BOUNDS ON SOBOLEV NORMS FOR THE NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION ON GENERAL TORI

F. CATOIRE AND W.-M. WANG

Abstract. We prove Strichartz estimates on general flat d-torus for arbitrary d. Using these estimates, we prove local wellposedness for the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equations in appropriate Sobolev spaces. In dimensions 2 and 3, we prove polynomial bounds on the possible growth of Sobolev norms of smooth solutions.

1. Introduction

We consider the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLSE) on the general flat d-torus $\mathbb{T}^d$:

$$\begin{aligned} i\partial_t u + \Delta u &= |u|^2 u, \\ u(0,x) &= u_0(x), \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where

$$\mathbb{T}^d := \mathbb{R}^d / \prod_{j=1}^{d} \alpha_j \mathbb{Z},\ 1/2 \le \alpha_j \le 2\,, j = 1, ..., d,$$

and u the solution to the Cauchy problem (1) is a complex valued function on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^d$. The L^2 norm of u is conserved. If $u_0 \in H^1$, then $\|u\|_{H_1}$ is uniformly bounded in time.

We say that the Cauchy problem is *uniformly (smoothly) wellposed* in H^s when, for any $R > 0$, there exists $T > 0$ and a Banach space X_T embedded in $\mathcal{C}^0([0,T], H^s)$ such that :

- for any $u_0 \in H^s$ such that $\|u_0\|_{H^s} \le R$, (1) has a unique solution $u \in H^s$
- if $u_0 \in H^{s'}$ with $s' > s$ then $u \in \mathcal{C}^0([0,T], H^{s'})$
- the map $u_0 \mapsto u$ is uniformly continuous ($\mathcal{C}^\infty$).

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35xx, 42xx.

Key words and phrases. nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Strichartz estimates, Sobolev norms.

We thank P. Gérard and N. Burq for informative conversations. W.-M. Wang also thanks J. Bourgain and L. Clozel for helpful discussions.

In this paper, we prove the following two results.

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathbb{T}^d$ be a general d-torus. Then the cubic Schrödinger equation (1) is locally well posed in H^s for any s satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} s &> \frac{1}{3}, && d = 2, \\ &> \frac{d}{2} - \frac{d}{d+1}, && d \geq 3,\ d \text{ odd}, \\ &> \frac{d}{2} - 1, && d \geq 4,\ d \text{ even}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2. *For $d \leq 3$, the cubic Schrödinger equation (1) is globally well posed in H^s for $s \geq 1$. Moreover if $u_0 \in H^s$ $(s \geq 1)$, then*

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^s} \lesssim t^{A(s-1)},$$

for every A such that

$$\begin{aligned} A &> \frac{3}{2}, && d = 2, \\ &> \frac{15}{2}, && d = 3. \end{aligned}$$

The main ingredient for the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 is an L^4 Strichartz estimates on the Schrödinger semi-group (Proposition 1). By Fourier series, this is reduced to asymptotic estimates on the number of integer points in an elliptic annulus (Lemma 1). This line of research was initiated by Bourgain in the series of papers [Bou1-4]. Here we work out the case of general d-torus for arbitrary d, where no number theoretical assumptions are made on the ratios of the α_j.

When $d = 2$, we use the geometric argument of Janick [J] to obtain the exponent $s_0 = 1/3$ in Theorem 1 for local wellposedness. We note that the generic estimate for compact 2-manifolds is $s_0 = 1/2$ from [BGT1]. Here it is important to remark that the improvement comes from the strict convexity of the level sets (elliptic annulus). Otherwise the length of the boundary over the real and the integers are of the same order giving $s_0 = 1/2$. For example, for the circular disc of area πR, R^{s_0} is precisely the error term in counting the number of integers in the disc. Viewing the problem this way, a natural lower bound for s_0 would be $1/4$ from [CdV], while the best upper bound obtained so far is $7/22$ [Bom].

When $d \geq 3$, our argument mainly uses analysis. (The argument also applies to $d = 2$, but it only gives $s_0 = 1/2$.) When $d = 3$, we obtain $s_0 = 3/4$. In [Bou4], using a more involved analysis, this is improved to $s_0 = 2/3$.

Once we have established the Strichartz estimates, the proof proceeds via the routine of $X^{s,b}$ spaces:

Definition 1.

$$X^{s,b} := \{u \in \mathcal{S}' \text{ so that } \|u\|_{X^{s,b}} < \infty\}$$

where

$$\|u\|_{X^{s,b}} := \|e^{-it\Delta}u(t,.)\|_{H^b(H^s)} = \|(1+|i\partial_t + \Delta|)^{b/2}(1-\Delta)^{s/2}(u)\|_{L^2}.$$

We denote $X^{s,b}_T$ the set of restrictions of these functions to $[0,T]$ with its norm :

$$\|u\|_{X^{s,b}} := \inf(\|v\|_{X^{s,b}}\ ,\ v \text{ such that } v_{|[0,T]} = u).$$

The organization of the paper is as follows: in section 2, we prove the Strichartz estimates, in section 3, local well-posedness and finally in section 4, we prove bounds on Sobolev norms in dimensions 2 and 3 for smooth initial data.

2. STRICHARTZ ESTIMATES FOR GENERAL TORI

Proposition 1. *If $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ whose spectrum lies in $[-N,N]^d$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{it\Delta}f\|_{L^4_tL^4_x} &\lesssim N^{\frac{1}{3}}\|f\|^2_{L^2}, && d=2,\\ &\lesssim N^{\frac{d}{2}-\frac{d}{d+1}+\epsilon}\|f\|^2_{L^2}, && d\geq 3,\ d \text{ odd},\\ &\lesssim N^{\frac{d}{2}-1+\epsilon}\|f\|^2_{L^2}, && d\geq 4,\ d \text{ even}, \end{aligned}$$

where L^4_t denotes L^4_t(loc) and $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrarily small.

The proof of the proposition reduces to estimates on the (near) degeneracy factor. Precisely,

Lemma 1. *If $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ whose spectrum lies in $[-N,N]^d$, then*

$$\|e^{it\Delta}f\|_{L^4_tL^4_x} \lesssim \|\#A_\ell\|^{1/2}_\infty \|f\|^2_{L^2},$$

where $A_\ell = \{m \in [-N,N]^d \mid |Q(m) - \ell| \leq 1\}$ and $\#A_\ell$ is the cardinal number of A_ℓ.

We first prove a simple lemma on almost orthogonality.

Lemma 2. *Let H be a Hilbert space and $(H_k)_{k\in[-N,N]^d}$ subspaces of H. Suppose that for any l and m, if $h_\ell \in H_\ell$ and $h_m \in H_m$ then*

$$\langle h_\ell | h_m \rangle \leq \frac{\|h_\ell\|\|h_m\|}{1+|\ell - m|^2}.$$

Then for any sequence $(h_k) \in \prod_k H_k$,

$$\|\sum_k h_k\| \leq C \sum_k \|h_k\|.$$

Proof. let $e_k = h_k/\|h_k\|$ and

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \phi : \ell^2 & \to & H, \\ (c_k) & \mapsto & \sum_k c_k e_k. \end{array}$$

Then, $|||\phi|||^2{}_{\ell^2 \to H} = |||{}^t\phi\phi|||_{\ell^2 \to \ell^2}$. Recall that $\psi = {}^t\phi\phi$ is associated to the matrix $(\langle e_\ell | e_m \rangle)_{\ell,m}$. We have assumed that

$$\max_\ell \sum_m |\psi_{\ell,m}| \leq C < \infty$$

and

$$\max_m \sum_\ell |\psi_{\ell,m}| \leq C < \infty.$$

Hence by Schur's lemma :

$$|||{}^t\phi\phi|||_{\ell^2 \to \ell^2} \leq C,$$

where C is a constant that does not depend on the choice of h_k, so the proof is complete. □

We now prove Lemma 1:

Proof.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \|e^{it\Delta} f\|_{L_t^4 L_x^4} & = & \|(e^{it\Delta} f)\|_{L_t^{p/2} L_x^2} \\ & = & \|(\sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\sum_n \hat{f}(n)\hat{f}(a-n)e^{it(Q(n)+Q(a-n))}|)^{1/2}\|_{L_t^2} \\ & \leq & (\sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \|\sum_n \hat{f}(n)\hat{f}(a-n)e^{it(Q(n)+Q(a-n))}\|_{L_t^2})^{1/2}. \quad (2) \end{array}$$

In order to compute efficiently, we shall decompose:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} g_a(t) & = & \sum_n \hat{f}(n)\hat{f}(a-n)e^{it(Q(n)+Q(a-n))} \\ & = & \sum_k \sum_{|Q(n)+Q(a-n)-k| \leq 1/2} \hat{f}(n)\hat{f}(a-n)e^{it(Q(n)+Q(a-n))}. \end{array}$$

Then according to Lemma 2 and let $c_n = |\hat{f}(n)|$, one has :

$$\|g_a\|_{L^2} \lesssim \| \sum_{|Q(n)+Q(a-n)-k|\leq 1/2} c_n c_{a-n} \|_{\ell^2_k}$$

Let $Q(n) + Q(a-n) = 2(Q(2n-a) + Q(a))$, $\ell = 2k - Q(a)$ and $A_\ell = \{m \in [-N,N]^d$ such that $|Q(m) - \ell| \leq 1\}$, the condition $|Q(n) + Q(a-n) - k| \leq 1/2$ becomes $2n \in A_\ell$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|g_a\|_{L^2} &\lesssim (\sum_\ell (\sum_{2n\in A_\ell} c_n c_{a-n})^2)^{1/2} \\
&\lesssim (\sum_\ell \#A_\ell (\sum_{2n\in A_l} c_n c_{a-n}))^{1/2} \\
&\lesssim (\sup_\ell \#A_\ell)^{1/2} (\sum_n c_n c_{a-n})^{1/2}
\end{aligned}$$

using Cauchy-Schwarz. Inserting this in (2), we obtain Lemma 1. □

(i) Estimates on $\|\#A_\ell\|_\infty$ for $d \geq 3$.

Lemma 3.

$$\|\#A_\ell\|_\infty \lesssim N^{2s_0},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
s_0 &= \frac{d}{2} - \frac{d}{d+1} + \epsilon, \qquad d \geq 3,\ d \text{ odd}, \\
&= \frac{d}{2} - 1 + \epsilon, \qquad\quad d \geq 4,\ d \text{ even},
\end{aligned}$$

and $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrarily small.

Proof. Let ϕ be a function of fast decay at infinity so that $\hat{\phi}(\tau) \geq 0$ and $\hat{\phi}(\tau) \geq 1$ on $[-1,1]$. We have therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\#A_\ell &\lesssim \sum_m \hat{\phi}(Q(m) - \ell) \\
&= \int \sum_m e^{itQ(m)} e^{-i\ell t} \phi(t) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|\#A_\ell\|_{\ell_\ell^{\frac{p}{p-4}}} \lesssim (\int |\sum_m e^{itQ(m)}|^{p/4} |\phi(t)| dt)^{4/p} \quad (4 \leq p \leq 8),$$

which is obvious when $p = 4$ and can be shown by almost-orthogonality when $p = 8$. By interpolation, the previous inequality holds for any $4 \leq p \leq 8$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\#A_\ell\|_{\ell_\ell^{\frac{p}{p-4}}} &\lesssim (\int|\sum_m e^{itQ(m)}|^{p/4}|\phi(t)|dt)^{4/p}\\
&\lesssim (\int|\sum_m e^{itQ(m)}|^{p/4}|\phi(t)|dt)^{4/p}\\
&\lesssim (\int|\sum_{m_1} e^{it\theta_1 m_1}|^{p/4}...|\sum_{m_d} e^{it\theta_2 m_3}|^{p/4}|\phi(t)|dt)^{4/p}\\
&\lesssim (\int|\sum_{-N\le k\le N} e^{itk}|^{dp/4}|\phi(t)|dt)^{4/p} \quad (4\le p\le 8).
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose d is even and write it as $2d'$. Let $p=4$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\#A_\ell\|_{\ell^\infty} &\lesssim \int|\sum_{-N\le k\le N} e^{itk}|^{2d'}|\phi(t)|dt\\
&\lesssim \int|\sum_{-N\le k_1,...,k_d\le N} e^{it(k_1+...+k_{d'}^2)}|^2|\phi(t)|dt\\
&\lesssim \int|\sum_{m\le N^2} r_{d'}(m)e^{itm}||\phi(t)|dt,
\end{aligned}$$

where $r_{d'}(m) = \#(k_1,...,k_{d'})\in\mathbb{Z}^{d'}$ so that $m=k_1+...+k_{d'}^2$. Since $r_{d'}(m)\lesssim m^{(d-2)/2+\varepsilon}$ for $d\ge 4$, we obtain the lemma for even d.

For odd d, $d\ge 3$, take $p=4\frac{d+1}{d}$ and write $d+1=2d'$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\#A_\ell\|_{\ell^\infty} &\le \|\#A_\ell\|_{\ell^{d+1}}\\
&\lesssim (\int|\sum_{-N\le k\le N} e^{itk}|^{d+1}|\phi(t)|dt)^{\frac{d}{d+1}}\\
&\lesssim (\int|\sum_{-N\le k_1,...,k_d\le N} e^{it(k_1+...+k_{d'}^2)}|^2|\phi(t)|dt)^{\frac{d}{d+1}}\\
&\lesssim (\int|\sum_{m\le N^2} r_{d'}(m)e^{itm}||\phi(t)|dt)^{\frac{d}{d+1}},
\end{aligned}$$

which gives the lemma for odd d. □

The argument above gives $s_0=1/2$ for $d=2$, which is the generic bound for compact 2-manifolds proven in [BGT1]. In the present case, using convexity and Janick's [J] geometric proof, we improve the bound to $s_0=1/3$. The Janick argument works in arbitrary d. For us, it is only useful for $d=2$.

(ii) Estimates on $\|\#A_\ell\|_\infty$ for $d=2$.

Lemma 4. *Assume Σ_1 is a closed stritctly convex hyper-surface in $\mathbb{R}^d$ containing 0 in its convex envelope so the curvature is strictly positive. Suppose for all $X \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$, Σ_X is the image of Σ_1 by homothety of center 0 and scale $X^{1/2}$. For any $d+1$ non coplanar integer points in the annulus formed by Σ_X and Σ_{X+1}, the largest pairwise distance is at least $CX^{\frac{1}{2(d+1)}}$, where C only depends on the curvature of Σ_1 and d.*

Corollary 1. *For $d=2$*

$$\|\#A_\ell\|_\infty \lesssim N^{2/3}.$$

Proof. For $d=2$, Lemma 4 gives that the largest distance among 3 non colinear points $\gtrsim N^{1/3}$. Since the number of colinear points in the elliptic annulus is finite (uniform in N), this proves the corollary. □

Proof of Proposition 1. Inserting Lemma 3 and Corollary 1 into Lemma 1 gives Proposition 1. □

We now prove Lemma 4.

Proof. We first suppose that these $d+1$ non coplanar points: A_1, ..., A_{d+1} are all on the hyper-surface Σ_X. We can always assume that the largest distance between pars of points is less than $X^{1/2}$. Since Σ_X is strictly convex, the polyhedron $A_1 ... A_{d+1}$ is not flat and its volume $1/d * |\det(\overrightarrow{A_1A_2}, ..., \overrightarrow{A_1A_{d+1}})|$ is at least $1/d$. Let A_1', ... , A_{d+1}' be the homothetics respectively of A_1, ... , A_{d+1} according to the scale $X^{-1/2}$ and D the largest pairwise distance of these points. The volume of the polyhedron $A_1' ... A_{d+1}'$ is of order $X^{-d/2}/d$. ($D<1$, in view of the restriction on the pairwise distance of points of $A_1, ..., A_{d+1}$.)

Suppose we are in a coordinate system such that A_1' maximises the abscissa, then the difference in the ordinates is less than D and the absisse D^2. So

$$X^{-d/2}/d \lesssim D^{d+1}$$

Hence $D \gtrsim X^{-d/(2(d+1))}$ and the largest pairwise distance of A_j is of order $X^{1/2} * X^{-d/(2(d+1))} = X^{1/(2(d+1))}$.

We now only assume that the A_j are non coplanar (and that the largest pairwise distance is less than $X^{1/2}$). The volume of the polyhedron $A_1 ... A_{d+1}$ is again at least $1/d$. Project the $d+1$ points A_j onto Γ_X and name them respectively as $A_j^\#$ (i.e. $A_j^\# = [O, A_j] \cap \Sigma_X$). We remark that $A_jA_j^\# \lesssim X^{-1/2}$, which can be seen as follows. Let O be the origin, then $OA_j = OA_j^\# + A_j^\# Aj$, $OA_j^\# = OA_j' * X^{1/2}$ and $OA_j \leq OA_J' * (X+1)^{1/2}$. So $A_jA_j^\# \leq OA_1((X+1)^{1/2} - X^{1/2})$, which gives $A_jA_j^{\#} \leq rX^{-1/2}$, where r is the distance between the origin and Γ_1.

So the volume of the polyhedron $A_1^{\#}...A_{d+1}^{\#}$ is at least $1/2d$. As before, let D be the largest pairwise distance of the homothetics A'_j of $A_j^{\#}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\overrightarrow{A_1A_2}, ..., \overrightarrow{A_1A_{d+1}}) - \det(\overrightarrow{A_1^{\#}A_2^{\#}}, ..., \overrightarrow{A_1^{\#}A_{d+1}^{\#}}) = \\ \det(\overrightarrow{A_1A_2}, \overrightarrow{A_{d+1}A_{d+1}^{\#}}) - \det(\overrightarrow{A_1A_2}, \overrightarrow{A_1A_1^{\#}}) \\ +... \\ + \det(\overrightarrow{A_2A_2^{\#}}, \overrightarrow{A_1^{\#}A_{d+1}^{\#}}) - \det(\overrightarrow{A_1A_1^{\#}}, \overrightarrow{A_1^{\#}A_{d+1}^{\#}}) \\ \leq dD * X^{-1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that the difference in volume is $o(1)$ since $D < X^{1/2}$. This concludes the proof using the previous argument on the hypersurface. $\square$

3. Local wellposedness

Proposition 2. *If* f_1 *and* $f_2 \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ *whose spectra lie in* $[-N_1, N_1]^d$ *and* $[-N_2, N_2]^d$, *then*

$$\|e^{it\Delta}f_1e^{it\Delta}f_2\|_{L_t^2L_x^2} \lesssim \min(N_1, N_2)^{s_0}\|f_1\|_{L^2}\|f_2\|_{L^2}, \tag{3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} s_0 &= \frac{1}{3}, & d = 2, \\ &= \frac{d}{2} - \frac{d}{d+1} + \epsilon, & d \geq 3,\ d\ \textit{odd}, \\ &= \frac{d}{2} - 1 + \epsilon, & d \geq 4,\ d\ \textit{even}, \end{aligned}$$

and $\epsilon > 0$ *is arbitrarily small.*

Proof. Suppose $N_1 \leq N_2$. It is easy to show that Proposition 1 holds more generally for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ whose spectrum lies in $a + [-N, N]^d$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and arbitrary. Let us decompose f_2 :

$$f_2 = \sum_i f_2^{(i)} = \sum_i \mathbf{1}_{iN_1 \leq (-\Delta)^{1/2} \leq (i+1)N_1} f_2.$$

Then using almost orthogonality and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|e^{it\Delta}f_1e^{it\Delta}f_2\|_{L_t^2L_x^2} &= \|\sum_i e^{it\Delta}f_1e^{it\Delta}f_2^{(i)}\|_{L_t^2L_x^2} \\
&\lesssim (\sum_i \|e^{it\Delta}f_1e^{it\Delta}f_2^{(i)}\|_{L_t^2L_x^2})^{1/2} \\
&\lesssim (\sum_i \|e^{it\Delta}f_1\|_{L_t^4L_x^4}\|e^{it\Delta}f_2\|_{L_t^4L_x^4})^{1/2} \\
&\lesssim N_1^{s_0}\|f_1\|_{L^2}\sum_i \|f_2^{(i)}\|_{L^2})^{1/2} \\
&\lesssim N_1^{s_0}\|f_1\|_{L^2}\|f_2\|_{L^2}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3. *Let (M,g) be a compact Riemannian $d-$manifold. Assume (3) holds with $0 \le s_0 < 1$ for any f_1, $f_2 \in L^2(M)$ with spectra in $[-N_1,N_1]^d$, $[-N_2,N_2]^d$, then the NLSE is smoothly locally well posed in H^s for every $s > s_0$.*

Proof of Theorem 1. This follows directly from Propositions 2 and 3. □

The proof of Proposition 3 uses the $X^{s,b}$ spaces, see [Bou3, BGT2, Z]. For completeness, we reproduce the arguments. Below we assume the hypothesis in Proposition 3 holds.

Proposition 4. *If $f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 \in L^2(M)$ whose spectra lie respectively in $[N_1,2N_1]^d$, $[N_2,2N_2]^d$, $[N_3,2N_3]^d$, $[N_4,2N_4]^d$ and if χ is a function compactly supported in $\mathbb{R}$ then,*

$$\sup_{\tau\in\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_M \chi(t)e^{it\tau}u_1\overline{u_2}u_3\overline{u_4}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \lesssim m(N_1,N_2,N_3,N_4)^{s_0}\|f_1\|_{L^2}\|f_2\|_{L^2}\|f_3\|_{L^2}\|f_4\|_{L^2}, \tag{4}$$

where $u_j = e^{it\Delta}f_j$, $m(N_1,N_2,N_3,N_4)$ is the product of the two smallest N_j, $j = 1,...4$ and s_0 as in Proposition 3.

Proof. Suppose that $m(N_1,N_2,N_3,N_4) = N_1N_3$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{\tau\in\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_M \chi(t)e^{it\tau}u_1\overline{u_2}u_3\overline{u_4}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t &\lesssim \|u_1\overline{u_2}\|_{L^2}\|u_3\overline{u_4}\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim N_1^{s_0}\|f_1\|_{L^2}\|f_2\|_{L^2} * N_3^{s_0}\|f_3\|_{L^2}\|f_4\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim m(N_1,N_2,N_3,N_4)^{s_0}\|f_1\|_{L^2}\|f_2\|_{L^2}\|f_3\|_{L^2}\|f_4\|_{L^2}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 5. *Under the assumption (4), for every* $b > 1/2$ *and every* u_1, u_2, u_3, $u_4 \in X^{0,b}$ *whose spectra (relative to the space variable) lie respectively in* $[N_1, 2N_1]^d$, $[N_2, 2N_2]^d$, $[N_3, 2N_3]^d$, $[N_4, 2N_4]^d$, *the following holds:*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_M u_1 \overline{u_2} u_3 \overline{u_4} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t \lesssim m(N_1, N_2, N_3, N_4)^{s_0} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq 4} \|u_i\|_{X^{0,b}}. \tag{5}$$

Proof. Assume first that u_3 and u_4 are supported in $[0,1]$ in the time variable and that $\chi = 1$ in $[0,1]$. Let $u_j^* = e^{-it\Delta} \hat{u_j}$ and take the Fourier transformation in time, one has:

$$\begin{aligned}\big(u_1 \overline{u_2} u_3 \overline{u_4}\big)(t) \quad = \quad & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{it(\tau_1 - \tau_2 + \tau_3 - \tau_4)} e^{it\Delta} u_1^*(\tau_1) \overline{e^{it\Delta} u_2^*(\tau_2)} \\ & \qquad e^{it\Delta} u_3^*(\tau_3) \overline{e^{it\Delta} u_4^*(\tau_4)} \frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{(2\pi)^4}\end{aligned}$$

By Funini :

$$\begin{aligned} I \quad := \quad & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_M u_1 \overline{u_2} u_3 \overline{u_4} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t \\ = \quad & \int \dots \int \chi(t) e^{it(\tau_1 - \tau_2 + \tau_3 - \tau_4)} e^{it\Delta} u_1^*(\tau_1) \overline{e^{it\Delta} u_2^*(\tau_2)}) e^{it\Delta} u_3^*(\tau_3) \\ & \qquad \overline{e^{it\Delta} u_4^*(\tau_4)} \mathrm{d}t \mathrm{d}x \frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{(2\pi)^4}.\end{aligned}$$

The assumption (4) says that :

$$|I| \lesssim m(N_1, N_2, N_3, N_4)^{s_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \prod_j \|u_j\|_{L^2(M)}(\tau_j) \mathrm{d}\tau.$$

Since $(1 + \tau^2)^b$ is integrable, using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality one obtains (5).

In the general case, decomposing the support of u_3 and u_4 and using almost orthogonality, one proves (5). □

Lemma 6.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_M u_1 \overline{u_2} u_3 \overline{u_4} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t \lesssim m(N_1, \dots, N_4)^{d/2} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq 4} \|u_i\|_{X^{0,1/4}}$$

Proof. Suppose that $m(N_1, \dots, N_4) = N_1 N_3$, from Hölder and Sobolev inequalities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_M u_1\overline{u_2}u_3\overline{u_4}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t &\lesssim \|u_1\overline{u_2}\|_{L^2L^2}\|u_3\overline{u_4}\|_{L^2L^2} \\
&\leq C\|u_1\|_{L^4L^\infty}\|u_2\|_{L^4L^2}\|u_3\|_{L^4L^\infty}\|u_4\|_{L^4L^2} \\
&\leq C(N_1N_3)^{d/2}\|u_1\|_{L^4L^2}\|u_2\|_{L^4L^2}\|u_3\|_{L^4L^2}\|u_4\|_{L^4L^2} \\
&\leq C(N_1N_3)^{d/2}\prod_{1\leq i\leq 4}\|u_i\|_{X^{0,1/4}},
\end{aligned}
$$

using the embedding $X^{0,1/4}\subset L^4L^2$ (Sobolev injection in t applied to $e^{-it\Delta}u(t)$). □

Lemma 7. *For every $s > s_0$, there exists $b < 1/2$ such that (5) holds (with s replacing s_0).*

Proof. Decompose u_j as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_j &= \sum_{K_j} u_{j,K_j} \\
u_{j,k_j} &= \mathbf{1}_{K_j\leq 1+|i\partial_t+\Delta|\leq K_{j+1}}(u_j)
\end{aligned}
$$

where K_j are dyadic integers. Hence,

$$
\|u_j\|_{X^{0,b}} \simeq \sum_{K_j} K_j^{2b}\|u_{j,K_j}\|_{L^2} \simeq \sum_{K_j}\|u_{j,K_j}\|_{X^{0,b}}
$$

Then, if $b > 1/2$, the previous two lemme can be interpreted as

$$
\begin{aligned}
|I(u_1,u_2,u_3,u_4)| \leq\ & Cm(N_1,N_2,N_3,N_4)^{s_0} \\
& \sum_{K_1,K_2,K_3,K_4}(K_1K_2K_3K_4)^b\prod_j\|u_{j,K_j}\|_{L^2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
|I(u_1,u_2,u_3,u_4)| \leq\ & Cm(N_1,N_2,N_3,N_4)^{d/2} \\
& \sum_{K_1,K_2,K_3,K_4}(K_1K_2K_3K_4)^{1/4}\prod_j\|u_{j,k_j}\|_{L^2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence for $s > s_0$, one can choose b sufficiently close to $1/2$ and interpolate between the two inequalities to obtain :

$$
\begin{aligned}
|I(u_1,u_2,u_3,u_4)| \leq\ & Cm(N_1,N_2,N_3,N_4)^{s} \\
& \sum_{K_1,K_2,K_3,K_4}(K_1K_2K_3K_4)^b\prod_j\|u_{j,k_j}\|_{L^2}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $b < 1/2$. □

Lemma 8. *If b and b' are such that $0 \le b' < 1/2$ and $0 \le b+b' < 1$ and if $T \in [0,1]$, then there exists C such that if $w(t) = \int_0^t S(t-t')f(t')dt'$ then $\|w\|_{X_T^{s,b}} \le CT^{1-b-b'}\|f\|_{X_T^{s,-b'}}$.*

Proof. See [G] for a proof of this lemma □

Lemma 9. *If $s > s_0$ then there exist b and b' such that $0 < b' < 1/2 < b$ and $b + b' < 1$ so that :*

$$\|u_1\overline{u_2}u_3\|_{X_T^{s,-b'}} \lesssim \|u_1\|_{X_T^{s,b}}\|u_2\|_{X_T^{s,b}}\|u_3\|_{X_T^{s,b}}$$

To prove Lemma 9, we need the following inequality, (see [BGT2] for a proof).

Lemma 10. *Let P_λ ($\lambda \ge 0$) be the orthogonal projection onto $ker(-\Delta-\lambda^2)$. There exists $C > 0$ such that if $0 \le \lambda_j \le \lambda_4$ for $j = 1,2,3$ then for every $p > 0$, there exists C_p so that for every $w_j \in L^2(M)$*

$$\int_M P_{\lambda_1}(w_1)P_{\lambda_2}(w_2)P_{\lambda_3}(w_3)P_{\lambda_4}(w_4)dx \le \qquad C_p\lambda_4^{-p}\|w_1\|_{L^2}\|w_2\|_{L^2}\|w_3\|_{L^2}\|w_4\|_{L^2}.$$

Proof of Lemma 9. By a duality argument, one only needs to prove:

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}\times M} u_1\overline{u_2}u_3\overline{u_4}dx\ dt\right| \lesssim \|u_1\|_{X^{s,b}}\|u_2\|_{X^{s,b}}\|u_3\|_{X^{s,b}}\|u_4\|_{X^{-s,b'}}.$$

Decomposing the four function as :

$$\begin{aligned} u_j &= \sum_{N_j} u_{j,N_j} \\ u_{j,N_j} &= (1)_{\sqrt{1-\Delta}\in[N_j,2N_j]}(u_j) \end{aligned}$$

with N_j being dyadic integers. Then the integral can be writen as the sum of terms of the form:

$$J(N_1,N_2,N_3,N_4) = \int_{\mathbb{R}\times M} u_{1,N_1}\overline{u_{2,N_2}}u_{3,N_3}\overline{u_{4,N_4}}dx\ dt.$$

Without restrictions, suppose that $N_1 \le N_2 \le N_3$. Let s' be such that $s_0 < s' < s$. Lemma 7 gives $b' < 1/2$ so that

$$|J(N_1,N_2,N_3,N_4)| \lesssim (N_1N_2)^{s'}\|u_1\|_{X^{0,b'}}\|u_2\|_{X^{0,b'}}\|u_3\|_{X^{0,b'}}\|u_4\|_{X^{0,b'}}$$

Hence,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}\times M} u_1 \overline{u_2} u_3 \overline{u_4} dx\ dt \right| \lesssim$$

$$\sum_{N_j} (N_1N_2)^{(s'-s)} (\frac{N_4}{N_3})^s \prod_{j=1}^{3} \|u_{j,N_j}\|_{X^{s,b'}} \|u_{4,N_4}\|_{X^{-s,b'}}.$$

We distinguish two types of terms, the ones with $N_4 \leq CN_3$ and the others. For the first ones, we use Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to bound by :

$$(\sum_{N_j} (N_1N_2)^{2(s'-s)} (\frac{N_4}{N_3})^{2s} \sum_{N_j} \prod_{j=1}^{4} \|u_{j,N_j}\|_{X^{s,b'}} \|u_{4,N_4}\|_{X^{-s,b'}})^{1/2}.$$

The prefactor is bounded by :

$$(\sum_{N_1} N_1^{s'-s} \sum_{N_2} N_2^{(s'-s)/2} \sum_{N_3} (N_3^{(s'-s)/2} \sum_{N_4 \leq CN_3} (\frac{N_4}{N_3})^{2s}))^{1/2}.$$

Every series is bounded, since the sum is over dyadic integers, the fourth series is equivalent to its last term N_3^{2s}/N_3^{2s}. For the terms so that $N_4 > CN_3$, we use Lemma 10.

Let $p > s$, one has:

$$\begin{aligned}
|J(N_1,N_2,N_3,N_4)| &\lesssim N_4^{-p} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \prod_j \|u_{j,N_j}(t)\|_L dt \\
&\lesssim N_4^{-p} \prod_j \|u_{j,N_j}(t)\|_{L^4L^2} \\
&\lesssim N_4^{-p} \prod_j \|u_{j,N_j}(t)\|_{X^{0,1/4}} \\
&\lesssim N_4^{-p} \prod_j \|u_{j,N_j}(t)\|_{X^{0,b'}} \\
&\lesssim (N_1N_2N_3)^{-s} N_4^{-p+s} \|u_{1,N_1}(t)\|_{X^{s,b'}} \\
&\qquad \|u_{2,N_2}(t)\|_{X^{s,b'}} \|u_{3,N_3}(t)\|_{X^{s,b'}} \|u_{4,N_4}(t)\|_{X^{-s,b'}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz, the above is bounded by

$$\|u_1\|_{X^{s,b'}} \|u_2\|_{X^{s,b'}} \|u_3\|_{X^{s,b'}} \|u_4\|_{X^{-s,b'}}.$$

Choosing b such that $1/2 < b < 1 - b'$ concludes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 3. Lemme 8 and 9 show that the second term in the Duhamel formula:

$$u(t) = e^{it\Delta}u_0 - i\int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta}(|u(\tau)|^2)u(\tau)d\tau$$

is a contraction in $X_T^{s,b}$ and for T sufficiently small has norm less than 1. Moreover this norm only depends on b, b' (cf. Proposition 2.11 of [BGT2]). Hence we have proved Proposition 3. In case $s \geq 1$, T can be shown [Z] to depend only on $\|u\|_{H_1(M)}$, which is uniformly bounded in time. □.

4. Bounds on Sobolev norms

Theorem 2 follows from the following:

Proposition 5. *Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian d-manifold. Assume (3) holds with $0 \leq s_0 < 1$ for any f_1, $f_2 \in L^2(M)$ with spectra in $[-N_1, N_1]^d$, $[-N_2, N_2]^d$. If $u \in C(\mathbb{R}, H^s(M))$ is the solution to the Cauchy problem with the initial datum $u_0 \in H^s(M)$, $s \geq 1$:*

$$\begin{aligned} i\partial_t u + \Delta u &= |u|^2 u \\ u(0, x) &= u_0(x), \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^s} \lesssim t^{A(s-1)}$$

for every A such that

$$\begin{aligned} A^{-1} &< 1 - s_0, && \text{if } d = 2, \\ &< \left(1 - \frac{d-2}{2(d-2s_0)}\right)\left(1 - \frac{d-2}{d-s_0-1}\right), && \text{if } d \geq 3\ . \end{aligned}$$

For completeness, we present the proof of the proposition, which is adapted from the proof in [Z], see also [Bou3].

Proof. Suppose s is an even integer written as $2r$. This is no restriction as once the proposition is proven for every even integer, by interpolation, the result holds for every $s > 1$. From Proposition 3, the local existence time T only depends on the H^1 norm. By elementary iteration process, the solution is therefore global.

Let $t_j = j * T/2$. Since L^2 norm is conserved, one only needs to majorize $\|\Delta^r u\|_{L^2}$. Hence :

$$\|\Delta^r u(t_{j+1})\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\Delta^r u(t_j)\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_{[t_j, t_{j+1}]} \partial_t \|\Delta^r u\|_{L^2} \mathrm{d}t$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \|\Delta^r u\|_{L^2} &= 2\Re \int_M \partial_t \Delta^r u \Delta^r \overline{u} \mathrm{d}x \\ &= 2\Re (\int_M \Delta^r(-i|u|^2 u + i\Delta u)\Delta^r \overline{u} \mathrm{d}x) \\ &= 2\Im (\int_M \Delta^r(\overline{u}u^2)\Delta^r \overline{u} \mathrm{d}x),\end{aligned}$$

since $\Im(\int_M \Delta(\Delta^r u)\Delta^r \overline{u} \mathrm{d}x) = 0$.

Computing $\Delta^r(\overline{u}u^2)$, there are three types of terms. The first type is the one where all the derivatives from Δ act on u:

$$\int_M |\Delta^r u|^2 |u|^2 \mathrm{d}x,$$

which is real and so makes no contribution. The second type is the one where all the derivatives act on $\overline{u}$:

$$\int_M (\Delta^r \overline{u})^2 (u)^2 \mathrm{d}x,$$

which is the most difficult to deal with. In all other terms, the derivatives do not act on the same factor. They are of the form:

$$\int_M \partial_x^{\alpha_1}\overline{u}\partial_x^{\alpha_2}u\partial_x^{\alpha_3}u\Delta^r\overline{u}\mathrm{d}x,$$

where the integers α_j are such that their sums equal to $2r = s$ and at most one of them is zero.

We first deal with the third type of terms where no α_j is zero. The estimates from Lemma 9 are crucial.

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{[t_j,t_{j+1}]}\int_M \partial_x^{\alpha_1}\overline{u}\partial_x^{\alpha_2}u\partial_x^{\alpha_3}u\Delta^r\overline{u}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t &\lesssim \|\Delta^r\overline{u}\|_{X^{-s_0-\varepsilon,b}}\|\partial_x^{\alpha_1}\overline{u}\partial_x^{\alpha_2}u\partial_x^{\alpha_3}u\|_{X^{s_0+\varepsilon,-b}} \\ &\lesssim \|\Delta^r u\|_{X^{-s_0-\varepsilon,b}}\|\partial_x^{\alpha_1}u\|_{X^{s_0+\varepsilon,b}}\|\partial_x^{\alpha_2}u\|_{X^{s_0+\varepsilon,b}}\|\partial_x^{\alpha_3}u\|_{X^{s_0+\varepsilon,b}} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{X^{s-s_0-\varepsilon,b}}\|u\|_{X^{\alpha_1+s_0+\varepsilon}}\|u\|_{X^{\alpha_2+s_0+\varepsilon}}\|u\|_{X^{\alpha_3+s_0+\varepsilon}}\end{aligned}$$

Writing $X^{s-s_0-\varepsilon,b}$ as the interpolate between $(X^{s,b}, 1-\frac{s_0+\varepsilon}{s-1})$ and $(X^{1,b}, \frac{s_0+\varepsilon}{s-1})$, one has:

$$\|u\|_{X^{s-s_0-\varepsilon,b}} \lesssim \|u\|_{X^{s,b}}^{1-\frac{s_0+\varepsilon}{s-1}}\|u\|_{X^{1,b}}^{\frac{s_0+\varepsilon}{s-1}} \lesssim \|u(t_j)\|_{H^s}^{1-\frac{s_0+\varepsilon}{s-1}}.$$

Since each α_j is at least 1, $X^{\alpha_j+s_0+\varepsilon,b}$ is the interpolate between $(X^{s,b}, \frac{s_0+\varepsilon+\alpha_j-1}{s-1})$ and $(X^{1,b}, \frac{s-\alpha_j-s_0-\varepsilon}{s-1})$:

$$\|u\|_{X^{\alpha_j+s_0+\varepsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^s}^{\frac{s_0+\varepsilon+\alpha_j-1}{s-1}}.$$

The contribution of these terms are at most $|u\|_{H^s}^{1+2\frac{s_0+\varepsilon}{s-1}+\frac{s-3}{s-1}}$ i.e., $|u\|_{H^s}^{2-2\frac{1-s_0-\varepsilon}{s-1}}$. The same computation gives a contribution of $|u\|_{H^s}^{2-\frac{1-s_0-\varepsilon}{s-1}}$ when one α_j is allowed to be zero.

Returning to the term:

$$\int_{M\times[0,T]}(\Delta^r\bar{u})^2u^2\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t,$$

let us write $u_0=\Delta^r u=u_1$, $u_2=u=u_3$ and prove

$$J=\int_{M\times[0,T]}u_0u_1u_2u_3\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t\lesssim\|u_0\|_{X^{0,b}}\|u_1\|_{X^{-c,b}}\|u_2\|_{X^{1,b}}\|u_3\|_{X^{1,b}}\qquad(6)$$

for some c to be determined.

Let P_λ be the orthogonal projection onto the eigenspace with eigenvalue λ^2 as before and decompose $u_j=\sum_{N_j}u_j^{N_j}=\sum_{N_j}\sum_{N_j\le\lambda\le 2N_j}P_\lambda u_j$ with N_j being dyadic integers. Then,

$$|J|\le\sum_N J(N)=\sum_N|\int_{M\times[0,T]}u_0^{N_0}u_1^{N_1}u_2^{N_2}u_3^{N_3}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t|.$$

Since terms such that $N_0\ge N_1+N_2+N_3$ are taken care of by Lemma 10, we suppose that $N_0\le N_1+N_2+N_3$. Let $0<\delta<1$, ε and $b>1/2$. They will be chosen later, ε is only meant to be as small as desired so 2ε will be writen as ε to avoid useless computation. Let us first study the terms where $N_1^\delta\le N_2$, (the terms where $N_1^\delta\le N_3$ are similar).

$$\begin{aligned}
J(N)&\le\|u_0u_2\|_{L^2(M\times[0,T])}\|u_1u_3\|_{L^2(M\times[0,T])}\\
&\le\min(N_0,N_2)^{s_0+\varepsilon}\min(N_1,N_3)^{s_0}\|u_0\|_{X^{0,b}}\|u_1\|_{X^{0,b}}\|u_2\|_{X^{0,b}}\|u_3\|_{X^{0,b}}\\
&\le(N_0N_1N_2N_3)^{-\varepsilon}(N_0N_1)^{\varepsilon}(N_2N_3)^{s_0-1+\varepsilon}\|u_0\|_{X^{0,b}}\|u_1\|_{X^{0,b}}\|u_2\|_{X^{1,b}}\|u_3\|_{X^{1,b}},
\end{aligned}$$

where $s_0-1+\epsilon<0$ if ε is small enough, $N_1^\delta\le N_3$, $N_0\le N_1+N_2+N_3$ and of course, $N_1\le N_1+N_2+N_3$. So,

$$\begin{aligned}
J(N)&\le(N_0N_1N_2N_3)^{-\varepsilon}N_1^{\varepsilon}N_3^{s_0-1+\varepsilon}\|u_0\|_{X^{0,b}}\|u_1\|_{X^{0,b}}\|u_2\|_{X^{1,b}}\|u_3\|_{X^{1,b}}\\
&\le(N_0N_1N_2N_3)^{-\varepsilon}N_1^{\delta(s_0-1)+\varepsilon}\|u_1\|_{X^{0,b}}\|u_2\|_{X^{1,b}}\|u_3\|_{X^{1,b}}\\
&\le(N_0N_1N_2N_3)^{-\varepsilon}\|u_0\|_{X^{0,b}}\|u_1\|_{X^{\delta(s_0-1),b}}\|u_2\|_{X^{1,b}}\|u_3\|_{X^{1,b}},
\end{aligned}$$

which proves (6) in this case with $c=\delta(s_0-1)$.

For the terms where $N_1^\delta \geq N_2$ et $N_1^\delta \geq N_3$, more decomposition is needed. Let

$$u_j^{N_j,L_j} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{N_j \leq \lambda \leq 2N_j} \int_{\tau+\lambda} e^{it\tau} \widehat{P_\lambda u_j}(\tau) d\tau.$$

(We remark that this decomposition, the $X^{s,b}$ spaces and norms are inspired by the same intuition.) Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} J(N) &\leq \sum_L \Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}\times M} u_0^{N_0,J_0} u_1^{N_1,J_1} u_2^{N_2,J_2} u_3^{N_3,J_3} \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \Big| \\ &\leq \sum_L \Big| \int_{\{\tau_0+\tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3=0\}\times M} \hat{u}_0^{N_0,L_0} \hat{u}_1^{N_1,L_1} \hat{u}_2^{N_2,L_2} \hat{u}_3^{N_3,L_3} \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}\tau \Big| \end{aligned}$$

For each term of this sum, we will decompose the integral depending on whether $|\tau_2| \leq 1/4N_1^2$ and $|\tau_3| \leq 1/4N_1^2$ or if one of these statements fails.

In order to deal with the first case, notice that :

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau_0 + \lambda_0| + |\tau_1 + \lambda_1| &\geq |\tau_0 + \tau_1 + \lambda_0 + \lambda_1| \\ &\geq |\lambda_0 + \lambda_1| - |\tau_0 + \tau_1| \\ &\geq \lambda_1 - |\tau_2 + \tau_3| \\ &\geq 1/2N_1^2 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if $L_0 + L_1 \geq 1/2N_1^2$ does not hold, the term will be zero. Then $L_0 L_1 \geq 1/2N_1^2 - 1$. So the term can be bounded by :

$$\begin{aligned} J(N,L) &\lesssim \|u_0^{N_0,L_0}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^2} \|u_1^{N_1,L_1}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^2} \|u_2^{N_2,L_2}\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty} \|u_3^{N_3,L_3}\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty} \\ &\lesssim (N_2 N_3)^{d/2} \Pi_j \|u_j^{N_j,L_j}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^2} \\ &\lesssim (N_2 N_3)^{d/2} \Pi_j \|u_j^{N_j,L_j}\|_{X^{0,1/4}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{(N_2 N_3)^{d/2-1}}{(L_0 L_1 L_2 L_3)^{b-1/4}} \|u_0\|_{X^{0,b}} \|u_1\|_{X^{0,b}} \|u_2\|_{X^{1,b}} \|u_3\|_{X^{1,b}} \end{aligned}$$

Summing over L, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_L J(N,L)\\
\lesssim\ &\sum_{L_0,L_1} \frac{(N_2N_3)^{d/2-1}}{(L_0L_1)^{b-1/4}}\|u_0\|_{X^{0,b}}\|u_1\|_{X^{0,b}}\|u_2\|_{X^{1,b}}\|u_3\|_{X^{1,b}}\\
\lesssim\ &\sum_{L_0,L_1} \frac{N_1^{2(1/4-b+\varepsilon)}(N_2N_3)^{d/2-1}}{(L_0L_1)^{\varepsilon}}\|u_0\|_{X^{0,b}}\|u_1\|_{X^{0,b}}\|u_2\|_{X^{1,b}}\|u_3\|_{X^{1,b}}\\
\lesssim\ & N_1^{-2(b-1/4-\varepsilon)}(N_2N_3)^{d/2-1}\|u_0\|_{X^{0,b}}\|u_1\|_{X^{0,b}}\|u_2\|_{X^{1,b}}\|u_3\|_{X^{1,b}}\\
\lesssim\ & (N_0N_1N_2N_3)^{-\varepsilon}N_0^{\varepsilon}N_1^{-2(b-1/4)}N_2^{d/2-1+\varepsilon}N_3^{d/2-1+\varepsilon}\|u_0\|_{X^{0,b}}\|u_1\|_{X^{0,b}}\|u_2\|_{X^{1,b}}\|u_3\|_{X^{1,b}}\\
\lesssim\ & (N_0N_1N_2N_3)^{-\varepsilon}N_1^{-2(b-1/4)+\varepsilon(2+2\delta)+\delta(d-2)}\|u_0\|_{X^{0,b}}\|u_1\|_{X^{0,b}}\|u_2\|_{X^{1,b}}\|u_3\|_{X^{1,b}}\\
\lesssim\ & (N_0N_1N_2N_3)^{-\varepsilon}\|u_0\|_{X^{0,b}}\|u_1\|_{X^{c,b}}\|u_2\|_{X^{1,b}}\|u_3\|_{X^{1,b}},
\end{aligned}$$

where $c' = -2(b-1/4)+\varepsilon(2+2\delta)+\delta(d-2)$.

Finally in the second case $|\tau_2| > 1/4N_1$ (or $|\tau_3| > 1/4N_1$). Notice that

$$|\tau_2+\lambda_2| > \tau_2-\lambda_2 > 1/2N_1.$$

Then unless $L_2 > N_1$, the term is zero. So computing as previously :

$$J(N,L) \lesssim \frac{(N_2N_3)^{d/2-1}}{(L_0L_1L_2L_3)^{b-1/4}}\|u_0\|_{X^{0,b}}\|u_1\|_{X^{0,b}}\|u_2\|_{X^{1,b}}\|u_3\|_{X^{1,b}}.$$

Summing over L:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_L J(N,L)\\
\lesssim\ &\sum_{L_2}(N_2N_3)^{d/2-1}L_2^{-(b-1/4)}\|u_0\|_{X^{0,b}}\|u_1\|_{X^{0,b}}\|u_2\|_{X^{1,b}}\|u_3\|_{X^{1,b}}\\
\lesssim\ &\sum_{L_2}(N_0N_1N_2N_3)^{-\varepsilon}N_0^{\varepsilon}N_1^{\varepsilon-2(b-1/4)}(N_2N_3)^{d/2-1+\varepsilon}L_2^{-\varepsilon}\|u_0\|_{X^{0,b}}\|u_1\|_{X^{0,b}}\|u_2\|_{X^{1,b}}\|u_3\|_{X^{1,b}}\\
\lesssim\ & (N_0N_1N_2N_3)^{-\varepsilon}N_1^{-2(b-1/4)+\varepsilon+2\delta(d/2-2)}\|u_0\|_{X^{0,b}}\|u_1\|_{X^{0,b}}\|u_2\|_{X^{1,b}}\|u_3\|_{X^{1,b}}\\
\lesssim\ & (N_0N_1N_2N_3)^{-\varepsilon}\|u_0\|_{X^{0,b}}\|u_1\|_{X^{c'',b}}\|u_2\|_{X^{1,b}}\|u_3\|_{X^{1,b}},
\end{aligned}$$

where $c'' = -2(b-1/4)+\varepsilon+2\delta(d/2-1)$.

Recall we have just proved that $\|\Delta^r u(t_{j+1})\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\Delta^r u(t_j)\|_{L^2}^2$ can be written as the sum of terms which are respectively bounded by $\|u\|_{H^s}^{2-2\frac{1-s_0-\varepsilon}{s-1}}$, $\|u\|_{H^s}^{2-1\frac{1-s_0-\varepsilon}{s-1}}$, $\|u\|_{H^s}\|u\|_{H^{s-c}}$,$\|u\|_{H^s}\|u\|_{H^{s-c'}}$ or $\|u\|_{H^s}\|u\|_{H^{s-c}}$, since $\|u\|_{H^1}$ is bounded with $c=\delta(s_0-1)$, $c'=c''=-2(b-1/4)+\varepsilon+$

$2\delta(d/2-1)$. When $d=2$, $c>c'$ for any $\delta<1$. When $d\geq 3$, we equate c with c' and let $\delta=\frac{2(b-1/4)}{d-s_0-1}$, then $c=\frac{2(b-1/4)(s_0-1)}{d-s_0-1}$ By interpolation, one has $\|u\|_{H^s}\|u\|_{H^{s-c'}}\leq |u\|_{H^s}^{2-\frac{c}{s-1}}$ as b can be choosen close to $1/2$, so $c=\frac{(1-s_0)}{2(d-s_0)}$.

Using the above bounds and integrating the differential inequality, we obtain the proposition. □

REFERENCES

[Bom] E. Bombieri, Private communications.

[Bou1] J. Bourgain, Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subset and applications to nonlinear evolution equations I, Geom. Func. Anal. 3,107–156 (1993).

[Bou2] J. Bourgain, Exponential sums and nonlinera Schrödinger equations, Geom. Func. Anal. 3,157–178 (1993).

[Bou3] J. Bourgain, On the growth in time of higher Sobolev norms of smooth solutions of Hamiltonian PDE, Internat. Math. Res. Notices, 277-304 (1996).

[Bou4] J. Bourgain, On Strichartz inequalities and the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on irrational tori, in Mathematical Aspects of Nonlinear dispersive equations, 1-20, Ann. of Math. Stud., 163, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2007.

[BGT1] N. Burq, P. Gérard and T. Tzvetkov, Strichartz inequalities and the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on compact manifolds, Amer. Jour. of Math. 126, 569-605 (2004).

[BGT2] N. Burq, P. Gérard and T. Tzvetkov, Bilinear eigenfunction estimates and the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on surfaces, Invent. Math. 159, 187-223 (2005).

[CdV] Y. Colin de Verdier, Quasi-modes sur les variété Riemanniennes, Invent. Math. 43, 15-52 (1977).

[G] J. Ginibre, Le problème de Cauchy pour des EDP semi-linéaires périodiques en variables d'espace (d'après Bourgain), Séminaire Bourbaki 1995, Astérisque 237, 163-187 (1996).

[J] V. Janick, Über die Gitterpunkte auf konvexen curven, Math. Z. 24, 500-518 (1926).

[Z] S. Zhong, The growth in time of higher Sobolev norms of solutions to Schrödinger equations on compact Riemannian manifolds, J. Diff. Eq. 245, 359-376 (2008).

DEPARTEMENT DE MATHEMATIQUE, UNIVERSITE PARIS SUD, 91405 ORSAY CEDEX, FRANCE

E-mail address: `fabrice.catoire@math.u-psud.fr`

DEPARTEMENT DE MATHEMATIQUE, UNIVERSITE PARIS SUD, 91405 ORSAY CEDEX, FRANCE

E-mail address: `wei-min.wang@math.u-psud.fr`