Combining type I and type II seesaw mechanisms in the minimal 3-3-1 model.

W. Caetano[1], D. Cogollo[2], C. A. de S. Pires[1], P. S. Rodrigues da Silva[1]

[1] *Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal da Paraíba,*
Caixa Postal 5008, 58051-970, João Pessoa, PB, Brasi

[2] *Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal de Campina Grande,*
Caixa Postal 10071, 58109-970, Campina Grande, Paraíba, Brazil

arXiv:1206.5741v1 [hep-ph] 25 Jun 2012

(Dated: May 5, 2019)

Abstract

The minimal 3-3-1 model is perturbative until energies around 4-5TeV, posing a challenge to generate neutrino masses at eV scale, mainly if one aims to take advantage of the seesaw mechanism. As a means to circumvent this problem we propose a modification of the model such that it accommodates the type I and type II seesaw mechanisms altogether. We show that the conjunction of both mechanisms yield a neutrino mass expression suppressed by a high power of the cutoff scale, M^5, in its denominator. With such a suppression term we naturally obtain neutrino masses at eV scale when M is around few TeV. We also investigate the size of lepton flavor violation through the process $\mu \to e\gamma$.

I. INTRODUCTION

An interesting class of gauge extensions of the standard model (SM) that is expected to manifest at TeV scale is the $SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times U(1)_N$ gauge group for the strong and electroweak interactions, the so-called 3-3-1 model. Among its versions, the minimal 3-3-1 model [1] is considered the most interesting one because of its phenomenological aspects based on the presence of doubly charged gauge bileptons, U^{++}, and new quarks with exotic electric charges $\frac{5}{3}e$ and $\frac{4}{3}e$. In its original version three triplets and one sextet of scalars were advocated in order to generate the correct charged fermion mass spectrum [2]. Even with such dilated scalar sector the model is not able to generate small neutrino masses.

Recently it was shown that the minimal 3-3-1 model can be implemented with two triplet of scalars only (reduced version) [3]. Such short scalar sector is sufficient to engender the spontaneous breaking of the $SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times U(1)_N$ symmetry to the $SU(3)_C \times U(1)_{em}$ one. The main worry here is about the fermion mass spectrum, since two scalar triplets are not enough to generate all the Yukawa interactions necessary to produce mass for all fermions. However, the model has an interesting peculiarity, namely, its pertubative regime requires $\sin^2\theta_W < 0.25$. Translating this in terms of energy, it was pointed out in Ref. [4] that the pertubative regime of the model is lost around some few TeV. In other words, the highest energy scale where the model is pertubatively reliable is about 4-5 TeV, and not much further there is a Landau pole on the Weinberg angle, indicating that the model claims for an extension before this. This allows us to make use of effective dimension-5 operators to generate masses to some fermions considering this scale as a cutoff [3].

However an energy scale around few TeV faces difficulties to generate light neutrinos because some unpleasant effective operators may give rise to large neutrino mass terms, unless we assume some fine tuning on the effective Yukawa couplings. These operators have to be taken into account when we assume that the lagrangian at tree level contains terms that explicitly violate lepton number. Thus, in order to avoid such unpleasant effective operators we prohibit such terms and assume that Majorana neutrino masses arise as consequence of spontaneous breaking of the lepton number.

The proposal of this work is to solve the problem of small neutrino masses in the framework of the reduced 3-3-1 model in a most economic way. For this we extend the scalar and leptonic content of the model in order to implement the type I and type II seesaw mechanism in a way where lepton number is spontaneously broken. We show that the conjunction of both seesaw mechanisms result in a neutrino mass expression suppressed by the factor M^5 which is sufficient to generate neutrino masses at eV scale for M around few TeVs.

II. THE MECHANISM

The leptonic content of the reduced 3-3-1model [3] is extended by adding three new singlet right-handed neutrinos,

$$f_{aL} = \left(\nu_{aL} \; e_{aL} \; e^c_{aL} \right)^T \sim (3\,,\,0)\,, \nu_{a_R} \sim (1,0). \tag{1}$$

where $a = 1, 2, 3$, and the numbers between parentheses refer to the $SU(3)_L$, $U(1)_N$ transformation properties.

The minimal scalar content required to engender the correct spontaneous breaking of the gauge symmetry is composed by only two scalar triplets,

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \rho^+ \\ \rho^0 \\ \rho^{++} \end{pmatrix} \sim (1,3,1), \quad \chi = \begin{pmatrix} \chi^- \\ \chi^{--} \\ \chi^0 \end{pmatrix} \sim (1,3,-1). \tag{2}$$

However, for the proposal we have in mind, it is necessary to extend the scalar sector by adding a third scalar triplet and a scalar singlet, namely

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^0 \\ \phi_1^- \\ \phi_2^+ \end{pmatrix} \sim (1,3,0)\ , \quad \sigma \sim (1,1,0) \tag{3}$$

whose mass parameters in the potential must dominate over those of ρ and χ fields, which is mandatory such that the whole scheme makes sense.

In order to avoid unpleasant effective operators it is imperative to impose a Z_4 symmetry with the following fields transforming as,

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho \to w^3\rho\,,\ \chi \to w^3\chi\,,\ \phi \to w^2\phi\,,\ \sigma \to w^2\sigma\ ,\ \nu_{a_R} \to w^3\nu_{a_R}\ ,\ u_{a_R} \to w^3u_{a_R} \\ &d_{a_R} \to w^2 d_{a_R}\ ,\ Q_1 \to wQ_1\ ,\ J_1 \to w^2J_1\ ,\ J_i \to w^3J_i\ ,\ f_{a_L} \to wf_{a_L}, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where $w = e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}}$, $i = 2, 3$ while $a = 1, 2, 3$.

In view of this, neutrino mass terms can only arise from the symmetric Yukawa interactions,

$$\mathcal{L} = Y\overline{f}_L\phi\nu_R + \frac{Y'}{2}\sigma\overline{\nu}^c_R\nu_R + H.c., \tag{5}$$

where Y and Y' are 3×3 matrices. Perceive that Lepton number conservation requires that the singlet scalar be a bilepton (carrying two units of lepton number), $L(\sigma) = -2$, and thus lepton number conservation is attached to the Z_4 symmetry.

All neutral fields ρ^0, χ^0 , ϕ^0, σ are assumed to develop VEV according to

$$\langle\rho^0\rangle = \frac{v_\rho}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \langle\chi'\rangle = \frac{v_{\chi'}}{\sqrt{2}} \quad \langle\phi^0\rangle = \frac{v_\phi}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \langle\sigma\rangle = \frac{v_\sigma}{\sqrt{2}}. \tag{6}$$

When σ and ϕ both develop VEV different from zero, neutrinos develop Dirac and Majorana mass terms,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{Yv_\phi}{\sqrt{2}}\overline{\nu}_L\nu_R + \frac{1}{2}\frac{Y'v_\sigma}{\sqrt{2}}\overline{\nu}^c_R\nu_R + H.c. \tag{7}$$

Note that when this happens lepton number was broken spontaneously.

On considering the basis $\nu = (\nu_L, \nu^c_R)$, we can write the mass terms above in the form,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{1}{2}\bar{\nu}^c M_\nu \nu + H.c., \tag{8}$$

where,

$$M_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M_D \\ M_D^T & M_R \end{pmatrix}, \tag{9}$$

with $M_D = \frac{Yv_\phi}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $M_R = \frac{Y'v_\sigma}{\sqrt{2}}$. When all the eigenvalues of M_R are larger than all elements of M_D, we obtain, after diagonalizing this mass matrix, the following expressions for the left and right-handed neutrino masses

$$m_{\nu_l} \approx M_D^T M_R^{-1} M_D, \quad m_{\nu_R} \approx M_R. \tag{10}$$

This is the canonical type I seesaw mechansim [5]. As usual we consider M_R diagonal and degenerate. In practical terms we take $M_R = MI$ where I is the identity matrix and $M = \frac{Y'v_\sigma}{\sqrt{2}}$.

Regarding the left-handed (LH) neutrinos, according to Eq. (10) the order of magnitude of their masses is,

$$m_{\nu_l} \approx \frac{v_\phi^2}{M}. \tag{11}$$

We recall that there exists a Landau pole in the version of 3-3-1 model we are working with, and this poses a limit to the perturbative realm for this model, forcing us to adopt the maximum value for M to be around 5 TeV [4]. Therefore, if we want to have LH neutrinos at the eV scale, we need $v_\phi \approx 10^{-2}$ GeV. There is no lower bound on this parameter, however, an upper bound exists, $v_\phi^2 + v_\rho^2 = (246)^2$ GeV, which arises because v_ρ, as well as v_ϕ, both contribute to the mass of the standard charged gauge boson $W^\pm$. Thus there is no problem in taking v_ϕ as small as we wish as long as we keep $v_\rho \approx 246$ GeV.

A suppressed v_ϕ can be obtained through a kind of type II seesaw mechanism as developed in Ref. [6]. Consider the most general scalar potential invariant under the gauge symmetry, $SU(3)_C \otimes SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_N$ and also the discrete symmetry, Z_4,

$$\begin{aligned} V(\phi,\rho,\chi,\sigma) &= \mu_\phi^2 \phi^\dagger \phi + \mu_\rho^2 \rho^\dagger \rho + \mu_\chi^2 \chi^\dagger \chi + \mu_\sigma^2 \sigma^* \sigma \\ &+ \lambda_1 (\phi^\dagger\phi)^2 + \lambda_2 (\rho^\dagger \rho)^2 + \lambda_3 (\chi^\dagger\chi)^2 + \lambda_4 (\sigma^*\sigma)^2 \\ &+ \lambda_5 (\phi^\dagger\phi)(\rho^\dagger\rho) + \lambda_6 (\phi^\dagger\phi)(\chi^\dagger\chi) + \lambda_7 (\rho^\dagger\rho)(\chi^\dagger\chi) \\ &+ \lambda_8 (\rho^\dagger\phi)(\phi^\dagger\rho) + \lambda_9 (\chi^\dagger\phi)(\phi^\dagger\chi) + \lambda_{10} (\rho^\dagger\chi)(\chi^\dagger\rho) \\ &+ \lambda_{11} (\phi^\dagger\phi)(\sigma^*\sigma) + \lambda_{12} (\phi^\dagger\phi)(\sigma^*\sigma) + \lambda_{13} (\chi^\dagger\chi)(\sigma^*\sigma) \\ &- \frac{f}{\sqrt{2}} \epsilon^{ijk} \phi_i \rho_j \chi_k + H.c., \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where f is a free parameter with dimension of mass.

Let us assume the following shift in the neutral scalars,

$$\phi^0, \rho^0, \chi^0, \sigma^0 \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (v_{\phi,\rho,\chi,\sigma} + R_{\phi,\rho,\chi,\sigma} + i I_{\phi,\rho,\chi,\sigma}). \tag{13}$$

Requiring the above choice of VEVs, we are going to have the following set of constraint equations,

$$\begin{aligned} & v_\phi(\mu_\phi^2 + \lambda_1 v_\phi^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_5 v_\rho^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_6 v_\chi^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{11} v_\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2} f v_\rho v_\chi = 0, \\ & v_\rho(\mu_\rho^2 + \lambda_2 v_\rho^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_5 v_\phi^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_7 v_\chi^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{12} v_\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2} f v_\phi v_\chi = 0, \\ & v_\chi(\mu_\chi^2 + \lambda_3 v_\chi^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_6 v_\phi^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_7 v_\rho^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{13} v_\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2} f v_\phi v_\rho = 0, \\ & \mu_\sigma^2 + \lambda_4 v_\sigma^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{11} v_\phi^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{12} v_\rho^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{13} v_\chi^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

From these four relations, the first of them is the only one that matters to us, since it is the one that is going to furnish the desired relation for v_ϕ. As we have already discussed, the highest energy scale in this model is around $M \approx 5$ TeV if we want to keep on the perturbative realm. It is this scale that we associate to the scalar ϕ, meaning that $\mu_\phi \approx M$. Notice that μ_ϕ is dominant in the parenthesis in the first relation of the Eq. (14). As a result, we obtain from it,

$$v_\phi = \frac{f v_\rho v_\chi}{2M^2}, \tag{15}$$

which characterizes the type II seesaw mechanism.

On substituting this expression for v_ϕ in Eq. (10), we obtain the following expression to the LH neutrino mass,

$$m_{\nu_l} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{8} Y^T Y \frac{f^2 v_\rho^2 v_\chi^2}{M^5}. \tag{16}$$

Here comes the main result of this work. Although M, the highest energy scale available for the model, is only some few TeV, according to Eq. (16), light neutrino masses (at eV scale) may arise thanks to the suppression by the fifth power of M in the denominator. To better appreciate this point, notice that for reasonable values of the model parameters, $f = 1$ GeV, $v_\rho = 246$ GeV, $v_\chi = 10^3$ GeV and $M = 5 \times 10^3$ GeV, we obtain,

$$m_{\nu_l} = 3.4 Y^T Y \text{eV}, \tag{17}$$

which falls exactly at the eV scale (keep in mind that the entries in the matrices Y are Yukawa couplings). The correct neutrino masses are obtained by choosing a fair texture for the matrix Y.

As a concrete example we consider Y symmetric and take the following set of possible values for their elements,

$$\begin{aligned} &y_{11} = 0.0181, \quad y_{12} = y_{21} = 0.00350, \quad y_{13} = y_{31} = -0.0276, \\ &y_{22} = -0.0448, \quad y_{23} = y_{32} = -0.0767, y_{33} = -0.0394. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

With this we obtain the following texture for the mass matrix m_{ν_l},

$$m_{\nu_l} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.003745 & 0.00688 & 0.001086 \\ 0.00688 & 0.02687 & 0.02163 \\ 0.001086 & 0.02163 & 0.02787 \end{pmatrix} eV. \tag{19}$$

The diagonalization of this mass matrix yields the following masses for LH neutrinos, $m_1 = 5.7 \times 10^{-5}$, $m_2 = 8.7 \times 10^{-3}$ and $m_3 = 5.0 \times 10^{-2}$ which provides the following neutrino mass squared differences:

$$\Delta m^2_{21} \equiv m^2_2 - m^2_1 = 7.6 \times 10^{-5} eV^2, \quad \Delta m^2_{31} \equiv m^2_3 - m^2_1 = 2.5 \times 10^{-3} eV^2.$$

The U_{PMNS} mixing matrix that diagonalizes m_{ν_L} above is,

$$U_{PMNS} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.803 & 0.583 & 0.122 \\ -0.485 & 0.521 & 0.702 \\ 0.346 & -0.623 & 0.702 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{20}$$

which implies the following mixing angles $\theta_{12} = 36^o$, $\theta_{23} = 45^o$ and e $\theta_{13} = 7^o$.

Thus, such mixing angles together with the above neutrino mass squared differences, explain both the solar [7]and atmospheric [8] neutrino oscillations and the recent experimental results concerning the angle θ_{13} [9]

The mixing among LH and RH neutrinos is given by $V = M_D M_R^{-1}$. In this way the LH neutrinos composing the leptonic charged current interactions of the SM are a superposition of six neutrinos, $(\hat{\nu}_L \ , \ N_L)$, which in first order in $M_D M_R^{-1}$ can be written as,

$$\nu_L \approx U_{PMNS} \hat{\nu}_L + V N_L. \tag{21}$$

Then the charged current in the physical basis is,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{CC} &= -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{f}_{aL} \gamma^\mu \nu_{aL} W^-_\mu + H.c. \\ &\approx -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{f}_{aL} \gamma^\mu \left(U_{PMNS} \hat{\nu}_{aL} + V N_{aL} \right) W^-_\mu + H.c. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

As we are assuming that the RH neutrinos have masses around few TeV, it becomes important to check if their contributions to lepton flavor violation (LFV) processes respect current bounds. The most constraining LFV process is $\mu \to e\gamma$ whose current upper bound on its branching ratio is $BR(\mu \to e\gamma) < 4.9 \times 10^{-11}$ [10]. By taking the above considerations on neutrino mixing, we can compute this branching ratio for our model.

The expression for the branching ratio for the process $\mu \to e\gamma$ is given by Ref. [11],

$$BR(\mu \to e\gamma) \approx \frac{\alpha^3_W \sin^2(\theta_W) m^5_\mu}{256 \pi^2 m^4_W \Gamma_\mu} \times |(VV^T)_{21} I(\frac{m^2_{\nu_R}}{m^2_W})|^2, \tag{23}$$

where

$$I(x) = -\frac{2x^3 + 5x^2 - x}{4(1-x)^3} - \frac{3x^3 lnx}{2(1-x)^4}. \tag{24}$$

In the branching ratio above $\alpha_W = \frac{g^2}{4\pi}$ with g being the weak coupling constant, θ_W is the Weinberg's angle, m_μ is the mass of the muon, m_W is the mass of the $W^\pm$, Γ_μ is the total muon decay width. The precise values of these parameters can be extracted from Ref. [10].

With M_D and M_R taken from the illustrative example above we obtain the following values for the branching ratio,

$$BR(\mu \to e\gamma) \approx 1.9 \times 10^{-26}, \tag{25}$$

which respects the current upper bounds but, unfortunately, is too small escaping the sensitivity of future neutrino experiments which will probe branching ratios of order up to 10^{-18} [12]. In other worlds, although the seesaw mechanism developed here involves right-handed neutrinos with mass around few TeV, their mixing with the standard neutrinos are very suppressed staying, as in the canonical case, far from being detected in the present or future neutrino experiments. This result should remain for whatever set of values we take for the Yukawa couplings, Y, once they would be not far from the order of magnitude we used in the illustrative example above.

III. CONCLUSIONS

The highest energy scale where the minimal 3-3-1 model allows for reasonable perturbative computations is about 4-5 TeV, due to the existence of a Landau pole evidenced by the running of the Weinberg's angle [4]. This peculiar property of this gauge model turns out to be interesting in the sense that we can make use of this fact to generate masses for fermions through effective operators. This allows a remarkable reduction of the number of degree of freedom on the scalar sector of the model, which was previously thought to contain at least three triplets and one or two sextets. It was recently observed in Ref. [3] that two triplet of scalars are sufficient to engender the correct spontaneous breaking of the $SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times U(1)_N$ symmetry to the $\to SU(3)_C \times U(1)_{em}$ and generate masses for all the fermions. Some fine tuning in the effective Yukawa couplings is still manadatory in this scheme though, mainly for the neutrinos. In order to completely avoid such fine tuning concerning neutrino's masses and profit from the elegant seesaw mechanism in this model, we noticed that the implied low cut off energy poses a big challenge to such a procedure. This is because, as it is well known, neutrino masses around eV scale demand a high energy scale when explanation is via seesaw mechanism.

In this work we have slightly modified the reduced 3-3-1 model from its original form presented in Ref. [3] in order to circumvent the above mentioned difficulty in generating naturally small neutrino masses. We performed this change by adding three singlet RH neutrinos, as well as a triplet and a singlet scalars. Such modification was chosen in an appropriate way so that we could build the type I and type II seesaw mechanisms and combine them to yield neutrino masses suppressed by a high-scale M^5 in its denominator and, in this way, we got neutrino masses at eV scale naturally for M around few TeV. Regarding some characteristic signature of the mechanism developed here, unfortunately, and similar to the canonical case, it can leave no track in the present or planned experiments. This is so because, even with RH neutrinos having masses around few TeV, the mixing among LH and RH neutrinos get very suppressed due to the type II seesaw mechanism on the VEV responsible by the Dirac mass M_D.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Conselho Nacional de Pesquisa e Desenvolvimento Científico-CNPq (CASP and PSRS) and Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - CAPES (WC).

Appendix A

In this appendix we check if the VEVs of the scalar sector are sufficient for generating the correct masses of the quarks. For this note that the quark sector is the original one where [1],

$$\begin{aligned}
&Q_{1L}=\begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ d_1 \\ J_1 \end{pmatrix}_L \sim (3,3,+\tfrac{2}{3}) \;\;,\;\; Q_{iL}=\begin{pmatrix} d_i \\ -u_i \\ J_i \end{pmatrix}_L \sim (3,3^*,-\tfrac{1}{3}),\\
&u_{iR}\sim(3,1,+\tfrac{2}{3});\;\; d_{iR}\sim(3,1,-\tfrac{1}{3});\;\; J_{iR}\sim(3,1,-\tfrac{4}{3}),\\
&u_{1R}\sim(3,1,+\tfrac{2}{3});\;\; d_{1R}\sim(3,1,-\tfrac{1}{3});\;\; J_{1R}\sim(3,1,+\tfrac{5}{3}),
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

with $i=2,3$.

The Yukawa interactions among scalars and quarks are composed by the following terms,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda^J_{11}\bar{Q}_{1_L}\chi J_{1R} + \lambda^J_{ij_L}\bar{Q}_{iL}\chi^* J_{j_R} + \\
&\lambda^d_{1a}\bar{Q}_{1_L}\rho d_{a_R} + \lambda^d_{ia_L}\bar{Q}_{iL}\phi^* d_{a_R} + \\
&\lambda^u_{1a}\bar{Q}_{1_L}\phi u_{a_R} + \lambda^u_{ia_L}\bar{Q}_{iL}\rho^* u_{a_R} + H.c.\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where $i, j = 2, 3$ and $a = 1, 2, 3$.

We should be concerned whether the spectrum of scalars used here, where one of them develops a small VEV, v_ϕ, is able to provide the correct values for the quark masses. Fortunately, the up-type and down-type quark's masses both have origin in Yukawa interactions involving the triplet ϕ as well as the triplet ρ. This is sufficient to guarantee that a small v_ϕ together with a standard v_ρ produce the correct masses for all quarks.

Let us consider the set of VEVs taken in the body of the manuscript. For the up-type quarks, the Yukawa interactions yield the following mass matrix in the basis $(u_1\,, u_2\,, u_3)$,

$$M^u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} \lambda^u_{11}v_\phi & \lambda^u_{12}v_\phi & \lambda^u_{13}v_\phi \\ -\lambda^u_{21}v_\rho & -\lambda^u_{22}v_\rho & -\lambda^u_{23}v_\rho \\ -\lambda^u_{31}v_\rho & -\lambda^u_{32}v_\rho & -\lambda^u_{33}v_\rho \end{pmatrix}. \tag{28}$$

For this set of Yukawa couplings,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda^u_{11} = 0.30, \quad \lambda^u_{12} = 0.02, \quad \lambda^u_{13} = 0.04; \\
&\lambda^u_{21} = -0.04, \quad \lambda^u_{22} = -0.005, \quad \lambda^u_{23} = -0.05; \\
&\lambda^u_{31} = 0.03, \quad \lambda^u_{32} = 0.04, \quad \lambda^u_{33} = -0.99,
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

we obtain,

$$m_u \approx 3.0MeV \quad m_c \approx 1.22GeV \quad m_t \approx 171.8GeV.$$

Now, for the down-type quarks, the Yukawa interactions yield the following mass matrix in the basis $(d_1\,, d_2\,, d_3)$,

$$M^d = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} \lambda^d_{11}v_\rho & \lambda^d_{12}v_\rho & \lambda^d_{13}v_\rho \\ \lambda^d_{21}v_\phi & \lambda^d_{22}v_\phi & \lambda^d_{23}v_\phi \\ \lambda^d_{31}v_\phi & \lambda^d_{32}v_\phi & \lambda^d_{33}v_\phi \end{pmatrix}, \tag{30}$$

for the following set of Yukawa couplings,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^d_{11} = 0.025; \quad \lambda^d_{12} = 0.2; \quad \lambda^d_{13} = 0.4;\\ \lambda^d_{21} = -0.4; \quad \lambda^d_{22} = -0.03; \quad \lambda^d_{23} = -0.7;\\ \lambda^d_{31} = -0.173; \quad \lambda^d_{32} = -0.12; \quad \lambda^d_{33} = 0.015,\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

the down-type quark's masses will be given by,

$$m_d \approx 4.9 MeV \quad m_c \approx 101 MeV \quad m_t \approx 4.24 GeV.$$

As we do not have a scalar sextet, the mass of the charged leptons arise from the following dimension-5 effective operator that conserves lepton number,

$$\frac{Y''}{\Lambda} \left(\overline{L^c_L} \rho^*\right) \left(\chi^\dagger L_L\right) + h.c. \tag{32}$$

This effective operator gives the following mass term for the charged leptons $m_l \approx \frac{1}{2} Y'' v_\rho$, which easily reproduces the charged lepton masses as long as the Yukawa couplings Y'' are similar to the standard model ones.

Appendix B

In this appendix we check if the potential given in Eq. (12) is stable for the set of VEVs we used in this work. For this we consider the shift in the neutral scalars given in Eq. (13) and the corresponding constraint equations given in Eq. (14).

After imposing the constraint equations, the squared mass matrix for the doubly charged scalar fields in the (χ^{++}, ρ^{++}) basis has the following form,

$$M^2_{H_{++}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{f v_\phi v_\chi}{2 v_\rho} + \frac{\lambda_{10} v_\chi^2}{2} & \frac{f v_\phi}{2} + \frac{\lambda_{10} v_\rho v_\chi}{2} \\ \frac{f v_\phi}{2} + \frac{\lambda_{10} v_\rho v_\chi}{2} & \frac{f v_\phi v_\rho}{2 v_\chi} + \frac{\lambda_{10} v_\rho^2}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{33}$$

The eigenstates of the matrix in Eq. (33) will be denoted as $h^{++}_{1,2}$. The diagonalization of $M^2_{H_{++}}$ gives the following mass spectrum,

$$m^2_{h_1^{++}} = 0, \tag{34}$$

$$m^2_{h_2^{++}} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{f v_\phi v_\chi}{v_\rho} + \frac{f v_\phi v_\rho}{v_\chi} + \lambda_{10}(v_\rho^2 + v_\chi^2)\right). \tag{35}$$

We see that to have a positive $m^2_{h_2^{++}}$, it requires $\lambda_{10} > 0$.

For the simply charged scalars we obtain the following squared mass matrix in the basis $(\phi_2^+, \chi^+, \phi_1^+, \rho^+)$,

$$M^2_{H_+} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{fv_\rho v_\chi}{2v_\phi} + \frac{\lambda_9 v_\chi^2}{2} & \frac{fv_\rho}{2} + \frac{\lambda_9 v_\phi v_\chi}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{fv_\rho}{2} + \frac{\lambda_9 v_\phi v_\chi}{2} & \frac{fv_\phi v_\rho}{2v_\chi} + \frac{\lambda_9 v_\phi^2}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{fv_\rho v_\chi}{2v_\phi} + \frac{\lambda_8 v_\rho^2}{2} & \frac{fv_\chi}{2} + \frac{\lambda_8 v_\phi v_\rho}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{fv_\chi}{2} + \frac{\lambda_8 v_\phi v_\rho}{2} & \frac{fv_\phi v_\chi}{2v_\rho} + \frac{\lambda_8 v_\phi^2}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{36}$$

We denote the physical eigenstates as $h^+_{1,2,3,4}$. The diagonalization of $M^2_{H_+}$ gives the following mass spectrum,

$$m^2_{h_1^+} = 0, \;\; m^2_{h_2^+} = \frac{1}{2} f v_\rho \left(\frac{v_\chi}{v_\phi} + \frac{v_\phi}{v_\chi} \right) + \frac{\lambda_9}{2}(v_\rho^2 + v_\chi^2),$$
$$m^2_{h_3^+} = 0, \;\; m^2_{h_4^+} = \frac{1}{2} f v_\chi \left(\frac{v_\phi}{v_\rho} + \frac{v_\rho}{v_\phi} \right) + \frac{\lambda_8}{2}(v_\rho^2 + v_\chi^2). \tag{37}$$

The two Goldstone bosons are eaten by the gauge bosons $W^\pm$ and $V^\pm$. We see that to have positive $m^2_{h_2^+}$ as well as $m^2_{h_4^+}$, it requires $\lambda_8, \lambda_9 > 0$.

For the CP-odd neutral scalars, on considering the basis $(I_{\phi^0}, I_{\rho^0}, I_{\chi^0}, I_{\sigma^0})$ we obtain the following mass matrix,

$$M^2_{I^0} = \frac{f}{4} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{v_\rho v_\chi}{v_\phi} & v_\chi & v_\rho & 0 \\ v_\chi & \frac{v_\phi v_\chi}{v_\rho} & v_\phi & 0 \\ v_\rho & v_\phi & \frac{v_\phi v_\rho}{v_\chi} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{38}$$

We denote the eigenstates of the matrix in Eq. (38) as $g^0_{1,2,3,4}$. The CP-odd mass spectrum is,

$$m^2_{g^0_{1,2,4}} = 0,$$

and

$$m^2_{g^0_3} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{f v_\rho v_\chi}{v_\phi} + \frac{f v_\phi v_\chi}{v_\rho} + \frac{f v_\phi v_\rho}{v_\chi} \right). \tag{39}$$

Note that $m_{g^0_3}$ is positive.

$g^0_{1,2}$ are Goldstone bosons eaten by the neutral gauge bosons Z^0 and Z'. g^0_4 is a Majoron. This Majoron is a consequence of the spontaneous breaking of the lepton number. Note that g^0_4 decoupled from the other CP-odd scalars which means it is a singlet for the standard interactions, consequently it is a safe Majoron.

Parameter	Value
$\lambda_1, \lambda_{3-7}, \lambda_{11-13}$	0.1
λ_2	0.29
$\lambda_{(8-10)}$	[0.1 - 0.9]
f	1 GeV
v_ϕ	0.0246 GeV
v_ρ	246 GeV
v_χ	1 TeV
M	5 TeV

TABLE I: A possible set of values for the parameters involved in the scalar sector.

The squared mass matrix for the CP-even neutral components of the scalar sector in the basis $(R_{\phi^0}, R_{\rho^0}, R_{\chi^0}, R_{\sigma^0})$ has the following form,

$$M^2_{R^0} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{f v_\rho v_\chi}{4 v_\phi} + \lambda_1 v_\phi^2 & \frac{\lambda_5 v_\phi v_\rho}{4} - \frac{f v_\chi}{4} & \frac{\lambda_6 v_\phi v_\chi}{4} - \frac{f v_\rho}{4} & \frac{\lambda_{11} v_\phi v_s}{2} \\ \frac{\lambda_4 v_\phi v_\rho}{4} - \frac{f v_\chi}{4} & \frac{f v_\phi v_\chi}{4 v_\rho} + \lambda_2 v_\rho^2 & \frac{\lambda_6 v_\rho v_\chi}{4} - \frac{f v_\phi}{4} & \frac{\lambda_{12} v_\rho v_s}{2} \\ \frac{\lambda_5 v_\phi v_\chi}{4} - \frac{f v_\rho}{4} & \frac{\lambda_6 v_\rho v_\chi}{4} - \frac{f v_\phi}{4} & \frac{f v_\phi v_\rho}{4 v_\chi} + \lambda_3 v_\chi^2 & \frac{\lambda_{13} v_\chi v_s}{2} \\ \frac{\lambda_{11} v_\phi v_s}{2} & \frac{\lambda_{12} v_\rho v_s}{2} & \frac{\lambda_{13} v_\chi v_s}{2} & \lambda_4 v_s^2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad (40)$$

We denote the physical eigenstates as $h^0_{1,2,3,4}$. The $Det M^2_{R^0} \neq 0$ guarantees that the CP-even sector has no Goldstone bosons. The diagonalization of this matrix is not trivial, but it is straightforward to see that for reasonable values of the λs involved in it we are going to have positive masses as required by the stabilization of the potential. Just to have an idea of the values of the scalars' masses, we present in TABLE I a set of values for the λs and the corresponding mass values for the scalars in TABLE II.

Notice that the neutral scalar, h^0_4, has a mass of 125 GeV for the chosen values of the free parameters given in TABLE I. Its eigenvector is given by $h^0_4 \approx \quad 0.98\rho^0 + 0.10\chi^0$. In other words, it is basically the ρ^0 component of the triplet ρ. This value for the mass of h^0_4

Scalar Spectrum	Mass (GeV)
$m_{h_1^{++}}$	0
$m_{h_2^{++}}$	[230.2 - 690.8] for $\lambda_{10} = [0.1 - 0.9]$
$m_{h_{1,3}^{+}}$	0
$m_{h_2^{+}}$	[2247.2 - 2236.7] for $\lambda_9 = [0.1 - 0.9]$
$m_{h_4^{+}}$	[2343.5 - 2242.1] for $\lambda_8 = [0.1 - 0.9]$
$m_{g_{1,2}^{0}}$	0
$m_{g_3^{0}}$	3535.5
$m_{g_4^{0}}$	0
$m_{h_1^{0}}$	2236.07
$m_{h_2^{0}}$	1589.77
$m_{h_3^{0}}$	273.56
$m_{h_4^{0}}$	125.29

TABLE II: Possible values for the scalars masses according to the parameters in TABLE I.

is interesting because of recent hints about the Higgs mass in LHC and Tevatron [13].

[1] F. Pisano and V. Pleitez, Phys. Rev. D **46** , 410 (1992); P. H. Frampton, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**,2889 (1992).

[2] R. Foot, O. F. Hernandez, F. Pisano, V. Pleitez, Phys. Rev. D**47**, 4158 (1993).

[3] J. G. Ferreira, Jr, P. R. D. Pinheiro, C. A. de S. Pires, P. S. Rodrigues da Silva, Phys. Rev. D**84**, 095019 (2011).

[4] A. G. Dias, R. Martinez, V. Pleitez, Eur. Phys. J. C**39**, 101 (2005) . See also, A. G. Dias, V. Pleitez, Phys. Rev. D**80**, 056007 (2009).

[5] M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond and R. Slanky, in *Supergravity*, p. 315, edited by F. van Nieuwenhuizen and D. Freedman, North Holland, Amsterdam, (1979); T. Yanagida, Proceed. of the *Workshop on Unified Theory and the Baryon Number of the Universe*, KEK, Japan, (1979).; R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. Lett. **44**, 912 (1980).

[6] W. Grimus, L. Lavoura, B. Radovcic, Phys. Lett. B**674**, 117 (2009).

[7] Q. R. Ahmad *et al.*, [SNO Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 011301 (2002); ibidem, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 011302 (2002).

[8] J. Hosaka *et al.* [Super-Kamiokande Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D**74**, 032002 (2006).

[9] K. Abe *et al.* [T2K Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 041801 (2011), P. Adamson *et al.* [MINOS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 181802 (2011); Y. Abe **et al.** [DOUBLE-CHOOZ Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 131801 (2012); F. P. An *et al.* [DAYA-BAY Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 171803 (2012); J. K. Ahn *et al.* [RENO Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 191802 (2012).

[10] K. Nakamura *et al.* [Particle Data Group], J. Phys. G **37**, 075021 (2010).

[11] A. Ilakovac and A. Pilaftsis, Nucl. Phys. B **437**, 491 (1995).

[12] A. van der Schaaf, J. Phys. G **29**, 2755 (2003); Y. Kuno (PRIME Working Group), Nucl. Phys. B, Proc. Suppl. **149**, 376 (2005).

[13] ATLAS Collaboration (Prolay Mal for the collaboration), e-Print: arXiv:1206.1174 [hep-ex]; CMS Collaboration (Marco Pieri for the collaboration), e-Print: arXiv:1205.2907 [hep-ex]; CDF and D0 Collaborations (Kyle J. Knoepfel for the collaboration), e-Print: arXiv:1206.2581 [hep-ex].