arXiv:0810.0173v1 [math.DG] 1 Oct 2008

MAXIMAL TOTALLY COMPLEX SUBMANIFOLDS OF $\mathbb{HP}^n$: HOMOGENEITY AND NORMAL HOLONOMY

LUCIO BEDULLI - ANNA GORI - FABIO PODESTÀ

Abstract. We prove that a maximal totally complex submanifold N^{2n} of the quaternionic projective space $\mathbb{HP}^n$ $(n \geq 2)$ is a parallel submanifold, provided one of the following conditions is satisfied: (1) N is the orbit of a compact Lie group of isometries, (2) the restricted normal holonomy is a proper subgroup of $\mathrm{U}(n)$.

1. Introduction

A $4n$-dimensional $(n \geqslant 2)$ quaternionic-Kähler Riemannian manifold (M, g) (qK-manifold for brevity) is endowed with a parallel rank 3 subbundle Q of $\mathrm{End}\, TM$, which is locally generated by a triple of locally defined anticommuting g-orthogonal almost complex structures $(J_1, J_2, J_3 = J_1 J_2)$ (see [17], [6] and also the next section for a more detailed exposition). Here we will focus on positive qk-manifolds M, i.e. compact qk-manifolds with positive scalar curvature, and on *totally complex* submanifolds of M, i.e. submanifolds N of M for which there exists a local section I of Q which is a local parallel complex structure on N; the submanifold N equipped with the induced metric and the local complex structure I turns out to be locally Kähler and this is actually equivalent to the fact that $J(TN) \subset TN^{\perp}$ for every local complex structure $J \in \Gamma(Q)$ which is orthogonal to I (see [3], [20]). When the dimension of N is half the dimension of the ambient space, the totally complex submanifold N is called maximal, MTC for brevity; Tsukada ([21]) classified all MTC submanifolds of the quaternionic projective space which are parallel, i.e. whose second fundamental form is parallel - in particular all these examples turn out to be homogeneous and locally symmetric (see also [2]); we list all these submanifolds in Theorem 2.2.

The first result of this paper consists in the classification of *homogeneous* maximal totally complex submanifolds of the quaternionic projective space, namely

Theorem 1.1. *A compact MTC submanifold of the quaternionic projective space $\mathbb{HP}^n$ is parallel if and only if it is an orbit of a compact Lie group of isometries.*

Key words and phrases. Quaternionic-Kähler manifolds, totally complex submanifolds, homogeneous submanifolds, normal holonomy.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C26, 53C40, 53C28, 57S15, 53C29.

In section 3 we will also prove some general facts about homogeneous MTC submanifolds N of positive qK-manifolds M; we show that such manifolds admit two different homogeneous lifts in the corresponding twistor space Z: one lift, called the complex lift $\widehat{N}$, is a homogeneous complex submanifold of Z and covers N two to one; the other lift, denoted by $\mathcal{L}(N)$, is a homogeneous Lagrangian submanifold of Z which is a circle bundle on N. These lifts were introduced by Alekseevsky and Marchiafava ([4]) and by Ejiri and Tsukada ([9]) respectively; here we show their homogeneity whenever N is homogeneous and will use this result in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

The second main result of this paper concerns the study of the (restricted) normal holonomy group of a MTC submanifold of $\mathbb{HP}^n$; the normal holonomy group is the holonomy group of the normal connection $\nabla^\perp$ and it turns out to be a powerful tool in the study of the geometry of submanifolds in general (see e.g.[14]). Normal holonomy groups have been investigated for submanifolds of space forms and also for complex submanifolds of the complex projective space (see [1], [8]). In the sequel we will denote by $\mathrm{Hol}^0_p(\nabla^\perp) \subseteq \mathrm{O}(T_pN^\perp)$ the restricted holonomy group of $\nabla^\perp$ at the point $p \in N$. Our main result is the following

Theorem 1.2. *Let N be a connected MTC submanifold of $\mathbb{HP}^n$.*
1) If N is non parallel then $\mathrm{Hol}^0_p(\nabla^\perp) = \mathrm{U}(T_pN^\perp) \cong \mathrm{U}(n)$;
2) if N is parallel (hence a symmetric space of the form G/K) then $\mathrm{Hol}^0_p(\nabla^\perp) = \nu(K^0)$ where $\nu : K \to \mathrm{O}(T_pN^\perp)$ is the slice representation.

We note here that this theorem resembles the recent result by Console, Di Scala and Olmos ([8]) according to which a full, complete complex submanifold N of $\mathbb{CP}^n$ whose normal holonomy group is not transitive on the unit sphere of its normal space (hence is not $\mathrm{U}(k)$ where $k = n - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} N$) is parallel.

Notation. Lie groups and their Lie algebras will be indicated by capital and gothic letters respectively. Moreover the notation for the fundamental weights Λ_i of the simple Lie algebras follows the standard conventions as in [16], and we often refer to irreducible representations through the corresponding maximal weights. Finally if G is a Lie group then $\mathrm{rk}\, G$ will denote its rank.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let (M, g) be a $4n$-dimensional ($n \geqslant 2$) Riemannian manifold and ∇ its Levi-Civita connection. A quaternionic-Kähler structure on M is a ∇-parallel rank 3 subbundle Q of $\mathrm{End}\, TM$, which is *locally* generated by a triple of locally defined anticommuting g-orthogonal almost complex structures $(J_1, J_2, J_3 = J_1J_2)$, a so called *local quaternionic basis.* The manifold M endowed with the metric g and the quaternionic-Kähler structure Q will be called a quaternionic-Kähler manifold, a qK-manifold for brevity.

We recall (see e.g. [6]) that a qK-manifold is automatically Einstein; here we will consider only *positive* qK-manifolds, namely those which are compact with positive scalar curvature.

Since the bundle Q is parallel, there are three locally defined 1-forms α_i $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ such that for every cyclic permutation (i, j, k) of $(1, 2, 3)$

$$\nabla J_i = \alpha_k \otimes J_j - \alpha_j \otimes J_k \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$d\alpha_i + \alpha_j \wedge \alpha_k = -\frac{\tau}{4n(n+2)}\omega_i, \tag{1.2}$$

where ω_ℓ denotes the fundamental 2-form defined by $\omega_\ell(\cdot, \cdot) = g(J_\ell \cdot, \cdot)$ relative to J_ℓ for $\ell = 1, 2, 3$, τ is the scalar curvature.

An important tool in the quaternionic-Kähler geometry is the *twistor space* Z of a non-flat qK manifold (M, g, Q): if we endow Q with the natural Euclidean structure such that every local quaternionic basis is orthonormal, then Z is defined to be the sphere bundle associated to Q; the twistor space Z endowed with the natural projection $\pi\colon Z \to M$ is then a S^2-bundle over M whose fibers consist of complex structures. It is well known that the twistor space of a positive qK-manifold is a complex manifold with complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ and it admits a Kähler-Einstein metric $\tilde{g}$ such that the projection π is a Riemannian submersion ([17],[6]): every fiber (a so called *twistor line*) is a complex submanifold biholomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}^1$ and at any point $z \in Z$ the tangent space T_zZ splits as the $\tilde{g}$-orthogonal sum of the horizontal $\mathcal{H}_z \cong T_{\pi(z)}M$ and the vertical subspace $\mathcal{V}_z := \ker \pi_{*z} \cong \mathbb{C}$; then by definition $\mathcal{J}|_{\mathcal{H}_z}$ is identified with z itself via π_* , while $\mathcal{J}|_{\mathcal{V}_z}$ is the standard complex structure of $\mathbb{CP}^1$. We will now consider totally complex submanifolds of a qK-manifold, namely

Definition 2.1. *A submanifold N of a qK-manifold (M, g, Q) is said to be totally complex if for every $x \in N$ there exists an open neighborhhood U of x in N and a section I of $Q_{|U}$ such that*

1. *$I(TU) = TU$;*
2. *$I^2 = -\mathrm{id}$;*
3. *$J(T_yN) \subseteq (T_yN)^\perp$ for every $y \in U$ and $J \in Q_y$ such that $I_yJ = -JI_y$.*

As it was shown by Tsukada in [21] the local $g_{|N}-$orthogonal almost complex structure I on N turns out to be integrable, hence $(U, g_{|U}, I_{|U})$ is a Kähler manifold (here the condition $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} N \geq 4$ is essential, see [21] p. 7). Furthermore if N is a totally complex submanifold of M, then around any point of N it is possible to choose a local quaternionic basis J_1, J_2, J_3 such that J_1 is the local complex structure of Definition 2.1. As in [3] we call such a triple a *local adapted basis.* Note that $J_l(TN) \subseteq TN^\perp$ for $l = 2, 3$.

Totally complex submanifolds of maximal dimension of $\mathbb{HP}^n$ with parallel second fundamental form have been classified in [21] by Tsukada, who also characterizes in [22] those whose local Kähler structure is Einstein or reducible.

Theorem 2.2. **(Tsukada** [21],[22]**)** *Let* $\iota : N \to \mathbb{HP}^n$ *be a totally complex immersion of a* $2n$*-dimensional Kähler manifold* N*. (a) If* ι *has parallel second fundamental form, it is locally congruent to one of the following immersions*

(1) $\mathbb{CP}^n \to \mathbb{HP}^n$;
(2) $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathrm{SO}(n+1)/\mathrm{SO}(2) \times \mathrm{SO}(n-1) \to \mathbb{HP}^n$, $(n \geq 4)$;
(3) $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1 \to \mathbb{HP}^3$;
(4) $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1 \to \mathbb{HP}^2$;
(5) $\mathrm{Sp}(3)/\mathrm{U}(3) \to \mathbb{HP}^6$;
(6) $\mathrm{SU}(6)/\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{U}(3) \times \mathrm{U}(3)) \to \mathbb{HP}^9$;
(7) $\mathrm{SO}(12)/\mathrm{U}(6) \to \mathbb{HP}^{15}$;
(8) $\mathrm{E}_7/E_6 \cdot T^1 \to \mathbb{HP}^{27}$;

(b) if N *is Kähler-Einstein then it has parallel second fundamental form, and* ι *is locally congruent to one of the cases* $(1), (3), (5), (6), (7), (8)$;
(c) if N *is locally reducible, then* ι *is again parallel and it is locally congruent to one of the cases* $(2), (3), (4)$.

Remark 1. All of the immersions listed in Theorem 2.2 are obtained in the following way. For each compact simple Lie group S it is known (see e.g. [6]) that there exists a unique symmetric space S/L (called a Wolf space) admitting an invariant qk-structure. Given a Wolf space S/L, the isotropy L can be decomposed as $L = \mathrm{Sp}(1) \cdot G$, where G is compact semisimple and the $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$-factor acts on $V := T_{[eL]}S/L$ defining a G-invariant qk-structure; therefore the isotropy representation of G results into a quaternionic representation $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Sp}(V)$. We then have a G-equivariant twistor fibration $\pi : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}(V) \to \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{H}}(V)$ and a parallel totally complex submanifold of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{H}}(V)$ is the image via π of a complex G-orbit in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ (this orbit is unique if ρ is irreducible); in the following table the parallel immersions listed in Theorem 2.2 part (a) are reproduced in the same order with the indication of the corresponding Wolf space, the group G (or, with abuse of notation, some covering of it) and its isotropy representation.

S/L	G	ρ	$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{P}(V)$
$\mathrm{SU}(n+2)/\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{U}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(n))$	$\mathrm{U}(n)$	$\Lambda_1 \oplus \Lambda_1^*$	$2n-1$
$\mathrm{SO}(n+6)/\mathrm{SO}(4) \times \mathrm{SO}(n+2)$	$\mathrm{SO}(n+2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$	$\Lambda_1 \otimes \Lambda_1$	$2n+3, n \geq 3$
$\mathrm{SO}(8)/\mathrm{SO}(4) \times \mathrm{SO}(4)$	$\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$	$\Lambda_1 \otimes \Lambda_1 \otimes \Lambda_1$	7
$\mathrm{SO}(7)/\mathrm{SO}(4) \times \mathrm{SO}(3)$	$\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$	$(2\Lambda_1) \otimes \Lambda_1$	5
$\mathrm{F}_4/\mathrm{Sp}(1) \cdot \mathrm{Sp}(3)$	$\mathrm{Sp}(3)$	Λ_3	13
$\mathrm{E}_6/\mathrm{Sp}(1) \cdot \mathrm{SU}(6)$	$\mathrm{SU}(6)$	Λ_3	19
$\mathrm{E}_7/\mathrm{Sp}(1) \cdot \mathrm{Spin}(12)$	$\mathrm{Spin}(12)$	Λ_5	31
$\mathrm{E}_8/\mathrm{Sp}(1) \cdot \mathrm{E}_7$	E_7	Λ_1	55

Note that the Wolf space $\mathrm{Sp}(n+1)/\mathrm{Sp}(1)\cdot\mathrm{Sp}(n)$ is missing in the list because it gives the transitive standard action of $\mathrm{Sp}(n)$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{H}}(V)$; the Wolf space $\mathrm{G}_2/\mathrm{SO}(4)$ is also missing because we consider quaternionic projective spaces of real dimension at least 8.

Using the twistor construction one can settle two kinds of correspondence involving totally complex submanifolds. Let N be a totally complex submanifold of M. For every $p \in N$ consider the set $\widehat{N}_p := \{I \in Z_p | \, I(T_pN) = T_pN\}$. The space $\widehat{N} := \cup_{p\in N}\widehat{N}_p \subset Z$ turns out to be a complex submanifold (see [20],[21] and [4]) of the twistor space Z which is horizontal, i.e. at each point $z \in \widehat{N}$ we have $T_z\widehat{N} \subset \mathcal{H}_z$. The restriction $\pi|_{\widehat{N}}$ defines a double covering of N which may be either connected or not. We will call $\widehat{N}$ the *complex lift* of N.
The second correspondence makes use of the symplectic structure of Z instead of the complex one. Fix $p \in N$ and define $S_p(I) = \{J \in Z_p : J(T_pN) \subseteq (T_pN)^{\perp}\}$; it is not difficult to see that $\mathcal{L}(N) = \cup_{p\in N} S_p(I)$ is a connected isotropic submanifold of Z which is an S^1-bundle over N (see [9] where it is also shown that $\mathcal{L}(N)$ is minimal). We will call $\mathcal{L}(N)$ the *isotropic lift* of N.

3. HOMOGENEOUS TOTALLY COMPLEX SUBMANIFOLDS

We will now suppose to have a compact connected Lie group G of isometries of a positive qK-manifold (M,g,Q) and we assume that G has an orbit $N = G\cdot p$ which is a totally complex submanifold. Now we are going to present a sort of equivariant version of the previous constructions. It is well known that we can lift the G-action to an isometric action on the twistor space Z defining

$$g\cdot J = g_* J g_*^{-1}$$

for every $J \in Z$ and $g \in G$, so that the projection π is G-equivariant (see e.g. [6]). The complex lift $\widehat{N}$ turns out to be G-stable by definition. Every G-orbit in $\widehat{N}$ is open (because it has the same dimension of $\widehat{N}$) and closed by compactness; therefore every connected component of $\widehat{N}$ is G-homogeneous. This fact allows us to make the following observation.

Lemma 3.1. *The semisimple part G^{ss} of G acts transitively on N. In particular if $N \cong G^{ss}/H$ for some subgroup H, then the connected component H^o is the centralizer of some torus in G^{ss}.*

Proof. Suppose by contradiction that N contains a toric factor S (which is in turn totally complex). Note that, since the twistor space Z is simply connected, the G-action on Z is Hamiltonian; therefore the complex lift $\hat{S}$ would be simultaneously complex and isotropic in the twistor space Z, being the orbit for the Hamiltonian action of the center of G. The last assertion follows form the fact that G^{ss}/H^o can be identified with a connected component of $\widehat{N}$ that is a Kähler homogeneous space (see e.g. [6]). ☐

So from now on we can restrict our attention to *semisimple* Lie groups.

Remark 2. The parallel immersions listed in Theorem 2.2 (a) that are coming from irreducible representations (all cases except (1)) are not embeddings. Actually the immersion ι is G-equivariant and the image $\iota(N)$ is an orbit G/H in $\mathbb{HP}^n$, where G acts irreducibly on $\mathbb{C}^{2n+2}$: if $p \in \iota(N)$ with $G_p = H$, we know that there is a point q in the twistor line lying over p such that the orbit $\widehat{\iota(N)} = G \cdot q \subset \mathbb{CP}^{2n+1}$ is complex. The point q corresponds to a complex structure I in Z_p that leaves $T_p\iota(N)$ invariant and the same argument can be used with the opposite complex structure, say q', leading to another complex orbit $G \cdot q'$ lying over $\iota(N)$. If G acts irreducibly on $\mathbb{C}^{2n+2}$ there is only one complex orbit in the projective space, hence $G \cdot q = G \cdot q' = \widehat{\iota(N)}$ and the covering $G/G_q \to G/H$ is two to one. Since G_q is connected, H has two connected components; so, for instance, if $N = \mathrm{Sp}(3)/\mathrm{U}(3)$ then $\iota(N) = \mathrm{Sp}(3)/\mathrm{U}(3) \cdot \mathbb{Z}_2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{HP}^6$. This also shows that the local complex structure I in the definition 2.1 cannot be chosen to be global in general.

The fact that also $\mathcal{L}(N)$ is a G-orbit requires a more detailed explanation and will have deeper consequences. By the very definition of the induced actions of G on Z, we see that $\mathcal{L}(N)$ is G-stable.

Proposition 3.2. *The lift $\mathcal{L}(N)$ is an isotropic G-orbit in Z of dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} N + 1$. In particular if N is a* maximal *totally complex G-orbit, then $\mathcal{L}(N)$ is a* Lagrangian *G-orbit.*

Proof. We fix $p \in N$. We know there is a suitable neighborhood U of p and a local section I of $Z|_U$ such that $I(TU) = TU$; we also fix $J \in Z_p$, a complex structure that is orthogonal to I_p and $J(T_pN) \subseteq (T_pN)^\perp$.
We first observe that $G_p \cdot I_p = \pm I_p$, simply because $\pm I_p$ are the only complex structures in Z_p that preserve T_pN. Now we know that the G-orbit $G \cdot J$ is contained in $\mathcal{L}(N)$; we claim that $\dim G \cdot J = \dim N + 1$, hence that $G \cdot J = \mathcal{L}(N)$. It is enough to show that $\dim G_p - \dim G_J = 1$ or equivalently that $\dim G_p \cdot J = 1$. It is clear that $\dim G_p \cdot J \leq 1$ because the G_p action on the twistor line Z_p is not transitive since $G_p \cdot I_p = \pm I_p$.
Suppose now that $(G_p)^o \cdot J = J$: this means that the orbit $G \cdot J$ covers at most two to one N via π and therefore we can find a local section $\tilde{J}$ of $\mathcal{L}(N)$, regarded as a S^1-bundle over N, extending J such that $L_X \tilde{J} = 0$ for every Killing vector field $X \in \mathfrak{g}$; then using $\nabla I|_N = 0$ and equation (1.1), we see that $\nabla \tilde{J} = \alpha \otimes I\tilde{J}$ for some locally defined 1-form α. This local one form α satisfies $L_X \alpha = 0$ for every $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, hence in particular it extends to an invariant one form on the homogeneous space $G^{ss}/(G_p^{ss})^o$. Since G_p^{ss} has maximal rank in G^{ss} by Lemma 3.1, we see that $\alpha = 0$;

this contradicts equation (1.2), since on N we would have $0 = d\alpha = -\frac{\tau}{4n(n+2)}\omega$, where ω is the fundamental two-form on N relative to I. ☐

Remark 3. It is shown in [5] that the existence of a Lagrangian G-orbit in a Kähler manifold Z with $h^{1,1}(Z) = 1$ is equivalent to the fact that the complexified group $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ acts on Z with a open Stein orbit. Thus, for example, in order to classify the MTC orbits of a Wolf space $K/H \cdot \mathrm{Sp}(1)$, since the twistor space satisfies the cohomological condition, one can use the following strategy: Look for the closed subgroups G of K whose complexification has a open Stein orbit in $Z = K/H \cdot T^1$; find the Lagrangian orbit $\mathcal{L}$ using moment map techniques as suggested e.g. in [5] and project it down in the Wolf space $K/H \cdot \mathrm{Sp}(1)$.
This procedure is easier in the case of the quaternion projective space since here the twistor space is the complex projective space and there are various classification results on complex *pre-homogeneous vector spaces* (see e.g. [18]). If G is simple one could in principle use the classification of Lagrangian orbits of $\mathbb{CP}^n$ given in [5].

4. Homogeneous MTC submanifolds of $\mathbb{HP}^n$: proof of Theorem 1.1

Let G be a compact connected Lie group of isometries acting on $\mathbb{HP}^n = Sp(n+1)/\mathrm{Sp}(n)\cdot\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ ($n \geqslant 2$) with a MTC orbit N. Such an action is induced by a complex $(2n+2)$-dimensional linear representation of quaternionic type $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Sp}(V)$ where $V \cong \mathbb{C}^{2n+2} \cong \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ is a quaternionic vector space. As already observed we can restrict our investigation to compact semisimple Lie groups.
The proof will be divided into cases.

4.1. Case 1: ρ irreducible and G simple.

In order to have a MTC G-orbit the following dimensional condition must be satisfied:

$$\dim G - \mathrm{rk}\, G \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{H}}(V) = \frac{1}{2}(\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V - 4) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V - 2. \tag{4.1}$$

If $\mathrm{rk}\, G = 1$ then $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$, so that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V = 4$ and we will treat this case separately. If $\mathrm{rk}\, G \geqslant 2$, then (4.1) implies that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V \leq \dim G$ and we can use the well known classification of such irreducible representations (see e.g. [10] p. 414). Going through this list, we easily see that the representations of quaternionic type that satisfy condition (4.1) are the following:
Note that the actions of $\mathrm{Spin}(11)$ and $\mathrm{Spin}(12)$ on $\mathbb{CP}^{31}$ via spin and half-spin representations respectively share the same orbits. This can be easily seen noting that the half-spin representation of $\mathrm{Spin}(12)$ extends the spin representation of $\mathrm{Spin}(11) \subset \mathrm{Spin}(12)$ and checking that these actions share the same cohomogeneity.

4.2. Case 2: ρ irreducible and G non simple.

Fix $p \in N$ and a maximal torus T which fixes p ; we decompose $V = \bigoplus_{\mu\in\Lambda} V_\mu$, where Λ is the set of weights relative to T and V_μ is the weight space relative to $\mu \in \Lambda$; we denote by λ the highest weight. The complex orbit $\widehat{N}$ in the twistor space $Z = \mathbb{CP}^{2n+1} = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ is the orbit through

G	ρ
SU(2)	Λ_1
SU(6)	Λ_3
Sp(3)	Λ_3
Spin(11)	Λ_4
Spin(12)	Λ_5
E_7	Λ_1

TABLE 1. G simple and ρ irreducible

the class of a highest weight vector v_λ. Thus the tangent space to Z at $z := [v_\lambda]$, as a T-module, is $(\mathbb{C}v_\lambda)^* \otimes (\mathbb{C}v_\lambda)^\perp$, hence it has $\{-2\lambda\} \cup \{-\lambda + \mu,\ \mu \neq \pm\lambda\}$ as weights. On the other hand, since $\widehat{N}$ is horizontal, we have the T-invariant splitting

$$V_{-\lambda} \otimes (\bigoplus_{\mu \neq \lambda} V_\mu) \cong T_z Z = \mathcal{V}_z \oplus T_z\, Gz \oplus ((T_z\, Gz)^\perp \cap \mathcal{H}_z). \tag{4.2}$$

where π_* gives a G_p-isomorphism of $T_z\, Gz$ with $T_p\, Gp$ and of $(T_z\, Gz)^\perp \cap \mathcal{H}_p$ with $J(T_p\, Gp)$, where J is a fixed element of Z_p anticommuting with z.

Let us see how T operates on the three modules in the right-hand side of (4.2). On $\mathcal{V}_z$ the weight is -2λ, since the twistor line Z_p is the projectivization of $\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{v_\lambda, v_{-\lambda}\}$. The weights of T acting on $T_z Gz$, endowed with the $\mathcal{J}_z$-complex structure, are roots by the standard theory of invariant complex structures on generalized complex manifolds (see e.g.[6]). As for the third module we have to compute the action of T on J: the torus T acts on $Z_p \cong S^2$ fixing z with infinitesimal action on $T_z Z_p$ given by the weight -2λ; it follows that T acts by rotations on the set of complex structures orthogonal to I_p by the same weight -2λ, i.e. $[H, J] = 2i\lambda(H)IJ$. Therefore if v is a weight vector in the complex module $T_z Gz$ relative to a root α, we have

$$\begin{aligned} HJv &= JHv + [H,J]v \;=\; J(\alpha(H)v) + 2i\lambda(H)IJv \\ &= -(\alpha(H) + 2\lambda(H))Jv, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that every root is $i\mathbb{R}$-valued on $\mathfrak{t}$.

Thus the weights of T acting on $(T_z\, Gz)^\perp \cap \mathcal{H}_p$ are of the form $-2\lambda - \alpha$ where α is a root. We now compare the weights of T acting on $T_z Z$ using (4.2): for every weight $\mu \in \Lambda$ with $\mu \neq \lambda, -\lambda$, we have that $\mu - \lambda$ is either a root or is of the form $-2\lambda - \alpha$ where α is a root; we deduce that every weight $\mu \neq \lambda, -\lambda$ is of the form

$$\mu = \alpha \pm \lambda \tag{4.3}$$

where α is a root of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$.

We now split $G = G_1 \times \cdots \times G_s$ and accordingly V as the tensor product $V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_s$ where V_i is of quaternionic type for $i = 1, \ldots, 2r+1$ and of real type whenever $i = 2r+2, \ldots, s$. Now we have the following

Lemma 4.1. *In the above situation*

1. *For every $i = 1, \dots, 2r+1$ we have $V_i \cong \mathbb{C}^2$ and $G_i = \mathrm{SU}(2)$;*
2. *G has at most three simple factors, i.e. $s \leq 3$;*
3. *If $s = 3$, then $G_i = \mathrm{SU}(2)$ and it acts on $V_i \cong \mathbb{C}^2$ via the standard representation for every $i = 1, 2, 3$.*

Proof. 1. We fix $1 \leq k \leq s$ and put $\widetilde{G}_k = \prod_{j \neq k} G_j$ and $\widetilde{V}_k = \bigotimes_{j \neq i} V_j$. Denote by λ_k and $\widetilde{\lambda}_k$ the relevant highest weights, so that the highest weight of V will be $\lambda_k + \widetilde{\lambda}_k$. Recall that every weight of V is of the form $\mu + \nu$ where μ is a weight of V_k and ν is a weight of $\widetilde{V}_k$, whilst a root of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is either a root of $\mathfrak{g}_k^{\mathbb{C}}$ or a root of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_k^{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus_{j \neq k} \mathfrak{g}_j^{\mathbb{C}}$.
Suppose now that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \widetilde{V}_k \geq 3$; then there is a weight $\nu_0 \neq \pm \widetilde{\lambda}$ of $\widetilde{V}$; then (4.3) becomes

$$\mu + \nu_0 = \pm(\lambda_k + \widetilde{\lambda}_k) + \text{ root of } \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \tag{4.4}$$

for arbitrary μ and it implies that either $\mu = \lambda_k$ or $\mu = -\lambda_k$, i.e. $V_k \cong \mathbb{C}^2$ and for $1, \dots, 2r+1$, $G_i = \mathrm{SU}(2)$ acts on V_i in the standard way.
In particular, this holds when $k \leq 2r+1$ since $\widetilde{V}_k$ is irreducible and of real type, hence its dimension is at least three.
2. Using the same notations as above, we put $k = 1$ and use (4.4) with $\mu = -\lambda_1$ and $\mu = \lambda_1$; we get $\nu_0 = -\widetilde{\lambda}_1 + \alpha = \widetilde{\lambda}_1 + \beta$ where α and β are two roots of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Thus $\widetilde{\lambda}_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha - \beta)$ so that $\widetilde{G}_1$ has at most two simple factors.
3. If $s = 3$, for every $1 \leq k \leq 3$, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \widetilde{V}_k \geq 4$ and therefore, by the results in (1), $G_k = \mathrm{SU}(2)$ and $V_k = \mathbb{C}^2$. □

Therefore we are left with the case where $G = \mathrm{SU}(2) \times G'$, $V = \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes V'$ and the group G' is simple, acting linearly on V' via an irreducible representation ρ' of real type.
To treat this case note that the dimensional condition (4.1) becomes

$$\dim G' - \operatorname{rk} G' \geqslant \frac{1}{2}(\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V' \otimes \mathbb{C}^2) - 2 = 2 \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V' - 2. \tag{4.5}$$

Thus if $\operatorname{rk} G' \geqslant 2$, equation (4.5) shows that $\dim G' \geq 2 \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V'$; hence using the above mentioned list of [10, p. 414], one sees that (G', ρ') is forced to be isomorphic to $(\mathrm{SO}(n+2), \Lambda_1)$ with $n \geqslant 3$, $(\mathrm{Spin}(7), \Lambda_3)$ or to $(\mathrm{G}_2, \Lambda_1)$; if $\operatorname{rk} G' = 1$, then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_1 = 3$ and we get that ρ' is the adjoint representation of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$. We collect these cases in the following table:
All the cases in Table 3 give rise to MTC orbits which turn out to be parallel by Theorem 2.2, (1).
Note that the group $\mathrm{G}_2 \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$ has the same totally complex orbit of $\mathrm{SO}(7) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$ and this holds also for $\mathrm{Spin}(7) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$ with $\mathrm{SO}(8) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$: this follows from the fact that the groups G_2 and $\mathrm{Spin}(7)$ act transitively on the quadrics $\mathrm{SO}(7)/\mathrm{SO}(2) \times \mathrm{SO}(5)$ and $\mathrm{SO}(8)/\mathrm{SO}(2) \times \mathrm{SO}(6)$ respectively (see e.g. [15]).

G	ρ
$\mathrm{SO}(n+2)\times\mathrm{SU}(2)$	$\Lambda_1\otimes\Lambda_1$
$\mathrm{Spin}(7)\times\mathrm{SU}(2)$	$\mathrm{spin}\otimes\Lambda_1$
$\mathrm{G}_2\times\mathrm{SU}(2)$	$\Lambda_1\otimes\Lambda_1$
$\mathrm{SU}(2)\times\mathrm{SU}(2)$	$(2\Lambda_1)\otimes\Lambda_1$

TABLE 2. Non simple groups

4.3. **Case 3: ρ reducible.**

Let V be a reducible G-module with an invariant quaternionic structure, given by an invariant anti-linear map q with $q^2 = -\mathrm{id}$. We then decompose V as

$$V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} V_i \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} (W_i \oplus W_i^*), \tag{4.6}$$

where V_i are q-stable irreducible G-modules, while W_i are G-irreducible and $q(W_i) = W_i^*$; here we allow the indices k and s to be zero, meaning that there are no summands of the corresponding type.

We now fix $p \in N \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{H}}(V)$ and $[v] \in \widehat{N} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ a point of the complex lift of N lying over p. Since $G\cdot[v]$ is complex, we see that v can be written (up to a reordering) as

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^{h} v_i + \sum_{i=1}^{t} (w_i + w_i^*), \quad 0 \neq v_i \in V_i \quad 0 \neq w_i + w_i^* \in W_i + W_i^*, \tag{4.7}$$

where $h \leq k$, $t \leq s$; every component in the decomposition above is a highest weight vector (w.r.t. the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{t}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and a suitable choice of positive roots R^+): indeed, if $G\cdot[v] = G^{\mathbb{C}}/P$ where P is a parabolic subgroup whose Lie algebra contains $\mathfrak{t}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and all positive root spaces $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in R^+$, then $\mathfrak{t}^{\mathbb{C}}\cdot v \in \mathbb{C}\cdot v$ and $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \cdot v = 0$ for all $\alpha \in R^+$. Moreover, since $\mathfrak{t}^{\mathbb{C}}\cdot v \in \mathbb{C}\cdot v$, we see that all these highest weights are equal and we have the following

Lemma 4.2. *(1) $V_1 \cong \ldots \cong V_h$; (2) for every $1 \leq i,j \leq t$ either $W_i \cong W_j$ or $W_i \cong W_j^*$; (3) if $h \geq 1$ and $t \geq 1$, then $W_1 \cong \ldots \cong W_t \cong V_1$*

Proof. The first two claims follow from the discussion above, while (3) is a consequence of the fact that V_1 is of quaternionic type, hence self-conjugate. □

We can therefore rewrite the decomposition (4.6) as

$$V = kV_1 \oplus s(W \oplus W^*), \tag{4.8}$$

for some nonnegative integers k, s and some irreducible G-modules W and V_1 (of quaternionic type). We now prove the following

Lemma 4.3. *We have $h = k$ and $t = s$.*

Proof. Indeed suppose $h < k$ or $t < s$; this implies that the quaternionic span of v is contained in a proper G-invariant subspace U of V. On the other hand by Proposition 3.2 we know that there is a point w in the quaternionic span of v such that the orbit $G \cdot [w]$ is Lagrangian; this means that the $G^{\mathbb{C}}$-orbit through $[w]$ is open in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$, while it is contained in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}(U)$, a contradiction. $\square$

Lemma 4.4. *One of the following holds :*

1. $k = 2$, $s = 0$; $G = \mathrm{Sp}(m)$ *and* $V_1 \cong \mathbb{C}^{2m}$ *is the standard representation;*
2. $k = 0$ *and* $s = 1$; $G = \mathrm{SU}(m)$ *or* $G = \mathrm{Sp}(m)$ *and* W *is the standard representation* $W \cong \mathbb{C}^m$ *or* $W \cong \mathbb{C}^{2m}$ *respectively.*

Proof. Suppose $k \geq 1$. This means that the orbit N is diffeomorphic to G/K, where K is the stabilizer in G of $[v_1]$. Since N is MTC, we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_1) = 2\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_1 - 2 \geq \dim_{\mathbb{R}} G/K = \frac{1}{2}\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{H}}(V) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V - 2, \tag{4.9}$$

hence $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V \leq 2\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_1$. This means that $k \leq 2$. Suppose $k = 2$: then $s = 0$ and we have the equality in (4.9); this means that G acts transitively on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_1)$, hence $G = \mathrm{Sp}(n)$ because V_1 is of quaternionic type (see e.g. [15]). If $k = 1$ and $s \geq 1$, then $W_i \cong V_1$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V \geq 3\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_1$, a contradiction.
If $s \geq 1$, we repeat the same estimate as in (4.9) using W_1 (or W_1^*, according to whether v has a non zero component along W_1 or W_1^*) and we get that $s = 1$ and $k = 0$. Again we have that G acts transitively on the projective space $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}(W)$ and the claim follows. $\square$

We now note that the cases listed in Lemma 4.4 are actually possible and correspond to $\mathbb{CP}^{m-1} = \mathrm{SU}(m)/\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{U}(m-1)) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{HP}^{m-1}$ and $\mathbb{CP}^{2m-1} = \mathrm{Sp}(m)/T^1 \times \mathrm{Sp}(m-1) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{HP}^{2m-1}$; observe that the $\mathrm{Sp}(m)$-modules $V = 2\mathbb{C}^{2m}$, endowed with the quaternionic structure that restricts to the standard quaternionic structure of each summand $\mathbb{C}^{2m}$, and $V' = \mathbb{C}^{2m} \oplus (\mathbb{C}^{2m})^* \cong 2\mathbb{C}^{2m}$ endowed with the quaternionic structure that interchanges the two summands, are $\mathrm{Sp}(m)$-equivariantly isomorphic.

5. NORMAL HOLONOMY

In this section we compute the normal holonomy of a MTC submanifold N of $\mathbb{HP}^n$. Given a point $p \in N$ we choose a local adapted basis $\{J_1 := I, J_2 := J, J_3\}$, i.e. sections over a suitable neighborhood U of p of the bundle Z, such that I induces a complex structure along N and J is a section of $\mathcal{L}(N)$ over U.
We denote by $\nabla^\perp$ the normal connection in the normal bundle $TN^\perp$ and by $R^\perp$ its curvature tensor.
Here we remark that the submanifold N and all the connections involved are real analytic, thus the relevant holonomy algebras are generated by the endomorphisms given by the covariant derivatives of the curvature tensors (see e.g. [12], p.153). In

particular this implies that the restricted holonomy group can be determined via local computations.

From now on we will work in the open subset U; since J can be seen as an isomorphism between the bundles TU and $TU^{\perp}$, we can pull back the normal connection to TU defining

$$\nabla'_X(Y) := J^{-1}\nabla^{\perp}_X(JY). \tag{5.1}$$

Obviously $\mathrm{Hol}^0_p(\nabla^{\perp}) \cong \mathrm{Hol}^0_p(\nabla')$; we will denote by $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{h}'$ the Lie algebras of $\mathrm{Hol}^0_p(\nabla)$ and $\mathrm{Hol}^0_p(\nabla')$ respectively. It is easy to show that (see e.g. [22])

$$\nabla'_X Y = \nabla_X Y - \alpha(X)IY, \tag{5.2}$$

where ∇ is the Levi Civita connection of the induced metric on N and α is a local one form such that $\nabla J = \alpha \otimes IJ$ (see equation 1.1); moreover

$$R'_{XY}Z = R_{XY}Z + \frac{\tau}{4n(n+2)}\omega(X,Y)\ IZ, \tag{5.3}$$

where R' is the curvature tensor of the connection ∇' and ω is the local Kähler form of I. We now observe that, using (5.2) and the Kähler condition $\nabla I = 0$ on U,

$$\nabla\omega = \nabla'\omega = 0,$$

so that we can compute the covariant derivatives

$$\nabla' R'_{XY} = \nabla' R_{XY}$$

and again using (5.2) we get

$$\nabla' R'_{XY} = \nabla R_{XY} \tag{5.4}$$

for every $X, Y \in T_pN$. It follows from (5.3), (5.4) and the real analyticity that $\mathrm{Span}\{\mathfrak{h}', I_p\} = \mathrm{Span}\{\mathfrak{h}, I_p\}$.

Lemma 5.1. *$\mathfrak{h}'$ contains a nontrivial center $\mathfrak{c}$.*

Proof. Our claim will follow from the fact that $\mathfrak{h}' \not\subseteq \mathfrak{su}(T_pN)$: indeed this implies that $\mathfrak{h}' \neq [\mathfrak{h}', \mathfrak{h}']$ and therefore $\mathfrak{h}'$, being a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}(T_pN)$, contains a nontrivial center $\mathfrak{c}$.

Suppose that $\mathfrak{h}' \subseteq \mathfrak{su}(T_pN)$; then by (5.3) we have (here ρ denotes the Ricci form of the induced Kähler metric on the adapted neighborhood U)

$$0 = \mathrm{Trace}(I \circ R'_{XY}) = 2\rho(X,Y) - \frac{\tau}{2(n+2)}\omega(X,Y), \tag{5.5}$$

hence U is Einstein with constant scalar cuvature $\frac{n}{2(n+2)}\tau$.

Therefore N is parallel and is locally congruent to one of the cases (1), (3), (5), (6), (7) or (8) listed in Theorem 2.2. We now observe that the only locally reducible case is (3): in this case $\mathfrak{h}$ is abelian and therefore $\mathfrak{h}'$ is also abelian by (5.3). As for the remaining cases (1), (5)–(8), in order to get a contradiction, we need to inspect more carefully the immersion $N \to \mathbb{HP}^n$. In case (1) $\mathbb{CP}^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{HP}^n$ has scalar curvature given by $\frac{n+1}{4(n+2)}\tau$, a contradiction since $n > 1$. As for the cases (5)–(8) note that the twistor projection π restricted to the complex lift $\hat{N}$ is a local isometry. By Theorem 2.2 $\hat{N}$ is a Einstein homogeneous complex submanifold of $\mathbb{CP}^{2n+1}(c)$, where $c = \frac{\tau}{4n(n+2)}$; therefore the result of Takeuchi [19, Theorem 4.3] gives that the scalar curvature of such immersions is $\frac{n}{6(n+2)}\tau$ (see also [3, Proposition 3.12]). Comparing with (5.5) we obtain the desired contradiction. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2, part (1). We first prove that $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{u}(T_pN)$ and therefore it contains I_p. Indeed N is locally irreducible by Theorem 2.2, (c); thus using Berger's classification of the holonomy of irreducible Riemannian manifolds, we see that either (U, g, I) is Hermitian symmetric or $\mathfrak{h}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sp}(n)$, $\mathfrak{su}(n)$ or $\mathfrak{u}(n)$. If it is a symmetric space or the holonomy is properly contained in $\mathfrak{u}(n)$, then (U, g) is Einstein and therefore it is a parallel submanifold by Theorem 2.2, (b). It follows that $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{u}(T_pN)$.
Since $[\mathfrak{c}, I_p] = 0$ and $\mathfrak{h}'$ together with I_p span the whole $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{u}(T_pN)$, we see that $\mathfrak{c}$ centralizes $\mathfrak{u}(T_pN)$, hence $\mathfrak{c} = \mathbb{R} \cdot I_p$. Therefore $\mathfrak{h}' = \mathfrak{h} \cong \mathfrak{u}(n)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2, part (2). We represent the parallel MTC submanifold N as a symmetric space G/K. Let $i : K \to \mathrm{O}(T_pN)$ and $\nu : K \to \mathrm{O}(T_pN^\perp)$ be respectively the isotropy and slice representations at p. Since $\hat{N} = G/K^0$ is a Hermitian symmetric space one has $i(K^0) = \mathrm{Hol}^0_p(\nabla)$.

Lemma 5.2. $\mathrm{Hol}^0_p(\nabla^\perp)$ *is contained in* $\nu(K)$.

Proof. The key fact is that the parallel submanifold N has curvature invariant normal space (see e.g. [11] or [3]), hence, by a result of Naitoh [13], it is a *symmetric submanifold*. Thus we can apply the same arguments as in [7, Proposition 2.4] to reach the conclusion. □

Now observe that if we prove that $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}'$ then we have $\dim \mathfrak{h}' = \dim K$ and

$$\dim K = \dim \mathfrak{h}' = \dim \mathrm{Hol}^0_p(\nabla^\perp) \leq \dim \nu(K) \leq \dim K$$

so that $\mathrm{Hol}^0_p(\nabla^\perp) = \nu(K)$. The following lemma concludes the proof.

Lemma 5.3. $I_p \in \mathfrak{h}'$, *hence* $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}'$.

Proof. Let us distinguish two cases.
If N is irreducible the center $\mathfrak{c}$ of $\mathfrak{h}'$ is $\mathbb{R} \cdot I_p$ because $\mathfrak{h}$ acts irreducibly on T_pN.
In the remaining cases (2), (3) and (4) of Theorem 2.2 we may use 5.3 and the explicit expression for the curvature of the involved symmetric spaces; It is not difficult to exhibit X such that $R_{XIX} = \pm I_p$ and obtain the claim. □

References

[1] D. V. Alekseevsky, A. J. Di Scala: *The normal holonomy group of Kähler submanifolds*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **89** (2004), no. 1, 193–216.

[2] D. V. Alekseevsky, A. J. Di Scala, S. Marchiafava: *Parallel Kähler submanifolds of quaternionic Kähler symmetric spaces*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **57** (2005), 521–540.

[3] D. V. Alekseevsky, S. Marchiafava: *Hermitian and Kähler submanifolds of a quaternionic Kähler manifold*, Osaka J. Math. **38** (2001), 869–904.

[4] D. V. Alekseevsky, S. Marchiafava: *A twistor construction of Kähler submanifolds of a quaternionic Kähler manifold*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **184** (2005), 53–74.

[5] L. Bedulli, A. Gori: *Homogeneous Lagrangian submanifolds*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **16** (2008), 591–615.

[6] A.L. Besse: Einstein manifolds, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*, 10. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.

[7] S. Console, A.J. Di Scala: *Parallel submanifolds of complex projective space and their normal holonomy* to appear in Math. Z. arXiv:math.DG/0611421

[8] S. Console, A.J. Di Scala, C. Olmos: *A Berger type normal holonomy theorem for complex submanifolds* (2008) arXiv:0807.3419

[9] N. Ejiri, K. Tsukada: *Another natural lift of a Kähler submanifold of a quaternionic Kähler manifold to the twistor space*, Tokyo J. Math. **28** (2005), 71–78.

[10] W. Fulton, J. Harris,: Representation theory. A first course. *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, **129** Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.

[11] S. Funabashi: *Totally complex submanifolds of a quaternionic Kaehlerian manifold*, Kodai Math. J. 2 (1979), no. 3, 314–336.

[12] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu: *Foundations of differential geometry. Vol. I, II.* John Wiley & Sons, Inc. New York, 1963 (Vol. I), 1969 (Vol. II).

[13] H. Naitoh: *Symmetric submanifolds of compact symmetric spaces*, Tsukuba J. Math. **10** (1986), 215–242.

[14] C. Olmos: *The normal holonomy group* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **110** (1990) 813–818.

[15] A.L. Onishchik: *Topology of Transitive Transformation Groups* Johann Ambrosius Barth, Leipzig, 1994.

[16] A.L. Onishchik, E.B. Vinberg: *Lie Groups and Lie Algebras III*, Encyclopaedia of Math. Sciences, **41**, Springer Verlag (1994).

[17] S. Salamon: *Quaternionic Kähler manifolds,* Invent. Math. **67** (1982), 143–171.

[18] M. Sato, T. Kimura: *A classification of irreducible prehomogeneous vector spaces and their relative invariants,* Nagoya Math. J. **65**, (1977) 1–155

[19] M. Takeuchi: *Homogeneous Kähler submanifolds in complex projective spaces*, Japan. J. Math. (N.S.) **4** (1978), 171–219.

[20] M. Takeuchi: *Totally complex submanifolds of quaternionic symmetric spaces*, Japan. J. Math., (N.S.) **12** (1986) 161–189.

[21] K. Tsukada: *Parallel submanifolds in a Quaternion projective space*, Osaka J. Math **22** (1985), 187–241.

[22] K. Tsukada: *Einstein-Kähler submanifolds in a quaternion projective space*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **36** (2004), 527–536.

Dipartimento di Matematica per le Decisioni - Università di Firenze, via C. Lombroso 6/17, 50134 Firenze, Italy
E-mail address: `bedulli@math.unifi.it`

Dipartimento di Matematica - Università di Bologna, Piazza di Porta S. Donato 5, 40126 Bologna, Italy
E-mail address: `gori@math.unifi.it`

Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni per l'Architettura - Università di Firenze, Piazza Ghiberti 27, 50122 Firenze, Italy
E-mail address: `podesta@math.unifi.it`