

# A BASE POINT FREE THEOREM OF REID TYPE, II

SHIGETAKA FUKUDA

Faculty of Education, Gifu Shotoku Gakuen University, Yanaizu-cho, Hashima-gun,  
Gifu-prefecture, 501-6194, Japan  
(e-mail: fukuda@ha.shotoku.ac.jp)

## Introduction

This paper is a continuation of [Fu2].

We generally use the notation and terminology of [Utah].

Let  $X$  be a normal, complete algebraic variety over  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $(X, \Delta)$  a log variety which is log canonical. We assume that  $K_X + \Delta$  is a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -Cartier  $\mathbf{Q}$ -divisor. Let  $r$  be the smallest positive integer such that  $r(K_X + \Delta)$  is Cartier ( $r$  is called the *singularity index* of  $(X, \Delta)$ ).

**Definition (due to Reid [Rd]).** Let  $\Theta = \sum_{i=1}^s \Theta_i$  be a reduced divisor with only simple normal crossings on an  $n$ -dimensional non-singular complete variety over  $\mathbf{C}$ . We denote  $\mathbf{Strata}(\Theta) := \{\Gamma \mid 1 \leq k \leq n, 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq s, \Gamma \text{ is an irreducible component of } \Theta_{i_1} \cap \Theta_{i_2} \cap \cdots \cap \Theta_{i_k} \neq \emptyset\}$ .

Let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be a log resolution of  $(X, \Delta)$  such that  $K_Y = f^*(K_X + \Delta) + \sum a_j E_j$  (where  $a_j \geq -1$ ). Let  $L$  be a Cartier divisor on  $X$ .  $L$  is called *nef and log big* on  $(X, \Delta)$  if  $L$  is nef and big and  $(L|_{f(\Gamma)})^{\dim f(\Gamma)} > 0$  for any member  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathbf{Strata}(\sum_{a_j=-1} E_j)$ .

We note that the definition of the notion of "nef and log big" does not depend on the choice of the log resolution  $f$  ([Fu1, Claim]). In the case in which  $(X, \Delta)$  is Kawamata log terminal (klt), if  $L$  is nef and big, then  $L$  is nef and log big on  $(X, \Delta)$ .

In [Rd], M. Reid gave the following statement:

*Let  $L$  be a nef Cartier divisor such that  $aL - (K_X + \Delta)$  is nef and log big on  $(X, \Delta)$  for some  $a \in \mathbf{N}$ . Then  $Bs|mL| = \emptyset$  for every  $m \gg 0$ .*

In the case in which  $(X, \Delta)$  is klt, this is the standard Kawamata-Shokurov result (cf. [Ka84, Theorem 2.6]). While in the case in which  $(X, \Delta)$  is weakly Kawamata log terminal, the proof in [Fu2] of the statement needs the log minimal model program, which is still a conjecture in dimension  $\geq 4$  (the assumption that  $X$  is projective in [Fu2] is not necessary. it suffices to assume that  $X$  is complete). On the other hand, the statement was proved when  $X$  is non-singular and  $\Delta$  is a reduced divisor with only simple normal crossings in [Fu96] and when  $\dim X = 2$  in [Fu3]. We note that, if  $aL - (K_X + \Delta)$  is nef and big but not nef and log big on  $(X, \Delta)$ , there exists a counterexample due to Zariski (cf. [KMM, Remark 3-1-2]).

We shall prove the following result in this paper:

**Main Theorem.** *Assume that  $(X, \Delta)$  is weakly Kawamata log terminal (wklt) and that every irreducible component of  $[\Delta]$  is  $\mathbf{Q}$ -Cartier. Let  $L$  be a nef Cartier divisor such that  $aL - (K_X + \Delta)$  is nef and log big on  $(X, \Delta)$  for some  $a \in \mathbf{N}$ . Then  $Bs|mL| = \emptyset$  for every  $m \gg 0$ .*

This implies a kind of "log abundance theorem":

**Corollary.** *If  $(X, \Delta)$  is  $\mathbf{Q}$ -factorial weakly Kawamata log terminal and  $K_X + \Delta$  is nef and log big on  $(X, \Delta)$ , then  $Bs|mr(K_X + \Delta)| = \emptyset$  for every  $m \gg 0$ .*

We remark that, concerning the log abundance conjecture, the following facts are known:

(1) If  $(X, \Delta)$  is klt and  $K_X + \Delta$  is nef and big, then  $Bs|mr(K_X + \Delta)| = \emptyset$  for every  $m \gg 0$  (cf. [Ka84, Theorem 2.6]).

(2) If  $\dim X \leq 3$  and  $K_X + \Delta$  is nef, then  $K_X + \Delta$  is semi-ample ([Ka79], [Fuj], [Ka1], [Utah, 8.4], [KeMaMc]).

The theorem will be proved in Sect.2, by showing the following

**Key Lemma.** *If  $(X, \Delta)$  is wklt and every irreducible component  $S$  of  $[\Delta]$  is  $\mathbf{Q}$ -Cartier, then  $(S, \text{Diff}(\Delta - S))$  is wklt and every irreducible component of  $[\text{Diff}(\Delta - S)]$  is  $\mathbf{Q}$ -Cartier.*

## 1. Preliminaries

We collect some results that will be needed in the next section.

**Proposition 1 (Shokurov's Connectedness Lemma).** ([He, Lemma 2.2], [Ka2, Theorem 1.4], cf. [Sh, 5.7], [Utah, 17.4]) *Let  $W$  be a normal, complete algebraic variety over  $\mathbf{C}$ ,  $(W, \Gamma)$  a log variety which is log canonical and  $g : V \rightarrow W$  a log resolution of  $(W, \Gamma)$ . Then (the support of the effective part of  $[(g^*(K_W + \Gamma) - K_V)] \cap g^{-1}(s)$  is connected for every  $s \in W$ .*

**Proposition 2.** (cf. [Ka1, the proof of Lemma 3], [Ka84, Theorem 2.6]) *Assume that  $(X, \Delta)$  is wklt. Let  $L$  be a nef Cartier divisor such that  $aL - (K_X + \Delta)$  is nef and big for some  $a \in \mathbf{N}$ . If  $Bs|mL| \cap [\Delta] = \emptyset$  for every  $m \gg 0$ , then  $Bs|mL| = \emptyset$  for every  $m \gg 0$ .*

**Proposition 3.** ([Sz]) *If  $(X, \Delta)$  is divisorial log terminal, then  $(X, \Delta)$  is wklt.*

**Proposition 4 (Reid Type Vanishing).** (cf. [Fu1], [Fu2, Proposition 1]) *Assume that  $(X, \Delta)$  is wklt. Let  $D$  be a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -Cartier integral Weil divisor. If  $D - (K_X + \Delta)$  is nef and log big on  $(X, \Delta)$ , then  $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) = 0$  for every  $i > 0$ .*

## 2. Proof of Main Theorem

We simply sketch the proof of Main Theorem, since we proceed along the lines of that in [Fu2].

Let  $S$  be an irreducible component of  $[\Delta]$ . From [Utah, 17.5] (cf. [Sh, 3.8]),  $S$  is normal.

Let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be a log resolution of  $(X, \Delta)$  such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1)  $\text{Exc}(f)$  consists of divisors,
- (2)  $K_Y + f_*^{-1}\Delta + F = f^*(K_X + \Delta) + E$ ,
- (3)  $E$  and  $F$  are  $f$ -exceptional effective  $\mathbf{Q}$ -divisors such that  $\text{Supp}(E)$  and  $\text{Supp}(F)$  do not have common irreducible components,
- (4)  $[E] = 0$ .

**Claim 1.** ([Sh, p.99]) *For any member  $G \in \mathbf{Strata}(f_*^{-1}[\Delta])$ ,  $\text{Exc}(f)$  does not include  $G$ .*

We put  $S_0 := f_*^{-1}S$  and  $\text{Diff}(\Delta - S) := (f|_{S_0})_*(f^*(K_X + \Delta)|_{S_0} - (K_Y + S_0)|_{S_0})$ .  
We note that  $(K_X + \Delta)|_S = K_S + \text{Diff}(\Delta - S)$ .

**Key Lemma.**  *$(S, \text{Diff}(\Delta - S))$  is wklt and every irreducible component of  $\lfloor \text{Diff}(\Delta - S) \rfloor$  is  $\mathbf{Q}$ -Cartier.*

*Proof.* By the Subadjunction Lemma ([Sh, 3.2.2], cf. [KMM, Lemma 5-1-9]),  $\text{Diff}(\Delta - S) \geq 0$ . Here  $\lfloor \text{Diff}(\Delta - S) \rfloor = (f|_{S_0})_*((f_*^{-1}[\Delta - S])|_{S_0})$ . From [Sh, 3.2.3] and Proposition 3 or from [Ka1, Lemma 4],  $(S, \text{Diff}(\Delta - S))$  is wklt.

Let  $D$  be an irreducible component of  $\lfloor \Delta - S \rfloor$ . For  $x \in D \cap S$ , there exist  $y_1 \in f_*^{-1}D$  and  $y_2 \in S_0$  such that  $f(y_1) = f(y_2) = x$ . Applying Proposition 1 to  $(X, \{\Delta\} + S + D)$  and  $f$ , we obtain  $y_3 \in f_*^{-1}D \cap S_0$  such that  $f(y_3) = x$ . Thus  $(f|_{S_0})_*(f_*^{-1}D|_{S_0}) = \text{Supp}(D|_S)$  by Claim 1.

We put  $\text{Diff}(\{\Delta\} + D) := (f|_{S_0})_*(f^*(K_X + S + \{\Delta\} + D)|_{S_0} - (K_Y + S_0)|_{S_0})$ .

We note that  $(K_X + S + \{\Delta\} + D)|_S = K_S + \text{Diff}(\{\Delta\} + D)$ .

Here  $\text{Diff}(\{\Delta\} + D) \geq 0$  from [Sh, 3.2.2] (cf. [KMM, Lemma 5-1-9]) and  $\lfloor \text{Diff}(\{\Delta\} + D) \rfloor = (f|_{S_0})_*(f_*^{-1}D|_{S_0})$ . Applying Proposition 1 to  $(S, \text{Diff}(\{\Delta\} + D))$  and  $f|_{S_0}$ , from the fact that every connected component of  $f_*^{-1}D|_{S_0}$  is irreducible, we deduce that every connected component of  $(f|_{S_0})_*(f_*^{-1}D|_{S_0})$  is irreducible. As a result every irreducible component of  $(f|_{S_0})_*(f_*^{-1}D|_{S_0})$  is  $\mathbf{Q}$ -Cartier, because  $D|_S$  is  $\mathbf{Q}$ -Cartier.  $\square$

**Claim 2.**  *$aL|_S - (K_S + \text{Diff}(\Delta - S))$  is nef and log big on  $(S, \text{Diff}(\Delta - S))$ .*

*Proof.* The assertion follows from the fact that  $\lfloor \text{Diff}(\Delta - S) \rfloor = (f|_{S_0})_*((f_*^{-1}[\Delta - S])|_{S_0})$ .  $\square$

**Claim 3.**  *$|mL|_S = |mL|_S|$  for  $m \geq a$ .*

*Proof.* We note that  $mL - S$  is a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -Cartier integral divisor,  $(X, \Delta - S)$  is wklt and  $mL - S - (K_X + \Delta - S)$  is nef and log big on  $(X, \Delta - S)$ . Thus  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mL - S)) = 0$  from Proposition 4.  $\square$

We complete the proof of the theorem by induction on  $\dim X$ .

By Key Lemma and Claim 2 and by induction hypothesis,  $\text{Bs}|mL|_S| = \emptyset$  for  $m \gg 0$ . Thus, by Claim 3,  $\text{Bs}|mL| \cap \lfloor \Delta \rfloor = \emptyset$  for every  $m \gg 0$ . Consequently Proposition 2 implies the assertion.

## REFERENCES

- [Fuj] T. Fujita, *Fractionally logarithmic canonical rings of algebraic surfaces*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **30** (1984), 685–696.
- [Fu96] S. Fukuda, *On base point free theorem*, Kodai Math. J. **19** (1996), 191–199.
- [Fu1] S. Fukuda, *A generalization of the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem after Reid*, Commun. Algebra **24** (1996), 3265–3268.
- [Fu2] S. Fukuda, *A base point free theorem of Reid type*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **4** (1997), 621–625.
- [Fu3] S. Fukuda, *A base point free theorem for log canonical surfaces*, Osaka J. Math., (preprint alg-geom/9705005) (to appear).
- [He] S. Helmke, *On Fujita’s conjecture*, Duke Math. J. **88** (1997), 201–216.
- [Ka79] Y. Kawamata, *On the classification of non-complete algebraic surfaces*, Lect. Notes Math. **722** (1979), 215–222.

- [Ka84] Y. Kawamata, *The cone of curves of algebraic varieties*, Ann. Math. **119** (1984), 603–633.
- [Ka1] Y. Kawamata, *Log canonical models of algebraic 3-folds*, Internat. J. Math. **3** (1992), 351–357.
- [Ka2] Y. Kawamata, *On Fujita’s freeness conjecture for 3-folds and 4-folds*, Math. Ann. **308** (1997), 491–505.
- [KMM] Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda and K. Matsuki, *Introduction to the minimal model problem*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **10** (1987), 283–360.
- [KeMaMc] S. Keel, K. Matsuki and J. McKernan, *Log abundance theorem for threefolds*, Duke Math. J. **75** (1994), 99–119.
- [Utah] J. Kollár et.al., *Flips and abundance for algebraic threefolds*, Astérisque **211** (1992).
- [Rd] M. Reid, *Commentary by M. Reid (§10 of Shokurov’s paper “3-fold log-flips”)*, Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math. **40** (1993), 195–200.
- [Sh] V. V. Shokurov, *3-fold log-flips*, Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math. **40** (1993), 95–202.
- [Sz] E. Szabó, *Divisorial log terminal singularities*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **1** (1994), 631–639.