## New calculation schemes for proton-deuteron scattering including the Coulomb interaction

A Deltuva<sup>1,\*</sup> A C Fonseca<sup>1</sup> and P U Sauer<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centro de Física Nuclear da Universidade de Lisboa, P-1649-003 Lisboa, Portugal

<sup>2</sup>Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Hannover, D-30167 Hannover, Germany

(Received February 9, 2006)

The Coulomb interaction between the protons is included in the description of proton-deuteron scattering using the screening and renormalization approach in the framework of momentum-space integral equations. Two new calculational schemes are presented that confirm the reliability of the perturbative approach for treating the screened Coulomb interaction in high partial waves, used by us in earlier works.

PACS numbers: 21.30.-x, 21.45.+v, 24.70.+s, 25.10.+s

we included the Coulomb interac In Refs 1 tion between the protons in the description of proton deuteron (pd scattering and of three nucleon electromag)netic (e m reactions involving <sup>3</sup>He The description is based on the Alt Grassberger Sandhas (AGS equa in momentum space The Coulomb potential is tions screened standard scattering theory is applicable and the resulting scattering amplitudes are corrected by the renormalization technique of Refs 4 5 to recover the The special choice of the screened unscreened limit Coulomb potential  $w_R = w e^{-(r/R)^n}$  n = 4 being opti mal approximates well the true Coulomb one w for dis tances r smaller than the screening radius R and simulta neously vanishes rapidly for r > R; rather modest values of R are su cient in order to obtain results that are well converged <sup>4</sup> However R is considerably larger than the range of the strong interaction As a consequence the calculation of the three particle transition operators for nuclear plus screened Coulomb potentials requires the in clusion of partial waves with angular momentum much higher than required for the hadronic potential alone In our previous calculations  $\checkmark$  the perturbation theory for high two particle partial waves developed in Ref ~6the perturbation theory was used and found to be a very e cient and reliable technical tool for treating the screened Coulomb interac tion in those high partial waves The reliability was es tablished by the stability of the results when varying the dividing line between partial waves included exactly and perturbatively In this report we present two alternative calculational schemes that solve pd scattering equations without recourse to the perturbative approach of Ref 6 This will enable us to demonstrate *directly* the reliability of the method for the treatment of the screened Coulomb interaction in high partial waves used in Refs  $\sim$ 

The rst calculational scheme much like the perturba tive approach of Refs  $\downarrow$  is based on the isospin for malism for three nucleons and on the symmetrized AGS equations

with  $G_0(Z)$  being the free resolvent P the sum of the two cyclic permutation operators  $T^{(R)}(Z)$  the two particle transition matrix derived from nuclear plus screened Coulomb potentials and  $U^{(R)}(Z)$  and  $U^{(R)}_0(Z)$  the three particle transition matrices for elastic and breakup scat tering; their dependence on the screening radius R is notationally indicated The AGS equations are solved as they stand without any perturbative feature In the practical realization of the solution ie in calculating the Neumann series for the on shell matrix elements of the operators  $U^{(R)}(Z \text{ and } T^{(R)}(Z G_0(Z U^{(R)}(Z \text{ and in}$ summing by the Padé method the most time consuming part is the action of the permutation operator P How ever in two particle partial waves with su ciently high total angular momentum  $I > I_N$  the hadronic interac tion can safely be neglected and the two particle transi tion matrix  $T^{(R)}(Z)$  becomes the two particle screened Coulomb transition matrix  $T_R(Z)$  which has a nonva nishing contribution only from the proton proton (pp)interaction Using the isospin factors of  $T_R(Z)$  and of P and including the three nucleon total isospin  $\mathcal{T} = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\mathcal{T} = \frac{3}{2}$  states it can be shown that

$$T_R(Z \ G_0(Z \ PT_R(Z = ,$$

and that general combination of operators is the ba sic computational building block when calculating the Neumann series for  $U^{(R)}(Z \text{ and } T^{(R)}(Z G_0(Z U^{(R)}(Z Thus the contribution of the P matrix elements between$  $states with <math>I > I_N$  is zero and does not have to be calculated numerically Since typically  $I_N$  is 4 to 6 and for the screened Coulomb interaction states up to  $I = \mathcal{I}$  or even  $\mathcal{I}$  have to be included this yields a huge saving of computer resources Compared to our previous calculations based on perturbation theory the required computing time increases only by a factor of to 4; the correspond

<sup>\*</sup>Electronic address: deltuva@cii.fc.ul.pt

ing calculations can still be done on a PC  $\,$  much like the ones of Refs  $\,$ 

The second calculational scheme considers protons and neutrons as nonidentical particles The identity of the two protons is taken into account by using properly anti symmetrized initial and nal channel states The transi tion operators for elastic rearrangement scattering and breakup are obtained from standard nonsymmetrized AGS equations

with  $\bar{\delta}_{\beta\alpha} = -\delta_{\beta\alpha}$  The AGS equations are solved as they stand without any perturbative feature Here the most time consuming part of calculations is the trans formation from the basis where particle  $\sigma$  is a spectator to the basis where particle  $\beta$  is the spectator which is closely related to the permutation operator P of the rst approach However out of the three two nucleon transition matrices  $T_{\sigma}^{(R)}(Z)$  only the one corresponding to a neutron spectator and a pp pair has high angular momentum  $I > I_N$  components due to the screened Coulomb interaction. Therefore in the transformation of bases the high partial waves with  $I > I_N$  will only be coupled to low partial waves with  $I \leq I_N$ . The end ciency of this calculational scheme is nearly the same as of the rst one

The , rst calculational scheme is extended to include the  $\Delta$  isobar excitation 7 as a mechanism for mediat ing an e ective three nucleon force In principle a cor responding extension could be done also for the second scheme However we refrain from doing so in this report: Here we are only interested in the technical proof that perturbation theory is highly reliable; furthermore for the observables at the energies considered the  $\Delta$  isobar e ects are insigni $\$  cant  $\$  We therefore present only re sults derived from the purely nucleonic charge dependent (CD Bonn potential 8 Using the two new calculational schemes we recalculated results of Refs  $\sim$ In all cases we found excellent agreement thereby con, rming the re liability of the perturbative approach of Refs  $\sim$ for the Coulomb interaction in high partial waves The examples

for elastic pd scattering at MeV proton lab energy and pd breakup at  $\downarrow$  MeV deuteron lab energy are shown in Figs  $\downarrow$  and

In summary we presented two new calculational schemes for treating the screened Coulomb interaction in high partial waves without recourse to perturbative approach of Ref 6 used by us in previous works A per fect agreement between old and new results congress the reliability of the method used in Refs

A D is supported by the FCT grant SFRH BPD  $_{4}48$  A C F in part by the FCT grant POCTI ISFL 75 and P U S in part by the DFG grant Sa 47 5



FIG. 1: Nucleon analyzing power  $A_y(N)$  and deuteron analyzing power  $T_{21}$  for pd elastic scattering at 3 MeV proton lab energy as functions of the c.m. scattering angle. The results of the two new calculational schemes without perturbation theory are graphically indistinguishable and are therefore represented by one curve only, the thin solid one; they are compared with the results obtained using perturbative approach of Refs. [1, 2] (thick dotted curves). Results without Coulomb (dashed curves) are given as reference for the size of the Coulomb effect.

- A. Deltuva, A. C. Fonseca, and P. U. Sauer, Phys. Rev. C 71, 054005 (2005).
- [2] A. Deltuva, A. C. Fonseca, and P. U. Sauer, Phys. Rev. C 72, 054004 (2005).
- [3] E. O. Alt, P. Grassberger, and W. Sandhas, Nucl. Phys. B2, 167 (1967).
- [4] J. R. Taylor, Nuovo Cimento B23, 313 (1974); M. D. Semon and J. R. Taylor, *ibid.* A26, 48 (1975).
- [5] E. O. Alt, W. Sandhas, and H. Ziegelmann, Phys. Rev. C

**17**, 1981 (1978); E. O. Alt and W. Sandhas, *ibid.* **21**, 1733 (1980).

- [6] A. Deltuva, K. Chmielewski, and P. U. Sauer, Phys. Rev. C 67, 054004 (2003).
- [7] A. Deltuva, R. Machleidt, and P. U. Sauer, Phys. Rev. C 68, 024005 (2003).
- [8] R. Machleidt, Phys. Rev. C 63, 024001 (2001).



FIG. 2: Differential cross section and deuteron analyzing power  $A_{xx}$  for pd breakup at 130 MeV deuteron lab energy in the kinematical configuration  $(15^{\circ}, 15^{\circ}, 160^{\circ})$  are shown as functions of the arclength S along the kinematical curve. Curves as in Fig. 1.