

STRUCTURE OF LARGE INCOMPLETE SETS IN ABELIAN GROUPS

VAN H. VU

ABSTRACT. Let G be a finite abelian group and A be a subset of G . We say that A is complete if every element of G can be represented as a sum of different elements of A . In this paper, we study the following question:

What is the structure of a large incomplete set ?

The typical answer is that such a set is essentially contained in a maximal subgroup. As a by-product, we obtain a new proof for several earlier results.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be an abelian group and A be a subset of G . We use S_A to denote the collection of all subset sums of A

$$S_A := \left\{ \sum_{a \in B} a \mid B \subset A, |B| < \infty \right\}.$$

We will keep this notation when A is sequence of (not necessarily different) elements of A . In this case S_A is the collection of all subsequence sums of A . Z_n denotes the cyclic group of order n .

Example. Take $G = Z_{11}$. If A is the subset $\{1, 2, 3\}$, then $S_A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. If A is the sequence $\{1, 1, 3\}$, then $S_A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$.

Following Erdős [1], we say that A is *complete* if $S_A = G$ and *incomplete* otherwise. If G is finite, the *critical* number of G , $c(G)$, is the smallest integer m such that any subset $A \subset G \setminus \{0\}$ with size m is complete. This parameter has been studied for a long time and its exact value is known for most groups.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a finite abelian group of order $n = ph$, where p is the smallest prime divisor of G . Then the following holds*

- *If $p = 2$ and $h \geq 5$ or $G = Z_2 \oplus Z_2 \oplus Z_2$, then $c(G) = h$. If $p = 2$ and $h \leq 4$ and $G \neq Z_2 \oplus Z_2 \oplus Z_2$, then $c(G) = h + 1$.*
- *If h is a prime, then $p + h - 2 \leq c(G) \leq p + h - 1$. Furthermore, if $h = p \geq 3$ or $h \geq 2p + 1$, then $c(G) = p + h - 2$.*
- *If $p \geq 3$ and h is composite, then $c(G) = p + h - 2$.*

V. Vu is an A. Sloan Fellow and is supported by an NSF Career Grant.

The first statement is due to Diderrich and Mann [7]. The second combines results of Mann and Wou [10] (who studied the case $h = p$) and Didderich [6]. The last statement has been known as Didderich conjecture, posed in [6] and was proved by Gao and Hamidoune [8], more than twenty years later.

In this paper, we would like to study the following question

What is the structure of a relatively large incomplete set ?

Technically speaking, we would like to have a characterization for incomplete sets of relatively large size. Such a characterization has been obtained recently in [9] for sets of size at least $n/(p+2)$. In this paper, we will be able to treat much smaller sets. (In fact, our assumption on "relatively large" is almost sharp; see Theorem 2.9.) The method used in our proofs is different from those used in previous papers. As a by-product, one obtains a new proof for a good portion of Theorem 1.1, including a new proof for Didderich's conjecture for large n (see the remarks following Theorem 2.7).

Notation. $\langle A \rangle$ denotes the subgroup generated by A . $\mathbf{E}(X)$ denotes the expectation of a random variable X . All logarithms have natural base, if not specified otherwise.

2. THE CHARACTERIZATION OF LARGE INCOMPLETE SETS

Let us start by a simple fact, whose proof is left as an exercise.

Fact 2.1. *Let p be a prime and A be a sequence of $p-1$ non-zero elements in Z_p . Then $S_A \cap \{0\} = Z_p$. On the other hand, there is a sequence of $p-2$ non-zero elements of Z_p such that $S_A \cap \{0\} \neq Z_p$.*

Let G be an abelian group of size n and q be a prime divisor of n . Let H be a subgroup of size n/q . A direct corollary of Fact 2.1 is the following

Fact 2.2. *If A is an incomplete subset of G and $S_{A \cap H} = H$, then $H \setminus A$ has at most $q-2$ elements. Consequently A has at most $n/q + q-2$ elements.*

Definition 2.3. Let G be an abelian group of size n . A subset A of G is *nice* if there is a subgroup H of G such that $|G/H|$ is a prime and $S_{A \cap H} = H$.

Given a subgroup H in G and an element $a \in G$, we use a/H to represent the coset of H which contains a . a/H can be viewed as an element of the quotient group G/H . If B is a subset of G , then $B/H := \{b/H | b \in B\}$ is a sequence in G/H .

Fact 2.4. *If A is a nice incomplete set in a finite abelian group G of size n , then $|A| \leq \frac{n}{p} + p - 2$, where p is the smallest prime divisor of n . Furthermore, $(A \setminus H)/H$ is an incomplete sequence in $Z_q = G/H$.*

Proof (Proof of Fact 2.4) If A is a nice incomplete set then $|A| \leq \frac{n}{q} + q - 2$, for some prime divisor q of n . On the other hand, it is easy to see that $\frac{n}{q} + q \leq \frac{n}{p} + p$, where p is the smallest prime divisor of n . ■

Our leading idea is that relatively large incomplete sets are nice. A special case has been verified by Gao, Hamidoune, Lladó and Serra [9]. Their theorem can be reformulated in the current setting as follows

Theorem 2.5. *Let G be an abelian group of order $n = ph$, where $p \geq 5$ is the smallest prime divisor of n , $h \geq 15p$ is composite. Let A be an incomplete subset of at least $\frac{n}{p+2} + p$ elements. Then A is nice. Furthermore, there is a subgroup H of size n/p such that $S_{A \cap H} = H$.*

For any positive $\epsilon \leq 1$, define

$$C(\epsilon) := \sqrt{\frac{40/\epsilon^2}{\log(2/\epsilon)}} \quad (1)$$

and let $n(\epsilon)$ be the smallest integer m such that for any $n \geq m$

$$n \geq C(\epsilon) \sqrt{n \log n} > \frac{4}{\epsilon^2}. \quad (2)$$

Remark 2.6. $n(\epsilon)$ is relatively small. One can take, say, $n(\epsilon) = 500/\epsilon^4$.

Now we are ready to state our first theorem.

Theorem 2.7. *Let δ be a positive constant at most $1/6$ and $p_1 \leq p_2 \cdots \leq p_t$, $t \geq 2$, be primes satisfying three conditions*

- $p_2 \geq 3$;
- $n := \prod_{i=1}^t p_i \geq n(\delta)$;
- $p_1 \leq \frac{1}{3C(\delta)} \sqrt{n/\log n}$.

Let G be an abelian group of order n and A be an incomplete subset of G of size at least $(5/6 + \delta) \frac{n}{p_1}$. Then A is nice and there is a subgroup H such that $n/|H|$ is one of the p_i , $|A \setminus H| < 3p_1$ and $S_{A \cap H} = H$.

Remark 2.8. Let us have a few comments on this theorem.

- Using Theorem 2.7 and Facts 2.2, we can recover a large portion of Theorem 1.1. To see this, consider an incomplete set A which does not contain zero. If $|A| \leq n/p_1$, there is nothing to prove. If $|A| \geq n/p_1$, and $n = |G|$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.7, apply this theorem to obtain the subgroup H . As A does not contain zero, then $|A \cap H| \leq |H| - 1$. By Facts 2.2, $|A| \leq n/q + q - 3$, where $q = n/|H|$. But $n/q + q \leq n/p_1 + p_1$, so $|A| \leq n/p_1 + p_1 - 3$.
- The third assumption $p_1 \leq \frac{1}{C} \sqrt{n/\log n}$ in Theorem 2.7 can be voided if we assume $t \geq 3$ (i.e., n/p_1 is composite) and n sufficiently large. In that case $p_1 \leq n^{1/3} \ll \sqrt{n/\log n}$. It follows that the assumptions of Theorem

2.7 are satisfied whenever $p_1 \geq 3$, h is composite and n is sufficiently large. Thus, we have a new proof of Diederich conjecture for sufficiently large n .

- Unlike Theorem 2.5, one cannot conclude that H has size n/p_1 . It is easy to give examples where $|G|/|H|$ can be any of the p_i .

The next question is to find the best lower bound on $|A|$ that guarantees niceness. Our second theorem gives an almost complete answer for this question.

Theorem 2.9. *For any positive constant δ there is a positive constant $D(\delta)$ such that the following holds. Let $p_1 \leq p_2 \cdots \leq p_t$, $t \geq 3$, be primes such that $p_1 p_2 \leq \frac{1}{D(\delta)} \sqrt{n/\log n}$, where $n := \prod_{i=1}^t p_i$. Let G be an abelian group of order n and A be an incomplete subset of G with cardinality at least $(1 + \delta) \frac{n}{p_1 p_2}$. Then A is nice. Furthermore, the lower bound $(1 + \delta) \frac{n}{p_1 p_2}$ cannot be replaced by $\frac{n}{p_1 p_2} + n^{1/4-\alpha}$, for any constant α .*

Finally, let us discuss the case when $G = \mathbf{Z}_n$, where n is a prime. This case has not been covered by the results presented so far. Olson [13], improving upon a result of Erdős and Heilbronn [2], shows that $c(\mathbf{Z}_n) \leq \sqrt{4n-3} + 1$. His bound was improved by da Silva and Hamidoune [3] to $\sqrt{4n-7}$. As far as characterization results are concerned, we know of the following two results.

Theorem 2.10. *Let n be a prime and A be an incomplete subset of \mathbf{Z}_n of size at least $(2n)^{1/2}$. Then there is some non-zero element $b \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ such that*

$$\sum_{a \in bA} \|a\| \leq n + O(n^{3/4} \log n).$$

Theorem 2.11. *Let n be a prime and A be an incomplete subset of \mathbf{Z}_n of size at least $1.99n^{1/2}$. Then there is some non-zero element $b \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ such that*

$$\sum_{a \in bA} \|a\| \leq n + O(n^{1/2}).$$

Theorem 2.10 is due to Deshouillers and Freiman [5]. Theorem 2.11 is due to Nguyen, Szemerédi and Vu [11]. The error term in this $O(n^{1/2})$ is best possible, as shown by a construction in [4].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 3 contains the main lemma to the proofs, which states that if A is sufficiently large, then S_A contains a subgroup of size comparable to $|A|$. The proofs of the theorems come in Sections 4 and 5. Section 6 is devoted to concluding remarks.

3. THE EXISTENCE OF A LARGE SUBGROUP IN S_A

Our key tool is the following statement, which asserts that if A is a sufficiently large subset of G , then S_A contains a large subgroup of G . Recall the definition of $C(\epsilon)$ and $n(\epsilon)$ from (1) and (2).

Theorem 3.1. *Let $0 < \epsilon < 1$ be a constant and G be an abelian group of size n , where $n \geq \max\{\frac{4}{\epsilon^2}, C(\epsilon)\sqrt{n \log n}\}$. Let A be a subset of G with at least $\max\{\frac{4}{\epsilon^2}, C(\epsilon)\sqrt{n \log n}\}$ elements. Then S_A contains a subgroup of size at least $(1 - \epsilon)|A|$.*

Remark 3.2. The bound $(1 - \epsilon)|A|$ is asymptotically sharp, as A itself can be a subgroup. The lower bound $C\sqrt{n \log n}$ for $|A|$ is sharp up to the logarithmic term. To see this, consider $G = \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ and $A = \{0, 1, \dots, p\}$. It is clear that $|A| > p = \sqrt{|G|}$. On the other hand, S_A does not contain any proper subgroup of G . It is interesting to see whether the log term can be removed.

Remark 3.3. The theorem also holds for non-abelian group, see Theorem 3.9 at the end of this section.

By definition of $n(\epsilon)$, if $n \geq n(\epsilon)$ then

$$n > C(\epsilon)\sqrt{n \log n} > \frac{4}{\epsilon^2}.$$

In this case we have the following corollary, which is easier to use.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $0 < \epsilon < 1$ be a constant and G be an abelian group of size $n \geq n(\epsilon)$. Let A be a subset of G with at least $C(\epsilon)\sqrt{n \log n}$ elements. Then S_A contains a subgroup of size at least $(1 - \epsilon)|A|$.*

To prove Theorem 3.1, we use the following result of Olson [12] (see also [15, Chapter 12]). Let A be a set and l be a positive integer, we define

$$lA := \{a_1 + \dots + a_l \mid a_i \in A\}.$$

Also recall that $\langle A \rangle$ denotes the subgroup generated by A .

Theorem 3.5. *Let G be finite abelian group, l be a positive integer and $0 \in A$ be a finite subset of G . Then either $lA = \langle A \rangle$ or $|lA| \geq |A| + (l - 1)(\frac{|A|}{2} + 1)$.*

Since $|A| + (l - 1)(\frac{|A|}{2} + 1) \geq (l + 1)|A|/2$, the following corollary is immediate.

Corollary 3.6. *Let G be a finite abelian group, l be a positive integer and $0 \in A$ be a finite subset of G such that $(l + 1)|A| \geq 2|G|$, then*

$$lA = \langle A \rangle.$$

We also needs the following result of Olson [12], which refines an earlier result of Szemerédi [14] (Szemerédi proved the theorem for an unspecified constant instead of 3).

Theorem 3.7. *Let G be an abelian group of order n and A be subset of at least $3\sqrt{n}$ elements. Then $0 \in S_A$.*

We also need the following simple lemma:

Lemma 3.8. *Let G be an abelian group and A be a subset of G . Let l be a positive integer and S a subset of G such that every element of S can be represented as the sum of two different elements of A in at least $2l - 1$ ways (not counting permutations). Then $lS \subset S_A$.*

Proof (Proof of Lemma 3.8) Let x_1, \dots, x_l be (not necessarily different) elements of S . We represent $x_1 + \dots + x_l$ as a sum of different elements of A using the greedy algorithm. To start, represent $x_1 = a_1 + a'_1$ where $a_1 \neq a'_1$ are different elements of A . Assume that we have represented $x_1 = a_1 + a'_1, \dots, x_i = a_i + a'_i$, where $1 \leq i < l$ and $a_1, a'_1, \dots, a_i, a'_i$ are all different. Now look at x_{i+1} . Each of the $2i$ elements $a_1, a'_1, \dots, a_i, a'_i$ appear in at most one representation of x_{i+1} . Since x_{i+1} has at least $2l - 1 > 2i$ representations, we can find a representation $x_{i+1} = a_{i+1} + a'_{i+1}$ where both a_{i+1} and a'_{i+1} are different from $a_1, a'_1, \dots, a_i, a'_i$. This concludes the proof. \blacksquare

Proof (Proof of Theorem 3.1) For each element $x \in G$, let m_x be the number of ways to represent x as the sum of two different elements of A (not counting permutations). A double counting argument gives

$$\sum_{x \in G} m_x = \binom{|A|}{2}. \quad (3)$$

Notice that m_x is at most $M := |A|/2$. Set $K := 2/\epsilon$. Let S_j be the collection of those x where $K^{-j}M < m_x \leq K^{-j+1}M$ for $j = 1, \dots, j_0$, where j_0 is the largest integer such that $K^{-j_0}M \geq 1$. Let S_{j_0+1} be the collection of those x where $1 \leq m_x \leq K^{-j_0}M$. By the definition of S_j

$$\sum_{j=1}^{j_0+1} K^{-j+1}M|S_j| \geq \sum_{x \in G} m_x, \quad (4)$$

which, together with (3) imply

$$\sum_{j=1}^{j_0+1} K^{-j+1}M|S_j| \geq \binom{|A|}{2} \quad (5)$$

Call a set S_j *small* ($j = 1, \dots, j_0 + 1$) if it has at most $(1 - \epsilon)|A|$ elements and *large* otherwise. The contribution from the small S_j on the left hand side is at most

$$\sum_{j=1}^{j_0+1} K^{-j+1}M(1 - \epsilon)|A| \leq \frac{K}{K-1}M(1 - \epsilon)|A| = \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2 - \epsilon}\right) \frac{|A|^2}{2}$$

taken into account the facts that $K = 2/\epsilon$ and $M = |A|/2$. Since $|A| \geq \frac{4}{\epsilon^2}$, we have

$$\left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2 - \epsilon}\right) \frac{|A|^2}{2} \leq (1 - \epsilon/2) \frac{|A|^2}{2} - \frac{|A|}{2}.$$

From this and (5), we have

$$\sum_{S_j \text{ large}} K^{-j+1}M|S_j| \geq \binom{|A|}{2} - (1 - \epsilon/2) \frac{|A|^2}{2} + \frac{|A|}{2} = \epsilon \frac{|A|^2}{4}. \quad (6)$$

The bound $|A| \geq C(\epsilon)\sqrt{n \log n}$ guarantees that

$$\epsilon \frac{|A|^2}{4} \geq 5Kn \log_{2/\epsilon} n. \quad (7)$$

(In fact, $C(\epsilon)$ is defined so that this inequality holds.) Set $l_j := K^{-j}M$. Since the number of large S_j is at most $j_0 + 1 \leq \lfloor \log_{2/\epsilon} |A|/2 \rfloor + 1$, (6), (7) and the pigeon hole principle imply that there is a large S_j such that

$$l_j |S_j| \geq 4n.$$

Notice that $|S_j| \leq |G| = n$. It follows that $(\lfloor l_j/2 \rfloor + 1)|S_j| \geq 2n$. Apply Corollary 3.4 to $l := \lfloor l_j/2 \rfloor$ and $S := S_j \cup \{0\}$, we can conclude that $lS = \langle S \rangle$. On the other hand, by the definition of S

$$lS = \cup_{i=1}^l iS_j \cup \{0\}.$$

By Lemma 3.8,

$$iS_j \subset S_A,$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq l$. Finally, $0 \in S_A$ by Olson's theorem. Thus S_A contains $\langle A \rangle$, which has at least $(1 - \epsilon)|A|$ elements since S_j is large and $|\langle S \rangle| \geq |S| \geq |S_j|$. This concludes the proof. \blacksquare

All the tools used in the proof (Theorems 3.5 and 3.7, Lemma 3.8) hold for non-abelian groups. Thus, Theorem 3.1 also holds for this case. The proof requires only two simple modifications. First, in Lemma 3.8, $2l - 1$ is replaced by $4l - 3$. The reason is that in the proof, each of the elements $a_1, a'_1, \dots, a_i, a'_i$ can now appear in at most 2 representations of x_{i+1} . The second is that in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we need to fix an ordering on the elements of G and when we consider a sum $x + y$, we always assume that x precedes y in this ordering. The rest of the proof remains the same.

Theorem 3.9. *For any constant $0 < \epsilon < 1$ there are constant $n_1(\epsilon)$ and $C_1(\epsilon)$ such that the following holds. Let G be a group of size n , where $n \geq n_1(\epsilon)$. Let A be a subset of G with at least $C_1(\epsilon)\sqrt{n \log n}$ elements. Then S_A contains a subgroup of size at least $(1 - \epsilon)|A|$.*

The values of $n_1(\epsilon)$ and $C_1(\epsilon)$ might be slightly different from that of $n(\epsilon)$ and $C(\epsilon)$, due to the modifications.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.7

Lemma 4.1. *Let G be a finite additive group and A be a subset of G with cardinality at least $\lfloor |G|/2 \rfloor + 2$. Then $S_A = G$.*

Proof (Proof of Lemma 4.1) Let x be an arbitrary element of G . There are exactly $\lfloor |G|/2 \rfloor$ (unordered) pairs (a, b) of different elements of G such that $a + b = x$. The claim follows by the pigeon hole principle. One can improve the bound slightly but from our point of view it is not important. \blacksquare

Proof (Proof of Theorem 2.7) Let A_1 be an arbitrary subset of A with cardinality $(1 + 2\delta)\frac{n}{3p_1}$. By the upper bound on p_1 , we can assume that $|A_1| \geq C(\delta)\sqrt{n \log n}$,

which enables us to apply Corollary 3.1 to A_1 and obtain a subgroup $H \subset S_{A_1}$ where

$$|H| \geq (1 - \delta)|A_1| = (1 - \delta)(1 + 2\delta)\frac{n}{3p_1} > \frac{n}{3p_1}.$$

The assumption $p_2 \geq 3$ shows that $H > \frac{n}{p_1 p_2}$. It follows that $|H| = n/q$ where q is one of the p_i ($1 \leq i \leq t$). Furthermore,

$$q < 3p_1.$$

Consider the sequence $B := \{a/H | a \in A \setminus A_1\}$ in the quotient group $G/H = Z_q$. If B has at least $q - 1$ non-zero elements, then by Fact 2.1 S_B contains $Z_q \setminus \{0\}$, which implies that

$$G \subset S_{A_1} + S_{A \setminus A_1} \subset S_A$$

a contradiction as A is incomplete. Thus, B has at most $q - 2$ non-zero elements. So we can conclude that all but at most $q - 2$ elements of $A \setminus A_1$ lie in H . Let A_2 denote the set of these elements. We have

$$|A_2| > |A \setminus A_1| - (q - 2) \geq |A| - |A_1| - 3p_1 + 2 \geq \left(\frac{5}{6} + \delta - (1 + 2\delta)\frac{1}{3}\right)\frac{n}{p_1} - 3p_1 + 2.$$

The right most formula is

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3}\delta\right)\frac{n}{p_1} - 3p_1 + 2 \geq \frac{n}{2p_1} + 2.$$

since $\frac{1}{3}\delta\frac{n}{p_1} \geq 3p_1$ by the assumption $p_1 \leq \frac{1}{3C(\delta)}\sqrt{n/\log n}$ and the definition of $C(\delta)$.

On the other hand, $|H|$ is at most $\frac{n}{p_1}$. Thus, $|A_2| \geq |H|/2 + 2$ and so by Lemma 4.1, $S_{A_2} = H$. Notice that $A_2 \subset H \cap A$. Thus $S_{A \cap H} = H$ which means that A is nice, completing the proof. \blacksquare

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.9

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\delta \leq 1/2$ and A has exactly $(1 + \delta)\frac{n}{p_1 p_2}$ elements. Let A_1 be a subset of A of size $(1 + \delta/2)\frac{n}{p_1 p_2}$. Setting $D(\delta)$ sufficiently large, one can assume that n is sufficiently large and $|A_1| \geq C(\delta/4)\sqrt{n \log n}$ (where C is defined as in (1)), thanks to the assumption

$$p_1 p_2 \leq \frac{1}{D(\delta)}\sqrt{n/\log n}.$$

This enables us to apply Corollary 3.4 to A_1 and conclude that S_{A_1} contains a subgroup H of size at least

$$(1 - \delta/4)|A_1| = (1 - \delta/4)(1 + \delta/2)\frac{n}{p_1 p_2} > \frac{n}{p_1 p_2}.$$

The critical point here is that $|H|$ is larger than $\frac{n}{p_1 p_2}$. This forces $|H| = n/q$ where q is one of the primes p_i . It would be easy to finish the proof now if A had at least $(2 + \delta)\frac{n}{p_1 p_2}$ (instead of only $(1 + \delta)\frac{n}{p_1 p_2}$) elements. The reason is that in this case we still have $(1 + \delta/2)\frac{n}{p_1 p_2}$ elements outside A_1 to play with. Arguing as in the

previous proof, we can show that most of these elements should be in H and span it. As we lack these extra elements, we need an additional trick that helps us to show that actually most elements of A_1 are already in H . The heart of this trick is Lemma 5.1 below. Before presenting the lemma, let us make some observations. Set $A_2 := A \setminus A_1$. As A_1 was chosen arbitrarily, A_2 is an arbitrary subset of A with $\frac{\delta n}{2p_1 p_2}$ elements. Since A is incomplete, $|A_2 \setminus H| \leq q - 2$, where $q = |G|/|H| \leq p_1 p_2$. By setting $D(\delta)$ sufficiently large, we can assume

$$p_1 p_2 \leq \frac{\delta^2}{20} \frac{n}{p_1 p_2} = \frac{\delta}{10} |A_2|$$

which implies

$$|A_2 \cap H| \geq |A_2| - p_1 p_2 \geq (1 - \delta/10) |A_2|.$$

To summarize, A has the property that for any subset A_2 of size $\frac{\delta n}{2p_1 p_2} = \frac{\delta}{2(1+\delta)} |A|$, there is a maximal subgroup H of G such that $|A_2 \cap H| \geq (1 - \delta/10) |A_2|$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let S be a subset of G of size $(1 + \delta) \frac{n}{p_1 p_2}$ such that no maximal subgroup of G contains $(1 - \delta/2)$ fraction of S . Then there is a subset $S' \subset S$ of size $\frac{\delta}{2(1+\delta)} |S|$ such that no maximal subgroup of G contains $(1 - \delta/10)$ fraction of S' .*

Assuming the lemma for a moment, we can conclude the proof as follows. By the lemma and its preceding paragraph, there is a maximal subgroup H such that

$$|H \cap A| \geq (1 - \delta/2) |A| = (1 - \delta/2)(1 + \delta) \frac{n}{p_1 p_2} \geq (1 + \delta/4) \frac{n}{p_1 p_2}$$

as $\delta \leq 1/2$. Since $|H| \leq n/p_1$ and the smallest prime divisor p' of H is either p_1 or p_2 , it is easy to verify that

$$|H \cap A| \geq \frac{|H|}{p'} + p'.$$

Thus we can apply Theorem 1.1 or Theorem 2.7 for H and $A \cap H$ to deduce that $A \cap H$ is complete in H . Therefore, $S_{A \cap H} = H$ and A is nice.

Now we prove Lemma 5.1, using a probabilistic argument. **Proof** (Proof of Lemma 5.1) Set $s := |S| = (1 + \delta) \frac{n}{p_1 p_2}$ and $\epsilon := \delta/10$. Consider a random subset S_1 of S obtained by selecting each element $a \in S$ to be in S_1 with probability $\rho := (1 + 2\epsilon) \frac{\delta}{2(1+\delta)^2}$, independently. Let H be a subgroup of G . By linearity of expectation and the assumption of the lemma, we have

$$\mathbf{E}(|H \cap S_1|) = \rho |H \cap S| \leq \rho(1 - \delta/2)s = \rho(1 - 5\epsilon)s.$$

On the other hand, $\mathbf{E}(|S_1|) = \rho s$. Both $H \cap S_1$ and S_1 have binomial distribution. By property of the binomial distribution, there is a positive constant c_0 depending only on ϵ such that with probability at least $1 - \exp(-c_0 \rho s)$

$$(1 - \epsilon)\rho s \leq |S_1| \leq (1 + \epsilon)\rho s. \tag{8}$$

and

$$|H \cap S_1| \leq (1 + \epsilon)\rho(1 - 5\epsilon)s. \tag{9}$$

It is well known (and easy to prove) that the number of maximal subgroups of G is at most $|G| = n$. If $D(\delta)$ (and so n) is sufficiently large, then

$$2n \leq \exp(c_0 \rho s).$$

Thus, we can use the union bound to conclude that there exists a set S_1 such that (8) holds and (9) holds simultaneously for every maximal subgroup H . Let S' be any subset of S_1 of size $\frac{\delta n}{2n_1 n_2} = \frac{1}{1+2\epsilon} \rho s$. For any maximal subgroup H

$$|S' \cap H|/|S'| \leq |S_1 \cap H|/|S'| \leq \frac{(1+\epsilon)(1-5\epsilon)\rho s}{\left(\frac{1}{1+2\epsilon}\rho s\right)} < (1-\epsilon) = (1-\delta/10).$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma. ■

The following example shows that the lower bound $(1+\delta)\frac{n}{p_1 p_2}$ cannot be reduced to $\frac{n}{p_1 p_2} + n^{1/4-\alpha}$, for any fixed α .

Example. Take $n := p^2 q$ where $1 < p < q$ are large primes. Consider $G = Z_{p^2} \oplus Z_q$. Given any $\delta > 0$ and any function $D(\delta)$, by choosing q properly p we can guarantee that

$$n^{1/2-\alpha} \leq p^2 \leq \frac{1}{D(\delta)} \sqrt{n/\log n}.$$

We write an element $a \in G$ as $a = (x, y)$ where $x \in Z_{p^2}$ and $y \in Z_q$. Let m be the largest integer such that $\sum_{i=0}^m i < p^2 - 1$. Set

$$A := \{(x, 0) | 0 \leq x \leq m\} \cup \{(0, y) | 0 \leq y \leq q - 1\}.$$

It is easy to show that A is incomplete and not nice, thanks to the fact that $\sum_{i=0}^m i < p^2 - 1$. On the other hand,

$$|A| = m + q = m + \frac{n}{p^2} = m + \frac{n}{p_1 p_2} \geq n^{1/4-\alpha} + \frac{n}{p_1 p_2}.$$

The proof of the theorem is complete.

6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

One can use the additional trick in the proof of Theorem 2.9 to improve upon the constant $(5/6 + \delta)$ in Theorem 2.7. However, this requires some modification on the assumptions. We prefer to present Theorem 2.7 in the simplest way in order to illustrate the ideas.

One can also use the method presented here to study incomplete sets with size less than $\frac{n}{p_1 p_2}$. However, the characterization obtained in this case is more technical and less appealing.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Erdős, On the representation of large interges as sums of distinct summands taken from a fixed set, *Acta. Arith.* 7 (1962), 345-354.
- [2] P. Erdős and H. Heilbronn, On the addition of residue classes mod p , *Acta Arith.* 9 1964 149-159.
- [3] D. da Silva and Y. O. Hamidoune, Cyclic spaces for Grassmann derivatives and additive theory, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 26 (1994), no. 2, 140-146.
- [4] Jean-Marc Deshouillers, Lower bound concerning subset sum wich do not cover all the residues modulo p , *Hardy- Ramanujan Journal*, Vol. 28(2005) 30-34.
- [5] Jean-Marc Deshouillers and Gregory A. Freiman, When subset-sums do not cover all the residues modulo p , *Journal of Number Theory* 104(2004) 255-262.
- [6] G. T. Diderrich, An addition theorem for abelian groups of order pq , *J. Number Theory* 7 (1975), 33-48
- [7] G. T. Diderrich and H. B. Mann, Combinatorial problems in finite Abelian groups, *Survey of combinatorial theory* (Proc. Internat. Sympos., Colorado State Univ., Fort Collins, Colo., 1971), pp. 95-100. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.
- [8] W. Gao and Y. O. Hamidoune, On additive bases, *Acta Arith.* 88 (1999), no. 3, 233-237.
- [9] W. Gao, Y.O. Hamidoune, A. Llad and O. Serra, Covering a finite abelian group by subset sums, *Combinatorica* 23 (2003), no. 4, 599-611.
- [10] H. B. Mann and Y. F. Wou, An addition theorem for the elementary abelian group of type (p, p) , *Monatsh. Math.* 102 (1986), no. 4, 273-308.
- [11] H. H. Nguyen, E. Szemerédi and V. H. Vu, Subset sums in \mathbf{Z}_p , *submitted*.
- [12] J. E. Olson, Sums of sets of group elements, *Acta Arith.* 28 (1975/76), no. 2, 147-156.
- [13] J. E. Olson, An addition theorem modulo p , *J. Combinatorial Theory* 5 1968 45-52.
- [14] E. Szemerédi, On a conjecture of Erdős and Heilbronn, *Acta Arith.* 17 (1970) 227-229.
- [15] T. Tao and V. H. Vu, Additive Combinatorics, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2006.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS, PISCATAWAY, NJ 08854-8019

E-mail address: vanvu@math.rutgers.edu