A SHORTER PROOF OF A RESULT BY POTAPOV AND SUKOCHEV ON LIPSCHTIZ FUNCTIONS ON S^p

MIKAEL DE LA SALLE

Abstract. In this short note we give a short proof of a recent result by Potapov and Sukochev (arXiv:0904.4095v1), stating that a Lipschitz function on the real line remains Lipschitz on the (self-adjoint part of) non-commutative L_p spaces with $1 < p < \infty$.

In the preprint [5] Potapov and Sukochev presented a proof of the following result, answering an open question going back at least to Krein (see also [4]):

Theorem 0.1 (Potapov and Sukochev). *For any $1 < p < \infty$ there exists a constant C_p such that for any 1-Lipschitz function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and any two selfadjoint operators A, B on ℓ^2 with $A - B$ belonging to p-Schatten class S^p (*i.e.* such that $\|A - B\|_p \overset{def}{=} Tr(|A - B|^p)^{1/p} < \infty$), $f(A) - f(B) \in S^p$ and moreover*

$$\|f(A) - f(B)\|_p \le C_p \|A - B\|_p.$$

In this note we present a shortcut to their proof. Our proof still uses the two main ingredients of their proof, Lemma 0.4 and Lemma 0.3 below, but it does not require an extrapolation type argument or the use of a factorization theorem for non-commutative H^p spaces. Here we will restrict ourselves to the case when the operators A and B belong to a finite-dimensional matrix algebra, but the proof easily extends to the case when A and B belong to any von Neumann algebra with a normal trace, replacing the norm in S^p by the norm in the corresponding non-commutative L^p-space. We will denote S^p_n the space $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ equipped the norm $\|A\|_p = Tr(|A - B|^p)^{1/p}$.

As explained in [5] (and also in Widom's problem 4.21 "When are differentiable functions differentiable?" of [1] or problem 6.4 of [2]), the fact that a function f is Lipschitz on S^p_n is equivalent to the boundedness on S^p_n of a class a Schur multiplier, namely the class of Schur multipliers with symbol $(f(\lambda_k) - f(\lambda_l))/(\lambda_k - \lambda_l) 1_{k \neq l}$ for any increasing sequence $\lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_n$ (more precisely the Lipschitz constant of f on S^p_n is equal to the supremum of the norms of these multipliers). Recall that for a family $\phi_{k,l} \in \mathbb{C}$ for $1 \le k, l \le n$ we denote by M_ϕ and call "Schur multiplier with symbol ϕ" the linear operator $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \to M_n(\mathbb{C})$ sending a matrix $a = (a_{k,l})$ to the matrix $M_\varphi(a) = (\phi_{k,l} a_{k,l})$.

Partially supported by ANR-06-BLAN-0015.

Since any 1-Lipschitz function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is the difference of two *non-decreasing* 1-Lipschitz functions, it is also enough to treat the case when f is non-decreasing (and even strictly increasing by an aproximation argument). Theorem 0.1 is thus equivalent to the following theorem:

Theorem 0.2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. There is a constant $C_p > 0$ such that for any (strictly) increasing 1-Lipschitz function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, any integer n and any increasing sequence or real numbers $\lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_n$, if $\phi_{k,l} = (f(\lambda_k) - f(\lambda_l))/(\lambda_k - \lambda_l) 1_{k \neq l}$ then*

$$\|M_\phi\|_{S_n^p \to S_n^p} \leq C_p.$$

Remark. In fact both Potapov and Sukochev's proof and the following proof give the same bound for the completely bounded norm of M_ϕ. This does not immediately follow from the statement of the Theorem since the question whether the boundedness of a Schur multiplier on S^p implies its complete boundedness is still open when $1 < p \neq 2 < \infty$.

Here are the two main ingredients from [5] we will use. The first one is a Fourier-transform trick (note that this kind of trick was already used in Lemma 1.7 of [3]):

Lemma 0.3 ([5], Lemma 6)**.** *There exists a function $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that:*

- $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |s|^m |g(s)| ds < \infty$ *for any* $m \in \mathbb{N}$.
- *for any* $0 < \lambda < \mu$ *we have*

$$\frac{\lambda}{\mu} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(s) \lambda^{is} \mu^{-is} ds.$$

The second ingredient is the following, which is a consequence of the vector-valued Marcinkievicz multiplier theorem, due to Bourgain:

Lemma 0.4 ([5], Lemma 5)**.** *Let $1 < p < \infty$. There exists $K_p > 0$ such that for $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and if $M(s)$ the Schur multiplier on M_n with symbol $|k-l|^{is}$ (with the convention $0^{is} = 0$). Then*

$$\|M(s)\|_{S_n^p \to S_n^p} \leq K_p(1 + |s|).$$

Remark. In [5] this lemma is stated for the Schur multiplier $|k-l|^{is} 1_{k>l}$, but since $|k-l|^{is} = |k-l|^{is} 1_{k<l} + |k-l|^{is} 1_{k>l}$ the above version follows from it.

Let us now prove the main theorem:

Proof of Theorem 0.2. Denote $\phi_{k,l} = (f(\lambda_k) - f(\lambda_l))/(\lambda_k - \lambda_l) 1_{k \neq l}$. Note that for $k \neq l$ since f is increasing and 1-Lipschitz we have $0 \leq \phi_{k,l} \leq 1$, and hence Lemma 0.3 implies that

$$\phi_{k,l} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(s) |f(\lambda_k) - f(\lambda_l)|^{is} |\lambda_k - \lambda_l|^{-is} ds.$$

For any increasing sequence $\mu_1 < \cdots < \mu_n$ denote by $M(s, (\mu_k)_k)$ the Schur multiplier with symbol $|\mu_k - \mu_l|^{is}$, so that

$$M_\phi = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(s) M(s, (f(\lambda_k))_k) M(-s, (\lambda_k)_k) ds.$$

Since $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(s)|(1+|s|)^2 ds < \infty$ if we prove that $M(s,(\mu_k)_k)$ is bounded on S_n^p with norm less than $K_p(1+|s|)$ (with K_p given by Lemma 0.4) then we will be done since it would imply that

$$\|M_\phi\|_{S_n^p \to S_n^p} \leq K_p^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(s)|(1+|s|)^2 ds.$$

By a density argument it is enough to prove the bound on the norm of $M(s,(\mu_k)_k)$ when μ_k are all rational numbers. But then if N is an integer such that $N\mu_k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the equality $|\mu_k - \mu_l|^{is} = N^{-is}|N\mu_k - N\mu_l|^{is}$ implies that we can assume that $\mu_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all k (end even that $\mu_k \in \mathbb{N}$ by adding to the μ_k's a large enough number).

If $1 \leq \mu_1 < \cdots < \mu_n$ then the matrix $(|\mu_k - \mu_l|^{is})_{1 \leq k,l \leq n}$ is a submatrix of the matrix $(|k-l|^{is})_{1 \leq k,l \leq \mu_n}$. The Schur multiplier $M(s,(\mu_k)_{1 \leq k \leq n})$ is thus a restriction of the Schur multiplier $M(s,(k)_{1 \leq k \leq \mu_n})$, which is just the multiplier $M(s)$ of Lemma 0.4 with n replaced by μ_n and which is therefore bounded by $K_p(1+|s|)$. ☐

References

[1] V. P. Havin, S. V. Hruščëv, and N. K. Nikol′skiĭ, editors. *Linear and complex analysis problem book*, volume 1043 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984. 199 research problems.

[2] V. P. Havin and N. K. Nikolski, editors. *Linear and complex analysis. Problem book 3. Part I*, volume 1573 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.

[3] Marius Junge and Javier Parcet. Rosenthal's theorem for subspaces of noncommutative L_p. *Duke Math. J.*, 141(1):75–122, 2008.

[4] V. V. Peller. Description of Hankel operators of the class S_p for $p > 0$, investigation of the rate of rational approximation and other applications. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*, 122(164)(4):481–510, 1983.

[5] Denis Potapov and Fedor Sukochev. Operator-Lipschitz functions in Schatten-von Neumann classes. *arXiv:0904.4095v1*, 2009.

Département de Mathématiques et Applications, École Normale Supérieure, 45 rue d'Ulm, 75005 Paris

Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, rue du Chevalleret, 75013 Paris
E-mail address: `mikael.de.la.salle@ens.fr`