$.

Let $\xi = \frac{b}{[G_m(\mathbf{k})]_1}$. By Lemma 2.2 and 3.23,

$$(25) \quad K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{df}}(\text{Vol}_T) = K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{df}}(\text{volVF}^{\text{bdd}}) \cong K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{df}}(\text{volRV}_A^{\text{bdd}})/\xi$$

Thus it suffices to find the canonical homomorphism on $K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{df}}(\text{volRV}_A^{\text{bdd}})/\xi$. This involves work with RV alone. At this point we may assume A is divisible; the homomorphism in the general case can then be obtained by composing with the canonical homomorphism $K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{df}}(\text{volRV}_A^{\text{bdd}}) \rightarrow K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{df}}(\text{volRV}_A^{\text{bdd}})$.

By Proposition 3.20,

$$(26) \quad K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{df}}(\text{volRV}^{\text{bdd}}) \simeq K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{df}}(\text{Var}_F) \otimes K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{df}}(\text{vol}\Gamma^{\text{bdd}})$$

Under this isomorphism, ξ corresponds to

$$\xi_{VF} = \frac{[1]_{\mathbf{k}}}{[G_m(k)]} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \frac{[\text{RV}^{>0}]_1}{q_0} = \frac{[1]_{\mathbf{k}}}{[G_m(k)]} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes (\theta - 1)$$

while $q(ma_0)$ corresponds under the composition of (25), (26) to $q_{VF}(ma_0) = \mathfrak{q}^{-m}$, and θ to θ_{VF} .

Hence by Proposition 3.15, using $1 - \dot{q}^{-1} = \theta_{VF}^{-1}$,

$$(27) \quad K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{df}}(\text{Vol}_T)[(1 - \mathfrak{q}^{-m})^{-1}, \dot{q}^{-1}]_{m=1,2,\dots} \cong K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{df}}(\text{Var}_F) \otimes T_A[q^A][\theta, \theta^{-1}, (1 - q(ma_0))^{-1}, (1 - (1 - \theta^{-1})^m)^{-1}]_{m=1,2,\dots} / \xi_{VF}$$

We can view the relation ξ_{VF} as defining $1 \otimes (\theta - 1) = (\dot{q} - 1)^{-1} \otimes 1$ where $(\dot{q} - 1)^{-1} := \frac{[1]_{\mathbf{k}}}{[G_m(k)]}$. Then (27) becomes:

$$K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{df}}(\text{Var}_F)[(\dot{q} - 1)^{-1}, \dot{q}^{-1}, (1 - \dot{q}^{-m})^{-1}]_{m=1,2,\dots} \otimes T_A[q^A][(1 - q(ma_0))^{-1}]_{m=1,2,\dots}$$

As $(\dot{q} - 1)^{-1} = \dot{q}^{-1}(1 - \dot{q}^{-1})^{-1}$, this term is redundant, so

$$K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{df}}(\text{Vol}_T)[(1 - \mathfrak{q}^{-m})^{-1}, \dot{q}^{-1}]_{m=1,2,\dots} \cong K_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{df}}(\text{Var}_F)[\dot{q}^{-1}, (1 - \dot{q}^{-m})^{-1}]_{m=1,2,\dots} \otimes T_A[q^A][(1 - q(ma_0))^{-1}]_{m=1,2,\dots}$$

□

So far, we always used $[0_{\Gamma}]_1$ as a dehomogenizing element. An alternative choice is $[1_k]_1$; it goes along with \mathcal{M} in $K(\text{Vol}_T[1])$ and $[G^{>0}]$ in $K(\text{vol}\Gamma^{\text{bdd}})$. There appears to be a deep duality transposing these choices. With the latter choice too one has an analogue of Theorem ??, of which we indicate the beginning.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} K^{\text{df}'}(\text{volRV}^{\text{bdd}}) &= K(\text{volRV}^{\text{bdd}}[*])_{[1]_1}^{\text{df}'} \\ K^{\text{df}'}(\text{Vol}_T) &= K(\text{Vol}_T[*])_{[\mathcal{M}]}^{\text{df}'} \\ K^{\text{df}'}(\text{vol}\Gamma^{\text{bdd}}) &= K(\text{vol}\Gamma^{\text{bdd}})_{[RV^{>0}]}^{\text{df}'} \end{aligned}$$

Also let $a' = \frac{[G_m]_1}{[1]_1} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \frac{[0]_1}{[RV^{>0}]}$.

Lemma 3.26.

$$\mathbb{K}(\mathrm{volRV}_A^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*]/b)_{[1]_1}^{df} \cong \mathbb{K}^{df'}(\mathrm{Var}_F[*]) \otimes \mathbb{K}^{df'}(\mathrm{vol}\Gamma^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*])/a'$$

Proof.

$$(28) \quad \mathbb{K}^{df'}(\mathrm{Vol}_T) = \mathbb{K}^{df'}(\mathrm{volVF}^{\mathrm{bdd}}) \cong \mathbb{K}(\mathrm{volRV}_A^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*]/b)_{[1]_1}^{df}$$

In the ring $\mathbb{K}(\mathrm{volRV}_A^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*]/b)$, we have $[1]_1 = [RV^{>0}]$, so Lemma 2.1 applies.

As in Proposition 3.20, letting a be the ideal generated by $[G_m]_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes [0]_1$, we have from (22):

$$\mathbb{K}(\mathrm{volRV}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*]) \simeq \mathbb{K}(\mathrm{Var}_F[*]) \otimes \mathbb{K}(\mathrm{vol}\Gamma^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*])/a$$

and so

$$\mathbb{K}(\mathrm{volRV}^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*])/b \simeq \mathbb{K}(\mathrm{Var}_F[*]) \otimes \mathbb{K}(\mathrm{vol}\Gamma^{\mathrm{bdd}}[*])/(a, b)$$

The statement of the lemma follows from Lemma 2.1. \square

If V is a definable subset of a variety over F and ω a definable volume form, call (V, ω) *strictly absolutely integrable* if there exists $(V', \omega') \in \mathrm{Ob} \mathrm{Vol}_T$ and a definable bijection $g : V \rightarrow V'$ (up to a smaller dimensional set), such that $\mathrm{val}g^*\omega' = \mathrm{val}\omega$. Define $\int_V \omega$ to be the image of $[(V', \omega')]$ under the homomorphism of Theorem 3.24. This clearly does not depend on the choice of (V', ω') .

Let \mathcal{R} be the target ring of Theorem 3.24, and \int the homomorphism. \mathcal{R} admits a natural decreasing Γ filtration:

$$F_\gamma \mathcal{R} = \mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\mathrm{Var}_F)[\dot{q}^{-1}, (1 - \dot{q}^{-m})^{-1}]_{m=1,2,\dots} \otimes T_{\mathbf{A}}[q^{\mathbf{A}^{>\gamma}}][(1 - q(ma_0))^{-1}]_{m=1,2,\dots}$$

where $\mathbf{A}^{>\gamma} = \{c \in \mathbf{A} : c > \gamma\}$.

Remark 3.27. Any (V, ω) admits a definable map $c : V \rightarrow \Gamma^{\geq 0}$, such that each fiber is strictly absolutely integrable. Hence so is the inverse image of any bounded subset of Γ . Moreover if $V_\gamma = c^{-1}(\gamma)$, then for large γ $\int_{V_\gamma} \omega = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i P_i(\iota(\beta_i \gamma)) q^{\alpha_i \gamma}$, with $r_i \in \mathcal{R}$, $P_i \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$, $\beta_i \in \mathbb{Q}^m$, $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Q}$. If all $\alpha_i \geq 0$, and $\alpha_i = 0$ implies P_i is constant, we can call (V, ω) absolutely integrable and define $\int_V \omega = \sum_{\alpha_i=0} r_i P_i$. This does not depend on the choice of c , but it is not clear if it is really more general than strict absolute integrability.

Remark 3.28. In [1] more general volume forms are considered. $\mu_\Gamma^{\mathrm{bdd}}\mathrm{VF}$ is equivalent to the category of pairs (V, θ) with θ a bounded, bounded support section of the Γ -bundle $\mathrm{val}_* \bigwedge^{\dim(V)} TV$ induced from the top form bundle via the valuation map. If $(V, \omega) \in \mathrm{Vol}_F$ then $(V, \mathrm{val}\omega) \in \mu_\Gamma^{\mathrm{bdd}}\mathrm{VF}$, but the converse need not be true.

It is possible to define an integral $\int(V, \theta)$ with values in $\mathbb{K}^{df}\mathrm{Vol}_F$. One can easily find definable functions $c : V \rightarrow \Gamma$ such that with $V_\gamma = c^{-1}(\gamma)$, $(V_\gamma, \omega|_{V_\gamma})$ lies in the image of Vol_F . Then define $\int(V, \theta) = \int_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \int_{V_\gamma} \omega|_{V_\gamma}$. The expression is well-defined.

However, the dimension-free Grothendieck ring $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\mu_\Gamma^{\mathrm{bdd}}\mathrm{VF})$ is not identical with $\mathbb{K}^{df}(\mathrm{Vol}_F)$. For instance \mathfrak{q} has an square root in $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{df}(\mu_\Gamma^{\mathrm{bdd}}\mathrm{VF})$, namely $d = [(\{0\}, \{\frac{a\mathfrak{q}}{2}\})/[\{0\}, 0]]$. We have $d^2 = \mathfrak{q}$, as opposed to the conditional square root $d' = q(\frac{a\mathfrak{q}}{2}) \in \mathbb{K}^{df}(\mathrm{Vol}_F)$ which only satisfies $(d')^2 = \mathfrak{q}e(1/2)$. Equivalently, the idempotent $e(1/2)$ has a nontrivial square root $\frac{d}{d'}$.

4. APPENDIX

In this appendix we define the Iwahori Hecke algebra of SL_2 over an algebraically closed valued field. We continue to denote by F a valuation field with value group Γ , ring of integers \mathcal{O} and residue field \mathbf{F} . We denote by $\mathcal{O}_o^\gamma, \mathcal{O}_{cl}^\gamma, \mathcal{A}^\gamma$ the (classes of the) open ball, closed ball and annulus of radius $\gamma \in \Gamma$. We also denote $q = \mathcal{O}_{cl}^0/\mathcal{O}_o^0$. In particular, $\mathcal{A}^0 = (q-1)\mathcal{O}_o^0$. To ease notation, we choose a section $\Gamma \rightarrow F$ denoted by $\gamma \mapsto t^\gamma$. Note, however, that this is never used in an essential way.

We denote by G the group $SL_2(F)$, by B the subgroup of upper triangular matrices, by N the subgroup of unipotent upper triangular matrices and by A the subgroup of diagonal matrices. We will abuse notations and write $G(\mathcal{O}), G(\mathbf{F})$ etc. for the groups of points of the corresponding algebraic groups. We have a residue map $res : G(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow G(\mathbf{F})$. All integrals over G will be taken with respect to the Haar form on G , which is

$$dg \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{a} da \wedge db \wedge dc$$

So, for example, the measure of the set of matrices such that $val(a) = \gamma_a, val(b) = \gamma_b, val(c) = \gamma_c$ is $t^{-\gamma_a} \mathcal{A}^{\gamma_a} \mathcal{A}^{\gamma_b} \mathcal{A}^{\gamma_c} = \mathcal{A}^0 \mathcal{A}^{\gamma_b} \mathcal{A}^{\gamma_c}$.

In order that the convolution makes sense, the field of coefficients will be taken to be a field E together with a ring homomorphism $K^{bdd}(Vol_F) \rightarrow E$. By *Proposition 3.15*, there is such a field with nontrivial homomorphism.

Definition 4.1. *A definable function from $G(F)$ to E is a function of the form $f(g) = \sum_{i=0}^N c_i \phi_i(g)$ where ϕ_i are definable functions from $G(F)$ to $K(Vol_F)$ and $c_i \in E$. A definable function is called bounded if there is $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $f(g) = 0$ unless all entries of g have valuation less than γ .*

Definition 4.2. *The convolution of two bounded definable functions f_1, f_2 from $G(F)$ to $K(Vol_F)$ is the function $f_1 * f_2(g) = \int_{h \in G(F)} f_1(gh^{-1}) f_2(h) dh$, which is easily seen to be a bounded definable function. This definition extends to convolution of bounded definable functions from $G(F)$ to E .*

Remark 4.3. *We can similarly define bounded definable functions from Γ to E and convolution of them.*

Definition 4.4. *The Iwahori subgroup $I \subset G(\mathcal{O})$ is the inverse image of $B(\mathbf{F})$ under the map res . As a vector space, the Iwahori Hecke algebra \mathcal{H} is the E vector space of bounded definable functions from $G(F)$ to E that are invariant under left and right multiplication by I . This is an algebra where the multiplication is convolution of functions.*

A special role will be played by the following \mathcal{H} module:

Definition 4.5. *Let M be the right \mathcal{H} module consisting of bounded definable functions from $G(F)$ to E that are invariant under the left multiplication by $A(\mathcal{O})N(F)$ and under the right multiplication by I .*

The proof of the following lemmas is standard:

Lemma 4.6. *Let $g = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ be negative. Then*

- (1) $g \in I \begin{pmatrix} t^\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-\gamma} \end{pmatrix} I$ iff $val(x) = \gamma, val(y) \geq \gamma, val(z) > \gamma, val(w) \geq \gamma$.
- (2) $g \in I \begin{pmatrix} t^{-\gamma} & 0 \\ 0 & t^\gamma \end{pmatrix} I$ iff $val(x) > \gamma, val(y) \geq \gamma, val(z) > \gamma, val(w) = \gamma$.

$$(3) \quad g \in I \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t^\gamma \\ t^{-\gamma} & 0 \end{pmatrix} I \text{ iff } \text{val}(x) > \gamma, \text{val}(y) = \gamma, \text{val}(z) > \gamma, \text{val}(w) > \gamma.$$

$$(4) \quad g \in I \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t^{-\gamma} \\ t^\gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix} I \text{ iff } \text{val}(x) \geq \gamma, \text{val}(y) \geq \gamma, \text{val}(z) = \gamma, \text{val}(w) \geq \gamma.$$

Lemma 4.7. *Let $g = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix}$. Then*

$$(1) \quad \text{If } \text{val}(z) \leq \text{val}(w) \text{ then } g \in A(\mathcal{O})N \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z^{-1} \\ z & 0 \end{pmatrix} I.$$

$$(2) \quad \text{If } \text{val}(z) > \text{val}(w) \text{ then } g \in A(\mathcal{O})N \begin{pmatrix} w^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & w \end{pmatrix} I.$$

For $\gamma \in \Gamma$ let $v_\gamma, u_\gamma, S_\gamma, S_\gamma^-$ be the characteristic functions of the following double cosets

$$A(\mathcal{O})N \begin{pmatrix} t^{-\gamma} & 0 \\ 0 & t^\gamma \end{pmatrix} I \dot{q} A(\mathcal{O})N \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t^{-\gamma} \\ t^\gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix} I \dot{q} I \begin{pmatrix} t^\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-\gamma} \end{pmatrix} I \dot{q} I \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t^{-\gamma} \\ t^\gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix} I$$

respectively.

Proposition 4.8. *Let $\gamma < 0$. Then*

$$(1) \quad v_0 S_0 = \mathcal{A}^0 \mathcal{O}_o^0 \mathcal{O}_{cl}^0 v_0$$

$$(2) \quad v_0 S_\gamma = t^{-\gamma} \mathcal{A}^\gamma \mathcal{O}_o^\gamma \mathcal{O}_{cl}^\gamma v_{-\gamma} + \int_{\gamma < \delta \leq -\gamma} t^{-\gamma} \mathcal{A}^\gamma \mathcal{A}^{-\delta} \mathcal{O}_o^\gamma u_\delta$$

$$(3) \quad v_0 S_{-\gamma} = \mathcal{A}^0 \mathcal{O}_o^0 \mathcal{O}_{cl}^0 v_\gamma$$

$$(4) \quad v_0 S_0^- = \mathcal{A}^0 \mathcal{O}_o^0 \mathcal{O}_{cl}^0 u_0$$

$$(5) \quad v_0 S_\gamma^- = t^{-\gamma} \mathcal{A}^\gamma \mathcal{O}_o^\gamma \mathcal{O}_{cl}^\gamma u_\gamma$$

$$(6) \quad v_0 S_{-\gamma}^- = t^{-\gamma} \mathcal{A}^\gamma \mathcal{O}_o^\gamma \mathcal{O}_{cl}^\gamma u_{-\gamma} + \int_{\gamma < \delta < -\gamma} t^{-\gamma} \mathcal{A}^\gamma \mathcal{A}^{-\delta} \mathcal{O}_o^\gamma v_\delta$$

$$(7) \quad u_0 S_0^- = \mathcal{A}^0 \mathcal{O}_{cl}^0 \mathcal{O}_{cl}^0 v_0 + \mathcal{A}^0 \mathcal{A}^0 \mathcal{O}_{cl}^0 u_0$$

Proof. We show 2. for example. We first find the coefficients of the v_δ 's in the convolution. Note that by I invariance, the coefficient of v_δ in the convolution equals the value of the convolution at the point $\begin{pmatrix} t^{-\delta} & 0 \\ 0 & t^\delta \end{pmatrix}$. This, in turn, equals to the measure of the set of elements $g \in S_\gamma$ for

which there is $h \in v_0$ such that $gh = \begin{pmatrix} t^{-\delta} & 0 \\ 0 & t^\delta \end{pmatrix}$. Suppose $\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix} \in v_0$ and $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in S_\gamma$. If

their product is $\begin{pmatrix} t^{-\delta} & 0 \\ 0 & t^\delta \end{pmatrix}$ then

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t^{-\delta} & 0 \\ 0 & t^\delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t^{-\delta}d & -t^{-\delta}b \\ -t^\delta c & t^\delta a \end{pmatrix}$$

So $\text{val}(t^\delta a) = 0$ and $\text{val}(a) = \gamma$, hence $\delta = -\gamma$. The constraints are $\text{val}(a) = \gamma, \text{val}(b), \text{val}(d) \geq \gamma, \text{val}(c) > \gamma$, so the coefficient is $t^{-\gamma} \mathcal{A}^\gamma \mathcal{O}_o^\gamma \mathcal{O}_{cl}^\gamma$. To compute the coefficient of u_δ , we proceed similarly. Suppose that the product is $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & t^{-\delta} \\ t^\delta & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t^{-\delta} \\ t^\delta & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t^{-\delta}c & -t^{-\delta}a \\ -t^\delta d & t^\delta b \end{pmatrix}$$

The conditions are $\text{val}(a) = \gamma, \text{val}(b) \geq \gamma, \text{val}(c) > \gamma, \text{val}(d) \geq \gamma, \text{val}(t^\delta d) > \text{val}(t^\delta b) = 0$. This implies $\gamma \leq \text{val}(b) = -\delta$ and $\text{val}(d) > \text{val}(b)$. We should also have $ad - bc = 1$, hence $0 = \text{val}(ad - bc) \geq \min\{\text{val}(ad), \text{val}(bc)\} > \gamma - \delta$. Hence it is necessary that $\gamma < \delta \leq -\gamma$.

Under this assumption, the conditions are $val(a) = \gamma, val(b) = -\delta, val(c) > \gamma$ (since $val(d) = val(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{bc}{a}) \geq \min\{-\gamma, -\delta\} > \gamma$) and the coefficient is $t^{-\gamma} \mathcal{A}^\gamma \mathcal{A}^{-\delta} \mathcal{O}_\gamma^0$. \square

We make the following change of base:

$$e_\gamma = \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}^{-\gamma}} v_{-\gamma} \quad f_\gamma = \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}^\gamma} u_\gamma$$

for all γ and

$$S_\gamma = \mathcal{O}_{cl}^0 \mathcal{O}_o^0 \mathcal{A}^\gamma R_\gamma \dot{q} S_{-\gamma} = \mathcal{O}_{cl}^0 \mathcal{O}_o^0 \mathcal{A}^\gamma R_{-\gamma} \dot{q} S_\gamma^- = \mathcal{O}_{cl}^0 \mathcal{O}_o^0 \mathcal{A}^\gamma R_\gamma^- \dot{q} S_{-\gamma}^- = \mathcal{O}_o^0 \mathcal{O}_o^0 \mathcal{A}^\gamma R_{-\gamma}^-$$

for $\gamma < 0$. R_0^- is defined using the third equality and not the forth. we get

Corollary 4.9. *Let $\gamma < 0$. Then*

- (1) $e_0 R_0 = e_0$
- (2) $e_0 R_\gamma = e_\gamma + \int_{\gamma < \delta \leq -\gamma} \frac{q-1}{q} f_\delta$
- (3) $e_0 R_{-\gamma} = e_{-\gamma}$
- (4) $e_0 R_0^- = f_0$
- (5) $e_0 R_\gamma^- = f_\gamma$
- (6) $e_0 R_{-\gamma}^- = f_{-\gamma} + \int_{\gamma < \delta < -\gamma} (q-1) e_\delta$
- (7) $f_0 R_0^- = q e_0 + (q-1) f_0$

So the transformation $h \mapsto v_0 h$ from \mathcal{H} to M is given by the following block matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} Id & 0 & X & A \\ 0 & Id & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Id & 0 \\ B & Y & 0 & Id \end{pmatrix}$$

where the blocks correspond to the partition $R_{<}, R_{\geq}, R_{\leq}^-, R_{>}^-$ and $e_{<}, e_{\geq}, f_{\leq}, f_{>}$. Here, for example, A is the transformation between two spaces with bases $\{E_\gamma\}_{\gamma < 0}$ and $\{E'_\gamma\}_{\gamma > 0}$ which equals

$$AE_\gamma = \frac{q-1}{q} \int_{\delta \in (0, -\gamma]} E'_\delta$$

This transformation is invertible iff $Id - AB$ is invertible. Now,

$$(Id - AB)(E_\gamma) = E'_\gamma - \frac{(q-1)^2}{q} \int_{\eta \in (\gamma, 0)} 1_{[\gamma, \eta]}^\Gamma E'_\eta$$

We look for inverse to $Id - AB$ of the form

$$E'_\gamma \mapsto E_\gamma + \int_{\delta \in (\gamma, 0)} G(\gamma - \delta) E_\delta$$

The condition on G is that it satisfies

$$G(z) - \frac{(q-1)^2}{q} 1_{[z, 0]}^\Gamma - \frac{(q-1)^2}{q} \int_{w \in (z, 0)} G(z-w) 1_{[w, 0]}^\Gamma = 0$$

for every $z < 0$. The condition is the same for left and right inverse. There is such a function.

$$\int_{x \in (\gamma, 0)} \mathcal{O}^{\gamma-x} 1_{[x, 0]} = \int_{x \in (\gamma, 0)} \int_{y \in [x, 0]} \mathcal{O}^{\gamma-x} = \frac{1}{q-1} \int_{y \in (\gamma, 0)} \int_{x \in (\gamma, y]} \mathcal{A}^{\gamma-x} = \frac{1}{q-1} \int_{y \in (\gamma, 0)} \mathcal{O}_{cl}^{\gamma-y} - \mathcal{O}_{cl}^0 =$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{q}{(q-1)^2} \int_{y \in (\gamma, 0)} \mathcal{A}^{\gamma-y} - \frac{q}{q-1} 1_{(\gamma, 0)} \mathcal{O}_o^0 &= \frac{q}{(q-1)^2} (\mathcal{O}_o^\gamma - \mathcal{O}_{cl}^0) - \frac{q}{q-1} 1_{(\gamma, 0)} \mathcal{O}_o^0 = \\ &= -\frac{q}{q-1} 1_{(\gamma, 0)} \mathcal{O}_o^0 - \frac{q^2}{(q-1)^2} \mathcal{O}_o^0 + \frac{q}{(q-1)^2} \mathcal{O}_o^\gamma \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\int_{x \in (\gamma, 0)} \mathcal{O}^{x-\gamma} 1_{[x, 0]} = \frac{1}{q-1} 1_{(\gamma, 0)} \mathcal{O}_o^0 - \frac{1}{(q-1)^2} \mathcal{O}_o^0 + \frac{q}{(q-1)^2} \mathcal{O}_o^{-\gamma}$$

From which we see that

$$G(\gamma) = \frac{q}{q^2-1} \left(\frac{1}{q} \mathcal{O}_o^\gamma - q \mathcal{O}_o^{-\gamma} \right)$$

satisfies the equation.

It follows from the above discussion that M is a rank one free module over \mathcal{H} . In particular, $\mathcal{H} = \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}}(M)$.

Corollary 4.10. *There is an embedding $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, denoted by $\gamma \mapsto \tau_\gamma$ such that*

$$\tau_\gamma(v_\delta) = v_{\delta-\gamma} \quad \tau_\gamma(u_\delta) = u_{\delta-\gamma}$$

Proof. Γ acts on $A(\mathcal{O})N \backslash G/I = \{\pm 1\} \times (X_*(A) \otimes \Gamma)$ by translations, and hence acts on M . The action is $\tau_\gamma f(g) = f\left(\begin{pmatrix} t^{-\gamma} & 0 \\ 0 & t^\gamma \end{pmatrix} g\right)$. These transformations are endomorphisms (as left translation commutes with right convolution) so for any γ there is a unique element τ_γ acting as the translation. Finally,

$$(\tau_\gamma v_\delta)(g) = v_\delta \left(\begin{pmatrix} t^{-\gamma} & 0 \\ 0 & t^\gamma \end{pmatrix} g \right) = 1$$

iff

$$\begin{pmatrix} t^{-\gamma} & 0 \\ 0 & t^\gamma \end{pmatrix} g \in A(\mathcal{O})N \begin{pmatrix} t^{-\delta} & 0 \\ 0 & t^\delta \end{pmatrix} I$$

iff

$$g \in \begin{pmatrix} t^\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-\gamma} \end{pmatrix} A(\mathcal{O})N \begin{pmatrix} t^{-\delta} & 0 \\ 0 & t^\delta \end{pmatrix} I = A(\mathcal{O})N \begin{pmatrix} t^\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-\gamma} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t^{-\delta} & 0 \\ 0 & t^\delta \end{pmatrix} = A(\mathcal{O})N \begin{pmatrix} t^{\gamma-\delta} & 0 \\ 0 & t^{\delta-\gamma} \end{pmatrix} I$$

iff

$$v_{\delta-\gamma}(g) = 1$$

□

We have that

$$\tau_\gamma(e_\delta) = \frac{\mathcal{A}^{-\gamma}}{\mathcal{A}^0} e_{\delta+\gamma} \quad \tau_\gamma(f_\delta) = \frac{\mathcal{A}^{-\gamma}}{\mathcal{A}^0} f_{\delta-\gamma}.$$

This map extends to an embedding of $F_n(\Gamma)$ into \mathcal{H} which is clearly an algebra homomorphism. Denote $T_\gamma = \frac{\mathcal{A}^\gamma}{\mathcal{A}^0} \tau_\gamma$. The T_γ act as translations on the e_γ, f_γ 's: $T_\gamma e_\delta = e_{\gamma+\delta}, T_\gamma f_\delta = f_{\delta-\gamma}$. We also have $T_\gamma T_\delta = T_{\gamma+\delta}$.

Corollary 4.11. $(R_0^-)^2 = (q-1)R_0^- + qI$.

Proof. by computing the action of both sides on v_0 . □

We let \widehat{M} be the set of (definable) functions from $A(\mathcal{O})N \backslash G/I$ that vanish on v_γ, u_γ for γ negative enough. It is clear that \widehat{M} is an \mathcal{H} module but it is also a $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ module, where $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ is the obvious completion of \mathcal{H} . We define $\mathcal{I} : M \rightarrow \widehat{M}$ by

$$\mathcal{I}\varphi(g) = \int_N \varphi(wng)dn$$

Where $N = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ is the unipotent upper triangular matrices and $w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is the nontrivial element of the Weyl group.

Lemma 4.12. *\mathcal{I} is a well defined homomorphism of \mathcal{H} modules. We have*

- (1) $\mathcal{I}T_\gamma = T_{-\gamma}\mathcal{I}$
- (2) $\mathcal{I}e_0 = \mathcal{O}_o^0 f_0 + \mathcal{A}^0 \int_{(0,\infty)} T_\gamma e_0.$
- (3) $\mathcal{I}f_0 = \mathcal{O}_{cl}^0 e_0 + \mathcal{A}^0 \int_{[0,\infty)} T_\gamma f_0$
- (4) $\mathcal{I}(e_0 + f_0) = (\mathcal{O}_{cl}^0 T_0 + \mathcal{A}^0 \int_{\gamma \in (0,\infty)} T_\gamma)(e_0 + f_0)$

Proof. (1) Denote $W_\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} t^{-\gamma} & 0 \\ 0 & t^\gamma \end{pmatrix}$. Then $wW_\gamma = W_{-\gamma}w$ and $W_\gamma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} W_{-\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t^{-2\gamma}x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$

$$\mathcal{I}\tau_\gamma f(g) = \int_N (\tau_\gamma f)(wng)dn = \int_N f(W_\gamma wng)dn = \int_N f(wW_{-\gamma}nW_\gamma W_{-\gamma}g)dn =$$

The change of coordinates $m = W_{-\gamma}nW_\gamma$ satisfies $dm = \frac{\mathcal{A}^{2\gamma}}{\mathcal{A}^0} dn$

$$= \frac{\mathcal{A}^{-2\gamma}}{\mathcal{A}^0} \int_N f(wmW_{-\gamma}g)dm = \frac{\mathcal{A}^{-2\gamma}}{\mathcal{A}^0} \tau_{-\gamma} \mathcal{I}f(g)$$

So

$$\mathcal{I}T_\gamma = \frac{\mathcal{A}^\gamma}{\mathcal{A}^0} \mathcal{I}\tau_\gamma = \frac{\mathcal{A}^\gamma \mathcal{A}^{-2\gamma}}{\mathcal{A}^0 \mathcal{A}^0} \tau_{-\gamma} \mathcal{I} = T_{-\gamma} \mathcal{I}$$

(2) Let $n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $wn = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -x \end{pmatrix}$. To compute the coefficient of v_γ , suppose

$$wn \begin{pmatrix} t^{-\gamma} & 0 \\ 0 & t^\gamma \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t^\gamma \\ -t^{-\gamma} & -xt^\gamma \end{pmatrix} \in ANI$$

Then $-\gamma = \text{val}(t^{-\gamma}) > \text{val}(xt^\gamma) = 0$. Hence $\gamma < 0$ and the measure of x 's that contribute is $\mathcal{A}^{-\gamma}$. If, on the other hand,

$$wn \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t^{-\gamma} \\ -t^\gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t^\gamma & 0 \\ -xt^\gamma & -t^{-\gamma} \end{pmatrix} \in ANI$$

Then $\text{val}(xt^\gamma) > \text{val}(t^{-\gamma}) = 0$, hence $\gamma = 0$ and the measure of x 's that contributes is \mathcal{O}_o^0 . Hence $\mathcal{I}(v_0) = \mathcal{O}_o^0 u_0 + \int_{(-\infty,0)} \mathcal{A}^{-\gamma} v_\gamma$, so $\mathcal{I}e_0 = \mathcal{O}_o^0 f_0 + \mathcal{A}^0 \int_{(0,\infty)} T_\gamma e_0.$

(3) Similarly, assume

$$wn \begin{pmatrix} t^{-\gamma} & 0 \\ 0 & t^\gamma \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t^\gamma \\ -t^{-\gamma} & -xt^\gamma \end{pmatrix} \in ANwI$$

Then $0 = \text{val}(t^{-\gamma}) \leq \text{val}(xt^\gamma)$, so $\gamma = 0$ and the measure of x 's is \mathcal{O}_{cl}^0 . If, on the other hand,

$$wn \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t^{-\gamma} \\ -t^\gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t^\gamma & 0 \\ -xt^\gamma & -t^{-\gamma} \end{pmatrix} \in ANwI$$

Then $0 = \text{val}(xt^\gamma) \leq \text{val}(t^{-\gamma}) = -\gamma$, so $\gamma \leq 0$ and the measure of x 's is $\mathcal{A}^{-\gamma}$. Hence $\mathcal{S}u_0 = \mathcal{O}_{cl}^0 v_0 + \int_{(-\infty, 0]} \mathcal{A}^{-\gamma} u_\gamma$. This implies that $\mathcal{S}f_0 = \mathcal{O}_{cl}^0 e_0 + \mathcal{A}^0 \int_{[0, \infty)} T_\gamma f_0$. (4) follows from (2) and (3). \square

Note that \mathcal{S} does not preserve M . However, we claim that the operator $J_b = (1 - T_b)\mathcal{S}$ preserves M for every $b \in \Gamma$. Take for example $b > 0$. By computing the action on e_0 we see that

$$J_b = \mathcal{O}_o(1 - T_b)R_0^- + \mathcal{A}^0 \int_{(0, b]} T_\gamma.$$

Fix $a \in \Gamma$ and let $b > 0$ be smaller in absolute value. Using $J_b T_a = T_{-a} J_b$ and the last equality we get

$$(1 - T_b)\mathcal{O}_o^0 R_0^- T_a + \mathcal{A}^0 \int_{(a, a+b]} T_\gamma = (1 - T_b)\mathcal{O}_o^0 T_{-a} R_0^- + \mathcal{A}^0 \int_{(-a, -a+b]} T_\gamma$$

and so if $a > 0$,

$$(1 - T_b)\mathcal{O}_o(R_0^- T_a^- - T_{-a} R_0^-) = \mathcal{A}^0 \left(\int_{(-a, -a+b]} T_\gamma - \int_{(a, a+b]} T_\gamma \right) = (1 - T_b)\mathcal{A}^0 \int_{(-a, a]} T_\gamma$$

and if $a < 0$,

$$(1 - T_b)\mathcal{O}_o(R_0^- T_a^- - T_{-a} R_0^-) = -(1 - T_b)\mathcal{A}^0 \int_{(a, -a]} T_\gamma$$

Lemma 4.13. *The element $1 - T_b$ does not annihilate non zero elements of \mathcal{H} .*

Proof. Suppose $X \in \mathcal{H}$ is non zero. We can view X as a definable function from $\{\pm 1\} \times \Gamma$ to E . The support of X is a definable set, hence there is a supremum γ for it. Let $\epsilon \in \Gamma$ be positive and smaller than b such that $X(\gamma - \epsilon) \neq 0$. Then $(1 - T_b)X(\gamma + b - \epsilon) \neq 0$, so $(1 - T_b)X \neq 0$. \square

Corollary 4.14. *(Bernstein's presentation) Every element in \mathcal{H} is of the form $\int_\Gamma f_1(\gamma)T_\gamma + \int_\Gamma f_w(\gamma)T_\gamma R_0^-$. Multiplication is defined by being Γ -additive and the relations*

$$R_0^- T_a = T_{-a} R_0^- + (q - 1) \int_{(-a, a]} T_\gamma$$

and

$$(R_0^- - q)(R_0^- + 1) = 0$$

Proposition 4.15. *The center of \mathcal{H} consists of all elements of the form $\int_\Gamma f(\gamma)(T_\gamma + T_{-\gamma})$.*

Proof. Denote by L the algebra (or space) generated by the $T_\gamma + T_{-\gamma}$. Clearly, L is contained in the center. On the other hand, every element in \mathcal{H} can be uniquely written as a combination of elements of the form $T_\gamma + T_{-\gamma}, T_\gamma - T_{-\gamma}, (T_\gamma + T_{-\gamma})R_0^-, (T_\gamma - T_{-\gamma})R_0^-$ (note that $T_\gamma e_0 = e_\gamma$ and $R_0^- e_0 = f_0$). Every one of those subspaces is L invariant and they are linearly independent. \square

Corollary 4.16. *The algebra \mathcal{H} is finite over its center.*

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Hrushovski, D. Kazhdan, Integration over valued fields
- [2] Haines, Thomas J., Kottwitz, Robert E., Prasad, Amritanshu, Iwahori Hecke algebras, math.RT/0309168
- [3] Invitation to higher local fields. Papers from the conference held in Mnster, August 29–September 5, 1999. Edited by Ivan Fesenko and Masato Kurihara. Geometry and Topology Monographs, 3. Geometry and Topology Publications, Coventry, 2000. front matter+304 pp. (electronic)
- [4] M. Kageyama, M. Fujita, Grothendieck rings of o-minimal expansions of ordered Abelian groups, arXiv:math.LO/0505331 v1 16 May 2005
- [5] Lee, Kyu-Hwan, Iwahori-Hecke algebras of SL_2 over 2-dimensional local fields. Preprint 2006

- [6] Marikova, J., MA thesis, Charles University, Prague 2003; Geometric properties of semilinear and semi-bounded sets, preprint.
- [7] Serre, Jean-Pierre Lectures on the Mordell-Weil theorem. Translated from the French and edited by Martin Brown from notes by Michel Waldschmidt. Aspects of Mathematics, E15. Vieweg Braunschweig, 1989.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM, GIVAT RAM, JERUSALEM, 91904, ISRAEL.
E-mail address: ehud@math.huji.ac.il, kazhdan@math.huji.ac.il